Published in final edited form as:

Health Aff (Millwood). 2013 December ; 32(12): 2116–2121. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2013.0670.

Transforming emergency care for older adults

Ula Hwang, MD, MPH,

Associate professor in the Department of Emergency Medicine and in the Brookdale Department of Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, and a core researcher in the Geriatric Research Education Clinical Center, James J. Peters Veterans Affairs Medical Center, in New York City

Manish N. Shah, MD, MPH,

Associate professor, associate chair for research, and chief of the Division of Prehospital Medicine in the Departments of Emergency Medicine, Public Health Sciences, and Medicine (Geriatrics and Aging), University of Rochester, in New York

Jin H. Han, MD, MPH,

Associate professor in the Department of Emergency Medicine and associate research director in the Center for Quality Aging, Vanderbilt University, in Nashville, Tennessee

Christopher R. Carpenter, MD, MSc,

Associate professor and the director of evidence-based medicine in the Division of Emergency Medicine, Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri

Albert L. Siu, MD, MSPH, and

Ellen and Howard C. Katz Chairman's chair and a professor in the Brookdale Department of Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, and the director of the Geriatric Research Education Clinical Center, James J. Peters Veterans Affairs Medical Center, in New York City

James G. Adams, MD

Professor and chair in the Department of Emergency Medicine at the Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, and senior vice president and chief medical officer, Northwestern Medicine, in Chicago, Illinois

Ula Hwang: ula.hwang@mountsinai.org

Abstract

Already crowded and stressful, US emergency departments are facing the challenge of serving an aging population that requires complex and lengthy evaluations. Creative solutions are necessary to improve the value and ensure the quality of emergency care delivered to older adults while more fully addressing their complex underlying physical, social, cognitive, and situational needs. Developing models of geriatric emergency care, including some that are already in use at dedicated geriatric emergency departments, incorporate a variety of physical, procedural, and staffing changes. Among the options for “geriatricizing” emergency care are approaches that may

eliminate the need for an emergency department visit, such as telemedicine; for initial hospitalization, such as patient observation units; and for rehospitalization, such as comprehensive discharge planning. By transforming its current safety-net role to becoming a partner in care coordination, emergency departments have the opportunity to better integrate into the broader health care system, improve patient health outcomes, contribute to optimizing the health care system, and reduce overall costs of care—keys to improving emergency care for patients of all ages.

Already strained and busy providing care for almost 130 million acutely ill and injured patients of all ages,(1) US emergency departments must now prepare to deliver high-quality, efficient care to the “silver tsunami” of the aging population. This article describes opportunities to transform the emergency department’s traditionally perceived role as the “front door” of the hospital to becoming the “front porch,” improve the value of emergency care for vulnerable older patients, and partner with other services to help older adults navigate the health care system.

Background

People ages sixty-five and older are increasingly in need of emergency care. From 2010 to 2050, the rise in the US population of people ages sixty-five and older will more than double, and those ages eighty-five and older will more than triple.(2) The demand for emergency care by older adults will be further magnified by the complexity of testing required for their multiple medical conditions, testing not available in outpatient offices, and barriers to care that they experience. These barriers include the shortage of primary care providers and geriatricians and financial, transportation, and functional limitations unique to older adults.(3–5)

Unfortunately emergency departments are already strained and “at the breaking point” from crowding of patients of all ages.(6) Primary drivers of emergency department crowding in the last decade were greater length of emergency department stays and greater intensity of services delivered (diagnostic testing, treatment, procedures) for what was probably an increased complexity of cases.(7) De facto as the country’s health care safety net and by mandate, emergency departments already function at an extended capacity by providing not only emergency care but ambulatory services as well. Confirmed by a recent RAND Corporation report on emergency use and health care use from 2003 to 2009, primary care practices are increasingly referring patients to the emergency department for “complex diagnostic workups, handling overflow, after-hours and weekend demand for care.”(8)

Transforming Emergency Care For Older Adults

These demographic and health trends of an aging population will challenge policy makers and administrators to transform the current emergency delivery model for older adults. Situated at the crossroads of inpatient and outpatient care, emergency departments can flex between the two extremes of being a critical care safety net and being a partner in managing care coordination, transitioning patients away from more expensive inpatient hospitalizations to less expensive ambulatory care evaluations. We believe that instead of

acting as the front door of the hospital, emergency departments should be seen as the front porch—not all patients will enter the front door for a hospital admission, and many could instead be discharged from the emergency department back to the community. The emergency department should remain a critical care provider for those caught by the safety net but also evolve as a partner in care coordination to address the following challenges: (1) improve patient health outcomes; (2) contribute to optimizing the health care system; and (3) reduce overall costs of care.

