Olivera Stojadinovic, MD

Submitted for publication February 21, 2013.

*Correspondence: Wound Healing and Regenerative Medicine Research Program, Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Surgery, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, 1600 NW 10th Ave., RMSB, Room 2023-A, Miami, FL 33136 (e-mail: ostojaadinovic@med.miami.edu).

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AIM2 = absent in melanoma 2
AMPs = antimicrobial peptides
CAR = coxsackie and adenovirus receptor
CW = chronic wound
DAMPs = damage-associated molecular patterns
DETC = dendritic epidermal T cells
DFU = diabetic foot ulcer
FGF = fibroblast growth factor
hBDs = human β -defensins
HMGB1 = high-mobility group box 1
HSPs = heat shock proteins
IFN-1 = interferon type 1
IGF = insulin growth factor

(continued)

Innate and Adaptive Immune Responses in Wound Epithelialization

Natasa Strbo,¹ Natalie Yin,² and Olivera Stojadinovic,^{2,*}

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology; ²Wound Healing and Regenerative Medicine Research Program, Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Surgery; Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida.

Significance: Over the years, it has become clear that, in addition to performing their regular duties in immune defense, the innate and adaptive arms of the immune system are important regulators of the complex series of events that lead to wound healing. Immune cells modulate wound healing by promoting cellular cross-talk; they secrete signaling molecules, including cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors. In line with the major effort in wound healing research to find efficient therapeutic agents for the constantly increasing number of patients with chronic wounds, findings regarding the contributions of innate and adaptive immune responses to the re-epithelialization of damaged skin may bring novel therapeutics.

Recent Advances: Increasing evidence suggests that induction of the adaptive immune response requires activation of innate immunity and that there is a dependent relationship between the two systems. Consequently, the bridge between the innate and the acquired immune systems has become an area of emerging exploration. It is clear that a better understanding of the epithelial cells (keratinocytes), immune cells, and mechanisms that contribute to an effective wound healing process is necessary so that new strategies for successful wounds treatment can be devised.

Critical Issues: A greater understanding of the biology of skin innate and adaptive immune cells during wound epithelialization may have an impact on development of novel strategies for significant improvements in the quality of tissue repair.

Future Directions: Future studies should clarify the importance of particular molecules and mechanisms utilized for development and functions of skin-resident $\gamma\delta$ T and Langerhans cells, as well as identify therapeutic targets for manipulation of these cells to combat epithelial diseases.

SCOPE AND SIGNIFICANCE

WOUND HEALING IS a well-coordinated process with the ultimate goal of barrier restoration. Acute wound healing consists of a highly orchestrated series of events and is regulated by a variety of cells, including immune cells. Immune cells modulate wound healing by promoting cellular cross-talk via secreting signaling molecules, including cy-

tokines, chemokines, and growth factors. For successful wound healing, a delicate balance in this process needs to be maintained. However, an environment with excessive cytokine and protease production can lead to persistent inflammation and consequent impaired wound healing. The focus of this review is on understanding how elements of the immune

system contribute to the re-epithelialization of damaged skin.

TRANSLATIONAL RELEVANCE

An impaired immune system has been demonstrated to severely impede the wound healing process. Although current knowledge allows us to recognize the complexities of various cell types involved in skin defense mechanisms and wound immunology, specific roles and interactions between keratinocytes and other immune cells are poorly understood. Despite our understanding of immunity during acute wound healing, little is known about the integrity of defense mechanisms in patients with nonhealing CWs.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE

The management of chronic wounds (CWs) is an enormous clinical and economical burden on the healthcare system. In addition to the economic impact, the morbidity and mortality associated with CWs are considerable. Better understanding of the immune system at the cellular and molecular level within the CW environment, where the battle between microbes and host immune system is taking place, would possibly lead to new diagnostic techniques helping clinicians make appropriate treatment decisions, potential markers that would predict healing outcome, and advanced new therapeutic modalities.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS AND RELEVANT LITERATURE

Overview of the immune system

The immune system is a constellation of cellular interactions functioning to protect the host from outside harm. A critical role of this system is to discern harmful pathogens from the host's own healthy tissues. While generating a sufficient response to maximally eliminate pathogens, the

defense mechanisms must skillfully avoid damaging self tissues allowing for proper wound healing.

Immunity consists of two components: innate and adaptive (Fig. 1). Specialized immune cells that reside in discrete organs (*e.g.*, spleen, lymph nodes, skin, *etc.*) function to protect the body against various invaders. Although the innate and adaptive responses are distinct, growing evidence supports interplay between the two branches. The innate response represents immediate action, while the adaptive response is considered to take a later action. Each component can influence the magnitude and type of response of its counterpart. Moreover, it has been well described that certain cell types can exhibit several characteristics that place them at the border between the innate and the adaptive immune system.

Innate immunity

Pattern recognition receptors and toll-like receptors. The innate immune system is considered the first line of host defense. This is important for a proper response to injury, and its rapidity is crucial in eliminating the spread of infection. The nonspecific reaction relies on recognition of highly conserved structures of microorganisms, pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), by a class of receptors called pattern recognition receptors (PRRs).¹ The known PAMPs include fundamental structures for the survival of microorganisms (*e.g.*, bacterial lipopolysaccharide, lipopeptides, peptidoglycan, bacterial DNA, and double-stranded RNA),¹ while known DAMPs are mostly cytosolic and nuclear proteins (*e.g.*, chromatin-associated protein high-mobility group box 1 [HMGB1], heat shock proteins [HSPs], DNA, S100 molecules, and purine metabolites

Abbreviations and Acronyms (*continued*)

