Published in final edited form as:

Brain Behav Immun. 2014 August ; 40: 40–47. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2014.02.019.

Chronic stress accelerates pancreatic cancer growth and invasion: A critical role for beta-adrenergic signaling in the pancreatic microenvironment

Corina Kim-Fuchs^{1,2}, Caroline P. Le¹, Matthew A. Pimentel¹, David Shackleford³, Davide Ferrari⁴, Eliane Angst^{2,5}, Frédéric Hollande^{1,6}, and Erica K. Sloan^{1,7,8}

¹Drug Discovery Biology, Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Monash University, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia ²Department of Visceral Surgery and Medicine, University Hospital Bern, Bern, Switzerland ³Centre for Drug Candidate Optimisation, Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Monash University, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia ⁴Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia ⁵Clinic of Surgery, Cantonal Hospital, Schaffhausen, Switzerland ⁶Department of Pathology, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia ⁷Department of Cancer Anaesthesia and Pain Medicine, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, East Melbourne, Victoria 3002, Australia ⁸Cousins Center for PNI, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, UCLA AIDS Institute and Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California Los Angeles, USA

Abstract

Pancreatic cancer cells intimately interact with a complex microenvironment that influences pancreatic cancer progression. The pancreas is innervated by fibers of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and pancreatic cancer cells have receptors for SNS neurotransmitters which suggests that pancreatic cancer may be sensitive to neural signaling. In vitro and non-orthotopic in vivo studies showed that neural signaling modulates tumour cell behavior. However the effect of SNS signaling on tumor progression within the pancreatic microenvironment has not previously been investigated. To address this, we used *in vivo* optical imaging to non-invasively track growth and dissemination of primary pancreatic cancer using an orthotopic mouse model that replicates the complex interaction between pancreatic tumor cells and their microenvironment. Stress-induced neural activation increased primary tumor growth and tumor cell dissemination to normal adjacent pancreas. These effects were associated with increased expression of invasion genes by tumor cells and pancreatic stromal cells. Pharmacological activation of β -adrenergic signaling induced similar effects to chronic stress, and pharmacological β -blockade reversed the effects of chronic stress on pancreatic cancer progression. These findings indicate that neural β -adrenergic

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Erica Sloan, PhD, Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Monash University, 381 Royal Pde, Parkville VIC 3052, Australia, Tel. +61 3 9903 9707, erica.sloan@monash.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

signaling regulates pancreatic cancer progression and suggest β -blockade as a novel strategy to complement existing therapies for pancreatic cancer.

Keywords

Chronic stress; beta-adrenergic; pancreatic cancer; invasion; beta-blocker; tumor microenvironment

INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death, with approximately 40,000 new cases in the US each year [1]. The overall survival rate is less than 5% and effective strategies to improve clinical outcomes are critically needed. Survival from pancreatic cancer depends on successful resection of the primary tumor with 5-year survival rates of 20–30% reported in specialized centers [2]. However, even when surgery results in tumor-free resection margins recurrence rates are high and most patients eventually die of local tumor recurrence and metastatic disease [3–5]. Additionally, many patients are diagnosed with advanced stage pancreatic cancer, which limits surgical treatment [6, 7]. Gemcitabine is the standard-of-care chemotherapy regimen for locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer, but the overall prolongation of survival is disappointingly small (5–7 months) [8–10]. Recent trials of combination chemotherapies and targeted therapeutic strategies including FOLFIRINOX, nab-Paclitaxel and the EGFR inhibitor, erlotinib, have shown additional survival benefit of only days to weeks, with increased toxicity that limits their use to otherwise fit patients [11–13]. Development of improved therapeutic strategies to treat pancreatic cancer requires a more detailed understanding of the molecular and cellular mediators that influence pancreatic cancer growth and dissemination.

The limited success of existing therapeutic strategies may be due, in part, to our poor understanding of the effect of cross-talk between pancreatic tumor cells and their surrounding stroma on cancer progression [14–16]. Pancreatic adenocarcinomas interact with a complex stroma that includes nerve fibers, infiltrating immune cells, endothelial cells, and pancreatic stellate cells that secrete abundant extracellular matrix [15–19]. These stromal cells exert a critical influence on pancreatic cancer progression [15–18, 20]. However, many therapeutic strategies were developed in cell-based and non-orthotopic disease models that fail to reflect the complexity of *in vivo* interactions [14, 21]. Identification of new targets for novel therapies to slow or prevent pancreatic cancer requires studies in disease models that better recapitulate interactions between tumor cells and the pancreatic microenvironment.

The pancreas is innervated by fibers of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS), which regulate pancreatic functions including release of insulin by islet cells and release of digestive enzymes by acinar cells [19, 22]. SNS fibers are activated during chronic stress and release catecholaminergic neurotransmitters that act on adrenoceptors to modulate cell behavior. β -adrenoceptors are present on pancreatic tumor cells and *in vitro* studies suggest that tumor cell behavior may be sensitive to β -adrenergic signaling [23–25]. However, the effect of stress-induced β -adrenergic signaling on cancer progression within the complex

pancreatic microenvironment has not been investigated. To address this we used an orthotopic model of human pancreatic cancer to investigate the effect of chronic stress on primary tumor growth and tumor cell dissemination within the pancreatic microenvironment.

