Published in final edited form as:

Schizophr Res. 2014 August ; 157(0): 198–203. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2014.05.035.

Long range frontal/posterior phase synchronization during remembered pursuit task is impaired in schizophrenia

Nithin Krishna¹, Hugh O'Neill, EM Sánchez-Morla, and Gunvant K. Thaker

Maryland Psychiatric Research Center, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

Abstract

Although smooth pursuit eye movement (SPEM) is a reliable endophenotype of schizophrenia., exact underlying cognitive and neural substrates remain unknown. A simple mechanistic model of SPEM assumes an efficient interaction in integrating sensory input from the medial temporal (MT)/ medial superior temporal (MST) brain regions and subsequent motor response through the frontal eye field (FEF). Poor functional connectivity between these two regions could explain impaired motion perception and SPEM maintenance in schizophrenia. In the present study, we combined an eye tracking paradigm with electroencephalography (EEG) recordings to investigate the putative functional connectivity among frontal/posterior brain regions in mediating the modulation of SPEM. Twenty four schizophrenic (SZ) and 22 healthy control (HC) participants performed remembered pursuit tasks with EEG recordings. Behaviorally, HC subjects showed significant improvement in SPEM response on repeated presentations of target compared to SZ subjects. Neurophysiologically HC subjects showed higher frontal/posterior phase synchronization in the beta to low gamma range frequency bands during all target presentations. In addition there was a significant increase in phase synchronization in the beta-2 frequency band in HC subjects during late compared to early target presentation. In contrast, higher frontal/posterior phase synchronization in the beta-2 frequency predicted better performance during late target presentation and lower enduring psychosis in SZ subjects. These data suggest a pathologically perturbed connectivity between frontal and posterior cortical regions during SPEM in SZ. The integrative eye tracking-EEG approach used in this study to dissect the endophenotype may reveal novel targets for studying schizophrenia psychopathology.

¹Corresponding author. Address: PO Box 21247, MPRC, Baltimore, MD 21228. nkrishna@psych.umaryland.edu, Phone: 410-402-6820.

Conflict of interest

Authors report no conflict of interest.

Contributors:

G.T came up with the hypothesis, designed the experimental strategy, and wrote the manuscript., N.K performed the experiments, interpreted the results, wrote the manuscript and generated the figures/tables. HON analyzed the data and edited the manuscript. ES performed the experiments analyzed the data, helped generate the figures/tables and edited the manuscript

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

Schizophrenia; endophenotype; smooth pursuit eye movements; predictive pursuit; phase synchronization; electroencephalography

1. Introduction

The search for biomarkers of complex disorders such as schizophrenia has been difficult mostly because of their heterogeneity (Thaker and Carpenter, 2001). Measurable biomarkers that index stable and heritable physiological deficits which mark disease liability (i.e., endophenotypes) help reduce heterogeneity, and have value in genetic studies (Gottesman and Gould, 2003). Smooth pursuit eye movement (SPEM) abnormality, arguably the first biological marker identified in schizophrenia, is a well recognized and valid schizophrenia/psychosis endophenotype that is associated with cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia including impairments in working memory and executive function (Diefendorf AR, 1908; Fukushima et al., 2013; Holzman et al., 1974). However SPEM remains relatively complex and this inherent complexity remains an obstacle for its successful clinical application. Thus further characterization and continued refinement is a prerequisite for both a better understanding of the cognitive processes involved and a clearer understanding of the specific neurophysiological underpinnings, this remains an unmet need in schizophrenia research.

SPEM functions to maintain the image of a moving object of interest on the fovea, the most sensitive part of the retina. SPEM is a unique neurophysiological function present only in humans and primates, in that the eye movements do not occur in the absence of motion information (Orban de Xivry and Lefevre, 2007; Thier and Ilg, 2005). Initially, target motion (retinal motion), is relayed to the lateral geniculate nucleus, and then to primary visual cortex (V1), subsequently processed by V5 (mediotemporal cortex, MT). This then stimulates the initiation of SPEM (Born and Tootell, 1992), however with a delay, when the eye catches up with the target, matching its speed, the motion of the target image on the retina is near zero. To maintain accurate pursuit, predictive eye velocity is generated using an internal representation of the target velocity, thought to be derived from the efference copy of the motor command, and/or a memory trace of the previous retinal motion (Eskandar and Assad, 1999; Newsome et al., 1988; Orban de Xivry and Lefevre, 2007; Thier and Ilg, 2005).

