Bypassing the Requirement for an Essential MYST Acetyltransferase

Ana Lilia Torres-Machorro and Lorraine Pillus¹

Section of Molecular Biology, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego, UC San Diego Moores Cancer Center, La Jolla, California 92093-0347

ABSTRACT Histone acetylation is a key regulatory feature for chromatin that is established by opposing enzymatic activities of lysine acetyltransferases (KATs/HATs) and deacetylases (KDACs/HDACs). *Esa1*, like its human homolog Tip60, is an essential MYST family enzyme that acetylates histones H4 and H2A and other nonhistone substrates. Here we report that the essential requirement for *ESA1* in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* can be bypassed upon loss of *Sds3*, a noncatalytic subunit of the Rpd3L deacetylase complex. By studying the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain, we conclude that the essential function of *Esa1* is in promoting the cellular balance of acetylation. We demonstrate this by fine-tuning acetylation through modulation of HDACs and the histone tails themselves. Functional interactions between *Esa1* and HDACs of class I, class II, and the Sirtuin family define specific roles of these opposing activities in cellular viability, fitness, and response to stress. The fact that both increased and decreased expression of the *ESA1* homolog *TIP60* has cancer associations in humans underscores just how important the balance of its activity is likely to be for human well-being.

THE genetic information of DNA is packed into chromatin, which is predominantly composed of the H3, H4, H2A, and H2B core histone proteins that together with DNA form nucleosomes, the basic subunits of the genome (Kornberg and Lorch 1999). Chromatin structure regulates many cellular processes including gene expression, DNA replication, DNA damage repair, and recombination (Felsenfeld and Groudine 2003). Nucleosomes themselves are tightly regulated by mobilization and positioning that are mediated by ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling machines (Rando and Winston 2012) and by multiple types of histone post-translational modifications that include acetylation and many other marks (Campos and Reinberg 2009).

Nucleosome acetylation is a highly dynamic modification that is promoted by HATs and removed by HDACs. HATs are classified by sequence into different families (Allis *et al.* 2007). *ESA1/KAT5* belongs to the widely conserved MYST HAT family, named for its founding members (*MOZ-YBF2/SAS3-SAS2-TIP60*) (Lafon *et al.* 2007). *Esa1* is an essential

HAT in yeast (Smith *et al.* 1998; Clarke *et al.* 1999) and the catalytic subunit of two distinct multi-protein complexes: NuA4 and Piccolo (Boudreault *et al.* 2003). Notably, the human homolog of *Esa1* is Tip60, which is also essential in vertebrates and has been linked to multiple human diseases (Squattrito *et al.* 2006; Avvakumov and Côté 2007; Lafon *et al.* 2007), thus increasing the relevance of gaining a deeper understanding of essential HAT functions.

Esa1 primarily acetylates H4 and H2A *in vivo* (Clarke *et al.* 1999; Lin *et al.* 2008) and regulates the expression of active protein-encoding genes (Reid *et al.* 2000; Lin *et al.* 2008). It plays a crucial role in cell cycle progression and ribosomal DNA (rDNA) silencing (Clarke *et al.* 1999, 2006) and is recruited to DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) to promote damage repair by acetylating H4, an important step in the repair pathway (Bird *et al.* 2002; Tamburini and Tyler 2005). *Esa1* also regulates replicative life span and autophagy by acetylating the nonhistone targets *Sip2* (regulatory subunit of the *Snf1* complex, the yeast AMP-activated protein kinase, or AMPK) (Lu *et al.* 2011) and *Atg3* (autophagy signaling component) (Yi *et al.* 2012). Due to *Esa1*'s essential nature, to date, hypomorphic and conditional *ESA1* alleles have been critical tools in defining its functions (Clarke *et al.* 1999; Decker *et al.* 2008; Lin *et al.* 2008; Mitchell *et al.* 2008, 2011). The *esa1-414* allele is a frameshift mutation in codon 414 that leads to a C-terminal

Copyright © 2014 by the Genetics Society of America

doi: 10.1534/genetics.114.165894

Manuscript received February 21, 2014; accepted for publication May 7, 2014; published Early Online May 14, 2014.

Supporting information is available online at <http://www.genetics.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/genetics.114.165894/-/DC1>.

¹Corresponding author: University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Dr., La Jolla, CA 92093-0347. E-mail: lpillus@ucsd.edu

sequence change in 10 amino acids and truncation of the last 22 amino acids. Although *esa1-414* cells have a growth rate similar to wild type at 30°, they are sensitive to DNA damage (Chang and Pillus 2009). The phenotypes of *esa1-414* cells can be exacerbated by growth at elevated temperatures, conditions under which histone H4 acetylation is decreased and cells slow and/or stop growth by blocking cell cycle progression in G2/M (Clarke *et al.* 1999; Chang and Pillus 2009).

To oppose acetylation, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* expresses three class I HDACs (*Rpd3*, *Hos2*, and *Hos1*), two class II HDACs (*Hda1* and *Hos3*) (Yang and Seto 2008), and five class III HDACs or Sirtuins, including *Sir2*, *Hst1*, *Hst2*, *Hst3*, and *Hst4* (Brachmann *et al.* 1995; Frye 2000). *Rpd3* is active in two different complexes, *Rpd3S* and *Rpd3L* (Figure 1A), both of which deacetylate histones H3, H4, H2A, and H2B (Shahbazian and Grunstein 2007; Rando and Winston 2012), as well as many nonhistone proteins (Carrozza *et al.* 2005a,b; Keogh *et al.* 2005). Both complexes share a core defined by the *Rpd3*, *Sin3*, and *Ume1* subunits (Carrozza *et al.* 2005b; Chen *et al.* 2012). *Rpd3L* is required for stress response and transcriptional silencing (Zhou *et al.* 2009; Ruiz-Roig *et al.* 2010). The *Sds3*, *Dep1*, *Cti6*, *Sap30*, *Rxt2*, *Rxt3*, and *Pho23* subunits, in combination with the *Rpd3* core, form the *Rpd3L* complex that is recruited to gene promoters by *Ume6* and *Ash1* subunits (Carrozza *et al.* 2005a; Zhou *et al.* 2009; Ruiz-Roig *et al.* 2010). The *Rpd3S* complex is formed by the *Rpd3* core and the *Eaf3* and *Rco1* subunits (Carrozza *et al.* 2005b). *Rpd3S* is directed by *Eaf3* to methylated histone H3K36, predominantly found at the 3' end of coding regions, to repress cryptic transcription (Carrozza *et al.* 2005b). *Rpd3* was recently reported in a third complex, *Rpd3_μ*, along with the *Snt2* and *Ecm5* subunits (Figure 1A) (Shevchenko *et al.* 2008; McDaniel and Strahl 2013). This complex is enriched at promoter regions and has a role in the response to oxidative stress (Baker *et al.* 2013), but little else is yet known about its function.

Genetic, biochemical, and genome-wide studies suggest that *Rpd3* and *Hda1* are the most relevant opposing activities to *Esa1* (Vogelauer *et al.* 2000; Lin *et al.* 2008; Chang and Pillus 2009); yet important questions remain. *Rpd3* has been directly identified as the deacetylase for some histone and nonhistone targets of *Esa1* (Lu *et al.* 2011; Rando and Winston 2012; Yi *et al.* 2012), whereas genetic interaction networks point to deletion of *HDA1* as the most prominent alleviating hub for NuA4 (Lin *et al.* 2008). *Hda1* also has some overlapping functions with *Rpd3* (Bernstein *et al.* 2000; Robyr *et al.* 2002). Finally, even though strong hypomorphic alleles of *ESA1* have been studied (Clarke *et al.* 1999; Decker *et al.* 2008; Lin *et al.* 2008), residual *Esa1* activity could mask the discovery of *esa1Δ* phenotypes. In this work we define the *Rpd3L* complex as the relevant opposing activity to *Esa1*'s essentiality through the identification of a gene deletion that allows *ESA1* null cells to live. This bypass suppression validates and extends previous suggestions about the need for a balanced acetylation status for viability (Zhang *et al.* 1998; Lin *et al.* 2008). Importantly,

however, we also demonstrate that modulation of acetylation states through specific genetic interventions can restore essential functions required for growth, cell cycle progression, and response to DNA damage and stress.

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and plasmids

Yeast strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides are listed in Supporting Information, Table S1, Table S2, and Table S3. The *esa1-414* allele (Clarke *et al.* 1999) and the *hst1Δ::LEU2* gene disruption (Smith *et al.* 2000)—for simplicity referred to herein as *hst1Δ*—were previously described. All other mutants are null alleles constructed with standard methods. *hst1Δ* (Smith *et al.* 2000) was introduced into the W303 background by amplification of the *LEU2* cassette from strain YCB232. The *rp3Δ::LEU2* (DY1539), *hda1Δ::TRP1* (DY4891), and *hos2Δ::TRP1* (DY4549) parental strains were all generous gifts from D. Stillman. The parental *sap30Δ::kanmx* strain (MAY8) was a generous gift from J. Heitman, and *dep1Δ::HIS3* (YJW678) was kindly provided by J. Workman. Silencing reporter and histone mutant strains were constructed as described (Chang and Pillus 2009), starting with strains developed in Roy and Runge (2000). All double and triple *esa1Δ* mutant strains were constructed with a covering plasmid (pLP796). All *esa1Δ* strains were further backcrossed to wild type to assess the tetrad segregation pattern, ruling out possibilities of aneuploidy or additional unlinked suppressors.

Growth assays

Dilution assays were performed as described (Chang and Pillus 2009) with fivefold serial dilutions from A₆₀₀ units of 5 ODs for 5-FOA counterselection assays and of 0.5 ODs for all other media. Camptothecin (CPT) sensitivity was assayed using 7 μg/ml in DMSO, added to YPAD (YPD plus adenine) plates buffered with 100 mM potassium phosphate to pH 7.5 (Nitiss and Wang 1988). The *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains were extremely sensitive to standard concentrations of hydroxyurea (HU) (0.1–0.2 M) and CPT (20–30 μg/ml). They were also sensitive to the CPT solvent, DMSO (0.4%). For this reason, their growth was tested in less concentrated drug and solvent control plates (0.14%). The nature of the DMSO sensitivity has not been established (Zhang *et al.* 2013). UV damage was evaluated as described (Hampsey 1997). Images were captured after 2–5 days of growth.

Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry was done as described in Chang *et al.* (2012). Cells were fixed after growth at 30° on YPAD. A total of 30,000 propidium iodide-stained cells of each strain were analyzed with a FACSCalibur (BD).

Immunoblots

Whole-cell extracts were prepared by bead beating (Clarke *et al.* 1999). Nuclear fractions were prepared as in Kizer

Figure 1 Disruption of Rpd3L bypassed the essential requirement for *ESA1*. (A) Functional organization of Rpd3 complexes with subunits shown to relative scale (adapted from Sardi et al. 2009; McDaniel and Strahl 2013). Loss of *RCO1* disrupts Rpd3S, whereas *SDS3* or *DEP1* deletions disrupt Rpd3L. Deletion of *SNT2* disrupted Rpd3μ. (B) The *esa1Δ sds3Δ* and *esa1Δ dep1Δ* strains could lose the covering *ESA1* plasmid at room temperature (RT), whereas only the *SDS3* deletion bypassed *esa1Δ* at 30°. Serial dilutions of *ESA1* (*URA3*)-covered strains: *esa1Δ* (LPY12205), *esa1Δ rpd3Δ* (LPY12207), *esa1Δ sin3Δ* (18032), *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (LPY16480), *esa1Δ dep1Δ* (LPY20385), *esa1Δ sap30Δ* (LPY20465), *esa1Δ pho23Δ* (LPY17027), and *esa1Δ rco1Δ* (LPY17029). Strains were grown on medium without uracil or with 5-FOA (2 mg/ml). An assay including a wild-type control is shown in Figure S1A. (C) Deletion of *SNT2* was not a bypass suppressor of *esa1Δ*. Assay as in Figure 1B, including *ESA1* covered *esa1Δ snt2Δ* (LPY20664). (D) The *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain was temperature and DNA damage sensitive. Four strains were assayed: wild type (LPY79), *esa1Δ* + *pESA1* (12205), *sds3Δ* (LPY12959), and *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (LPY16595). Serial dilutions compared growth at 30°, 37°, and in response to UV

light (60 J/m²) and the drugs HU (0.05 M) and CPT (7 μg/ml dissolved in DMSO). (E) Cell cycle profiles showed that the DNA content of the majority of the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* cells was in the 2C peak, indicative of a severe delay in progression through the G2/M phase of the cell cycle. (F) All tested acetylatable lysine residues of the histone H4 N-terminal tail had low global levels of acetylation in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* background. Protein extracts were immunoblotted against the isoform or protein-specified. (G) Deletion of *SDS3* improved histone H4K8 and K12 acetylation when *esa1-414* was inactivated by growth at 37°. See Figure S1, B and C, for quantification of immunoblots.

et al. (2006). Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (Hybond) and probed with the following: anti-H4K5Ac (1:5000 dilution, Serotec), anti-H4K8Ac (1:2000 dilution, Serotec), anti-H4K12Ac (1:2000 dilution, Active Motif), anti-H4K16Ac (1:2000 dilution, Upstate), anti-H3CT (1:10,000 dilution, Millipore), anti-H3K9,K14Ac (1:10,000 dilution, Upstate), anti-H4 (1:2000 dilution, Active Motif), and anti-β-tubulin (1:20,000) (Bond et al. 1986). All antisera except H4 were diluted in 2.5% milk in TBS-Tween. Anti-H4 was diluted in 5% BSA in TBS-Tween. Experiments were performed independently from two to five times.

Immunoblot quantification

Two to five independent experiments were quantified using the ImageQuant 5.2 program (Molecular Dynamics). The histogram peak function was applied to correct for background.

Plasmid end-joining assay

Assays with pRS316 were performed with established methods (Åström et al. 1999; Lee et al. 1999). Four independent experiments were performed, and *P*-values were calculated using the Student's *t*-test.

Recombination assays

Performed as described (Clarke et al. 2006). Approximately 5000 colonies per isolate were counted in four independent experiments. When strains lost the *rDNA::ADE2 CAN1* marker inserted in the repetitive rDNA array, they became adenine auxotrophs and developed red pigment sectors. The number of half-red sectorized colonies divided by the total number of colonies plated reported the frequency of cells in a population that had undergone a recombination event during the first cell division. The Student's *t*-test was applied to assess significance.

DNA silencing assay

Expression of the *CAN1* gene integrated in the rDNA cluster was detected as canavanine sensitivity when silencing was compromised (16 mg/ml) (Chang and Pillus 2009).

Results and Discussion

The discovery that *ESA1* is an essential gene was significant because prior studies of single mutants of other HATs and HDACs had revealed important roles in gene expression, but not a role in viability. The powerful tool of genetic suppression has facilitated the characterization of conditional, non-null alleles of *ESA1* (Biswas *et al.* 2008; Lin *et al.* 2008; Chang and Pillus 2009; Scott and Pillus 2010; Chang *et al.* 2012); however, much remains to be learned about its cellular roles.

Bypassing the requirement for an essential acetyltransferase

Although a condition bypassing the essential function of *ESA1* has been alluded to in the literature (Natsume-Kitatani *et al.* 2011), its specific molecular identity has not been reported. Deletion of *RPD3* is to date the best suppressor reported for *esa1* alleles (Chang and Pillus 2009), yet *rpd3Δ* cannot bypass *ESA1* since the *esa1Δ rpd3Δ* mutant is inviable (Chang and Pillus 2009). As *Rpd3* is the catalytic subunit of both Rpd3L and S complexes, we asked if loss of components of either complex would eliminate the need for *ESA1* while maintaining *RPD3* and the activity that it encodes.

Double mutants were constructed, combining *esa1Δ* with deletions of specific subunits of the Rpd3L and Rpd3S complexes (Figure 1A), with the aid of an *ESA1* wild-type gene borne on a *URA3* plasmid. The gene deletions tested included *SDS3*, *DEP1*, *SAP30*, and *PHO23*, all complex-specific subunits of Rpd3L (Lechner *et al.* 2000); *RPD3*, the catalytic subunit of both complexes; *SIN3*, an *Rpd3* core subunit; and *RCO1*, a specific subunit of Rpd3S (Carrozza *et al.* 2005a,b; Keogh *et al.* 2005). *SDS3* and *DEP1* deletions disassemble Rpd3L, whereas Rpd3S integrity is lost when *RCO1* is deleted. Neither *Pho23* nor *Sap30* is essential for the stability of Rpd3L. Strains were tested for growth on 5-FOA, a compound toxic to cells expressing the *URA3* gene marking the *ESA1* plasmid. Growth of a double mutant indicated that the strain could live without the *ESA1* plasmid and thus that the second mutation bypassed *esa1Δ*'s lethality. Of the mutants tested, both *SDS3* and *DEP1* deletions bypassed the need for the covering *ESA1* gene (Figure 1B). Thus, the Rpd3L complex opposes the essential function of *ESA1*, resulting in viable cells when both *ESA1* and *SDS3* (or *DEP1*) are deleted. Notably, bypass suppression was not seen upon deletion of *PHO23* or *SAP30*, which encode more peripheral subunits of Rpd3L. Using the same approach, we also found that loss of the *Rpd3μ* complex (Figure 1A), through deletion of *SNT2* (Baker *et al.* 2013), did not bypass *esa1Δ*

(Figure 1C). In further characterization of bypass conditions, we focused on the *SDS3* deletion as it proved to be a stronger suppressor of *esa1Δ* than *dep1Δ* (Figure 1B).

To determine if the *SDS3* deletion suppressed phenotypes that had been defined for *ESA1* alleles, the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain was evaluated for temperature sensitivity, DNA damage sensitivity, and cell cycle control. In these assays, the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* cells grew weakly at 30° and were severely temperature sensitive at 37° (Figure 1D). They were also sensitive to DNA damage induced by UV irradiation and by HU and CPT, compounds that induce DNA DSBs through distinct mechanisms (Figure 1D). The *esa1Δ sds3Δ* cells also proved to be sensitive to the DMSO solvent for CPT, mirroring sensitivity reported for other chromatin regulators (Zhang *et al.* 2013).

Flow cytometry revealed that whereas the *sds3Δ* and the *esa1Δ+pESA1* strains had a cell cycle profile similar to wild type, the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain grown at 30° showed a severe delay in progression through G2/M. The severity of this delay reflects the complete loss of *ESA1*, combined with the lack of the Rpd3L complex, which is also involved in cell cycle progression (Bernstein *et al.* 2000; Kaluarachchi *et al.* 2012) (Figure 1E).

To test the hypothesis that *sds3Δ* suppressed *esa1Δ* by restoring isoform-specific acetylation of *Esa1* histone targets, whole-cell extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting (Figure 1F). When compared to wild-type strains, low acetylation levels were found for histone H4-modified lysines (Figure 1F). In contrast, acetylation levels of H3, primarily acetylated by other HATs such as *Gcn5* and *Sas3*, remained relatively unaffected (Figure 1F). Notably, several independent *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains constructed in the BY and W303 backgrounds had similar phenotypes. Thus, although *sds3Δ* could bypass the requirement for *ESA1* for viability, the double mutant nonetheless had exacerbated phenotypes previously established for alleles of *ESA1* in cell cycle control and H4 acetylation.

Based on the findings in Figure 1, we hypothesized that deletion of *ESA1* caused a lethal global acetylation imbalance, since histone H3 acetylation levels remained high compared to those of H4 (Figure 1F). When *SDS3* was deleted in combination with *ESA1*, this imbalance was partially alleviated because the Rpd3L complex did not further deacetylate the sparsely acetylated H4. This condition generated slightly higher H4 acetylation levels compared to those predicted for *esa1Δ* that allowed cells to live. As it was unlikely that the global histone acetylation balance in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* cells was fully restored, cell fitness remained seriously compromised.