METHODS

Orthotopic pancreatic cancer model

The human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cell lines Panc-1, HPAF-II and Capan-1 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, and maintained at 37°C, 5 % CO₂. These cell lines were chosen because they have mutated *TP53* and *KRAS*, which are common driver mutations in pancreatic cancer and because they range from well differentiated (Capan-1) to moderately and highly undifferentiated (HPAF-II and Panc-1, respectively)[26]. Panc-1 cells were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (Bovogen Biologicals) and 1 % penicillin-streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). HPAF-II cells were cultured in RPMI (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum and 1 % penicillin-streptomycin. Capan-1 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 20 % fetal bovine serum and 1 % penicillin-streptomycin. To model human pancreatic cancer, 4×10^5 tumor cells in Matrigel (BD Bioscience) were injected into the tail of the pancreas of six week old female BALB/c-Foxn1nu nude athymic mice (The University of Adelaide, Australia) by laparotomy as previously described [27]. Panc-1 was chosen for *in vivo* studies as tumors are poorly differentiated which is characteristic of patients who are diagnosed with advanced stage and grade [27, 28]. To track tumor progression *in vivo*, cell lines were transduced with FUhLucW construct that expresses firefly luciferase under control of the ubiquitin C promoter [29]. Tumor progression was monitored longitudinally over 42 days by *in vivo* and *ex vivo* optical bioluminescent imaging using an IVIS Lumina II system (Perkin Elmer) as described previously [27, 30]. The presence of tumor cell dissemination beyond the tumor margins and into adjacent normal pancreas and metastasis to distant organs was measured by *ex vivo* optical imaging using long exposure times (>60 sec), and confirmed by hematoxylin and eosin staining. Findings were validated in 2–3 independent experiments. All procedures were conducted in accordance with protocols approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Monash University.

Chronic Stress

Mice were randomly assigned to home cage control conditions (control) or 2 hours per day restraint (stress) for 28 days commencing 7 days before tumor cell injection. Mice were restrained in a confined space that prevented them from moving freely but did not press on them [31]. This paradigm has been shown to induce chronic stress as shown by neuroendocrine activation [31, 32], weight loss [33](Supplementary Figure 1), and anxietylike behaviors [34] but does not cause pain or wounding [35].

Pharmacological studies

For β -adrenergic antagonist studies 10 mg/kg/day (R/S)-propranolol (treatment) or water vehicle (placebo) was delivered to mice subcutaneously by osmotic minipump (Model 1004,

Alzet). Propranolol was delivered for the duration of the experiment commencing seven days prior to tumor cell injection, with pumps replaced 24 days after implantation. Drug plasma concentration was assessed 20 days after pump implantation by UPLC-MS using a Micromass Quattro Premier coupled to an Acquity UPLC (Waters). For β -adrenergic agonist studies, 5 mg/kg/day (S/S)-isoproterenol (treatment) or 1 mM HCl vehicle (placebo) was delivered subcutaneously to mice via osmotic minipump (Model 1002, Alzet). Isoproterenol was delivered for 28 days, commencing seven days prior to tumor cell injection, with pumps replaced 14 days after implantation. Mice were maintained in their home cage for the duration of experiments that included isoproterenol treatment.

Invasion assay

2.5×10^5 pancreatic cancer cells in serum free culture medium were seeded into the top well of a transwell chamber with 8.0 μ m pores (BD Falcon) that was coated with 15 mg/mL Matrigel. Cells were allowed to migrate towards medium containing 20 % serum for 22 hours and then stained with DAPI. Cells that had migrated to the underside of the membrane were counted.

Proliferation assay

The influence of isoproterenol on proliferation was assessed using the CellTiter 96® Aqueous One Proliferation Assay (Promega). 8×10^3 cells were seeded into a 96-well plate and assayed over 120 hours, according to manufacturer's instructions.

Gene expression studies

RNA was isolated from cell lines or primary pancreatic tumors using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Transcript levels were quantified by RT-PCR using iScript One-Step RT-PCR kit (Biorad) and species-specific Taqman probes (Applied Biosystems) to identify human (tumor cell) vs mouse (stromal cell) genes (*ADRB1* Hs02330048_s1, Mm00431701_s1; *ADRB2* Hs00240532_s1, Mm02524224_s1; *MMP2* Hs01548727_m1, Mm00439498_m1; *MMP9* Hs00234579_m1, Mm00442991_m1) with 50 amplification cycles of 15 sec strand separation at 95 °C and 60 sec annealing and extension at 60 °C. Samples were analyzed in triplicate and expression was normalized to *RPL30* expression (Hs00265497_m1, Mm01613252_g1) [36].

cAMP assay

5×10^5 cells were seeded into a 96-well transparent plate and cultured overnight. Cells were washed with PBS and incubated in stimulation buffer (phenol-free DMEM, 0.1 % BSA, 1 mM IBMX) at 37 °C for 60 min. Agonists were added for 30 minutes before cells were lysed with ice-cold 100 % ethanol and rehydrated with lysis buffer (0.01 % BSA, 5 mM HEPES, 0.3 % Tween20). Cell lysates were incubated with AlphaScreen™ beads diluted in lysis buffer, followed by incubation with donor beads, and fluorescence signal was measured with a Fusion plate reader (Perkin Elmer). cAMP accumulation was expressed as a fraction of maximal stimulation induced by 10 μ M forskolin.

