Published in final edited form as:

Am J Emerg Med. 2014 August ; 32(8): 917–922. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2014.05.012.

Using Discrete Event Computer Simulation to Improve Patient Flow in a Ghanaian Acute Care Hospital

Allyson M. Best, AB,

University of Cincinnati, College of Medicine

Cinnamon A. Dixon, DO, MPH,

Division of Emergency Medicine; Center for Global Health, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, University of Cincinnati, 3333 Burnet Ave. MLC 2008, Cincinnati, OH 45229, USA

W. David Kelton, PhD,

Department of Operations, Business Analytics and Information Systems, University of Cincinnati, 521 Carl H. Lindner Hall, 2925 Campus Green Dr., Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA

Christopher J. Lindsell, PhD, and

Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Cincinnati, 231 Albert Sabin Way ML 0769, Cincinnati, OH 45267-0769, USA

Michael J. Ward, MD, MBA

Department of Emergency Medicine, Vanderbilt University, 1313 21st Ave, Nashville, TN 37232, (615) 936-8379 (office), (615) 936-3754 (fax)

Michael J. Ward: mward04@gmail.com

Abstract

Objectives—Crowding and limited resources have increased the strain on acute care facilities and emergency departments (EDs) worldwide. These problems are particularly prevalent in developing countries. Discrete event simulation (DES) is a computer-based tool that can be used to estimate how changes to complex healthcare delivery systems, such as EDs, will affect operational performance. Using this modality, our objective was to identify operational interventions that could potentially improve patient throughput of one acute care setting in a developing country.

Methods—We developed a simulation model of acute care at a district level hospital in Ghana to test the effects of resource-neutral (e.g. modified staff start times and roles) and resource-additional (e.g. increased staff) operational interventions on patient throughput. Previously captured, de-identified time-and-motion data from 487 acute care patients were used to develop and test the model. The primary outcome was the modeled effect of interventions on patient length of stay (LOS).

Correspondence to: Michael J. Ward, mward04@gmail.com.

Conflict of Interest: None

Authors report no potential, perceived, or real conflict of interest and do not have any additional disclosures.

Results—The base-case (no change) scenario had a mean LOS of 292 minutes (95% CI 291, 293). In isolation, neither adding staffing, changing staff roles, nor varying shift times affected overall patient LOS. Specifically, adding two registration workers, history takers, and physicians resulted in a 23.8 (95% CI 22.3, 25.3) minute LOS decrease. However, when shift start-times were coordinated with patient arrival patterns, potential mean LOS was decreased by 96 minutes (95% CI 94, 98); and with the simultaneous combination of staff roles (Registration and History-taking) there was an overall mean LOS reduction of 152 minutes (95% CI 150, 154).

Conclusions—Resource-neutral interventions identified through DES modeling have the potential to improve acute care throughput in this Ghanaian municipal hospital. DES offers another approach to identifying potentially effective interventions to improve patient flow in emergency and acute care in resource-limited settings.

Keywords

Discrete event simulation; international emergency medicine; global health; patient flow

Introduction

The challenges of increasing patient volume and decreasing resources facing emergency departments (EDs) in the United States^{1,2,3} are globally ubiquitous, contributing to the current worldwide health care crisis of supply and demand mismatch.^{5,6,7} Developing countries, in particular, are challenged with patient overcrowding, extreme resource limitations, immature emergency care infrastructure, limited training programs,⁸ and healthcare workforce shortages.⁹

Ghana is a sub-saharan African country in which patient demand outpaces healthcare capacity. Although most Ghanaian hospitals are district level hospitals without formal EDs, many of these hospitals have acute care processes.⁸ The majority of these hospitals have unscheduled visits for acute care throughout the day. Differing from the care in the United States, priority is often given on a first come, first serve basis and there is limited to no formal triage system. This system poses substantial risk of long delays in care despite degree of illness. Contemporary data from a time-and-motion study conducted in one such hospital found that patients experienced long wait times for evaluation and disposition, independent of their clinical status.¹⁰ Similar to the United States, these health care settings also seek innovative operational interventions in an attempt to improve patient flow and outcomes.⁸

