Published in final edited form as:

J Clin Psychiatry. 2013 October ; 74(10): 1018–1021. doi:10.4088/JCP.13com08742.

Female Reproductive Life Cycle and Hormones: Methodology to Improve Clinical Trials

Marlene P. Freeman, MD, Rosemary Walker, BA, Thomas P. Laughren, MD, Karen K. Miller, MD, and Maurizio Fava, MD

Center for Women's Mental Health (Dr Freeman), Department of Psychiatry (Ms Walker), Clinical Trials Network and Institute (Dr Laughren), Neuroendocrine Unit (Dr Miller), and Depression Clinical and Research Program (Dr Fava), Massachusetts General Hospital (all authors), Boston.

Women and Clinical Trials

In clinical trials, there are 2 competing ethical priorities regarding the participation of women. One is that women of childbearing potential could become pregnant during a trial, raising concern over fetal exposure to an agent of unknown reproductive safety. The other is

© Copyright 2013 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

Corresponding author: Marlene P. Freeman, MD, Massachusetts General Hospital, Center for Women's Health, Simches Research Bldg, F12, 185 Cambridge St, Boston, MA 0217 4 (mfreeman@partners.org).

Drug names: citalopram (Celexa and others).

Potential conflicts of interest: **Dr Freeman** has been a consultant for PamLab; received grant/research support from Eli Lilly, Forest, and GlaxoSmithKline; been on advisory boards of Lundbeck, Takeda, Johnson & Johnson (pending), and Otsuka; and been a medical editor for DSM Nutritionals. **Dr Laughren** is a part-time employee of the Massachusetts General Hospital Clinical Trials Network and Institute and has been a consultant for National Institute of Mental Health, Cerecor, Edgemont, Theravance, Neuren, Johnson & Johnson, Roche, Naurex, EnVivo, Shire, Zogenix, Concept, Dart NeuroScience, AbbVie, Fabre-Kramer, MedAvante, ERT, and the law firms Quinn Emanuel and Ulmer & Berne. **Dr Fava** has received research support from Abbott, Alkermes, Aspect Medical Systems, AstraZeneca, BioResearch, BrainCells, Bristol-Myers Squibb, CeNeRx, Cephalon, Clintara, Covance, Covidien, Eli Lilly, ELMindA, EnVivo, Euthymics Bioscience, Forest, Ganeden Biotech, GlaxoSmithKline, Harvard Clinical Research Institute, Icon Clinical Research, i3 Innovus/ Ingenix, Janssen, Jed Foundation, Johnson & Johnson, Lichtwer Pharma GmbH, Lorex, MedAvante, National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression, National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine, National Institute of Drug Abuse, National Institute of Mental Health, Neuralstem, Novartis AG, Organon, PamLab, Pfizer, Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Pharmavite, Pharmorx, Photothera, Roche, RCT Logic (formerly Clinical Trials Solutions), Sanofi-Aventis, Shire, Solvay, Synthelabo, and Wyeth-Ayerst; has been an advisor/consultant for Abbott, Affectis AG, Alkermes, Amarin, Aspect Medical Systems, AstraZeneca, Auspex, Bayer AG, Best Practice Project Management, BioMarin, Biovail, BrainCells Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb, CeNeRx, Cephalon, Cerecor, CNS Response, Compellis, Cypress, Diagnostics Life Sciences, Dainippon Sumitomo, Dov, Edgemont, Eisai, Eli Lilly, EnVivo, ePharmaSolutions, EPIX, Euthymics Bioscience, Fabre-Kramer, Forest, GenOmind, GlaxoSmithKline, Grunenthal GmbH, i3 Innovus/Ingenix, Janssen, Jazz, Johnson & Johnson, Knoll, Labopharm, Lorex, Lundbeck, MedAvante, Merck, MSI Methylation Sciences, Naurex, Neuralstem, Neuronetics, NextWave, Novartis AG, NuPathe, Nutrition 21, Orexigen, Organon, Otsuka, PamLab, Pfizer, PharmaStar, Pharmavite, Pharmorx, Precision Human Biolaboratory, Prexa, Puretech Ventures, PsychoGenics, Psylin Neurosciences, Rexahn, Ridge Diagnostics, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Sepracor, Servier, Schering-Plough, Solvay, Somaxon, Somerset, Sunovion, Supernus, Synthelabo, Takeda, Tal Medical, Tetragenex, Teva, TransForm, Transcept, and Vanda; has had speaking/publishing-related affiliations with Adamed, Advanced Meeting Partners, American Psychiatric Association, American Society of Clinical Psychopharmacology, AstraZeneca, Belvoir Media Group, Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cephalon, CME Institute/Physicians Postgraduate Press, Eli Lilly, Forest, GlaxoSmithKline, Imedex, MGH Psychiatry Academy/ Primedia, MGH Psychiatry Academy/Reed Elsevier, Novartis AG, Organon, Pfizer, PharmaStar, United BioSource, and Wyeth-Ayerst; has equity holdings in Compellis and PsyBrain; holds a patent for Sequential Parallel Comparison Design, which are licensed by MGH to RCT Logic, and has a patent application for a combination of scopolamine and ketamine in major depressive disorder; receives copyright royalties for the MGH Cognitive & Physical Functioning Questionnaire, Sexual Functioning Inventory, Antidepressant Treatment Response Questionnaire, Discontinuation-Emergent Signs & Symptoms, and SAFER; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Wolters Kluwer, and World Scientific Publishing. **Ms Walker** and **Dr Miller** report no potential conflict of interest.

