Published in final edited form as:

Chem Soc Rev. 2014 May 7; 43(9): 2927–2940. doi:10.1039/c4cs00054d.

Phosphine Catalysis of Allenes with Electrophiles

Zhiming Wang^{1,2}, Xingzhu Xu², and Ohyun Kwon³

Ohyun Kwon: ohyun@chem.ucla.edu

¹Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Catalytic Materials and Technology, Changzhou University, Changzhou, Jiangsu 213164, China

²School of Petrochemical Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou, Jiangsu 213164, China

³Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, 607 Charles E. Young Drive East, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1569 USA

Abstract

Nucleophilic phosphine catalysis of allenes with electrophiles is one of the most powerful and straightforward synthetic strategies for the generation of highly functionalized carbocycle or heterocycle structural motifs, which are present in a wide range of bioactive natural products and medically important substances. The reaction topologies can be controlled through judicious choice of the phosphine catalyst and the structural variations of starting materials. This Tutorial Review presents selected examples of nucleophilic phosphine catalysis using allenes and electrophiles.

1. Introduction

Trivalent phosphines and their derivatives are used widely in organic synthesis. Traditionally, they are applied as stoichiometric reagents in several name reactions, including the Wittig, Staudinger, and Mitsunobu reactions.¹ In modern organic chemistry, organophosphorus compounds are often employed as ligands for transition metal-catalyzed processes.² Although the use of phosphines as catalysts for organic reactions can be traced back to the 1960s, reports of nucleophilic phosphines as organocatalysts are relatively rare in the second half of the last century. In 1963, Rauhut and Currier reported one of the first phosphine-catalyzed reactions: the dimerization of electron-deficient olefins.³ In 1966, Winterfeldt and Dillinger discovered triphenylphosphine-catalyzed annulation for the synthesis of γ -butenolides when using acetylenedicarboxylates and aldehydes as substrates.⁴ Two years later, Morita *et al.* described a reaction of an activated olefin and an aldehyde catalyzed by a phosphine.⁵ This phosphine-catalyzed transformation, together with the

similar amine-catalyzed reaction discovered by Baylis and Hillman in 1972, is known as the Morita–Baylis–Hillman (MBH) reaction; it has become one of the most useful and popular methodologies in organic synthesis.

In recent years, recognition of the huge potential of and the determined scientific interest in Lewis base catalysis has led to nucleophilic phosphine catalysis receiving considerable attention.⁶ Because the catalytic behavior and unique properties of trivalent phosphines differ from those of amines, many novel annulations of electron-deficient alkynes, alkenes, and allenes have been discovered in laboratories worldwide, as described in several recent reviews.^{7–9} In general, the significant growth of nucleophilic phosphine catalysis can be attributed to several important features: (1) the reactions are highly atom-economical and usually do not produce any by-products; (2) the catalytic system is metal-free, allowing the reactions to be performed readily on large scales—a feature that is especially attractive for pharmaceutical applications; and (3) the reaction topologies can be controlled through judicious choice of the phosphine catalyst (i.e., varying its substituents) as well as structural variations of the starting materials.

The main purpose of this Tutorial Review is to present key developments in nucleophilic phosphine catalysis between allenes and electrophiles for application in organic synthesis. Scheme 1 summarizes the diverse range of allenes and electrophiles that can be used in this catalytic reaction. Because of space limitations, this Tutorial Review emphasizes the different kinds of novel reaction types in nucleophilic phosphine catalysis of allenes with electrophiles, rather than providing a comprehensive list of examples. Nevertheless, for certain novel reactions, such as the [3+2] allene–olefin annulation, relatively detailed accounts are presented. Furthermore, some important modifications of the reactions are discussed and very recent progress is mentioned. Some important applications of these methodologies in the construction of combinatorial libraries and the total syntheses of natural products are also highlighted. The literature related to theoretical studies of nucleophilic phosphine catalysis is beyond the scope of this Tutorial Review.

2. Nucleophilic phosphine catalysis of allenes with electrophiles

2.1. [3+2] Annulation with electron-deficient olefins

In 1995, Lu discovered a novel phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] annulation of ethyl 2,3-butadienoate (**1**) with electron-deficient olefins **2** to form the cyclopentene derivatives **3** and **4** (Table 1).¹⁰ Although the regioselectivity governing the product distribution was not great, this transformation is the first example of phosphine-catalyzed annulation of an allene. Enlightened by Lu's pioneering work, the reaction has been investigated by over 20 different research groups, with applications in the syntheses of medicinally important agents and bioactive natural products, including the total syntheses of iridoid β -glucoside-(+)-geniposide and (–)-hinesol.^{11,12} In the mechanism proposed (Scheme 2), the catalytic cycle is initiated by nucleophilic addition of triphenylphosphine to ethyl 2,3-butadienoate (**1**), leading to the formation of the resonance-stabilized phosphonium dienolates **5** $\leftrightarrow$ **6**. The nucleophilic addition at the α -carbon atom in **5** to the electron-deficient olefin **2** forms the intermediate **7**, which undergoes intramolecular cyclization, proton transfer, and phosphine elimination to yield the α -addition product **3**. Alternatively, the γ -addition product **4** can be

generated through the γ -addition pathway of **6** to **2**. Ethyl 2,3-butadienoate readily serves as a three-carbon synthon in this transformation.

The first intramolecular allene–olefin [3+2] annulation was reported by Kwon in 2007 (Scheme 3).¹³ The reaction starting materials **14** were synthesized from salicylaldehydes through Wittig reactions to form **13**, followed by esterification with 3-butynoic acid under the influence of Mukaiyama's reagent. The geometric constraint inherent in the substrates can control the reaction pathway so that only the α -addition product is obtained. In this reaction, 2-styrenyl allenates featuring either electron-donating or -withdrawing substituents on the aromatic ring were readily converted into cyclopentene-fused dihydrocoumarins **15** in excellent to good yields with exclusive diastereoselectivity.

