Published in final edited form as:

Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2013 June ; 73(4): 265–268. doi:10.3109/00365513.2013.765964.

Rapid assessment of renal reserve in young adults by cystatin C

DANA Y. FUHRMAN, PAULA S. MAIER, and GEORGE J. SCHWARTZ

Pediatric Nephrology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY USA

Abstract

Background—The kidney can increase glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in response to a protein load (renal reserve). In a pilot study of healthy young adults we examined renal reserve using changes in serum cystatin C (cysC).

Methods—Glomerular filtration rate was obtained using iohexol single slope plasma disappearance. To stimulate GFR, subjects ingested a beefburger containing 60 grams of protein. CysC was measured by immunonephelometry before and 125–141 minutes after protein loading.

Results—All subjects were found to have a normal iohexol plasma disappearance GFR with a mean of 104.6 ± 9.9 mL/min per 1.73 m^2 . CysC decreased in each subject after the meat meal. Baseline cysC-based estimated GFR was 98.1 ± 9.1 mL/min per 1.73 m^2 with a mean increase of 12.0 ± 5.2 ($p = 0.0003$).

Conclusions—Our study showed a consistent decrease in serum cysC and increase in cysC-based estimated GFR following a protein load in young adults. Further studies are needed using renal clearance methods to confirm that cysC accurately determines renal reserve in patients with and without chronic kidney disease.

Keywords

Chronic kidney disease; clearance; creatinine; cystatin C; estimated GFR; glomerular filtration rate

Introduction

The concept of a renal reserve refers to the normal kidney's ability to increase its filtration rate in response to a stimulus such as a protein load. As a consequence of acute renal injury, a kidney may be operating at its maximal filtration capacity at baseline and, therefore, have no residual nephrons available to increase the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in response to a protein load [1]. These individuals may have a normal serum creatinine, urinalysis, blood pressure, and GFR, but a decreased renal reserve. A lack of renal reserve may indicate a poor long-term renal prognosis. Studies have shown a maximal increase in GFR to occur anywhere from 20–150 minutes after a meat meal [1,2]. The published values of renal

Correspondence: George J. Schwartz, MD, Professor of Pediatrics and Medicine, Chief Pediatric Nephrology, University of Rochester Medical Center, 601 Elmwood Avenue, Box 777, Rochester, NY 14642, USA. Tel: + 1 585 275 9784. Fax: 585-756-8054. george_schwartz@urmc.rochester.edu.

Declaration of interest: The authors report no conflict of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the article.

reserve are highly variable with studies showing increases in GFR after a protein meal ranging from 10–81% [1–4].

There are no published studies on the use of cystatin C for the determination of renal reserve. The majority of studies on renal reserve have used renal inulin or creatinine clearances for measuring GFR. The use of inulin in determining GFR necessitates continuous infusions of inulin along with the collection of timed urine samples by a bladder catheter making this approach undesirable for use in determining GFR, especially in children [5]. Cystatin C is a small molecular weight protein that is freely filtered by the kidneys and produced at a relatively constant rate [6]. The production of cystatin C is thought to be independent of muscle mass, sex, body composition, inflammatory conditions and age [7,8]. Due in part to the shorter half-life of cystatin C, subtle changes in GFR are more readily detected by changes in cystatin C than by serum creatinine [9]. Schwartz et al. [10] developed a univariate cystatin C-based GFR estimating equation for which the correlation of the estimated GFR with GFR determined by iothexol plasma disappearance (iGFR) was 0.85. Given that cystatin C has been found to be a rapidly responsive renal biomarker, it may be a useful measure for the detection of renal reserve.

Our aim was to determine if there is a significant change in cystatin C-based GFR, measured before and after a protein load, as a determinant of renal reserve in a group of healthy young adults.

Subjects and methods

Study population

The six subjects were between the ages of 18 and 25 with no known history of kidney disease or hypertension. Each subject was given instructions for adherence to a low protein diet the night before the study and a low protein liquid diet thereafter until the time of the study. Subjects were sent a container for a first morning urine collection for microalbumin and creatinine. The University of Rochester Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol.

Study design

The study was conducted at the Clinical Research Center at the University of Rochester as previously published [10,11]. Vital signs including blood pressure were obtained to confirm normotension, and subjects were asked to drink 10 mL/kg of water or juice. Ingestion of fluids was encouraged throughout the study in order to limit volume-mediated decreases in GFR. A pregnancy test was confirmed to be negative in all females. Four subjects were female. An IV was inserted into one arm for blood sampling. Sera were obtained for an iothexol blank, a basic metabolic panel and cystatin C. The basic metabolic panel and urine microalbumin were measured on a Roche/Hitachi Modular Analyzer at the University of Rochester Medical Center. Through a second IV line 10 mL of iothexol (Omnipaque 300, corresponding to 647 mg iothexol per mL or 300 mg iodine per mL) was given over 1–2 min via a pre weighed filled syringe. The empty syringe was then weighed again on the same scale after administration of the iothexol. Vital signs were obtained 10 min after the

administration of iohexol. Blood was obtained at 120, 180 and 240 min after injection to determine a baseline GFR. GFR was determined from the dose of iohexol and the area under the curve using the one compartment model log-linear disappearance as a function of time [11,12]. All GFR measurements were corrected to 1.73 m² BSA.