Disclaimer: Neither Dr Freeman nor Dr Fava, both editorial board members, were involved in the editorial review of or decision to publish this Commentary.

the need for development of treatments specific to subpopulations of patients in order to better inform care. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has advised in accordance with both of these important aims. Women have historically been excluded to varying degrees from participating in studies of new treatments, although in more recent years they have been allowed to participate to a greater extent under specific parameters. The FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Guidance for Industry specified that women of childbearing potential are to be excluded from the earliest phases of studies of new treatments.¹ In later stages of clinical trials, in order for women of childbearing potential to be included, informed consent about the lack of reproductive safety data must be provided, negative pregnancy test results must be obtained prior to receipt by the subject of the investigational drug, and participants need to be advised about acceptable methods of contraception. In some cases, the requirement of “double protection” contraception has been added to the protocol.

The FDA has also issued guidelines regarding gender studies in product development.² These guidelines support the need for analyses to assess gender differences with regard to drug efficacy and safety. However, the ability to conduct such analyses has been limited by the quality and amount of data that have been collected, as well as by the relative lack of power of such analyses. In addition, the policies of the National Institutes of Health support the inclusion of women in research. With few exceptions, women must be included in clinical trials, with specified enrollment and recruiting plans, and research proposals are required to include analyses for gender differences in phase 3 clinical trials.^{3,4} Despite these initiatives, the impact on treatment has been limited.

In a quest to make medical decisions more personalized and maximally inform patient care, a more rigorous, systematic approach is required to realize the potential of analyses based on gender. There are important relationships between endogenous and exogenous reproductive hormones and the course and treatment of mood disorders that have yet to be fully understood. It would be a tremendous advance to better understand the psychopathology and treatment of psychiatric disorders such as major depressive disorder (MDD) in specific subgroups of women and to be able to tailor treatments accordingly. Our objective is to promote the systematic collection of female reproductive status and hormonal variables that may impact outcomes and allow for secondary analyses in central nervous system clinical trials. We will focus, in particular, on the relevance of such data for MDD.

The Female Reproductive Life Cycle, Hormones, and Mood

Major depressive disorder is more prevalent among women compared to men, and the differences are most prominent during the reproductive years.^{5–7} Women represent the majority of those seeking treatment for MDD and entering trials for MDD. Periods of hormonal variability, such as the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, the postpartum period, and the menopausal transition, represent times when a substantial subset of women experience mood disturbances.^{8–12} Normal shifts in endogenous reproductive hormone levels can contribute to pathologic mood episodes in women, and one can hypothesize that MDD might in fact represent a highly heterogeneous disorder that can be better characterized among subsets of women and in comparison to men.

