Published in final edited form as:

Dev Psychopathol. 2011 August ; 23(3): 881–896. doi:10.1017/S095457941100037X.

Hypocortisolism as a potential marker of allostatic load in children: Associations with family risk and internalizing disorders

Lisa S. Badanes[#], Sarah Enos Watamura, and Benjamin L. Hankin

Department of Psychology, University of Denver

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Although the majority of research attention to the HPA-axis in stress-related disorders and as a marker of allostatic load has focused on over-activation of this stress system, theory and data clearly indicate that under-activation is also an important type of dysregulation. In the current study, we focused on low cortisol, exploring a constellation of risk factors comprised of stress exposure, maternal depression, and attenuated basal and stress reactive cortisol in two samples of children. The first sample is 110 preschoolers living in high stress environments. Cortisol was assessed across the day at home and at child care as well as across two stress paradigms. These data were used to classify whether children's HPA-axis activity was attenuated. Serious family financial strain, maternal depression, and attenuated cortisol all made unique contributions in models predicting current clinical levels of internalizing symptoms as rated by mothers and teachers. The second sample was 166 3rd, 6th, and 9th graders studied 5 times across a 1-year period. Maternal and child depression were determined through structured clinical interviews, and stress exposure was assessed via checklist and interview techniques with the child and the parent. Cortisol was assessed multiple times across a lab visit at time 1, and these data were combined into a single continuous measure. Cortisol concentrations across the lab visit interacted with stress exposure across the year such that children with lower average cortisol at time 1, maternal depressive symptoms, and increased stress across the 12 months showed increasing levels of internalizing symptoms. Based on these and related data we propose that prior to puberty, low cortisol may be an important marker of allostatic load, particularly for risk of depression and anxiety.

Since the pioneering work of Selye (1936), the association between stress and hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (HPA) – axis activation has been extensively addressed in animal models and in humans at all developmental periods. Specifically, stress induced elevations in cortisol, the primary hormonal product of the HPA-axis, have been well documented. As part of the body's interconnected set of physiological systems for managing physical, cognitive, and socio-emotional challenges, the HPA axis is particularly sensitive to situations that involve novelty, uncontrollability, or social threat (Dickerson & Kemeny,

2004). Chronically elevated cortisol has also figured prominently in models of allostatic load, or the “wear and tear” on the body that can occur with repeated activation of the body’s adaptive systems as a result of repeated stress over time (McEwen & Stellar, 1993). However, at least as early as 1998, definitions of allostatic load have included both over and under activation of the HPA axis (McEwen, 1998). Critical to the concept of allostasis is the notion of balance, in particular quick recovery to an optimal level of functioning following a perturbation. In the case of the HPA-axis, we know that both too much and too little cortisol contribute to disease states. Nevertheless, hypercortisolism has received the vast majority of research attention. In the following study, we explored the relationship between a constellation of risk factors, including stress exposure, maternal depression, and attenuated basal and stress reactive cortisol, and internalizing symptoms in two samples of children. We begin by reviewing the relevant literature on hypocortisolism including the evidence demonstrating low cortisol in children, measurement and interpretation issues, and its potential relationship to depression and allostatic load.

In the past decade both Hellhammer and colleagues (Heim, Ehler, & Hellhammer, 2000; Fries, Hesse, Hellhammer, & Hellhammer, 2005) and Gunnar and Vasquez (Gunnar & Vasquez, 2001 & 2006) have highlighted a number of studies demonstrating both abnormally low basal cortisol and under-reactive cortisol responses to a stressor in vulnerable populations. While Hellhammer and colleagues have focused on understanding low basal and stress reactive cortisol in patient populations (e.g. patients with post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) as well as chronic fatigue and fibromyalgia), Gunnar & Vasquez have called for increased attention to hypocortisolism in children, cautioning that the presence of low cortisol in childhood may challenge our longstanding assumptions about how the HPA-axis responds to stress. Beginning with the pioneering work of Yehuda & colleagues demonstrating hypocortisolism in individuals with (PTSD; e.g. Yehuda, Giller, Southwick, Lowy, & Mason, 1991), a number of authors have attempted to integrate low cortisol into our understanding of the effects of chronic stress. The prevailing opinion is that low cortisol likely results from previous periods of high stress, and may reflect serious dysregulation (Miller, Chen, & Zhou, 2007). As such, low cortisol may be an important and understudied marker of allostatic load.

Low Cortisol in Children

Relatively few studies have focused on hypocortisolism in children, however low cortisol has been reported in maltreated children, children experiencing high stress, and in children at risk for antisocial personality disorder (ASPD). For example, children who were raised under conditions of institutional neglect showed dysregulated basal cortisol patterns, as indicated by lower than expected morning values and flat daytime patterning (Carlson & Earls, 1997). Similarly, maltreated children with clinical depression have sometimes been observed to have flat diurnal cortisol patterns (Cicchetti, Rogosch, Gunnar, & Toth, 2010; Kaufman, 1991), although higher cortisol in maltreated children has also been documented (e.g. Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2001). Blunted cortisol has also been observed in children whose parents suffer from psychopathology (Fernald, Burke, & Gunnar, 2008) and who live near the epicenter of an earthquake (Goejian et al., 1996). Lastly, as is true of adult antisocial personality disorder, hypocortisolism has been demonstrated in children at risk for ASPD

(Susman, 2006). Low cortisol has also been examined as a possible correlate of externalizing symptoms; however, a recent meta-analysis concludes there is not clear evidence of an association between externalizing behaviors and low cortisol in children (Alink et al., 2007).

Interpreting Low Cortisol in Adults and Children

Although the mechanisms through which high stress (and higher cortisol) could subsequently result in hypocortisolism are not yet fully clarified, possible explanations include changes in the biosynthesis of HPA-axis hormones and/or availability and functioning of their receptors at all levels of the HPA-axis (see Heim, Ehlert, & Hellhammer, 2000 for discussion). One difficulty in understanding whether and how low cortisol results from previous periods of high cortisol is the problem of where to begin. It is often difficult to study highly stressed populations before the stressors occur. Because of this it is difficult to know whether individuals who face a trauma have predisposing risks in these systems or if the post-trauma differences result from the trauma itself (see for example Glibertson et al., 2002 on hippocampal atrophy in non-combat exposed identical twins of veterans with PTSD). Furthermore, animal models highlight the importance of intergenerational transmission of differences in expression of glucocorticoid receptor genes (Francis, Diorio, Liu, & Meaney, 1999). This work suggests that a full understanding of individual differences in stress reactivity, including how individuals become hypo- or hypercortisolemic, would require a multi-generational approach. Although it is not yet known whether attenuated cortisol always results from previous high stress and is therefore itself a clear indicator of allostatic load, there is sufficient evidence to warrant attention to whether low cortisol is a risk factor for particular disease states, particularly early in life and longitudinally while tracking stress exposure.

An interesting question in studies of hypocortisolism is at what level and under what conditions is lower cortisol problematically low. When participants, particularly those from vulnerable populations, do not respond physiologically to a lab-based stress manipulation, the most parsimonious explanation may be that they simply did not find the manipulation stressful as compared to the backdrop of their lives. However, in the situation where even the basal rhythm is attenuated, it is clear that the individual is not responding to the environment normally. Gunnar & Vasquez, 2001 go so far as to say that “nowhere is there evidence that loss of the daily rhythm in cortisol production is associated with resilient or adaptation to stressful life circumstances” (Page 532).

At this point we simply do not know under what circumstances, for whom, and at what developmental periods under- versus over-activation of stress systems are most likely (and in fact when both stress systems are concomitantly versus discordantly under or over-activated). While we suspect that under-activation of the HPA-axis may in fact be a reflection of more severe stress exposure and have more serious consequences than hyperactivation (Gunnar & Vasquez, 2001), the longitudinal work with human populations necessary to clarify these mechanisms has not yet been done. One notable exception was recently provided by Trickett and colleagues (Trickett, Noll, Susman, Shenk, & Putnam, 2010) utilizing a sample of women originally studied between 6 and 16 years of age after

documented sexual abuse and matched controls. Both groups were followed for 5 subsequent time points. This longitudinal cohort design spanned 6 to 30 years of age, and demonstrated that initially (near the time of the abuse) abused girls had higher cortisol levels mid-morning (one sample between 9 and 10 am). Subsequently, both groups showed increasing cortisol across late childhood and early adulthood, but by the 5th time point women with abuse histories had lower morning cortisol than non-abused control women. This suggests that the low cortisol sometimes seen in women with abuse histories may in fact have been preceded by higher cortisol nearer to the time of the abuse.