Iohexol concentrations were measured by HPLC and GFR values were determined by the authors (DYF and GJS). Inter-assay coefficients of variation of 30 separate runs of quality control iohexol samples was 5.8% at a level of 12.1 mg/L and 3.0% at 117 mg/L. The intra-assay coefficients of variation obtained from spiked iohexol samples at two levels, six injections per level, on three different days averaged 1.95% at 14.77 mg/L and 1.23% at 99.25 mg/L. The limit of quantification was 2 mg/L. Cystatin C was measured by immunonephelometry using a BN II analyzer (Siemens Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY, USA), as previously described [10]. Specifically, the assay was performed with a six-point calibration generated from multiple dilutions of a cystatin C calibrator obtained from human urine. Each run included 1–2 sera of known cystatin C concentration to rule out drift of the assay. Each run of serum samples was preceded by measurement of quality controls of low (1.06 mg/L) and high (1.93 mg/L) cystatin C concentrations, and the runs were not performed until the quality controls differed by less than 6% at the onset of the assay. The assay range was 0.195–7.330 mg/L and the inter-assay coefficient of variation was 2.3–3.1%.

Subjects ate a beefburger containing 60 grams of protein. A second sample of blood for cystatin C was taken 125–141 min after the protein load (see Table I). GFR was estimated from cystatin C using the equation $eGFR = 70.69 * (\text{cystatin C})^{-0.931}$ [10] before and after the protein load.

Statistics

Continuous variables are reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. The data were found to be normally distributed based on the Shapiro-Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests of normality. Comparison of estimated GFRs as measured cystatin C before and after a protein load were performed by paired *t*-test. Renal reserve was defined as the difference between the cystatin C-based GFR before and after the protein load. The analysis was run using SAS 9.3. A *p* value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The six subjects were between the ages of 18–25 and were found to be normal in terms of GFR obtained by iohexol (Table I). Also, all subjects had a normal serum creatinine as well as urine microalbumin/creatinine ratio. There were no adverse reactions to iohexol administration.

In eight studies, subjects ate the 60 gram protein-containing beefburger. The mean GFR obtained by iohexol plasma disappearance was 104.6 mL/min per 1.73 m². The average baseline cystatin C-based GFR was 98.1 ± 9.1 mL/min per 1.73m² with a mean increase in GFR of 12.0 ± 5.2 ($p = 0.0003$) after eating the beefburger. All eight studies showed a decrease in serum cystatin C and an increase in cystatin C-based GFR after the protein load

(Table I and Figure 1). One subject (RR108) participated in the study three times with study visits separated by 3 months and 31 months, respectively. When this subject was accounted for once in the analysis (total of six subject visits), the mean baseline cystatin C-based GFR was 99.2 ± 8.8 mL/min per 1.73 m^2 with the mean increase in cystatin C-based GFR of 12.0 ± 4.9 ($p = 0.0019$).

Cystatin C-based GFR values were obtained using additional published equations (Table II). The four equations presented are of different forms but based on studies that used the particle-enhanced nephelometric immunoassay to determine cystatin C concentrations. Renal reserve was comparably demonstrated using each of these equations.

Discussion

In caring for patients after recovery from acute kidney injury, clinicians will commonly refer to a loss or decrease in renal reserve filtration capacity. However, there is currently no easily obtainable means to measure changes in renal reserve and potentially predict which patients may be at risk for early chronic kidney disease. A loss of renal reserve may predispose patients to chronic kidney disease with its associated cardiovascular complications. Prior to evaluating the presence of renal reserve in patients after acute kidney injury, there is a need to establish a valid, reliable, rapid, and easily replicated measure of renal reserve in individuals with no history of renal disease.

Previous studies on renal reserve have used creatinine clearance and renal inulin clearance methodology. Our findings suggest that measurement of serum cystatin C before and after a protein meal may be a convenient and rapid determinant of renal reserve. These findings are consistent with the observation that cystatin C has a relatively short half-life with levels that respond more rapidly to acute changes in GFR than does serum creatinine [9] or iothexol plasma disappearance curves (data not shown).