Frontal eye fields (FEF) are instrumental in anticipatory pursuit initiation and eye acceleration (Fukushima, 2003; Fukushima et al., 2013; Orban de Xivry and Lefevre, 2007; Thier and Ilg, 2005). FEF interact with the MT during visual motion tasks (Zaksas and Pasternak, 2006). FEF are a likely source to relay the efference copy information to the two extrastriate visual areas (MT/MST), where it is integrated with the visual sensory input (i.e., corollary discharge) to form a motion perception (Nuding et al., 2008). Motion perception relies on the efference copy for adapting the visual receptive field in accordance with motion (Hong et al., 2009; Sommer and Wurtz, 2002; Turano and Massof, 2001). Converging lines of evidence suggest that schizophrenia probands and their relatives have impaired predictive pursuit (Thaker et al., 2003).

Current study aimed to examine the phase synchronization between frontal and posterior region as a measure of efference copy utilization during a remembered pursuit task. Where performance is facilitated when a subject uses remembered target velocity from earlier trials to anticipate the next target velocity. Simultaneous electroencephalographic (EEG) recordings were obtained to study phase synchronization (PS) of oscillatory activity in narrow frequency bands between frontal and posterior electrodes. We tested the hypothesis that anticipatory or predictive improvement of SPEM relies on PS between the frontal and posterior regions. The hypothesis further posits that the remembered velocity information is integrated into the SPEM system, and impairment of the anticipatory SPEM in schizophrenia is associated with impaired frontal-posterior PS. Here, PS serves as a measure of functional connectivity between these regions. We also used power spectrum density in the frontal electrodes as a measure to estimate how well the efference copy is maintained in the underlying cortical tissue that includes FEF.

PS of a local network of neuronal ensembles in response to an event results in oscillatory activity that can be measured in the scalp EEG signal. Event-related oscillations are examined by deconstructing the EEG signal into different frequencies. Power in a specific frequency provides an estimate of the magnitude of synchronization within the local network and has been shown to index several cognitive processes such as perception and memory (Uhlhaas and Singer, 2010). Long-range PS across space, on the other hand, provides a method to examine communication between distal neuronal ensembles. Recent animal and human work suggests that phase coupling serves as a signature of ongoing oscillations that predict the perceptual detection of subsequent stimuli (Liebe et al., 2012; Ng et al., 2012). Investigators have used nonlinear dynamic tools to develop several novel methods that provide estimates of coupling between signals recorded from spatially-distributed networks (Gourevitch et al., 2006). Phase-locking or coupling have distinct advantages over traditional methods which measure linear covariance between two spectra that fail to distinguish amplitude covariance from phase covariance.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the University of Maryland, Baltimore Institutional Review Board guidelines. Patients with SZ (n=24) were recruited from our outpatient clinic. HC subjects had no Axis I diagnosis and no family history of psychosis (n=22). The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) was administered to obtain diagnostic information. Clinical symptoms were assessed by the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS).

2.2. Remembered Pursuit Task (Figure 1)

This task is described in detail in previous publications, being slightly modified to accommodate EEG measurements (Avila et al., 2006). Trials consisted of 3 identical, sinusoidal moving targets presented 1–2 seconds apart, each preceded by a 25 msec, 72 db audio cue (500 Hz; a sample trial). The targets had the same trajectory and velocity within each trial, enabling subjects to use target information from the preceding presentation to

anticipate and facilitate SPEM in the next target presentation. The time between the onset of the auditory cue and the onset of target motion was a constant 330 msec. Trials (3 target presentations) were separated by a sequence of random left/right target steps and central fixation lasting 6–10 sec. This procedure was added to remove effects from stored velocity memory. Target direction was varied across trials.

