Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2013 August 2; 15(15): 3808–3811. doi:10.1021/ol400811h.

Dendrimers Terminated with Dichlorotriazine Groups Provide a Route to Compositional Diversity

Subrata Patra¹, Brittany Kozura¹, Adela Y.-T. Huang², Alan E. Enciso¹, Xiankai Sun³, J. T. Hsieh⁴, Chai-Lin Kao², Hui-Ting Chen⁵, and Eric E. Simanek¹

Eric E. Simanek: e.simanek@tcu.edu

¹Department of Chemistry, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth TX, 76129

²Department of Medicinal and Applied Chemistry, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

³Department of Radiology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas TX 75390

⁴Department of Urology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas TX 75390

⁵Department of Fragrance and Cosmetic Science, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

Abstract

Triazine dendrimers terminated with either four or eight dichlorotriazines can be prepared in high yields by reacting an amine-terminated dendrimer with cyanuric chloride. These materials exist as white powders and are stable to storage at room temperature. Sequential nucleophilic aromatic substitution with two different amine nucleophiles yields compounds that display the desired compositional diversity. Reaction conditions for the substitution were developed using a model dichlorotriazine with amine nucleophiles at -20 °C, 0 °C and 25 °C. Selective substitution is favored at lower temperatures and with more nucleophilic amine groups.

The generation of compositional diversity on the periphery of dendrimers is a long-standing goal of the community.¹ The easiest route to this end is to apply substoichiometric derivatization of dendrimer bearing a common reactive surface group such as an amine.² While this strategy benefits from a low synthetic burden, the result is a diversity of products that can present challenges to the characterization. Methods for characterizing such mixtures, however, are becoming increasingly refined.³ Using convergent synthetic approaches,⁴ dendrimers with specific compositional diversity can be obtained across a

Correspondence to: Eric E. Simanek, e.simanek@tcu.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Information (28 pp) includes details of synthesis, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and mass spectra. This material is available free of charge via the internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>

variety of platforms including aryl⁵ and aliphatic⁶ ethers, PAMAM⁷ and triazines⁸ by carrying the diversity-generating groups through the entire synthesis. Here, the synthetic burden is substantially increased and the versatility can be limited by the initial choice of the diversity elements. Oftentimes, these products are blocks derived from the dimerization of a dendrons displaying two different surface chemistries^{6a} including those relying on selective click chemistry.⁷ Recently, Rudick reported that a three-component Passerini coupling reaction has been efficiently utilized to generate triblock dendrimers of low generations from dendrons functionalized at the focus.⁹ In contrast to convergent methods, divergent methods offer advantages in that they yield larger dendrimers, adding significant mass throughout the synthesis. Marrying the efficiency of divergent synthesis with the controlled diversity afforded by the convergent methods remains an elusive goal. Mixed approaches, as representative of recent work of Weck have yielded structurally diverse platforms.¹⁰

Our interest in triazine dendrimers is fueled, in part, by the ease at which stepwise substitution of trichlorotriazine occurs with amine nucleophiles.¹¹ By controlling reaction temperature, trisubstituted triazines can be readily accessed in good yields, purity and at large scale.¹² We have invested significant energies in understanding the relative reactivity of amine nucleophiles for triazines¹³ and used these methods to generate a range of dendrimers targets.¹¹ Early efforts to generate compositional diversity to make a dendrimer with a unique site on the periphery^{8a} or to install different orthogonal protecting groups both relied a convergent synthetic strategy.^{8b} Thayumanavan has generated similarly diverse targets using ary ethers.^{5c-e}

We have achieved diversity by reacting poly(amine) dendrimers with functionalized mono-¹⁴ or dichlorotriazine groups.¹⁵ Although these methods have been applied during divergent syntheses of low generation dendrimers, these still require the separate synthesis of the diversity-generating mono- or dichlorotriazine. Accordingly, we have historically avoided the synthesis of large libraries of compounds. Our explorations of small libraries have focused on either stoichiometric functionalization of peripheral amines¹⁶ or the laborious substitution of internal linking amine groups¹⁷ in order to execute the desired structure-property relationship studies.

Triazines have been used for small molecule libraries.¹⁸ Extrapolating to dendrimers, however, requires two challenges be overcome. First, a reactive dendrimer in the form of a poly(dichlorotriazine) must be prepared and established as a stable and viable intermediate. Second, conditions for the selective, stepwise functionalization of these molecules must be developed. Here, we report that both challenges have been met.