Because the *SDS3* deletion alone did not increase global H4 acetylation (Figure 1F), we took advantage of a strain expressing the *esa1-414* conditional allele to test if loss of Rpd3L affected H4 acetylation in the absence of *ESA1*. The *esa1-414* and *esa1-414 sds3Δ* strains were grown at 37° to further diminish *esa1-414*'s catalytic activity. Figure 1G shows that, when the *SDS3* gene was deleted, the low levels

Figure 2 Mutation of histone residues enhanced *esa1Δ sds3Δ* fitness. (A) The H3K9R mutant suppressed *esa1Δ sds3Δ* temperature and DNA damage sensitivity. An *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain was constructed in which all copies of genes encoding H3 and H4 were deleted (*hht1-hhf1Δ*) and covered with pJH33 (Ahn *et al.* 2005). Strains shown carried a plasmid either with wild-type H3 and H4 or with one mutated lysine in H4 or H3 as indicated. Lysines were mutated to arginine (R) as an unacetylated lysine mimic, to glutamine (Q) to mimic acetylation, or to alanine (A) as a non-acetylatable residue. Plasmid retention was required for survival. Wild-type histones H3-H4 (LPY17368), H4K5R (LPY20430), H4K8R (LPY20431), H4K12R (LPY20432), H3K9R (LPY20388), H3K14R (LPY20389), H3K9A (LPY20387), and H3K14A (LPY20437) in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ hht1-hhf1Δ hht2-hhf2Δ* background were tested in serial dilutions. Hydroxyurea plates were grown at 30°. Strains were very sensitive to DMSO, the solvent for CPT, and thus this drug challenge is not shown (see text). (B) The H3K9Q mutant that mimics highly acetylated H3 in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* background was sick. Serial dilution assays as above compared with the H3K9Q (LPY20661) mutant. (C) *SET1* was required for survival of *esa1Δ* and *esa1Δ sds3Δ* in the absence of pESA1. Assay was as in Figure 1B testing *esa1Δ sds3Δ set1Δ* (LPY20775) and *esa1Δ set1Δ* (LPY20776) strains. (D) Lysine 4 of H3 was critical in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. The *esa1Δ sds3Δ* H3K4A mutant (LPY20903) was tested. (E) H4 acetyla-

tion mimics in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains were healthier than those expressing wild-type histones. Tested strains were H4K5Q, K8Q, K12Q (LPY20436) and H4K8Q, K12Q (LPY20435) in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ hht1-hhf1Δ hht2-hhf2Δ* background. Control assays demonstrating no effects on wild-type strains with the histone mutants are shown in Figure S2B.

Critical histone residues that enhance *esa1Δ sds3Δ* fitness

To test the hypothesis that imbalanced acetylation interfered with the vitality of the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain, we sought to identify conditions that enhanced fitness. Initially, *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains in combination with histone H4 and H3 lysine (K) to arginine (R) or alanine (A) mutations were constructed and analyzed (Figure 2A and Figure S2A). The arginine mutants were used to retain the positive charge of deacetylated lysines, whereas the alanine mutants were used as non-acetylatable residues. Among the mutants, the H3K9R was the best suppressor of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* temperature and damage sensitivities (Figure 2A). We had earlier observed that the H3 acetylation levels remained high in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* cells (Figure 1F). Thus, it appeared that, if H3K9 cannot be acetylated due to the K-to-R mutation, the global acetylation imbalance can be eased, leading to improved growth under stress conditions. To pursue this idea, an *esa1Δ sds3Δ* H3K9Q mutant strain was tested as a proxy for cells with highly acetylated histone H3 in a low-H4-acetylation context. Indeed, this strain proved to be even sicker than cells with wild-type H3 and H4 (Figure 2B).

In contrast to the H3K9 mutants, the H3K14R and A mutants remained sick (Figure 2A), a result that initially appeared at odds with the central importance of balanced histone acetylation. However, H3K14, but not K9, has a more widespread role in transcriptional regulation by interacting with the *Jhd2* histone demethylase (Maltby *et al.* 2012). The H3K14A/R mutants result in loss of histone H3K4 trimethylation (Nakanishi *et al.* 2008), a modification that has a direct impact on chromatin structure through the recruitment of modifiers containing methyl-binding domains. Confirming the critical role of H3K4me in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain, *SET1*, the gene encoding the H3K4 methyltransferase (Krogan *et al.* 2002), could not be deleted (Figure 2C) and the H3K4A mutant grew more slowly than the H3K14A mutant in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* cells (Figure 2D).

The H4K5R mutant partially suppressed the temperature and DNA damage sensitivity of the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain. This result may be considered relative to distinct roles of the Rpd3L and S complexes. Rpd3L is targeted to specific promoter regions (Carrozza *et al.* 2005a), whereas Rpd3S activity is more localized to coding regions by the H3K36-methyl mark from *Set2* (Carrozza *et al.* 2005b; Drouin *et al.* 2010; Govind *et al.* 2010). The *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains

are expected to have lower acetylation at gene-coding regions, compared to promoter regions, since Rpd3S remains active in these cells. The H4K5R mutant may thus create a homogeneous non-acetylated H4K5 state that is less deleterious. Acetylation of H4K5, -8, and -12 is considered to be somewhat redundant (Dion *et al.* 2005); nonetheless, the major target of *Esa1* acetylation is K5 of H4 (Smith *et al.* 1998; Clarke *et al.* 1999) (Figure 1F). Indeed, the H4K5R mutant was a good suppressor of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* whereas the H4K8R and K12R mutants remained sick. Finally, when H4K8 and K12 were simultaneously mutated to glutamine to mimic higher H4 acetylation levels, the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* temperature and damage phenotypes were rescued (Figure 2E).

HDAC deletions can improve *esa1Δ sds3Δ* fitness and acetylation

As a counterpoint to the site mutant studies, we asked if individual HDAC deletions could improve fitness of the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain. In this case, triple mutants were constructed with select members of each of the three HDAC classes. As before, the mutants were constructed using the covering *ESA1* plasmid, followed by the 5-FOA challenge for plasmid loss (Figure 3A). Furthermore, to test if the Rpd3S complex could be lost after Rpd3L was eliminated, the integral Rpd3S-specific subunit *RCO1* (Carrozza *et al.* 2005b) was also deleted (Figure 3A). Additional deletion of *RPD3* or *RCO1* led to inviability, consistent with the observation that the Rpd3S complex activity is necessary to bypass *esa1Δ*. Deletion of *HOS2* also interfered with bypass suppression, suggesting a significant role for *HOS2* or the *Set3* complex (Pijnappel *et al.* 2001) in *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. In contrast, three of the HDAC triple mutants grew without the *ESA1* gene. These were *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains with deletions of genes encoding the type II HDAC *Hda1* and the Sirtuins *Sir2* and *Hst1*.

When comparing global histone acetylation of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* and *esa1-414* cells grown at 34° to partially inactivate *Esa1*, decreased H4 acetylation was observed in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (Figure 3B). Acetylation increased at all H4 residues tested in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ hst1Δ* strain (Figure 3B). Compared to *esa1Δ sds3Δ*, the *esa1Δ sds3Δ hda1Δ* strain also showed higher acetylation of H4 except at H4K16. The *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain had higher levels of H4K16 acetylation relative to *esa1Δ sds3Δ*; however, acetylation of H4K8 and K12 remained low (Figure 3B) with similar results obtained for both whole-cell lysates and isolated nuclei (not shown).

Specific contributions of individual HDACs in diverse cellular functions

Because the patterns of acetylation and overall growth differed among the triple-mutant combinations, we tested the idea that they might have distinct responses to specific cellular challenges. Notably, the *HDA1* deletion improved high temperature growth at 34° and 37° (Figure 4A) and suppressed the cell cycle G2/M block of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (Figure 4B). Loss of *HDA1* also suppressed defective rDNA silencing of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (Figure 4C).

Figure 3 Distinct functional interactions of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* with HDAC deletions. (A) Mutant strains expressing wild-type *ESA1* from a *URA3* vector were challenged on 5-FOA plates using serial dilutions to test for loss of the *URA3* plasmid at RT and 30°. The *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains mutated for *HDA1*, *SIR2*, or *HST1* survived. In contrast, the bypass suppressor strain lost viability upon deletion of *RPD3*, *RCO1*, or *HOS2*, thereby demonstrating specificity for balancing of HDAC activities. Note that the added suppression of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* by additional HDAC deletions was strictly dependent on loss of *SDS3* as the corresponding *esa1Δ* HDACΔ mutants were inviable (Figure S3A). The surviving triple mutants could also cure additional *esa1Δ sds3Δ* phenotypes (see Figure 4 and Figure 5). Strains tested were *esa1Δ* (LPY12205), *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (LPY16480), *esa1Δ sds3Δ hda1Δ* (LPY17759), *esa1Δ sds3Δ rpd3Δ* (LPY 17714), *esa1Δ sds3Δ rco1Δ* (LPY17805), *esa1Δ sds3Δ hos2Δ* (LPY17848), *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* (LPY17966), and *esa1Δ sds3Δ hst1Δ* (LPY17801). (B) Different HDACs improved acetylation at specific histone H4 residues. Extracts from strains in A without the *ESA1* plasmid were probed for lysine-specific modifications. Additional controls included wild-type and *esa1-414* strains. The *esa1-414* strain was also grown at 34° to partially inactivate *esa1-414*. Strains tested were wild type (LPY79), *esa1-414* (LPY4776), *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (LPY16595), *esa1Δ sds3Δ hda1Δ* (LPY17748), *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* (LPY17997), and *esa1Δ sds3Δ hst1Δ* (LPY17900). Quantification of blots is shown in Figure S3, B and C. Histone H3 levels were modestly decreased in all bypass strains, whereas H4 levels remained relatively unaffected. The nature of the change of histone levels is not established; however, precedents for specific changes in histone levels have been reported under a variety of physiological or signaling conditions (Dang *et al.* 2009; Feser *et al.* 2010; Turner *et al.* 2010). In particular, loss of the Asf1 chaperone and other conditions leading to a G2/M block have been associated with decreased histone H3 levels (Feser *et al.* 2010).