2.3. Data Collection

Testing was performed in an enclosed, sound-attenuated (background noise between 61–63 db), and darkened room (background luminance of 0.01 foot candle at the level of subject's eyes). The target display and eye movement data were recorded and processed using the same procedures previously reported (Avila et al., 2006). EEG recordings were performed on a 64-channel Neuroscan Acquire and Synamp2 system, using sintered Ag/AgCl electrodes (impedance less than 5 K; Quik-Cap). EEG recordings (DC coupled) were amplified (12,500x), digitized (1000 Hz) and bandpass-filtered from 0.1 to 200 Hz using Neuroscan version 4.3. For off-line data processing, we used spatial regression (Semlitsch et al., 1986) based mathematical corrections to remove eye blink related potentials, done on continuous recordings. We applied a threshold filter (± 75 uV) on corrected data and verified by visual inspection to be certain about eye movement artifacts processing (Light et al., 2006). Subjects were instructed to refrain from smoking 30 minutes prior to testing.

2.4. Eye Movement and EEG Data Processing

2.4.1. Pursuit Data—Algorithms were written in MatLab and Igor Pro environments. Methods have been extensively published previously (Avila et al., 2006).

2.4.2. Phase Synchronization (PS)—We used calculation of Hilbert Entropy method for analyzing PS between two oscillators because it showed low variability in our preliminary studies and has been successfully applied previously (Wendling et al., 2009).

EEG data were subjected to current density interpolation using a current source density (CSD) toolbox to interpolate scalp potentials and estimates of scalp current densities using spherical splines to enhance the spatial precision and avoid confounding (Kayser, 2014; Kayser and Tenke, 2006). EEG data were processed on the three pre-stimulus 500-msec epochs in the pursuit task: pre-cue 1, pre-cue 2 and pre-cue 3. Specifically we used this sequence because we hypothesized that this period is critical for processing and modulation of the remembered velocity needed to facilitate repeated SPEM response. Subsequently these specific epochs were used for phase and power analysis as described below. Phases of these narrow-band signals were calculated using the Hilbert transform (Kayser and Tenke, 2006; Ng et al., 2012) and, are summarized in Figure 2. The power in the frontal CSD was calculated using Fast Fourier transform (with Hanning window to avoid spectral leakage) to determine the top 8 dominant frequencies within the traditional frequency bands (theta, alpha, beta, and gamma) (Figure 2a). These frequency bands were further processed using a Finite impulse response (FIR) narrow (± 1 Hz) band pass filter to extract oscillatory activity around dominant frequencies. FIR filters were used to assure zero phase shift, and frequency response in the reject band of less than -55 dB. We examined Hilbert transform separately for each of four electrodes of interest (F3, F4, PO5 and PO6) and instantaneous phase for

each of the frequency bands at each electrode of interest (Figure 2b). F3 or F4 approximately corresponds to the site above posterior middle frontal gyrus/precentral gyrus junction area of the Brodman's Area 6 where human frontal eye fields reside. PO5 or PO6 corresponds to the medial temporal areas where human MT resides. Subsequently, PS between a pair of electrodes (i.e., F3 and PO5, or F4 and PO6) was evaluated based on the mod 2π phase difference (Figure 2c), calculating Shannon entropy S based on its frequency distribution (Figure 2d) and normalizing (Figure 2e) to obtain Hilbert Entropy, which ranges from 0 (no synchronization) to 1 (perfect synchronization). Simulation studies with embedded signals that were perfectly in synch showed p values of ~ 0.4 for perfectly synched oscillations, and near zero (~ 0.01) for non-synched oscillations.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

We used repeated measures analysis of variance to examine the effects of repeated target presentation over 500 msec in 50-msec time bins (i.e., two within subject factors) on eye velocity across the two groups (a between subject factor). Similar analyses were carried out to examine the effects of repeated target over eight frequency bands and group on the phase synchrony measure. In these analyses we used Greenhouse-Geisser corrections. With degrees of freedom associated with this correction. Post hoc comparisons used Tukey's tests in SigmaPlot 11.