Further studies should be carried out comparing renal clearance methods to cystatin C-based GFR estimates for measuring renal reserve in healthy young adults. Comparison of changes in iGFR and cystatin C-based GFR after meat protein loading should help establish if cystatin C can serve as a surrogate measure of renal reserve.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to GE Healthcare, Amersham Division, for providing the iothexol (Omnipaque™ 300 for the baseline GFR measurements. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

This study was supported in part by a grant from the National Kidney Foundation (D.Y.F.) as well as from the National Institutes of Health T32 Training Grant (T32HD057821). The project described in this publication was also supported by the University of Rochester CTSA award numbers UL1 RR024160 and UL1 TR000042 from the National Center for Research Resources and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health.

References

1. Bosch JP, Saccaggi A, Lauer A, Ronco C, Belledonne M, Glabman S. Renal functional reserve in humans. Effect of protein intake on glomerular filtration rate. *Am J Med.* 1983; 75:943–50. [PubMed: 6650549]
2. Solling K, Christensen CK, Solling J, Christiansen JS, Mogensen CE. Effect on renal haemodynamics, glomerular filtration rate and albumin excretion of high oral protein load. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest.* 1986; 46:351–7. [PubMed: 3523737]
3. Rodriguez-Iturbe B, Herrera J, Garcia R. Relationship between glomerular filtration rate and renal blood flow at different levels of protein-induced hyperfiltration in man. *Clin Sci (Lond).* 1988; 74:11–5. [PubMed: 3338249]
4. Hostetter TH. Human renal response to meat meal. *Am J Physiol.* 1986; 250:F613–8. [PubMed: 3963200]
5. Schwartz GJ, Work DF. Measurement and estimation of GFR in children and adolescents. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009; 4:1832–43. [PubMed: 19820136]
6. Newman DJ. Cystatin C. *Ann Clin Biochem.* 2002; 39:89–104. [PubMed: 11928770]
7. Finney H, Newman DJ, Thakkar H, Fell JM, Price CP. Reference ranges for plasma cystatin C and creatinine measurements in premature infants, neonates, and older children. *Arch Dis Child.* 2000; 82:71–5. [PubMed: 10630919]
8. Bokenkamp A, Domanetzki M, Zinck R, Schumann G, Brodehl J. Reference values for cystatin C serum concentrations in children. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1998; 12:125–9. [PubMed: 9543370]
9. Dworkin LD. Serum cystatin C as a marker of glomerular filtration rate. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens.* 2001; 10:551–3. [PubMed: 11496045]
10. Schwartz GJ, Schneider MF, Maier PS, Moxey-Mims M, Dharnidharka VR, Warady BA, Furth SL, Munoz A. Improved equations estimating GFR in children with chronic kidney disease using an immunonephelometric determination of cystatin C. *Kidney Int.* 2012; 82:445–453. [PubMed: 22622496]
11. Schwartz GJ, Abraham AG, Furth SL, Warady BA, Munoz A. Optimizing iothexol plasma disappearance curves to measure the glomerular filtration rate in children with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2010; 77:65–71. [PubMed: 19847157]
12. Ng DK, Schwartz GJ, Jacobson LP, Palella FJ, Margolick JB, Warady BA, Furth SL, Munoz A. Universal GFR determination based on two time points during plasma iothexol disappearance. *Kidney Int.* 2011; 80:423–30. [PubMed: 21654718]
13. Filler G, Lepage N. Should the Schwartz formula for estimation of GFR be replaced by cystatin C formula? *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2003; 18:981–5. [PubMed: 12920638]
14. Hoek FJ, Kemperman FA, Krediet RT. A comparison between cystatin C, plasma creatinine and the Cockcroft and Gault formula for the estimation of glomerular filtration rate. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2003; 18:2024–31. [PubMed: 13679476]
15. Le Bricon T, Thervet E, Froissart M, Benlakehal M, Bousquet B, Legendre C, Erlich D. Plasma cystatin C is superior to 24-h creatinine clearance and plasma creatinine for estimation of glomerular filtration rate 3 months after kidney transplantation. *Clin Chem.* 2000; 46:1206–7. [PubMed: 10926911]
16. Zappitelli M, Parvex P, Joseph L, Paradis G, Grey V, Lau S, Bell L. Derivation and validation of cystatin C-based prediction equations for GFR in children. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2006; 48:221–30. [PubMed: 16860187]

Figure 1. Serum cystatin C concentrations before and after ingestion of a beefburger containing 60 grams of protein.

Table I

Iohexol GFR and cystatin C-based GFR before and after the protein load.