Immunostaining

Cells were grown on slides, fixed in -20°C acetone then incubated with antibodies against $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptor (H-20 rabbit polyclonal diluted 1:150, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies) for 16 hours at 4°C , followed by incubation with fluorescent Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) and DAPI nuclear stain (Sigma). Immunostaining was imaged using an inverted microscope with fluorescence filters (Olympus). De-identified archival patient samples were obtained from Bern University Hospital in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Human Research Ethic Committee. Samples were dewaxed and incubated with antibodies as above and visualized by reaction with diaminobenzidine peroxidase (Vector) with hematoxylin counterstain.

Statistical Analyses

Student's t test analyzed the effect of stress or isoproterenol on size and frequency of tumor cell dissemination and metastasis, and differences in gene expression levels. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard error. To determine the effect of stress on the longitudinal growth trajectory of tumors, and whether those effects were modified by pharmacological interventions that targeted β -adrenoreceptors, we examined the stress $\times$ treatment interaction term in a 2 (control vs stress) $\times$ 2 (treatment vs placebo) experimental design in the context of mixed-effects linear model analysis [37]. Data were analysed according to the model: $y_{ijt} = \alpha + vt_{ij} + \beta_1 d_{1t_{ij}} + \beta_2 d_{2t_{ij}} + \beta_3 d_{3t_{ij}} + a_i + b_i t_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijt}$ where: y_i is the tumor-specific luciferase activity for the i th mouse on the logarithmic scale, t is the time (days of followup), α is the intercept parameter, v is the common growth rate parameter, d_{ij} ($j=1, \dots, 3$) are binary variables so that $d_{ij} = 1$ if the i th mouse belongs to the j th group and 0 otherwise; a_i and b_i are independent mouse-specific random effects, which we assume to be normally distributed; and ε_i is the error term. Estimated parameters are presented in Supplementary Table 1 where Model 1 (Figure 3C) and Model 2 (Figure 1C) have the same structure but Model 1 sets $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ for analysis of two (control and stress) groups. Parameter estimates were computed in R programming environment using the package *nlme* [38].

RESULTS

Chronic stress increases pancreatic cancer progression

To assess the effects of chronic stress on cancer progression within the pancreatic microenvironment, we used bioluminescence imaging to monitor primary tumor growth and metastatic dissemination in an orthotopic mouse model of human pancreatic cancer. To investigate the effect of chronic stress in the context of crosstalk between cancer cells and pancreatic stromal cells, luciferase-tagged Panc-1 cells were injected into the pancreas by laparotomy [27]. Chronic stress was induced by subjecting mice to repeated daily restraint (Figure 1A), which up regulates adrenergic stress response pathways as indicated by weight loss and increased tissue catecholamine levels (Supplementary figure 1) [31]. Longitudinal analysis found that stress increased the rate of pancreatic tumor growth by $10.92\% \pm 3.07$ per day compared to mice maintained in their home cage ($p < .01$) (Figure 1B). The effect of stress on primary tumor bioluminescence was observed by day 21 after tumor cell injection and resulted in >10 -fold increased tumor-specific bioluminescence at day 42 ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 1B, C).

Pancreatic cancer morbidity and mortality is induced by primary tumor growth, tumor cell invasion of adjacent normal pancreas which may seed recurrence after resection, as well as metastatic dissemination to distant organs. To investigate the effect of stress on each of these contributors to disease progression, we evaluated primary tumor mass, tumor cell dissemination into adjacent normal pancreas and frequency of distant metastasis. Chronic stress increased primary tumor mass by 5-fold ($7.5 \text{ mg} \pm 5$ vs. $41 \text{ mg} \pm 13$; $p = 0.03$) (Figure 1D). To investigate the effect of stress on tumor cell dissemination beyond resection margins and into surrounding normal pancreas, the primary tumor was identified in the pancreatic tail adjacent to the spleen (Figure 2A, left panel) and resected with 2 mm margins. R0 resection margins were confirmed by bioluminescence imaging (Figure 2A, middle panel). The remaining pancreas was imaged ex vivo to identify disseminated luciferase-positive tumor cells (Figure 2A, middle panel). Stress increased tumor-specific bioluminescence by > 10 fold ($p = 0.004$) (Figure 2B). Local invasion of tumor cells in the pancreatic microenvironment was confirmed by histology (Figure 2A, right panel). In addition to effects within the pancreas, stress induced metastatic dissemination of tumor cells to distant organs in 50% of mice compared to control where no distant metastasis was detected (Figures 2C, 1B). Bioluminescence imaging and histological analyses confirmed the presence of liver metastasis (Figure 2D), which also occurs in patients with pancreatic cancer [39]. These findings indicate that chronic stress promotes pancreatic cancer progression by accelerating primary tumor growth and dissemination of tumor cells within the pancreas and by inducing metastatic colonization of distant organs.

