Published in final edited form as:

J Pediatr Oncol Nurs. 2013 ; 30(6): 320–332. doi:10.1177/1043454213511538.

Effectiveness of Integrative Modalities for Pain and Anxiety in Children and Adolescents with Cancer: A Systematic Review

Susan Thrane, RN, MSN, OCN

Pre-doctoral Fellow, University of Pittsburgh, School of Nursing, 3500 Victoria Street, Victoria Building, Pittsburgh, PA 15261

Abstract

Throughout the trajectory of the cancer experience, children and adolescents will likely face pain and anxiety in a variety of circumstances. Integrative therapies may be used either alone or as an adjunct to standard analgesics. Children are often very receptive to integrative therapies such as music, art, guided imagery, massage, therapeutic play, distraction, and other modalities (Doellman, 2003).

The effect of integrative modalities on pain and anxiety in children with cancer has not been systematically examined across the entire cancer experience. An in-depth search of PubMed, CINAHL, MedLine, PsychInfo, and Web of Science, integrative medicine journals, and the reference lists of review articles using the search terms pain, anxiety, pediatric, child*, oncology, cancer, neoplasm, complementary, integrative, non-conventional, and unconventional yielded 164 articles. Of these, 25 warranted full-text review. Cohen's *d* calculations show medium ($d=.70$) to extremely large (8.57) effect sizes indicating that integrative interventions may be very effective for pain and anxiety in children undergoing cancer treatment. Integrative modalities warrant further study with larger sample sizes to better determine their effectiveness in this population.

Keywords

pediatric; oncology; integrative therapy; pain; anxiety

Introduction

Integrative medicine is a holistic body-mind-spirit approach that combines both western medicine and complementary therapies to best serve patient and family healthcare needs. The term integrative acknowledges the blend of conventional and complementary therapies for the most comprehensive treatment for patients.. According to the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM), the general categories of integrative medicine are natural products (herbs, botanicals, vitamins, and other dietary supplements), mind-body practices (prayer, meditation, yoga, acupuncture, guided imagery, hypnotherapy, tai chi), manipulative practices (massage, chiropractic), biofield therapies (Reiki, healing touch, qi gong), traditional healers (sometimes called folk healers), and whole medical

systems such as Ayurvedic medicine or traditional Chinese medicine when used in addition to allopathic medicine (National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2012). The purpose of this review is to determine which integrative modalities are most effective for the reduction of pain and anxiety in children and adolescents being treated for cancer.

Many cross sectional studies of the prevalence of integrative medicine use in children have been carried out around the world including Italy (Clerici, Veneroni, Giacon, Mariani, & Fossati-Bellani, 2009), Turkey (Genc, Senol, Turgay, & Kantar, 2009), Mexico (Gomez-Martinez, Tlacuilo-Parra, & Garibaldi-Covarrubias, 2007), Malaysia (Hamidah et al., 2009), and the United States (Post-White, Fitzgerald, Hageness, & Sencer, 2009). The most common integrative therapies are natural products (herbs, vitamins, and dietary supplement), used to alleviate symptoms or improve health (Tomlinson, Hesser, Ethier, & Sung, 2011).

Very few randomized clinical trials have been conducted using integrative modalities to reduce pain or anxiety in either adults or children. Most review articles on the topic of integrative medicine use in children discuss the prevalence of integrative use (Bishop et al., 2010; Post-White, 2006), not the effectiveness of particular integrative modality for symptom relief. While there have been some reviews discussing pain or anxiety during painful procedures (Kleiber & Harper, 1999; Landier & Tse, 2010; Richardson, Smith, McCall, & Pilkington, 2006), no review has discussed integrative medicine use for pain and anxiety during the overall cancer trajectory.

Pain is a common symptom during cancer diagnosis and treatment and may come from painful procedures, disease progression, or impingement of nerves, tissues, or organs from tumors at any stage of the cancer progression (McGrath & Brown, 2005). There are many well-established tools to measure pain in children. Children as young as three years who can distinguish the concepts “less” and “more” are able to use visual analog scales such as the FACES scale developed by Wong and Baker in the 1980’s (Baker, 2009). For younger children parent proxy-report is often used to measure pain and anxiety and several well-validated rating scales have been developed for this purpose. A study of 164 children and adolescents in the United Kingdom found that 91 percent of children with end-stage cancer endured pain and 45 percent experienced anxiety (Goldman et al., 2006). This review will focus on the use of integrative medicine, i.e., practices used *together with* conventional medicine.

Study Question

Integrative modalities in general seek to alleviate suffering through a decrease in noxious symptoms. Many modalities have been studied for symptom relief in children including hypnosis, acupuncture, massage, virtual reality, folk healing, prayer, and others. The question this review seeks to answer is “Which integrative modalities are most effective for reducing pain and anxiety in children and adolescents with cancer?”

Criteria for Including Studies for Review

Types of Participants

Studies were considered if they were conducted with children or adolescents, aged 1 to 18 years, at any point in the cancer trajectory from new diagnosis, to on-going treatment, to long-term survivorship, or end of life.

Study Design

Studies were included that utilized any type of randomization, a control group, published in peer-reviewed journals, used any type of integrative modality except natural products (herbs, vitamins, supplements, and the like), and measured both pain and anxiety. Natural products were excluded because they are ingested and may interact with other therapies the child is receiving in unpredictable ways.

Outcome Measures

In view of the fact that the disease itself, the treatment, and long-term side effects of treatment may cause pain both acute and long-term, pain is one of the main outcomes variables of interest. Children and adolescents may feel anxious about treatments, procedures, staying in the hospital, clinic visits, or recurrence. Studies were included for review if they measured both pain and anxiety as outcomes.

Search Strategies for Identification of Studies

A systematic literature search was conducted using PubMed, PsychInfo, CINAHL, Web of Science, and MedLine via Ovid databases with no date limitation. Several journals were then searched individually including *Integrative Cancer Therapies*, *Journal of Evidence-based Complementary & Alternative Medicine*, and *Supportive Care in Cancer*. Finally, the reference lists for integrative and systematic review articles identified in the original search were examined to find relevant articles. The following key words were used: pain, anxiety, pediatric, child*, complementary, integrative, CAM, unconventional, non-conventional, cancer, oncology, and neoplasm. After removing duplicates, there were 164 articles, 74 of which reported research findings with the remainder either informational or review articles. Of the 74 research studies, 20 involved natural products (herbs, supplements, etc.), 21 measured prevalence of integrative medicine use, and 8 discussed the symptoms of pain or anxiety but did not measure them. The remaining 25 articles that reported integrative intervention findings made up the final sample for full text review.

Method of Review

The remaining 25 articles underwent full-text review using a systematic approach. Each article was carefully reviewed against the inclusion criteria. Thirteen studies were eliminated based on the inclusion criteria. The reasons for elimination included no randomization, no control group, or did not measure both pain and anxiety. Twelve studies met the review criteria and are included in the review (see Table 1).