Special Care Needs Of Older Adults

Older adults seen in the emergency department have increasingly complex medical and psychosocial care needs. Unlike their younger counterparts, they are more likely to have cognitive impairment, falls, depression, functional impairment, depression, and sensory impairment and to be taking multiple medications.⁽⁹⁾ These characteristics complicate the evaluation and management of older adults in the emergency department. Consequently, the emergency physician's decision to admit the older patient to the hospital or discharge to home is similarly complex. Despite occurring with relative frequency, however, these syndromes (problems associated with aging) are underappreciated and under recognized by emergency clinicians.⁽⁹⁾ Routine evaluation of these conditions is time consuming and labor intensive. Therefore, it may not be feasible to perform such assessments in the traditional emergency department model, where efficiency is emphasized.⁽¹⁰⁾ The physician evaluation is often focused on the primary complaint itself, and geriatric conditions are overlooked or ignored.

A relevant example of a condition prevalent in older emergency patients is cognitive impairment, such as dementia and delirium, occurring in up to 40 percent of older emergency patients.^(11,12) Cognitive impairment affects all facets of elder emergency care, yet it is missed in the majority of cases and represents a safety concern.⁽¹¹⁾ For example, obtaining an accurate history is crucial to direct the appropriate diagnostic approach. Many patients with cognitive impairment, however, are unable to provide an accurate history.⁽¹³⁾ This may lead to inadequate diagnostic workups and potentially inaccurate or delayed diagnoses of life-threatening conditions. Upon discharge, patients with cognitive impairment are less likely to understand their discharge instructions, leading to noncompliance and readmissions.⁽¹¹⁾ As such, routine cognitive evaluation in the emergency department is recommended as a quality indicator.⁽¹⁴⁾ Unfortunately, many cognitive assessments take more than ten minutes to complete,⁽¹⁵⁾ which is a substantial barrier to implementation in traditional emergency departments.

Pragmatic Geriatric Screening And Planning

Rather than evaluate each geriatric condition individually, an alternative method is to take a more global approach to identifying older patients at high risk for adverse events, such as death, decline in physical function, rehospitalization, or institutionalization, especially in those who are discharged home. For example, the Identification of Seniors at Risk is a self-reported six-item tool with modest predictive ability that was developed to detect these adverse events in the emergency department setting.⁽¹⁶⁾ Others have a more comprehensive (thirty to sixty minutes) geriatric assessment where a nurse or social worker evaluates the

patient's functional, cognitive, psychiatric, and social status.(17) Finally, patient and caregiver involvement evaluating relevant medical alternatives has been noted over the last few decades. (18) Shared decision making, or prioritizing goals of care, occurs when healthcare providers and knowledgeable patients/caregivers review and comprehend the available treatment options. The role of the healthcare provider is to respect patient autonomy while facilitating informed medical decisions. Emergency department assessments and incorporating patients' prioritization of their own goals of care(18) will impact emergency care planning for older adults. We recommend that patients being discharged from the emergency department should have a risk assessment; receive comprehensive discharge planning that includes shared decision making; and have care transitions with their patient-centered medical home, primary care provider, or appropriate specialty consultation, such as physical therapy or home care services, or all three.

Redesigned Service Settings

To optimize emergency care for older adults, alternate models of care have been proposed to address their special care needs. To improve emergency department-based care, "geriatric emergency departments" and "senior emergency rooms" now exist to target patients ages sixty-five and older.(19) The usual model for emergency department care in the U.S. consists of rapid patient evaluation, diagnosis of acute medical conditions, treatment, and then discharge home or admission to an inpatient unit. Such care processes, however, focus on the needs of the health care system and not the special care older patients require. Typical emergency department planning focuses on rapid patient assessment, evaluation, and turnover. Patient privacy and comfort are forsaken for greater staff maneuverability and flexible capacity. By "geriatricizing" the traditional emergency department, a geriatric emergency department model of care includes interdisciplinary staff education in evidence-based protocols for the geriatric syndromes and conditions described above, care coordination, and appropriate structural modifications to the physical space—all of which have been shown to successfully improve the quality of care and safety of older adults while lowering inpatient costs.(19)