The immunoblot results in Figure 3B show that *Hda1* opposed *Esa1* function by deacetylating H4, whereas H3 acetylation remained high, as *Hda1* is largely an H3 deacetylase. The same results were found at elevated temperatures (Figure 4D). *Hda1* might oppose *ESA1* function at overlapping Rpd3L targets that include cell cycle genes by

Figure 4 Deletion of *HDA1* specifically suppressed the temperature sensitivity and the cell cycle progression and acetylation defects of *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. (A) *HDA1* and *HST1* deletions suppressed the growth defect of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* at 30° and 34°. *HDA1* and, to a lesser extent, *SIR2*, suppressed the temperature sensitivity of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* at 37°. Serial dilutions of strains studied in Figure 3B were plated on YPAD and grown at 30°, 34°, and 37°. Growth of single-mutant controls is shown in Figure S3D. (B) *HDA1* deletion partially suppressed the G2/M block of *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. Cell cycle profiles were analyzed by flow cytometry. (C) The *HDA1* deletion suppressed the rDNA silencing defect of *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. The location of the reporter gene within the rDNA repeat units is shown. The wild-type (LPY4767), *esa1-414* (LPY4911), *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (LPY17959), *esa1Δ sds3Δ hda1Δ* (LPY18222), *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* (LPY18573), and *esa1Δ sds3Δ hst1Δ* (LPY18210) strains contained the rDNA::ADE2 CAN1 reporter. Defective rDNA silencing led to expression of the CAN1 gene and sensitivity to canavanine. (D) *HDA1* and *HST1* deletions also suppressed H4 acetylation defects of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* when the strains were grown at 35°. Strains tested are listed in Figure 3B. (E) Specific double HDAC deletion strains were sensitive to high temperature and DNA damage. Growth conditions included 30°, 37°, UV 60 J/m², HU 0.1M, CPT 20μg/ml and DMSO 0.4%. Strains tested were wild type (LPY79), *sds3Δ hos2Δ* (LPY17724), *sds3Δ rco1Δ* (18226), *sds3Δ rpd3Δ* (LPY18595), *sds3Δ hda1Δ* (LPY17753), *sds3Δ sir2Δ* (LPY17969), and *sds3Δ hst1Δ* (LPY18112). The *sds3Δ hda1Δ* and *sds3Δ hst1Δ* strains were sensitive to temperature and DNA damage. Single-mutant controls are shown in Figure S3D. Deletion of *ESA1* suppressed *sds3Δ hda1Δ* strain's temperature sensitivity (A).

deacetylating histones H3 and H4 (Bernstein *et al.* 2000). It is also possible that *Hda1* deacetylates *Esa1*'s nonhistone targets, thus improving overall acetylation levels of these substrates. The chromatin landscape of *esa1Δ sds3Δ hda1Δ* cells includes higher acetylation of histone H4K5, K8, and K12 compared to *esa1Δ sds3Δ*, likely resulting in a more balanced H3/H4 acetylation ratio at target genes important for progression through the cell cycle and silencing. This idea could explain *Hda1*'s prominent role in NuA4 synthetic interaction networks (Lin *et al.* 2008). Lysines 5, 8, and 12 of histone H4 are targets of the same histone-modifying complexes. Therefore, greater acetylation at these residues

in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ hda1Δ* strain are consistent with their proposed overlapping roles in chromatin (Dion *et al.* 2005). H4K16 acetylation had a different and independent role (below).

Deletion of *HST1* promoted restoration of H4 acetylation in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (Figure 3B and Figure 4D). As *HST1* is responsible for sensing and regulating cellular NAD⁺ levels (Bedalov *et al.* 2003), the *esa1Δ sds3Δ hst1Δ* strain may have deregulated NAD⁺ that negatively affects other Sirtuin HDAC functions. Suppression of the acetylation defect correlated with improved growth (Figure 4A), but not with suppression of cell cycle defects (Figure 4B), sensitivity to

DNA damage, or 37° incubation. This suggests that increased H4 acetylation alone is not adequate to promote robust cellular function; perhaps H4 acetylation must instead be localized to specific chromatin regions, or increased acetylation of nonhistone substrates is required to suppress specific *esa1Δ sds3Δ* phenotypes.

The complex biology of balancing acetylation is seen further in Figure 4E. In the *sds3Δ hda1Δ* double mutant, where Rpd3L and Hda1 HDAC complexes were further disrupted, there was sensitivity to high temperature (Figure 4E). Indeed, the growth of *esa1Δ* was improved in triple mutants with the *sds3Δ hda1Δ* and *sds3Δ hst1Δ* deletions (Figure 4A), the double mutants of which were by themselves the sickest tested (Figure 4E), underscoring the complex nature of functional interactions between these acetylase and deacetylase activities.

Alleviating *esa1Δ sds3Δ* DNA damage sensitivity by deleting *SIR2*

When the triple-mutant strains were tested for DNA damage sensitivity, all HDAC deletions improved growth after UV irradiation (Figure 5A). Similarly, the triple mutants suppressed the extreme DMSO sensitivity of the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain (Figure 5A). This suppression suggested that the reduced growth in DMSO correlated with a severely affected chromatin state, as deletion of single chromatin regulators has been previously associated with DMSO sensitivity (Zhang *et al.* 2013).

In contrast to uniform improvements in the triple mutants after UV, only the *SIR2* deletion suppressed sensitivity to DSBs induced by CPT and HU (Figure 5A). *SIR2* had been previously linked to the DNA damage response (Tamburini and Tyler 2005; McCord *et al.* 2009), but we further considered that this suppression might also be coupled to the pseudodiploid state in *SIR2* null cells caused by de-repression of the cryptic mating-type loci (Haber 2012).

DSBs can be repaired by either of two pathways: non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) or homologous recombination (HR) (Chapman *et al.* 2012). NHEJ is error-prone as it predominantly ligates broken DNA ends (Betermier *et al.* 2014), whereas the HR pathway is more accurate as it uses an intact copy of the affected region as a template for repair. The $\alpha 1$ - $\alpha 2$ repressor expressed in diploid and *SIR2* null strains represses specific genes involved in the NHEJ pathway (Åström *et al.* 1999; Valencia *et al.* 2001). This is believed to increase HR efficiency, as diploid cells contain a second copy of each chromosome that can be used as a template for repair (Haber 2012). As with *SIR2* null cells, the *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain was non-mating, confirming that the cryptic mating loci were not silenced in haploid cells.

To investigate NHEJ repair efficiency, a plasmid religation assay was used. The assay consists of transforming a linearized plasmid and counting the transformants that repaired the linear plasmid to convert it into a circular and thereby stably maintained plasmid (Åström *et al.* 1999; Lee *et al.* 1999). We found that *esa1-414* and *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains

had increased NHEJ repair efficiency compared to wild type, one possible reason for their DNA damage sensitivity phenotypes (Figure 5B). Because in endpoint drug challenge assays the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain was orders of magnitude more sensitive to DNA damage than the *esa1-414* strain (Figure 5A), we suspected that a severely altered chromatin structure in the bypass strain could influence other aspects of the repair process, such as the transcriptional response or the direct repair of the breaks. In contrast, the *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain was defective in NHEJ repair to the same extent as *sir2Δ* (Figure 5B). This suggested that the *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain had improved growth on CPT and HU because it was essentially repairing by HR, thereby avoiding mutations that could be introduced during the end-joining repair pathway.

SIN3 and *RPD3* null strains are sensitive to DNA damage induced by phleomycin although they are not sensitive to HU, which, like CPT, is an S-phase-specific DSB inducer (Jazayeri *et al.* 2004). Such differential sensitivity is consistent with a role for Rpd3-Sin3 in NHEJ repair. By contrast, *sds3Δ* strains are sensitive to S-phase DSBs (Chang and Pillus 2009), suggesting that Rpd3L has a more prominent role in HR compared to Rpd3 as part of the core complex. This is consistent with our observations. The *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain was very sensitive to CPT and had a higher rate of NHEJ compared to wild type. When the *SIR2* gene was deleted in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain, the NHEJ bias was shifted toward HR repair, making these cells resistant to S-phase-induced damage.

In an independent approach we analyzed recombination using reporter strains in which the *ADE2* gene was inserted in the rDNA locus. Expression of *ADE2* produced white colonies; however, chromosomal loss of *ADE2* due to recombination between the rDNA repeats generated sectorized red colonies. In quantifying the relative number of half-red-sectorized colonies, we found that the *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain showed high levels of rDNA recombination (Figure 5C). It is important to note that *sir2Δ* strains have similar high rDNA recombination levels (Gottlieb and Esposito 1989; Clarke *et al.* 2006); however, the high recombination rate is restricted to specific genomic areas (Su *et al.* 2000; Mieczkowski *et al.* 2007). In the *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain, the genomic areas susceptible to recombination may be more dispersed than in *sir2Δ* cells as Rpd3 has a role in repressing mitotic recombination (Dora *et al.* 1999; Merker *et al.* 2008).

Finally, to test if the DNA damage resistance of the *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain was due to a pseudodiploid state, we transformed a *MAT α* *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain with a plasmid-borne *MAT α* locus. Enhanced suppression of the DNA-damage-sensitive phenotype was not observed (Figure 5D). This suggested that the DNA-damage-resistant phenotype of *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* cells was not due simply to a pseudodiploid state but was more likely to result from enhanced levels of acetylation of Sir2 substrates, including H4K16 (Figure 3B), which is a mark of open chromatin that is involved in repair (Bird *et al.* 2002; Tamburini and Tyler 2005).

Figure 5 HDAC-specific effects on DNA damage sensitivity and repair. (A) *SIR2* deletion broadly suppressed the DNA damage sensitivity of *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. Loss of *HDA1* and *HST1* also suppressed UV and DMSO sensitivity in *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. Serial dilutions of strains in Figure 4A were plated on YPAD and DNA damage plates. (B) The *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* mutant down-regulated the NHEJ repair pathway. A plasmid end-joining assay was performed with strains analyzed in A and with *sir2Δ* (LPY18223) as an additional control. Data are expressed as NHEJ efficiency relative to wild type, after normalization to the corresponding transformation efficiency of the uncut plasmid. The repair efficiencies of *esa1-414* and *esa1Δ sds3Δ* were identical, but they differed significantly from wild type and *esa1Δ sds3Δ hda1Δ* ($p = 0.02$), demonstrating that deletion of *HDA1* enhanced NHEJ levels of *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. The re-ligation efficiency of *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* was statistically distinct from *esa1Δ sds3Δ* ($p < 0.005$), whereas the NHEJ efficiency of *esa1Δ sds3Δ hst1Δ* was higher than in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* ($p = 0.01$), demonstrating that *HST1* deletion led to a further up-regulation of the NHEJ pathway. A previous report found that two different *esa1* hypomorphic alleles had defective NHEJ (Bird *et al.* 2002). Results demonstrating enhanced NHEJ here could be due to allele-specific differences as seen in earlier studies comparing the severity of phenotypic defects and strength of suppression between different *esa1* alleles (Decker *et al.* 2008; Chang *et al.* 2012). (C) The *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain had high levels of mitotic recombination in the rDNA array. Recombination was evaluated using a marker-loss assay. The *esa1-414* and *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains had higher recombination rates than wild type ($p < 0.05$); however, *esa1-414* was higher than *esa1Δ sds3Δ* ($p = 0.024$). Previous *esa1-414* results were reproduced (Clarke *et al.* 2006). The *esa1Δ sds3Δ hda1Δ* strain was not distinct from wild type, demonstrating that *HDA1* deletion suppressed the high recombination rates of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* ($p = 0.03$). The *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain was statistically different from *esa1Δ sds3Δ* ($p = 0.0009$), whereas *esa1Δ sds3Δ hst1Δ* was not. In this case, loss of Rpd3L could not oppose the induced higher rDNA recombination rates in *sir2Δ* deletion, perhaps related to the concomitant *ESA1* loss (Zhou *et al.* 2009) and/or to an Rpd3S-specific function at the rDNA. (D) A pseudodiploid state was not sufficient for DNA damage resistance in *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. Wild-type (LPY79) and *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (LPY16595) *MATα* strains were transformed with *TRP1* plasmids encoding the *MATa* or *MATα* locus and the vector alone as a control. The strains were compared to *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ::TRP1* (LPY17997) under DNA-damage-inducing conditions on trp- plates. Wild-type strains were unaffected by simultaneous expression of both *MAT* genes. The pseudodiploid *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain remained sensitive to DNA damage when compared with the *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain, where a pseudodiploid