Findings

All studies in this review had at least one statistically significant finding except for Pederson (1996) who used distraction and breathing techniques during a lumbar puncture. Effect sizes using Cohen's d were calculated for each study based on data reported in the study. An Excel-based program (Wilson, 2001) was used when possible (Gershon, Zimand, Pickering, Rothbaum, & Hodges, 2004; Hawkins, Liossi, Ewart, Hatira, & Kosmidis, 1998; Jay, Elliott, Fitzgibbons, Woody, & Siegel, 1995; Liossi, White, & Hatira, 2006, 2009; Manne et al., 1990; Nguyen, Nilsson, Hellstrom, & Bengtson, 2010; Smith, Barabasz, & Barabasz, 1996), however hand calculations using z scores or p to z score conversions and the formula $ES = r = Z/n^{1/2}$ if only p values were given (Liossi & Hatira, 1999; Madden, Mowry, Gao, Cullen, & Foreman, 2010; Pederson, 1996; Post-White, Fitzgerald, Savik, et al., 2009).

Study Design

All studies included in this review employed a form of randomization. Three studies used a randomized crossover design (Jay et al., 1995; Pederson, 1996; Post-White, Fitzgerald, Savik, et al., 2009) where the participants in the control group received attention. Two studies used randomization with the control group receiving attention of a volunteer (Madden et al., 2010; Manne et al., 1990). In one study the control group had earphones but no music in order to blind the observers (Nguyen et al., 2010). One hypnosis study randomized between two treatment types: indirect versus direct suggestion with no control (Hawkins et al., 1998) and another purposefully randomized of low- and high-hypnotizable children to achieve balanced groups (Smith et al., 1996). The remaining four studies used a three group design: the main intervention, a distraction intervention, and usual care control group (Gershon et al., 2004; Liossi & Hatira, 1999; Liossi et al., 2006, 2009). How subjects were randomized into groups was not detailed in seven studies (Hawkins et al., 1998; Jay et al., 1995; Liossi & Hatira, 1999; Madden et al., 2010; Pederson, 1996; Post-White, Fitzgerald, Savik, et al., 2009; Smith et al., 1996); one study used an every other case randomization (Manne et al., 1990); one study used a table of random numbers (Liossi et al., 2006); two studies used computer-generated random numbers (Gershon et al., 2004; Liossi et al., 2009); and the final study used sealed, opaque envelopes (Nguyen et al., 2010).

Variables and Measures

All studies reviewed measured pain by child self-report for children ages three and over in addition to either parent proxy report or other observer report. For children less than three years old, only proxy report was used. Table 2 summarizes the measures for each study.

Participants and Sample Size

There were a total of 358 children participating in the studies ranging from 1 to 19 years old. Combined mean age from ten out of twelve studies that included data was 8.4 years old. Fifty-five percent of the participants were male. Three separate studies took place in Greece for a total of 120 Greek children (Hawkins et al., 1998; Liossi et al., 2006, 2009), and one study took place in Vietnam including 40 children (Nguyen et al., 2010). Of the studies that took place in the United States that mentioned race, a total of 160 children were 62% white, 15% African American, 14% Hispanic/Latino, 1% Native American, 2% Haitian, and 6%

other (Gershon et al., 2004; Jay et al., 1995; Manne et al., 1990; Pederson, 1996; Post-White, Fitzgerald, Savik, et al., 2009; Smith et al., 1996).

Sample sizes ranged from 8 to 59 participants (mean 29.8, standard deviation 14.9). Many of the studies examined painful procedures such as lumbar punctures and bone marrow aspirations necessitating that the majority of the subjects were diagnosed with either leukemia or lymphoma (Gershon et al., 2004; Hawkins et al., 1998; Jay et al., 1995; Liossi & Hatira, 1999; Nguyen et al., 2010; Pederson, 1996). The remaining study subjects had either brain tumors (Madden et al., 2010; Post-White, Fitzgerald, Savik, et al., 2009), rhabdomyosarcoma (Manne et al., 1990; Post-White, Fitzgerald, Savik, et al., 2009), Wilms tumor (Manne et al., 1990; Post-White, Fitzgerald, Savik, et al., 2009), Ewing sarcoma (Post-White, Fitzgerald, Savik, et al., 2009), non-specified solid tumors (Smith et al., 1996), or non-specified types of cancers (Liossi et al., 2009).

Comparison Groups and Outcomes

With the exception of studies that used different treatment modalities for comparison (Hawkins et al., 1998; Liossi et al., 2006, 2009; Smith et al., 1996), the remaining studies used usual care as the comparison group. All studies measured pain and some form of anxiety. Manne et al. (1990) measured distress during venipuncture while Pederson (1996) measured anxiety operationalized as verbal resistance, requests for emotional support, and muscular rigidity during a lumbar puncture (see the Measures section below for further explanation of operationalization of anxiety).

Intervention Modalities

The effectiveness of integrative modalities was primarily studied during painful procedures common throughout cancer treatment including lumbar punctures (Hawkins et al., 1998; Liossi et al., 2006; Nguyen et al., 2010; Pederson, 1996), bone marrow aspiration (Jay et al., 1995; Liossi & Hatira, 1999), implanted port (or port-a-cath) access (Gershon et al., 2004; Smith et al., 1996), and venipuncture (Liossi et al., 2009; Manne et al., 1990; Smith et al., 1996). Post-White et al. explored massage therapy for general symptom management while Madden et al. investigated creative arts therapy during chemotherapy infusions.

Hypnosis was used in five out of the twelve studies (Hawkins et al., 1998; Liossi & Hatira, 1999; Liossi et al., 2006, 2009; Smith et al., 1996). Mind-body methods (imagery, distraction, breathing techniques) were the primary focus of three studies (Jay et al., 1995; Manne et al., 1990; Pederson, 1996) and were used as comparison groups for two of the hypnosis studies (Liossi & Hatira, 1999; Smith et al., 1996). Other modalities included a virtual reality program (Gershon et al., 2004), creative arts (Madden et al., 2010), massage (Post-White, Fitzgerald, Savik, et al., 2009) and music (Nguyen et al., 2010).

Hypnosis—Five studies used hypnosis to help children with pain and anxiety during procedures ranging from venipuncture to lumbar puncture and bone marrow aspiration. Hawkins et al. (2008) evaluated the effects of direct versus indirect hypnotic suggestion and the effectiveness of hypnotizability for children undergoing LPs. Thirty children ages 6 to 16 were randomly assigned to either the direct or indirect suggestion groups. Both methods

of suggestion were equally effective for decreased pain ($p<.001$) and anxiety ($p<.001$) over time and there was no difference in either pain ($p=.83$) or anxiety ($p=.92$) for type of suggestion. Hypnotizability was significantly associated with results for decreased pain ($p<.001$) and anxiety ($p<.001$).