In 2009, Loh reported a highly regioselective synthesis of functionalized cyclopentenones **18** through phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] annulations between α -silyl-substituted allenones **16** and electron-deficient olefins **17** (Table 2).¹⁴ The steric bulk of the silyl substituent at the α -position leads to preferential addition at the γ -position, yielding the γ -addition product **18**. This method works well with both phenyl and 2-furanyl allenones and a range of electron-deficient olefins, including chalcones, methyl acrylate, diethyl maleate, diethyl fumarate, and ethyl-4,4,4-trifluorocrotonate. Notably, the trimethylsilyl group in the starting material is not retained in the final product.

Interestingly, a range of polyfunctionalized fused- and spiro-cyclopentenones can be synthesized through Lu's allene–olefin [3+2] annulation of some designed electron-deficient olefins. A very recent example was reported by the Marinetti group (Scheme 4).¹⁵ Several spiro(cyclopentene)oxindoles **21** with trisubstituted cyclopentene units were obtained by using arylidenemalononitriles **19** as starting materials. In particular, this synthetic strategy is a straightforward means of synthesizing carbocyclic analogues (**22**) of an important series of anticancer agents inhibiting MDM2–p53 interactions.

2.2. [4+2] Annulation with electron-deficient olefins

Inspired by the robust Lu's [3+2] annulation for the synthesis of functionalized cyclopentenones, Kwon disclosed an unprecedented [4+2] annulation of α -substituted allenones **23** and arylidenemalononitriles **24** (Table 3).¹⁶ In this case, the reaction regioselectivity is controlled by the electronic nature of the phosphine catalyst. When hexamethylphosphorous triamide (HMPT) was used as the catalyst, the reaction produced the cyclohexene **25**. On the other hand, when a more electron-withdrawing triarylphosphine, such as *tris*(*p*-fluorophenyl)phosphine, was used as the catalyst, the reaction provided the alternative regioisomeric cyclohexene **26**.

In the mechanism proposed (Scheme 5), the γ -addition reaction pathway begins with conjugate addition of the phosphonium dienolate intermediate **27** to the benzyldienemalononitrile **24a**, producing the vinylphosphonium species **28**, which undergoes two proton transfer steps to form the allylic phosphonium species **29**. Intramolecular cyclization of the intermediate **29** followed by the release of the phosphine catalyst gives the final product **25a**. Alternatively, the phosphonium dienolate **27** isomerizes into the vinylogous ylide intermediate **31**, leading to the reaction producing the cyclohexene

26c through the β' -addition pathway. The α -substituted allenolate readily serves as a four-carbon synthon in this transformation.

Recently, Kumar expanded the allene–olefin [4+2] annulation to the stereoselective synthesis of common tricyclic benzopyrone compounds **36**, which were then efficiently transformed into a diverse range of naturally occurring scaffolds and related compounds (Table 4).¹⁷ With *n*-Bu₃P as the phosphine catalyst, the tricyclic benzopyrone derivatives can be prepared, in moderate to good yields and with good diastereoselectivities, from 3-formylchromones **35** and allenolates **23** through a cascade reaction sequence of [4+2] annulation followed by deformylation. Notably, the presence of the formyl group in the chromenone starting materials **35** activates the chromenone double bond and allows the reaction to take place smoothly.

2.3. [3+2] Annulation with imines

In allene–alkene [3+2] annulations, allenolates react with a variety of electron-deficient olefinic electrophiles to form multiply substituted cyclopentenones. To expand the utility of the [3+2] annulation, Lu developed the phosphine-catalyzed allene–imine [3+2] annulation in 1997 (Table 5).¹⁸ They obtained excellent yields of 2-substituted pyrrolines **39** as single annulation products from reactions of methyl 2,3-butadienoate **37** with *N*-tosyl imines **38** when employing triphenylphosphine as the catalyst. Unlike the allene–alkene [3+2] annulation (Scheme 2), for most aryl imines this reaction proceeds mainly through the α -addition pathway to generate the α -adduct products **39**. When 2-furylimine was used as the reaction partner, however, the γ -addition product, methyl 4,5-dihydro-5-furyl-1-tosyl-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carboxylate, was isolated in 15% yield as a minor product.

In 2005, Kwon described the highly diastereoselective syntheses of highly substituted pyrrolines **40** through use of Lu's allene–imine [3+2] annulation (Scheme 6).¹⁹ In this reaction, tributylphosphine was used as the nucleophilic catalyst to improve the reaction efficiency and diastereoselectivity. When γ -substituted allenolates **20a** were used as the imine reaction partner, 2,5-*cis*-substituted pyrrolines **40** were produced exclusively in high yield. The reaction efficiency and selectivity can meet the high standards of diversity-oriented synthesis (DOS) for library construction. A DOS library containing a variety of fused heterocyclic compounds possessing distinctive frameworks was constructed through a sequence of phosphine-catalyzed imine annulation, Tebbe reaction, Diels–Alder reaction, and, in some cases, hydrolysis. From this library, several molecules were identified as antimigratory agents of human breast cancer cells.²⁰

Since the first report of Lu's allene–imine [3+2] annulation, several research groups have applied the reaction in the syntheses of various aza-heterocycles possessing distinctive frameworks. In a very recent example, Wang reported the synthesis of benzo-fused cyclic sulfamidate heterocycles **43** through phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] annulation between the allenolate **42** and the cyclic aldimine/ketimines **41** (Scheme 7).²¹ This approach allows benzo-fused cyclic sulfamidate heterocycles **43** featuring a variety of substituents at various positions on the aromatic ring to be prepared readily with high isolated yields. Furthermore, these reactions can also be performed conveniently on the gram scale.