IL = interleukin
JAML = junctional adhesion molecule-like protein
KGF = keratinocyte growth factor
LCs = langerhans cells
LL-37/hCAP18 = cathelicidin
MAPK = mitogen-activated protein kinase
MHC = major histocompatibility complex
NLRs = NOD-like receptors
PAMPs = pathogen-associated molecular patterns
pDC = plasmacytoid dendritic cells
PRRs = pattern recognition receptors
TCR = T-cell antigen recognition receptor
Th1 = T helper type 1
TLR = toll-like receptor
VU = venous ulcer
$\gamma\delta$ T cells = gamma delta (+) T lymphocytes

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the general innate and adaptive immune responses in the epidermis and dermis. Upon infection with a pathogen the cells of the innate immune response offer immediate, but short-lasting help. This leads to DC, $\gamma\delta$ T cell, and iNKT and NK cells activation, which forms the bridge between the innate and adaptive immunity. The cells of the adaptive immune response provide pathogen-specific, long-lasting protection for a proper wound healing process to take place. NK, natural killer. To see this illustration in color, the reader is referred to the web version of this article at www.liebertpub.com/wound

released during cellular stress, inflammation, or necrotic cell death. A recent study showed that HMGB1 has chemotactic effects on keratinocytes and fibroblasts *in vitro* and enhances diabetic wounds epithelialization *in vivo* upon topical application.²

PRRs are constitutively expressed on professional antigen-presenting cells and on nonprofessional immune cells.^{1,3} Four different classes of PRRs have been identified including two transmembrane proteins, toll-like receptors (TLRs), and C-type lectin receptors; and two cytosolic proteins, retinoic acid-inducible gene-I-like receptors, and NOD-like receptors (NLRs).³ This limited range of antigen recognition receptors allows for an immediate immune response. The most well characterized PRR is the TLR. Distinct TLRs recognize PAMPs and/or DAMPs. The activation of TLRs by their corresponding ligands leads to the subsequent activation of the NF- κ B and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways through adaptor proteins including MyD88, TIRAP/Mal, TRIF, and TRAM.⁴ Cytokines, including interleukins (IL); IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, and tumor necrosis factor alpha are then produced, stimulating neighboring cells to secrete chemokines. Inflammatory cells thus migrate to the stressed site to assist the innate immune response.⁴

The secretion of inflammatory mediators via TLR-dependent activation also promotes maturation of dendritic cells.⁵ Mature dendritic cells are then able to prime the adaptive immune response by inducing T cell maturation and T helper type 1 (Th1) polarization.

The effects of TLR activation on wound healing have been suggested to be dose dependent. Higher concentrations may have growth-suppressive effects, while lower concentrations are suggested to promote regeneration.⁶ Moreover, adenosine, an A_{2A}R agonist, accelerated wound closure in MyD88^{+/+} mice, while treatment in MyD88^{-/-} mice had minimal effect. A_{2A}R agonists and TLR agonists have a synergistic regulatory effect on macrophage phenotype and, given these findings, have been speculated to have a role in mediating wound repair.⁶ Furthermore, topical application of immunostimulatory CpG oligodeoxynucleotides promoted wound closure in mice by accelerating re-epithelialization and granulation tissue formation via TLR9-dependent mechanisms.⁷

A potent PAMP, lipopolysaccharide, inhibited keratinocyte migration in a dose-dependent manner and this inhibition was relieved using neutralizing antibodies to TLR4 and TLR2.⁸ Recently, TLR4 was reported to be present in keratinocytes at the wound edges and epithelialization was

significantly delayed in TLR4-deficient mice.⁹ Moreover, increased TLR2 expression has been shown to contribute to prolonged inflammation and delayed wound healing in the experimental diabetic wounds.¹⁰ In this regard, wound fluid was collected from nonhealing venous ulcers (VU), demonstrating persistent TLR2 and TLR4-stimulating activities, whereas in healing wounds, they decreased over time, further supporting a role of elevated TLRs in the CW healing outcome.¹⁰

Keratinocytes role in innate immunity. Epithelia, the body's first-line defense barrier, significantly contribute to innate immunity. The skin is often regarded for its passive role as a mechanical barrier in innate immunity; yet, increasing evidence suggests that cells within the epidermis have an active role in initiating host defense.

Along with keratinocyte structural support properties, recent literature explores the mechanisms related to their innate immune functions, for example, promotion of resistance to skin infections and a regulation of inflammation. The protection conferred by keratinocytes is largely due to its production of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). Two well-studied families of keratinocyte-secreted AMPs are human β -defensins (hBDs) and human cathelicidin (hCAP18/LL-37). Keratinocytes express AMPs either constitutively (hBD-1) or during inflammation (LL-37 and hBD-2, -3; Table 1).^{11–15} Each of the AMPs possesses cytotoxic properties. LL-37, identified in humans, is markedly expressed in keratinocytes of inflammatory skin conditions.

In addition to their direct cytotoxic effects, hBDs and LL-37 are chemotactic agents for inflammatory cells.¹⁶ In keratinocytes, LL-37 induces expression of proinflammatory cytokines and leads to chemotaxis of adaptive immune cells. Furthermore, hBDs can stimulate mast cell degranulation and keratinocyte production of cytokines and chemokines during acute inflammation.¹⁶

In the context of wound closure and immunity, recent data suggest the association between epi-

thelial regeneration and AMP production. HBDs were shown to induce keratinocyte proliferation and migration, while hCAP18 production was induced in human wound edge keratinocytes.¹⁶ Conversely, CW demonstrate lower levels of LL-37 expression.¹⁶ Interestingly, LL-37 protein levels were low in CWs, while mRNA expression levels were elevated. Based on these results, Heilborn *et al.* suggest that the lack of AMP production in non-healing wounds may lie in the post-translational processing.¹⁷ Furthermore, α -defensins were found to be expressed in the epidermis of pressure ulcers and were proposed to be a possible indicator of a wound healing status.¹⁸ Data regarding hBD2 expression in CWs are perplexing. While one study reports hBD2 induction in the epidermis after acute injury and constitutive expression in VU,¹⁶ another study reports the same expression in CWs as in normal skin.¹⁹ The latest study confirmed induced expression of hBD-2, absence of LL-37, and heterogeneous expression of hBD-3 in VU.²⁰ Observed differences can be accounted for as variations in tissue sampling from human CWs, a frequent difficulty experienced in translational studies. Taken together, the deregulated expression of AMPs in CWs highlights the likely dependence of proper wound repair on AMPs to generate an appropriate host response.

