Published in final edited form as:

Chemistry. 2014 June 10; 20(24): 7228–7231. doi:10.1002/chem.201402818.

A Stereoelectronic Basis for the Kinetic Resolution of N-Heterocycles with Chiral Acylating Reagents

Sheng-Ying Hsieh^[a], Benedikt Wanner^[a], Dr. Philip Wheeler^[b], Prof. Dr. André M. Beauchemin^[c], Prof. Dr. Tomislav Rovis^{*,[b]}, and Prof. Dr. Jeffrey W. Bode^{*,[a]}

^[a]Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, ETH Zürich Vladimir Prelog Weg 1–5, 8093 Zürich (Switzerland) ^[b]Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523 (USA) ^[c]Department of Chemistry, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6N5 (Canada)

Abstract

The kinetic resolution of N-heterocycles with chiral acylating agents reveals a previously unrecognized stereoelectronic effect in amine acylation. Combined with a new achiral hydroxamate, this effect makes possible the resolution of various N-heterocycles using easily prepared reagents. A transition state model to rationalize the stereochemical outcome of this kinetic resolution is also proposed.

Keywords

kinetic resolution; saturated N-heterocycles; hydroxamic acid; stereoelectronic effect

The kinetic resolution of racemic small molecules remains a valuable method for the preparation of enantioenriched materials, as it can always afford enantiopure materials by running the reactions to higher conversions.^[1] The use of both stoichiometric reagents and catalysts for the kinetic resolution of alcohols, epoxides, and carboxylic acids is well established.^[2] In contrast, reagents for the kinetic resolution of amines – particularly secondary amines – are underdeveloped, and the state of the art remains resolution by chromatography on chiral supports or diastereomeric salt formation and selective crystallization.^[3] The latter can be relatively effective, but often requires the tedious screening of dozens or hundreds of salts and conditions for successful resolution.^[3a] Enzymatic resolutions are highly developed for the resolution of alcohols, carboxylic acids, and primary amines, but their utility for separating the enantiomers of secondary amines is limited.^[4]

We have recently documented a chiral hydroxamic acid effective for the resolution of piperidines, piperazines, diazepanes, morpholines, and tetrahydroisoquinolines.^[5] The resolutions, with either catalytic or stoichiometric amount of the chiral hydroxamic acid,

*Fax: (+41) 44-633-1235 bode@org.chem.ethz.ch rovis@lamar.colostate.edu.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.chemeurj.org/> or from the author.

proceed at room temperature offering good selectivities (*s*)^[6] for the isolation of enantioenriched N-heterocycles.

The catalytic kinetic resolution of 3-benzylmorpholine **1** with a chiral hydroxamic acid proceeds with good selectivity (*s* = 29).^[5b] This is sufficient for isolating recovered starting material in enantiopure form^[1b] but is not suitable for preparing the amides with sufficient enantioselectivity or for dynamic kinetic resolutions. The use of other achiral acyl groups, such as 2-phenylacetate (**2**, Scheme 1) and 3-phenylpropanoate,^[5c] generally gave somewhat inferior selectivities (*s* = 13–25). In order to further enhance the selectivity, we sought to identify an enantiomerically enriched acyl group that could be combined with the hydroxyamic acid catalyst, for example, a chiral acyl group generated by the action of a N-heterocyclic carbene catalyst.^[7] In order to establish whether such a strategy would be viable, we chose first to examine the effect of readily available chiral acyl groups on the kinetic resolution of secondary amines. These studies revealed a surprising stereoelectronic effect on the selectivity of amine acylation that has not been previously documented, as well as an effective method for amine resolution using a chiral acyl donor.

For initial studies, we selected (*S*)-*O*-Me-mandelic acid, which is readily prepared^[8] or commercially available. The stoichiometric reagent prepared with (4*aR*,9*aS*)-hydroxamic acid^[5b] gave a modest increase in selectivity (Table 1, entry 1). Surprisingly, the reagent prepared from (*R*)-*O*-Me-mandelic acid and the (4*aR*,9*aS*)-hydroxamic acid was completely unselective (entry 2), prompting a further investigation into the nature of the leaving group.

A series of activated (*S*)-mandelic acid reagents were prepared, taking care to avoid epimerization in their formation. The chiral acylating agents from achiral, cyclic hydroxamic acids (entries 3–5) were all effective for amine resolution. The bulky glycine derivative (entry 4) afforded a comparable selectivity to that of the chiral hydroxamic acid bearing an achiral acyl group (*s* = 24 vs. *s* = 13–29).^[5] The more common activated carboxylates derived from *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (entry 6) or imidazole (entry 7) were far less selective. These reagents also suffered from a greater degree of epimerization during the amine resolutions, resulting in a greater proportion of diastereomeric amide products. The poor results from these examples may be an underlying reason for the slow development of chiral reagents for amine resolution, which despite some notable successes from Fu,^[9] Krasnov,^[10] Mioskowski,^[11] Seidel,^[12] Spivey,^[13] and others,^[14] has not kept pace with developments in other areas of asymmetric synthesis.