Smith et al. (1996) tested 27 children ages 3 to 8 for hypnotizability then stratified on hypnotizability to achieve a balance of low- and high-hypnotizable children in each group. Children were tested for hypnotizability by staff not participating in other areas of the study so medical personnel and observers were blind to hypnotizability. The study used a crossover design so all children participated in both the hypnosis and distraction interventions. Highly hypnotizable children had significantly less pain ($p<.001$) and anxiety ($p<.001$) during venipuncture or implantable port access than low hypnotizable children during the procedure. When highly hypnotizable children were in the hypnosis intervention effect size for child self-report of decreased pain was very large ($d=2.39$) as was child self-report of decreased anxiety ($d=1.16$).

Three studies used a three-group randomized control group design to test effectiveness of hypnosis for pain and anxiety during venipuncture (Liossi et al., 2009), LP (Liossi et al., 2006), and bone marrow aspiration (BMA) (Liossi & Hatira, 1999). Liossi and Hatira (1999) utilized three groups: hypnosis, mind-body techniques, and usual care with 30 children ages 5 to 15 with leukemia during BMA. Hypnosis was effective for decreased pain ($p=.005$, $d=3.87$) and anxiety ($p=.005$, $d=3.87$) after BMA compared to mind-body and usual care, mind-body was effective for decreased pain ($p=.008$, $d=3.07$) and anxiety ($p=.04$, $d=1.70$) after BMA compared to usual care.

Eutectic mixture of local anesthetics (EMLA) cream is a common topical anesthetic cream used with children before procedures and is often considered usual care. Liossi et al. (2006) used three groups (EMLA only, EMLA with attention, and EMLA with hypnosis) to test the effectiveness of EMLA cream plus hypnosis on pain and anxiety in 45 children ages 6 to 16 who had already experienced at least five LP procedures compared to EMLA cream plus attention and EMLA cream only. For the EMLA plus hypnosis group there was a significant effect for child self-report of decreased anxiety when compared to the EMLA only group (T2, $p<.001$, $d=3.71$) and when compared to the EMLA plus attention group (T2, $p<.001$, $d=2.52$). There was also a significant effect on child self-report of less pain in the EMLA plus hypnosis group when compared to the EMLA plus attention group at each time point (time T2, $p<.001$, $d=1.50$) and when compared to the EMLA only group at each time point (time T2, $p<.001$, $d=2.24$).

Liossi et al. (2009) used the same procedure as 2006 above to explore the effect of EMLA plus hypnosis, EMLA plus attention, and EMLA only for pain and anxiety during venipuncture. Study subjects were 45 children ages 7 to 16 who had a cancer diagnosis but were not currently undergoing treatment. There was a significant effect for EMLA plus hypnosis when compared to both other groups at each time points for child self-report of decreased pain $F(2,42) = 42.95$, $p<.0001$, $\eta^2=.672$ and child self-report of decreased anxiety $F(2,42)=99.00$, $p<.0001$, $\eta^2=.825$ both very large effect sizes. When comparing EMLA plus hypnosis to EMLA plus attention for child self-report of less pain the effect size was $d=$

2.25. When comparing ELMA plus attention to EMLA only for child self-report of less pain the effect size was $d=1.10$. The same comparisons for decreased anxiety yield effect sizes of $d=4.04$ and $d=1.73$ respectively.

Mind-body techniques—Three studies used some type of mind-body intervention to help children cope with bone marrow aspiration (Jay et al., 1995), lumbar puncture (Pederson, 1996), and venipuncture (Manne et al., 1990). Mind-body techniques include breathing exercises, distraction (such as using a party blower or blowing bubbles), reward incentive, practicing positive coping behavior, coaching by the parent, relaxation techniques and the like. Jay et al. (1995) used mind-body techniques compared to general anesthesia for a bone marrow aspiration in 18 children ages 3 to 12. Children experienced more distress (anxiety) during the first minute when using mind-body techniques ($p=.002$, $d=1.24$) but more negative behavior related symptoms after general anesthesia when compared to mind-body ($p=.007$, $d=.70$). However, both children (58% v 42%) and parents (65% v 40%) preferred general anesthesia over mind-body techniques (a non-significant result).

Pederson (1996) used distraction and breathing techniques during a lumbar puncture procedure in a sample of eight children ages 6 to 14. Distraction techniques included blowing bubbles, pop-up books, plastic wands filled with sparkles, and foam puzzles. Child self-report was not significant for less pain ($p=.10$) but did have a very large effect size $d=2.49$ (corrected for small sample size). In observer report for anxiety, there was a significant result for less muscular rigidity ($p=.04$) (effect size could not be calculated due to small sample size) and a near significant result for less verbal resistance ($p=.05$) with a very large effect size $d=8.57$ (corrected for small sample size).

Manne et al. (1990) studied the use of attention distraction, paced breathing, and positive reinforcement versus parental attention (no intervention) with 23 children ages 3 to 9 who had previously been observed being physically restrained during a venipuncture. There was a significant decrease in observed distress for the intervention group ($p<.05$, $d=1.18$), a significant decrease in pain by parent report ($p<.01$, $d=1.36$), and a non-significant child self-report for decreased pain that still achieved a medium effect size ($d=.57$).

Virtual reality—One study (Gershon et al., 2004) explored the effect of a virtual reality (VR) program, a non-VR video game distraction, and usual care on pain and anxiety during implanted port access in 59 children ages 7 to 19. There was no significant effect for child self-report of pain or anxiety but nurses rated pain lower for VR and non-VR over control ($p<.05$) and pulse oximeter readings recorded lower pulse rate during implantable port access for VR group ($p<.05$). Effect size for pulse rate was $d=.74$, a large effect size.

Creative arts therapy—One study used creative arts therapy (CAT), which can include dance/movement therapy, music therapy, and art therapy and involves a trained therapist interaction with the child. Madden et al. (2010) used CAT to evaluate changes in quality of life including pain and anxiety for children ages two to 21 during chemotherapy treatment with a cancer diagnosis. Phase one of the study was a randomized control trial of 16 children ages 2 through 13 with brain cancer who received six one-hour CAT sessions (two sessions each of dance/movement, music, and art therapies). Phase one had a significant effect on

decreased pain ($p=.03$) per parent report. Phase two was a non-randomized trial of children ages 3 to 21 receiving chemotherapy with any type of cancer diagnosis. During this phase the children received group one-hour sessions consisting of dance/movement, music, or art. This phase was significant for child self-report of decreased pain ($p=.006$).

Massage—In a study of 17 children ages 1 to 18 that were undergoing treatment for a cancer diagnosis, Post-White et al. (2009) found that all of the children felt better after the massage physically, mentally, and emotionally and the results lasted from several hours and up to the remainder of the day. Children 1 to 13 had less anxiety ($p=.04$, $d=1.45$) and children 14–18 had a nearly significant decrease in anxiety ($p=.058$) while still achieving a large effect size ($d=1.85$). For all children combined, there was a significant finding for lower heart rate ($p=.02$, $d=1.37$) and nearly significant for lower respiratory rate ($p=.05$, $d=1.08$) both of which indicate less pain and anxiety.