state was combined with global high levels of histone H4K16 acetylation. The slightly exacerbated HU sensitivity of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* upon *MATα* over-expression may relate to the previously reported synthetic lethality of *rp3Δ* strains when *MATα2* was overexpressed (Kaluarachchi *et al.* 2012).

Conclusions

The human MYST gene *TIP60*, like its yeast homolog *ESA1*, is essential for viability (Gorrini *et al.* 2007) and contributes to the DNA damage response through mechanisms that are under active investigation (reviewed in Squatrito *et al.* 2006; Xu and Price 2011). Because of the deeply conserved

characteristics of these genes and their other diverse functions, it is important to understand the nature of their essential functions. In this study, we took advantage of the powerful tool of genetic suppression (reviewed in Prelich 1999) to define an imbalance in dynamic acetylation and deacetylation as the factor underlying lethality in *esa1Δ*

mutants. By restoring acetylation through fine-tuning the spectrum of active enzymes in the cell, it was possible to restore viability and successful responses to environmental stressors. It is also likely that keeping acetylation balanced through tight regulation of Tip60 activity is important in human cells, as altered Tip60 levels have been linked to both suppression and promotion of multiple types of cancer (Squatrino *et al.* 2006; Avvakumov and Côté 2007).

Special relationships between *Esa1* and HDACs have been pointed to in earlier studies (Biswas *et al.* 2008; Lin *et al.* 2008; Chang and Pillus 2009) along with the discovery of other suppressors (Chang *et al.* 2012). However, none of these suppressors could bypass the need for *ESA1*. There has been some discussion about whether *Esa1*'s catalytic activity is its essential function (Smith *et al.* 1998; Decker *et al.* 2008), and there is structural and proteomic evidence that auto-acetylation and acetylation of nonhistone substrates are major contributors in the requirement for *Esa1* (Yan *et al.* 2002; Lin *et al.* 2009; Lu *et al.* 2011; Yi *et al.* 2012). Our observation that the lethality of *esa1Δ* can be bypassed

by the loss of a single HDAC complex supports the idea that *ESA1*'s essential function is its catalytic activity. Importantly however, it is that activity in the context of balancing the overall acetylation state of the cell that is critical.

Viability of the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain added emphasis to previous data implicating a significant functional relationship between *Esa1* and *Rpd3* (Biswas *et al.* 2008; Chang and Pillus 2009; Lu *et al.* 2011; Yi *et al.* 2012). The best-studied nonhistone targets of *Esa1* are also deacetylated by *Rpd3* (Lin *et al.* 2008; Lu *et al.* 2011; Yi *et al.* 2012), suggesting that these substrates may be part of an extreme acetylation imbalance that leads to death in *esa1Δ* cells. If nonhistone targets of *Esa1* have a role in viability, at least some are also likely to be deacetylated by *Rpd3L* and consequently remain in a relatively balanced acetylation state in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains.

Our data support the idea that the inviability in *esa1Δ* cells may be caused in part by disproportionately high H3 acetylation compared to H4 acetylation, which is extremely low due to loss of *Esa1*, the primary nuclear H4

Figure 6 Bypassing an essential acetyltransferase by balancing dynamic acetylation. (A and B) *ESA1* deletion resulted in a deleterious decrease in acetylation including a high H3:low H4 acetylation ratio. Imbalanced acetylation of nonhistone substrates of *Esa1* is also likely to have a role in lethality of *esa1Δ* strains. (C) When the acetylation ratio was balanced by deletion of *SDS3*, *esa1Δ* cells were viable, although still compromised for growth. (D–G) Balancing acetylation of histone and nonhistone substrates to different extents further improved cell fitness and response to specific challenges. A promoter region and a coding region (blue) separated by the transcriptional start point (arrow) are represented for each genetic condition. Histones H2A and H2B are not shown for simplicity; likewise, only one of the tails of H3 and H4 are depicted. Nonhistone substrates are represented as a circle, a triangle, and a pentagon, and their acetylation is shown as red dots.

acetyltransferase (Figure 6, A and B). At the molecular level imbalanced acetylation is likely to influence multiple aspects of chromatin, including nucleosomal integrity, chromosomal stability, or enzymatic complex affinity for genomic targets. However, enhanced histone acetylation could not rescue all *esa1Δ sds3Δ* phenotypes, suggesting that balanced acetylation of both histone and nonhistone substrates of *Esa1* is critical for robust growth in the absence of this essential enzyme. Supporting this idea, in proteomic studies >200 nonhistone substrates have been reported for NuA4 (Lin *et al.* 2009; Mitchell *et al.* 2013), and of these, at least 77 are encoded by essential genes. Substrates include components of the chromatin-remodeling complex RSC (e.g., *STH1* and *RSC8*), proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis (e.g., *NOP4* and *DBP6*), and cytoskeleton and spindle components (e.g., *TUB2* and *SPC42*), whose acetylation state and role in viability in *esa1Δ* and *esa1Δ sds3Δ* remain to be tested.

In *esa1Δ sds3Δ* cells, because the Rpd3L complex is not present to deacetylate targets shared with *Esa1* and other HATs, the need for *ESA1* can be bypassed (Figure 6C). However, the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* cells are very sick, a state that can be further improved by manipulating other chromatin modifiers and specific lysines in histones H3 and H4.

The fitness of the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strain improves to differing extents depending on which additional chromatin modifier is affected, and the balancing act can be more or less robust depending on the target genes, chromatin regions regulated by the modifier, and/or histone and nonhistone substrates affected. One example includes a non-acetylatable lysine 9 in histone H3 that significantly improved *esa1Δ sds3Δ* fitness. In these cells, H3 acetylation levels are lower and more balanced relative to low H4 acetylation (Figure 6D). Another example involves deletion of *HDA1* that encodes a second HDAC, which increases acetylation of H4 and possibly of shared nonhistones substrates with *Esa1* (Figure 6E). The *esa1Δ sds3Δ sir2Δ* strain is an example where fitness may additionally be influenced by cell-specific transcription. In this case, a pseudodiploid state is not sufficient, but may help promote error-proof repair of damaged DNA (Figure 6F). Finally, even though the *esa1Δ sds3Δ hst1Δ* strain has an improved H3/H4 acetylation ratio, it is not as fit as the *esa1Δ sds3Δ hda1Δ* strain, suggesting that in *esa1Δ sds3Δ hst1Δ*, acetylation of nonhistone substrates of *Esa1* is not adequately balanced (Figure 6G). Together, the interplay of opposing acetylation activities can lead to a critical balance that promotes viability even under circumstances where death would normally result.

Acknowledgments

We thank C. Chang, L. G. Clark, S. Edwards, M. R. Eustice, R. M. Garza, E. Petty, J. Rine, F. Solomon, and B. X. Su for their critical reading of the manuscript; D. Stillman, J. Workman, J. Heitman, and C. Chang for generously providing strains; members of the Pillus lab for helpful advice throughout the course of this study; and N. Frank for

discussion and analyzing data on nonhistone substrates of *Esa1*. M. M. Smith and his colleagues have also independently observed that loss of components of Rpd3L can bypass *esa1Δ*. We appreciate the communication of their unpublished results. This work was initiated with the previous support of National Institutes of Health grant GM5649 and continued with funding from the University of California Cancer Research Coordinating Committee and the University of California at San Diego Committee on Research. A. L.T.-M. was supported by the University of California Institute for Mexico and the United States (UCMEXUS) and the National Council of Science and Technology of Mexico (Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología).

Literature Cited

- Ahn, S. H., W. L. Cheung, J. Y. Hsu, R. L. Diaz, M. M. Smith *et al.*, 2005 Sterile 20 kinase phosphorylates histone H2B at serine 10 during hydrogen peroxide-induced apoptosis in *S. cerevisiae*. *Cell* 120: 25–36.
- Allis, C. D., S. L. Berger, J. Côté, S. Dent, T. Jenuwien *et al.*, 2007 New nomenclature for chromatin-modifying enzymes. *Cell* 131: 633–636.
- Åström, S. U., S. M. Okamura, and J. Rine, 1999 Yeast cell-type regulation of DNA repair. *Nature* 397: 310.
- Avvakumov, N., and J. Côté, 2007 The MYST family of histone acetyltransferases and their intimate links to cancer. *Oncogene* 26: 5395–5407.
- Baker, L. A., B. M. Ueberheide, S. Dewell, B. T. Chait, D. Zheng *et al.*, 2013 The yeast Snt2 protein coordinates the transcriptional response to hydrogen peroxide-mediated oxidative stress. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 33: 3735–3748.
- Bedalov, A., M. Hirao, J. Posakony, M. Nelson, and J. A. Simon, 2003 NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase Hst1p controls biosynthesis and cellular NAD⁺ levels in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 23: 7044–7054.
- Bernstein, B. E., J. K. Tong, and S. L. Schreiber, 2000 Genomewide studies of histone deacetylase function in yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97: 13708–13713.
- Betermier, M., P. Bertrand, and B. S. Lopez, 2014 Is non-homologous end-joining really an inherently error-prone process? *PLoS Genet.* 10: e1004086.
- Bird, A. W., D. Y. Yu, M. G. Pray-Grant, Q. Qiu, K. E. Harmon *et al.*, 2002 Acetylation of histone H4 by *Esa1* is required for DNA double-strand break repair. *Nature* 419: 411–415.
- Biswas, D., S. Takahata, and D. J. Stillman, 2008 Different genetic functions for the Rpd3(L) and Rpd3(S) complexes suggest competition between NuA4 and Rpd3(S). *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28: 4445–4458.
- Bond, J. F., J. L. Fridovich-Keil, L. Pillus, R. C. Mulligan, and F. Solomon, 1986 A chicken-yeast chimeric beta-tubulin protein is incorporated into mouse microtubules in vivo. *Cell* 44: 461–468.
- Boudreault, A. A., D. Cronier, W. Selleck, N. Lacoste, R. T. Uley *et al.*, 2003 Yeast enhancer of polycomb defines global *Esa1*-dependent acetylation of chromatin. *Genes Dev.* 17: 1415–1428.
- Brachmann, C. B., J. M. Sherman, S. E. Devine, E. E. Cameron, L. Pillus *et al.*, 1995 The *SIR2* gene family, conserved from bacteria to humans, functions in silencing, cell cycle progression, and chromosome stability. *Genes Dev.* 9: 2888–2902.
- Campos, E. I., and D. Reinberg, 2009 Histones: annotating chromatin. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 43: 559–599.
- Carrozza, M. J., L. Florens, S. K. Swanson, W. J. Shia, S. Anderson *et al.*, 2005a Stable incorporation of sequence specific repressors