In work with adults, low cortisol is typically described as an end-state that results from previous periods of high stress or from some predisposing factor rather than as an adaptation that could be modified with changing circumstances. When we consider infants and children, it is possible that low cortisol could also result from previous high cortisol, even prenatally (Charmandari, Kino, Souvatzoglou & Chrousos, 2003). Nevertheless, the presence of attenuated cortisol in young children and the concern that this dysregulation may be particularly serious points to the need to understand whether low cortisol in childhood changes with maturation or via deliberate or natural environmental changes. Our recent pre-to-post pubertal data demonstrated blunted cortisol reactivity to a stressor in pre-pubertal dysphoric youth, but exaggerated cortisol reactivity to a stressor in post-pubertal dysphoric adolescents (Hankin, Badanes, Abela, & Watamura, in press). Based on these findings, we propose that prior to puberty, children facing significant and inescapable stress related to their family system may show attenuated cortisol in their normal environments and when facing a stress manipulation in the presence of their caregivers as a temporary and partially adaptive (although not cost-free) response. This attenuation would prevent the child from mounting a cortisol response to most repeated and uncontrollable stressors, which although in some cases might put them in danger (as cortisol elevations help manage threat), would protect the child facing chronic, inescapable stress from repeated activations and the resultant accumulated damage to the body when there is no benefit to this activation. At puberty, when the child matures past complete dependence on caregivers, children with chronic stress exposure may become hyper-reactive to stress, and this hyperactivation may support an active engagement or escape strategy. However some individuals might retain a hypo-reactive profile after puberty, and in fact this might be more likely when they continue to live in a threatening environment, either through the maintenance of stressful or traumatic experiences via rumination or by continuing to live in dangerous or abusive conditions.

Low Cortisol as a Marker of Allostatic Load

The current issue examines the role of allostatic load during development of psychopathology. This is a relevant and timely question. A recent review of socio-economic status (SES) gradients in markers of allostatic load (Seeman, Epel, Gruenewald, Karlamangla, & McEwen, 2010) illustrates that at least some allostatic load markers show an SES gradient before age five. The HPA-axis has figured prominently in allostatic load models, however despite knowing that under-reactive cortisol is an important type of cortisol dysregulation, to our knowledge only high cortisol (upper quartile urinary 24-hour cortisol) has been used as a marker of allostatic load in children (Evans, 2003). To address this, we elected to examine the role of attenuated cortisol as a possible marker of allostatic

load in preschool and school-age children and youth. We selected this approach based on the theoretical and empirical contributions reviewed above that suggest that low cortisol may be more reflective of chronic and serious stress than high cortisol, especially when the basal rhythm is disrupted. In fact, the concept of allostatic load has long included the notion that wear and tear on the body can result in both over- and under-activation of stress systems, and that both states are associated with disease (McEwen, 2004). Under-activation of the HPA-axis puts the individual at risk because in its normal role the HPA-axis regulates the immune system and protects against exaggerated immune responses, such as those seen in inflammatory (Chrousos, 1995) and autoimmune disease (Sternberg, 2001). However, while contextual stress has been associated with low cortisol in children (e.g. Goenjian et al., 1996), whether low cortisol in children is related to psychopathology (other than in the case of the relatively small number of children at risk for antisocial personality disorder, e.g. Susman, 2006) has not been well studied. We hypothesize that low cortisol may be seen not only following an acute stressor like an earthquake, but also as an adaptation to highly stressful and inescapable family stress. Working from this hypothesis, we turned our attention to whether low cortisol would be related to the most common stress-associated psychopathologies, namely symptoms of internalizing disorders.

Known Risk Factors for Internalizing Disorders in Children and Adolescents

Exposure to stressful life events is one of the most widely studied risk factors for depression, with robust evidence suggesting that stressful life events predict or “trigger” the development of depression across the lifespan. Both retrospective and prospective studies have consistently found higher levels of significant stressors prior to the onset of depression. Mazure (1998) demonstrated that patients with major depression were nearly 2.5 times more likely to have experienced at least one major adverse life event prior to onset than were controls. This association has been confirmed by several other researchers (e.g. Kessler, 1997; Tennant, 2002). Prevailing models of developmental psychopathology now recognize the importance of psychosocial stress in the etiology and maintenance of internalizing disorders in youth (e.g. Cicchetti & Toth, 1991; Haggerty, Sherrod, Garmezy, & Rutter, 1994). Although major life events such as the death of a parent or severe abuse and neglect are commonly linked to depression in youth (Kendler, Kuhn, & Prescott, 2004; Williamson, Birmaher, Dahl, & Ryan, 2005), less traumatic events and daily hassles can also lead to an increase in depressive symptoms (O’Sullivan, 2004). For example, a large epidemiological sample of adolescents revealed that regardless of gender, a recent break-up with a romantic partner heightened the likelihood of the occurrence of a first major depressive episode (Monroe, Rohde, Seeley, & Lewinsohn, 1999). Other stressors or hassles common in the lives of youth include conflicts within the home or with peers, behavioral problems at school, health problems, neighborhood safety issues, and academic pressures.

In addition to stressful life events, maternal depression has also been widely recognized as a risk factor for psychopathology in youth. Prevalence rates of psychiatric disorders among children of depressed parents have been estimated to be 2 to 5 times higher than in youth without depressed parents (Beardslee, Versage, & Gladstone, 1998). Several possible

explanations exist for the intergenerational transmission of maternal depression and adverse child outcomes including shared genetics (Goodman, 2004), insensitive parenting behaviors (Lovejoy, Graczyk, O'Hare, & Neuman, 2000), and fetal exposure to stress hormones or toxins (Essex, Klein, Cho, & Kalin, 2002). Of particular relevance to the current study, other's have also suggested that children of depressed mothers may experience more stressful life events (Goodman & Gotlib, 1999; Hammen, 1991).

The Current Study

The following paper utilizes data from two separate studies to explore the hypothesis that low cortisol may function as one marker of risk for internalizing disorders, particularly in combination with stress exposure and maternal depression. We selected these two studies for examination because we have previously found pre-to-post pubertal differences in the association between cortisol reactivity to a stressor and internalizing symptoms in these children (Hankin et al., in press). Specifically, greater internalizing symptoms were associated with low cortisol reactivity to a stressor prior to puberty, but to high cortisol reactivity after puberty. In the current analyses, we focus on low cortisol as a possible marker of allostatic load in children and youth, and therefore examine its relations with both family risk factors and mental health. These analyses build on the previous work not only by including family risk, but by including both basal and stress reactive cortisol in study 1, and in study 2, by looking at the change in internalizing symptoms over time for youth with low cortisol at the initial assessment. In study 1, we worked with a sample of high risk preschoolers and examined whether known significant stressors impacting the family system (maternal depression and serious financial strain) predicted which children exhibited attenuated cortisol across the day in two normal environments (home and child care) and across a stress paradigm presented to the child in the presence of the mother or lead teacher. Further, we examined whether in this high risk sample cortisol attenuation was associated with internalizing symptoms. We expected that attenuated cortisol would predict internalizing problems above and beyond the direct effects of parent-reported stress. We did not have any theoretical reason to expect that low cortisol would serve as a mechanistic link between stress and internalizing problems. However, given the possible mechanistic role of high cortisol (which these children may have experienced previously), we explored these data for mediation. In a second study, we worked with a community sample of 3rd, 6th, and 9th graders, collecting data initially during a lab visit and subsequently every 3 months for one year to determine whether having lower cortisol at time 1 could be a risk factor for later depressive symptoms. Again, we examined whether low cortisol mediated the effect of stress on psychopathology with the expectation that it would increase risk but would not itself be a mechanistic link.

Study 1

Method

Participants—Participants were 110 preschoolers (53% female) enrolled in one of 14 full-day child care classrooms. The children were 2 to 6 years of age ($M = 4.03$, $SEM = .07$). Seventy-five percent of children were identified by parents as white and 25% as non-white (15% African American/Black, 8% Asian American/Asian, and 2% American Indian/

Alaskan). In addition, 45% of those identifying as white were also identified as non-Hispanic, with 55% identifying as Hispanic. Due to potential differences in allostatic load by nativity and our deliberate attempts to recruit Mexican-origin families, we further characterized Hispanic children according to whether or not their mother was born in Mexico (24%). Parents were given the option of completing all of the questionnaires in either English or Spanish (14% chose Spanish). These data were part of a larger study approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Denver. Families were compensated \$100 for this portion of their participation, while teachers were compensated \$20 for each child participating in their classroom.

Measures—In this study, we examined whether a stressful home environment, (as measured by stress exposure, financial strain, and maternal depression), was related to allostatic load (as indexed by HPA axis hypoactivation), and whether stress and load independently predicted internalizing symptoms in preschool children. Further, we were interested in whether the effect of stress on the health outcome of internalizing symptoms was partially mediated by load.

Cortisol: Basal cortisol was assessed via saliva samples at both child care and at home at approximately 10am and 4pm. Stress reactivity was also assessed with salivary cortisol at three time points of 20-minute intervals across a 20-minute mild stressor at both child care and at home. For the first 9 children, unstimulated saliva samples were obtained using a 1.5 inch cotton dental roll that was then expressed into a vial. It has been established that cotton fibers retain cortisol, particularly samples with low volume (de Weerth, Graat, Buitelaar, & Thijssen, 2003). To allow inclusion of the samples collected with cotton, the values were corrected by a factor of 1.4 nmol/L based on the retention of known cortisol concentrations using cotton rolls from the same lot used in this study. For the remaining 101 children, saliva samples were obtained via synthetic salivette collection devices (Sarstedt, Nuembrecht, Germany). Saliva was extracted by centrifuging for 4 minutes at 2500 RPM. Vials and salivettes were frozen at -20° C until data collection was complete. Samples were then defrosted and batched for assay in groups of 36 and were assigned to batches so that classroom and batch were not confounded, and so that all samples from the same child were analyzed in the same batch. Samples were sent to the Biochemical Laboratory, Psychobiology, University of Trier, Germany to be assayed. Cortisol levels were determined by employing a competitive solid phase time-resolved fluorescence immunoassay with fluorometric end point detection (DELFA; Hoferl, Krist, & Buchbauer, 2005). For samples retained in the analyses described below for study 1 and study 2, the mean interassay coefficients of variation (CV) for controls were 6.6% to 8.5%. For duplicates of the samples used in this study, the intraassay CV was 5%.