2.4. [4+2] Annulation with imines

In the imine [3+2] annulation described above, unsubstituted or γ -substituted allenates react readily with *N*-sulfonylimines in the presence of a phosphine to generate functionalized pyrrolines. With the vision of exploiting the potential of phosphine catalysis of allenes, in 2003 Kwon disclosed an unprecedented allene–imine [4+2] annulation to produce highly functionalized tetrahydropyridines **44** (Table 6).²² A variety of *N*-tosylimines **38** and α -substituted allenates **23** are suitable for this reaction. When 2-benzyl-2,3-butadienates **23** are used as starting materials, 2,6-diaryltetrahydropyridines **44** are obtained in excellent yields with good diastereoselectivities favoring the *cis* isomer. This robust allene–imine [4+2] annulation can be employed to generate tetrahydropyridines **44** on large scales.²³ The application of this reaction to natural product syntheses, such as the formal syntheses of ($\pm$)-alstonerine and ($\pm$)-macrolin (2005) and the total synthesis of ($\pm$)-hirsutine (2012), was reported by the same group (Scheme 8).^{24,25} Unlike the allene–alkene [4+2] annulation (Scheme 5), this reaction proceeds through the γ -addition pathway to generate γ -addition products **44** as single products. Surprisingly, no β' -addition products were isolated in this case.

Recently, Ye discovered the phosphine-catalyzed [4+2] annulation of α -substituted allenate **23a** and cyclic ketimines **45** (Table 7).²⁶ This reaction can produce corresponding sultam-fused tetrahydropyridines **46** in good yields and with moderate to excellent regioselectivities. The use of triarylphosphines featuring electron-withdrawing groups, such as tris(4-fluorophenyl)phosphine and tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine, can increase the reaction efficiency. Interestingly, in contrast to the annulation with aldimines described above, the ketimine [4+2] annulation proceeds mainly through the β' -addition pathway to generate the β' -adducts **46a** as major products.

One of the merits of the robust allene–imine [3+2]/[4+2] annulation is that the reaction is extremely compatible with reactions performed in the solid phase, thereby allowing efficient construction of aza-heterocyclic compound libraries for biological screening. In 2007, Kwon described the first solid phase phosphine catalysis of resin-bound allenates with imines to generate dihydropyrrole and tetrahydropyridine libraries (Scheme 9).²⁷ The resin-bound allenates **50** were prepared from SynPhase lanterns of Wang resin **48** and allenic acid in the presence of Mukaiyama's reagent. A library of 4288 carboxylic acids **54** and **55** was obtained, with good to excellent yields and high diastereoselectivities, through phosphine-catalyzed [3+2]/[4+2] annulations of the resin-bound allenates with the *N*-sulfonylimines and subsequent cleavage of the resins **52** and **53** using 2.5% trifluoroacetic acid in CH_2Cl_2 . After biological screening of the 4288 analogues, two potent inhibitors of protein geranylgeranyltransferase type I with submicromolar IC_{50} values were identified. An octahydro-1,6-naphthyridin-4-one library was reported by the same group in 2011. The library was built through a sequence of phosphine-catalyzed imine [4+2] annulation, Tebbe reaction, Diels–Alder reaction, and hydrolysis, using the solid phase split-and-pool technique; this approach led to the identification of octahydro-1,6-naphthyridin-4-ones as activators of endothelium-driven immunity.²⁸

2.5. [3+2]/[4+2] Annulation with ketones

Inspired by the robust allene–olefin/imine [3+2] and [4+2] annulations pioneered by Lu and Kwon, Ye reported the [3+2] and [4+2] annulations between allenates and ketones in 2010 and 2011 (Tables 8 and 9).^{29,30} The use of trifluoromethyl ketones was key for the success of these transformations. Various dihydrofurans **58** were generated through phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] annulation of 2,3-butadienates **56** and trifluoromethyl ketones **57** in good yields with excellent γ -regioselectivities (Table 8).²⁹ Furthermore, hydrogenation of the dihydrofurans produced the corresponding 2,5,5-trisubstituted tetrahydrofurans in good yields and with exclusive *cis*-selectivities.

Moreover, when ethyl α -benzylallenates **23** were used as the allene reaction partners, [4+2] annulation occurred through a γ -addition pathway, very similar to the catalytic cycle proposed by Kwon. This transformation provided a straightforward means for the highly diastereoselective synthesis of 6-trifluoromethyl-5,6-dihydropyrans **59**, reduction of which with H₂ gave the corresponding tetrahydropyrans in high yields and with exclusive diastereoselectivity (Table 9).³⁰

2.6. Annulations with aldehydes

In contrast to the successful transformations observed when using electron-deficient olefins, imines, and trifluoromethyl ketones as electrophiles, subjecting aldehydes to the reaction did not result in any corresponding [3+2] and [4+2] annulations, but rather in interesting alternative transformations. Indeed, the reaction topologies are controlled by the nature of the phosphine catalyst, the reaction conditions, as well as structural variations of the starting materials (Scheme 10).^{31–34} When a small tertiary phosphine (e.g., trimethylphosphine) was employed in the reaction, the dioxanes **65** were produced through the intermediate **60** having *s-trans* geometry, due to electrostatic association of the dienolate oxygen anion with the phosphonium cation. When a bulky tertiary phosphine or a hydrogen-bond donor was present, the pyrones (in presence of tricyclopentylphosphine) or dihydropyrones (in presence of MeOH) were generated through the *s-cis*-phosphonium dienolate intermediate **61**. When γ -methyl allenate was used as the reaction partner instead of an unsubstituted allenate, the tetrahydrofurans **74** were obtained through the phosphorus ylide **70**, which was generated from the phosphonium dienolate **62** by an overall 1,4-hydrogen shift.