We describe a strategy wherein amine-terminated dendrimers are reacted with cyanuric chloride to yield poly(dichlorotriazine)s. These intermediates are subjected to stepwise substitution of the triazine rings with two different nucleophiles to yield targets that display these nucleophiles in a 1:1 ratio. Accordingly, this chemistry represents the first step necessary to efficiently prepare libraries from the wealth of commercially available amine nucleophiles.

We find that the desired poly(dichlorotriazine) targets are readily accessible. Dendrimers **1** and **2** display either 4 or 8 dichlorotriazines on the surface, respectively (Figure 1). Both compounds are white powders that can be stored for months at room temperature.

The synthesis starts with the preparation of monomer **3** which presents two BOC-protected piperazine groups and a trimethylene dipiperidine amine (Scheme 1).¹⁹ Cyclic secondary amines were chosen for both their high reactivity during nucleophilic aromatic substitution of the triazine ring and the perceived solubility advantages deriving from a lack of hydrogen bond donating groups. Reaction of **3** with a dichlorotriazine displaying an alkyne group (reagent **4**, Scheme 3) yields **5**. Upon deprotection with methanolic HCl, the penultimate intermediate **6** is obtained. Dendrimer **1** is realized by reacting **6** with 12 equivalents of cyanuric chloride—a three-fold excess—in tetrahydrofuran at -20 °C for 4 h. Evidence for the formation of crosslinks between dendrimers is not observed by mass spectrometry or NMR spectroscopy. Intramolecular crosslinks to yield a monochlorotriazine should present a mass defect equivalent to C₃N₃Cl₃. Intermolecular crosslinks will yield species with higher molecular weights.

Similarly, the synthesis of dendrimer **2** commences with reaction of **3** with 0.5 equivalents of cyanuric chloride to yield **7**. In a subsequent reaction, the linking diamine was added to provide **8**. Reaction of **8** with a dichlorotriazine with a pendant alkyne (reagent **4**) yields **9**. Deprotection of **9** provides **10**, which is reacted with cyanuric chloride under similar conditions to provide **2**.

Dendrimers **1** and **2** and most intermediates are isolated by conventional silica gel chromatography and characterized by NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. All of these intermediates are stable at room temperature.

To evaluate conditions for achieving selective substitution of these dendrimers, the alkyne pendant dichlorotriazine **4** was used as a model.²⁰ Using 1 equivalent of amine nucleophile, the ratio of desired single substitution and undesired double substitution could be assessed as a function of temperature upon isolating the product of the reaction (Scheme 2). The reactions were executed for 4h at the prescribed temperatures using 1 equivalent of diisopropylethylamine at 0.03M **4** in a 2:1 tetrahydrofuran:dichloromethane mixture. The solvent mixture was required due to solubility challenges encountered with the methyl ester of proline (entry 8) in the Table 1.

Table 1 summarizes the results of these experiments. The amines in this table are organized from least reactive to most reactive as would be predicted from our earlier studies.¹¹ This reactivity prediction excludes steric effects deriving from substituents on the carbon adjacent to the nucleophilic nitrogen (entries 6-8). These encumbered amines clearly react more sluggishly than their unsubstituted analogues. The impact that steric encumbrance has on 5-membered rings over 6-membered rings is pronounced as the former do not react completely in the time provided.

Many lessons emerge from these studies. First, as the observed nucleophilicity of the amine increases, selectivity also increases. Second the ratio of desired:undesired

(monosubstituted:disubstituted) product is maximized at low temperature. Third as expected, steric congestion near the nucleophilic nitrogen has pronounced effects on the rate and selectivity of the reaction. Methanol is unreactive under these conditions.

With these results in hand, we pursued selective substitution of dendrimers **1** and **2**. The HEEP (1-[2-[2-hydroxyethoxy]ethyl]-piperazine) group was installed as a common surface group, as the amine conveys solubility and the PEG-like tail is hypothesized to reduce cytotoxicity of the cation should these molecules ever be assessed in cell culture. These benefits outweighed factors associated with the poorer selectivity observed for this species than the hydrophobic alternatives. Using identical reaction conditions to the model studies, compounds **11** and **12** were obtained. These intermediates could be isolated by silica gel chromatography in 85% and 79% yields, respectively. These yields exceed what would be expected based on the model studies ($0.94^4 = 78\%$; $0.94^8 = 61\%$) reflecting higher selectivity under the conditions employed, or an inability to detect side reactions including over-substitution.