Cortisol assays for each sample were performed in duplicate and the duplicates were averaged. Participants could have up to a total of 16 cortisol samples (6 at child care, 4 at home, and 6 across the two interactions, see procedure below; $M = 14.6$; $SEM = .21$; Range = 9 to 16). Of the 110 participants, 54% had 16 samples, 20% had 15 samples, 8% had 14 samples, and the remaining 18% had 13 or fewer. Participants were coded as an attenuator if

all of their available samples were at or below .10 μ g/dL (see Figure 1 for means for each available time point).

Stress Paradigm: Children participated in a 20-minute semi-structured mild stressor with the support of their lead teacher at child care and of a parent at home. Adapted from the NICHD Early Child Care Research Network's (1998) three boxes task, the interaction was designed to mimic normative challenges that children face. During the interaction, the dyad was presented with four different sets of materials for five-minutes each in the following order: a set of books as a warm-up activity, two hand puppets to elicit child-directed play, a scary robot to elicit fear, and a box with an attractive toy that was difficult to open to elicit frustration.

Financial Strain: Financial strain was assessed with the Family Finances questionnaire adapted from the NICHD Study of Early Child Care (2000) and consisted of one item addressing family size, 2 items assessing sources of income, 1 question asking about amount of income earned, and 5 items asking about insecurity of critical resources. For this study we used these latter 5 items as we felt it was the best reflection of experienced poverty without requiring our judgment of at what level income impacts household functioning. Items asked whether in the past 12 months the following was true or false for the family: 1) Your family went without a telephone, 2) You didn't pay all or part of the rent/ mortgage because you did not have enough money, 3) Your family was evicted for failure to pay, 4) You didn't pay all or part of the gas/electric/oil bill, 5) You had your oil/gas/electricity turned off for failure to pay. This data was available for 95 families, with 59 families experiencing none of these events, 14 experiencing 1, 11 experiencing 2, 7 experiencing 3, 4 experiencing 3 and 1 experiencing all 5. Due to the considerable skew, we created a dichotomous variable indicating whether families did or did not experience the loss of housing or at least one utility due to financial strain.

Maternal Depression: Maternal depression was assessed using the Center for Epidemiological Depression scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977). The CES-D is a 20-item self-report scale that measures symptoms of depression. It is one of the most widely used and validated measures of depressive symptomatology for non-clinical samples (Orme, Reis, & Herz, 1986) with internal consistency of .85 in a non-clinical sample and .90 in a clinical sample (Roberts, 1980). For the current sample $\alpha = .78$. We used the standard cutoff of 16 to create a dichotomous variable indicating whether mothers did or did not report clinical levels of depressive symptoms at the time of the study.

Recent Stress Exposure: A modified version of the Multicultural Events Schedule for Adolescents (MESA; Gonzales, Gunnoe, Samaniego & Jackson, 1995) adapted for preschoolers was used to assess family stress. The MESA is a list of 54 stressful daily hassles and life events commonly experienced by low-SES families. For the current sample $\alpha = .88$. Even in this high risk sample, the occurrence of serious stressors in the last 3 months was relatively low. We therefore created a variable to indicate whether at least one major stressor was or was not reported to have occurred in the past 3 months.

Internalizing Symptoms: Parents and lead teachers completed the 100 item Child Behavior Checklist for ages 1 ½ - 5 (CBCL; Achenbach, 1997) designed to assess behavioral and emotional problems in preschool children. The internalizing score is the sum of the items addressing emotional reactivity, anxiety, depression, somatic complaints, and withdrawing behavior. Chronbach's α for the 29 items for parent report was good at .78 and excellent for teacher report at .91. The externalizing score is the sum of the items addressing attention problems and aggressive behavior. Chronbach's α for the 24 items for both parent and teacher report was excellent at .85 and .94. Using the CBCL software (version 6.10) a dichotomous variable was created where children scoring below the clinical cutoff for internalizing or externalizing symptoms as rated by mother, as rated by lead teacher, or as rated by both, were represented with a 0 and those scoring at or above the cutoff were represented with a 1.

Additional Measures: Parents were asked to report their child's demographic characteristics. In all models we used the child's sex (coded 0=boys, 1=girls); age in years calculated by subtracting the first saliva sampling date from the child's birth date reported by parents (for six children, no birth date was available and therefore age in years as provided by teachers was used); the child's race using NIH categories (coded as 0 = White/Caucasian and 1 = other); and the child's ethnicity (non-Hispanic = 0 or Hispanic = 1) and nativity (mother was not born in Mexico = 0, mother was born in Mexico = 1). Overall none of the demographic variables made independent contributions, however 21% (11) of boys vs. 11% (6) of girls, $\chi^2(1) = 2.33, p = .10$, were classified as attenuated.

For the purpose of examining or excluding possible confounds, we also asked parents if their child used an inhaler for asthma or other reasons; whether their child had any allergies; if their child took any other medications on a regular basis (and what they were); if their child was currently ill; and whether their child had any other medical conditions that were not addressed in any of the other items. Six children were excluded from the analyses because they took medications expected to interfere with the cortisol assay (inhaler for asthma, $n = 4$; allergy medication, $n = 2$). In addition, 12 individual samples were excluded due to dairy contamination or because the cortisol values were extremely high for the total sample (> 3 SD of the sample mean for that time point) and unrepresentative of that child's other values, suggesting contamination. Two children refused saliva sampling. Lastly, parents were asked if their child was adopted (and if yes at what age), as in our previous work we noted lower cortisol levels in adopted children (Watamura, Kryzer & Robertson, 2009). Of the six adopted children, one was classified as an attenuator and five were not (7% vs. 6%), therefore we retained these children in the models according to their attenuation classification regardless of adoption status. Children were weighed and measured by research assistants in their classrooms. We used height, weight, age, and sex to calculate body mass index (BMI) percentages for each child. Average BMI did not differ according to whether or not the child was classified as attenuated ($t(103) = .67, ns$, not attenuated: 16.24, attenuated: 15.84). Attenuated children had slightly lower average BMI percentage, but this was not significant (56.64% vs. 52.53%). Attenuated children were also no more likely than non-attenuated children to be normal weight vs. underweight (bottom 5%), or overweight/obese (top 15%). Although not significant, 2 of the 5 underweight children were classified

as attenuators, meaning that 12.5% of attenuated children were underweight but only 3% of non-attenuated children were underweight. We ran all models controlling and not controlling for BMI, and the results did not differ. Results without BMI controlled are presented here, BMI results are available from the authors (SEW).

Procedures—As part of a larger study, families were recruited from the child's classroom at child care. Lead teachers completed CBCL questionnaires on all 110 children in the study. Of our total sample, 99 primary caregivers (99% mother) completed the CBCL, a background health questionnaire, financial strain, the MESA, and the CES-D.

Classroom Saliva Sampling: Classroom saliva collections occurred on a small group basis over 4-6 days. Samples were collected mid-morning and mid-afternoon, as close to 10:00am ($M = 9:50$, $SEM = .03$; range = 9:11 to 10:40) and 4:00pm ($M = 3:34$, $SEM = .05$; range = 2:25 to 4:37) as possible. Both morning and afternoon samples were taken before snack or at least 30 minutes after snack or breakfast, and afternoon samples were taken at least 30 minutes after nap time and lunch. After each morning sampling, child temperature was obtained using a Genius™2 IR Tympanic Thermometer. Samples on days where the child's temperature was at or above 99.5 were not used in analyses.

Home Saliva Sampling: After completing the classroom portion of the study, parents were given their home saliva sampling materials and instructions. Parents were asked to collect saliva samples on two weekend days as close to 10am ($M = 10:07$ am; $SEM = .07$; Range = 8:17am to 12:45pm) and 4pm ($M = 4:19$ pm; $SEM = .10$; Range = 3:00pm to 6:34pm) as possible. Materials for weekend sampling were stored in boxes that automatically recorded opening and closing times. Parents were asked to only open the boxes when sampling so that this information would accurately represent sampling times. They were also asked to refrain from giving their child dairy products at least 30 minutes prior to sampling, and not to sample on days when their child was sick. Parents were asked to store labeled salivettes in the refrigerator and to return the samples to the child care center. Compliance data revealed that recorded versus reported times differed by a median of 3 minutes (range 0-145 minutes).

Interaction Sampling: Three saliva samples were collected across both the classroom and the home interactions in 20-min intervals; at baseline before the task began; at the end of the interaction assumed to reflect cortisol reactivity to the task, and 20-minutes after the task ended assumed to reflect recovery to the task.

Results

A series of hierarchical binary logistic regression models were computed first predicting internalizing problems (0, 1 above the clinical cutoff for internalizing symptoms as rated by mother, teacher, or both) from all of the available demographic control variables, the family risk variables, and the potential allostatic load marker of hypocortisolemia. In block 1 we entered the demographic controls (including sex (0, 1), age (in years), ethnicity (Mexican, Hispanic, non-Hispanic represented by two dummy coded variables, 0, 1 Mexican-origin or other, and 0, 1 Hispanic but not Mexican-origin family or other) and race (0, 1, majority vs.

minority). In block 2, three family risk variables were added, maternal depression (0, 1 above the clinical cutoff of 16), financial strain resulting in the loss of housing or utilities (0, 1), and whether or not the family was exposed to a major stressor in the past 3 months (0, 1). Finally, in block 3 we added our potential allostatic load marker, namely whether or not the child exhibited an attenuated cortisol profile (0, 1, < .10 µg/dL at all time points including basal and stress reactive).