3. Results

3.1 Smooth Pursuit Eye Movements (SPEM)

On repeated presentation of the target motion, SPEM response improved in both groups, more so in the HC subjects and particularly for the 3rd target presentation (Figure 3). This was confirmed by a repeated measures ANOVA that showed a significant diagnosis by repeat target presentation by time interaction [$F(18,168)=3.1$, $p<0.02$]. There was no significant diagnosis, or diagnosis by time interaction effects for the first two target presentations ($p > 0.1$). For the 3rd target, there was a significant diagnosis by time interaction [$F(9,128) = 6.7$, $p<0.005$]; post hoc comparisons showed significant between group differences for mean eye velocities 250 milliseconds after the cue ($p<0.01$; Figure 3).

Responses to repeated motion were predictive since the eye started moving immediately after the cue (see Figure 3). There was no significant improvement in response to the 1st target presentation across trials.

3.2. Functional Connectivity between Frontal and Posterior Electrodes: Phase Synchronization (PS)

Findings from F3-PO5 electrodes with analyses of PS customized based on dominant frequencies in individual subjects are given below. Similar findings were observed for F4-PO6. The PS was significantly higher in HC subjects in beta to gamma range under all target conditions compared to SZ subjects (Figure 4). In HC subjects, there was an increase in phase locking in high beta range frequencies with repetition of the target presentation. No such change was observed in SZ subjects (Figure 4). These observations were confirmed by a repeated measures ANOVA (repeat presentations of target and frequency as within

subjects factor, diagnosis as between subjects factor, and age as covariate) that showed a significant diagnosis by repeated presentation by frequency interaction [$F(14,297)=2.32$, $p<0.03$]. Subsequent analyses at each level of frequency showed significant main effects of diagnosis on phase locking at ~12 Hz, ~21 Hz and ~40 Hz ($p<0.05$; Figure 4). For ~30 Hz, there was a significant diagnosis by repeated presentation interaction [$F(2,73)=6.9$, $p<0.003$]. A significant effect of repeated presentation of target in HC subjects but not in SZ subjects was observed. PS in ~30 Hz was significantly higher for the 3rd target presentation compared to the 2nd ($p<0.02$) and 1st ($p<0.01$) presentations in HC subjects; these were significantly higher than in SZ subjects under all target conditions.

3.3. Comparison of Power Spectrum Density (PSD)

No significant effects of diagnosis or interactions involving diagnosis on PSD.

3.4. Correlations

BPRS illness duration symptom severity was obtained in 19 patients. We examined correlations between the phase locking in ~30 Hz and the mean eye velocity during the first 500 msec of the cue were 0.19 (NS) following cue 1, 0.24 (NS) following cue 2 and 0.34 ($p<0.03$, $n=44$, Figure 5) following cue 3. In addition we examined correlation between phase locking and total BPRS, psychosis, hallucinations, and negative symptom scores. Significant positive correlations of phase locking with eye velocities after the 3rd cue ($r=0.32-0.50$, $p<0.05$) and negative correlations with hallucination ratings ($r=-0.48$, $p<0.04$) and psychosis ratings ($r=-0.44$, $p<0.05$). No significant correlations with negative symptom scores ($r=0.05$) or total BPRS scores ($r=-0.19$) were observed.

4. Discussion

Aim of the study was to examine functional connectivity between frontal and posterior cortical regions during a remembered pursuit task, which posits that efference copy utilization related improvement of SPEM relies on PS between the frontal and posterior regions. Predictive component acts as a proxy for cognitive function, specifically working memory (Fukushima et al., 2013). Consistent with previous findings (Avila et al., 2006), all subjects showed improvement in SPEM response on repeated presentation with significantly more improvement in HC subjects. Poor response in schizophrenia on repeated target presentation could be because patients were not able to adequately use efference velocity or were not able to integrate the efference copy with the MT/MST corollaries for the pursuit response. We examined both the power spectrum density and long-range PS to test our hypothesis.