Operations management tools familiar in industrial engineering are being effectively used in healthcare to enhance use of limited resources and improve system efficiency.^{7,8,11} Discrete event simulation (DES) is a computer-based methodology capable of modeling complex healthcare delivery systems like EDs. These models can be used to test common and system-stressing scenarios, and to identify strategies to enhance efficiency.^{11,12} DES allows users to estimate the likely impact of operational changes prior to expending resources to implement those changes.¹³ When examining international healthcare systems, it is critical to test operational changes within the limits of the individual system so as to avoid over-extending the generalizability of operational changes tried and tested in the United States. Therefore, DES is an especially promising modality to use in developing countries, where patient

demand often outstrips medical system capacity and low-cost approaches to improve healthcare delivery are essential. DES could be used to identify those resource-neutral strategies most likely to improve patient care in these health systems by testing interventions in safe, simulated, and customized operating environments.

Our goal was to develop a DES model of acute care process in one Ghanaian municipal hospital and compare the effect of operational interventions on patient flow. We hypothesized that interventions that matched demand (i.e. patient arrival) with capacity, without increasing resources, would have improved patient flow.

Methods

Study Design, Setting and Population

The model created in this study depicts a district level hospital in Kintampo, Ghana (Figure 1). This hospital serves an acute, unscheduled patient population. Unlike the United States, the main acute care facility operates only during daytime hours. Typical acute care flow consists of patients arriving and queuing for registration, where the patients register and are given their medical record to carry. Following registration, patients queue for the history-taking station, where limited vitals (blood pressure and temperature), and the chief complaint are documented. After history-taking, patients queue outside of one of four consultation rooms, where a medical provider (physician or medical assistant) is stationed. The medical evaluation occurs in one of these rooms and depending upon the diagnosis and/or time of day, the patient is sent for ancillary testing (labs or radiology) or discharged to the dispensary (i.e., pharmacy) to have a prescription filled and subsequently sent home. If a patient is sent for ancillary testing, there is either a second medical consultation with subsequent disposition or the ancillary testing site may send the patient directly to the dispensary for medication provision and discharged home (if the consultation rooms have closed). Patients can be admitted at any point during the hospital course; however, admission commonly occurs after the first medical consult. Patients receiving scheduled parental medications could bypass history-taking and consultation rooms and go directly to the injection room. Those patients seeking care during off hours or still in queue after consultation room closures may not be evaluated and may not have their medical evaluation and care completed.

For this analysis, de-identified, observational data were used from a previously conducted quality improvement time-and-motion study.¹⁰ Our Institutional Review Board determined the current study to be exempt from oversight since the data were permanently de-identified. Data included timestamps for when patients entered and exited the various hospital stations during their acute care course. The principal investigator of the prior data collection study served as a member of this study group, providing guidance on hospital operations (ensuring the integrity of the model), and suggestions for operational interventions that could be feasible in this specific clinical environment.

Measurements and Model Development

Operational data were available for 564 subjects over a five-day period; partial or complete flow data were available for 487 of the 564 subjects. As the hospital does not have electronic records, information verifying that these five days were representative of, as opposed to an aberration from, normal clinic conditions is not readily available. However, data collected spanned over 60 hours and there is no apparent reason to believe that this period would differ significantly from any other five day period. Patient flow data were analyzed using Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington) to determine the acute care path taken by each patient. Potential care paths included multiple combinations of the following stations: registration; history-taking; consultation; injection room; laboratory; radiography; and dispensary. All possible pathways through the hospital were used to structure the flow patterns for the clinical environment. The probabilities associated with a patient taking each path were calculated.

Since data were not complete for every patient and variability occurred in flow routes, we used the following approach with existing data to determine patient paths. First, when a patient's path was not indicated by location, arrival times at the various stations were used to define the path. Second, when there was no indication of a patient's next stop, the patient was assumed to have exited the system. Last, when there were conflicting indications of a patient's path, timestamps were used to determine the order and subsequent path.