Focusing on the special care needs of older adults by targeting evaluation on geriatric conditions and improving care transitions, geriatric emergency departments can provide an environment that enhances the older patients' experience and incorporate novel interdisciplinary pathways. We believe dedicating resources to the education and training of interdisciplinary staff focused on geriatric assessments and planning and managing the emergency department-to-home care transition will improve health outcomes, provide patient-centered alternatives to acute hospitalization for older adults with complex medical and psychosocial needs, and be cost-effective. Recognizing the need for such care, programs are now starting throughout the country.(20) The Geriatric Emergency Department Innovations in care through Workforce, Informatics, and Structural Enhancements (GEDI WISE) project, which is supported by a Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Health Care Innovation Award (1C1CMS331055-01-00), is an example of such a program with initial implementation at three national emergency departments.(21) Further research, however, is needed to determine whether such geriatric emergency departments can achieve the triple aim of: (1) better health care; (2) better health; and (3) lower total costs of care for

Medicare beneficiaries. Of particular consideration will be the need to evaluate the mutual effect these alternate models of care (that is, medical homes; managed care plans; accountable care organizations; and organized efforts, such as geriatric emergency departments and the reimbursement policies associated with these programs) have on quality and cost-effectiveness.(22) Research is also needed to understand the generalizability of these approaches. Geriatric-specific modification costs and their effect on the general patient population must be weighed with the potential for benefit.

Similarly, geriatric observation or transitional units are being developed to address the needs of older adults. Use of an observation unit would theoretically permit an emergency department lengthier workup to complete diagnostic testing and care coordination to facilitate patient transitions back to the community while avoiding a hospital admission.(23) For the general population, observation units have demonstrated cost savings by averting inpatient hospitalizations.(24) The use of geriatric observation or translational units will likely have a similar financial effect, and preliminary data from such units with emergency-based comprehensive geriatric assessment teams are demonstrating an early impact with reduced admission and reduced readmission rates.(25)

Although the intensity of services required for these assessments in both geriatric emergency departments and observational units may be greater than the typical rapid emergency evaluation, it is hoped that such “up-front” emergency costs might reduce overall total costs of care. Focused efforts during the emergency department visit to assess for special geriatric care needs and improve care coordination by an interdisciplinary team consisting of case managers, social workers, pharmacists, or specialty consult services, or all of these team members, in concert with the patients and their caregivers will improve transitional care. This may be a cost-effective approach in reducing total costs of care with attempts to improve health care coordination (and hopefully patient health outcomes) by getting the patient back to their primary care providers in the outpatient community setting and averting hospital admissions, hospital readmissions, or emergency department revisits.

Models using telemedicine, community paramedicine, and transitional care management can improve community-based emergency care. Telemedicine programs are one option to render acute care without the need for transport to the emergency department. Telemedicine uses health information technology for clinical care when distance and time separate the patient and health care provider, thus expanding quality acute care options especially to those in more remote locations. In pediatrics, patient-to-provider telemedicine for acute illnesses is feasible, acceptable, effective, and efficient.(26,27) Telemedicine-enhanced acute care is generally feasible and acceptable and can also reduce emergency department visits for nursing home residents.(28–30) An ongoing telemedicine trial for independent and assisted living community residents, the vast majority of whom are older adults, has shown feasibility and acceptability by patients and providers. Studies, however, have yet to demonstrate the effect on outcomes or cost.(31)

Community paramedicine includes ambulance-based emergency medical service providers who are integrated into the health care system and deliver services based on local need.(32) These providers can evaluate patients and their problems in the context of their homes.

Community paramedicine programs have been developed to address a wide range of needs, including to treat patients without transport to an emergency department; to identify and refer patients during emergency 911 responses who have unmet needs; to identify frequent users of prehospital services and emergency care to prevent unnecessary use; and to prevent readmissions.(33) Unfortunately, no outcomes-based studies have been performed to identify the effect or the cost-effectiveness of these programs.