Internalizing Problems—Twenty children (21.5%) in this high risk sample were above the clinical cutoff for internalizing problems on the CBCL as rated by mothers ($n = 8$), teachers ($n = 7$), or both ($n = 5$). Our full model showed good fit, $\chi^2(1,9) = 34.44, p < .001$. We entered the demographic controls in block 1, the family risk variables in block 2, and attenuated cortisol in block 3 (see Table 1). None of the demographic variables entered in block 1 made independent contributions. With the addition of family risk in block 2, financial strain was predictive of child internalizing symptoms, $B = 1.54, p = .04$, and there was a trend for maternal depression, $B = 1.42, p = .06$. In block 3, with the addition of attenuated cortisol, maternal depression, $B = 2.22, p = .02$, financial risk, $B = 2.60, p = .02$, and attenuated cortisol $B = 4.02, p = .005$, were all predictive of clinical levels of internalizing symptoms. Forty-six mothers (46%) in our sample reported current depressive symptoms above the clinical cutoff of 16. Thirty-five percent of these women also had a child with internalizing symptoms as compared to 7% of children of non-depressed mothers, $\chi^2(1) = 11.33, p < .001$. Looked at another way, 16 (80%) of children with clinical levels of internalizing symptoms also had a mother reporting high levels of current depressive symptoms. Similarly, 14 (70%) of children with internalizing symptoms had also experienced financial strain resulting in the loss of housing or at least one utility, $\chi^2(1) = 11.10, p < .001$. Less striking but also highly significant in the regression model, 35% of children with attenuated cortisol also had internalizing symptoms as compared to 16% of children without attenuated cortisol, $\chi^2(1) = 3.33, p = .07$.

Externalizing Problems—Our *a priori* hypothesis, based on our previous work and our working hypothesis regarding the role of attenuated cortisol in young children in high stress environments, was that children with more family risk *and* attenuated cortisol would be at increased risk for early internalizing symptoms. However, previous work sometimes suggests that attenuated cortisol may be a risk factor for externalizing problems and of course externalizing and internalizing symptoms are frequently co-morbid. In this sample, 8 (40%) of the children with internalizing symptoms also had externalizing symptoms. Therefore, we repeated our above analyses predicting externalizing problems in these same children.

Thirteen children (14%) met clinical criteria for externalizing symptoms according to their mother ($n = 5$) or their teacher ($n = 8$). In block 1 with only demographic controls, boys were more likely to be rated as externalizing, $B = -1.52, p = .047$. In block 2, adding maternal depression, financial strain, and whether or not a major stressor was experienced in the past 3 months, child sex continued at the trend level, $B = -1.54, p = .05$, but no family risk variables made independent contributions. Finally, adding attenuated cortisol in block 3, child sex continued at the trend level, $B = -1.67, p = .07$, and attenuated cortisol was

statistically significant, $B = 2.56, p = .02$. Thus, while the overall model fit was acceptable, $\chi^2(1,9) = 21.85, p = .01$, only attenuated cortisol was clearly related to children's externalizing symptoms with 25% vs. 13% of children with attenuated cortisol exhibiting externalizing symptoms. However, controlling for internalizing symptoms, attenuated cortisol no longer predicts externalizing symptoms, $B = 1.86, p = .12$. Notably, controlling for externalizing symptoms in the model predicting internalizing did *not* reduce the effect attenuated cortisol on internalizing symptoms, $B = 3.85, p = .02$.

Mediation versus Pre-existing or Concurrent Risk—While current theories make the argument that allostatic load is itself one direct mechanism leading to physical and mental health problems, we did not expect attenuated cortisol in early childhood to mediate the effects of family stress on concurrent health outcomes. We hypothesized that in this age range family risk and attenuated cortisol would reflect the child's physiologic appraisal of the environment and would be somewhat independent of parent report. However, to test whether attenuated cortisol was serving as a mediator of stress on internalizing symptoms we followed Baron and Kenny (1986). Although stress (in this case only financial strain) predicted attenuated cortisol, $\chi^2(1) = 4.01, p = .04$, both financial strain and maternal depression predicted internalizing symptoms (see results above), and attenuated cortisol predicted internalizing symptoms (see results above), the effect of stress on internalizing symptoms was not reduced with the inclusion of attenuated cortisol in the model. This suggests that rather than serving as a causative mechanism or a mediator of stress on internalizing symptoms, attenuated cortisol is a marker of high stress and may even be a pre-existing risk factor, perhaps resulting from genetic predispositions or very early stress exposure (prenatal or in the first 2 years of life).

Discussion

The results from study 1 support our hypothesis that stress within a high risk sample early in development is associated with HPA-axis attenuation. Specifically, the data illustrate that serious financial strain is related to both blunted basal cortisol and attenuated stress reactivity in preschoolers. Previous work has indicated associations between financial strain and both higher (Lupien, King, Meaney, & McEwen, 2001) and lower cortisol (Fernald, Burke, & Gunnar, 2008) when compared to higher income families. It may be the case that more serious financial strain is associated with blunted cortisol, whereas income that is low but not resulting in interruption of basic services is associated with higher cortisol. Families with average or high income might be most likely to have cortisol levels in the middle (and likely optimal) range. Our children exhibited blunted cortisol including both basal and stress reactive time points, thus it seems reasonable that this profile would be associated with more serious financial strain. Although we ruled out some confounding factors that might have accounted for attenuated cortisol (asthma, medications, BMI, adoption), we did not assess every factor that could contribute to blunted cortisol, for example we did not assess prenatal or early postnatal dexamethasone treatment, or stress exposure across the lifetime of the child. Another limitation is that although we did collect basal samples in two contexts, we did not assess the most sensitive time of day (wake-up), which may have revealed an ability to elevate cortisol to meet the challenges of the day although cortisol levels at other times of day were very low.

After controlling for demographic characteristics (age, sex, race, ethnicity and nativity), financial strain, maternal depression, and cortisol attenuation across all measured time points were associated with higher internalizing symptoms in this sample of preschoolers, although stress exposure in the past 3 months was not. In our working model, children in these high stress environments are experiencing serious financial strain, and also have reduced support because their mothers are experiencing current high levels of depressive symptoms. This combination exposes them to repeated and inescapable stress, and perhaps their hypocortisolism is an adaptation to this environment. Accompanying internalizing symptoms fit this interpretation. Alternatively, children with depressed mothers may simply have more internalizing symptoms due to their shared genetic and environmental risk (e.g. Goodman & Gotlib, 1999), and hypocortisolism could be a feature of the child's own depressogenic profile. It is interesting that stress exposure in the past 3 months was not associated significantly with either hypocortisolism or internalizing symptoms. We elected to retain this variable in our model to control the effect of recent stress exposure, as we were in fact more interested in chronic exposure rather than transitory disruptions. However, although the families in our sample were in general very high risk, even for this population the frequency of major life stressors in the past 3 months was quite low. This low prevalence perhaps masked cortisol elevations related to acute stress exposure.

Although a recent meta-analysis (Alink et al., 2007) revealed no stress reactive cortisol differences for children with more externalizing symptoms, they did find slightly higher basal cortisol for preschoolers and slightly lower basal cortisol for school-age children with higher externalizing symptoms. In our data, children with attenuated cortisol also were more likely to have clinical levels of externalizing symptoms; however, when we controlled for internalizing symptoms in the model, these findings were accounted for, likely by the high co-morbidity between internalizing and externalizing symptoms. In contrast, family risk and attenuation continued to relate to internalizing symptoms when controlling for externalizing symptoms. Thus attenuated cortisol in these high risk preschoolers was specifically related to clinical levels of internalizing symptoms. Our lack of association between externalizing symptoms and attenuated cortisol does not inherently contradict the body of work reporting associations between antisocial personality disorder (ASPD) and low cortisol, including in children at high risk for ASPD (for example male offspring of a father with ASPD), as ASPD is quite rare and is only loosely predicted by externalizing symptoms in young children (Widom, 1997).

Finally, attenuation status did not mediate the relationship between stress and concurrent internalizing symptoms. This lack of mediation will be addressed in the general discussion.

Study 2

Introduction

To further examine the possible connections among stress exposure, attenuated cortisol, and internalizing symptoms, we utilized a community sample of 3rd, 6th, and 9th grade youth initially assessed during a laboratory visit and subsequently followed every 3 months for 1 year. This sample and procedure differed in a number of ways from study 1. A strength of this study compared to study 1 is its longitudinal design, allowing an assessment of whether

attenuated cortisol serves as a vulnerability for later depressive symptoms. Further, as the children were older we utilized youth and parent reports of stress and symptoms rather than parent and teacher reports. However, a limitation is that in this sample we did not assess basal cortisol, so rather than attempting to characterize children as attenuators versus non-attenuators, we used their mean cortisol level across all samples taken during the lab visit, which included a stress reactivity paradigm.