Music—Nguyen et al. (2010) studied the use of music on pain and anxiety for children with leukemia undergoing lumbar punctures (LP). The children aged 7 to 12 were randomized into intervention and control groups. To blind the observer to group, control group children wore identical headphones but had no music. The study found significant effects on child self-report for decreased pain during ($p<.001$, $d=1.49$) and after the LP ($p=.003$, $d=1.05$). There were significant effects on child self-report of anxiety before ($p<.001$, $d=1.41$) and after ($p<.001$, $d=1.05$) the procedure. Reduction in vital signs (an indicator of decreased pain and anxiety) during the procedure including decreased heart rate during the LP ($p=.012$, $d=.98$) and decreased respiratory rate during ($p=.009$, $d=.91$) and after ($p=.003$, $d=1.03$) the LP.

Discussion

While the heterogeneous modalities and methodologies of the included studies prohibited conducting a formal meta-analysis, collectively they provide encouraging evidence for the effectiveness of integrative approaches to managing pain and anxiety in children with cancer. With the exception of the virtual reality study, which had a medium effect size, the remaining studies in this review achieved a large to very large effect size. These results validate the effectiveness of integrative modalities for children with cancer in coping with pain and anxiety during cancer treatment and the painful procedures that are a part of treatment. In addition, the effectiveness and variety of integrative modalities highlighted in this review show that there are many ways to benefit children and decrease suffering during cancer treatment. Furthermore these studies demonstrate that individual children will respond to some but not all modalities.

The overall design quality of the studies reviewed was very good although some study designs stand out as excellent while others suffered design flaws. The recent hypnosis studies by the Lioffi team using three-group RCT design examining the effectiveness of hypnosis over attention or usual care reached the projected sample size of $N=45$ with a power of 0.9 and a large effect size (Lioffi et al., 2006, 2009) and an earlier three group RCT ($N=30$) also used standardized procedures although the authors did not mention the power of the study (Lioffi & Hatira, 1999). Pederson (1996) had difficulty recruiting subjects due to clinic changes in mid-study and personnel issues resulting in decreased

power of statistical analysis but an additional design weakness included timing of assessments in relation to sedation during procedures. Measures were problematic in the massage study (Post-White, Fitzgerald, Savik, et al., 2009) as not all tools were validated for the age group (the STAI has not been validated for children younger than eight or for proxy report) or for the outcome variable (the study used a FACES-type scale to measure nausea in children younger than nine who did not understand a VAS for this purpose); a change in inclusion criteria might have been advisable.

With the exception of massage, the remaining studies used some sort of distraction to help children cope with pain and anxiety. Distraction has many forms and is a way to focus the mind on something pleasant (or even fun) and not on the suffering at hand. Virtual reality is a way to immerse the mind more completely than just playing a video game. This modality has been studied with small samples of children since its beginning more than 20 years ago. An earlier pilot study found that using a VR program made children's chemotherapy experience "better" than receiving chemotherapy without VR (Schneider & Workman, 2000). It seems worthwhile to study VR more extensively for children and adolescents during treatment. It may be that using VR for implantable port access is too short of a time frame to be as effective as using VR for chemotherapy treatment.

By the time children are 18 to 24 months old, they have developed the cognitive ability to use symbols (e.g. using a block as a truck) resulting in the increasing use of imagination and pretend play (Lillard, 2002). By preschool age, they are able to enjoy and participate in stories because of their ability to remember and follow the story line. This ability also makes children of this age appropriate for distraction techniques such as guided imagery, hypnosis, and using imagination to participate with an adult in mutual storytelling. Children of preschool through early school age also want to please their parents so techniques such as coaching and encouragement may be helpful. Toddlers from about 18 to 36 months are able to enjoy colorful toys such as glitter wands, pinwheels, party blowers, or blowing bubbles. The studies in this review used age-appropriate distraction techniques. There was variability in the distraction interventions that were investigated across studies. In the Jay et al. study (1995), the mind-body intervention consisted of a 45 minute film that showed the child how to do breathing exercises, modeled positive coping strategies, and talked about imagery strategies such as imagining one is at Disneyland or eating pizza. The children in this study ranged in age from 3 to 12. It is difficult to find a "one size fits all" intervention for this range of developmental levels; however it seems unlikely that a three year old would be able to stay attentive to an instructional film for this period of time; however a therapist did give guidance during the BMA on coping strategies. Pederson (1996) also used a 22 minute video tape to teach strategies to children. The child was then able to choose a distraction material for use during the next BMA. A research support person went into the BMA to help support the parent in helping their child use the coping techniques. In the Manne et al. (1990) study child-parent dyads were given personal instruction in the use of paced breathing, positive reinforcement and distraction—in this case in the use of a party blower. The child could "win" stickers by using the party blower and holding still during venipuncture. Only children who had been observed needing physical restraint during a previous venipuncture were recruited to the study. The short, personal instruction seemed to work very well for this age group (ages 3 to 9) since the effect size was very large. In the Nguyen et al. study

(2010), music was used as a distraction during an LP. Children were able to choose the type of music they would like to listen to. The large and very large effect sizes for child self-report of both pain and anxiety during the music intervention shows very good evidence for the effectiveness of this simple intervention.

Culture plays a role in children's expression of pain and anxiety. This adaptation to culture begins in infancy as children are socialized into the culture of their environment. In Western cultures that value autonomy and individualism, assertiveness in social skills and expression of pain is normal although acceptability varies depending on age and gender (Chen & French, 2008). In collective societies such as East Asian, Latin American, or Israel, individuals are encouraged and guided from infancy to demonstrate self-control including expressions of pain or anxiety (Chen & French, 2008; Raval & Martini, 2009).

The studies reviewed here suggest that there are many integrative modalities that may be used with good effect to decrease pain and anxiety in children both during painful procedures and during general treatment for cancer. Many types of distraction techniques including hypnosis, mind-body, listening to music, and virtual reality may help decrease pain and anxiety and thereby suffering in children undergoing procedures that are part of cancer treatment. Other modalities such as massage and creative art therapy may decrease pain and anxiety associated with overall treatment while increasing mood and a feeling of well-being. Many of these techniques are easy to learn and all are within the scope of nursing practice. Nurses may use these techniques with children and teach them to parents to help support children through painful procedures and cancer treatment.

Limitations

While efforts were made to decrease bias as much as possible, the results of the review may be inflated by several factors. First, in order to increase the potential for significant findings, only studies that used randomization were included. This limits the studies published from other countries which tend to conduct open, non-randomized designs. Only published peer-reviewed journal studies were included, no dissertations or conference abstracts were considered. Finally, due to the nature of research in childhood cancer in general and integrative medicine, sample sizes of even the more rigorous studies were small ($n = 59$ participants) which may inflate results despite the use of small sample size corrections in the Cohen's d statistic in the case of very small sample sizes.