In 2005, Kwon reported the first phosphine-catalyzed reaction of aldehydes **76** with allenates **75** to generate 2,6-disubstituted-1,3-dioxan-4-ylidene-acetates **77** (Table 10).³¹ Pyridine aldehydes and benzaldehydes with electron-withdrawing groups both afforded the corresponding 1,3-dioxan-4-ylidenes **77** in excellent yields and with good stereoselectivities favoring the *E* isomers. Less-reactive benzaldehydes bearing electron-donating substituents, however, afforded their products in moderate yields. Furthermore, some ubiquitous δ -hydroxyl- β -ketoesters were synthesized from the 1,3-dioxan-4-ylidenes through acid-mediated hydrolysis of the acetal functionality.

On the other hand, when bulky tricyclopentylphosphine was used as the catalyst, the 2-pyrones **78** were isolated from the same starting materials (Table 11).³² Various aromatic aldehydes **76**, including benzaldehyde, 2-naphthaldehyde, and 2-furaldehyde, gave the 6-

aryl-2-pyrones **78** in good yields. Although the reaction yields were not satisfactory when using aliphatic aldehydes as reaction partners, the reaction afforded a valuable compound, 6-propyl-2-pyrone, which possesses a sweet, creamy, coumarin-like herbal flavor, in one step from a commercially available aldehyde.

In 2008, Kwon also described the alcohol-assisted phosphine-catalyzed reaction of aldehydes **76** and allenates **37** to provide disubstituted dihydropyrones **79** in moderate to good yields (Table 12).³³ They found that the addition of a base, such as *n*-BuLi, allowed generation of methoxide *in situ* and led to the promising formation of the dihydropyrones **79** in good yields, without any undesired products. Benzaldehydes possessing a variety of electron-withdrawing or -donating groups provided the desired dihydropyrones **79** in moderate to good yields.

Recently, He disclosed the phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] annulation of allenates **80** with aldehydes **76** as a simple and efficient pathway for the synthesis of 2-arylidene-tetrahydrofurans **81** (Table 13).³⁴ The presence of the γ -methyl group on the allenates **80** changed the regioselectivity of the addition of the aldehyde to the non-substituted allenates and played a critical role in the occurrence of the [3+2] annulation with aldehydes. The reaction conditions (i.e., catalyst, solvent, and temperature) significantly influence the chemoselectivity and efficiency of the [3+2] annulations.

2.7. Miscellaneous

Since Lu's initial discovery of phosphine-catalyzed allene-alkene [3+2] annulation, many research groups have expanded the substrate scope of the electrophiles, increasing the molecular complexity and structural variety of the products. Currently, more than eight allenes (shown in Scheme 1) with different substituents are known to be suitable substrates for nucleophilic phosphine catalysis with various electrophiles containing carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, phosphorus, and fluorine atoms (Scheme 1). Several unusual reaction types of allenes with electrophiles, such as [8+2], [4+3], [3+3], and [3+2+3] annulations, have also been discovered in this research area. Some of the most interesting examples are outlined below (for more details, see ref. ³⁵ and references therein).

In 2000, Ishar reported phosphine-catalyzed [8+2] annulation of allenic esters/ketones **82** with tropone **83**, leading to the 8-oxa-9-(ethoxycarbonyl/acylalkylidene)-bicyclo[5.3.0]deca-1,3,5-trienes **85** (Table 14).³⁶ Notably, the [8+2] cycloadducts **85** were obtained as the only products in excellent yields; the normal electron-deficient allene-olefin [3+2]/[4+2] annulation products were not observed. Mechanistically, γ -addition of the phosphonium dienolate at the C2 atom of tropone formed the intermediate **84**; subsequent intramolecular cyclization and elimination of phosphine provided the desired product **85**.

In the same year, Ishar also described the synthesis of novel *N*-aryl-2,3-dihydro-4-ethoxycarbonylchromano[2,3-*b*]azepine-6-ones **87** from 3-(*N*-arylimino-methyl)chromones **86** through phosphine-catalyzed [4+3] annulation followed by tandem rearrangement (Table 15).³⁷ In the mechanism proposed (Scheme 11), α -addition of the phosphonium dienolate **5** to the chromon **86** forms the intermediate **88**, which undergoes intramolecular cyclization and phosphine elimination to afford the [4+3] annulation product **90**. Thermal opening of

the chromone ring in compound **90** generates the intermediate **91**; subsequent rotation around a C–C single bond, recyclization of the chromone ring, and a 1,5-H shift in **93** affords the final product **87**.

In 2009, Kwon disclosed a novel [3+3] annulation of allenates **95** with aziridines **94** to generate highly functionalized tetrahydropyridines **96** (Table 16).³⁸ Aryl aziridines **94** featuring both electron-rich and -deficient substituents are suitable for this reaction, giving the desired products **96** in good to excellent yields. In the mechanism proposed (Scheme 12), β' -addition of the vinylogous ylide **98** to the phenyl aziridine **94** occurs to form the intermediate **99**, which is converted to the phosphonium dienolate **100** through proton transfer. Intramolecular nucleophilic aromatic substitution and desulfonylation of **100** affords **101**; subsequent intramolecular conjugate addition and phosphine elimination afford the final product **96**. Although the reaction is catalytic, one equivalent of triphenylphosphine was used to expedite the reaction.

Recently, Guo and Kwon reported a phosphine-catalyzed [3+2+3] annulation of two molecules of an allenate **1** and an azomethine imine **102**, leading to the tetrahydropyrazolo-diazocinone products **103** and **104** (Table 17).³⁵ Both electron-rich and -deficient aryl azomethine imines, as well as a polyaromatic azomethine imine, are compatible substrates. Mechanistically, the key event is the formation of the trimeric zwitterionic intermediate **106**, which gives the intermediate **107** after addition to the azomethine imine **102** (Scheme 13). Intramolecular cyclization of **107** generates **108**, which gives the final products **103** and **104** as a mixture of tautomers after proton transfer, phosphine elimination, and olefin isomerization.