In order to differentiate the steric and electronic effects of the chiral acyl group, we prepared a series of acyl donors using the achiral hydroxamic acid identified in Table 1 (entry 4). Replacing of the OMe with an isosteric Et moiety led to almost complete erosion of selectivity (Table 2, entry 2), suggesting an important role for the electronegative substituent. Unfortunately, the obvious substrate for probing this effect further, the reagent prepared from (*S*)-2-fluorophenylacetic acid, could not be prepared in enantiopure form. We therefore compared hydrocinnamate esters with α-(*R*)-fluoro (entry 3), (*S*)-methoxy^[15] (entry 4), (*S*)-azido^[16] (entry 5), (*S*)-*N*-Cbz-^[17] (entry 6), and (*S*)-*N*-phthaloyl^[18] (entry 7) substituents. The fluorinated compound (Table 2, entry 3) proved to be the most selective, while the less electronegative amino acid derivative showed no selectivity. These results

point to a previously unexploited stereoelectronic effect in diastereoselective acylations of chiral amines.

The (*S*)-mandelic acid derived reagent **3** is suitable for the kinetic resolution of several classes of racemic N-heterocycles (Table 3). Simply combining **3** and the amine in *i*PrOAc at room temperature for 24 h gives good conversions and acceptable selectivities for the resolution of 2-substituted piperidines (entry 1 and 2), morpholine (entry 3), diazepanone (entry 4), and tetrahydroisoquinoline (entry 5). The selectivities in most cases were, however, inferior to those obtained under catalytic conditions using a chiral hydroxamic acid derived from either enantiomer of *cis*-(1,2)-aminoindanol.^[5a] Nevertheless, the low cost of (*S*)-mandelic acid and the ease of preparing the achiral hydroxamic acid (Scheme 2) may make these stoichiometric reagents preferable for certain applications. In addition, the high selectivities and modular nature of the synthesis bodes well for future developments.

The most surprising aspect of this work is the identification of this unexploited stereoelectronic effect. Our stereochemical model to rationalize the selectivities obtained is presented in Figure 1. Ongoing mechanistic studies on the kinetic resolution of amines with chiral hydroxamic acids implicate a hydrogen bonding interaction between the hydroxamate carbonyl and the amine proton. This interaction constrains the number of possible conformations to be considered, and the key alpha stereocenter on the electrophile ensures the addition occurs with high facial selectivity. The approach trajectory of the N-heterocycle with an equatorial nitrogen lone pair minimizes destabilizing steric interactions. The selectivity originates from the ability of only one enantiomer to approach with minimal destabilization due to its equatorial **R** group (Figure 1). Further discussion and alternative representations are presented in the Supporting Information.

In summary, we have developed a chiral acyl hydroxamate reagent for kinetic resolutions of N-heterocycles. Optimization of reagent structure and a survey of acyl donors revealed that highly selective reactions can result from the stereoelectronic preferences of a proximal alpha stereocenter. This feature had not been previously exploited for enantioselective reactions of amines, and led to the development of a simple, highly selective reagent (*s* = 12 to 27) derived from (*S*)-mandelic acid. A model featuring this key stereoelectronic effect also predicts the stereochemical outcome. Collectively, this data provides crucial understanding to further improve selectivities and expand applicability of kinetic resolutions of amines.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC Starting Grant No. 306793 – CASAA) and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM72586). P.W. thanks the NSF for a predoctoral fellowship. We are grateful to Dr. Vijay Pattabiraman, Dr. Jessada Mahatthananchai, and Hidetoshi Noda for helpful discussions and to Imants Kreituss for providing racemic amines.

References

- [1]. a) Breuer M, Ditrich K, Habicher T, Hauer B, Keßeler M, Stürmer R, Zelinski T. *Angew. Chem.* 2004; 116:806–843. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004; 43:788–824. b) Vedejs E, Jure M. *Angew. Chem.* 2005; 117:4040–4069. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005; 44:3974–4001.