Conclusion

There is good evidence that complementary modalities, also known as integrative medicine can help children undergoing cancer treatment in general and in painful procedures in particular. The modalities in this review including virtual reality, various mind-body techniques, creative arts therapy, listening to music, massage, and hypnosis all had good effect sizes and significant results for pain and anxiety. While these studies universally employed small sample sizes, all of the studies utilized some type of randomization and many used the gold standard randomized control design. Given that mind-body techniques and hypnosis showed good effect sizes for decreased pain and anxiety in several studies

examining diverse painful procedures, there is ample evidence to recommend the use of these techniques during the painful procedures that are a part of childhood cancer treatments. They are both non-invasive and give the child a sense of control over their pain during the procedure. Creative art therapy seems like a natural modality for children with its combination of creativity and expression of feelings. However, more studies need to be done with this modality and population. Massage is another modality that has not been well studied with children in general or with cancer patients in particular. More research is needed with massage and touch therapies in order to be able to recommend their use for pain and anxiety in pediatric cancer patients.

Based on this review, further research is needed in integrative modalities. Larger sample sizes, perhaps through multisite studies are needed in order to recommend the modalities reviewed in this study and the many other possibilities such as meditation, aroma therapy, yoga, acupuncture, Reiki, and other integrative therapies that may have benefit for this population. The clinical implications of the use of integrative modalities for children undergoing cancer treatment include empowering children and their families to gain control over their pain, anxiety, and to increase well-being. Many of these modalities are within the scope of practice for nursing. While some nurses may view this as another task, many nurses will welcome adding a simple tool to their toolbox that enables them to help children in their care.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by an NINR T32 Training Grant (TNR011972A) in Cancer Survivorship. The author would like to thank Janet Stewart, PhD, RN for her early critique of the manuscript and her mentor, Susan M. Cohen, PhD, APRN, FAAN for her encouragement and support.

References

- Baker, CM. FACES history. Oct 15. 2009 Retrieved March 23, 2012, from <http://www.wongbakerfaces.org/resources/faces-history>
- Bishop FL, Prescott P, Chan YK, Saville J, von Elm E, Lewith GT. Prevalence of complementary medicine use in pediatric cancer: a systematic review. *Pediatrics*. 2010; 125(4):768–776.10.1542/peds.2009-1775 [PubMed: 20308209]
- Chen X, French DC. Children's social competence in cultural context. [Review]. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2008; 59:591–616.10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093606 [PubMed: 18154504]
- Clerici CA, Veneroni L, Giacon B, Mariani L, Fossati-Bellani F. Complementary and alternative medical therapies used by children with cancer treated at an Italian pediatric oncology unit. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 53(4):599–604.10.1002/pbc.22093 [PubMed: 19492321]
- Doellman D. Pharmacological versus nonpharmacological techniques in reducing venipuncture psychological trauma in pediatric patients. *J Infus Nurs*. 2003; 26(2):103–109. [PubMed: 12642799]
- Elliott CH, Jay SM, Woody P. A behavioral observation scale for measuring children's distress during medical procedures. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*. 1987; 12:543–551. [PubMed: 3430286]
- Genc RE, Senol S, Turgay AS, Kantar M. Complementary and alternative medicine used by pediatric patients with cancer in western Turkey. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2009; 36(3):E159–164.10.1188/09.ONF.E159-E164 [PubMed: 19403444]
- Gershon J, Zimand E, Pickering M, Rothbaum BO, Hodges L. A pilot and feasibility study of virtual reality as a distraction for children with cancer. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2004; 43(10):1243–1249.10.1097/01.chi.0000135621.23145.05 [PubMed: 15381891]

- Goldman A, Hewitt M, Collins GS, Childs M, Hain R. United Kingdom Children's Cancer Study Group/Paediatric Oncology Nurses' Forum Palliative Care Working G. Symptoms in children/young people with progressive malignant disease: United Kingdom Children's Cancer Study Group/Paediatric Oncology Nurses Forum survey. *Pediatrics*. 2006; 117(6):e1179–1186.10.1542/peds.2005-0683 [PubMed: 16740818]
- Gomez-Martinez R, Tlacuilo-Parra A, Garibaldi-Covarrubias R. Use of complementary and alternative medicine in children with cancer in Occidental, Mexico. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2007; 49(6):820–823.10.1002/pbc.21101 [PubMed: 17171688]
- Hamidah A, Rustam ZA, Tamil AM, Zarina LA, Zulkifli ZS, Jamal R. Prevalence and parental perceptions of complementary and alternative medicine use by children with cancer in a multi-ethnic Southeast Asian population. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 52(1):70–74.10.1002/pbc.21798 [PubMed: 18937312]
- Hawkins PJ, Liossi C, Ewart BW, Hatira P, Kosmidis VH. Hypnosis in the alleviation of procedure related pain and distress in paediatric oncology patients. *Contemporary Hypnosis*. 1998; 15(4):199–207.10.1002/ch.135
- Jay S, Elliott CH, Fitzgibbons I, Woody P, Siegel S. A comparative study of cognitive behavior therapy versus general anesthesia for painful medical procedures in children. *Pain*. 1995; 62(1):3–9. [PubMed: 7478706]
- Jay SM, Elliott C. Behavioral observation scales for measuring children's distress: the effects of increased methodological rigor. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1984; 52(6):1106–1107. [PubMed: 6520283]
- Jay SM, Ozolins M, Elliott CH, Caldwell S. Assessment of children's distress during painful procedures. *Health Psychol*. 1983; 2:133–147.
- Jongudomkarn D, Angsupakorn N, Siripul P. The development and validation of the Khon Kaen University Pediatric Pain Assessment Tool for school-aged Isaan children in Thailand. *J Transcult Nurs*. 2008; 19(3):213–222.10.1177/1043659608317092 [PubMed: 18424569]
- Kleiber C, Harper DC. Effects of distraction on children's pain and distress during medical procedures: a meta-analysis. *Nurs Res*. 1999; 48(1):44–49. [PubMed: 10029401]
- Landier W, Tse AM. Use of complementary and alternative medical interventions for the management of procedure-related pain, anxiety, and distress in pediatric oncology: an integrative review. *J Pediatr Nurs*. 2010; 25(6):566–579.10.1016/j.pedn.2010.01.009 [PubMed: 21035021]
- LeBaron S, Zeltzer L. Assessment of acute pain and anxiety in children and adolescents by self-reports, observer reports, and a behavior checklist. [Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S.]. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1984; 52(5):729–738. [PubMed: 6501658]
- Lillard, A. Pretend play and cognitive development. In: Goswami, U., editor. *Blackwell Handbook of Childhood Cognitive Development*. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishers, Ltd; 2002. p. 188-205.
- Liossi C, Hatira P. Clinical hypnosis versus cognitive behavioral training for pain management with pediatric cancer patients undergoing bone marrow aspirations. *Int J Clin Exp Hypn*. 1999; 47(2):104–116.10.1080/00207149908410025 [PubMed: 10208073]
- Liossi C, White P, Hatira P. Randomized clinical trial of local anesthetic versus a combination of local anesthetic with self-hypnosis in the management of pediatric procedure-related pain. *Health Psychol*. 2006; 25(3):307–315.10.1037/0278-6133.25.3.307 [PubMed: 16719602]
- Liossi C, White P, Hatira P. A randomized clinical trial of a brief hypnosis intervention to control venepuncture-related pain of paediatric cancer patients. *Pain*. 2009; 142(3):255–263.10.1016/j.pain.2009.01.017 [PubMed: 19231082]
- Madden JR, Mowry P, Gao D, Cullen PM, Foreman NK. Creative arts therapy improves quality of life for pediatric brain tumor patients receiving outpatient chemotherapy. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs*. 2010; 27(3):133–145.10.1177/1043454209355452 [PubMed: 20386062]
- Manne SL, Redd WH, Jacobsen PB, Gorfinkle K, Schorr O, Rapkin B. Behavioral intervention to reduce child and parent distress during venipuncture. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1990; 58(5):565–572. [PubMed: 2254503]
- Marteau TM, Bekker H. The development of a six-item short-form of the state scale of the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). *Br J Clin Psychol*. 1992; 31(Pt 3):301–306. [PubMed: 1393159]