Along with producing AMPs, keratinocytes express TLRs. TLR signaling results in the production of cytokines and chemokines thus mediating the recruitment of effector immune cells.⁴ Different TLRs are shown to be expressed throughout normal human epidermis (Fig. 2).^{21–23} A recent study showed TLR4 localization in keratinocytes at the wound edge indicating its essential role in early skin wound healing.⁹

Adaptive immunity

In contrast to innate immunity, the adaptive immune system provides a more delayed and specific response. The diverse repertoire of antigen receptors is generated from somatic gene rearrangements. The adaptive immune response is also unique in its ability to generate and retain memory; thus, it has the capability of providing a more rapid response in the event of subsequent immunologic challenge.

Adaptive immunity consists of humoral and cell-mediated responses, carried out by clonally distributed B and T cells. These effector cells are activated upon recognizing either free antigen or bound antigen via professional antigen presenting cells. For T cells, the type of effector response depends on the major histocompatibility complex

Table 1. Expression of antimicrobial peptides in healthy human epidermis

AMP	Expression in healthy human epidermis	Reference
LL-37	Upper epidermis of normal human skin.	12,13
hBD-1	Expression localized to the stratum basale and spinosum and/or stratum corneum.	13,14
hBD-2	Upper epidermis of normal human skin. Spinous and granular layers of epidermis.	13–15
hBD-3	Upper epidermis of normal human skin.	13

AMP, antimicrobial peptide.

Figure 2. Toll-like receptor (TLR) expression in healthy human epidermis. Different studies report diverse expression of TLRs in healthy human epidermis. TLR2 and TLR7 are reported to be diffusely expressed throughout the epidermis with TLR 2 being more pronounced in suprabasal layers of epidermis.^{21,22} TLR3 is barely detected in normal human skin.²² TLR4 is reported to be diffusely expressed with a predominance beneath granular layer.^{21,22} TLR5 is reported to be mostly present in basal epidermal keratinocytes.²³ TLR9 expression is weak in stratum basale and stratum spinosum but it is more expressed in upper, more differentiated keratinocytes.²³

(MHC) molecule that is employed for antigen presentation. MHC class I complexes with endogenously produced antigens is recognized by CD8 cytotoxic T cells, while MHC class II expresses ingested exogenous antigen and is recognized by CD4 helper T lymphocytes. CD4 activation results in cytokine production and subsequent paracrine activation of neighboring cells, whereas CD8 cells are much more specific in cell targeting.

Activated B cells produce antibodies, which serve to inactivate toxins, opsonize bacteria, flag pathogens for destruction, and activate complement among other functions. Five different antibody classes exist: IgM, IgG, IgE, IgA, and IgD. Increasing evidence supports additional B-cell functions, including antigen presentation, cytokine production, and suppressive activity via IL-10 secretion.²⁴ Although distinct, T and B cells are highly interdependent. B cells serve as antigen-presenting cells for a primed specific T cell, while T cells produce cytokines that support B cell division and differentiation into the mature, antibody-producing plasma cell.²⁵

It is likely that various subsets of T cells influence the wound environment differently since they secrete different cytokines. A series of experimental studies have indicated a role for T lymphocytes in wound healing as growth factor-producing cells and immunological effector cells.²⁶ Furthermore, there is evidence of direct cell–cell interactions of CD40 ligand expressing T lymphocytes with keratinocytes and their influence on the healing response.²⁷ A prolonged and increased presence of T cells and a nonstandard CD4-CD8 ratio, reported in chronic venous and diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs),²⁸ may be related to impaired epithelial-

ization. However, very little has been elucidated thus far and further studies will help us understand more about the acquired host response during epidermal restoration.

Regarding humoral responses and wound healing, it has been shown that B cells play a role in wound healing.²⁹ CD19 is suggested to regulate the contribution of B cells to wound healing by affecting TLR4 signaling, thereby altering cytokine production. Understanding the contributions of T and B cells to the wound repair process could provide new clues into regulation of wound re-epithelialization.

Bridge between innate and adaptive immunity

Both innate and adaptive immunity are required for cutaneous immunosurveillance and their role in wound healing is well established.²⁵ Increasing evidence suggests that induction of the adaptive immune response requires activation of innate immunity and a dependent relationship between the two systems.^{30,31} Consequently, the bridge between the innate and the acquired immune systems has become an area of emerging exploration.

Although various elements have been shown to link the two immune responses, much of the overlap can be attributed to the activity of cells that demonstrate functional properties of both, including plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs), gamma delta ($\gamma\delta$ T) T lymphocytes, and Langerhans cells (LCs), key players in wound healing.

Plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Dendritic cells serve in innate immunity by utilizing PRRs and rapidly sensing the presence of PAMPs. They function as antigen-presenting cells, triggering the activation of naive T cells and thus the adaptive

immune response. pDCs are a specialized subset of human dendritic cells that produce large amounts of interferon type 1 (IFN-1), including α and β , in response to viral infections.³² Their intracellular expression of TLR7 and TLR9, which recognizes single-stranded viral DNA and RNA of the invading virus, allows for IFN production to occur.³³ IFN- α/β acts as an innate effector by inhibiting viral replication and promoting entry into adaptive immunity by inducing maturation of dendritic cells. pDCs also have the ability to sense host-derived nucleic acids released in skin wounds.³⁴ They rapidly infiltrate both murine and human skin wounds and transiently produce type I IFNs via TLR7- and TLR9-dependent mechanisms suggesting that the aforementioned rapid infiltration and IFN production have a significant role in the induction of early inflammatory response and re-epithelialization of wounded skin.³⁴

Langerhans cells. LCs play a pivotal role in epidermal immune surveillance. Derived from the bone marrow, these antigen-presenting cells serve as first-line defenders and contribute to the induction of cutaneous immune responses. It has been proposed that LCs contribute to epidermal homeostasis and direct keratinocyte proliferation and subsequently promote its differentiation. Though LCs are reported to rapidly activate following the inflammatory response in wounding, little is known regarding the exact protective mechanisms of these cells. LC repopulation occurs early on in the process of re-epithelialization in the murine and porcine model, and during epidermal injury, depleted LCs migrate from hair follicles.³⁵ In patients suffering from pressure ulcers, zinc supplementation leads to a more dendritic morphology of LCs suggesting that change in morphology may indicate a more motile state, thus affecting healing outcome.³⁶ In another study, the presence of CD1a+ LC in normal skin and CWs was compared and accumulation at the border area of a DFU and a decrease in LCs at the edge of a VU was observed,¹⁹ but their presence was not correlated to the healing outcomes. The identification of two populations of LCs earlier this year, one that populates the skin during steady state and the other, during inflammation,³⁷ necessitates further studies to elucidate the mechanisms behind LCs contribution to wound epithelialization.

$\gamma\delta$ T cells. A subset of T cells, $\gamma\delta$ T cells, expresses a T-cell antigen recognition receptor (TCR) made of γ and δ subunits, distinct from the better defined $\alpha\beta$ T cells, and demonstrates features of

both innate and acquired immunity. $\gamma\delta$ T cells display considerable subset heterogeneity, with complex patterns of effector function that range from T-cell help to antigen presentation. The $\gamma\delta$ T cell subpopulation within the epidermal compartment of the skin of mice is more commonly known as dendritic epidermal T cells (DETC). DETCs arise during fetal development and express an invariant and canonical V γ 3V δ 1 T-cell receptor. $\gamma\delta$ T cells and DETCs have adaptive immune response properties in that they exhibit γ and δ gene rearrangement, which can ultimately result in a memory phenotype.³⁸ On the other hand, the use of restricted germline gene segments, functionally distinct cell populations in various tissues, antigen-presenting capabilities, and multiple interactions with other members of the innate immune system highlight the innate capabilities of these cells.³⁹ DETCs have a characteristic dendritic morphology enabling multiple contacts with neighboring keratinocytes and LCs. DETCs extend their dendrites to monitor keratinocytes for signs of damage or disease and retract them in response to keratinocyte damage, allowing for proliferation and migration of both DETCs and keratinocytes, crucial to the wound healing process (Fig. 3).^{40–42}

Stress antigens represent likely candidate ligands for $\gamma\delta$ T cells, whose TCRs have relatively limited diversity in large part because of the preferential pairing of particular V γ and V δ chains. In this way, $\gamma\delta$ T cells can recognize subtle changes in quantity/quality of self molecules in conditions of stress, infection, epidermal injury, and others. Indeed, published work has shown that epidermal

Figure 3. Dendritic epidermal T-cell (DETC) morphology changes in response to tissue damage. DETC present in normal epidermis (**A**) have a dendritic morphology. DETC located around a wound (**B**) become rounded and this morphology change correlates with initiation of a functional response. Epidermal sheets from a C57BL/6J mouse were stained with PE-anti- $\gamma\delta$ TCR (mAb GL-3) and confocal images were acquired with a 40 $\times$ objective. Reproduced with permission from Havran and Jameson,⁴² © 2012 The American Association of Immunologists, Inc.

$\gamma\delta$ TCRs are continuously engaged and functionally activated in the steady state, with the TCRs simply undergoing relocalization after tissue damage.⁴⁰ Such a mechanism allows a rapid response to stress cues. However, only a few stress-induced molecules have actually been identified as ligands for $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Rae-1, Plexin B2, and CAR; Fig. 4).^{43–49}

Signals delivered between keratinocytes and DETCs are crucial for effective wound healing. TCR-specific activation is pivotal to DETC function during cutaneous wound repair.⁵⁰ Published studies have identified NKG2D,⁵¹ JAML,⁵² and CD100 (Sema 4D)⁴⁷ as co-stimulatory molecules for DETC, known to interact with damaged keratinocytes in response to wounding (Fig. 4). Mice deficient in epidermal V γ 3V δ 1 DETCs have been shown to lack adequate barrier protection⁵³ and demonstrated delayed wound closure and re-epithelialization.⁴¹ Furthermore, compared with normal T cell production of insulin growth factor-1 (IGF-1), a key regulator of early acute wound healing, $\alpha\beta$ and V δ 1T cells isolated from CWs produced no IGF-1 even upon stimulation, thus suggesting their unresponsive state.⁵⁴