Table 2 shows the derivatives of **11** and **12** (identified **a-c**) that were prepared using amino ethoxyethanol, 4-aminomethylpiperidine and proline methylester as nucleophiles. Reaction progress can be monitored by mass spectrometry and the major species that was identified corresponds to the desired target. These traces appear in Figure 2. Data derived from mass spectrometry is compiled and includes the calculated (calcd) and observed (found) ions and a trace of the spectrum.

Not surprisingly, evidence for incomplete reaction of these two compound **11** and **12** with the proline methyl ester to yield **11c** and **12c** is seen in the mass spectrum in the crude samples.

In summary, the poly(dichlorotriazine) dendrimers are viable synthetic targets that exist as stable, white powders. These molecules react with commercially available amine nucleophiles to yield diversity in well defined ratios. While demonstrated on triazine dendrimer platforms, this strategy should be applicable to most amine terminated dendrimers. These results open the possibility for the preparation of larger libraries of dendrimers for more detailed structure-activity relationship studies.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH 1R01CA 159144-01 and the Welch Foundation (A-0008).

References

1. Roglin L, Lempens EHM, Meijer EW. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2011; 50:102–112.
2. (a) Zong H, Thomas TP, Lee K-H, Desai AM, Li M-H, Kotlyar A, Zhang Y, Leroueil PR, Gam JJ, Holl MMB, Baker JR. *Biomacromolecules*. 2012; 13:982–991. [PubMed: 22360561] (b) Choi SK, Leroueil P, Li M-H, Desai A, Zong H, Spek AFLVD, Baker JR. *Macromolecules*. 2011; 44:4026–