- Ash1 and Ume6 into the Rpd3L complex. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1731: 77–87.
- Carrozza, M. J., B. Li, L. Florens, T. Suganuma, S. K. Swanson *et al.*, 2005b Histone H3 methylation by Set2 directs deacetylation of coding regions by Rpd3S to suppress spurious intragenic transcription. *Cell* 123: 581–592.
- Chang, C. S., and L. Pillus, 2009 Collaboration between the essential Esa1 acetyltransferase and the Rpd3 deacetylase is mediated by H4K12 histone acetylation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 183: 149–160.
- Chang, C. S., A. Clarke, and L. Pillus, 2012 Suppression analysis of *esa1* mutants in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* links *NAB3* to transcriptional silencing and nucleolar functions. *G3* 2: 1223–1232.
- Chapman, J. R., M. R. Taylor, and S. J. Boulton, 2012 Playing the end game: DNA double-strand break repair pathway choice. *Mol. Cell* 47: 497–510.
- Chen, X. F., B. Kuryan, T. Kitada, N. Tran, J. Y. Li *et al.*, 2012 The Rpd3 core complex is a chromatin stabilization module. *Curr. Biol.* 22: 56–63.
- Clarke, A. S., J. E. Lowell, S. J. Jacobson, and L. Pillus, 1999 Esa1p is an essential histone acetyltransferase required for cell cycle progression. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 19: 2515–2526.
- Clarke, A. S., E. Samal, and L. Pillus, 2006 Distinct roles for the essential MYST family HAT Esa1p in transcriptional silencing. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 17: 1744–1757.
- Dang, W., K. K. Steffen, R. Perry, J. A. Dorsey, F. B. Johnson *et al.*, 2009 Histone H4 lysine 16 acetylation regulates cellular lifespan. *Nature* 459: 802–807.
- Decker, P. V., D. Y. Yu, M. Iizuka, Q. Qiu, and M. M. Smith, 2008 Catalytic-site mutations in the MYST family histone acetyltransferase Esa1. *Genetics* 178: 1209–1220.
- Dion, M. F., S. J. Altschuler, L. F. Wu, and O. J. Rando, 2005 Genomic characterization reveals a simple histone H4 acetylation code. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102: 5501–5506.
- Dora, E. G., N. Rudin, J. R. Martell, M. S. Esposito, and R. M. Ramirez, 1999 *RPD3* (*REC3*) mutations affect mitotic recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Curr. Genet.* 35: 68–76.
- Drouin, S., L. Laramée, P. E. Jacques, A. Forest, M. Bergeron *et al.*, 2010 DSIF and RNA polymerase II CTD phosphorylation coordinate the recruitment of Rpd3S to actively transcribed genes. *PLoS Genet.* 6: e1001173.
- Felsenfeld, G., and M. Groudine, 2003 Controlling the double helix. *Nature* 421: 448–453.
- Feser, J., D. Truong, C. Das, J. J. Carson, J. Kieft *et al.*, 2010 Elevated histone expression promotes life span extension. *Mol. Cell* 39: 724–735.
- Frye, R. A., 2000 Phylogenetic classification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic Sir2-like proteins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 273: 793–798.
- Gorrini, C., M. Squatrito, C. Luise, N. Syed, D. Perna *et al.*, 2007 Tip60 is a haplo-insufficient tumour suppressor required for an oncogene-induced DNA damage response. *Nature* 448: 1063–1067.
- Gottlieb, S., and R. E. Esposito, 1989 A new role for a yeast transcriptional silencer gene, *SIR2*, in regulation of recombination in ribosomal DNA. *Cell* 56: 771–776.
- Govind, C. K., H. Qiu, D. S. Ginsburg, C. Ruan, K. Hofmeyer *et al.*, 2010 Phosphorylated Pol II CTD recruits multiple HDACs, including Rpd3C(S), for methylation-dependent deacetylation of ORF nucleosomes. *Mol. Cell* 39: 234–246.
- Haber, J. E., 2012 Mating-type genes and *MAT* switching in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 191: 33–64.
- Hampsey, M., 1997 A review of phenotypes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 13: 1099–1133.
- Jazayeri, A., A. D. McAnish, and S. P. Jackson, 2004 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Sin3p facilitates DNA double-strand break repair. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101: 1644–1649.
- Kaluarachchi, D. S., H. Friesen, A. Baryshnikova, J. P. Lambert, Y. T. Chong *et al.*, 2012 Exploring the yeast acetylome using functional genomics. *Cell* 149: 936–948.
- Keogh, M. C., S. K. Kurdستاني, S. A. Morris, S. H. Ahn, V. Podolny *et al.*, 2005 Cotranscriptional Set2 methylation of histone H3 lysine 36 recruits a repressive Rpd3 complex. *Cell* 123: 593–605.
- Kizer, K. O., T. Xiao, and B. D. Strahl, 2006 Accelerated nuclei preparation and methods for analysis of histone modifications in yeast. *Methods* 40: 296–302.
- Kornberg, R. D., and Y. Lorch, 1999 Twenty-five years of the nucleosome, fundamental particle of the eukaryote chromosome. *Cell* 98: 285–294.
- Krogan, N. J., J. Dover, S. Khorrami, J. F. Greenblatt, J. Schneider *et al.*, 2002 COMPASS, a histone H3 (lysine 4) methyltransferase required for telomeric silencing of gene expression. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277: 10753–10755.
- Lafon, A., C. S. Chang, E. M. Scott, S. J. Jacobson, and L. Pillus, 2007 MYST opportunities for growth control: yeast genes illuminate human cancer gene functions. *Oncogene* 26: 5373–5384.
- Lechner, T., M. J. Carrozza, Y. Yu, P. A. Grant, A. Eberharther *et al.*, 2000 Sds3 (suppressor of defective silencing 3) is an integral component of the yeast Sin3-Rpd3 histone deacetylase complex and is required for histone deacetylase activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275: 40961–40966.
- Lee, S. E., F. Paques, J. Sylvan, and J. E. Haber, 1999 Role of yeast *SIR* genes and mating type in directing DNA double-strand breaks to homologous and non-homologous repair paths. *Curr. Biol.* 9: 767–770.
- Lin, Y. Y., Y. Qi, J. Y. Lu, X. Pan, D. S. Yuan *et al.*, 2008 A comprehensive synthetic genetic interaction network governing yeast histone acetylation and deacetylation. *Genes Dev.* 22: 2062–2074.
- Lin, Y. Y., J. Y. Lu, J. Zhang, W. Walter, W. Dang *et al.*, 2009 Protein acetylation microarray reveals that NuA4 controls key metabolic target regulating gluconeogenesis. *Cell* 136: 1073–1084.
- Lu, J. Y., Y. Y. Lin, J. C. Sheu, J. T. Wu, F. J. Lee *et al.*, 2011 Acetylation of yeast AMPK controls intrinsic aging independently of caloric restriction. *Cell* 146: 969–979.
- Maltby, V. E., and B. J. Martin, J. Brind'Amour, A. T. Chruscicki, K. L. McBurney *et al.*, 2012 Histone H3K4 demethylation is negatively regulated by histone H3 acetylation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109: 18505–18510.
- McCord, R. A., E. Michishita, T. Hong, E. Berber, L. D. Boxer *et al.*, 2009 SIRT6 stabilizes DNA-dependent protein kinase at chromatin for DNA double-strand break repair. *Aging* 1: 109–121.
- McDaniel, S. L., and B. D. Strahl, 2013 Stress-free with Rpd3: a unique chromatin complex mediates the response to oxidative stress. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 33: 3726–3727.
- Merker, J. D., M. Dominska, P. W. Greenwell, E. Rinella, D. C. Bouck *et al.*, 2008 The histone methylase Set2p and the histone deacetylase Rpd3p repress meiotic recombination at the *HIS4* meiotic recombination hotspot in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *DNA Repair (Amst.)* 7: 1298–1308.
- Mieczkowski, P. A., M. Dominska, M. J. Buck, J. D. Lieb, and T. D. Petes, 2007 Loss of a histone deacetylase dramatically alters the genomic distribution of Spo11p-catalyzed DNA breaks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104: 3955–3960.
- Mitchell, L., J. P. Lambert, M. Gerdes, A. S. Al-Madhoun, I. S. Skerjanc *et al.*, 2008 Functional dissection of the NuA4 histone acetyltransferase reveals its role as a genetic hub and that Eaf1 is essential for complex integrity. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28: 2244–2256.