- [2]. a) Parvulescu A, Janssens J, Vanderleyden J, De Vos D. *Top. Catal.* 2010; 53:931–941. For secondary alcohols: b) Miyazawa T. *Amino Acids.* 1999; 16:191–213. For epoxides: [PubMed: 10399013] c) Tokunaga M, Larrow JF, Kakiuchi F, Jacobsen EN. *Science.* 1997; 277:936–938. [PubMed: 9252321]
- [3]. a) Baxter CA, Cleator E, Brands KMJ, Edwards JS, Reamer RA, Sheen FJ, Stewart GW, Strotman NA, Wallace DJ. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2011; 15:367–375. b) Wallace DJ, Baxter CA, Brands KMJ, Bremeyer N, Brewer SE, Desmond R, Emerson KM, Foley J, Fernandez P, Hu W, Keen SP, Mullens P, Muzzio D, Sajonz P, Tan L, Wilson RD, Zhou G, Zhou G. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2011; 15:831–840. c) Guercio G, Bacchi S, Goodyear M, Carangio A, Tinazzi F, Curti S. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2008; 12:1188–1194. An example via dynamic kinetic resolution:
- [4]. Enzymatic resolution of secondary amines are surprisingly rare; however, “deracemization” is emerging as a viable strategy: Carr R, Alexeeva M, Enright A, Eve TSC, Dawson MJ, Turner NJ. *Angew. Chem.* 2003; 115:4955–4958.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003; 42:4807–4810.; Carr R, Alexeeva M, Dawson MJ, Gotor-Fernandez V, Humphrey CE, Turner NJ. *Chembiochem.* 2005; 6:637–639. [PubMed: 15719348] ; Turner NJ, Truppo MD, Nugent TC. *Chiral Amine Synthesis.* 2010:431–459. Wiley-VCH Weinheim
- [5]. a) Binanzer M, Hsieh S-Y, Bode JW. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:19698–19701. [PubMed: 22082205] b) Hsieh S-Y, Binanzer M, Kreituss I, Bode JW. *Chem. Commun.* 2012; 48:8892–8894. c) Kreituss I, Murakami Y, Binanzer M, Bode JW. *Angew. Chem.* 2012; 124:10815–10819. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:10660–10663.
- [6]. a) Selectivity = s factor = rate of faster reacting enantiomer (diastereomer)/rate of slower reacting enantiomer (diastereomer); Kagan HB, Fiaud JC. *Top. Stereochem.* 1988; 18:249–330. S factors were calculated according to. ; c) All conversions in the context were calculated conversions using the method of ref. 6b
- [7]. Wheeler P, Vora HU, Rovis T. *Chem. Sci.* 2013; 4:1674–1679.
- [8]. a) Rose U, Draeger M. *J. Med. Chem.* 1992; 35:2238–2243. [PubMed: 1319494] b) Dickman M, Jones JB. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2000; 8:1957–1968. [PubMed: 11003141]
- [9]. a) Ie Y, Fu GC. *Chem. Commun.* 2000:119–120. b) Arai S, Bellemin-Lapponnaz S, Fu GC. *Angew. Chem.* 2001; 113:240–242. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2001; 40:234–236. c) Arp FO, Fu GC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:14264–14265. [PubMed: 17076493]
- [10]. Extensive work on chiral acyl chlorides for the kinetic resolution of amines was developed in Krasnov's group. Selected examples: Charushin VN, Krasnov VP, Levit GL, Korolyova MA, Kodess MI, Chupakhin ON, Kim MH, Lee HS, Park YJ, Kim KC. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* 1999; 10:2691–2702.; Krasnov VP, Levit GL, Bukrina IM, Andreeva IN, Sadretdinova LS, Korolyova MA, Kodess MI, Charushin VN, Chupakhin ON. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* 2003; 14:1985–1988.; Krasnov VP, Levit GL, Kodess MI, Charushin VN, Chupakhin ON. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* 2004; 15:859–862.; Gruzdev DA, Levit GL, Krasnov VP, Chulakov EN, Sadretdinova LS, Grishakov AN, Ezhikova MA, Kodess MI, Charushin VN. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* 2010; 21:936–942.; Levit GL, Gruzdev DA, Krasnov VP, Chulakov EN, Sadretdinova LS, Ezhikova MA, Kodess MI, Charushin VN. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* 2011; 22:185–189.; Gruzdev DA, Levit GL, Krasnov VP. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* 2012; 23:1640–1646.; Gruzdev DA, Chulakov EN, Levit GL, Ezhikova MA, Kodess MI, Krasnov VP. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* 2013; 24:1240–1246.
- [11]. a) Arseniyadis S, Valleix A, Wagner A, Mioskowski C. *Angew. Chem.* 2004; 116:3376–3379. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004; 43:3314–3317. b) Arseniyadis S, Subhash PV, Valleix A, Mathew SP, Blackmond DG, Wagner A, Mioskowski C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:6138–6139. [PubMed: 15853296] c) Arseniyadis S, Subhash PV, Valleix A, Wagner A, Mioskowski C. *Chem. Commun.* 2005:3310–3312.
- [12]. a) De CK, Klauber EG, Seidel D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:17060–17061. [PubMed: 19929016] b) Klauber EG, De CK, Shah TK, Seidel D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:13624–13626. [PubMed: 20843041] c) Klauber EG, Mittal N, Shah TK, Seidel D. *Org. Lett.* 2011; 13:2464–2467. [PubMed: 21476518]
- [13]. Arseniyadis S, Mahesh M, McDaid P, Hampel T, Davey SG, Spivey AC. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* 2011; 76:1239–1253.