Upon keratinocyte damage, an as yet uncharacterized antigen is expressed on the surface of the keratinocytes and recognized by the canonical TCR expressed by DETCs.⁵⁵ Using soluble DETC TCR tetramers, Komori *et al.* demonstrated that DETC TCR ligands are not constitutively expressed in healthy tissue, but are rapidly upregulated on keratinocytes bordering wound edges following wounding. Early inhibition of TCR-ligand interactions using DETC TCR tetramers delays wound repair *in vivo*, highlighting DETCs as rapid responders to epidermal injury.⁵⁵

Recent identification of the costimulatory pair junctional adhesion molecule-like protein (JAML) and Cocksackie and Adenovirus receptor (CAR)^{52,56} provided direct evidence that, similar to $\alpha\beta$ T cells, complete activation of DETCs requires coordinated interaction of co-stimulatory molecules in addition to the TCR-specific activation. JAML is upregulated on activated DETCs and CAR expression is upregulated on keratinocytes located around wounds in normal mice for several days. Inhibition of JAML–CAR-mediated co-stimulation leads to diminished $\gamma\delta$ T cell activation and delayed wound closure similar to that seen in the absence of $\gamma\delta$ T cells.⁵² Furthermore, plexin B2 was found to exert its effect on DETCs through interaction with the semaphorin ligand CD100.⁴⁷ CD100 mediates $\gamma\delta$ T cell morphology changes both *in vitro* and *in vivo* and plays a fundamental role in wound repair. Mice deficient in CD100 showed a defective $\gamma\delta$ T cell

Figure 4. $\gamma\delta$ T cells in murine skin. $\gamma\delta$ T cells recognize damaged keratinocytes as those cells displaying the TCR ligand and ligands for NKG2D (e.g., retinoic acid early-1 (Rae-1), ligands for JAML (CAR), and ligands for CD100 (plexin B2)). Such cells can be rapidly eliminated because skin $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing perforin and granzyme, exert cytolytic functions against virus- or parasite-infected, transformed or stressed keratinocytes.^{43,44} Concurrently, $\gamma\delta$ T cells may promote wound healing through the release of FGF7, KGF-1, and IGF-1⁴⁵ stimulating neighboring healthy keratinocytes to proliferate. Further, the local secretion of IFN- γ by $\gamma\delta$ T cells⁴⁶ enhances the antimicrobial, antitumor, and other functional activities of NK and $\alpha\beta$ T cells, thus maintaining epidermal integrity. CD100 mediates $\gamma\delta$ T cell morphology changes both *in vitro* and *in vivo* and plays a fundamental role in wound repair.⁴⁷ Motile dermal $\gamma\delta$ T cells constitutively expressed the IL-23 receptor (IL-23R) and transcriptional factor ROR γ t and produce IL-17^{48,49} and may be critically involved in cutaneous inflammatory responses. CAR, coxsackie and adenovirus receptor; JAML, junctional adhesion molecule-like protein; IGF, insulin growth factor; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; KGF, keratinocyte growth factor. To see this illustration in color, the reader is referred to the web version of this article at www.liebertpub.com/wound

response to keratinocyte damage resulting in delayed cutaneous wound healing.⁴⁷

Since DETCs are ideally localized to monitor the epidermal damage, it seemed likely that they could contribute to tissue repair.⁵⁷ Activated DETCs inducibly secrete keratinocyte growth factors (KGF-1/FGF-7 and KGF-2/FGF-10), potent epithelial cell mitogens. KGF-1 acts specifically on epithelial cells, including keratinocytes, to stimulate proliferation and migration of these cells during wound healing.⁵⁷ In addition, activated DETCs produce chemokines that may affect migration of cells into the epidermis following trauma. These data support DETCs participation not only in maintenance of epithelial homeostasis but also in tissue repair (Fig. 4).

DETCs appear to be a rich source for a variety of cytokines and chemokines, which are mainly proinflammatory. Recently, it was reported that motile dermal $\gamma\delta$ T cells constitutively express the interleukin-23 receptor (IL-23R) and transcriptional factor ROR γ t.⁴⁸ Further analyses will be helpful to gain more insight into the regulation, limitation, and plasticity of DETC signature cytokines. The ability to produce many inflammatory cytokines suggests critical involvement of DETC in cutaneous inflammatory process and consequently, wound epithelialization.

Inflammasomes

Inflammasomes are multi-protein complexes that, upon recognizing a diverse set of stimuli, result in the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1 β and IL-18.⁵⁸ Inflammasomes have been shown to regulate inflammation and epithelial cell regeneration in the mucosa, particularly in the gut.⁵⁹ Similarly, the investigation into the role of inflammasomes in wound re-epithelialization has begun, but much remains to be explored. A role for Absent in melanoma 2 (AIM2), a cytosolic sensor of double-stranded DNA, has been suggested in the inflammatory environment and pronounced induction of epidermal AIM2 in subpopulations of epidermal chronic wound keratinocytes was reported. Considering our limited knowledge regarding epidermal inflammasomes and yet their documented presence in epidermal keratinocytes, one can speculate that this molecular complex plays a role in wound closure.

CONCLUSION

The innate and adaptive immune systems have been explored in terms of their contributions to wound healing. A fully functioning immune system is essential to create an optimal wound healing environment by generating an appropriate inflammatory response and successful wound closure. Equally critical to wound healing and skin homeostasis appear to be the elements bridging the two. The role of the immune system pertaining to wound re-epithelialization specifically, however, deserves further investigation. The data supporting adaptive immunity, in particular, in regenerating wound epithelia are sparse.