- Mitchell, L., A. Lau, J. P. Lambert, H. Zhou, Y. Fong *et al.*, 2011 Regulation of septin dynamics by the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* lysine acetyltransferase NuA4. *PLoS ONE* 6: e25336.
- Mitchell, L., S. Huarda, M. Cotruta, R. Pourhanifteh-Lemeria, A. Steunoub *et al.*, 2013 mChIP-KAT-MS, a method to map protein interactions and acetylation sites for lysine acetyltransferases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 110: E1641–E1650.
- Nakanishi, S., B. W. Sanderson, K. M. Delventhal, W. D. Bradford, K. Staehling-Hampton *et al.*, 2008 A comprehensive library of histone mutants identifies nucleosomal residues required for H3K4 methylation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 15: 881–888.
- Natsume-Kitatani, Y., M. Shiga, and H. Mamitsuka, 2011 Genome-wide integration on transcription factors, histone acetylation and gene expression reveals genes co-regulated by histone modification patterns. *PLoS ONE* 6: e22281.
- Nitiss, J., and J. C. Wang, 1988 DNA topoisomerase-targeting antitumor drugs can be studied in yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85: 7501–7505.
- Pijnappel, W. W., D. Schaft, A. Roguev, A. Shevchenko, H. Tekotte *et al.*, 2001 The *S. cerevisiae* SET3 complex includes two histone deacetylases, Hos2 and Hst1, and is a meiotic-specific repressor of the sporulation gene program. *Genes Dev.* 15: 2991–3004.
- Prelich, G., 1999 Suppression mechanisms: themes from variations. *Trends Genet.* 15: 261–266.
- Rando, O. J., and F. Winston, 2012 Chromatin and transcription in yeast. *Genetics* 190: 351–387.
- Reid, J. L., V. R. Iyer, P. O. Brown, and K. Struhl, 2000 Coordinate regulation of yeast ribosomal protein genes is associated with targeted recruitment of Esa1 histone acetylase. *Mol. Cell* 6: 1297–1307.
- Robyr, D., Y. Suka, I. Xenarios, S. K. Kurdistan, A. Wang *et al.*, 2002 Microarray deacetylation maps determine genome-wide functions for yeast histone deacetylases. *Cell* 109: 437–446.
- Roy, N., and K. W. Runge, 2000 Two paralogs involved in transcriptional silencing that antagonistically control yeast lifespan. *Curr. Biol.* 10: 111–114.
- Ruiz-Roig, C., C. Vieitez, and F. Posas, and E. de Nadal, 2010 The Rpd3L HDAC complex is essential for the heat stress response in yeast. *Mol. Microbiol.* 76: 1049–1062.
- Sardiu, M. E., J. M. Gilmore, M. J. Carrozza, B. Li, J. L. Workman *et al.*, 2009 Determining protein complex connectivity using a probabilistic deletion network derived from quantitative proteomics. *PLoS ONE* 4: e7310.
- Scott, E. M., and L. Pillus, 2010 Homocitrate synthase connects amino acid metabolism to chromatin functions through Esa1 and DNA damage. *Genes Dev.* 24: 1903–1913.
- Shahbazian, M. D., and M. Grunstein, 2007 Functions of site-specific histone acetylation and deacetylation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 76: 75–100.
- Shevchenko, A., and A. Roguev, D. Schaft, L. Buchanan, B. Habermann *et al.*, 2008 Chromatin Central: towards the comparative proteome by accurate mapping of the yeast proteomic environment. *Genome Biol.* 9: R167.
- Smith, E. R., A. Eisen, W. Gu, M. Sattah, A. Pannuti *et al.*, 1998 *ESA1* is a histone acetyltransferase that is essential for growth in yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95: 3561–3565.
- Smith, J. S., C. B. Brachmann, I. Celic, M. A. Kenna, S. Muhammad *et al.*, 2000 A phylogenetically conserved NAD⁺-dependent protein deacetylase activity in the Sir2 protein family. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97: 6658–6663.
- Squatrito, M., C. Gorrini, and B. Amati, 2006 Tip60 in DNA damage response and growth control: many tricks in one HAT. *Trends Cell Biol.* 16: 433–442.
- Su, Y., A. B. Barton, and D. B. Kaback, 2000 Decreased meiotic reciprocal recombination in subtelomeric regions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Chromosoma* 109: 467–475.
- Tamburini, B. A., and J. K. Tyler, 2005 Localized histone acetylation and deacetylation triggered by the homologous recombination pathway of double-strand DNA repair. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 25: 4903–4913.
- Turner, E. L., M. E. Malo, M. G. Piscelevich, M. D. Dash, G. F. Davies *et al.*, 2010 The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* anaphase-promoting complex interacts with multiple histone-modifying enzymes to regulate cell cycle progression. *Eukaryot. Cell* 9: 1418–1431.
- Valencia, M., M. Bentele, M. B. Vaze, G. Herrmann, E. Kraus *et al.*, 2001 *NEJ1* controls non-homologous end joining in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nature* 414: 666–669.
- Vogelauer, M., J. Wu, N. Suka, and M. Grunstein, 2000 Global histone acetylation and deacetylation in yeast. *Nature* 408: 495–498.
- Xu, Y., and B. D. Price, 2011 Chromatin dynamics and the repair of DNA double strand breaks. *Cell Cycle* 10: 261–267.
- Yan, Y., S. Harper, D. W. Speicher, and R. Marmorstein, 2002 The catalytic mechanism of the *ESA1* histone acetyltransferase involves a self-acetylated intermediate. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 9: 862–869.
- Yang, X. J., and E. Seto, 2008 The Rpd3/Hda1 family of lysine deacetylases: from bacteria and yeast to mice and men. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 9: 206–218.
- Yi, C., M. Ma, L. Ran, J. Zheng, J. Tong *et al.*, 2012 Function and molecular mechanism of acetylation in autophagy regulation. *Science* 336: 474–477.
- Zhang, L., N. Liu, X. Ma, and L. Jiang, 2013 The transcriptional control machinery as well as the cell wall integrity and its regulation are involved in the detoxification of the organic solvent dimethyl sulfoxide in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 13: 200–218.
- Zhang, W., J. R. Bone, D. G. Edmondson, B. M. Turner, and S. Y. Roth, 1998 Essential and redundant functions of histone acetylation revealed by mutation of target lysines and loss of the Gcn5p acetyltransferase. *EMBO J.* 17: 3155–3167.
- Zhou, J., B. O. Zhou, B. A. Lenzmeier, and J. Q. Zhou, 2009 Histone deacetylase Rpd3 antagonizes Sir2-dependent silent chromatin propagation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37: 3699–3713.

Communicating editor: M. Hampsey

GENETICS

Supporting Information

<http://www.genetics.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/genetics.114.165894/-/DC1>

Bypassing the Requirement for an Essential MYST Acetyltransferase

Ana Lilia Torres-Machorro and Lorraine Pillus

Table S1 Yeast strains

Strain	Genotype	Reference
LPY5 (W303-1a)	MAT α <i>ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</i>	R. Rothstein
LPY79	W303 MAT α	
YCB232 (LPY1081)	MAT α <i>ade2-101 his3Δ200 leu2Δ1 lys2-801 trp1Δ63 ura3-52 hst1Δ2::LEU2</i>	
LPY4767	W303 MAT α <i>rDNA::ADE2-CAN1</i>	
LPY4776	W303 MAT α <i>esa1-414</i>	
LPY4911	W303 MAT α <i>esa1-414 rDNA::ADE2-CAN1</i>	Clarke et al. 2006
LPY10700	<i>LPY12232 + pLP1971 (no pJH33)</i>	
LPY12205	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 + pLP796</i>	
LPY12207	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 rpd3Δ::kanMX + pLP796</i>	
LPY12232	W303 MAT α <i>hht1-hhf1Δ::kanMX hht2-hhf2Δ::kanMX hta2-htb2Δ::HPH + pJH33</i>	Chang and Pillus 2009
LPY12885	W303 MAT α <i>hda1Δ::TRP1</i>	
LPY12959	W303 MAT α <i>sds3Δ::kanMX</i>	
LPY13059	<i>LPY12232 + pLP1990 (no pJH33)</i>	Chang and Pillus 2009
LPY13060	<i>LPY12232 + pLP2146 (no pJH33)</i>	Chang and Pillus 2009
LPY13061	<i>LPY12232 + pLP2181 (no pJH33)</i>	Chang and Pillus 2009
LPY14161	<i>LPY12232 + pLP1775 (no pJH33)</i>	Chang and Pillus 2009
LPY14162	<i>LPY12232 + pLP2145 (no pJH33)</i>	Chang and Pillus 2009
LPY15908	<i>LPY12232 + pLP1777 (no pJH33)</i>	
LPY16480	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX + pLP796</i>	
LPY16595	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX</i>	
LPY17027	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 pho23Δ::kanMX + pLP796</i>	
LPY17029	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 rco1::kanMX + pLP796</i>	
LPY17145	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX hht1-hhf1Δ::kanMX hht2-hhf2Δ::kanMX hta2-htb2Δ::HPH + pJH33</i>	
LPY17271	<i>LPY17145 + pLP2145 (no pJH33)</i>	
LPY17272	<i>LPY17145 + pLP2181 (no pJH33)</i>	
LPY17273	<i>LPY17145 + pLP2146 (no pJH33)</i>	
LPY17274	<i>LPY17145 + pLP2183 (no pJH33)</i>	
LPY17368	<i>LPY17145 + pLP1775 (no pJH33)</i>	
LPY17369	<i>LPY17145 + pLP1990 (no pJH33)</i>	
LPY17713	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 rpd3Δ::LEU2 + pLP796</i>	
LPY17714	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX rpd3Δ::LEU2 + pLP796</i>	
LPY17724	W303 MAT α <i>sds3Δ::kanMX hos2Δ::TRP1</i>	
LPY17748	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX hda1Δ::TRP1</i>	
LPY17753	W303 MAT α <i>sds3Δ::kanMX hda1Δ::TRP1</i>	
LPY17759	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX hda1Δ::TRP1 + pLP796</i>	
LPY17761	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 hda1Δ::TRP1 + pLP796</i>	
LPY17799	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 hst1Δ2::LEU2 + pLP796</i>	
LPY17801	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX hst1Δ2::LEU2 + pLP796</i>	
LPY17805	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX rco1Δ::kanMX + pLP796</i>	
LPY17845	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 hos2Δ::TRP1 + pLP796</i>	
LPY17848	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX hos2Δ::TRP1 + pLP796</i>	
LPY17900	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX hst1Δ2::LEU2</i>	
LPY17959	W303 MAT α <i>esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX rDNA::ADE2-CAN1</i>	