SZ subjects showed poor frontal/posterior PSs in beta to low gamma frequencies compared to HC subjects during all target conditions. Coincident with improvement in pursuit response, HC subjects showed increased PS in ~30 Hz from 1st to 3rd target presentation. In contrast such increases were not observed in SZ subjects. There were no differences in energy in these frequency bands across groups. Together, these findings suggest that SZ subjects have normal activation in the frontal cortical region while holding eye motion (i.e., efference copy) information on-line. However, during the pursuit response to repeated target

motion, patients showed significantly poorer frontal/posterior functional connectivity. High long-range frontal/posterior synchronization in ~30 Hz was associated with faster eye velocity during the 3rd presentation of the target velocity.

Information processing involves many cognitive functions across physically distant neuronal systems. In order to integrate activities and to achieve a coherent representational state, communication among these regions is critical. Animal studies demonstrate that synchronization serves this purpose locally and across widely separated cortical regions (Roelfsema et al., 1997; Womelsdorf et al., 2007). Similar findings have been observed in human EEG studies (Melloni et al., 2007). The magnitude of synchronization ranges from 15–35% (higher values noted are from animal studies with intracortical recordings). A similar magnitude was observed in our study. Additionally we find that communications between cortical regions are aberrant in schizophrenia and this may lead to an incoherent representational state, which may explain some of the cognitive impairments and core schizophrenia symptoms. Specifically several researchers (Feinberg and Guazzelli, 1999; Frith, 1987) have argued that psychosis is secondary to an impaired processing of efference copy or corollary discharge. Recently, Ford and colleagues have provided experimental data showing abnormal processing of corollary discharge in the auditory system that explain auditory perception dysfunction in schizophrenia patients (Ford et al., 2005; Ford and Mathalon, 2012). Monkey experiments suggest that disruption of the corollary discharge leads to distorted representation of the external world (Sommer and Wurtz, 2006). We hypothesized on this basis that frontal-posterior synchronization mediates corollary discharge that would predict perceptual distortions and psychosis. Since the SPEM abnormality is a stable trait, we rated psychosis and hallucinations based on a long time window. Poor frontal-posterior phase locking in ~30 Hz was associated with more stable psychotic symptoms and hallucinations.

The relationships between the eye movement and frontal/posterior synchronization are consistent with strong reciprocal connectivity between the MT/MST and FEF. The MT/MST region processes retinal information (Born and Tootell, 1992), which is fed forward to FEF (Stanton et al., 2005). FEF plays a key role in pursuit initiation and predictive pursuit (Fukushima, 2003; MacAvoy et al., 1991; Shi et al., 1998). It is likely that FEF, incorporates eye movement related projections from the central thalamus, and act as a relay station to feedback efference copy to MST (Nuding et al., 2008; Tanaka, 2005). Synchronization across regions depends on the integrity of connection between regions (Arrondo et al., 2009; Munk et al., 1995). Findings from our study raise the possibility that the structural integrity of pathways connecting FEF and the MT/MST region are compromised in schizophrenia. Our data do not rule out the possibility that reduced synchronization in patients was a medication effect. It is still unclear to what extent this abnormality accounts for the variation in the smooth pursuit endophenotype. Additional studies in relatives of schizophrenia and medication-free patients are needed to address these issues. However based on findings reported here along with findings from other studies, it is clear that SPEM is a complex phenotype with impairments in ability to use efference function are associated with psychosis (Ford et al., 2005; Ford and Mathalon, 2012; Nkam et al., 2010; Spering et al., 2013). In the present study, we used EEG recording during a repeated pursuit task, and

utilized the framework of information theory (IT) (Shannon, 1948) to assess PS between frontal and posterior brain region.

Acknowledgments

We thank patients for their participation in the study. Authors wish to express appreciation for technical help from Adrienne Nye, who coordinated the study, and the staff of the Neurophysiology Lab and of the Schizophrenia Related Disorders Program.

Funding

This work was funded by National Institute of Mental Health grants MH67014, MH077852, and the University of Maryland General Clinical Research Center Grant M01-RR16500.