3. Enantioselective nucleophilic phosphine catalysis of allenes with electrophiles

Since Lu reported the first phosphine-catalyzed allene–alkene [3+2] annulation in 1995, the nucleophilic phosphine catalysis of allenes with electrophiles has become one of the most powerful and straightforward synthetic strategies for generation of the highly functionalized carbocyclic and heterocyclic structural motifs found widely in bioactive natural products and medicinally important substances. Despite the many very promising results that have been achieved in phosphine catalysis of allenes with electrophiles, enantioselective variants of these transformations has, however, remained relatively rare. A handful of chiral phosphine organocatalysts, which can be divided into two categories (chiral phosphines without additional functionalities and chiral phosphines with hydrogen bond donors), have been found to be effective for these reactions (Scheme 14). In this Section, we provide a brief overview of these enantioselective nucleophilic phosphine-catalyzed reactions of allenes with electrophiles and also point the reader to several comprehensive reviews for more details.^{9,39–41}

3.1. Chiral phosphines without additional functionality

In 1997, Zhang reported the first enantioselective phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] annulation of 2,3-butadienoates **56** with electron-deficient olefins **120**, affording the cyclopentene

products **121** and **122** with excellent regioselectivity and good enantioselectivity (Table 18).⁴² After initial screening of known and new chiral phosphines, they found that the newly designed bicyclic monodentate chiral phosphine **110** was most suitable for this reaction. Furthermore, using acrylates with bulky ester substituents (e.g., *tert*-butyl) improved the regio- and enantioselectivity.

Despite the great synthetic potential of the results described above, no further progress was made in enantioselective nucleophilic phosphine catalysis of allenes with electrophiles until 2005, when Fu demonstrated the asymmetric allene–imine [4+2] annulation to give the piperidine derivatives **123** when employing Gladiali's phosphine **111** as the catalyst (Table 19).⁴³ Under the optimized conditions, they obtained a range of useful functionalized tetrahydropyridines **123** in high yields and enantioselectivities. This asymmetric [4+2] reaction is a novel and efficient means of access to a common framework found in several important natural products, including 6-oxoalstophyllal and 6-oxoalstophylline.

In 2008, Marinetti reported the enantioselective allene–alkene [3+2] annulation by using the phosphine **115**, exhibiting planar chirality, as the catalyst (Table 20).⁴⁴ When using the chalcones **124** as reaction partners, the γ -addition products **125** were afforded in moderate to good yields, with excellent enantioselectivities favoring the *trans* isomers. Very recently, the same group employed this chiral phosphine as the catalyst for the enantioselective syntheses of spirocyclic compounds.⁴⁵

In 2012, Kwon demonstrated the first enantioselective total synthesis of the monoterpene indole alkaloid (+)-ibophyllidine **132**, featuring an asymmetric phosphine-catalyzed allene–imine [3+2] annulation as the key reaction step (Scheme 15).⁴⁶ Using their P-chiral [2.2.1]bicyclic phosphine **112** as the catalyst, they obtained the *syn*-pyrrolidine **129** as a synthetic intermediate from 4-ethyl-2,3-butadienoate and *N*-tosylbenzalimine in 93% yield and with 99% ee. Hydrogenation of **129** afforded the stereochemically dense pyrrolidine ring compound **130** in excellent yield with exceptionally high degrees of both diastereo- and enantioselectivity. A subsequent 12-step sequence of chemical manipulations provided the target natural product (+)-ibophyllidine **132**.

3.2. Chiral phosphines with hydrogen-bond donors

In contrast to chiral phosphines lacking additional functionality, which induce asymmetry through steric interactions, chiral phosphines with hydrogen-bonding donors instigate high enantioselectivity through hydrogen bonding. The first example of asymmetric allene–olefin [3+2] annulation employing the multifunctional chiral phosphine **116** as the catalyst was reported by Miller in 2007 (Table 21).⁴⁷ When using benzyl 2,3-butadienoate **42** as the reaction partner, they obtained various spirocyclic compounds **135** and **136** from corresponding electron-deficient olefins in good yields with good to moderate enantioselectivities. The presence of a heteroatom-substituted ring system in the starting material resulted in significantly lower yields and enantioselectivities.

Encouraged by Miller's pioneering work, Zhao and Lu independently developed their amino acid–derived bifunctional chiral phosphines in 2010 and 2011, respectively.^{48,49} Zhao reported the highly enantioselective [4+2] annulation of the allenolate **95** with the alkylidene

cyanoacetate **137** catalyzed by his *N*-acyl aminophosphine **118** (Table 22);⁴⁸ a range of highly functionalized cyclohexene derivatives **138**, bearing three contiguous chiral centers, were synthesized with good to excellent diastereoselectivities and enantioselectivities. On the other hand, Lu described versatile enantioselective [3+2] annulations of imines and allenates catalyzed by his dipeptide-based chiral phosphine **119** (Table 23).⁴⁹ Notably, this reaction is the first example of aliphatic imines **139** being applied successfully in phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] annulation. Moreover, this transformation was applied as a key step in the concise formal synthesis of (+)-trachelanthamidine.

Another significant example of asymmetric allene-imine [3+2] annulation catalyzed by a chiral phosphine featuring a hydrogen-bonding donor was discovered by Jacobsen *et al.* in 2008 (Table 24).⁵⁰ They developed a new family of chiral phosphinothiourea derivatives **117** for highly enantioselective syntheses of disubstituted dihydropyrroles **143**. Notably, the use of diphenylphosphinoyl (DPP) imines **142** and the addition of 0.2 equiv of H₂O and 0.05 equiv of Et₃N improved the reaction efficiency.