- McGrath, PA.; Brown, SC. Pain control. In: Doyle, D.; Hanks, G.; Cherny, N.; Calman, K., editors. Oxford Textbook of Palliative Medicine. 3. New York: Oxford University Press; 2005. p. 775-789.
- National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine. What is complementary and alternative medicine?. 2012. Retrieved October 28, 2012, from <http://nccam.nih.gov/health/whatiscom/>
- Nguyen TN, Nilsson S, Hellstrom AL, Bengtson A. Music therapy to reduce pain and anxiety in children with cancer undergoing lumbar puncture: a randomized clinical trial. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs.* 2010; 27(3):146–155.10.1177/1043454209355983 [PubMed: 20386063]
- Pederson C. Promoting parental use of nonpharmacologic techniques with children during lumbar punctures. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs.* 1996; 13(1):21–30. [PubMed: 8904463]
- Post-White J. Complementary and alternative medicine in pediatric oncology. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs.* 2006; 23(5):244–253.10.1177/1043454206291357 [PubMed: 16902077]
- Post-White J, Fitzgerald M, Hageness S, Sencer SF. Complementary and alternative medicine use in children with cancer and general and specialty pediatrics. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs.* 2009; 26(1):7–15.10.1177/1043454208323914 [PubMed: 18936292]
- Post-White J, Fitzgerald M, Savik K, Hooke MC, Hannahan AB, Sencer SF. Massage therapy for children with cancer. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs.* 2009; 26(1):16–28.10.1177/1043454208323295 [PubMed: 19074355]
- Raval VV, Martini TS. Maternal socialization of children's anger, sadness, and physical pain in two communities in Gujarat, India. *International Journal of Behavioral Development.* 2009; 33(3):215–229.10.1177/0165025408098022
- Richardson J, Smith JE, McCall G, Pilkington K. Hypnosis for procedure-related pain and distress in pediatric cancer patients: a systematic review of effectiveness and methodology related to hypnosis interventions. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2006; 31(1):70–84.10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2005.06.010 [PubMed: 16442484]
- Schneider SM, Workman ML. Virtual reality as a distraction intervention for older children receiving chemotherapy. *Pediatr Nurs.* 2000; 26(6):593–597. [PubMed: 12026359]
- Shields BJ, Palermo TM, DPJ, Grewe SD, Smith GA. Predictors of a child's ability to use a visual analogue scale. *Child Care Health Dev.* 2003; 29:281–290. [PubMed: 12823333]
- Smith JT, Barabasz A, Barabasz M. Comparison of hypnosis and distraction in severely ill children undergoing painful medical procedures. *Journal of Counseling Psychology.* 1996; 43(2):187–195.
- Spielberger, C.; Edwards, CD.; Lushene, R.; Montuori, J.; Platzek, D. The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press; 1973.
- Spielberger, CD.; Gorsch, RL.; Lushene, RE.; Vagg, PR.; Jacobs, GA. State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Adults. Menlo Park, CA: Mindgarden; 1983.
- Tomlinson D, Hesser T, Ethier MC, Sung L. Complementary and alternative medicine use in pediatric cancer reported during palliative phase of disease. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Support Care Cancer.* 2011; 19(11):1857–1863.10.1007/s00520-010-1029-0 [PubMed: 20972804]
- Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Katz ER, Meeske K, Dickinson P. The PedsQL in pediatric cancer: reliability and validity of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Generic Core Scales, Multidimensional Fatigue Scale, and Cancer Module. *Cancer.* 2002; 94(7):2090–2106. [PubMed: 11932914]
- Varni JW, Walco GA, Katz ER. A cognitive-behavioral approach to pain associated with pediatric chronic diseases. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 1989; 4(4):238–241. [PubMed: 2607181]
- Whaley, L.; Wong, DT. Nursing care of infants and children. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 1987.
- Wilson, DB. Effect Size Determination Program. College Park, MD: University of Maryland; 2001.

Table 1
Summary of complementary modalities studies for pain and anxiety in pediatric cancer patients

Authors/Journal/Year	Purpose of Study	Modality/Procedure	Outcomes Measured	Study Design	Sample	Significant Results	Effect Size
Smith, J. T., Barabasz, A., Barabasz, M. <i>Journal of Counseling Psychology</i> 1996	The purpose this study was to test the neodissociation theory using hypnosis versus distraction during venipuncture or port-a-cath (PAC) access	Hypnosis & distraction for venipuncture or PAC access	Pain, Anxiety	Purposive randomized crossover (high and low hypnotizable children were randomized to groups separately for balance)	27 children ages 3–8 (mean=4.5), 63% male, 39% white, 17% African American, 31% Latino/Hispanic, 14% Haitian with a hematology/oncology diagnosis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highly hypnotizable children had significantly less pain $F(2, 50) = 11.68$, $p < .001$ and anxiety $F(2, 50) = 13.76$, $p < .001$ during procedures Highly hypnotizable v low hypnotizable children in hypnosis arm self-report pain Highly hypnotizable v low hypnotizable children in hypnosis arm self-report anxiety 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $d=2.39$ $d=1.16$
Liossi, C. Hatira, P. <i>International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis</i> 1999	To compare the efficacy of hypnosis v mind-body coping skills	Hypnosis, mind-body during BMA	Pain, Anxiety	RCT 3 groups <ul style="list-style-type: none"> hypnosis mind-body coping skills Usual care 	30 children ages 5–15 (mean age = 8), 57% male with leukemia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hypnosis was effective for pain ($p=.005$) and anxiety ($p=.005$) in BMA after intervention Mind-body was effective for pain ($p=.008$) and anxiety ($p=.04$) in BMA after intervention 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pain $d=3.87$ Anxiety $d=3.87$ Pain $d=3.07$ Anxiety $d=1.70$
Liossi, C. White, P. Hatira, P. <i>Health Psychology</i> 2006	Compare the efficacy of EMLA cream with EMLA plus hypnosis for pain and anxiety during a lumbar puncture (LP)	Self-hypnosis during an LP	Pain, Anxiety	RCT 3 groups <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EMLA only EMLA+self-Hypnosis EMLA+Attention 	45 children, ages 6–16 (mean=8.84), 51% male diagnosed with either leukemia or non-Hodgkins lymphoma who had already experienced 5–6 LP procedures	<p>Child self-report of anxiety for EMLA +hypnosis compared to EMLA+attention: $T2, t(28)=6.88$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2=.63$;</p> <p>And when compared to EMLA only: $T2, t(28)=10.14$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2=.79$;</p> <p>Child self-report pain EMLA</p>	<p>(EMLA+attention) (T2-T4)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> $d=2.52$ $d=2.60$ $d=2.52$ <p>(EMLA only) (T2-T4)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> $d=3.71$ $d=2.65$ $d=2.49$ <p>(EMLA+attention) $d=1.50$</p>