Beta-blockade slows pancreatic cancer progression

Chronic stress was found to accelerate progression of other solid tumor types and hematological malignancies through β -adrenergic signaling pathways [30, 31, 40–43]. To investigate the role of β -adrenergic signaling in pancreatic tumor growth and dissemination, mice were treated with the non-selective β -blocker propranolol to block signaling through β -adrenoceptors. LC-MS analysis confirmed systemic exposure of propranolol was successfully maintained throughout the treatment period, with an average propranolol plasma concentration 41 ng/mL (range: $27\text{--}76 \text{ ng/mL}$). Consistent with previous findings (Figure 1), stress increased the pancreatic tumor growth rate by $7.58\% (\pm 1.73)$ per day ($p < .01$). Treatment with propranolol reversed the effect of stress on pancreatic tumor growth rate by $2.83\% (\pm 1.73)$, resulting in a 60% decrease in tumor-specific bioluminescence on day 42 ($p = .016$) (Figure 3A, B). These findings suggest that stress acts through β -adrenergic signaling to modulate pancreatic cancer progression. Consistent with that hypothesis, propranolol blocked the effect of stress on primary tumor growth ($41 \text{ mg} \pm 13$ vs. $21 \text{ mg} \pm 5$) and on tumor cell dissemination through adjacent normal pancreas ($4.8 \times 10^6 \text{ photons/sec} \pm 2.1 \times 10^6$ vs. $2.9 \times 10^4 \pm 0.7 \times 10^4$) ($p = 0.05$) (Figures 3C, 3D). Beta-blockade did not modulate the effect of stress on metastasis in the timeframe of this investigation (Supplementary Figure 2).

To investigate if β -adrenergic signaling is sufficient to increase pancreatic cancer progression, mice were treated with β -adrenoceptor agonist isoproterenol vs vehicle control. Isoproterenol increased the rate of primary tumor growth (Figure 4A, B), resulting in 1.9-fold increase in primary tumor mass at 42 days after tumor cell injection ($p = 0.04$) (Figure

4C). Isoproterenol treatment increased tumor cell dissemination into the adjacent pancreas by 3.9-fold ($p = 0.004$) (Figure 4D), and induced metastatic dissemination to distant organs in 50% of mice (Figure 4E). These findings indicate that pharmacological β -adrenoceptor activation is sufficient to accelerate pancreatic cancer progression and show that β -adrenergic signaling is critical for the effects of chronic stress on primary tumor growth and tumor cell dissemination to the surrounding pancreatic microenvironment.

Beta-adrenergic signaling regulates pancreatic cancer cell invasion

To begin to explore the extent to which stress acts directly on tumor cells versus on the surrounding pancreatic microenvironment, we examined the effect of β -adrenoceptor activation on tumor cell proliferation and invasion in the absence of the pancreatic microenvironment. Immunostaining showed β -adrenoceptors on pancreatic cancer cell lines and on pancreatic cancer cells in pancreatic tumor samples from patients (Figure 5A). Quantitative RT-PCR confirmed expression of transcripts for β 1- and β 2-adrenoceptors in each cell line (Figure 5B, Supplementary Figure 3A). To confirm functional coupling of β -adrenoceptors to intracellular signaling pathways, tumor cells were treated with isoproterenol and the effect on cAMP levels was assayed. Isoproterenol induced a dose dependent accumulation of cAMP in tumor cells (Figure 5C, Supplementary Figure 3B), suggesting that pancreatic cancer cells are responsive to β -adrenoceptor signaling. However, despite functional receptor coupling to downstream signaling pathways, isoproterenol treatment did not modulate proliferation of cultured tumor cells (Supplementary Figure 4). This indicates that β -adrenergic signaling is insufficient to increase pancreatic cancer cell proliferation and suggests that the effects of stress on primary tumor growth in vivo (Figure 1C) may require additional factors (eg. β -adrenergic regulated stromal-derived growth factors) [24, 25, 44–46].

To investigate if β -adrenoceptor signaling directly to tumor cells is sufficient to modulate tumor cell invasion, cells were treated with isoproterenol and the effects on expression of invasion related genes and on basement membrane invasion were assayed. Isoproterenol induced modest expression of genes involved in tumor cell invasion including matrix metalloprotease 2 (*MMP2*) and *MMP9* (2-fold to 4-fold increase, $p < 0.01$), and this was associated with increased invasion through Matrigel ($p < 0.01$) (Figure 5D). Tumor cell invasion was blocked by propranolol, indicating a requirement for β -adrenoceptor signaling. However, in contrast to the modest effects observed in cultured tumor cells, chronic stress significantly up regulated expression of invasion genes in the pancreatic microenvironment. Species-specific qRT-PCR analyses found that stress preferentially up regulated tumor cell *MMP9* expression in primary pancreatic tumors (54-fold increase vs. control, $p < .001$) and stromal cell *MMP2* expression (> 100 -fold increase, $p < .01$) in primary pancreatic tumors, consistent with patterns of *MMP* expression observed in pancreatic tumors from patients [47]. Collectively, these findings suggest that β -adrenoceptor signaling directly to pancreatic cancer cells may impact invasion, and emphasize the importance of the pancreatic microenvironment in regulating tumor cell proliferation and invasion.

DISCUSSION

These studies found that chronic stress acts through β -adrenergic signaling pathways to increase pancreatic tumor growth and invasion. In the context of the pancreatic microenvironment, β -adrenergic signaling accelerated growth of primary pancreatic tumors and significantly enhanced tumor cell dissemination through adjacent normal pancreas and to distant organs. Even with potentially curative R0 resection, prognosis of patients with pancreatic cancer is exceptionally poor and autopsy results suggest that tumor recurrence approaches 100% [4, 48, 49]. These findings raise the possibility that chronic stress may contribute to pancreatic tumor recurrence by facilitating dissemination of tumor cells into adjacent normal pancreas where they may seed recurrent tumor growth and metastasis even after resection of the primary tumor. Pharmacologic blockade of β -adrenergic signaling with propranolol stopped tumor cell invasion of adjacent pancreas, which suggests that β -blockers may complement existing chemotherapeutic strategies to slow or prevent pancreatic tumor growth and invasion, and improve survival of patients with pancreatic cancer.