4. Conclusions

The use of nucleophilic phosphines as Lewis base catalysts for organic reactions has grown over the last decade and is now an active area of organocatalysis. Ten modes of nucleophilic phosphine catalysis with allenes and electrophiles have been demonstrated in this Tutorial Review; these transformations take advantage of the unique and highly tunable properties of trivalent phosphine. A variety of unique carbo/heterocyclic frameworks, some of which are present in a wide range of bioactive natural products and medicinally important substances, can be obtained through these transformations. Some examples of asymmetric nucleophilic phosphine catalysis with high enantioselectivities have also been developed, with promising applications in the total synthesis of natural products. Future advances in phosphine catalysis are likely to occur along a number of lines, including the development of novel reaction modes and the synthesis of novel chiral phosphines that perform their catalytic functions with high efficiency. In addition, much work remains to develop asymmetric variants of several recently discovered transformations.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from the NIH (GM071779, to O.K.), the Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 21102009 and 21372033, to Z.W.), the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (no. BK2011230, to Z.W.) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Valentine DH, Hillhouse JH. *Synthesis*. 2003; 317
2. Quin, LD., editor. *A Guide to Organophosphorus Chemistry*. New York: Wiley; 2000.
3. Rauhut MM, H Currier. *U. S. Patent* 3 074 999, 1963; *Chem. Abstr.*. 1963; 58:11224a.
4. Winterfeldt E, Dillinger HJ. *Chem. Ber.* 1966; 99:1558.
5. Morita K, Suzuki Z, Hirose H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1968; 41:2815.
6. Denmark SE, Beutner GL. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008; 47:1560.
7. Ye L-W, Zhou J, Tang Y. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008; 37:1140. [PubMed: 18497927]
8. Cowen BJ, Miller SJ. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009; 38:3102. [PubMed: 19847345]

9. Fan YC, Kwon O. *Chem. Commun.* 2013; 49:11588. and references therein.
10. Zhang C, Lu X. *J. Org. Chem.* 1995; 60:2906.
11. Jones RA, Krische MJ. *Org. Lett.* 2009; 11:1849. [PubMed: 19317433]
12. Du Y, Lu X. *J. Org. Chem.* 2003; 68:6463. [PubMed: 12895091]
13. Henry CE, Kwon O. *Org. Lett.* 2007; 9:3069. [PubMed: 17629288]
14. Sampath M, Loh T. *Chem. Commun.* 2009:1568.
15. Gomez C, Gicquel M, Carry J, Schio L, Retailleau P, Voituriez A, Marinetti A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2013; 78:1488. [PubMed: 23343506]
16. Tran YS, Kwon O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:12632. [PubMed: 17914823]
17. Baskar B, Dakas P, Kumar K. *Org. Lett.* 2011; 13:1988. [PubMed: 21417315]
18. Xu Z, Lu X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997; 38:3461.
19. Zhu X, Henry CE, Kwon O. *Tetrahedron.* 2005; 61:6276.
20. Wang Z, Castellano S, Kinderman SS, Argueta CE, Beshir AB, Fenteany G, Kwon O. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011; 17:649. [PubMed: 21207585]
21. Wang Y, Zhang Y, Dong H, Zhang J, Zhao J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2013:3764.
22. Zhu X, Lan J, Kwon O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:4716. [PubMed: 12696883]
23. Lu K, Kwon O, Brummond KM, Davis MM. *Org. Synth.* 2009; 86:212. [PubMed: 20161208]
24. Tran YS, Kwon O. *Org. Lett.* 2005; 7:4289. [PubMed: 16146409]
25. Villa RA, Xu Q, Kwon O. *Org. Lett.* 2012; 14:4634. [PubMed: 22920858]
26. Chen X, Ye S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2012:5723.
27. Castellano S, Fiji HDG, Kinderman SS, Watanabe M, Leon P, Tamanai F, Kwon O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:5843. [PubMed: 17439124]
28. Daniel C, Wang Z, Kibbie J, Modlin R, Kwon O. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2011; 108:6769.
29. Wang T, Ye S. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2011; 9:5260. [PubMed: 21629902]
30. Wang T, Ye S. *Org. Lett.* 2010; 12:4168. [PubMed: 20712333]
31. Zhu X, Henry CE, Wang J, Dudding T, Kwon O. *Org. Lett.* 2005; 7:1387. [PubMed: 15787513]
32. Zhu X, Schaffner A, Li RC, Kwon O. *Org. Lett.* 2005; 7:2977. [PubMed: 15987184]
33. Creech GS, Kwon O. *Org. Lett.* 2008; 10:429. [PubMed: 18173275]
34. Xu S, Zhou L, Ma R, Song H, He Z. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009; 15:8698. [PubMed: 19693756]
35. Na R, Jing C, Xu Q, Jiang H, Wu X, Shi J, Zhong J, Wang M, Benitez D, Tkatchouk E, Goddard WA III, Guo H, Kwon O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:13337. [PubMed: 21812448]
36. Kumar K, Kapur A, Ishar MS. *Org. Lett.* 2000; 2:787. [PubMed: 10814429]
37. Kumar K, Kapoor R, Kapur A, Ishar MS. *Org. Lett.* 2000; 2:2023. [PubMed: 10891220]
38. Guo H, Xu Q, Kwon O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:6318. [PubMed: 19374356]
39. Zhou Z, Wang Y, Tang C. *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2011; 15:4083.
40. Wang S-X, Han X, Zhong F, Wang Y, Lu Y. *Synlett.* 2011:2766.
41. Zhao Q-Y, Lian Z, Wei Y, Shi M. *Chem. Commun.* 2012; 48:1724.
42. Zhu G, Chen Z, Jiang Q, Xiao D, Cao P, Zhang X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:3836.
43. Wurz RP, Fu GC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:12234. [PubMed: 16131196]
44. Voituriez A, Panossian A, Fleury-Brégeot N, Retailleau P, Marinetti A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:14030. [PubMed: 18834118]
45. Duvvuru D, Pinto N, Gomez C, Betzer JF, Retailleau P, Voituriez A, Marinetti A. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2012; 354:408.
46. Andrews IP, Kwon O. *Chem. Sci.* 2012; 3:2510. [PubMed: 22798981]
47. Cowen BJ, Miller SJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:10988. [PubMed: 17711278]
48. Xiao H, Chai Z, Cao D, Wang H, Chen J, Zhao G. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012; 10:3195. [PubMed: 22419060]
49. Han X, Zhong F, Wang Y, Lu Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:767.
50. Fang Y, Jacobsen EN. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:5660. [PubMed: 18393504]