Method

Participants—Children and adolescents were recruited by letters sent home to families with a child in 3rd, 6th, or 9th grades of public schools. Interested parents called the laboratory and responded to a brief phone screen that established that both the parent and child were fluent in English, and the child did not carry an autism spectrum or psychotic disorder and had an IQ > 70.

Participants were 166 youth ranging in age from 9-15 ($M=11.4$, $SD=2.27$). The sample was approximately evenly divided by sex (boys: 44%, girls: 56%) and grade (41% 3rd grade, 28% 6th grade, 31% 9th grade). Ethnicity was as follows: Caucasian: 68%, African American: 22%, Latino: 5%, Asian/ Pacific Islander: 3%, Other/Mixed Race: 2%. Sex and ethnicity did not differ and were approximately evenly distributed across grades. Parents of the youth were predominantly mothers (87%), and 72% were married, 10% single, 17% divorced or separated, and 1% widowed. Median annual parental income was \$74,000 (range \$10,000 to \$200,000), and 21% of the youth received free/reduced lunch at school.

Measures

Depressive Symptoms: The Children's Depression Inventory (CDI; Kovacs, 1985) assessed youths' depressive symptoms. Both the child (CDI-C) and parent (CDI-P) reported on the child's level of depressive symptoms to enable multiple informants of depression, consistent with Rutter's (2005) recommendation for rigorous developmental psychopathology methods. CDI-C and CDI-P were given at all 5 assessments. CDI-C and CDI-P scores were moderately correlated (r 's ranged from .34 to .44, p 's < .001), so they were standardized and averaged together to form an overall depressive symptoms score at each wave. The CDI has good reliability and validity (Klein, Dougherty, & Olino, 2005). Internal consistency (α) was above .80 at all waves. The range of CDI scores from this sample (child report: mean CDI was 6.54; $SD = 5.3$, range 0-35; parent report: mean CDI was 5.76; $SD = 5.8$, range 0-23) was comparable to published norms (Kovacs, 1985) and prior research with general community samples (Petersen et al., 1993). Using recommended clinical cutoffs for the CDI revealed that 12.4% of youth-report and 9.8% of parent report were above cut-scores for the CDI.

The Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI; Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996), assessed levels of depressive symptoms with 21 items that are rated on a scale from 0-3 with scores ranging from 0-63. Higher scores reflect more depressive symptoms. Parents completed the BDI-II at baseline. The BDI-II is a reliable and well-validated measure of depressive symptomatology (Beck et al., 1996), although it does not enable clinical diagnoses of

depression. Coefficient alpha for the BDI-II was .87. Using recommended clinical cutoffs for the BDI-II showed that 28.2% of parents were above cut-scores.

Family Stress: The Adolescent Life Events Questionnaire (ALEQ; Hankin & Abramson, 2002) consists of 37 items that assess the number of stressors occurring within the past 3 months. The ALEQ assesses a broad range of negative life events that typically occur among adolescents, including school, friendship, romantic, and family events ranging from mild to severe. Respondents indicated whether the event occurred within the past 3 months, such that ALEQ scores are best considered as a count of stressors over the last 3 months. Unlike many other self-report stress checklists, the ALEQ includes concrete, specific anchors and descriptions of events, rather than overly general subjective event descriptions, based on methodological recommendations on assessing stress and adversity (e.g., Brewin, Andrews, & Gotlib, 1993), to reduce over-reporting of trivial events or misinterpretation of events due to personality, mood, or memory bias. Both the child (ALEQ-C) and parent (ALEQ-P) reported on the child's exposure to stressors by indicating whether or not a stressor occurred within the last 3 months. ALEQ-C and ALEQ-P were given at all 5 assessments. ALEQ-C and ALEQ-P scores were moderately correlated (r 's ranged from .37 to .41, p 's < .001), so they were standardized and averaged together to form an overall score at each wave. Scores for ALEQ-C ranged from 0 to 35 ($M = 16.5$, $SD = 7.5$), and ALEQ-P scores ranged from 2 to 37 ($M = 17.2$, $SD = 7.3$). The ALEQ demonstrated good validity in past research (Hankin, Stone, & Wright, 2010). In addition, validity of the ALEQ is supported by significant correlations with objective ratings of episodic stressors ($r = .44$, $p < .001$) from the contextual stress interview (Rudolph & Flynn, 2007).

The contextual stress interview for this study was a semistructured interview adapted from Rudolph and Hammen's (1999) Child Episodic Life-Stress Interview. Children and adolescents were asked to report on their experience of stressful life events during their lifetime. In addition to a general probe about stressors, inquiries about the following specific domains of stress were made: behavioral, peer, parent-child, adult marital, romantic, household, academic, body image, health of self, health of important others, financial, neighborhood, discrimination, and activities. Information gathered from this interview includes both the chronicity and severity of a given domain. Severity scores could range from 0 to 3 where 0 = little/none, or average, 1 = moderate, 2 = serious, and 3 = severe. Chronicity scores could range from 1 to 3 where 1 = less than 6 months, 2 = 6 months to a year, and 3 = greater than 1 year. For each domain, the severity and chronicity scores were multiplied to create a stress score for that specific domain. Finally, all 14 domains were summed to create an overall chronic stress score.

Stress Paradigm: The stress paradigm was structured around a 15-20 minute psychosocial challenge. This included a 5-10 minute parent-child discussion concerning a recent fight or argument. Then, youth auditioned for a "reality TV show" by giving a speech directly into a video camera while their parent watched; youth were instructed that judges would evaluate their performance. As there is no current consensus on stress/challenge paradigms that elicit cortisol reactivity across different ages (Gunnar, Talge, & Herrera, 2009), we selected this laboratory challenge task as it was likely to be developmentally appropriate across 3rd, 6th,

and 9th graders, and it involved the essential elements (e.g., threat of social rejection and social evaluation, anticipatory and processive stress) known to activate cortisol response across the lifespan (Lupien, McEwen, Gunnar, & Heim, 2009).

Procedures—The parent and youth visited the laboratory for the baseline assessment. The initial baseline assessment consisted of a battery of questionnaires completed by youth and parents about the child, diagnostic interviewing, and collection of youth cortisol via saliva (synthetic salivette without the use of stimulants). Parents provided informed written consent for their participation and for their child; youth provided written assent. After an hour in the laboratory where the youth completed questionnaires and interviews, the pair completed the stress paradigm. For 45 minutes after the challenge, youth quietly completed additional questionnaires. Youth were debriefed at the end of the lab visit. On average, the majority of the first cortisol samples were collected at 5PM after the family had been in the lab for 1 hour (range 4PM-6:30PM). Subsequent samples were taken every 15 minutes, at 75, 90, 105 and 120 minutes after arrival. Cortisol sample collection, preparation, storage, assay procedures, and coefficients of variation were identical to that of Study 1 as samples were analyzed together. Contextual stress interviewing occurred over the phone within one week after the baseline laboratory visit. Regular follow up assessments over the phone with parent and youth occurred every 3 months over a 1-year period (5 waves of data) to assess stressors and symptoms. The Institutional Review Board approved all procedures. Youth and parents were each reimbursed \$30 for participation at baseline and \$10 for each follow-up.

Assessment of Depression and Diagnostic Interviews: Mothers and youth were interviewed separately with the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS, Kaufman et al., 1997a). Of the total sample, 3% of youth met criteria for current clinical depressive disorder and 16% for past disorder; none met criteria for any bipolar or psychotic disorder. Diagnostic interviewers, who all had a minimum of a bachelors degree and most were advanced graduate students in clinical psychology, completed an intensive training program for administering the K-SADS interview and for assigning DSM-IV diagnoses. The training program consisted of attending a minimum of 40 hours of didactic instruction, listening to audiotaped interviews, and conducting practice interviews. One author (BLH) held weekly supervision sessions for the interviewers and reviewed interviewers' notes and tapes in order to confirm the presence or absence of a diagnosis. To assess interrater reliability, an independent trained rater who was blind to parent or child diagnostic status, randomly evaluated 20% of the K-SADS interviews. Reliability was good ($\kappa = .87$), and presence/ absence of current MDD in youth was confirmed.

Results

Preliminary Analyses—Table 2 shows descriptive statistics, as an average across time and across informants, for the whole sample and by grade and sex. No sex differences were observed for CDI, ALEQ, BDI, contextual stress levels, or depression history. Grade differences were noted for stressors and depressive disorder such that older youth reported more stressors on average over time and greater history of prior clinical depression. Total cortisol level was created as the average of the five cortisol assessments taken across the laboratory visit. As seen in Table 2, significant sex and grade differences were observed.

Boys' exhibited higher overall cortisol levels compared with girls, and 9th graders had higher overall cortisol levels than 6th or 3rd graders.

Cortisol level, parent depression, youth depression, and chronic stress

associations—We used a chronic stress variable composed of the domains of peer and parent-child conflict and financial strain (excluded domains not overlapping with study 1) to examine correlations among cortisol level, chronic stress, youths' depressive symptoms and parental depressive symptoms. Average cortisol levels across the laboratory visit were negatively correlated with contextual stress ($r = -.14, p < .05$) and positively correlated with parental depressive symptoms as measured by the BDI ($r = .17, p < .05$). Youths' baseline depressive symptoms were positively correlated with greater stress ($r = .38, p < .001$) and parental depressive symptoms ($r = .39, p < .001$) and negatively correlated with average cortisol levels ($r = -.18, p < .05$). We then proceeded to longitudinal analyses aimed at investigating whether lower cortisol levels might reduce youths' efficacy at responding optimally to ongoing stressors and eventuate in elevated levels of depressive symptoms over time.