Emergency Department–Based Service Enhancements

Probably driven by the knowledge that approximately 25 percent of older adults discharged from the emergency department return to hospitals within 30 days, optimizing transitions of care from the emergency department back to the community is receiving increasing attention.(34,35) Managing these interdisciplinary transitions, however, will remain challenging, especially as care coordination upon discharge will require patient follow up. (36) Inadequate care transitions are not unique to emergency care and are substantial drivers of impeded recovery, increased adverse drug events, and increased rehospitalization rates. (37–39) Nursing home patients are a particularly challenging population because essential information, such as the reason for transfer, advance directives, and baseline and functional status, is often lacking.(40) For the purpose of developing efficient transitional care programs, studies are being performed to better understand the barriers to and facilitators of optimal postemergency care in these patients.(36)

In an era of ubiquitous electronic medical records, smart phones, tablets, and transcontinental internet access, health information exchange offers another solution to facilitate information transfer to and from emergency department settings to long-term care facilities and other inpatient and outpatient settings.(41) To properly support quality geriatric emergency care, the electronic medical record of older patients must include easy access to and exchange of information regarding baseline and current functional status, cognitive status, fall risk, pertinent illness and medication lists, caregiver contact information, and advance directives. Policy makers should focus efforts on facilitating technology, or at least data transfer, and minimizing Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act–related impediments to patient information exchange. Reliable information exchange requires a paradigm shift in goal-directed communication strategies, especially during transitional periods of patient handoffs between services. Benefits of remote access include more comprehensive gathering of patient information, shared understanding of patient care plans, and more efficient and convenient review of transactional narratives and patient care coordination. Risks include less verbal exchange and the failure to convey subtle patient information because of presumed review of the electronic medical record.(42)

We believe such innovations expand the traditional role of the emergency care system beyond merely the clinical treatment of the acute illness to more fully address and facilitate biomedical and psychosocial factors surrounding the acute illness of older patients. Addressing these factors early while patients are on or even en route to the “front porch” will likely optimize emergency care to be more patient centered, effective, efficient, and cost-effective.

The Challenge Ahead

Coupled with the anticipation of more medically complex patients seeking emergency care, patients of all ages should anticipate potential gridlock with worsening crowding as emergency departments and hospitals today face closures amid rising patient volumes. Challenges include the training of emergency and interdisciplinary workforces on the need for such paradigm shifts in emergency care. Many of the geriatric protocols and models of care that currently exist require research and validation in the emergency setting.(43,44) Future research prioritization and policy initiatives will need to focus on safe, efficient, and pragmatic solutions to incorporate these innovations and evaluating the effect of whether or not these models of care improve patient outcomes and are efficient in reducing total costs of care.

Transforming emergency care to focus on the needs of older adults will require more thorough patient assessments, evaluations, treatments, and initiation of much needed care coordination, possibly increasing emergency department lengths of stay and immediate emergency costs of care. These investments, however, should be viewed with the prospect of pivotally improving patient health outcomes and facilitating optimal shared decision making while reducing admissions, emergency department revisits, and overall costs of care. The aging population will challenge hospitals to reinvent the role of the emergency department in the broader health care system.

Acknowledgments

Funding

Dr. Hwang was supported by Award Number K23AG031218 and Dr. Han by Award Number K23AG032355 from the National Institute on Aging.

References

1. Ambulatory and Hospital Care Statistics Branch. National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 2010 emergency department summary tables. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2013.
2. Federal Interagency Forum on Aging-Related Statistics. Older Americans 2012: key indicators of well-being. Washington (DC): Government Printing Office; 2012 Jun.
3. Rust G, Ye J, Baltrus P, Daniels E, Adesunloye B, Fryer GE. Practical barriers to timely primary care access: impact on adult use of emergency department services. *Arch Int Med*. 2008; 168(15): 1705–10. [PubMed: 18695087]
4. McCusker J, Karp I, Cardin S, Durand P, Morin J. Determinants of emergency department visits by older adults: a systematic review. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2003; 10(12):1362–70. [PubMed: 14644789]
5. Cunningham PJ. What accounts for differences in the use of hospital emergency departments across U.S. communities? *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2006; 25(5):w324–36.10.1377/hlthaff.25.w324 [PubMed: 16849363]
6. Institute of Medicine. Hospital-based emergency care: at the breaking point. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2006.
7. Pitts SR, Pines JM, Handrigan MT, Kellermann AL. National trends in emergency department occupancy, 2001 to 2008: effect of inpatient admissions versus emergency department practice intensity. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2012; 60(6):679–86. [PubMed: 22727201]