- 4029.(c) Patri AK, Myc A, Beals J, Thomas TP, Bander NH, Baker JR. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2004; 15:1174–1181.(d) Majoros IJ, Williams CR, Tomalia DA, Baker JR. *Macromolecules.* 2008; 41:8372–8379. [PubMed: 21258604] (e) Islam MT, Shi X, Balogh L, Baker JR. *Anal Chem.* 2005; 77:2063–2070. [PubMed: 15801739] (f) Lalwani S, Chouai A, Perez LM, Santiago V, Shaunak S, Simanek EE. *Macromolecules.* 2009; 42:6723–6732. [PubMed: 20711424]
3. Mullen DG, Banaszak Holl MM. *Accts Chem Res.* 2011; 44:1135–1145.
4. Grayson SM, Fréchet JMJ. *Chem Rev.* 2001; 101:3819–3867. [PubMed: 11740922]
5. (a) Hawker CJ, Fréchet JMJ. *Macromolecules.* 1990; 23:4726–4729.(b) Wooley KL, Hawker CJ, Fréchet JMJ. *J Chem Soc Perkins Trans 1.* 1991:1059–1076.(c) Sivanandan K, Vutukuri D, Thayumanavan S. *Org Lett.* 2002; 4:3751–3753. [PubMed: 12375935] (d) Vutukuri D, Sivanandan K, Thayumanavan S. *Chem Commun.* 2003:796–797.(e) Sivanandan K, Sandanaraj BS, Thayumanavan S. *J Org Chem.* 2004; 69:2937–2944. [PubMed: 15104429]
6. (a) Gillies ER, Fréchet JMJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2002; 124:14137–14146. [PubMed: 12440912] (b) Feng X, Taton D, Ibarboure E, Chaikof EL, Gnanou Y. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:11662–11676. [PubMed: 18681431]
7. Sun L, Ma X, Dong C-M, Zhu B, Zhu X. *Biomacromolecules.* 2012; 13:3581–3591. [PubMed: 23017146]
8. (a) Zhang W, Nowlan DT III, Thomson LM, Lackowski WM, Simanek EE. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2001; 123:8914–8922. [PubMed: 11552798] (b) Steffensen MB, Simanek EE. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2004; 43:5178–5180.
9. Jee J-A, Spagnuolo LA, Rudick JG. *Org Lett.* 2012; 14:3292–3295. [PubMed: 22702475]
10. Ornelas C, Pennell R, Liebes LF, Weck M. *Org Lett.* 2011; 13:976–979. [PubMed: 21291239]
11. Simanek EE, Hanan A, Lalwani S, Lim J, Mintzer M, Venditto VJ, Vittur B. *Proc Royal Soc A.* 2010; 466:1445–1468.
12. Chouai A, Simanek EE. *J Org Chem.* 2008; 73:2357–2366. [PubMed: 18307354]
13. (a) Steffensen MB, Simanek EE. *Org Lett.* 2003; 5:2359–2361. [PubMed: 12816448] (b) Moreno KX, Simanek EE. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008; 49:1152–1154. [PubMed: 19629195]
14. (a) Merkel OM, Zheng M, Mintzer MA, Pavan G, Librizzi D, Maly M, Höffken H, Danani A, Simanek EE, Kissel T. *J Control Release.* 2011; 153:23–33. [PubMed: 21342661] (b) Merkel OM, Mintzer MA, Librizzi D, Samsonova O, Dicke T, Sproat B, Garn H, Barth PJ, Simanek EE, Kissel T. *Mol Pharmaceutics.* 2010; 7:969–983.(c) Mintzer MA, Merkel OM, Kissel T, Simanek EE. *New J Chem.* 2009; 33:1918–1925.(d) Merkel OM, Mintzer MA, Sitterberg J, Bakowsky U, Simanek EE, Kissel T. *Bioconj Chem.* 2009; 20:1799–1806.
15. (a) Lim J, Lo S-T, Hill S, Pavan GM, Sun X, Simanek EE. *Mol Pharmaceutics.* 2012; 9:404–412. (b) Lim J, Simanek EE. *Org Lett.* 2008; 10:201–204. [PubMed: 18088131] (c) Venditto VJ, Allred K, Allred CD, Simanek EE. *Chem Comm.* 2009:5541–5542. [PubMed: 19753350] (d) Crampton H, Hollink E, Perez LM, Simanek EE. *New J Chem.* 2007; 31:1283–1290.(e) Hollink E, Simanek EE. *Org Lett.* 2006; 8:2293–2295. [PubMed: 16706509] (f) Lim J, Turkbey B, Bernardo M, Bryant LH Jr, Garzoni M, Pavan GM, Nakajima T, Choyke PL, Simanek EE, Kobayashi H. *Bioconj Chem.* 2012; 23:2291–2299.
16. Chen H-T, Neerman MF, Parrish AR, Simanek EE. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 126:10044–10048. [PubMed: 15303879]
17. Zhang W, Gonzalez SO, Simanek EE. *Macromolecules.* 2002; 35:9015–9021.
18. (a) Falorni M, Giacomelli G, Mameli L, Porcheddu A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:7607–7610.(b) Moon H-S, Jacobson EM, Khersonsky SM, Luzung MR, Walsh DP, Xiong W, Lee JW, Parikh PB, Lam JC, Kang T-W, Rosania GR, Schier AF, Chang Y-T. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2002; 124:11608–11609. [PubMed: 12296721]
19. Mintzer MA, Perez LM, Simanek EE. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2010; 51:1631–1634. [PubMed: 23585699]
20. Banerjee R, Pace NJ, Brown DR, Weerapana E. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2013; 135:2497–2500. [PubMed: 23379904]

Figure 1.
Targets.

Figure 2.
ESI mass spectrograms of dendrimer derivatives.

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of Poly(dichlorotriazine) Dendrimers **1** and **2**. TMD is trimethylene dipiperidine

Scheme 2.

Reaction of Alkyne Dichlorotriazine with Nuclophile

Table 1

Product distribution reported as the ratio of monosubstituted:disubstituted at three temperatures after 4 hr of reaction.

#	NucH	Temperature			#	NucH	Temperature		
		25 °C	0 °C	-20 °C			25 °C	0 °C	-20 °C
1	BuNH ₂	89:11	93:7	98:2	5		92:8	96:4	>99:1
2	PhCH ₂ NH ₂	91:9	95:2	98:2	6		93:7	96:4	98:2
3		90:10	96:4	99:1	7		64:24 ^a	77:10 ^a	82:5 ^a
4		83:17	86:14	94:6	8		53:32 ^a	50:28 ^a	48:26 ^a
R=CH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₂ OH									

^aRemainder isolated as unreacted **4**.