Statistical Approach: Longitudinal analysis of cortisol, stress, and symptoms

—Hierarchical linear modeling (HLM 5.04; Raudenbush, Bryk, & Congdon, 2001) was used to investigate the primary hypothesis that lower total cortisol level would interact with stressors assessed over time to predict elevations in depressive symptoms over the one-year follow-up. Depressive symptoms at time T served as the dependent variable in the HLM analysis, and stressors at time T were included in level 1 as a time-varying covariate. Total cortisol level from the baseline assessment (time 1) was entered at level 2 as a main effect and as a cross-level interaction with within-person stressors. This analysis tests the hypothesis that total cortisol level moderates the association over time between stressors and depressive symptoms. We hypothesized that cortisol reactivity, as a physiological marker of allostatic load, would interact with greater exposure to stressors over time to predict depressive symptoms. In addition, in various models, we included other important etiological influences in level 2, including parental depressive symptoms (BDI), youth history of clinical depression (KSADS lifetime diagnosis of depression), and chronic stress levels (contextual stress ratings).

Hypocortisolism interacts with stressors to predict depressive symptoms—

Lower levels of average cortisol interacted with greater stress exposure across the five time points over one year to predict elevations in depressive symptoms over time. This cross-level interaction between cortisol reactivity and stressors predicting depressive symptoms was found when parental depressive symptoms was entered, when youths' history of clinical depression and parental depressive symptoms were entered, and when youths' chronic stress, youths' lifetime clinical depression, and parental depressive symptoms were controlled (see Table 3). As expected, these etiological covariates (BDI, youths' lifetime clinical depression, and chronic stress levels) were all associated with greater levels of youth depressive symptoms. Thus, support was obtained for our biological vulnerability to stress hypothesis but not for the mediation hypothesis because parental depressive symptoms and

youth stress levels were maintained as significant predictors of depressive symptoms when cortisol levels were included in the model.

This cortisol X stress interaction effect is shown in Figure 2 with stressors depicted at 1 SD above and below the mean for ALEQ scores for the final model (bottom portion of Table 3). Youth with *lower* total cortisol levels who experienced *more stressors* over time exhibited the highest elevations in depressive symptoms. Figure 2 also shows that youth with a history of clinical depression reported higher baseline levels of depressive symptoms, as would be expected, and that the cortisol X stress interaction holds within both groups of depression (i.e., present and absent prior depression).

Discussion

In study 2 we assessed whether we could extend our findings from study 1 on the relationship between stress, cortisol attenuation, and internalizing to a longitudinal investigation with a community sample of older children. Looking first at the concurrent assessments taken at time 1, experienced stress was associated with lower cortisol across a laboratory stress paradigm, while maternal depression was associated with higher cortisol across the lab visit. Child stress, maternal depression and lower cortisol levels were all associated with elevated depressive symptoms in these youth. These results parallel those from study 1, except that for these older children maternal depression was predictive of elevated, not lowered cortisol. The fact that those children and youth who exhibited low cortisol to the lab stressor at time 1 *and* who subsequently experienced more stressors in the following year showed the greatest elevations in depressive symptoms suggests that the under-activation at time 1 may be in fact be a marker of risk.

General Discussion

The results from these two studies provide evidence that hypocortisolism in children is associated with both family risk and internalizing symptoms. The results from study 1 suggest that hypocortisolism can be found in early childhood, at least for children experiencing high risk environments. With this sample we were able to classify children as exhibiting attenuated cortisol across the day in two environments and across a stress paradigm with two caregivers. Although the cutoff we selected was arbitrary ($< .10 \mu\text{g/dL}$ at all time points), it captured 15% (or 1.5 SD) of the children studied, and as illustrated in Figure 1, these children are markedly different from their peers who showed a normal diurnal decline across the day (high levels in the morning) and both reactivity and recovery to the stress paradigm. We are currently in the process of an 18-month follow-up study with these attenuated children and a matched group of non-attenuators selected from the same sample to investigate (among other things) whether they show a normal cortisol awakening response (CAR) and bedtime decline. While the mid-morning and mid-afternoon data presented here are suggestive, a lack of a CAR would provide definitive evidence of attenuation. We are also collecting data on prenatal or early postnatal exposure to synthetic glucocorticoids to address this possible confound. However we were able to rule out BMI, asthma, medications, chronic health conditions, and adoption status.

While we continue to pursue the question of the causes, correlates, and outcomes of true attenuation in early childhood, data from study 2 suggests that low cortisol relative to one's peers may be a vulnerability for the development of depressive symptoms even in the absence of full attenuation. Despite the rich literature documenting hypercortisolemia in adults with depression, including data indicating that hypercortisolemia precedes major depressive episodes (Holsboer, 2001) and remediates with successful antidepressant treatment (Holsboer & Barden, 1996), relations between cortisol and internalizing symptoms in pre-pubertal children have been surprisingly understudied. While adolescents with depression have been shown to have higher cortisol much like in the adult literature (Dahl et al., 1991), our data suggest that prior to puberty, risk for depression, especially in the context of environmental stress, may be associated with hypocortisolism. Although we have reported pre-to-post pubertal differences in low vs. high cortisol in children with internalizing symptoms (Hankin et al., in press), we are currently following all the children from study 2 and will be able to longitudinally assess whether hypocortisolism pre-puberty switches to hypercortisolism post-puberty as suggested by the cross-age comparisons.

The association between low cortisol and internalizing symptoms in children raises the question of how to conceptualize HPA-axis dysregulation as a marker of allostatic load in childhood. The prevailing view is that depression results from the interaction of predisposing risk factors (genetic and environmental) and stress exposure over time. The consistent association of high cortisol with depressive symptoms in adults (Thase, Jindal, & Howland, 2002) further suggests that the way individuals with depression manage stress physiologically is important. This leads naturally to the question of whether hyperreactive stress responsivity may be a mechanism contributing to risk for major depression via central and peripheral changes accruing over time because of these repeated exaggerated stress responses. This would fit with a classic allostatic load model, and of course decades of research has elucidated exactly how HPA-axis activation results in accumulated wear and tear on the body (McEwen, 1998). However, our work suggests that, at least in childhood, hypocortisolism- not hypercortisolism is associated with both early family stress and clinical levels of internalizing symptoms. We also find the post-pubertal association between high cortisol and internalizing symptoms reported by others. Therefore, we suggest that hypocortisolism may switch post-puberty to a hyperreactive profile. If our finding is replicated, particularly with longitudinal work with repeated assessments of cortisol across development, it would suggest a more complicated trajectory for children in high stress environments at risk for depression. We argue based on these data that hypocortisolism in childhood should be evaluated as one marker of allostatic load. Of course, work with adults and children suggests that allostatic load is best assessed with indicators from multiple systems, including cardiovascular, metabolic, immunologic, and stress response systems (Evans, Kim, Ting, Teshner, & Shannis, 2007). While we did not include additional markers of allostatic load in these studies, we hope that this work will support inclusion of hypocortisolism in cumulative indices of allostatic load, at least in children.

In both studies, maternal depression was an important independent predictor of child internalizing symptoms as has been reported by a number of authors (Beardslee et al., 1998). In study 1, 80% of young children with clinical levels of internalizing symptoms also had a mother with current depressive symptoms. Importantly, in both samples, multiple informants

were used. Therefore whether or not children were classified as internalizing was not simply driven by mother's depressive symptoms. This was illustrated in study 1 with 60% of the children classified as internalizing being rated as such by their teachers.

For the children and youth in both studies, there appeared to be a particular constellation of environmental stress exposure, maternal depression, and low cortisol together predicting increased risk for symptoms of internalizing disorders. Perhaps this constellation operates transactionally over time and increasingly disposes children and youth with these risk factors toward depression.

Also common to both studies, though more appropriately tested in study 2 due to its longitudinal nature, hypocortisolism did not mediate the effects of stress on internalizing symptoms. We tested for mediation to examine whether our data supported the idea that hypocortisolism might serve as a mechanism (although not yet explicated) through which stress leads to internalizing symptoms. We did not expect mediation, and in fact did not find any indication of it. Rather, in both studies the unique effects of the stressors we measured were as strong or stronger when the effect of hypocortisolism was included. We suggest that rather than serving as a mechanism, hypocortisolism is an important marker of the child's internal representation of the chronic stressfulness of their environment and their inability to actively address that stress. As such, hypocortisolism provides an important indicator above and beyond reported stress exposure. Alternatively, hypocortisolism could be a preexisting vulnerability (possibly the result of genetic or early environmental factors) that makes it difficult for the child to actively cope with the stressors they experience. Without that physiologic support to manage stress, the child may be more likely to develop depressive symptoms through the repeated experience of failing to manage stress appropriately. Both of these interpretations suggest that teaching adaptive coping may be a useful intervention for children with the constellation of low cortisol, maternal depression and high stress exposure.