The observational data were used to parameterize the DES model which was built using Arena Simulation Software v12 (Rockwell Automation, Milwaukee, WI). Patient arrivals were modeled using a non-stationary Poisson process since hourly arrival rates were not constant and varied over the course of a day.¹⁵ Mean arrival rates per hour were calculated across the observational period to determine arrival patterns. Probability distributions were fit to service intervals (the amount of time necessary to complete a task, e.g. perform radiography) using the Arena Input Analyzer. We used the gamma distribution family as this was found to fit the probability distributions of the measured times well using goodness of fit tests.

Given the acute care process of this hospital is closed in the evening and starts without roomed patients each day, the simulation study began with no patients present in rooms. Simulated patients entered the model according to patient arrival patterns described above. Consistent with the hospital's policies, priority was based upon a first come, first served model, rather than a traditional triage strategy.¹⁶ Staffing schedules were used to specify when clinical and non-clinical staff were available to provide patient care services. The simulation stopped accepting new patients when registration closed at 4:00pm and ended at 6:00pm consistent with the practice pattern of the clinic. We defined a patient not receiving complete care as a patient who had not completed their predetermined route by the time the simulation concluded.

Outcomes

For each scenario, the primary outcome was the length of stay (LOS) – defined as the time (in minutes) between a patient's first recorded arrival at the hospital and their recorded

departure from the system after evaluation and care. Patients who were turned away or did not receive complete care due to the closures were not included in LOS calculations.

Analysis

To assess the validity of our model, we compared the overall LOS for the empirical data to the simulation model output. We also compared the mean and median LOS between simulated and empirical data.

To understand the uncertainty around our model estimates of LOS, we replicated the model using random draws to know how long a specific task takes to be completed. Since randomness occurs in each model run due to the use of probability distributions, additional replication allows the calculation of uncertainty. Each replication of the model generates an estimated mean LOS for that run, and conducting multiple replications allows the calculation of the mean of the individual replications. We determined the number of replications needed for the simulation model by targeting the precision of the LOS confidence interval (CI). Our goal was to achieve a 95% CI half-width of less than 1% of the mean LOS. As a rule of thumb, with 300 replications the central limit theorem is invoked and we have a normal distribution so the use of means to compare time-based data was justified. Initially, we conducted 10 simulations and calculated the need for 300 independent and identically distributed replications to be run. Upon running 300 replications for the base-case scenario, we were able to reduce the half-width confidence interval to 0.28% of the mean LOS.

Variance reduction techniques were employed to improve precision of our results and to allow for comparison of different scenarios.¹⁷ We employed common random numbers with synchronization to ensure that simulated patients were the same across different scenarios (i.e., they arrived at the same time, went to the same care stations, and spent the same amount of time at each care station in different scenarios). Thus, any differences between scenarios would be attributable to operational policies rather than random variation.

Simulations of the current system without any changes were run as the base-case model. The results of this allowed us to assess the model validity in comparison to the empirical data obtained by direct time-and-motion observations, and provided a baseline against which we could compare operational changes.

Staffing and operational policy changes were then incorporated into the model. First, since adding extra resources (i.e. staff and clinician hours) is often a typical approach to solving flow problems, we explored the effect of increased staffing hours on patient throughput. Specifically, we examined the effect of the following scenarios in which one or two additional staff were added to the existing work schedule: 1) registration workers, 2) history takers, and 3) physicians. Second, we used a modified staff and clinician scheduling policy in which staff and clinician arrival times at the hospital were coordinated with patient demand (i.e., arrivals). Therefore, we staggered arrivals of staff and clinicians to better match patient arrival patterns, while keeping shift lengths and total number of hours the same (unless there were added staff). In particular, we moved the shifts of staff and clinicians earlier in the day when patient flow was higher. We made each shift time change

in isolation from other staff members. Finally, given the distinct roles of registration and history-taking, we explored the possibility of combining these positions into a single role using the same combined task duration. Since each task typically takes only a few minutes and the combined role would consist of entering a patient into the system, documenting a chief complaint and taking the limited vitals (blood pressure and temperature), we determined this intervention to be theoretically possible. By doing so, we were able to evaluate the operational benefit of removing an additional waiting period for a separate staff member and its potential effect on patient flow.