Beta-adrenergic regulation of primary pancreatic tumor growth contrasts with neural regulation of other solid tumor types including breast cancer where β -adrenoceptor signaling did not increase primary tumor size but selectively accelerated metastatic dissemination [30, 42, 50]. Pancreatic tumors frequently arise in the head of the pancreas where their growth may obstruct the bile duct and pancreatic duct, leading to jaundice, pruritus and liver metastasis [51]. This suggests that β -adrenergic regulation of primary pancreatic tumor growth – in addition to its effects on tumor cell invasion and dissemination – may contribute to the morbidity and mortality associated with pancreatic cancer. The physiological mechanisms for these differential effects on primary tumor growth are unclear but may reflect how stress signals are delivered to the tumor microenvironment and which stromal cells are responsive to those signals. In the context of breast cancer, chronic stress modulates the tumor microenvironment by recruiting M2 macrophages to primary tumors, which supports a switch to pro-metastatic gene expression [30, 42, 50]. Unlike breast, pancreas is densely innervated by SNS fibers, and it is possible that direct neurotransmitter activation of pancreatic stromal cell types such as pancreatic stellate cells may impact primary tumor growth. Pancreatic stellate cells are fibroblast-like cells specific to the pancreas that contribute to inflammation and tumorigenesis [16, 52]. Pancreatic stellate cells produce cytokines and growth factors and induce a desmoplastic reaction that has been implicated in chemoresistance [53]. Pancreatic stellate cells are closely related to hepatic stellate cells, which express β -adrenoceptors and are sensitive to catecholaminergic neurotransmitter signaling [54]. The effect of β -adrenoceptor signaling on pancreatic stellate cells is yet to be investigated and may provide insight to the effects of chronic stress on primary pancreatic cancer growth.

To fully understand the impact of chronic stress on pancreatic cancer progression, it will be important to further investigate the effects of β -adrenergic signaling to tumor cells versus stromal cells in the pancreatic microenvironment. Matrix metalloproteases facilitate tumor cell invasion and contribute to pancreatic cancer progression. MMP2 and MMP9 are differentially regulated by pancreatic tumor cells and pancreatic stromal cells in patient samples [47]. However the physiological factors that modulate pancreatic cancer MMP

expression is unknown. Our findings identify chronic stress as a novel regulator of MMP expression, which selectively up-regulated MMP9 in tumor cells and MMP2 in stromal cells in the pancreatic microenvironment. This findings suggest beta-blockade as a pharmacological intervention to limit expression of invasive genes and to prevent pancreatic cancer cell dissemination (Figure 3D).

Use of an orthotopic model of pancreatic cancer allowed the first investigation of the effects of chronic stress on tumor development and progression in the pancreatic microenvironment. In contrast, interpretation of previous studies that investigated pancreatic tumor growth in flank was limited by the context of non-physiological intercellular interactions [55–58]. To better understand β -adrenergic regulation of pancreatic cancer onset it will be important to investigate the effects of chronic stress in transgenic models that spontaneously develop pancreatic cancer [59]. Modified study design will also be required to investigate β -adrenergic regulation of pancreatic cancer metastasis. Both physiologic and pharmacologic β -adrenergic activation induced metastasis (Figures 2C, 2D, 4E). However, β -blockade did not modulate this effect in the short (six week) timeframe of these studies. This study design was chosen to focus on events that occur early in tumor development as studies of other tumor types suggest that this time point is sensitive to β -adrenergic signaling [30, 31, 40]. However, this design limited investigation of metastatic dissemination from pancreas to distant organs as few metastases arose during the six week timeframe of tumor growth. In future studies, a modified design that includes surgical resection of the primary tumor and longitudinal imaging follow up of tumor recurrence and metastasis would better facilitate assessment of β -adrenergic regulation of tumor recurrence and metastasis [27].

As primary tumor growth and local invasion of surrounding pancreas impact patient survival, the findings of the current study have important implications for management of chronic stress in patients with pancreatic cancer. Diagnosis of pancreatic cancer is associated with high levels of distress [60, 61], and beta-blocker treatment may be one strategy to reduce distress [62]. Findings presented here suggest that in addition to improving quality of life, therapeutic intervention of β -adrenergic stress response pathways might also affect cancer progression. To translate these findings it will be important to prospectively investigate the effect of β -blockade on disease progression in pancreatic cancer patients and to define the patient populations that will optimally benefit from adjuvant beta-blocker therapy.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Mr Ming Chai for preparing the cell lines, Dr Kouki Morizono for luciferase expression vectors, Ms Annja Winter for human tissue staining, Dr Anna Cook and Dr Chris Langmead for guidance with cAMP assays, Dr Francis Chiu for UPLC-MS analysis and Dr Sarah Creed, Dr Jonathan Hiller and Dr Phoebe Phillips for thoughtful discussion of this research. This work was supported by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (1008865, 1049561), the Australian Research Council (LE110100125), and the National Cancer Institute (CA160890). Dr Corina Kim-Fuchs is supported by a fellowship from the Swiss Cancer League and an