An open question is why the children in these two studies exhibited low cortisol, whereas work with maltreated children has demonstrated higher cortisol, particularly in maltreated children with depressive symptoms (Kaufman et al., 1997b, Hart, Gunnar, & Cicchetti, 1996). Unfortunately, we did not assess maltreatment history in our studies. Interestingly, much of the work with maltreated children has been conducted in the novel and supportive environment of camp or in foster care. In our data, we see greater risk for internalizing symptoms for children who have low cortisol assessed at home, at child care, and to a stress manipulation in the presence of their regular caregivers. We do not know whether these same children would show higher cortisol in a novel and more supportive environment without their caregivers, and we are not aware of any published work with maltreated children examining cortisol in the presence of the maltreating caregiver. So, while we cannot address this speculation, it could be that the downregulation we report is directly related to the context in which we assessed cortisol – namely in the child's normal environments (or in the lab with their normal caregivers). These environments and caregiving relationships are characterized by higher stress and maternal depression. In support of this speculation, Fernald et al., (2008), found lower cortisol in Mexican children exposed to extreme poverty when assessed during a home visit.

The data presented here and in Hankin et al., (in press), suggest that hypocortisolism may not always be an end-state, but rather may sometimes be a malleable indicator of risk. This is an important reminder of the dynamic, and context-dependent nature of stress-system activity, and the fact that the adaptive goals for an individual are likely not achieved in the same way irrespective of the environment.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported, in part, by NICHD grant (1 RO3 HD054718-01) and a grant from the Foundation for Child Development awarded to Sarah Enos Watamura, ACF grant (90YE0091-01) awarded to Lisa S. Badanes, and NIMH grant (5R01 MH077195) awarded to Benjamin L. Hankin. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Mental Health or National Institutes of Health.

References

- Achenbach, TM. Manual for the Teacher's Report Form and 1991 profile. University of Vermont Department of Psychiatry; Burlington: 1997.
- Alink LRA, van IJZendoorn MH, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Mesman J, Juffer F, Koot HM. Cortisol and externalizing behavior in children and adolescents: mixed meta-analytic evidence for the inverse relation of basal cortisol and cortisol reactivity with externalizing behavior. *Developmental Psychobiology*. 2007; 50:427–450. [PubMed: 18551461]
- Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1986; 51:1173–1182. [PubMed: 3806354]
- Beardslee WR, Versage E, Gladstone TRG. Children of affectively ill parents: A review of the past ten years. *Journal of American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1998; 14:1134–1141.
- Beck, AT.; Steer, RA.; Brown, GK. Manual for the Beck depression inventory-II. Psychological Corporation; San Antonio, TX: 1996.
- Brewin CR, Andrews B, Gotlib IH. Psychopathology and early experience: A reappraisal of retrospective reports. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1993; 113:82–98. [PubMed: 8426875]
- Carlson M, Earls F. Psychological and neuroendocrinological sequelae of early social deprivations in institutionalized children in Romania. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1997; 218:419–428. [PubMed: 9071367]
- Charmandari E, Kino T, Souvatzoglou E, Chrousos GP. Pediatric Stress: Hormonal Mediators and Human Development. *Hormone Research in Pediatrics*. 2003; 59:161–179.
- Chrousos GP. The Hypothalamic-pituitary adrenal axis and immune mediated inflammation. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1995; 332:1351–1363. [PubMed: 7715646]
- Cicchetti D, Rogosch FA. The impact of child maltreatment and psychopathology on neuroendocrine functioning. *Developmental Psychopathology*. 2001; 13:783–804.
- Cicchetti D, Rogosch FA, Gunnar MR, Toth SL. The differential impacts of early physical and sexual abuse and internalizing problems on daytime cortisol rhythm in school-aged children. *Child Development*. 2010; 81:252–269. [PubMed: 20331666]
- Cicchetti, D.; Toth, SL. A developmental perspective on internalizing and externalizing disorders. In: Cicchetti, D.; Toth, SL., editors. *Internalizing and externalizing expressions of dysfunction*. Erlbaum; New York: 1991. p. 1-19.
- Dahl RE, Ryan ND, Puig-Antich J, Nguyen NA, Al-Shabbout M, Meyer VA, Perel J. 24-Hour cortisol measures in adolescents with major depression: A controlled study. *Biological Psychiatry*. 1991; 30:25–36. [PubMed: 1892959]
- de Weerth C, Graat G, Buitelaar JK, Thijssen HH. Measurement of cortisol in small quantities of saliva. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2003; 49:658–660.
- Dickerson SS, Kemeny ME. Acute stressors and cortisol responses: A theoretical integration and synthesis of laboratory research. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2004; 130:355–391. [PubMed: 15122924]

- Essex MJ, Klein MH, Cho E, Kalin NH. Maternal stress beginning in infancy may sensitize children to later stress exposure: Effects on cortisol and behavior. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2002; 52:776–784. [PubMed: 12372649]
- Evans GW. A multimethodological analysis of cumulative risk and allostatic load among rural children. *Developmental Psychology*. 2003; 39:924–933. [PubMed: 12952404]
- Evans GW, Kim P, Ting AH, Tesher HB, Shannis D. Cumulative risk, maternal responsiveness, and allostatic load among young adolescents. *Developmental Psychology*. 2007; 43:341–351. [PubMed: 17352543]
- Francis D, Diorio J, Liu D, Meaney MJ. Nongenomic transmission across generations of maternal behavior and stress responses in the rat. *Science*. 1999; 268:1155–1158. [PubMed: 10550053]
- Fernald LCH, Burke HM, Gunnar MR. Salivary cortisol levels in children of low-income women with high depressive symptomatology. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2008; 20:423–436. [PubMed: 18423087]
- Fries E, Hesse J, Hellhammer J, Hellhammer DH. A new view on hypocortisolism. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2005; 30:1010–1016. [PubMed: 15950390]
- Gilbertson MW, Shenton ME, Ciszewski A, Kasai K, Lasko NB, Orr SP, Pittman RK. Smaller hippocampal volume predicts pathologic vulnerability to psychological trauma. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2002; 5:1242–1247.
- Goenjian AK, Yehuda R, Pynoos RS, Steinberg AM, Tashjian M, Yang RK, Najarian LM, Fairbanks LA. Basal cortisol, dexamethasone suppression of cortisol, and MHPG in adolescents after the 1988 earthquake in Armenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1996; 153:929–934. [PubMed: 8659616]
- Gonzales, NA.; Gunnoe, ML.; Samaniego, R.; Jackson, K. Validation of a multicultural event schedule for adolescents. Paper presented at the Fifth Biennial Conference for Community Research and Action; Chicago, IL. Jun. 1995
- Goodman JH. Paternal postpartum depression, its relationship to maternal postpartum depression, and implications for family health. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2004; 45:26–35. [PubMed: 14675298]
- Goodman SH, Gotlib IH. Risk for psychopathology in the children of depressed mothers: A developmental model for understanding mechanisms of transmission. *Psychological Review*. 1999; 106:458–490. [PubMed: 10467895]
- Gunnar MR, Talge NM, Herrera A. Stressor paradigms in developmental studies: What does and does not work to produce mean increases in salivary cortisol. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2009; 34:953–967. [PubMed: 19321267]
- Gunnar MR, Vazquez DM. Low cortisol and a flattening of expected daytime rhythm: Potential indices of risk in human development. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2001; 13:515–538. [PubMed: 11523846]
- Gunnar MR, Vazquez DM. Stress neurobiology and developmental psychopathology. *Developmental psychopathology*. 2006; 2:533–547.
- Haggerty, RJ.; Sherrod, LR.; Garmezy, N.; Rutter, M. Stress, risk and resiliency in children and adolescents. Cambridge University Press; New York: 1994.
- Hammen C. Generation of stress in the course of unipolar depression. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1991; 100:555–561. [PubMed: 1757669]
- Hankin BL, Abramson LY. Measuring cognitive vulnerability to depression in adolescence: Reliability, validity, and gender differences. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*. 2002; 31:491–504. [PubMed: 12402568]
- Hankin BL, Badanes LS, Abela JRZ, Watamura SE. Hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis dysregulation in dysphoric children and adolescents: Cortisol reactivity to psychosocial stress from preschool through middle adolescence. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2010 in press.
- Hankin BL, Stone L, Wright P. Corumination, interpersonal stress generation, and internalizing symptoms: Accumulating effects and transactional influences in a multiwave study of adolescents. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2010; 22:217–235. [PubMed: 20102657]
- Hart J, Gunnar M, Cicchetti D. Altered neuroendocrine activity in maltreated children related to depression. *Development and Psychopathology*. 1996; 8:201–214.