A total of 15 scenarios were identified (Table 1) and simulated with 300 replications. We selected these 15 scenarios that represented feasible scenarios given the existing resources, commonly recommended strategies, and hypothesized strategies given the existing resources. The 15 scenarios represent the base-case (1 scenario), the addition of 1 or 2 of each staff member in isolation (6 scenarios), in total (2 scenarios), changing shift start times in isolation (3 scenarios), in total (1 scenario), combination of history and registration workers (1 scenario), and combining history and registration workers with shift changes (1 scenario). We compared the scenarios to the base-case using an established method for selecting the best simulation system when there are a large number of alternatives.¹⁸ We calculated the difference from the base-case scenario and the 95% CI.

Results

Model Validation

The model output from the base-case scenario were compared with those from the data collected from the hospital in Table 2. Mean and median LOS were no different from simulated output. Additionally, mean patient arrivals for simulation data (112.78, 95% CI 112, 114) were in the range of the daily values from the empirical data. We concluded that the model was representative of the existing environment and moved forward with scenario testing.

Main results

Peak arrival time for patients was between 7am and 9am. A significant disparity was noted between peak staffing and patient arrival times in the base-case (Figure 2a). As shown in the figure, patient arrivals occur prior to any staff arrivals and in fact peak while only one registration worker is present creating a substantial queue for the history workers and physicians. One intervention to the system involved increasing staffing (Figure 2b). Model modifications to the system showed improved matching of staff with patient arrivals (Figure 2c). Most improvement in the matching of staff to arrivals was seen when combining registration and history-taking tasks (Figure 2d)

Results for each scenario are given in Table 1. Figure 3 provides the estimated mean LOS for each operational scenario. The addition of resources (increased staffing) minimally improved LOS or even worsened LOS, as noted in the case of the history-taking shifts. While most resource-additional scenarios resulted in only marginal improvements in patient LOS, the addition of up to two registration staff, two history-taking staff, and two medical

providers (an additional 42 hours of staffing total) resulted in the largest decrease in mean patient LOS of 23.8 (95% CI 22.3, 25.3) minutes among resource-additional scenarios. When schedule modifications for all three staffing resources were coordinated with patient arrivals, mean LOS was reduced by 95.9 (95% CI 94.3, 97.5) minutes. Combining registration and history-taking into one single role with simultaneous coordination of staffing and patient arrivals resulted in a reduction in mean patient LOS by 152.0 (95% CI 150.4, 153.6) minutes.

Discussion

Simulating the acute care process at one Ghanaian district level hospital strongly suggests that matching staff resources with patient arrival patterns can result in potentially substantial patient throughput improvements as compared with simply adding resources (e.g. staff and medical providers). This finding underscores the benefit of modeling operational changes prior to implementation, especially in resource-limited settings, which includes both developed and developing countries. Such an approach allows for the identification of which strategies are most likely to be effective and the potential magnitude of effect. Further, this study underscores the benefit of using limited resources in a strategic approach that matches demand with capacity. This policy, rather than adding the most expensive resource (i.e., staffing) was found to have the greatest reduction in overall throughput.

Our study also identified potential resource-neutral strategies that could enhance the performance of the system. Combining staff responsibilities from two positions (registration and history-taking) into a single position, along with coordinating staffing with patient arrivals, resulted in the largest reduction in LOS. Despite the theoretical nature of this combined registration/history-taking position, this example further emphasized the value of computer-based simulation by allowing us to ask “what-if” questions for situations that might challenge current operational constraints.

Similar to our study, prior research has used DES to model and examine potential interventions to healthcare settings to inform future implementations. For example, one study used DES to prioritize interventions and identified that decreasing boarding time would have a larger effect on LOS than adding beds.¹⁴ Another study found that moving the time that elective cardiac catheterizations would have a larger effect on ED boarding for telemetry beds than building additional beds.¹⁹ DES has also been used in international settings comparable to the acute care hospital used in this study by simulating the efficiency of HIV screening in outpatient health services.²⁰ Beyond the evaluation of potential policy changes, DES can help to guide implementations in a variety of complex healthcare settings evaluating nurse scheduling, LOS, patient satisfaction, and need for operational interventions.^{21, 22, 23, 24}

While this study provides useful information about system changes in one Ghanaian acute care hospital, the true value of our findings can only be realized with implementation and subsequent evaluation. Operational changes require close collaboration with members of the Ghanaian healthcare team to best determine what changes appear beneficial in the structured simulation environment versus what is feasible in real-life conditions, considering practical

implementation and cultural norms. To enhance the system, we propose working with Ghanaian healthcare administrative leaders to solicit feedback regarding other possible interventions that may not have been realized in our simulation model. Further, while our approach is adequate for enacting one-time process changes, training the Ghanaian healthcare team in operational principles (e.g. coordination of demand and capacity) would elicit greater potential for ongoing system performance improvement.