Key learning points

1. An overview of the main history of nucleophilic phosphine catalysis.
2. Electron-deficient allenes can be used as three- or four-carbon synthons in phosphine-catalyzed annulations.
3. A diverse range of electrophiles—including olefins, imines, aldehydes, ketones, aziridines, and azomethine imines—can be coupled with allenes in phosphine-catalyzed annulations.
4. Reaction topologies of nucleophilic phosphine catalysis can be controlled through judicious choice of the catalyst.
5. Reaction outcomes of nucleophilic phosphine catalysis can be controlled through structural variations of the starting materials.

Scheme 1.

Typical allenes and electrophiles used in nucleophilic phosphine catalysis

Scheme 2.
Mechanism of allene-olefin [3+2] annulation

Scheme 3.
Intramolecular allene-olefin [3+2] annulation

R = *n*-Pr, *i*-Pr, CH₂CH₂Ph, CH₂-*t*-Bu, CH₂-*cyclo*-C₅H₉, or CH₂CH₂CO₂Me

Scheme 4.
Synthesis of spiro(cyclopenten)oxindoles through allene–olefin [3+2] annulation

Scheme 5.
Mechanism of allene-alkene [4+2] annulation

R = methyl, ethyl, propyl, isopropyl, *tert*-butyl, or phenyl

Ar = Ph, 2-FC₆H₄, 3-BrC₆H₄, 4-CF₃C₆H₄, 4-(*i*-Pr)C₆H₄, 2-ClC₆H₄, 3-ClC₆H₄, 4-FC₆H₄, 4-MeOC₆H₄, 4-CNC₆H₄, 3,4-Cl₂C₆H₃, 4-MeC₆H₄, or 1-Naphthyl

Scheme 6.

Phosphine-catalyzed imine [3+2] annulation of γ -substituted allenates

Scheme 7.

Synthesis of benzo-fused cyclic sulfamide heterocycles through allene-imine [3+2] annulation

Scheme 8.
Synthesis of (±)-alstonerine, (±)-macroline, and (±)-hirsutine through allene-imine [4+2] annulation

Scheme 9.

Construction of nitrogen heterocycle libraries through phosphine-catalyzed allene-imine [3+2] and [4+2] annulations

Scheme 10.

Nucleophilic phosphine catalysis of allenes with aldehydes

Scheme 11.
Mechanism of chromone-allene [4+3] annulation

Scheme 12.
Mechanism of allene-aziridine [3+3] annulation

Scheme 13.

Mechanism of allene-allene-azomethine imine [3+2+3] annulation

Type one: Chiral phosphines without additional functionality **Type two: Chiral phosphines with hydrogen-bonding donors** **Scheme 14.**

Typical chiral phosphines used in nucleophilic phosphine catalysis

Scheme 15.

Enantioselective total synthesis of (+)-ibophyllidine through asymmetric phosphine-catalyzed allene-imine [3+2] annulation

Table 1

Phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] annulation of ethyl 2,3-butadienoate with electron-deficient olefins

entry	E	yield (%)	3 : 4
1	CO ₂ Et	76	75 : 25
2	CO ₂ Me	81	80 : 20
3	COMe	55	63 : 37
4	CN	79	83 : 17

Table 2

Phosphine-catalyzed olefin [3+2] annulation of α -silylallenones

entry	Ar	R ¹	R ²	R ³	yield (%)
1	phenyl	COC ₆ H ₅	H	C ₆ H ₅	84
2	phenyl	CO ₂ Et	H	CO ₂ Et	82
3	phenyl	CF ₃	H	CO ₂ Et	63
4	2-furanyl	4-MeCOC ₆ H ₄	H	4-EtOC ₆ H ₄	80
5	2-furanyl	H	CO ₂ Et	CO ₂ Et	83
6	2-furanyl	CO ₂ Me	H	H	83

Table 3Phosphine-catalyzed [4+2] annulation of α -alkylallenates with electron-deficient olefins

entry	R	Ar	phosphine	product	yield (%)	cis : trans
1	H	Ph	HMPT	25a	98	-
2	H	4-OMe-Ph	HMPT	25b	94	-
3	Ph	Ph	HMPT	25c	93	82 : 18
4	Et	Ph	HMPT	25d	98	92 : 8
5	H	4-Br-Ph	P(4-F-Ph) ₃	26a	86	-
6	H	N-Me-2-indolyl	P(4-F-Ph) ₃	26b	91	-
7	H	Ph	P(4-F-Ph) ₃	26c	93	-

Table 4

Synthesis of tricyclic benzopyrones through allene–olefin [4+2] annulation

entry	R^1	R^2	R	yield (%)
1	H	H	CO_2Et	83
2	Cl	Me	CO_2Et	60
3	Me	H	Ph	80
4	H	H	Me	67
5	Br	H	Me	60

Table 5Phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] annulation of methyl 2,3-butadienoate with *N*-tosylimines

entry	R	yield (%)
1	phenyl	98
2	<i>o</i> -methoxyphenyl	96
3	<i>p</i> -chlorophenyl	97
4	1-naphthyl	98
5	2-furyl	83 ^a

^a Another adduct, methyl 4,5-dihydro-5-furyl-*N*-tosyl-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carboxylate, was isolated in 15% yield.