Authors/Journal/Year	Purpose of Study	Modality/Procedure	Outcomes Measured	Study Design	Sample	Significant Results	Effect Size
						+hypnosis group compared to control group: T2, $t(28)=4.12, p<.001, \eta^2=.38$; T3, $t(28)=4.75, p<.001, \eta^2=.45$; T4, $t(28)=3.40, p<.002, \eta^2=.29$; and when compared to the EMLA group: T2, $t(28)=6.17, p<.001, \eta^2=.58$; T3, $t(28)=6.15, p<.001, \eta^2=.58$; T4, $t(28)=4.88, p<.001, \eta^2=.46$	(EMLA only) • $d=2.24$ • $d=2.27$ • $d=1.78$
Liossi, C., White, P. Hatra, P. <i>Pain</i> 2009	Compare efficacy of EMLA cream to self-hypnosis for relief of venipuncture-induced pain and anxiety	Hypnosis during venipuncture	Pain, Anxiety	RCT 3 groups • EMLA only • EMLA+self-Hypnosis • EMLA+Attention	45 children ages 7–16 (mean=8.5), 44% male, all Greek with a diagnosis of cancer but not currently undergoing treatment	Significant difference between the EMLA +self-hypnosis and other groups all time points for self-report of pain $F(2,42)=42.95, p<.0001, \eta^2=.672$ and anxiety $F(2,42)=99.00, p<.0001, \eta^2=.825$ Pain: (First venipuncture) EMLA+Hyp v EMLA+Attn v EMLA Anxiety (first venipuncture) EMLA+Hyp v EMLA+Attn v EMLA	Pain • $d=2.25$ • $d=1.10$ Anxiety • $d=4.04$ • $d=1.73$
Hawkins, P. J., Liossi, C., Ewart, B. W., et al. <i>Contemporary Hypnosis</i> 1998	The purpose of this study was to evaluate direct v indirect hypnotic suggestion to reduce pain during lumbar	Hypnosis during LPs	Pain, Anxiety	Randomized between 2 hypnosis groups, no control Randomized between 2 hypnosis groups, no control	30 children ages 6–16, 40% male, all Greek with leukemia and 10% with Hodgkin's	• Lower pain ($p<.001$) and anxiety ($p<.001$) scores over time • Lowest anxiety ($p<.001$) scores over time	Pain Direct pre/post ($d=2.24$) Indirect pre/post ($d=2.40$) Anxiety Direct pre/post ($d=2.40$) Indirect pre/post ($d=2.24$)

Authors/Journal/Year	Purpose of Study	Modality/Procedure	Outcomes Measured	Study Design	Sample	Significant Results	Effect Size
Jay, S., Elliott, C. H., Fitzgibbons, L., et al. <i>Pain</i> 1995	<p>puncture (LP) and explore the relationship between hypnoidizability and outcome</p> <p>puncture (LP) and explore the relationship between hypnoidizability and outcome</p> <p>puncture (LP) and explore the relationship between hypnoidizability and outcome</p> <p>puncture (LP) and explore the relationship between hypnoidizability and outcome</p>	<p>Explore the relationship between hypnoidizability and outcome</p> <p>Explore the relationship between hypnoidizability and outcome</p> <p>Explore the relationship between hypnoidizability and outcome</p> <p>Explore the relationship between hypnoidizability and outcome</p>	<p>Pain, Anxiety</p>	Randomized crossover	<p>lymphoma who had experienced 5-6 previous LPs</p>	<p>• Pain (d=2.31) post (d=2.31) Indirect pre/post (d=2.39)</p> <p>• Anxiety (p=83) or (p=92) for type of suggestion.</p> <p>• Children were more distressed in the first minute mind-body vs general anesthesia, mean 2.8, SD=3.0 vs mean=1.3, SD=, 45(t=3.71, df=17, p=.002)</p> <p>• Children had more behavior-related symptoms after GA than mind-body, general anesthesia mean=.72, SD=1.13 v mind-body mean =.11, SD=.47 (t=3.05, df=17, p=.007)</p> <p>• Parents and children preferred general anesthesia to mind-body although not statistically significant (children 58% v 42%, parents 56% v 40%)</p>	<p>Direct pre/post (d=2.31) Indirect pre/post (d=2.39)</p> <p>• d=1.24</p> <p>• d=.70</p>
Pederson, C. <i>Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing</i> 1996	Measure the effect of promoting the use of selected nonpharmacologic techniques on children's pain during lumbar puncture (LP)	Distraction & breathing techniques during LP	Pain Anxiety operationalized as verbal resistance, requests for emotional support, muscular rigidity	Randomized crossover	<p>8 children ages 6-14 (mean=8.4), 63% male, 100% white with a diagnosis of leukemia</p>	<p>• Near-significant (p=.10) for pain</p> <p>• Significant for muscular rigidity (p=.04)</p> <p>• Near significant (p=.05) for verbal resistance</p> <p>• <i>Effect sizes were corrected for small sample size</i></p>	<p>• Pain d=2.49</p> <p>• Could not calculate Verbal resistance d=8.57</p>
Manne, S. L., Redd, W. H., Jacobsen, P. B., et al. <i>Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology</i> 1990	To investigate a behavioral intervention incorporating parent coaching,	Behavioral during venipuncture	Pain, Distress	Randomized to either behavioral (intervention) or attention control groups (parent's attention)	<p>23 children ages 3-9 (mean=4.7), 48% male, 65% white, 26%</p>	<p>• There was significant decrease in observed distress (p<.05) from baseline</p>	• d=1.18