Last, our simulation underscores the potential performance benefits of planning and modeling healthcare operations prior to implementation of significant operational changes so as to maximize the efficiency of limited resources. The benefit of this methodology exists both in the United States and in international settings. While our study was designed to evaluate flow in one Ghanaian acute care hospital, lessons learned from applying DES can be applied in other domestic or global acute care settings.

Limitations

While our results suggest that DES can be an important tool for estimating potential effects of operational policy changes and coordination of staffing with patient arrivals, there are several important limitations to discuss. First, data used to parameterize our simulation model came from a limited sample. Although the data had been collected during a typical work week at this hospital, it represented a small sampling period and it is unknown if these data were representative of normal operations and potential seasonality changes. Additionally, data entailing patient-dependent factors such as patient acuity and chief complaint were not available, so it is unknown whether these data represented the hospital's typical patient population. Despite these limitations, we used probability distributions to model service times, allowing the system to retain its inherent random variation.

Further, these data were insufficient to quantify the number of patients who left without receiving complete care; which represents a potential limitation to both the model validation as well as the proposed changes. The number of patients not receiving complete care is a critical indicator of system performance that should be addressed when implementing a new system, and the suggested scenarios do not alleviate the problem of patients leaving before they receive care. One possible approach to this problem is to implement set closing times to deter patients from arriving when they are not likely to receive complete care. However, such a policy still could not guarantee complete care for everyone in an environment in which there are set closing hours due to the variability of time it takes to care for patients.

The operating characteristics of the facility (e.g. opening and closing hours, patient arrival patterns and staff start and stop times) varied daily. Therefore, when building our model scenarios, we had to create a "typical day" using the estimated arrival patterns and task durations calculated from the empirical data. While not exactly duplicative of the existing environment, we used simplifying assumptions to facilitate model building and scenario comparison to estimate the likely difference between changes in operational policies.

Finally, results of our simulation model provide only an estimation of how changes could potentially affect this Ghanaian municipal hospital's operations. Actual implementation of any of the suggested changes might be affected by unmeasured and uncontrolled factors

such as cultural norms, staff understanding and buy-in, and other implementation barriers. Therefore prior to implementation it would be important to have key informant meetings to see what is feasible in this setting.

Conclusion

Using DES, we identified resource-neutral capacity allocation interventions that could potentially improve patient flow in one Ghanaian acute care setting. Specifically, interventions designed to maximize the efficiency of existing resources to meet patient demand could significantly decrease patient LOS in this already overextended healthcare setting. Expanding the use of computer-based modeling tools such as DES to other resource-restrained settings has the potential to accelerate the identification of effective interventions, test implementation in simulated environments, and improve clinical operations domestically and abroad – thus helping to address the global acute care challenge of supply-demand mismatch.

Acknowledgments

Grants/Financial Support:

This study was supported in part by NIH grant T35 DK 60444. Michael J. Ward, MD, MBA was supported by a research fellowship from the Emergency Medicine Foundation. Dr. Ward is also supported by a K12 grant from the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (K12HL109019).

The authors gratefully acknowledge the staff at Kintampo District Hospital for providing the data used in this study.