HDR scholarship from Monash University. Ms Caroline Le is supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award and a PhD scholarship from the Co-operative Research Centre for Cancer Therapeutics. Dr Eliane Angst is supported by a grant from the Bern Cancer League. Dr Erica Sloan is supported by an Early Career Fellowship from the National Breast Cancer Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2012; 62(1):10–29. [PubMed: 22237781]
2. Gaedcke J, et al. The mesopancreas is the primary site for R1 resection in pancreatic head cancer: relevance for clinical trials. *Langenbecks Arch Surg.* 2010; 395(4):451–458. [PubMed: 19418067]
3. Kleeff J, et al. Surgery for recurrent pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg.* 2007; 245(4): 566–572. [PubMed: 17414605]
4. Hishinuma S, et al. Patterns of recurrence after curative resection of pancreatic cancer, based on autopsy findings. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2006; 10(4):511–518. [PubMed: 16627216]
5. Han SS, et al. Analysis of long-term survivors after surgical resection for pancreatic cancer. *Pancreas.* 2006; 32(3):271–275. [PubMed: 16628082]
6. Kazanjian KK, et al. Improved survival following pancreaticoduodenectomy to treat adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: the influence of operative blood loss. *Arch Surg.* 2008; 143(12): 1166–1171. [PubMed: 19075167]
7. Bilimoria KY, et al. National failure to operate on early stage pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg.* 2007; 246(2):173–180. [PubMed: 17667493]
8. Storniolo AM, et al. An investigational new drug treatment program for patients with gemcitabine: results for over 3000 patients with pancreatic carcinoma. *Cancer.* 1999; 85(6):1261–1268. [PubMed: 10189130]
9. Herrmann R, et al. Gemcitabine plus capecitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in advanced pancreatic cancer: a randomized, multicenter, phase III trial of the Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research and the Central European Cooperative Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007; 25(16): 2212–2217. [PubMed: 17538165]
10. Rougier P, et al. Randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, parallel-group phase III study evaluating aflibercept in patients receiving first-line treatment with gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* 2013; 49(12):2633–2642. [PubMed: 23642329]
11. Conroy T, et al. FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2011; 364(19):1817–1825. [PubMed: 21561347]
12. Moore MJ, et al. Erlotinib plus gemcitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase III trial of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007; 25(15):1960–1966. [PubMed: 17452677]
13. Von Hoff DD, et al. Increased survival in pancreatic cancer with nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 369(18):1691–1703. [PubMed: 24131140]
14. Feig C, et al. The pancreas cancer microenvironment. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2012; 18(16):4266–4276. [PubMed: 22896693]
15. Demir IE, Friess H, Ceyhan GO. Nerve-cancer interactions in the stromal biology of pancreatic cancer. *Front Physiol.* 2012; 3:97. [PubMed: 22529816]
16. Vonlaufen A, et al. Pancreatic stellate cells: partners in crime with pancreatic cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 2008; 68(7):2085–2093. [PubMed: 18381413]
17. Apte MV, Pirola RC, Wilson JS. Pancreatic stellate cells: a starring role in normal and diseased pancreas. *Front Physiol.* 2012; 3:344. [PubMed: 22973234]
18. Hamada S, Masamune A, Shimosegawa T. Alteration of pancreatic cancer cell functions by tumor-stromal cell interaction. *Front Physiol.* 2013; 4:318. [PubMed: 24198790]
19. Richins CA. The innervation of the pancreas. *J Comp Neurol.* 1945; 83:223–236. [PubMed: 21009099]
20. Farrow B, Albo D, Berger DH. The role of the tumor microenvironment in the progression of pancreatic cancer. *J Surg Res.* 2008; 149(2):319–328. [PubMed: 18639248]