Authors/Journal/Year	Purpose of Study	Modality/Procedure	Outcomes Measured	Study Design	Sample	Significant Results	Effect Size
	attentional distraction, and positive reinforcement to control child distress during venipuncture attentional distraction, and positive reinforcement to control child distress during venipuncture attentional distraction, and positive reinforcement to control child distress during venipuncture attentional distraction, and positive reinforcement to control child distress during venipuncture attentional distraction, and positive reinforcement to control child distress during venipuncture attentional distraction, and positive reinforcement to control child distress during venipuncture attentional distraction, and positive reinforcement to control child distress during venipuncture				African American, 9% Hispanic with a diagnosis of some type of cancer who were restrained during previous venipuncture	for all trials combined • Non-significant decrease in child self-report of pain for all trials combined • Significant decrease in pain by parent-report (p<.01) for all trials combined	• d=-.57 • d=1.36
Gershon, J., Zimand, E., Pickering, M., et al. <i>Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry</i> 2004	To determine whether an immersive virtual reality (VR) technique is feasible and beneficial for children undergoing medical procedures.	Virtual reality program during Port-a-cath access	Pain, Anxiety	RCT 3 groups • VR • Non-VR Distraction • Usual care	59 children ages 7–19 (mean age 12.7), 51% male, 64% white, 20% African American, 6% Latino, 3% Native American, 7% other with a diagnosis of cancer visiting an outpatient clinic for PAC access	Children receiving VR • Lower pulse rate during procedure, F=2.76 (p=.04), $\eta^2=.09$ (medium effect size) • Lower nurse pain rating during procedure (p<.05) for VR and nonVR over control on VAS. • No significance for anxiety	Pulse rate VR compared to control group d=.74
Madden J. R., Mowry P., Gao D., et al. <i>Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing</i> 2010	To evaluate the effects of a creative arts therapy (CAT) intervention on the quality of life of children receiving chemotherapy	CAT v attention during outpatient chemotherapy	Pain, Anxiety	3 Phases, (1) Randomized (2) non-randomized (3) qualitative	Ph1: 16 children ages 2–13 (median=5.3), 78% males, all diagnosed with brain tumors Ph2: 32 children ages 3–21 (median=8.3) with any type of cancer	• Ph1 significant per parent report effect on pain p=.03 • Ph2 significant on child-report of pain p=.006 and nervousness p=.024	• Pain • d=-.54 Pain • d=-.49 Nervousness • d=-.40
Post-White, J., Fitzgerald, M., Savik, K., et al. <i>Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing</i> 2009	To determine the feasibility of providing massage to children with cancer to reduce symptoms in children and anxiety in parents	Massage for general symptom management while child on chemo treatment	Pain, Anxiety	Randomized crossover (massage or quiet time)	17 children ages 1–18, 60% male, 86% white diagnosed with any type of cancer	• Children ages 1–13 had less anxiety (p=.04) and children ages 14–18 had a nearly significant decrease in anxiety (p=.058) • Significant for lower heart rate (p=.02) and nearly significant for	Age 1–13 d=.145 Age 14–18 d=.185 HR d=.1.37 RR d=.1.08

Authors/Journal/Year	Purpose of Study	Modality/Procedure	Outcomes Measured	Study Design	Sample	Significant Results	Effect Size
Nguyen, T. N., Nilsson, S., Hellstrom, A. L., et al. <i>Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing</i> 2010	Evaluate if music medicine influences pain and anxiety in children undergoing lumbar punctures (LP)	Music Therapy during an LP	Pain, Anxiety	RCT: randomized to either music (earphone) or control	40 children ages 7-12 (mean 8.8 intervention and 9.4 control) 63% male, all Vietnamese, diagnosed with leukemia	<p>respiratory rate ($p=.05$) respiratory rate ($p=.05$)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 100% of the children stated they felt better after massage physically, mentally, and emotionally and the results lasted several hours up to the rest of the day 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> During $d=1.49$ After $d=1.05$ Before $d=1.41$ After $d=1.47$ <p>HR (during) $d=.98$ RR (during) $d=.91$ RR (after) $d=1.03$</p>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant child self-report for pain during ($p<.001$) and after ($p=.003$) procedure Significant child self-report for anxiety before ($p<.001$) and after ($p<.001$) procedure Significant vital signs include heart rate during procedure ($p=.012$) and respiratory rate during ($p=.009$) and after ($p=.003$) 	

Table 2

Measures used for pain and anxiety in studies

Study/Purpose	Pain	Anxiety
Gershon et al. (2004) VR during PAC	Visual Analog Scale (VAS) (Varni, Walco, & Katz, 1989) Pulse-oxygen monitor	VAS
Jay et al. (1995) CBT v GA during BMA	Observational Scale of Behavioral Distress (OSBD) with established reliability and validity (Elliott, Jay, & Woody, 1987; Jay & Elliott, 1984; Jay, Ozolins, Elliott, & Caldwell, 1983)	
	5-point face/pain scale Dinamap for digital pulse readout	5-point face/anxiety scale
Madden et al. (2010) Creative arts therapy during chemotherapy	PedsQL 4.0 Cancer Module (Varni, Burwinkle, Katz, Meeske, & Dickinson, 2002) contains both a parent-proxy report and child self-report (ages 5 and older). Subscales include pain, nausea, procedural anxiety, treatment anxiety, worry, cognitive problems, perceived physical appearance, and communication. Reliability of .80 to .90 across total and individual scales.	
Nguyen et al. (2010) Music therapy for LP	Child-report Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) 0–10, validated with FACES (Jongdomkarn, Angsupakorn, & Siripul, 2008)	State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) a six-item validated scale (Marteau & Bekker, 1992)
	HR and RR were recorded before, during, and after procedure	
Post-White et al. (2009) Massage for general symptom management	PAT (pain assessment tool, parent proxy for ages 1–2), FACES (ages 3–13), VAS (ages 14–18)	State Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children (STAIC) both child self-report (ages 3–13) and parent proxy (ages 1–2) (C. Spielberger, Edwards, Lushene, Montuori, & Platzeck, 1973). STAI (C. D. Spielberger, Gorsch, Lushene, Vagg, & Jacobs, 1983) for ages 14–18
Pederson (1996) Distraction & breathing techniques during LP	VAS (0–100) used by child, parent, and nurse	STAIC OSBD
Manne, et al. (1990) Behavioral intervention to reduce distress during venipuncture	Child self-report on FACES scale. Parent report using a VAS	Author developed observer scale for distress. Reliability $\alpha=.73$
Liossi & Hatira (1999) Hypnosis v CBT during BMA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Procedure Behavior Checklist (PBCL). Trained nurse observer assessment for pain and distress behaviors (vocalizations, verbalizations, facial expressions, muscle tension and rigidity, general appearance) (LeBaron & Zeltzer, 1984) shows good reliability and validity. FACES (Whaley & Wong, 1987) 	
Hawkins et al. (1998) Hypnosis during LP	Child self-report unspecified tool for pain and anxiety PBCL	
Liossi et al. (2006) Hypnosis for LP	PBCL FACES	
Liossi et al. (2009) Hypnosis during venipuncture	100mm VAS (Shields, Palermo, D., Grewe, & Smith, 2003)	100mm VAS (no anxiety, worst possible anxiety)
	PBCL	
Smith et al. (1996) Hypnosis or distraction for venipuncture or PAC access	Children's Global Rating Scale (CGRS) used for pain and anxiety (Carpenter, 1992) OSBD Ordinal 1–5 scale for parent proxy rating of pain and anxiety after procedure	