Furthermore, much of the data presented are extrapolated from *in vitro* and *in vivo* models. Further human studies are necessitated to eluci-

TAKE-HOME MESSAGES

- Successful re-epithelialization in the wound healing process requires a well-balanced immune response and its aberrant expression and/or activity leads to delayed wound closure.
- TLRs, mediators of the innate immune response, differentially regulate the wound healing process. TLR2 activation results in delayed wound closure, while induction of TLR9 accelerates re-epithelialization. In this regard, the immunologic contribution of keratinocytes to wound closure includes recognition of microorganisms by toll-like receptors and the subsequent production of inflammatory mediators.
- A role for T and B cells in stimulating wound healing has been established.
- The elements bridging the innate and adaptive immune systems have recently emerged as critical regulators of re-epithelialization.
- Plasmacytoid dendritic cells induce an early inflammatory response to stimulate optimal wound repair and re-epithelialization.
- LCs repopulate the wound epidermis during early wound healing to promote re-epithelialization.
- DETC exert their pleiotropic effects and assist re-epithelialization by secreting growth factors and cytokines. Animals deficient in DETCs or DETC ligands demonstrate delayed wound closure.
- Identification of the critical roles of immune elements in wound re-epithelialization will provide novel insights to facilitate the development of therapeutic targets and improve clinical outcomes.

date the interplays between the receptors, immune and epithelial cells during the healing process.

Ultimately, much has been recently uncovered regarding the vital role of immune cells and their interaction with surrounding cells, for example, keratinocytes in the restoration of wounded epidermis. The potential use of these molecules as biomarkers of chronic, nonhealing wounds may provide increased insight into the prognosis of disease severity, progression, and ultimately treatment options. Immunostimulatory or suppressing molecules, depending on the individual's wound milieu, may also provide novel therapeutic strategies for accelerating wound healing. There is a delicate immunologic balance in skin epidermis, which deserves further exploration to develop new therapeutic regimens and improve clinical outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND FUNDING SOURCES

The authors would like to especially thank Dr. Marjana Tomic-Canic (Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Surgery, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine), Dr. Eckhard Podack (Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine), and the members of their labs for their continuous support. Figure 3 is reproduced with permission

from Havran and Jameson,⁴² © 2012 The American Association of Immunologists, Inc. All rights reserved.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURE AND GHOSTWRITING

No competing financial interests exist. The content of this article was expressly written by the authors listed. No ghostwriters were used to write this article.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Natasa Strbo, MD, PhD, is an Assistant Scientist at the Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Miami. Dr. Strbo is a well-trained immunologist with excellent track record in immunology with over 28 original research articles published in peer reviewed immunological journals. Dr. Strbo research interests are focused on mucosal immunity and HIV vaccine development. She has developed and established a cell-based secreted heat shock protein gp96 based

SIV/HIV vaccine approach in mice and nonhuman primates. Her recent translational research interests aim to understand cellular and molecular basis of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in different mucosal tissues, including skin, gut, and reproductive tract. **Natalie Yin, BS**, is a current medical student and research fellow in the Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Surgery at the University of Miami. **Olivera Stojadinovic, MD**, currently holds a faculty appointment as a Research Assistant Professor at the Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Surgery at the University of Miami. Dr. Stojadinovic's research interests are focused on molecular mechanisms that control inflammatory response in skin biology and its diseases, and understanding how control of cortisol metabolism may modulate skin inflammation and response to injury. Her translational research interests aim to understand cellular and molecular basis of wound healing and its immunopathogenic mechanisms and how it may correlate with clinical outcomes.

REFERENCES

- Medzhitov R and Janeway C Jr: Innate immunity. *N Engl J Med* 2000; **343**: 338.
- Straino S, Di Carlo A, Mangoni A, De Mori R, Guerra L, Maurelli R, Panacchia L, Di Giacomo F, Palumbo R, Di Campli C, Uccioli L, Biglioli P, Bianchi ME, Capogrossi MC, and Germani A: High-mobility group box 1 protein in human and murine skin: involvement in wound healing. *J Invest Dermatol* 2008; **128**: 1545.
- Takeuchi O and Akira S: Pattern recognition receptors and inflammation. *Cell* 2010; **140**: 805.
- Kaisho T and Akira S: Toll-like receptor function and signaling. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006; **117**: 979; quiz 988.
- Kaisho T and Akira S: Regulation of dendritic cell function through Toll-like receptors. *Curr Mol Med* 2003; **3**: 373.
- Kluwe J, Mencin A, and Schwabe RF: Toll-like receptors, wound healing, and carcinogenesis. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 2009; **87**: 125.
- Sato T, Yamamoto M, Shimamoto T, and Klinman DM: Accelerated wound healing mediated by activation of Toll-like receptor 9. *Wound Repair Regen* 2010; **18**: 586.
- Loryman C and Mansbridge J: Inhibition of keratinocyte migration by lipopolysaccharide. *Wound Repair Regen* 2008; **16**: 45.
- Chen L, Guo S, Ranzer MJ, and Dipietro LA: Toll-like receptor 4 has an essential role in early skin wound healing. *J Invest Dermatol* 2013; **133**: 258.
- Dasu MR and Isseroff RR: Toll-like receptors in wound healing: location, accessibility, and timing. *J Invest Dermatol* 2012; **132**: 1955.
- Sorensen OE, Cowland JB, Theilgaard-Monch K, Liu L, Ganz T, and Borregaard N: Wound healing and expression of antimicrobial peptides/polypeptides in human keratinocytes, a consequence of common growth factors. *J Immunol* 2003; **170**: 5583.
- Braff MH, Di Nardo A, and Gallo RL: Keratinocytes store the antimicrobial peptide cathelicidin in lamellar bodies. *J Invest Dermatol* 2005; **124**: 394.
- Sayama K, Komatsuzawa H, Yamasaki K, Shirakata Y, Hanakawa Y, Ouhara K, Tokumaru S, Dai X, Tohyama M, Ten Dijke P, Sugai M, Ichijo H, and Hashimoto K: New mechanisms of skin innate immunity: ASK1-mediated keratinocyte differentiation regulates the expression of beta-defensins, LL37, and TLR2. *Eur J Immunol* 2005; **35**: 1886.
- Ali RS, Falconer A, Ikram M, Bissett CE, Cerio R, and Quinn AG: Expression of the peptide antibiotics human beta defensin-1 and human beta defensin-2 in normal human skin. *J Invest Dermatol* 2001; **117**: 106.
- Oren A, Ganz T, Liu L, and Meerloo T: In human epidermis, beta-defensin 2 is packaged in lamellar bodies. *Exp Mol Pathol* 2003; **74**: 180.
- Steinstraesser L, Koehler T, Jacobsen F, Daigeler A, Goertz O, Langer S, Kesting M, Steinau H, Eriksson E, and Hirsch T: Host defense peptides in wound healing. *Mol Med* 2008; **14**: 528.
- Heilborn JD, Nilsson MF, Kratz G, Weber G, Sorensen O, Borregaard N, and Stahle-Backdahl M: The cathelicidin anti-microbial peptide LL-37 is involved in re-epithelialization of human skin wounds and is lacking in chronic ulcer epithelium. *J Invest Dermatol* 2003; **120**: 379.
- Taverna D, Nanney LB, Pollins AC, Sindona G, and Caprioli R: Multiplexed molecular descriptors of pressure ulcers defined by imaging mass spectrometry. *Wound Repair Regen* 2011; **19**: 734.
- Galkowska H, Olszewski WL, and Wojewodzka U: Expression of natural antimicrobial peptide beta-defensin-2 and Langerhans cell accumulation in epidermis from human non-healing leg ulcers. *Folia Histochem Cytobiol* 2005; **43**: 133.
- Dressel S, Harder J, Cordes J, Wittersheim M, Meyer-Hoffert U, Sunderkotter C, and Glaser R: Differential expression of antimicrobial peptides in margins of chronic wounds. *Exp Dermatol* 2010; **19**: 628.
- Pivarsci A, Bodai L, Rethi B, Kenderessy-Szabo A, Koreck A, Szell M, Beer Z, Bata-Csorgoo Z,