It is already established that exogenous treatment with female reproductive hormones can impact mood. For example, oral contraceptive pills are a first-line therapy for premenstrual dysphoric disorder, and estradiol is an effective treatment for some women with mood symptoms associated with the menopausal transition.^{13,14} Similarly, mood disturbances that are associated with fluctuations in female reproductive hormones are also treated with serotonergic antidepressants, demonstrating that standard treatments for MDD may impact hormonally associated mood worsening.^{15,16} Yet, investigators recently reported no difference in treatment outcome on the basis of menopausal status or the use of hormonal therapies among women treated with citalopram in the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression study.¹⁷

Despite compelling evidence that reproductive hormones are important in the expression of MDD, the story appears complicated. The biological underpinnings of the effects of reproductive steroids on mood are complex. For example, estradiol is known to impact the expression of genes involved in the transcription of serotonin receptors and the serotonin transporter protein.^{18–20} Estradiol also influences dendritic branching and synaptic formation and pruning.^{21–23} We have yet to adequately comprehend the clinical relevance of synergies or interactions between female reproductive hormones, neurotransmitters, and antidepressants, although animal models suggest important relationships.^{24–28}

PROPOSAL FOR METHODOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENT

Methods

We propose that the elucidation of gender differences, the effects of endogenous hormones, and the use of exogenous hormones can be both facilitated and expedited by the following:

1. The use of a standard brief questionnaire implemented systematically at baseline in clinical trials for MDD to assess reproductive life cycle status and the use of exogenous hormones.
2. The addition to protocols of prospective menstrual cycle tracking (in applicable women), in consideration of the high prevalence of premenstrual mood exacerbation.
3. The use of additional modules that can be added to studies on a case-by-case basis for more in-depth study of reproductive history and the impact of reproductive life cycle transitions.

Feasibility

In clinical trials, women are routinely assessed for childbearing potential and must typically be using an approved method of contraception to participate. As the ascertainment of childbearing potential and documentation of contraceptive use are already taking place in clinical trials, a low-burden but systematic documentation of reproductive status and hormonal variables is an intuitive extension. Usually, collection of information is routinely carried out at the screening visit to assess the appropriateness of women of childbearing potential and the use of adequate contraception; however, documentation of specific variables such as menopausal status and the use of contraceptive hormones and hormone

replacement therapy is usually not rigorous or systematic. Methodical inquiry and documentation about reproductive status and the use of hormonal therapies would require a minimal investment of time and resources but would represent a valuable opportunity to advance women's mental health and yield personalized medical approaches to MDD treatment. Investigators and sponsors may be able to identify strategies for the treatment of MDD and predictors of response status that would not otherwise be known.

Approach

The instrument we have developed, the Massachusetts General Hospital Female Reproductive Lifecycle and Hormones Questionnaire, can be found online at mgh-ctni.org/innovations/frlhq/. Module I is a brief questionnaire aimed at standardizing the minimal collection of relevant information about reproductive hormones and status. We have tried to minimize clinician burden by arranging questionnaires into modules, so that modules II-V can be utilized on a case-by-case basis when more detail is deemed to be warranted. Only module I is designed to be completed by the research clinician; modules II-V are completed by the patient.

In addition to use in clinical trials, these modules are also designed to be useful for health care providers in clinical practice.

Massachusetts General Hospital Female Reproductive Lifecycle and Hormones Questionnaire Modules

- I. Childbearing potential, menopausal status, and menstrual cycle
- II. Current use of hormonal therapies
- III. Menstrual cycle tracking
- IV. Hot flash diaries
- V. Reproductive history and mood

Should Premenstrual Mood Exacerbation Be Factored Into Clinical Trials for MDD?

Of all of the discussed factors related to endogenous and exogenous reproductive hormones, the one that is most likely to complicate data interpretation and study outcomes in a trial for MDD is premenstrual mood exacerbation. The majority of women with MDD experience worsening of depressive symptoms in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle.²⁹ Baseline and endpoint assessments of mood may be confounded by premenstrual mood exacerbation, with potential impact on study results. It is plausible that luteal phase worsening could complicate the interpretation of primary outcome data in MDD trials if it is not included in statistical modeling, even in randomized trials. Since collecting information about menstrual cycle phases and the use of hormonal contraceptive treatments that impact mood and treatment outcomes is not costly, such data collection is a practical and reasonable strategy to develop statistical approaches that account for premenstrual mood exacerbation in MDD trials.