References

- Arrondo G, Alegre M, Sepulcre J, Iriarte J, Artieda J, Villoslada P. Abnormalities in brain synchronization are correlated with cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler*. 2009; 15(4):509–516. [PubMed: 19282416]
- Avila MT, Hong LE, Moates A, Turano KA, Thaker GK. Role of anticipation in schizophrenia-related pursuit initiation deficits. *J Neurophysiol*. 2006; 95(2):593–601. [PubMed: 16267121]
- Born RT, Tootell RB. Segregation of global and local motion processing in primate middle temporal visual area. *Nature*. 1992; 357(6378):497–499. [PubMed: 1608448]
- Diefendorf ARDR. An experimental study of the ocular reactions of the insane from photographic records. *Brain*. 1908; 31:451–489.
- Eskandar EN, Assad JA. Dissociation of visual, motor and predictive signals in parietal cortex during visual guidance. *Nat Neurosci*. 1999; 2(1):88–93. [PubMed: 10195185]
- Feinberg I, Guazzelli M. Schizophrenia--a disorder of the corollary discharge systems that integrate the motor systems of thought with the sensory systems of consciousness. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1999; 174:196–204. [PubMed: 10448443]
- Ford JM, Gray M, Faustman WO, Heinks TH, Mathalon DH. Reduced gamma-band coherence to distorted feedback during speech when what you say is not what you hear. *Int J Psychophysiol*. 2005; 57(2):143–150. [PubMed: 15967529]
- Ford JM, Mathalon DH. Anticipating the future: automatic prediction failures in schizophrenia. *Int J Psychophysiol*. 2012; 83(2):232–239. [PubMed: 21959054]
- Frith CD. The positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia reflect impairments in the perception and initiation of action. *Psychol Med*. 1987; 17(3):631–648. [PubMed: 3628624]
- Fukushima K. Frontal cortical control of smooth-pursuit. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2003; 13(6):647–654. [PubMed: 14662364]
- Fukushima K, Fukushima J, Warabi T, Barnes GR. Cognitive processes involved in smooth pursuit eye movements: behavioral evidence, neural substrate and clinical correlation. *Front Syst Neurosci*. 2013; 7:4. [PubMed: 23515488]
- Gottesman II, Gould TD. The endophenotype concept in psychiatry: etymology and strategic intentions. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2003; 160(4):636–645. [PubMed: 12668349]
- Gourevitch B, Bouquin-Jeannes RL, Faucon G. Linear and nonlinear causality between signals: methods, examples and neurophysiological applications. *Biol Cybern*. 2006; 95(4):349–369. [PubMed: 16927098]
- Holzman PS, Proctor LR, Levy DL, Yasillo NJ, Meltzer HY, Hurt SW. Eye-tracking dysfunctions in schizophrenic patients and their relatives. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1974; 31(2):143–151. [PubMed: 4851993]
- Hong LE, Turano KA, O'Neill HB, Hao L, Wonodi I, McMahon RP, Thaker GK. Is motion perception deficit in schizophrenia a consequence of eye-tracking abnormality? *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009; 65(12):1079–1085. [PubMed: 19054501]
- Kayser J. Current Source Density (CSD) Interpolation using Spherical Splines. 2014