- Heim U, Ehlerth DH, Hellhammer. The potential role of hypocortisolism in pathophysiology of stress related bodily disorders. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2000; 25:1–35. [PubMed: 10633533]
- Hoferl M, Krist S, Buchbaur G. Adaptation of DELFIA cortisol kit for determination of salivary cortisol concentration. *Archiv der Pharmazie*. 2005; 338:493–497. [PubMed: 16211655]
- Holsboer F. Stress, hypercortisolism and corticosteroid receptors in depression: Implications for therapy. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2001; 62:77–91. [PubMed: 11172875]
- Holsboer F, Barden N. Antidepressants and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical regulation. *Endocrine Review*. 1996; 17:187–205.
- Kaufman J. Depressive disorders in maltreated children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1991; 30:257–265. [PubMed: 2016230]
- Kaufman J, Birmaher B, Brent D, Rao U, Flynn C, Moreci P, Williamson D, Ryan N. Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime version (K-SADS-PL), initial reliability and validity data. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry*. 1997; 36:980–988. [PubMed: 9204677]
- Kaufman J, Birmaher B, Perel J, Dahl RE, Moreci P, Nelson B, Wells W, Ryan ND. The corticotrophin-releasing hormone challenge in depressed abused, depressed nonabused, and normal control children. *Biological Psychiatry*. 1997; 42:669–679. [PubMed: 9325560]
- Kendler KS, Kuhn J, Prescott CA. The Interrelationship of neuroticism, sex, and stressful life events in the prediction of episodes of major depression. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2004; 161:631–637. [PubMed: 15056508]
- Kessler RC. The effects of stressful life events on depression. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 1997; 48:191–214.
- Klein DN, Dougherty LR, Olinio TM. Towards guidelines for evidence-based assessment of depression in children and adolescents. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*. 2005; 34:412–432. [PubMed: 16026212]
- Kovacs M. The Children's Depression Inventory (CDI). *Psychopharmacology Bulletin*. 1985; 17:995–998. [PubMed: 4089116]
- Lovejoy CM, Graczyk PA, O'Hare E, Neuman G. Maternal depression and parenting behavior. A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2000; 20:561–592.
- Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on brain, behavior, and cognition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2009; 10:434–445.
- Lupien SJ, King S, Meaney MJ, McEwen BS. Can poverty get under your skin? Basal cortisol levels and cognitive function in children from low and high socioeconomic status. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2001; 13:653–676. [PubMed: 11523853]
- Mazure CM. Life stressors as risk factors in depression. *Clinical Psychology-Science and Practice*. 1998; 5:291–313.
- McEwen BS. Stress adaptation, and disease: Allostasis and allostatic load. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1998; 840:33–44. [PubMed: 9629234]
- McEwen BS. Protection and damage from acute and chronic stress: Allostasis and allostatic overload and relevance to the pathophysiology of psychiatric disorders. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2004; 1032:1–7. [PubMed: 15677391]
- McEwen BS, Stellar E. Stress and the individual: Mechanisms leading to disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1993; 153:2093–2101. [PubMed: 8379800]
- Miller GE, Chen E, Zhou ES. What goes up, must it come down? Chronic stress and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis in humans. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2007; 133:25–45. [PubMed: 17201569]
- Monroe SM, Rohde P, Seeley JR, Lewinsohn PM. Life events and depression in adolescence: Relationship loss as a prospective risk factor for first onset of major depressive disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1999; 108:606–614. [PubMed: 10609425]
- NICHD Early Child Care Research Network. Early child care and self-control, compliance and problem behavior at twenty-four and thirty-six months. *Child Development*. 1998; 69:1145–1170. [PubMed: 9768491]
- NICHD Early Child Care Research Network. Characteristics and quality of child care for toddlers and preschoolers. *Applied Developmental Science*. 2000; 4:116–135.

- Orme JG, Reis J, Herz EJ. Factorial and discriminant validity of the Center for Epidemiological Studies of Depression scale. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 1986; 42:28–33. [PubMed: 3950011]
- O’Sullivan C. The psychosocial determinants of depression: A Lifespan perspective. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 2004; 192:585–594. [PubMed: 15348974]
- Petersen AC, Compas BE, Brooks-Gunn J, Stemmler M, Ey S, Grant KE. Depression in adolescence. *American Psychologist*. 1993; 48:155–168. [PubMed: 8442570]
- Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. 1977; 1:385–401.
- Raudenbush, SW.; Bryk, AS.; Congdon, R. Hierarchical linear and nonlinear modeling. Small Waters; Chicago: 2001.
- Roberts RE. Reliability of the CES-D in different ethnic contexts. *Psychiatry Research*. 1980; 2:125–134. [PubMed: 6932058]
- Rudolph KD, Flynn M. Childhood adversity and youth depression: Influence of gender and pubertal status. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2007; 19:497–521. [PubMed: 17459181]
- Rudolph KD, 7 Hammen C. Age and gender as determinants of stress exposure, generation, and reactions in youngsters: A transactional perspective. *Child Development*. 1999; 70:660–677. [PubMed: 10368914]
- Rutter M. Environmentally mediated risks for psychopathology: Research strategies and findings. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2005; 44:3–18. [PubMed: 15608539]
- Seeman T, Epel E, Gruenewald T, Karlamangla A, McEwen BS. Soci-economic differentials in peripheral biology: Cumulative allostatic load. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2010; 1186:223–239. [PubMed: 20201875]
- Selye H. A syndrome produced by noxious agents. *Nature*. 1936; 138:32–36.
- Sternberg EM. Neuroendocrine regulation of autoimmune/inflammatory disease. *Journal of Endocrinology*. 2001; 169:429–435. [PubMed: 11375112]
- Susman EJ. Psychobiology of persistent antisocial behavior: Stress, early vulnerabilities and the attenuation hypothesis. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2006; 30:376–389. [PubMed: 16239030]
- Tennant C. Life events, stress and depression: A review of recent findings. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*. 2002; 36:173–182. [PubMed: 11982537]
- Thase, ME.; Jindal, R.; Howland, RH. Biological aspects of depression. In: Gotlib, IH.; Hammen, C., editors. *Handbook of depression*. Guilford Press; New York: 2002. p. 192–218.
- Trickett PK, Noll JG, Susman EJ, Shenk CE, Putnam FW. Attenuation of cortisol across development for victims of sexual abuse. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2010; 22:166–175.
- Watamura SE, Kryzer EM, Robertson SS. Cortisol patterns at home and child care: Afternoon differences and evening recovery in children attending very high quality full-day center-based child care. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*. 2009; 30:475–485.
- Widom, CS. Child abuse, neglect, and witnessing violence. In: Stoff, DM.; Breiling, J.; Maser, JD., editors. *Handbook of antisocial behavior*. Wiley; New York: 1997. p. 159–170.
- Williamson DE, Birmaher B, Dahl RE, Ryan ND. Stressful life events in anxious and depressed children. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*. 2005; 15:571–580. [PubMed: 16190789]
- Yehuda R, Giller EL, Southwick SM, Lowy MT, Mason JW. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal dysfunction in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*. 1991; 44:1031–1048. [PubMed: 1661614]

Figure 1.

Mean cortisol levels in attenuators and non-attenuators across the day and across the stressors

Figure 2.
Cortisol, maternal depression and CDI scores

Table 1

Regression Coefficients for Demographic, Family Risk, and Allostatic Load Variables for Internalizing Symptoms

	B	S.E.	Wald	Sig	Exp(B)
Demographics					
Age	.60	.51	1.39	.24	1.83
Sex	1.21	.84	2.08	.15	3.36
Race	1.81	1.19	2.32	.13	6.08
Mexican	.31	1.30	.06	.81	1.37
Hispanic	.43	1.28	.11	.73	1.54
Family Risk					
CESD	2.23	.97	5.20	.02*	9.18
Financial Risk	2.60	1.08	5.75	.02*	13.43
MESA	−1.28	.99	1.68	.20	.28
Allostatic Load					
Attenuated	4.02	1.44	7.76	.01**	55.57

*
 $p < .05$,

**
 $p < .01$,

 $p < .001$

Table 2

Descriptive statistics overall and by sex and grade group

Variable	Full sample		Girls		Boys		3 rd grade		6 th grade		9 th grade	
	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)
CDI	4.83 (.30)	4.72 (.41)	4.94 (.45)	4.46 (.46)	5.01 (.55)	5.11 (.62)						
ALEQ	13.77 (.53)	14.11 (.72)	13.31 (.80)	12.02 (.76)	13.68 (.92)	17.27 (.99)***						
Total cortisol	.34 (.01)	.30 (.01)	.38 (.02)**	.33 (.02)	.29 (.02)	.39 (.02)*						
Contextual Stress	10.47 (.69)	10.20 (.94)	10.98 (1.05)	10.14 (1.08)	10.39 (1.29)	10.9 (1.23)						
BDI	6.46 (.60)	7.38 (.78)	5.85 (.89)	6.52 (.89)	5.89 (1.17)	6.96 (1.04)						
Depression history	19%	23%	16%	12%	12%	30%***						

Note: CDI=Children's Depression Inventory; ALEQ=Adolescent Life Events Questionnaires; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory. . CDI and ALEQ are composites of parent and child report and are averaged across the 5 time points. Chronic Stress = Combination of severity and chronicity ratings for all stress domains.

* $p < .05$,
** $p < .01$,
*** $p < .001$

Table 3

Cortisol level X stress interactions depressive symptoms over 1 year

Predictor	b	SE	t	df	ES(r)
Parent depressive symptoms and child's clinical depression history					
<u>Fixed Effects</u>					
<u>Level 1</u>					
Stressors	.19	.03	5.66	1,164 ^{***}	.40
<u>Level 2</u>					
BDI	.07	.03	2.28	1,161 [*]	.18
Child Depression History	2.35	.74	3.17	1,161 ^{***}	.25
Cortisol	-.09	1.27	-.07	1,161	.005
Cortisol × stressors	-.22	.08	-2.71	1,164 ^{**}	.21
<u>Variance components</u>					
Level 1 Within person	3.73				
Level 2 Initial Status	5.35 ^{***}				
Stress slope	.01 ^{***}				

Note: CDI=Children's Depression Inventory. BDI=Beck Depression Inventory. ES (r) = effect size *r*

*
 $p < .05$;

**
 $p < .01$;

 $p < .001$