CONCLUSIONS

It is conceivable, if not probable, that carefully conducted studies of the impact of endogenous and exogenous reproductive hormones on the course of treatment of MDD will inform the care of women with MDD. The collection of such variables in a way that is cost effective and of low burden will facilitate exploratory analyses within studies and the pooling of data across studies. In addition, prospective tracking of menstrual cycles across the duration of studies will allow for premenstrual mood exacerbation to be assessed as a variable that may affect outcomes during the trial.

Now may be an opportune time to introduce these new data elements as a best practice in registration trials, as the CDER at FDA established in 2010 a Data Standards Program to identify and prioritize data standards for clinical trials.³⁰ A major goal of the Data Standards effort is to facilitate efficient and effective review of sponsor submissions by CDER. As part of the process, CDER in 2011 identified a set of therapeutic areas that could benefit from identification of indication-specific data elements,³¹ and MDD was identified as one of these therapeutic areas.³² Because FDA plans to seek outside advice on what MDD-specific variables might be routinely collected in MDD trials, this is an ideal time to discuss routine collection of the types of data elements suggested above to characterize endogenous and exogenous hormone status of women participating in these trials, so that routine collection of these data elements will be expected in all future MDD registration trials.

Acknowledgments

Funding/support: None reported.

REFERENCES

1. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. [Accessed August 14, 2013] Guidance for industry: general considerations for the clinical evaluation for drugs. <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm071682.pdf>
2. Food and Drug Administration. [Accessed August 14, 2013] Gender studies in product development. Executive summary. <http://www.fda.gov/ScienceResearch/SpecialTopics/WomensHealthResearch/ucm134460.htm>. Updated April 30, 2009
3. National Institutes of Health. [Accessed August 14, 2013] Inclusions of women and minorities as participants in research involving human subjects: policy implementation page. http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/women_min/women_min.htm. Updated April 12, 2013
4. National Institutes of Health. [Accessed August 14, 2013] NIH policy and guidelines on the inclusion of women and minorities as subjects in clinical research-amended. http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/women_min/guidelines_amended_10_2001.htm. Updated October 1, 2001
5. Weissman MM, Olfson M. Depression in women: implications for health care research. *Science*. 1995; 269(5225):799–801. [PubMed: 7638596]
6. Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Zhao S, et al. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of *DSM-III-R* psychiatric disorders in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1994; 51(1):8–19. [PubMed: 8279933]
7. Weissman MM, Leaf PJ, Holzer CE 3rd. The epidemiology of depression: an update on sex differences in rates. *J Affect Disord*. 1984; 7(3-4):179–188. [PubMed: 6241202]