- Magocsi M, Rajnavolgyi E, Dobozy A, and Kemeny L: Expression and function of Toll-like receptors 2 and 4 in human keratinocytes. *Int Immunol* 2003; **15**: 721.
22. Ku JK, Kwon HJ, Kim MY, Kang H, Song PI, Armstrong CA, Ansel JC, Kim HO, and Park YM: Expression of Toll-like receptors in verruca and molluscum contagiosum. *J Korean Med Sci* 2008; **23**: 307.
23. Miller LS, Sorensen OE, Liu PT, Jalian HR, Esh-tiaghpour D, Behmanesh BE, Chung W, Starner TD, Kim J, Sieling PA, Ganz T, and Modlin RL: TGF- α regulates TLR expression and function on epidermal keratinocytes. *J Immunol* 2005; **174**: 6137.
24. Mauri C and Bosma A: Immune regulatory function of B cells. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2012; **30**: 221.
25. Parkin J and Cohen B: An overview of the immune system. *Lancet* 2001; **357**: 1777.
26. Gillitzer R and Goebeler M: Chemokines in cutaneous wound healing. *J Leukoc Biol* 2001; **69**: 513.
27. Eming SA, Hammerschmidt M, Krieg T, and Roers A: Interrelation of immunity and tissue repair or regeneration. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2009; **20**: 517.
28. Loots MA, Lamme EN, Zeegelaar J, Mekkes JR, Bos JD, and Middelkoop E: Differences in cellular infiltrate and extracellular matrix of chronic diabetic and VU versus acute wounds. *J Invest Dermatol* 1998; **111**: 850.
29. Iwata Y, Yoshizaki A, Komura K, Shimizu K, Ogawa F, Hara T, Muroi E, Bae S, Takenaka M, Yukami T, Hasegawa M, Fujimoto M, Tomita Y, Tedder TF, and Sato S: CD19, a response regulator of B lymphocytes, regulates wound healing through hyaluronan-induced TLR4 signaling. *Am J Pathol* 2009; **175**: 649.
30. Akira S, Takeda K, and Kaisho T: Toll-like receptors: critical proteins linking innate and acquired immunity. *Nat Immunol* 2001; **2**: 675.
31. Casetti R and Martino A: The plasticity of gamma delta T cells: innate immunity, antigen presentation and new immunotherapy. *Cell Mol Immunol* 2008; **5**: 161.
32. Colonna M, Trinchieri G, and Liu YJ: Plasmacytoid dendritic cells in immunity. *Nat Immunol* 2004; **5**: 1219.
33. Diebold SS, Kaisho T, Hemmi H, Akira S, and Reis e Sousa C: Innate antiviral responses by means of TLR7-mediated recognition of single-stranded RNA. *Science* 2004; **303**: 1529.
34. Gregorio J, Meller S, Conrad C, Di Nardo A, Homey B, Lauerma A, Arai N, Gallo RL, Digiovanni J, and Gilliet M: Plasmacytoid dendritic cells sense skin injury and promote wound healing through type I interferons. *J Exp Med* 2010; **207**: 2921.
35. Merad M, Ginhoux F, and Collin M: Origin, homeostasis and function of Langerhans cells and other langerin-expressing dendritic cells. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2008; **8**: 935.
36. Kohn S, Kohn D, and Schiller D: Effect of zinc supplementation on epidermal Langerhans' cells of elderly patients with decubital ulcers. *J Dermatol* 2000; **27**: 258.
37. Sere K, Baek JH, Ober-Blobaum J, Muller-Newen G, Tacke F, Yokota Y, Zenke M, and Hieronymus T: Two distinct types of langerhans cells populate the skin during steady state and inflammation. *Immunity* 2012; **37**: 905.
38. Pitard V, Roumanes D, Lafarge X, Couzi L, Garrigue I, Lafon ME, Merville P, Moreau JF, and Dechanet-Merville J: Long-term expansion of effector/memory Vdelta2-gammadelta T cells is a specific blood signature of CMV infection. *Blood* 2008; **112**: 1317.
39. Born WK, Reardon CL, and O'Brien RL: The function of gammadelta T cells in innate immunity. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2006; **18**: 31.
40. Chodaczek G, Papanna V, Zal MA, and Zal T: Body-barrier surveillance by epidermal gammadelta TCRs. *Nat Immunol* 2012; **13**: 272.
41. Jameson J, Ugarte K, Chen N, Yachi P, Fuchs E, Boismenu R, and Havran WL: A role for skin gammadelta T cells in wound repair. *Science* 2002; **296**: 747.