RR#	Age (y)	Serum creatinine $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Urine Microalbumin/ creatinine ($\mu\text{g/mg}$)	Iohexol GFR (mL/min per 1.73 m ²)	Time (min)	Baseline eGFR (mL/min per 1.73 m ²)	Post eGFR (mL/min per 1.73 m ²)
101b	25	79.56	2.3	88.6	130	91.3	108.7
102b	21	53.04	5.9	92.3	125	96.0	112.0
108a	18	67.18	3.3	114.7	138	94.8	102.6
108b	19	67.84	3.7	110.2	138	104.1	110.3
108c	21	80.44	1.4	111.8	140	86.0	104.1
109	25	86.63	1.6	98.9	141	94.7	110.3
111	23	67.18	9.2	111.7	138	115.5	121.3
112	21	68.95	3.7	108.7	135	102.6	112.0
Mean $\pm$ SD		69.12 $\pm$ 13.75	3.9 $\pm$ 2.6	104.6 $\pm$ 9.9	135 $\pm$ 5.5	98.1 $\pm$ 9.1	110.1 $\pm$ 5.7

RR#, Renal reserve subject number. Serum creatinine (normal = 26.52–88.4 $\mu\text{mol/L}$), urine microalbumin/creatinine (normal = 0–29.9 $\mu\text{g/mg}$), Iohexol obtained GFR. Time = time from completion of the beefburger to the measurement of cystatin C (minutes) and cystatin C-based GFR in eight studies in six subjects.

Table II

Estimated GFR using univariate cystatin C prediction equations.

Cystatin C-based GFR equation	Filler and Lepage [13] $91.62 \times (1/\text{cysC})^{1.123}$	Hoek et al. [14] $-4.32 + (80.35/\text{cysC})$	Le Britton et al. [15] $(78/\text{cysC}) + 4$	Zappitelli et al. [16] $(75.94/\text{cysC})^{-1.17}$	Overall mean $\pm$ SD
RR 101b					
Baseline eGFR	124.69	101.40	106.63	104.69	109.35 $\pm$ 10.45
Post eGFR	153.93	123.22	127.81	130.39	133.84 $\pm$ 13.72
Renal reserve	29.24	21.82	21.18	25.70	24.49 $\pm$ 3.75
RR 102b					
Baseline eGFR	132.50	107.28	112.33	111.53	115.91 $\pm$ 11.28
Post eGFR	159.62	127.40	131.87	135.40	138.58 $\pm$ 14.41
Renal reserve	21.11	20.12	19.54	23.88	21.16 $\pm$ 1.92
RR108a					
Baseline eGFR	124.69	101.40	106.63	104.69	109.35 $\pm$ 10.45
Post eGFR	143.65	115.61	120.42	121.33	125.25 $\pm$ 12.52
Renal reserve	18.96	14.20	13.79	16.64	15.90 $\pm$ 2.40
RR108b					
Baseline eGFR	146.10	117.42	122.18	123.48	127.30 $\pm$ 12.80
Post eGFR	156.72	125.28	129.81	132.85	136.17 $\pm$ 14.05
Renal reserve	10.63	7.85	7.62	9.37	8.87 $\pm$ 1.41
RR108c					
Baseline eGFR	116.08	94.88	100.30	97.17	102.11 $\pm$ 9.58
Post eGFR	146.10	117.42	122.18	123.48	127.30 $\pm$ 12.80
Renal reserve	30.02	22.54	21.89	26.31	25.19 $\pm$ 3.76
RR109					
Baseline eGFR	130.46	105.75	110.85	109.74	114.20 $\pm$ 11.06
Post eGFR	156.72	125.28	129.81	132.85	136.17 $\pm$ 14.05
Renal reserve	26.26	19.53	18.96	23.12	21.97 $\pm$ 3.40
RR111					
Baseline eGFR	165.70	131.87	136.20	140.79	143.64 $\pm$ 15.15
Post eGFR	175.70	139.16	143.29	149.65	151.95 $\pm$ 16.41
Renal reserve	10.00	7.30	7.08	8.86	8.31 $\pm$ 1.38

Cystatin C-based GFR equation	Filler and Lepage [13] $91.62 \times (1/\text{cysC})^{1.123}$	Hoek et al. [14] $-4.32 + (80.35/\text{cysC})$	Le Briton et al. [15] $+4$	Zappitelli et al. [16] $(75.94 \times \text{cysC})^{-1.17}$	Overall mean $\pm$ SD
RR112					
Baseline eGFR	143.65	115.61	120.42	121.33	125.25 $\pm$ 12.52
Post eGFR	159.61	127.40	131.87	135.40	138.57 $\pm$ 14.40
Renal reserve	15.96	11.80	11.45	14.08	13.32 $\pm$ 2.11
Overall mean baseline eGFR $\pm$ SD	135.48 $\pm$ 15.75	109.45 $\pm$ 11.74	114.44 $\pm$ 11.39	114.18 $\pm$ 13.85	
Overall mean post eGFR $\pm$ SD	156.51 $\pm$ 9.77	125.10 $\pm$ 7.18	129.63 $\pm$ 6.97	132.67 $\pm$ 8.63	

Estimated glomerular filtration rate (mL/min per 1.73 mL/min per 1.73 m²) before and after a 60-gram beefburger protein load.