8. Bloch M, Rubinow DR, Schmidt PJ, et al. Cortisol response to ovine corticotropin-releasing hormone in a model of pregnancy and parturition in euthymic women with and without a history of postpartum depression. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2005; 90(2):695–699. [PubMed: 15546899]
9. Cohen LS, Soares CN, Vitonis AF, et al. Risk for new onset of depression during the menopausal transition: the Harvard Study of Moods and Cycles. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2006; 63(4):385–390. [PubMed: 16585467]
10. Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Lin H, et al. Associations of hormones and menopausal status with depressed mood in women with no history of depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2006; 63(4):375–382. [PubMed: 16585466]
11. Yonkers KA, O'Brien PM, Eriksson E. Premenstrual syndrome. *Lancet.* 2008; 371(9619):1200–1210. [PubMed: 18395582]
12. Steiner M, Pearlstein T, Cohen LS, et al. Expert guidelines for the treatment of severe PMS, PMDD, and comorbidities: the role of SSRIs. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2006; 15(1):57–69. [PubMed: 16417420]
13. Lopez LM, Kaptein AA, Helmerhorst FM. Oral contraceptives containing drospirenone for premenstrual syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009; 2(2):CD006586. [PubMed: 19370644]
14. Soares CN, Almeida OP, Joffe H, et al. Efficacy of estradiol for the treatment of depressive disorders in perimenopausal women: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2001; 58(6):529–534. [PubMed: 11386980]
15. Kornstein SG, Pearlstein TB, Fayyad R, et al. Low-dose sertraline in the treatment of moderate-to-severe premenstrual syndrome: efficacy of 3 dosing strategies. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2006; 67(10):1624–1632. [PubMed: 17107257]
16. Cohen LS, Soares CN, Joffe H. Diagnosis and management of mood disorders during the menopausal transition. *Am J Med.* 2005; 118(suppl 12B):93–97. [PubMed: 16414333]
17. Kornstein SG, Toups M, Rush AJ, et al. Do menopausal status and use of hormone therapy affect antidepressant treatment response? findings from the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR*D) study. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2013; 22(2):121–131. [PubMed: 23398127]
18. Harsh V, Meltzer-Brody S, Rubinow DR, et al. Reproductive aging, sex steroids, and mood disorders. *Hary Rev Psychiatry.* 2009; 17(2):87–102.
19. Hall E, Steiner M. Serotonin and female psychopathology. *Womens Health (Lond Engl).* 2013; 9(1):85–97. [PubMed: 23241157]
20. Rupprecht R. Neuroactive steroids: mechanisms of action and neuropsychopharmacological properties. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2003; 28(2):139–168. [PubMed: 12510009]
21. Accortt EE, Freeman MP, Allen JJ. Women and major depressive disorder: clinical perspectives on causal pathways. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2008; 17(10):1583–1590. [PubMed: 19049352]
22. Schwarz JM, Liang SL, Thompson SM, et al. Estradiol induces hypothalamic dendritic spines by enhancing glutamate release: a mechanism for organizational sex differences. *Neuron.* 2008; 58(4):584–598. [PubMed: 18498739]
23. Lokuge S, Frey BN, Foster JA, et al. Depression in women: windows of vulnerability and new insights into the link between estrogen and serotonin. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2011; 72(11):e1563–e1569. [PubMed: 22127200]
24. Benmansour S, Weaver RS, Barton AK, et al. Comparison of the effects of estradiol and progesterone on serotonergic function. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2012; 71(7):633–641. [PubMed: 22225849]
25. Estrada-Camarena E, López-Rubalcava C, Vega-Rivera N, et al. Antidepressant effects of estrogens: a basic approximation. *Behav Pharmacol.* 2010; 21(5-6):451–464. [PubMed: 20700047]
26. Amin Z, Canli T, Epperson CN. Effect of estrogen-serotonin interactions on mood and cognition. *Behav Cogn Neurosci Rev.* 2005; 4(1):43–58. [PubMed: 15886402]
27. Ryan J, Ancelin ML. Polymorphisms of estrogen receptors and risk of depression: therapeutic implications. *Drugs.* 2012; 72(13):1725–1738. [PubMed: 22901010]

28. Benmansour S, Piotrowski JP, Altamirano AV, et al. Impact of ovarian hormones on the modulation of the serotonin transporter by fluvoxamine. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2009; 34(3): 555–564. [PubMed: 18322468]
29. Haley CL, Sung SC, Rush AJ, et al. The clinical relevance of self-reported premenstrual worsening of depressive symptoms in the management of depressed outpatients: a STAR*D report. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2013; 22(3):219–229. [PubMed: 23480315]
30. Food and Drug Administration. [Accessed August 14, 2013] CDER Data Standards Program. <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/FormsSubmissionRequirements/ElectronicSubmissions/ucm249979.htm>. Updated July 31, 2013
31. Food and Drug Administration. [Accessed August 12, 2013] Priority therapeutic areas for development. <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/FormsSubmissionRequirements/ElectronicSubmissions/ucm287408.htm>. Updated April 30, 2009
32. Food and Drug Administration. [Accessed August 12, 2013] Therapeutic area (disease/domain) data standards prioritization. <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/FormsSubmissionRequirements/ElectronicSubmissions/UCM297093.pdf> Updated July 26, 2013