8. Morganti, KG.; Bauhoff, S.; Blanchard, JC.; Abir, M.; Iyer, N.; Smith, AC., et al. The evolving role of emergency departments in the United States. Santa Monica (CA): RAND Corporation; 2013. p. viii
9. Samaras N, Chevalley T, Samaras D, Gold G. Older patients in the emergency department: a review. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2010; 56(3):261–9. [PubMed: 20619500]
10. Carpenter CR, Griffey RT, Stark S, Coopersmith CM, Gage BF. Physician and nurse acceptance of geriatric technicians to screen for geriatric syndromes in the emergency department. *West J Emerg Med.* 2011; 12(4):489–95. [PubMed: 22224145]
11. Hustey FM, Meldon SW, Smith MD, Lex CK. The effect of mental status screening on the care of elderly emergency department patients. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2003; 41(5):678–84. [PubMed: 12712035]
12. Carpenter CR, Bassett ER, Fischer GM, Shirshekan J, Galvin JE, Morris JC. Four sensitive screening tools to detect cognitive dysfunction in geriatric emergency department patients: brief Alzheimer's Screen, Short Blessed Test, Ottawa 3DY, and the caregiver-completed AD8. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2011; 18(4):374–84. [PubMed: 21496140]
13. Han JH, Bryce SN, Ely EW, Kripalani S, Morandi A, Shintani A, et al. The effect of cognitive impairment on the accuracy of the presenting complaint and discharge instruction comprehension in older emergency department patients. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2011; 57(6):662–71. e2. [PubMed: 21272958]
14. Terrell KM, Hustey FM, Hwang U, Gerson LW, Wenger NS, Miller DK. Society for Academic Emergency Medicine (SAEM) Geriatric Task Force. Quality indicators for geriatric emergency care. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2009; 16(5):441–9. [PubMed: 19344452]
15. Wong CL, Holroyd-Leduc J, Simel DL, Straus SE. Does this patient have delirium?: value of bedside instruments. *JAMA.* 2010; 304(7):779–86. [PubMed: 20716741]
16. McCusker J, Bellavance F, Cardin S, Trépanier S, Verdon J, Ardman O. Detection of older people at increased risk of adverse health outcomes after an emergency visit: the ISAR screening tool. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1999; 47(10):1229–37. [PubMed: 10522957]
17. Mion LC, Palmer RM, Meldon SW, Bass DM, Singer ME, Payne SM, et al. Case finding and referral model for emergency department elders: a randomized clinical trial. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2003; 41(1):57–68. [PubMed: 12514683]
18. Chewning B, Bylund CL, Shah B, Arora NK, Gueguen JA, Makoul G. Patient preferences for shared decisions: a systematic review. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2012; 86(1):9–18. [PubMed: 21474265]
19. Hwang U, Morrison RS. The geriatric emergency department. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2007; 55(11): 1873–6. [PubMed: 17916122]
20. Miller NS. Elder-friendly EDs: a future standard? *ACEP News.* 2011 Sep 6.
21. Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation. Health Care Innovation Award project profiles [Internet]. Washington (DC): Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services; 2012. [cited 2013 Oct 22]. Available from: <http://innovation.cms.gov/Files/x/HCIA-Project-Profiles.pdf>
22. Adams JG. Emergency department overuse: perceptions and solutions. *JAMA.* 2013; 309(11): 1173–4. [PubMed: 23512065]
23. Banerjee, J.; Conroy, S. The silver book: quality care for older people with urgent and emergency care needs. London: British Geriatrics Society; 2011.
24. Baugh CW, Venkatesh AK, Hilton JA, Samuel PA, Schuur JD, Bohan JS. Making greater use of dedicated hospital observation units for many short-stay patients could save \$3.1 billion a year. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2012; 31(10):2314–23. [PubMed: 23019185]
25. Conroy SP, Ansari K, Williams M, Laithwaite E, Teasdale B, Dawson J, et al. A controlled evaluation of comprehensive geriatric assessment in the emergency department: the 'Emergency Frailty Unit.'. *Age Aging.* 2013 Jul 23. Epub ahead of print.
26. McConnochie KM, Wood NE, Herendeen NE, Ng PK, Noyes K, Wang H, et al. Acute illness care patterns change with use of telemedicine. *Pediatrics.* 2009 Jun; 123(6):e989–95. [PubMed: 19482750]