References

1. Trendwatch Chartbook. Trends Affecting Hospitals and Health Systems: appendix 3, table 3.3. American Hospital Association; 2013. <http://www.aha.org/research/reports/tw/chartbook/2013/13chartbook-full.pdf> [Accessed March 29, 2014.]
2. Hsia RY, Kellermann AL, Shen Y. Factors Associated With Closures of Emergency Departments in the United States. JAMA. 2011; 305(19):1978–1985. [PubMed: 21586713]
3. Pitts SR, Niska RW, Xu J, Burt CW. National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 2006 emergency department summary. Natl Health Stat Report. 2008; (7):1–38. [PubMed: 18958996]
4. Report to the Chairman, Committee on Finance, U.S. Senate: Hospital Emergency Departments: Crowding Continues to Occur, and Some Patients Wait Longer Than Recommended Time Frame. U.S. Government Accountability Office; Apr. 2009 <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d09347.pdf> [Accessed March 29, 2014.]
5. Pines JM, Hilton JA, Weber EJ, Alkemade AJ, Shabanah HA, Anderson PD, et al. International Perspectives on Emergency Department Crowding. Acad Emerg Med. 2011; 18(12):1358–1370.
6. Bittencourt RJ, Hortale VA. Interventions to solve overcrowding in hospital emergency services: a systematic review. Cad Saude Publica. 2009; 25:1439–54. [PubMed: 19578565]
7. Wiler JL, Griffey RT, Olsen T. Review of Modeling Approaches for Emergency Department Patient Flow and Crowding Research. Acad Emerg Med. 2011; 18:1371–1379. [PubMed: 22168201]
8. Carter PM, Desmond JS, Akanbobnaab C, Oteng RA, Rominski SD, Barsan WG, et al. Optimizing clinical operations as part of a global emergency medicine initiative in Kumasi, Ghana: Application of lean manufacturing principles to low resource health systems. Acad Emerg Med. Mar; 2012 19(3): 338–348.
9. The World Health Report 2006: Working together for health. Geneva: WHO; 2006.
10. Dixon CA, Punguyire D. Patient Flow Analysis In A Ghanaian Municipal Hospital. Acad Emerg Med. 2011; 18(5):S634.

11. Reid, PP.; Compton, WD.; Grossman, JH.; Fanjiang, G. National Academy of Engineering., Institute of Medicine (U.S.), et al. Building a Better Delivery System: A New Engineering/Health Care Partnership. Washington, D.C: National Academies Press; 2005.
12. Hoot NR, Leblanc LJ, Jones I, et al. Forecasting emergency department crowding: a discrete event simulation. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2008; 52:116–25. [PubMed: 18387699]
13. Abo-Hamad W, Arisha A. Simulation-based framework to improve patient experience in an emergency department. *Eur J Oper Res.* 2013; 224(1):154–166.
14. Khare RK, Powell ES, Reinhardt G, Lucenti M. Adding more beds to the emergency department or reducing admitted patient boarding times: which has a more significant influence on emergency department congestion? *Ann Emerg Med.* 2009; 53:575–85. [PubMed: 18783852]
15. Kelton, WD.; Sadowski, RP.; Swets, NB. Simulation with Arena. 5. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2010.
16. Gilboy, N.; Tanabe, P.; Travers, D.; Rosenau, AM. Emergency Severity Index: A Triage Tool for Emergency Department Care. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2012.
17. Law, AM.; Kelton, WD. Simulation Modeling and Analysis. 3. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2000.
18. Nelson BL, Swann J, Goldsman D, Song W. Simple Procedures for Selecting the Best Simulation System when the Number of Alternatives is Large. *Operations Research.* 2001; 49:950–963.
19. Levin SR, Dittus R, Aronsky D, Weinger MB, Han J, Boord J, France D. Optimizing cardiology capacity to reduce emergency department boarding: a systems engineering approach. *Am Heart J.* 2008; 156:1202–1209. [PubMed: 19033021]
20. Deo S, Topp S, Garcia A, Soldner M, Yagci Sokat K, et al. Modeling the Impact of Integrating HIV and Outpatient Health Services on Patient Waiting Times in an Urban Health Clinic in Zambia. *PLoS ONE.* 2012; 7(4):e35479.10.1371/journal.pone.0035479 [PubMed: 22545108]
21. Draeger, M. An emergency department simulation model used to evaluate alternative nurse staffing and patient population scenarios. Presented at the IEEE Winter Simulation Conference; Piscataway, NJ. 1992;
22. Paul JA, Lin L. Models for improving patient throughput and waiting at hospital emergency departments. *J Emerg Med.* 2012; 43:1119–26. [PubMed: 22902245]
23. Rohleder T, Bailey B, Crum B, Faber T, Johnson B, Montgomery L, et al. Improving a patient appointment call center at Mayo Clinic. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assistance.* 2013; 26:714–728.
24. Day TE, Al-Roubaie AR, Goldlust EJ. Decreased length of stay after addition of healthcare provider in emergency department triage: a comparison between computer-simulated and real-world interventions. *Emerg Med J.* 2013; 30:134–138. [PubMed: 22398851]

Figure 1.