- Kayser J, Tenke CE. Principal components analysis of Laplacian waveforms as a generic method for identifying ERP generator patterns: II. Adequacy of low-density estimates. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2006; 117(2):369–380. [PubMed: 16356768]
- Liebe S, Hoerzer GM, Logothetis NK, Rainer G. Theta coupling between V4 and prefrontal cortex predicts visual short-term memory performance. *Nature neuroscience.* 2012; 15(3):456–462. S451–452.
- Light GA, Hsu JL, Hsieh MH, Meyer-Gomes K, Sprock J, Swerdlow NR, Braff DL. Gamma band oscillations reveal neural network cortical coherence dysfunction in schizophrenia patients. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2006; 60(11):1231–1240. [PubMed: 16893524]
- MacAvoy MG, Gottlieb JP, Bruce CJ. Smooth-pursuit eye movement representation in the primate frontal eye field. *Cereb Cortex.* 1991; 1(1):95–102. [PubMed: 1822728]
- Melloni L, Molina C, Pena M, Torres D, Singer W, Rodriguez E. Synchronization of neural activity across cortical areas correlates with conscious perception. *J Neurosci.* 2007; 27(11):2858–2865. [PubMed: 17360907]
- Munk MH, Nowak LG, Nelson JJ, Bullier J. Structural basis of cortical synchronization. II. Effects of cortical lesions. *J Neurophysiol.* 1995; 74(6):2401–2414. [PubMed: 8747201]
- Newsome WT, Wurtz RH, Komatsu H. Relation of cortical areas MT and MST to pursuit eye movements. II. Differentiation of retinal from extraretinal inputs. *J Neurophysiol.* 1988; 60(2):604–620. [PubMed: 3171644]
- Ng BS, Schroeder T, Kayser C. A precluding but not ensuring role of entrained low-frequency oscillations for auditory perception. *J Neurosci.* 2012; 32(35):12268–12276. [PubMed: 22933808]
- Nkam I, Bocca ML, Denise P, Paoletti X, Dollfus S, Levillain D, Thibaut F. Impaired smooth pursuit in schizophrenia results from prediction impairment only. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2010; 67(10):992–997. [PubMed: 20110087]
- Nuding U, Ono S, Mustari MJ, Buttner U, Glasauer S. A theory of the dual pathways for smooth pursuit based on dynamic gain control. *J Neurophysiol.* 2008; 99(6):2798–2808. [PubMed: 18385485]
- Orban de Xivry JJ, Lefevre P. Saccades and pursuit: two outcomes of a single sensorimotor process. *J Physiol.* 2007; 584(Pt 1):11–23. [PubMed: 17690138]
- Roelfsema PR, Engel AK, Konig P, Singer W. Visuomotor integration is associated with zero time-lag synchronization among cortical areas. *Nature.* 1997; 385(6612):157–161. [PubMed: 8990118]
- Semlitsch HV, Anderer P, Schuster P, Presslich O. A solution for reliable and valid reduction of ocular artifacts, applied to the P300 ERP. *Psychophysiology.* 1986; 23(6):695–703. [PubMed: 3823345]
- Shannon CE. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal.* 1948; 27:379–423. 623–656.
- Shi D, Friedman HR, Bruce CJ. Deficits in smooth-pursuit eye movements after muscimol inactivation within the primate's frontal eye field. *J Neurophysiol.* 1998; 80(1):458–464. [PubMed: 9658064]
- Sommer MA, Wurtz RH. A pathway in primate brain for internal monitoring of movements. *Science.* 2002; 296(5572):1480–1482. [PubMed: 12029137]
- Sommer MA, Wurtz RH. Influence of the thalamus on spatial visual processing in frontal cortex. *Nature.* 2006; 444(7117):374–377. [PubMed: 17093408]
- Spering M, Dias EC, Sanchez JL, Schutz AC, Javitt DC. Efference copy failure during smooth pursuit eye movements in schizophrenia. *J Neurosci.* 2013; 33(29):11779–11787. [PubMed: 23864667]
- Stanton GB, Friedman HR, Dias EC, Bruce CJ. Cortical afferents to the smooth-pursuit region of the macaque monkey's frontal eye field. *Exp Brain Res.* 2005; 165(2):179–192. [PubMed: 15940495]
- Tanaka M. Involvement of the central thalamus in the control of smooth pursuit eye movements. *J Neurosci.* 2005; 25(25):5866–5876. [PubMed: 15976075]
- Thaker GK, Avila MT, Hong EL, Medoff DR, Ross DE, Adami HM. A model of smooth pursuit eye movement deficit associated with the schizophrenia phenotype. *Psychophysiology.* 2003; 40(2):277–284. [PubMed: 12820868]
- Thaker GK, Carpenter WT Jr. Advances in schizophrenia. *Nat Med.* 2001; 7(6):667–671. [PubMed: 11385502]