21. Johnson JJ, et al. Relationships between drug activity in NCI preclinical in vitro and in vivo models and early clinical trials. *Br J Cancer*. 2001; 84(10):1424–1431. [PubMed: 11355958]
22. Holmgren S, Olsson C. Autonomic control of glands and secretion: a comparative view. *Auton Neurosci*. 2011; 165(1):102–112. [PubMed: 21130712]
23. Guo K, et al. Norepinephrine-induced invasion by pancreatic cancer cells is inhibited by propranolol. *Oncol Rep*. 2009; 22(4):825–830. [PubMed: 19724861]
24. Schuller HM, Al-Wadei HA. Neurotransmitter receptors as central regulators of pancreatic cancer. *Future Oncol*. 2010; 6(2):221–228. [PubMed: 20146581]
25. Zhang D, et al. beta2-adrenergic antagonists suppress pancreatic cancer cell invasion by inhibiting CREB, NFkappaB and AP-1. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2010; 10(1):19–29. [PubMed: 20424515]
26. Yachida S, et al. Clinical significance of the genetic landscape of pancreatic cancer and implications for identification of potential long-term survivors. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2012; 18(22):6339–6347. [PubMed: 22991414]
27. Chai MG, et al. Bioluminescent orthotopic model of pancreatic cancer progression. *J Vis Exp*. 2013; (76)
28. Hotz HG, et al. An orthotopic nude mouse model for evaluating pathophysiology and therapy of pancreatic cancer. *Pancreas*. 2003; 26(4):e89–e98. [PubMed: 12717279]
29. Morizono K, et al. Lentiviral vector retargeting to P-glycoprotein on metastatic melanoma through intravenous injection. *Nat Med*. 2005; 11(3):346–352. [PubMed: 15711560]
30. Sloan EK, et al. The sympathetic nervous system induces a metastatic switch in primary breast cancer. *Cancer Res*. 2010; 70(18):7042–7052. [PubMed: 20823155]
31. Thaker PH, et al. Chronic stress promotes tumor growth and angiogenesis in a mouse model of ovarian carcinoma. *Nat Med*. 2006; 12(8):939–944. [PubMed: 16862152]
32. Manni L, et al. Repeated restraint and nerve growth factor administration in male and female mice: effect on sympathetic and cardiovascular mediators of the stress response. *Curr Neurovasc Res*. 2008; 5(1):1–12. [PubMed: 18289016]
33. Smagin GN, et al. Prevention of stress-induced weight loss by third ventricle CRF receptor antagonist. *Am J Physiol*. 1999; 276(5 Pt 2):R1461–R1468. [PubMed: 10233040]
34. Hermann G, et al. Stress-induced changes attributable to the sympathetic nervous system during experimental influenza viral infection in DBA/2 inbred mouse strain. *J Neuroimmunol*. 1994; 53(2):173–180. [PubMed: 8071431]
35. Sheridan JF, et al. Experimental models of stress and wound healing. *World J Surg*. 2004; 28(3):327–330. [PubMed: 14961184]
36. Rubie C, et al. Housekeeping gene variability in normal and cancerous colorectal, pancreatic, esophageal, gastric and hepatic tissues. *Mol Cell Probes*. 2005; 19(2):101–109. [PubMed: 15680211]
37. Demidenko, E. *Mixed Models: Theory and Applications*. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons; 2004.
38. Pinheiro Jose BD, Saikat DebRoy. Sarkar Deepayan and the R Development Core Team. *nlme. Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models*. 2013
39. Michalski CW, et al. Resection of primary pancreatic cancer and liver metastasis: a systematic review. *Dig Surg*. 2008; 25(6):473–480. [PubMed: 19212120]
40. Lamkin DM, et al. Chronic stress enhances progression of acute lymphoblastic leukemia via beta-adrenergic signaling. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2012; 26(4):635–641. [PubMed: 22306453]
41. Ben-Eliyahu S, et al. Evidence that stress and surgical interventions promote tumor development by suppressing natural killer cell activity. *Int J Cancer*. 1999; 80(6):880–888. [PubMed: 10074922]
42. Madden KS, Szpunar MJ, Brown EB. beta-Adrenergic receptors (beta-AR) regulate VEGF and IL-6 production by divergent pathways in high beta-AR-expressing breast cancer cell lines. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2011; 130(3):747–758. [PubMed: 21234673]
43. Schuller HM. Beta-adrenergic signaling, a novel target for cancer therapy? *Oncotarget*. 2010; 1(7):466–469. [PubMed: 21317444]

44. Schuller HM. Neurotransmitter receptor-mediated signaling pathways as modulators of carcinogenesis. *Prog Exp Tumor Res.* 2007; 39:45–63. [PubMed: 17314500]
45. Askari MD, Tsao MS, Schuller HM. The tobacco-specific carcinogen, 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone stimulates proliferation of immortalized human pancreatic duct epithelia through beta-adrenergic transactivation of EGF receptors. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2005; 131(10):639–648. [PubMed: 16091975]
46. Chan C, Lin HJ, Lin J. Stress-associated hormone, norepinephrine, increases proliferation and IL-6 levels of human pancreatic duct epithelial cells and can be inhibited by the dietary agent, sulforaphane. *Int J Oncol.* 2008; 33(2):415–419. [PubMed: 18636164]
47. Maatta M, et al. Differential expression of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2, MMP-9, and membrane type 1-MMP in hepatocellular and pancreatic adenocarcinoma: implications for tumor progression and clinical prognosis. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2000; 6(7):2726–2734. [PubMed: 10914717]
48. Sperti C, et al. Recurrence after resection for ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. *World J Surg.* 1997; 21(2):195–200. [PubMed: 8995078]
49. Takahashi S, et al. Aggressive surgery for pancreatic duct cell cancer: feasibility, validity, limitations. *World J Surg.* 1995; 19(4):653–9. discussion 660. [PubMed: 7676716]
50. Perez Pinero C, et al. Involvement of alpha2- and beta2-adrenoceptors on breast cancer cell proliferation and tumour growth regulation. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2012; 166(2):721–736. [PubMed: 22122228]
51. Bond-Smith G, et al. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *BMJ.* 2012; 344:e2476. [PubMed: 22592847]
52. Mace TA, et al. Pancreatic cancer-associated stellate cells promote differentiation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in a STAT3-dependent manner. *Cancer Res.* 2013; 73(10):3007–3018. [PubMed: 23514705]
53. Apte MV, et al. A starring role for stellate cells in the pancreatic cancer microenvironment. *Gastroenterology.* 2013; 144(6):1210–1219. [PubMed: 23622130]
54. Sigala B, et al. Sympathetic nervous system catecholamines and neuropeptide Y neurotransmitters are upregulated in human NAFLD and modulate the fibrogenic function of hepatic stellate cells. *PLoS One.* 2013; 8(9):e72928. [PubMed: 24019886]
55. Lin X, et al. Beta-adrenoceptor action on pancreatic cancer cell proliferation and tumor growth in mice. *Hepatogastroenterology.* 2012; 59(114):584–588. [PubMed: 22353526]
56. Al-Wadei HA, et al. Celecoxib and GABA cooperatively prevent the progression of pancreatic cancer in vitro and in xenograft models of stress-free and stress-exposed mice. *PLoS One.* 2012; 7(8):e43376. [PubMed: 22916251]
57. Schuller HM, et al. Regulation of pancreatic cancer by neuro-psychological stress responses: a novel target for intervention. *Carcinogenesis.* 2011
58. Al-Wadei HA, Plummer HK 3rd, Schuller HM. Nicotine stimulates pancreatic cancer xenografts by systemic increase in stress neurotransmitters and suppression of the inhibitory neurotransmitter gamma-aminobutyric acid. *Carcinogenesis.* 2009; 30(3):506–511. [PubMed: 19131543]
59. Herreros-Villanueva M, et al. Mouse models of pancreatic cancer. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2012; 18(12):1286–1294. [PubMed: 22493542]
60. Carlson LE, et al. High levels of untreated distress and fatigue in cancer patients. *Br J Cancer.* 2004; 90(12):2297–2304. [PubMed: 15162149]
61. Zabora J, et al. The prevalence of psychological distress by cancer site. *Psychooncology.* 2001; 10(1):19–28. [PubMed: 11180574]
62. Lindgren ME, et al. Beta-blockers may reduce intrusive thoughts in newly diagnosed cancer patients. *Psychooncology.* 2013; 22(8):1889–1894. [PubMed: 23255459]