Simplified model of patient flow in an acute care hospital in Ghana. This diagram represents possible paths taken by patients presenting for care.

Notes:

¹Patients' chief complaint and basic vitals (blood pressure and temperature) are collected

²Patients assessed by a medical provider; often assessed prior to laboratory studies, then again afterwards when plan of care made.

³Patients receiving scheduled medications (e.g., tuberculosis treatment).

Figure 2.

Patient arrival rates compared to staffing and physician schedules in (a) the current system, (b) the additional staffing system, (c) the altered (staggered) staffing schedule system with separate registration and history takers, and (d) the combined registration/history system

Figure 3.

Mean patient LOS by scenario. Scenarios 1–15 are described in Table 1. Boxes represent 95% CIs for each scenario, and whiskers represent the minimum and maximum mean LOS across the 300 simulation replications.

Table 1

Scenario characteristics tested in the simulation model, total patient LOS and mean difference in patient LOS from base case.

Scenario Number	Scenario	Additional Resource Hours	Total LOS in Minutes (95% CI)	Difference in Minutes from base case (95% CI)
1	Base Case	none	292 (291, 293)	-
2	Add 1 registration worker *	7.5	286 (285, 287)	-5.81 (-7.1, -4.52)
3	Add 2 registration workers *	15	286 (285, 287)	-6.35 (-7.67, -5.03)
4	Add 1 history taker *	6.5	288 (287, 289)	-4.3 (-5.59, -3.01)
5	Add 2 history takers *	13	287 (286, 288)	-5.38 (-6.68, -4.08)
6	Add 1 physician *	7	291 (290, 292)	-1.65 (-2.85, -1.65)
7	Add 2 physicians *	14	290 (289, 291)	-2.2 (-3.42, -2.2)
8	Add 1 of all resources *	21 (7.5 registration, 6.5 history, 7	278 (276, 280)	-14.2 (-16.19, -12.21)
9	Add 2 of all resources *	42(15 registration, 13 history, 14 physician)	269 (268, 270)	-23.8 (-25.31, -22.29)
10	Change registration shifts **	none	292 (291, 293)	-0.6 (-1.89, 0.69)
11	Change history shifts **	none	323 (322, 324)	30.7 (29.37, 32.03)
12	Change physician shifts **	none	279 (278, 280)	-14.0 (-15.69, -12.31)
13	Combine registration and history ***	none	267 (266., 268)	-26.1 (-27.81, - 24.39)
14	Change all shifts **	none	197 (196, 198)	-95.9 (-97.54, -94.26)
15	Combine registration/history and change physician shifts ****	none	141 (140, 142)	-152.0 (-153.63, -150.37)

* Resource-additional changes consisted of adding the specified number of shifts to the model using time schedules for staffing

** Resource-neutral shift changes consisted of moving shifts earlier in the morning to better align with increased patient arrival patterns

*** Combining registration and history-taking consisted of functionally combining the role of registration and history worker into one position in such a way that each registration-history worker would be able to perform both functions for each patient

**** Consisted of combining registration and history workers as well as making resource-neutral shift changes for physicians

Table 2

Comparison of the original hospital time-and-motion data with simulated base-case scenario. All times are in minutes.

Outcome Metric	Hospital Collected Data					Simulation Model Output Data	
	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Daily	
Mean LOS	311 (293, 329)	292 (274, 310)	276 (261, 291)	296 (273, 319)	334 (312, 356)	292 (291, 293)	
Median LOS	333 (316, 348)	296 (272, 308)	280 (267, 294)	311 (264, 347)	350 (315, 371)	295 (294, 296)	
Number of Patient Arrivals	155	107	82	112	108	113 (112, 114)	