42. Havran WL and Jameson JM: Epidermal T cells and wound healing. *J Immunol* 2010; **184**: 5423.
43. Krahenbuhl O, Gattesco S, and Tschopp J: Murine Thy-1+ dendritic epidermal T cell lines express granule-associated perforin and a family of granzyme molecules. *Immunobiology* 1992; **184**: 392.
44. Ebert LM, Meuter S, and Moser B: Homing and function of human skin gammadelta T cells and NK cells: relevance for tumor surveillance. *J Immunol* 2006; **176**: 4331.
45. Sharp LL, Jameson JM, Witherden DA, Komori HK, and Havran WL: Dendritic epidermal T-cell activation. *Crit Rev Immunol* 2005; **25**: 1.
46. Gao Y, Yang W, Pan M, Scully E, Girardi M, Augenlicht LH, Craft J, and Yin Z: Gamma delta T cells provide an early source of interferon gamma in tumor immunity. *J Exp Med* 2003; **198**: 433.
47. Witherden DA, Watanabe M, Garijo O, Rieder SE, Sarkisyan G, Cronin SJ, Verdino P, Wilson IA, Kumanogoh A, Kikutani H, Teyton L, Fischer WH, and Havran WL: The CD100 receptor interacts with its plexin B2 ligand to regulate epidermal gammadelta T cell function. *Immunity* 2012; **37**: 314.
48. Cai Y, Shen X, Ding C, Qi C, Li K, Li X, Jala VR, Zhang HG, Wang T, Zheng J, and Yan J: Pivotal role of dermal IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells in skin inflammation. *Immunity* 2011; **35**: 596.
49. Gray EE, Suzuki K, and Cyster JG: Cutting edge: Identification of a motile IL-17-producing gammadelta T cell population in the dermis. *J Immunol* 2011; **186**: 6091.
50. Jameson JM, Cauvi G, Witherden DA, and Havran WL: A keratinocyte-responsive gamma delta TCR is necessary for dendritic epidermal T cell activation by damaged keratinocytes and maintenance in the epidermis. *J Immunol* 2004; **172**: 3573.
51. Yoshida S, Mohamed RH, Kajikawa M, Koizumi J, Tanaka M, Fugo K, Otsuka N, Maenaka K, Yagita H, Chiba H, and Kasahara M: Involvement of an NKG2D ligand H60c in epidermal dendritic T cell-mediated wound repair. *J Immunol* 2012; **188**: 3972.
52. Witherden DA, Verdino P, Rieder SE, Garijo O, Mills RE, Teyton L, Fischer WH, Wilson IA, and Havran WL: The junctional adhesion molecule JAML is a costimulatory receptor for epithelial gammadelta T cell activation. *Science* 2010; **329**: 1205.
53. Girardi M, Lewis JM, Filler RB, Hayday AC, and Tigelaar RE: Environmentally responsive and reversible regulation of epidermal barrier function by gammadelta T cells. *J Invest Dermatol* 2006; **126**: 808.
54. Toulon A, Breton L, Taylor KR, Tenenhaus M, Bhavsar D, Lanigan C, Rudolph R, Jameson J, and Havran WL: A role for human skin-resident T cells in wound healing. *J Exp Med* 2009; **206**: 743.
55. Komori HK, Witherden DA, Kelly R, Sendaydiego K, Jameson JM, Teyton L, and Havran WL: Cutting edge: dendritic epidermal gammadelta T cell ligands are rapidly and locally expressed by keratinocytes following cutaneous wounding. *J Immunol* 2012; **188**: 2972.
56. Verdino P, Witherden DA, Havran WL, and Wilson IA: The molecular interaction of CAR and JAML recruits the central cell signal transducer PI3K. *Science* 2010; **329**: 1210.
57. Werner S, Peters KG, Longaker MT, Fuller-Pace F, Banda MJ, and Williams LT: Large induction of keratinocyte growth factor expression in the dermis during wound healing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1992; **89**: 6896.
58. Davis BK, Wen H, and Ting JP: The inflammasome NLRs in immunity, inflammation, and associated diseases. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2011; **29**: 707.
59. Strowig T, Henao-Mejia J, Elinav E, and Flavell R: Inflammasomes in health and disease. *Nature* 2012; **481**: 278.
60. de Koning HD, Bergboer JG, van den Bogaard EH, van Vlijmen-Willems IM, Rodijk-Olthuis D, Simon A, Zeeuwen PL, and Schalkwijk J: Strong induction of AIM2 expression in human epidermis in acute and chronic inflammatory skin conditions. *Exp Dermatol* 2012; **21**: 961.