Figure 1. Chronic stress increased pancreatic tumor progression

A. Mice were exposed to chronic stress (daily restraint) vs home cage control conditions for 2 hours per day for 28 days commencing 7 days prior to tumor cell injection. Where described, mice were treated with β -blockers for the duration of the experiment. B. Primary tumor size was measured over time by non-invasive bioluminescence imaging. Luciferase activity: $\times 10^{10}$ p/sec. Inset shows increased resolution over days 0–21, luciferase activity: $\times 10^8$ p/sec. C. Representative images of tumor-specific bioluminescence in mice exposed to control vs stress conditions. Luminescence scale: p/sec/cm²/sr. D. Primary tumor mass at 42 days after tumor cell injection. Data shown are representative of three replicate experiments.

Figure 2. Stress increased metastatic dissemination from primary pancreatic tumors

A. Representative images of intact (left panel), resected primary tumor and adjacent normal pancreas with bioluminescent tumor signal (middle panel, luminescence scale: p/sec/cm²/sr). Right panel: Hematoxylin and eosin staining of pancreatic tumor (T) grown orthotopically in normal pancreas (P). Asterisk shows region of local invasion. Scale bar: 150 μ m. B. Tumor cell dissemination into adjacent normal pancreas was quantified by bioluminescence imaging after resection of the primary tumor. Luciferase activity: $\times 10^6$ p/sec. C. Metastasis was quantified in control vs stressed mice at 42 days after tumor cell injection. D. Pancreatic

tumor metastasis in liver (left panel, arrow: metastasis, scale: 2 mm). Middle panel: Metastasis was confirmed by bioluminescence imaging (luminescence scale: $\times 10^5$ p/sec/cm²/sr). Right panel: Hematoxylin and eosin staining of liver metastasis (T: tumor, L: liver, scale: 100 μ m).

Figure 3. Beta-blockade reversed stress-enhanced pancreatic cancer progression

A. Tumor progression was tracked using non-invasive bioluminescence imaging in mice that were exposed to control (black) vs. stress conditions (red) and treated with propranolol (dotted line) or placebo (solid line). Luciferase activity: $\times 10^8$ p/sec. B. Representative images of mice taken on day 42 after tumor cell injection. Mice were exposed to control vs. stress conditions and treated with propranolol vs. placebo. Black tape in each panel covered autoluminescent osmotic minipumps used for drug delivery. Luminescence scale: p/sec/cm²/sr. C. Primary tumor mass was determined on day 42 after tumor cell injection. D. The magnitude of tumor cell invasion into pancreas adjacent to the primary tumor was

quantified by *ex vivo* bioluminescence imaging after surgical resection of the primary tumor.
Luciferase activity: $\times 10^6$ p/sec.

Figure 4. Beta-adrenergic signaling is sufficient to accelerate pancreatic cancer progression
 A. Tumor progression was tracked using non-invasive bioluminescence imaging in mice treated with isoproterenol (iso) vs. placebo (control). Y-axis: fold change over day 0 luciferase activity. B. Representative images of tumor-specific bioluminescence in mice treated with iso vs control. Luminescence scale: p/sec/cm²/sr. C. Primary tumor mass was determined on day 42 after tumor cell injection in mice treated with iso vs control. D. The magnitude of tumor cell dissemination into pancreas adjacent to the primary tumor was quantified by *ex vivo* imaging after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Luciferase activity: $\times 10^6$ p/sec. E. The frequency of metastasis-bearing mice was determined at 42 days after tumor cell injection.

Figure 5. Beta-adrenergic signaling induced pancreatic cancer cell invasion

A. Immunostaining for $\beta 1$ -adrenoceptor ($\beta 1AR$, red) on Panc-1 pancreatic cancer cells (Upper and middle panel, blue: DAPI, inset: isotype control, scale bar: 5 μm) and archived human pancreatic cancer (Lower panel, scale bar: 100 μm). B. Quantitative RT-PCR analyses of *ADRB1* and *ADRB2* expression on Panc-1 cells, normalized to *RPL30* expression. C. cAMP accumulation in Panc-1 cells presented as percent relative to maximal stimulation by 10 μM forskolin. D. Matrigel invasion by Panc-1 cells treated with increasing concentration of isoproterenol (0, 0.01–10 μM), or with 10 μM propranolol $\pm$ 10 μM isoproterenol. E. Quantitative RT-PCR analyses of tumor cell (T) or stromal cell (S) *MMP2* and *MMP9* expression in primary pancreatic tumors.