27. McConnochie KM, Wood NE, Kitzman HJ, Herendeen NE, Roy J, Roghmann KJ. Telemedicine reduces absence resulting from illness in urban child care: evaluation of an innovation. *Pediatrics*. 2005; 115(5):1273–82. [PubMed: 15867035]
28. Bratton RL, Cody C. Telemedicine applications in primary care: a geriatric patient pilot project. *Mayo Clinic Proc*. 2000; 75(4):365–8.
29. Bratton RL, Short TM. Patient satisfaction with telemedicine: a comparison study of geriatric patients. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2001; 7(2 Suppl):85S–6S.
30. Hui E, Woo J, Hjelm M, Zhang YT, Tsui HT. Telemedicine: a pilot study in nursing home residents. *Gerontology*. 2001; 47(2):82–7. [PubMed: 11287732]
31. Shah MN, Gillespie SM, Wood N, Wasserman EB, Nelson DL, Dozier A, et al. High-intensity telemedicine-enhanced acute care for older adults: an innovative health care delivery model. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2013; 61(11):2000–7. [PubMed: 24164485]
32. Munjal K, Carr B. Realigning reimbursement policy and financial incentives to support patient-centered out-of-hospital care. *JAMA*. 2013; 309(7):667–8. [PubMed: 23423411]
33. Shah MN, Caprio TV, Swanson P, Rajasekaran K, Ellison JH, Frame P, et al. A novel emergency medical services-based program to identify and assist older adults in a rural community. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2010; 58(11):2205–11. [PubMed: 21054301]
34. Caplan G, Williams A, Daly B, Abraham K. A randomized, controlled trial of comprehensive geriatric assessment and multidisciplinary intervention after discharge of elderly from the emergency department—the DEED II study. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2004; 52(9):1417–23. [PubMed: 15341540]
35. Guttman A, Afilalo M, Guttman R, Colacone A, Robitaille C, Lang E, et al. An emergency department-based nurse discharge coordinator for elder patients: does it make a difference? *Acad Emerg Med*. 2004; 11(12):1318–27. [PubMed: 15576523]
36. Kessler C, Williams WC, Moustoukas JN, Pappas C. Transitions of care for the geriatric patient in the emergency department. *Clin Geriatr Med*. 2013 Feb; 29(1):49–69. [PubMed: 23177600]
37. Horwitz LI, Meredith T, Schuur JD, Shah NR, Kulkarni RG, Jeng GY. Dropping the baton: a qualitative analysis of failures during the transition from emergency department to inpatient care. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2009; 53(6):701–10. [PubMed: 18555560]
38. Snow V, Beck D, Budnitz T, Miller DC, Potter J, Wears RL, et al. Transitions of Care Consensus policy statement: American College of Physicians, Society of General Internal Medicine, Society of Hospital Medicine, American Geriatrics Society, American College Of Emergency Physicians, and Society for Academic Emergency Medicine. *J Hosp Med*. 2009; 4(6):364–70. [PubMed: 19479781]
39. Arora VM, Prochaska ML, Farnan JM, D’Arcy MJ 5th, Schwanz KJ, Vinci LM, et al. Problems after discharge and understanding of communication with their primary care physicians among hospitalized seniors: a mixed methods study. *J Hosp Med*. 2010; 5(7):385–91. [PubMed: 20578045]
40. Terrell KM, Miller DK. Challenges in transitional care between nursing homes and emergency departments. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2006; 7(8):499–505. [PubMed: 17027627]
41. Zimlichman E, Levin-Scherz J. The coming golden age of disruptive innovation in health care. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013; 28(7):865–7. [PubMed: 23329338]
42. Beach C, Cheung DS, Apker J, Horwitz LI, Howell EE, O’Leary KJ, et al. Improving interunit transitions of care between emergency physicians and hospital medicine physicians: a conceptual approach. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2012; 19(10):1188–95. [PubMed: 23035952]
43. Carpenter CR, Heard K, Wilber ST, Ginde A, Stiffler K, Gerson LW, et al. Research priorities for high-quality geriatric emergency care: medication management, screening, and prevention and functional assessment. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2011; 18(6):644–54. [PubMed: 21676064]
44. Carpenter CR, Shah MN, Hustey FM, Heard K, Gerson LW, Miller DK. High yield research opportunities in geriatric emergency medicine: prehospital care, delirium, adverse drug events, and falls. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2011; 66(7):775–83. [PubMed: 21498881]