- Thier P, Ilg UJ. The neural basis of smooth-pursuit eye movements. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2005; 15(6): 645–652. [PubMed: 16271460]
- Turano KA, Massof RW. Nonlinear contribution of eye velocity to motion perception. *Vision Res.* 2001; 41(3):385–395. [PubMed: 11164453]
- Uhlhaas PJ, Singer W. Abnormal neural oscillations and synchrony in schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2010; 11(2):100–113. [PubMed: 20087360]
- Wendling F, Ansari-Asl K, Bartolomei F, Senhadji L. From EEG signals to brain connectivity: a model-based evaluation of interdependence measures. *J Neurosci Methods.* 2009; 183(1):9–18. [PubMed: 19422854]
- Womelsdorf T, Schoffelen JM, Oostenveld R, Singer W, Desimone R, Engel AK, Fries P. Modulation of neuronal interactions through neuronal synchronization. *Science.* 2007; 316(5831):1609–1612. [PubMed: 17569862]
- Zaksas D, Pasternak T. Directional signals in the prefrontal cortex and in area MT during a working memory for visual motion task. *J Neurosci.* 2006; 26(45):11726–11742. [PubMed: 17093094]

Figure 1. Remembered pursuit task

Remembered Pursuit Task presented the same target motion three times in a rapid succession. Within a trial, the target motion had the same speed and direction. Each time an auditory cue signaled the upcoming target motion in 333 msec. PS was measured in 500-msec epochs before each cue (pre-cue 1, pre-cue 2 and pre-cue 3). Each pursuit trial was followed by a saccadic task and fixation lasting for 6–10 minutes (see insert).

Figure 2. Hilbert entropy methods

Fast Fourier transfer (with Hanning window to avoid spectral leakage) was used to determine the top 8 dominant frequencies within the traditional frequency bands (theta, alpha, beta, and gamma) in the frontal CSD (Figure 2a). We used FIR narrow (± 1 Hz) band pass filter to extract oscillatory activity around dominant frequencies. Subsequently, instantaneous phase for each of the frequency bands at each electrode of interest were calculated using Hilbert Transfer function (Figure 2b). PS between a pair of electrodes (e.g., F3 and PO5) was evaluated based on the mod 2π phase difference (Figure 2c), calculating Shannon entropy S based on its frequency distribution (Figure 2d) and normalizing (Figure 2e) to obtain Hilbert Entropy.

Figure 3. Smooth pursuit response to repeated target motion

Smooth pursuit response to repeated target motion in schizophrenia (left panel) and healthy control subjects (right panel). Eye velocity data are in 50 msec bins. Both groups made predictive pursuit responses on repeated presentation of target motion with healthy control subjects showing significantly higher eye velocity than schizophrenia subjects during the 3rd target presentation between 250–500 msec (shown with a red thick line).

Figure 4. Anterior (F3)/posterior (PO5) phase locking

Healthy control subjects showed higher PS in beta to gamma range under all target conditions than schizophrenia subjects (A, $p < 0.05$). There additional increase in F3-PO5 phase locking of ~30 Hz frequency band with repeated presentation of the target motion. At this frequency, phase locking just before the 3rd target presentation was significantly higher than for 1st and 2nd presentation (B, $P < 0.02$).

Figure 5. Correlations between the Hilbert Entropy and the mean eye velocity
 Correlations between the Hilbert Entropy and the mean eye velocity (1st 500msec) following Cue 1 (left panel), Cue 2 (middle panel) and Cue 3 (right panel). Circles are healthy control subjects and triangles are patients.

Table 1**Subjects**

	Schizophrenia (n=24)	Healthy control (n=22)	Statistics
Age (mean and SD)	40 ± 12 yrs	43 ± 9 yrs	NS
Sex (% Female)	20%	30%	NS
Race (%Caucasian: African American: Other)	62:38:0	66:28:6	NS
Antipsychotic Medications	1 on 1 st generation, 20 on 2 nd generation, and 3 on both		
Other Medications	1 on lithium, 1 on benztropine, and 5 on selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors		