Published in final edited form as:

Nature. 2010 August 19; 466(7309): 992–995. doi:10.1038/nature09295.

A mechanically stabilized receptor–ligand flex-bond important in the vasculature

Jongseong Kim¹, Cheng-Zhong Zhang¹, Xiaohui Zhang^{1,†}, and Timothy A. Springer¹

¹Immune Disease Institute, Children's Hospital Boston and Department of Pathology, Harvard Medical School, 3 Blackfan Circle, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA

Abstract

Haemostasis in the arteriolar circulation mediated by von Willebrand factor (VWF) binding to platelets is an example of an adhesive interaction that must withstand strong hydrodynamic forces acting on cells. VWF is a concatenated, multifunctional protein that has binding sites for platelets as well as subendothelial collagen^{1,2}. Binding of the A1 domain in VWF to the glycoprotein Ib a subunit (GPIb_a) on the surface of platelets mediates crosslinking of platelets to one another and the formation of a platelet plug for arterioles^{3,4}. The importance of VWF is illustrated by its mutation in von Willebrand disease, a bleeding diathesis¹. Here, we describe a novel mechanochemical specialization of the A1–GPIb_a bond for force-resistance. We have developed a method that enables, for the first time, repeated measurements of the binding and unbinding of a receptor and ligand in a single molecule (ReaLiSM). We demonstrate two states of the receptor–ligand bond, that is, a flex-bond. One state is seen at low force; a second state begins to engage at 10 pN with a ~20-fold longer lifetime and greater force resistance. The lifetimes of the two states, how force exponentiates lifetime, and the kinetics of switching between the two states are all measured. For the first time, single-molecule measurements on this system are in agreement with bulk phase measurements. The results have important implications not only for how platelets bound to VWF are able to resist force to plug arterioles, but also how increased flow activates platelet plug formation.

In the A1–GPIb_a complex, the VWF A1 domain interacts with the concave face of the leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain of GPIb_a^{5,6} (Fig. 1). The N terminus of GPIb_a is within 2.2 nm of the C terminus of VWF A1, and neither terminus contributes to the complex interface. Therefore, we were able to link these termini with a 43-residue polypeptide (Fig. 1c).

©2010 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved

Author Information: Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints; Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.A.S. (springer@idi.harvard.edu).

[†]Present address: Bioengineering Program and Mechanical Engineering and Mechanics Dept, Lehigh University, 19 Memorial Drive West, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015a, USA.

Supplementary Information: is linked to the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.

Author Contributions: T.A.S. designed and supervised the project. X.Z. cloned the ReaLiSM construct. J.K. designed experiments and collected and analysed data. C.-Z.Z. analysed data. T.A.S, J.K. and C.-Z.Z. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

DNA handles of 802 base pairs were specifically attached to cysteine residues added to the C terminus of GPIba and N terminus of A1 (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 2). The handles were in turn attached to beads held by a micropipette and laser trap (Fig. 1d) in a ‘mini laser-tweezers’ instrument suspended from a bungee cord⁷ (personal communication, S. B. Smith). By moving the laser trap, the A1–GPIba ReaLiSM construct was subjected to cycles of increasing and decreasing force. In each cycle, an abrupt increase in the length of the tether between the two beads was observed during pulling, and an abrupt contraction was observed during relaxation (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 3).

The abrupt extension events during unbinding were fit to the wormlike chain (WLC) model (Fig. 1f), which yielded a persistence length of 0.8 ± 0.2 nm and contour length of 18.5 ± 0.8 nm. The contraction events during rebinding fell on the same curve (Fig. 1f). The expected contour length is calculated as the linker length of 43 residues times an extension of 3.8 Å per residue (16.3 nm), plus the N- to C-terminal distances in the A1 (1.9 nm) and GPIba (7.0 nm) crystal structures, minus the distance between the N terminus of A1 and C terminus of GPIba in the complex structure (7.0 nm). The close correspondence of the calculated distance of 18.2 nm to the experimentally determined distance of 18.5 ± 0.8 nm provides strong support that single A1–GPIba binding and unbinding events are being measured. Furthermore, a 26-residue linker yielded a contour length of 10.6 nm, also in good agreement with calculation (Supplementary Fig. 4). Moreover, single-molecule tethers were distinguished by a 67-pN plateau in their force-extension curves at the B- to S-DNA transition⁸.

The force at which A1 and GPIba dissociated was determined at different laser trap pulling rates (nm s^{-1}) which yielded different force loading rates (pN s^{-1}) (Fig. 2a–d). At low pulling rates, bond rupture events had a narrow, unimodal distribution (Fig. 2a, b). At higher pulling rates, the distribution of dissociation forces was clearly bimodal (Fig. 2c, d). The peak at lower force (Fig. 2c, d) corresponded to the single peak at lower pulling rates (Fig. 2a, b); the shape of the peak and its shift to higher force with increasing pulling rate fit predictions for dynamic force spectroscopy (curves in Fig. 2)⁹. The second peak also occurred at a slightly higher force at 40 nm s^{-1} than at 20 nm s^{-1} (Fig. 2c, d; note difference in x-axis) and matched predictions (curves in Fig. 2).

Since the lifetime of a bond is a function of the rate at which force is applied⁹, with the Dudko–Hummer–Szabo equation¹⁰, each of the bins of the rupture force histograms shown in Fig. 2a–d was used to estimate lifetimes from the four different pulling rates (different symbols in Fig. 2g). The results demonstrate excellent agreement for the different pulling rates and the robustness of this elegant equation. The kinetics show two distinctive force-dependent lifetimes, or bond dissociation pathways. The first dissociation pathway predominated below 8 pN, whereas above 12 pN a second pathway predominated (Fig. 2g). Indistinguishable results were obtained with a 26-residue linker of distinct sequence (Fig. 2g, Supplementary Fig. 4b, c). Thus, the A1–GPIba bond is a flex-bond, with one state in low force and switching to a second state induced by higher force.

Each pathway was well fit to an exponential decrease of lifetime under tensile force¹¹ (lines, Fig. 2g). The second state has a lower value of σ , the constant that describes how much force

exponentiates k_{off} (Fig. 2g). Thus, switching at higher force occurs to a bond that is more force resistant. The estimate of the off-rate in the absence of force for the pathway at lower force, $k_{1\text{ off}}^0$, is 0.0027 s^{-1} (confidence interval of 0.0011 to 0.0066 s^{-1}), in excellent agreement with bulk phase measurements of ^{125}I -labelled A1 domain dissociation from GPIIb α on agarose beads ($k_{\text{off}} = 0.00366 \pm 0.0002\text{ s}^{-1}$) and intact platelets ($k_{\text{off}} = 0.0038\text{ s}^{-1}$) (Fig. 2g)¹².

In force-clamp experiments, feedback was used to maintain a constant force on the bead in the laser trap. Hopping between the bound and unbound states occurred over a narrow range of forces from 9.55 to 10.58 pN (Fig. 3a–d). At the lower end of this force range A1– GPIIb α was predominantly bound (Fig. 3a), and as force increased the proportion of the unbound state increased (Fig. 3b, c) until the unbound state predominated (Fig. 3d). The receptor–ligand extension measured in force-clamp experiments showed excellent agreement to the WLC fit to force-ramp data (Fig. 1f).

To measure kinetics in force-clamp experiments, periods of 200 to 400 s were segmented into bound and unbound states based on the spatial extensions in the trajectory (Methods). The lifetime in the bound state showed double exponential decay (Fig. 3e–h). We fit this data to the model shown in Fig. 4 (Methods, equations 2–4). These equations encompass the rates of conversion to state 2 (k_{12}) and back conversion to state 1 (k_{21}), as well as $k_{1\text{ off}}$ and $k_{2\text{ off}}$ (Fig. 4). Fits to all four parameters (Supplementary Information, Supplementary Table 1) yielded values for $k_{1\text{ off}}$ and $k_{2\text{ off}}$ that were in excellent agreement with those obtained from the Dudko–Hummer–Szabo equation (inset, Fig. 2g). To obtain values with lower error estimates we also fixed $k_{2\text{ off}}$ at the values determined from the fit to the force-ramp data, because fewer dissociation events occurred through the second pathway at 10 pN (Fig. 3e–h), and fit the other three parameters (Fig. 4, Supplementary Table 2). The estimates show that k_{12} and k_{21} are on the order of 1 s, and both rates trend upward at higher force.

In clinical diagnosis of VWD, ristocetin is used to mimic shear-enhanced activation of VWF¹³. Ristocetin is an antibiotic that adventitiously activates VWF; botrocetin is a snake venom protein that activates VWF by a different mechanism and does not mimic shear (refs 13, 14 and references therein). The force-rupture histogram with ristocetin remained bimodal, with a marked shift of the second peak from 14.8 to 22.4 pN at 40 nm s^{-1} (Fig. 2d, e). In the presence of botrocetin a qualitatively different, unimodal distribution was seen, and the force-rupture peak was shifted to an even higher level of 28.4 pN at 40 nm s^{-1} (Fig. 2f). Transformation of the force-rupture distributions using the Dudko–Hummer–Szabo equation demonstrated good agreement with the exponential dependence of lifetime on force embodied by the Bell equation (Fig. 2h). The kinetics further emphasize the selective strengthening by ristocetin of pathway 2 and demonstrate that both ristocetin and botrocetin decrease exponentiation by force.

We have demonstrated that the bond between the VWF A1 domain and the platelet glycoprotein Ib α -subunit has two states (Fig. 4). Only one pathway was seen at lower force-loading rates, whereas at and above loading rates of 0.9 pN s^{-1} , or at constant force of $\sim 10\text{ pN}$, a second pathway was found, and the force-rupture distribution was bimodal. Agreement between force-ramp and force-clamp experiments was excellent. Moreover, two

linkers of different length and sequence showed no effect on off-rate or switching between states. The rate of switching between the states, k_{12} and k_{21} , was overall increased by force. Thus, tensile force influences the lifetime of an A1–GPIb α bond not only by shifting the equilibrium between the two states, but also by lowering the barrier for conversion between the two states.

Slip- and catch-bonds are defined as bonds with lifetimes that decrease and increase, respectively, with applied force. We find switching between two populations of bonds, each of which is a slip-bond. Since slip- and catch-bonds are defined as opposites of one another¹⁵, we propose a distinct name, the flex-bond. The analogy is to muscles and to adhesion molecules such as selectins and integrins, that have distinct flexed, low-affinity and extended, high-affinity states^{16–19}.

In the ReaLiSM construct, force is applied to the N terminus of A1 and C terminus of GPIb α (Fig. 1c), similarly to physiologic force *in vivo*. When VWF is bound to multiple platelets and /or the vessel wall at a site of hemostasis, force applied to individual A1 domains will be distributed to both their N and C termini, because of the long-range disulphide bond within A1 that connects these termini (Fig. 1a). The GPIb α subunit is linked to the platelet through its C terminus (Fig. 1b), where the hydrodynamic force from the platelet will be applied. The close physical proximity of the receptor and ligand in ReaLiSM is similar to the situation in a multivalent interaction, except A1 and GPIb α remain in proximity because of the linker rather than the presence of interactions between neighbouring A1 domains in VWF and GPIb molecules on the platelet surface.

Above a threshold shear of 5,000 s⁻¹, VWF transitions from a compact to an extended conformation that is active in hemostasis^{2–4,20}. At this shear, the force-loading rate in the largest VWF concatamers is estimated²¹ to be well above the threshold of 0.9 pN s⁻¹ where the second state of the flex-bond is seen, and thus this second state may function early in the initiation of platelet interactions with VWF *in vivo*.

The effect of the flex-bond is to broaden the distribution of forces that A1–GPIb α can withstand by extending the working range of the receptor–ligand bond by about 7 pN (Fig. 2g). This broad force range is important, because the force experienced by the A1–GPIb α bond will vary widely *in vivo*, depending on the length of the VWF multimer, binding to the vessel wall, the number and distribution of bound platelets, and the shear and elongational forces at the site of bleeding.

Our experimental setup offers several advantages over previous biophysical methods of studying receptor–ligand interactions. The receptor and ligand are held away from bead surfaces by DNA handles, reducing artefacts from nonspecific interactions between proteins and beads, or between probes and surfaces. Single tethers are unambiguously distinguished by overstretching of dsDNA around 67 pN. The ReaLiSM system provides further benefits. Previous studies of receptor–ligand interactions were on receptors and ligands immobilized on separate surfaces. Receptor–ligand complexes were formed when two surfaces approached one another, but repeated measurements on the same receptor and ligand pair were impossible. In ReaLiSM, the covalent tether between receptor and ligand not only

enables repeated measurements on a single receptor–ligand complex, but also provides an intrinsic control that single molecule events are being measured, that is, the tether extension upon receptor–ligand dissociation and the contraction upon rebinding. The advantages of ReaLiSM may account for the marked differences in observed off-rates and trends with an earlier AFM study reporting A1–GPIb as a catch-bond²² (Fig. Supplementary Fig. 6), as well as our ability to fit our data to equations, and to find an extrapolated off-rate within 1.5-fold, rather than >1,000-fold, of bulk phase measurements¹² (Fig. Supplementary Fig. 6).

The finding that A1–GPIb α is a flex-bond rather than a catch-bond has important conceptual implications. Shear flow above a threshold of 10,000 s⁻¹ activates binding of platelets to VWF², and this is thought to be related to the mechanism by which increased shear or elongational flow²¹ at sites of arteriolar haemorrhage activates platelet plug formation *in vivo*^{1–4}. Conversion from a compact to an extended conformation of VWF, or conformational changes that remove shielding by neighbouring domains, may expose the A1 domain to activate binding to platelet GPIb α ^{20,23}. Our results are consistent with these mechanisms, and an increase in the rate of bond formation triggered by shear flow²⁴, rather than an alternative mechanism in which a decrease in the rate of bond dissociation would trigger haemostasis²².

Ristocetin is thought to bind near a stretch of C-terminal A1 domain residues in which gain-of-function VWD mutations are found (refs 13, 14 and references therein). Concordance between functional effects of antibodies and mutations indicate that ristocetin, and not botrocetin, induces a conformational state of the A1–GPIb α bond similar to its shear-induced configuration¹³. These findings correlate with our observation that ristocetin selectively stabilizes state 2, and that flex-bond behaviour is seen in ristocetin and not botrocetin.

Although only a single A1–GPIb α state has been seen in crystal structures, mutational data indicate a second, ristocetin- and force-stabilized state. The N-terminal and C-terminal leucine rich repeats of GPIb α (magenta, Fig. 1c), contact A1 in the crystal structure^{5,6}. Although the middle repeats 2–4 (grey, Fig. 1c) make no contacts in the crystal structure and are unimportant in botrocetin-stimulated binding of VWF to GPIb α , they are required for ristocetin-stimulated binding²⁵. Furthermore, the higher the shear, the greater the importance of repeats 2–4 (ref. 26). Thus, ristocetin and tensile force stabilize a second conformational state of the A1–GPIb α complex in which LRR 2–4 of GPIb α seem to contact the A1 domain. This evidence for two conformational states corresponds closely with our observation that the A1–GPIb α bond is a flex-bond with two states. Mutations in the A1 domain in gain-of-function type 2B von Willebrand disease are also indicative of conformational change. These mutations enhance binding of A1 to GPIb α , yet some map to sites that are buried in the A1 domain and may stabilize a conformation that has not yet been seen in crystal structures²⁷. It will be interesting to examine whether gain of function mutations in VWF and GPIb α ¹ affect switching between the two states, and whether structural studies can reveal an extended, as well as the currently known flexed state^{5,6}, of the A1–GPIb α complex.

Methods Summary

ReaLiSM constructs, with and without cysteines at the N and C termini, were purified from HEK293T cell supernatants. Only the construct with cysteines coupled to DNA handles (Supplementary Fig. 2). ReaLiSM DNA handle conjugates at ~ 2 pM were incubated with $4.2 \mu\text{m}$ anti-digoxigenin Fab-beads. Trapped beads were brought close to $2.1 \mu\text{m}$ streptavidin-beads held in a micro-pipette for tether formation. Each tether used for data collection passed a single-molecule test by showing a plateau in the force-extension curve at the B- to S-DNA transition. The instrument comprises a dual-beam counter-propagating optical trap, with optics and fluidics in a miniature $25 \times 29 \times 29$ cm head suspended from a bungee cord. Optical force was measured directly from a difference in light momentum. Measurements were at 500 Hertz. In force-ramp experiments the trap was pulled away from or relaxed towards the fixed bead at a constant speed. In force-clamp mode, force was auto-adjusted by feedback control, with overall equilibration of the laser tweezer in 5 ms.

The lifetime τ of a bond is a function of the rate at which force is applied⁹, enabling τ to be extracted from force rupture histograms¹⁰:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(F) &= \frac{P(\text{rupture above } F)}{\dot{F} \cdot p(\text{rupture at } F)} \\ &= \frac{(h_k/2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^N h_i) \Delta F}{h_k \dot{F} (F_0 + (k-1/2) \Delta F)}\end{aligned}\quad (\text{Dudko} - \text{Hummer} - \text{Szabo equation}) \quad (1)$$

where P (rupture above F) is the total probability that bond rupture occurs above F , p (rupture at F) is the probability density of rupture events at force F , $\dot{F}$ is the force loading rate, F is the bin width of the rupture force histogram that starts at F_0 , h_i is the fraction of ruptures in the i th bin, and i and k are bin numbers.

Full Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.

Methods

Protein expression

The cDNA of human VWF A1 domain (Ile 1262 to Pro 1466 with pre-pro-VWF numbering), and human platelet GPIb α (His 1 to Arg 290) were PCR-amplified, and then used to construct the cDNAs of covalently tethered A1–GPIb α with or without additional cysteines flanking the N and C termini (Supplementary Fig. 2a). The sequence of the peptide linker (TGGPTIKPPKP PKPAPNLLGGPDKTHTKPPKPAPELLGGPGTG) was modified from the hinge regions of murine IgG2a and human IgG1, with Cys residues either removed or substituted with Pro. All Lys residues were followed by Pro; the Lys-Pro sequence is resistant to trypsin cleavage²⁸. The engineered cDNAs were cloned into AgeI and XhoI sites of plasmid pHLsec, which encodes a Kozak sequence, an N-terminal secretion signal sequence, a vector-derived ET sequence, and a C-terminal His₆ tag³¹. A completely different, shorter, 26-residue sequence (GTGENLYFQGG SSSSTTGWRGGHVGT) was used to construct a ReaLiSM to control for the effects of the length and the sequence on

ReaLiSM properties. HEK293T cells were transiently transfected using calcium phosphate or Lipofectamine. Culture supernatants were harvested 3 days after transfection and proteins were purified using Ni-NTA affinity chromatography followed by size-exclusion chromatography in 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 0.02% NP-40 and 5 mM EDTA (Supplementary Fig. 2b, c).

Sample preparation

DNA handles (802 bp) were PCR-amplified using forward primers with a 5'thiol group and reverse primers with either 5'biotin or 5'digoxigenin, and activated with 2,2'-dithiodipyridine (DTDP) as described previously²¹. For protein–DNA coupling, 1 μ M of protein (100 μ l) was incubated in 0.1 mM DTT for 30 min under argon at room temperature, followed by removing DTT with 0.5 ml Zeba desalting columns (Pierce) twice. About 0.1 μ M of protein was allowed to react with 0.1 μ M of each DTDP-activated DNA handle in 20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.01% NP-40 and 1 mM EDTA under argon for 16 h (typically 50 μ l). Protein coupling to DNA handles was assayed by 4–20% native gels (Supplementary Fig. 2d). Material was stored at -80°C .

Carboxyl-polystyrene beads of 2.1 and 4.3 μ m diameter (Spherotech) were washed and resuspended in 0.2 ml of 50 mM 2-(*N*-morpholino) ethanesulphonic acid pH 5.2, 0.05% ProClin 300 (Bangs Laboratories). 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (2 mg in 10 μ l of the same buffer) was added, followed after 5 min by 50 μ g of 5 mg ml⁻¹ streptavidin (Invitrogen) or 1 mg ml⁻¹ affinity-purified sheep anti-digoxigenin Fab (Roche) in PBS. After shaking for 1 h at room temperature, beads were washed five times in PBS and stored at 4 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ in PBS supplemented with 0.02% Tween 20 and 2 mM sodium azide.

Crude *Bothrops jararaca* venom (100 mg, Sigma) in 3 ml 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 and 100 mM NaCl was centrifuged at 15,000g. The supernatant was applied to 1 ml HiTrap Q fast-flow (GE healthcare) and proteins were eluted with a 10 ml gradient of NaCl (0.1–0.46 M) in the buffer over 10 min at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹. Fractions were assayed for agglutination of platelet-rich plasma. The fractions showing highest activity were loaded on size-exclusion chromatography columns with Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare) in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 0.02% Tween 20. The most active fractions were pooled and stored at -80°C . Ristocetin was purchased from Sigma.

Single-molecule force experiments

Appropriate dilutions of the receptor and ligand single molecule (ReaLiSM) construct (about 2 pM) were incubated with polystyrene beads (4.2 μ m) functionalized with anti-digoxigenin polyclonal Fab. A bead trapped in the laser tweezers was brought close to a streptavidin-modified polystyrene bead (2.1 μ m) held by suction in a micropipette. To test for single-molecule tethers, each tether was first examined for a plateau in the force extension curve (overstretching) at ~ 67 pN corresponding to the B- to S-DNA transition. Force was rapidly increased manually (about 80 nm s⁻¹), and as soon as overstretching was seen, force was rapidly lowered to 2 pN. Rapidity was desired to decrease the chance of biotin or digoxigenin dissociation from functionalized beads. Single tethers that passed the

overstretching test, and also showed rip events, were then subjected to cycles of increasing and decreasing forces (force-rip experiments) or constant force (force-clamp experiments).

Alternatively, if a multi tether rather than a single tether was obtained, it was readily identified by a force-extension curve extending to 80 pN without any overstretching plateau. Such tethers were discarded. To search for further tethers, the bead in the trap was either slightly rotated using flow, or a new bead was picked up.

The instrument (ref. 7, personal communication, S. B. Smith, and <http://tweezerslab.unipr.it>) comprises a dual-beam counter-propagating optical trap, with all the optics and fluidics contained in a miniaturized ($25 \times 29 \times 29$ cm) head that is isolated from vibration by suspending it from a single bungee cord. Optical force exerted on the trapped bead was measured in real time as the difference in the light momentum between the incident and output beams, that is, as a difference in the distance-weighted intensity on a position-sensitive photodetector²⁹. Dual trap positions were changed by deflecting two optical fibres with piezo actuators. Trap positions were determined from two position-specific photodetectors. Here the two traps moved together by force feedback, so the two position signals were averaged together. All measurements were recorded at 500 Hz.

Force-rip experiments

Force-rip experiments were carried out by stretching and relaxing the tether between two force values, while moving the trap away from (pulling) or towards (relaxation) the fixed bead held by the micropipette at constant speed ranging from 5 to 40 nm s⁻¹. The distance was measured between two points on the force-trap position curves, from the point just before dissociation to the point when force returned to the same level after dissociation. The trap stiffness does not matter for that measurement because at each force the relaxation of the bead in the trap is the same whether the tether is extended or not. The extra extension in the curves is solely due to unbinding of the receptor and ligand in the ReaLiSM, because the persistence length of the polypeptide linker (~1 nm) is significantly shorter than that of the dsDNA handles (~50 nm). Force loading rates (pN s⁻¹) before each rip-event were estimated from the curve and further averaged for all rupture events at a given pulling rate (nm s⁻¹).

The lifetime τ of a bond is a function of the rate at which force is applied⁹, enabling τ to be extracted from force rupture histograms¹⁰:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(F) &= \frac{P(\text{rupture above } F)}{\dot{F} \cdot p(\text{rupture at } F)} \\ &= \frac{(h_k/2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^N h_i) \Delta F}{h_k \dot{F} (F_0 + (k-1/2) \Delta F)}\end{aligned}\quad (\text{Dudko – Hummer – Szabo equation}) \quad (1)$$

where P (rupture above F) is the total probability that bond rupture occurs above F , p (rupture at F) is the probability density of rupture events at force F , $\dot{F}$ is the force loading rate, F is the bin width of the rupture force histogram that starts at F_0 , h_i is the fraction of ruptures in the i th bin, and i and k are bin numbers. We used this equation to estimate bond lifetime at constant force from the rupture force histogram¹⁰.

The above equation was obtained by inverting the bond rupture force distribution in dynamic force spectroscopy⁹:

$$p(F) = \frac{\exp\left(-\int_0^F \tau(f)^{-1} \dot{F}(f)^{-1} df\right)}{\tau(F) \dot{F}(F)} \quad (2)$$

The lifetime as a function of force, $\tau(f)$, obtained from fittings in Fig. 2g, h, was substituted back into equation (2) with the average loading rate to calculate the rupture force distributions, which are shown as curves in Fig. 2a–f.

Force-clamping experiments

In force-clamping experiments, the position of the laser trap and the applied force were recorded at 500 Hz for 200–400 s, when force was auto-adjusted by feedback control to the desired value. The feedback time loop is 5 ms for overall equilibration of the laser tweezers.

The baseline drift of extension data was corrected by a linear compensation, $x(t) = x_{\text{data}}(t) + vt$; the slope v was in the range of 0.05 to 0.1 nm s⁻¹. The average spatial extensions for the bound and the unbound states were determined from the histogram of spatial extension data. We then assigned the state (U or B) at any given time based on the spatial extension and generated intervals of bound and unbound states. Intervals shorter than 10 ms (twice the instrument feedback loop of 5 ms) were removed. An example of segmentation of the bound and unbound states into a hopping diagram is shown in Supplementary Fig. 3.

Assuming that the ReaLiSM system has no memory, we can treat each hopping event from the bound to unbound state as an independent dissociation of the bound state. The durations of the bound state were cumulated into lifetime distributions (Fig. 3e–h) to obtain dissociation kinetics. For clamping data at 10.05, 10.27 and 10.58 pN, exponential fitting of cumulative distributions of durations yielded two slopes, indicating two different kinetics. Therefore, data were fit to the kinetic model of the flex-bond in Fig. 4 and governed by equations 3–5,

$$\frac{d[B_1]}{dt} = -(k_{1\text{off}} + k_{12})[B_1] + k_{21}[B_2] \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{d[B_2]}{dt} = -(k_{2\text{off}} + k_{21})[B_2] + k_{12}[B_1] \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{d[U]}{dt} = k_{1\text{off}}[B_1] + k_{2\text{off}}[B_2] \quad (5)$$

where $[B_1]$ and $[B_2]$ are the probabilities of occurrences of the first and second bound states, and $[U]$ the probability of the unbound state; $k_{1\text{off}}$ and $k_{2\text{off}}$ are dissociation rates of the first and the second bound states; k_{12} is the forward conversion rate (from the first to the second

state), and k_{21} is the backward rate. The same equations were used for it two-state, but not one-state, catch bonds^{19,30}.

Durations in the bound states between 0.1 and 10 s were fitted to the analytical expression for $d[U]/dt$ solved from equations (3–5) by a nonlinear-least-square method using MATLAB. Results for all four rate coefficients are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. Because relatively small fractions of long durations (>3 s) are nonetheless important for determining the two conversion rates (k_{12} and k_{21}) as well as the second dissociation rate ($k_{2\text{ off}}$), we varied initial guess for $k_{2\text{ off}}$ in order to obtain best overall fits to data. Estimates were robust to different initial guesses; however, estimations of confidence intervals for the rate coefficients were inaccurate and showed a broad range. To obtain better estimates for the conversion rates and their confidence intervals, we fixed the value for $k_{2\text{ off}}$ by interpolation from Bell-model fitting of the force-dependent lifetimes in Fig. 2g. This enabled us to estimate the other three rates, as shown in Supplementary Table 2.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Supported by NIH HL-48675 (TAS). The authors are indebted to S.B. Smith and C. Bustamante for help with laser tweezers construction and insightful discussion. We thank B. Collier, O. K. Dudko and C. Lu for reagents and insightful discussions, and J. Dill for software for data analysis.

References

1. Sadler JE. New concepts in von Willebrand disease. *Annu Rev Med.* 2005; 56:173–191. [PubMed: 15660508]
2. Ruggeri ZM, Mendolicchio GL. Adhesion mechanisms in platelet function. *Circ Res.* 2007; 100:1673–1685. [PubMed: 17585075]
3. Ruggeri ZM, Orje JN, Habermann R, Federici AB, Reininger AJ. Activation-independent platelet adhesion and aggregation under elevated shear stress. *Blood.* 2006; 108:1903–1910. [PubMed: 16772609]
4. Nesbitt WS, et al. A shear gradient-dependent platelet aggregation mechanism drives thrombus formation. *Nature Med.* 2009; 15:665–673. [PubMed: 19465929]
5. Huizinga EG, et al. Structures of glycoprotein Iba and its complex with von Willebrand factor A1 domain. *Science.* 2002; 297:1176–1179. [PubMed: 12183630]
6. Dumas JJ, et al. Crystal structure of the wild-type von Willebrand factor A1-glycoprotein Iba complex reveals conformation differences with a complex bearing von Willebrand disease mutations. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:23327–23334. [PubMed: 15039442]
7. Bustamante C, Smith S. Light-force sensor and method for measuring axial optical-trap forces from changes in light momentum along an optic axis. *US Patent.* 2004; 7:133–132.
8. Bustamante C, Smith SB, Liphardt J, Smith D. Single-molecule studies of DNA mechanics. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2000; 10:279–285. [PubMed: 10851197]
9. Evans E, Ritchie K. Strength of a weak bond connecting flexible polymer chains. *Biophys J.* 1999; 76:2439–2447. [PubMed: 10233061]
10. Dudko OK, Hummer G, Szabo A. Theory, analysis, and interpretation of single-molecule force spectroscopy experiments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2008; 105:15755–15760. [PubMed: 18852468]

11. Bell GI. Models for the specific adhesion of cells to cells: a theoretical framework for adhesion mediated by reversible bonds between cell surface molecules. *Science*. 1978; 200:618–627. [PubMed: 347575]
12. Miura S, et al. Interaction of von Willebrand factor domain A1 with platelet glycoprotein Iba-(1–289). Slow intrinsic binding kinetics mediate rapid platelet adhesion. *J Biol Chem*. 2000; 275:7539–7546. [PubMed: 10713059]
13. Dong JF, et al. Ristocetin-dependent, but not botrocetin-dependent, binding of von Willebrand factor to the platelet glycoprotein Ib-IX-V complex correlates with shear-dependent interactions. *Blood*. 2001; 97:162–168. [PubMed: 11133756]
14. De Luca M, et al. Structure and function of the von Willebrand factor A1 domain: analysis with monoclonal antibodies reveals distinct binding sites involved in recognition of the platelet membrane glycoprotein Ib-IX-V complex and ristocetin-dependent activation. *Blood*. 2000; 95:164–172. [PubMed: 10607699]
15. Dembo M, Torney DC, Saxman K, Hammer D. The reaction-limited kinetics of membrane-to-surface adhesion and detachment. *Proc R Soc Lond B*. 1988; 234:55–83. [PubMed: 2901109]
16. Phan UT, Waldron TT, Springer TA. Remodeling of the lectin/EGF-like interface in P- and L-selectin increases adhesiveness and shear resistance under hydrodynamic force. *Nature Immunol*. 2006; 7:883–889. [PubMed: 16845394]
17. Astrof NS, Salas A, Shimaoka M, Chen JF, Springer TA. Importance of force linkage in mechanochemistry of adhesion receptors. *Biochemistry*. 2006; 45:15020–15028. [PubMed: 17154539]
18. Springer TA. Structural basis for selectin mechanochemistry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2009; 106:91–96. [PubMed: 19118197]
19. Thomas WE, Vogel V, Sokurenko E. Biophysics of catch bonds. *Annu Rev Biophys*. 2008; 37:399–416. [PubMed: 18573088]
20. Schneider SW, et al. Shear-induced unfolding triggers adhesion of von Willebrand factor fibers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007; 104:7899–7903. [PubMed: 17470810]
21. Zhang X, Halvorsen K, Zhang CZ, Wong WP, Springer TA. Mechanoenzymatic cleavage of the ultralarge vascular protein, von Willebrand Factor. *Science*. 2009; 324:1330–1334. [PubMed: 19498171]
22. Yago T, et al. Platelet glycoprotein Iba forms catch bonds with human WT vWF but not with type 2B von Willebrand disease vWF. *J Clin Invest*. 2008; 118:3195–3207. [PubMed: 18725999]
23. Ulrichts H, et al. Shielding of the A1 domain by the D9D3 domains of von Willebrand factor modulates its interaction with platelet glycoprotein Ib-IX-V. *J Biol Chem*. 2006; 281:4699–4707. [PubMed: 16373331]
24. Chen S, Springer TA. An automatic braking system that stabilizes leukocyte rolling by an increase in selectin bond number with shear. *J Cell Biol*. 1999; 144:185–200. [PubMed: 9885254]
25. Shen Y, et al. Requirement of leucine-rich repeats of glycoprotein (GP) Iba for shear-dependent and static binding of von Willebrand factor to the platelet membrane GP Ib-IX-V complex. *Blood*. 2000; 95:903–910. [PubMed: 10648402]
26. Shen Y, et al. Leucine-rich repeats 2-4 (Leu⁶⁰-Glu¹²⁸) of platelet glycoprotein Iba regulate shear-dependent cell adhesion to von Willebrand factor. *J Biol Chem*. 2006; 281:26419–26423. [PubMed: 16774914]
27. Celikel R, Ruggeri ZM, Varughese KI. von Willebrand factor conformation and adhesive function is modulated by an internalized water molecule. *Nature Struct Biol*. 2000; 7:881–884. [PubMed: 11017197]
28. Kieliszewski MJ, Leykam JF, Lamport DT. Trypsin cleaves lysylproline in a hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein from *Zea mays*. *Pept Res*. 1989; 2:246–248. [PubMed: 2520761]
29. Smith SB, Cui Y, Bustamante C. Optical-trap force transducer that operates by direct measurement of light momentum. *Methods Enzymol*. 2003; 361:134–162. [PubMed: 12624910]
30. Thomas W, et al. Catch-bond model derived from allostery explains force-activated bacterial adhesion. *Biophys J*. 2006; 90:753–764. [PubMed: 16272438]
31. Aricescu AR, Lu W, Jones EY. A time- and cost-efficient system for high-level protein production in mammalian cells. *Acta Crystallogr D*. 2006; 62:1243–1250. [PubMed: 17001101]

Figure 1. The A1 and GPIIb/IIIa single-molecule construct and change in extension on unbinding and rebinding

a–d, Schematic diagrams of VWF (**a**), GPIIb/IIIa (**b**), the ReaLiSM (**c**), and the laser tweezers setup (**d**). Ribbon diagrams based on the A1 domain-GPIIb/IIIa LRR domain complex show disulphide side chains as gold spheres. The LRR domain of GPIIb/IIIa is magenta except LRR repeats 2–4 are grey. The mucin-like region between the LRR domain and membrane in GPIIb/IIIa, and the non-A1 portion of the VWF monomer which comprises 90% of its mass, are shown schematically. **e**, Representative force-extension trace for one cycle of force increase (black) and decrease (red) in force-ramp experiments. **f**, Fit of receptor–ligand extension (unbinding) data to the WLC model. Data were binned by force; one representative bin is shown in the inset. Error bars show 1 s.d. for force and extension in each bin (n per bin = 10 to 85 for unbinding, 26 to 30 for rebinding, and 428 for force clamp). Fit to the WLC equation was by occurrence-weighted least squares. Data from receptor–ligand binding and force-clamp experiments were not included in fitting, but fall on the same line.

Figure 2. Force spectroscopy and bond lifetime

a–f, Unbinding force distributions at different pulling rates in absence (**a–d**) or presence of 0.5 mg ml^{-1} ristocetin (**e**) or $0.1 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ botrocetin (**f**). Error bars show Poisson noise. Loading rate averages and s.d. are over rupture events at each pulling rate. Curves show the predicted rupture force distributions⁹ using the constants from panels **g** and **h**.

g, h, Bond lifetimes at constant force, estimated from each bin in **a–f**, using the Dudko–Hummer–Szabo equation. **g**, Lifetimes from pulling at 5 nm s^{-1} (open circles), 10 nm s^{-1} (open squares), 20 nm s^{-1} (open triangles) and 40 nm s^{-1} (open diamonds), and 40 nm s^{-1} with a 26-residue linker (filled diamonds, Supplementary Fig. 4). The grey filled circle shows the lifetime in bulk phase measurements¹². The inset shows additional bond lifetime measurements (filled circles) at constant force (Fig. 3). **h**, Lifetimes in presence of ristocetin and botrocetin. Errors are Poisson noise. For panels **c–e**, equation (1) was evaluated separately for bins 1–4 (pathway 1) and bins 4–7/8 (pathway 2). Events in bin 4 were apportioned between pathway 1 and 2 according to the fits. Fits to the Bell equation, shown in panels **g** and **h**, were by occurrence-weighted least squares (dashed and solid lines).

Figure 3. Force-clamp experiments

a–d, Extension over representative 60 s periods at the indicated clamped forces (average $\pm$ s.d., $n > 10^5$). X is the average extension between the bound (B) and unbound (U) states. **e–h**, Survival fraction of the bound state as a function of force and time in **a–d** over periods of 200 to 400s ($n = 55$ to 172).

Figure 4. The flex-bond

Schematic model of different states of the A1-GP1bα flex-bond, showing direction of tensile force (horizontal arrows) and estimated constants.