LPY17966	<i>W303 MATα esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX sir2Δ::TRP1 + pLP796</i>
LPY17968	<i>W303 MATα esa1Δ::HIS3 sir2Δ::TRP1 + pLP796</i>
LPY17969	<i>W303 MATα sds3Δ::kanMX sir2Δ::TRP1</i>
LPY17997	<i>W303 MATα esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX sir2Δ::TRP1</i>
LPY18032	<i>W303 MATα esa1Δ::HIS3 sin3Δ::kanMX + pLP796</i>
LPY18095	<i>W303 MATα hst1Δ2::LEU2</i>
LPY18096	<i>W303 MATα hst1Δ2::LEU2</i>
LPY18112	<i>W303 MATα sds3Δ::kanMX hst1Δ2::LEU2</i>
LPY18210	<i>W303 MATα esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX hst1Δ2::LEU2 rDNA::ADE2-CAN1</i>
LPY18222	<i>W303 MATα esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX hda1Δ::TRP1 rDNA::ADE2-CAN1</i>
LPY18223	<i>W303 MATα sir2Δ::kanMX</i>
LPY18226	<i>W303 MATα sds3Δ::kanMX rco1Δ::kanMX</i>
LPY18573	<i>W303 MATα esa1Δ::HIS3 sds3Δ::kanMX sir2Δ::TRP1 rDNA::ADE2-CAN1</i>
LPY18595	<i>W303 MATα sds3Δ::kanMX rpd3Δ::LEU2</i>
LPY19307	<i>LPY12232 + pLP2208 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY19437	<i>LPY12232 + pLP2183 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20385	<i>W303 MATα esa1Δ::HIS3 dep1Δ::HIS3 + pLP796</i>
LPY20387	<i>LPY17145 + pLP1787 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20388	<i>LPY17145 + pLP3022 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20389	<i>LPY17145 + pLP3018 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20431	<i>LPY17145 + pLP2138 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20430	<i>LPY17145 + pLP2185 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20432	<i>LPY17145 + pLP2142 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20434	<i>LPY17145 + pLP2208 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20435	<i>LPY17145 + pLP2244 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20436	<i>LPY17145 + pLP1971 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20437	<i>LPY17145 + pLP1777 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20465	<i>W303 MATα esa1Δ::HIS3 sap30Δ::kanMX + pLP796</i>
LPY20625	<i>LPY12232 + pLP2185 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20626	<i>LPY12232 + pLP2138 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20627	<i>LPY12232 + pLP2142 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20628	<i>LPY12232 + pLP2242 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20629	<i>LPY12232 + pLP3018 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20630	<i>LPY12232 + pLP1787 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20631	<i>LPY12232 + pLP3022 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20632	<i>LPY12232 + pLP3233 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20633	<i>LPY12232 + pLP2244 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20661	<i>LPY17145 + pLP3233 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20662	<i>LPY17145 + pLP2242 (no pJH33)</i>
LPY20664	<i>W303 MATα esa1Δ::HIS3 snt2Δ::kanMX + pLP796</i>

Unless referenced, strains were constructed in this study.

Table S2 Plasmids

Plasmid	Description	Source/Reference
pJH33	<i>HTA1 HTB1 HHF2 HHT2 URA3 CEN</i>	Ahn et al. 2005
pLP126	<i>pRS316 URA3 CEN</i>	
pLP136	<i>URA3 2μ</i>	
pLP287	<i>SAS2 URA3 2μ</i>	
pLP641	<i>SAS3 URA3 2μ</i>	
pLP796	<i>ESA1 URA3 2μ</i>	Clarke et al. 2006
pLP940	<i>HAT1 URA3 2μ</i>	
pLP1641	<i>GCN5 URA3 2μ</i>	
pLP1775	<i>HHF2 HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP1777	<i>HHF2 hht2-K14A TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP1787	<i>HHF2 hht2-K9A TRP1 CEN</i>	S. L. Berger
pLP1971	<i>hhf2-K5Q,K8Q,K12Q HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP1990	<i>hhf2-K16A HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP2138	<i>hhf2-K8R HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP2142	<i>hhf2-K12R HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP2145	<i>hhf2-K8A HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	Chang and Pillus , 2009
pLP2146	<i>hhf2-K12A HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP2181	<i>hhf2-K5A HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP2183	<i>hhf2-K5Q HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP2185	<i>hhf2-K5R HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP2208	<i>hhf2-K8A,K12A HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	Chang and Pillus 2009
pLP2242	<i>hhf2-K16R HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP2244	<i>hhf2-K8Q,K12Q HHT2 TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP3018	<i>HHF2 hht2-K14R TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP3022	<i>HHF2 hht2-K9R TRP1 CEN</i>	
pLP3233	<i>HHF2 hht2-K9Q TRP1 CEN</i>	

Table S3 Oligonucleotides

Oligo	Name	Sequence
oLP858	H4K5Q-F	TCCGGTAGAGGTCAAGGTGGTAAAGG
oLP859	H4K5Q-R	CCTTTACCACCTTGACCTCTACCGGA
oLP1669	HST1-KO-F	GCAATTCTGGTAGCAATGAC
oLP1670	HST1-KO-R	GAGGTGCAAGAGTCTAATC
oLP2054	H3K9Q-F	CTAAACAAACAGCTAGACAATCCACTGGTGG
oLP2055	H3K9Q-R	CCACCAGTGGATTGTCTAGCTGTTGTTTAG
oLP2078	SNT2-KO-F	CAGGCTGGGAACCAGGGAATG
oLP2079	SNT2-KO-R	GCCAGGCGCGAGGATTTTAGC

Figure S1 Further characterization of successful and failed bypass suppressors.

(A) Growth of *esa1Δ sds3Δ* was slow compared to wild-type. Assay as in Figure 1B, but including the wild-type strain (LPY79) transformed with a *URA3* vector. Depletion of nutrients in the medium due to the rapid growth of the wild-type strain prevented more extensive growth of *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. If the plating of the bypass strain was closer to rapid growing strains, its growth inhibition was more severe. (B) Histone H4 acetylation was low in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* cells, whereas H3 acetylation was comparable to wild-type levels. Histone H4 acetylation levels at specified lysines were quantified from two or more independent immunoblots and normalized relative to histone H4 levels. Histone H3K9, K14Ac levels were normalized to histone H3. Values were graphed relative to wild-type (red dashed line) for *esa1Δ +pESA1* and *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains. The H4 acetylation levels at all lysines tested in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* were statistically lower than wild-type $p < 0.0001$, whereas H3 acetylation remained similar to wild-type. (C) Deletion of *SDS3* suppressed low H4 acetylation in *esa1-414* cells grown at 37°. Quantification of two independent immunoblots including those shown in Figure 1G. Graphs and normalization were as in Figure S1B.

A **B** **Figure S2** Histone mutants controls.

(A) Phenotypes of histone H4 lysine to alanine mutations in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ hht1-hhf1Δ hht2-hhf2Δ* background. Tested strains included the following histone mutations: wild-type histones H3-H4 (LPY17368), H4K5A (LPY17272), H4K8A (LPY17271), H4K12A (LPY17273) and H4K16A (LPY17369). (B) Histone mutants in the wild-type background are not independently sensitive to environmental challenges. Strains were deleted for genes encoding all copies of H3 and H4 (*hht1-hhf1Δ*) and carried a plasmid with either wild type H3 and H4 or with one mutated lysine in H4 or H3 as indicated. Wild type histones H3-H4 (LPY14161), H4K5R (LPY20625), H4K8R (LPY20626), H4K12R (LPY20627), H4K16R (LPY20628), H3K9R (LPY20631), H3K14R (LPY20629), H4K5A (LPY13061), H4K8A (LPY14162), H4K12A (LPY13060), H4K16A (LPY13059), H3K14A (LPY15908), H3K9A (LPY20630), H3K9Q (LPY20632), H4K5Q,K8Q,K12Q (LPY10700), H4K8,K12Q (LPY20633) and H4K8,12A (LPY19307) in the *hht1-hhf1Δ hht2-hhf2Δ* background were tested in serial dilutions on YPAD at room temperature, 30° and 35°. Hydroxyurea plates were grown at 30°. The combined H4K5Q,K8Q,K12Q mutant was previously found to be sensitive to DNA damage (Bird et al. 2002). The same mutant in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ* background suppressed temperature sensitivity, but not DNA damage sensitivity as expected (Figure 2E).

Figure S3 Double and single mutants with *SDS3* intact and histone acetylation ratio in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* HDAC-deleted strains. (A) When *SDS3* was present, HDAC loss did not bypass *esa1Δ* lethality. *ESA1* covered strains *esa1Δ* (LPY12205), *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (LPY16480), *esa1Δ rpd3Δ* (LPY17713), *esa1Δ hda1Δ* (LPY17761), *esa1Δ hst1Δ* (LPY17799), *esa1Δ hos2Δ* (LPY17845), *esa1Δ sir2Δ* (LPY17968) and *esa1Δ rco1Δ* (LPY17029) were tested as in Fig. 3A. (B) High histone H3 / low histone H4 acetylation ratios were observed in *esa1Δ* bypass strains. Quantification of specific histone modifications in at least two independent blots, including blots in Figure 3B. The values were normalized as in Figure S1B. Histone H4K5, K8 and K12 acetylation levels were higher in the *esa1Δ sds3Δ hda1Δ* and *esa1Δ sds3Δ hst1Δ* strains relative to *esa1Δ sds3Δ*. *SIR2* and *HST1* deletions in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains suppressed low levels of histone H4K16 acetylation. Statistical significant differences were analyzed with the student's t test and are marked with * ($p < 0.05$) and *** ($p < 0.0001$). (C) Histone H3K14 and H3K9, K14 acetylation levels remain high in bypass suppressor strains. Values were quantified as in Figure S1B from at least two independent experiments and graphed relative to wild-type (red dashed line). Even though histone H3 acetylation is higher in *esa1Δ sds3Δ* HDAC-deleted strains, it was not significantly different from *esa1Δ sds3Δ* strains. (D) Phenotypes of single mutant strains. The *esa1-414* and *sds3Δ* strains were temperature- and DNA damage sensitive at high concentrations of CPT. Strains tested were: wild-type (LPY79), *esa1-414* (LPY4776), *sds3Δ* (LPY12959), *hda1Δ* (LPY12885), *sir2Δ* (LPY18223), *hst1Δ* (LPY18096), *esa1Δ*+ *pESA1* (LPY12205) and *esa1Δ sds3Δ* (LPY16595).