- [14]. a) Birman VB, Jiang H, Li X, Guo L, Uffman EW. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:6536–6537. [PubMed: 16704235] b) Fowler BS, Mikochik PJ, Miller SJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:2870–2871. [PubMed: 20158213] c) Karnik AV, Kamath SS. J. Org. Chem. 2007; 72:7435–7438. [PubMed: 17713948] d) Karnik AV, Kamath SS. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 2008; 19:45–48.e) Chaume G, Simon J, Caupène C, Lensen N, Miclet E, Brigaud T. J. Org. Chem. 2013; 78:10144–10153. [PubMed: 24032630]
- [15]. Hsin L-W, Chang L-T, Rothman RB, Dersch CM, Jacobson AE, Rice KC. J. Med. Chem. 2008; 51:2795–2806. [PubMed: 18393401]
- [16]. a) Zhu S-Z. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1994; 1:2077–2081.b) Suárez JR, Trastoy B, Pérez-Ojeda ME, Marín-Barrios R, Chiara JL. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010; 352:2515–2520.
- [17]. Jordis, U.; Phopase, JB. EP 1 826 199 A1. 2007.
- [18]. Daus, ED.; Peng, W-J.; Rand, CL. WO 2005110986 A1. 2005.

Scheme 1.

Kinetic resolution of amine **1** with stoichiometric acylating agents.

Scheme 2.

Synthesis of acyl hydroxamate **3**. DIC = *N,N'*-diisopropylcarbodiimide.

Figure 1.

Proposed transition state model for kinetic resolution using **3**. See the Supporting Information for alternative approach trajectories.

Table 1

Screening of leaving groups on stoichiometric reagents.^[a]

entry	stoichiometric reagent	s	conv.	dr _{amide} ^[b]	er _{amine}
1		30	50%	92:8	92:8
2		1	50%	50:50 ^[c]	50:50
3		9	32%	86:14 ^[c]	67:33
4		24	40%	93:7	79:21
5		8	40%	84:16 ^[c]	73:27
6		2	36%	66:34 ^[c]	59:41

entry	stoichiometric reagent	s	conv.	dr _{amide} ^[b]	er _{amine}
7		1	50%	50:50 ^[c]	50:50

^[a] Selectivity factor (s) and calculated conversion (conv.) were determined by Kagan's equation (see reference 6).

^[b] See the Supporting Information for the determination of diastereomeric ratios,

^[c] Epimerized amide products were observed (<10% for entries 2, 3, and 5; >30% for entries 6 and 7). See the Supporting Information for details.

Table 2Screening of chiral acyl groups (Acyl*) on stoichiometric reagents.^[a]

entry	Acyl*	s	conv.	dr _{amide}	er _{amine}
1		24	40%	93:7	79:21
2		3	24%	69:31	56:44
3 ^[b]		11	36%	12:88 ^[c]	29:71
4		6	35%	81:19	67:33
5		3	38%	71:29	63:37
6		1	50%	55:45	55:45

entry	Acyl*	s	conv.	dr _{amide}	er _{amine}
7		-2 ^[d]	18%	41:59	48:52

^[a] Selectivity factor (s) and calculated conversion (conv.) were determined by Kagan's equation (see reference 6).

^[b] α -(R)-Fluoroacyl hydroxamate (91% ee) was used.

^[c] See the Supporting Information for the determination of diastereomeric ratios.

^[d] Opposite relative diastereoiduction was observed.

Table 3Kinetic resolutions using acyl hydroxamate **3**.^[a]

entry	substrate	s	conv.	dr _{amide} ^[b]	er _{amine}
1		12	39%	88:12 ^[c]	74:26
2		22	43%	92:8 ^[c]	82:18
3		24	40%	93:7	79:21
4		27	38%	94:6	77:23
5		18	36%	92:8 ^[c]	74:26

^[a] Selectivity factor (s) and calculated conversion (conv.) were determined by Kagan's equation (see reference 6).^[b] See the Supporting Information for the determination of diastereomeric ratios.^[c] Epimerized amide products were observed (<5%). See the Supporting Information for details.