Table 6Phosphine-catalyzed [4+2] annulation of α -substituted allenates with imines

entry	R	Ar	yield (%)	cis : trans
1	H	Ph	98	-
2	H	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	95	-
3	H	4-FC ₆ H ₄	95	-
4	H	2-furyl	97	-
5	H	N-Boc-2-pyrrolyl	99	-
6	3-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Ph	99	98 : 2
7	Ph	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	90	95 : 5
8	4-CNC ₆ H ₄	2-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄	80	90 : 10

Table 7

Synthesis of sultam-fused tetrahydropyridines through allene-imine [4+2] annulation

entry	R	yield(%)	46a:46b
1	Ph	65	5:1
2	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	68	6:1
3	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	73	21:1
4	3-BrC ₆ H ₄	69	9:1
5	3-CNC ₆ H ₄	72	5:1

Table 8

Phosphine-catalyzed [3+2] annulation of allenates with trifluoromethyl ketones

entry	R	Ar	yield(%)
1	Et	Ph	72
2	Et	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	79
3	Et	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	99
4	Cy	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	61
5	Cy	2-thienyl	54

Table 9

Phosphine-catalyzed [4+2] annulation of allenates with trifluoromethyl ketones

entry	R	Ar	yield (%)	dr
1	Ph	Ph	76	14:1
2	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Ph	67	14:1
3	2-BrC ₆ H ₄	Ph	85	14:1
4	2-MeC ₆ H ₄	Ph	68	12:1
5	Ph	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	85	>25:1
6	Ph	2-thienyl	58	13:1

Table 10

Synthesis of 1,3-dioxan-4-ylidenes through nucleophilic phosphine catalysis

entry	R	yield(%)	E/Z ratio
1	4-pyridyl	99	8:1
2	4- $\text{CF}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$	99	7:1
3	2- $\text{CF}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$	65	6:1
4	3- $\text{NO}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$	97	7:1
5	Ph	54	only <i>E</i>
6	3- MeOC_6H_4	47	only <i>E</i>

Table 11

Synthesis of 6-aryl-2-pyrones through nucleophilic phosphine catalysis

Reaction scheme: $\text{RCHO} \text{ (76)} + \text{CH}_2=\text{CHCO}_2\text{Et} \text{ (1)} \xrightarrow[\text{CHCl}_3, \text{ sealed tube}]{\text{cat. PCyp}_3} \text{6-aryl-2-pyrone} \text{ (78)}$

entry	R	PCyp ₃ (mol%)	yield (%)
1	Ph	10	60
2	3-ClC ₆ H ₄	10	91
3	2-ClC ₆ H ₄	10	73
4	2-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄	20	71
5	2-naphthyl	30	66
6	2-furyl	30	61
7	<i>n</i> -propyl	30	34

Table 12

Synthesis of disubstituted dihydropyrones through nucleophilic phosphine catalysis

entry	R	yield (%)
1	4-NCC ₆ H ₄	58
2	3-NCC ₆ H ₄	83
3	3-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	74
4	2-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄	58
5	Ph	37
6	2-MeC ₆ H ₄	36

Table 13

Synthesis of 2-arylidene tetrahydrofurans through nucleophilic phosphine catalysis

entry	R	80 (equiv)	t (h)	yield (%)	E/Z ratio
1	2-ClC ₆ H ₄	2.4	18	94	4:1
2	2-FC ₆ H ₄	1.8	24	81	4:1
3	2,4-Cl ₂ -C ₆ H ₄	1.8	22	98	6:1
4	4-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄	1.8	5	84	5:1
5	4-CF ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	2.0	17	81	4:1
6	3-pyridyl	1.8	5	81	20:1
7	2-furyl	2.0	24	72	2:1

Table 14

Phosphine-catalyzed [8+2] annulation of allenes with tropone

entry	R^1	R^2	t(h)	yield (%)
1	H	OEt	3.5	82
2	H	Me	3.0	95
3	H	Ph	5.0	90
4	H	CH_2Ph	8.0	90
5	Me	OEt	14	70

Table 15Phosphine-catalyzed [4+3] annulation of allenes with 3-(*N*-aryliminomethyl)chromones

entry	R	Ar	t(h)	yield (%)
1	H	<i>p</i> -anisyl	80	55
2	H	<i>p</i> -chlorophenyl	90	57
3	Me	<i>p</i> -anisyl	85	64
4	Cl	<i>p</i> -chlorophenyl	80	64

Table 16

Phosphine-catalyzed [3+3] annulation of allenes with aziridines

entry	R ¹	R ²	yield (%)	dr (<i>trans</i> : <i>cis</i>)
1	H	2-MeC ₆ H ₄	88	90:10
2	H	4-FC ₆ H ₄	76	88:12
3	H	3-ClC ₆ H ₄	86	83:17
4	H	2-naphthyl	58	85:15
5	Me	H	66	41:59
6	H	Ph	73	9:1

Table 17

Phosphine-catalyzed [3+2+3] annulation of allenes with azomethine imines

entry	R^1	yield (%)	ratio of 103 : 104
1	Ph	81	34:66
2	4- <i>i</i> -PrC ₆ H ₄	67	42:58
3	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	76	44:56
4	4-BrC ₆ H ₄	77	29:71
5	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	90	24:76
6	4-FC ₆ H ₄	88	25:75
7	2-naphthyl	76	32:68

Table 18

Enantioselection allene-olefin [3+2] annulation reported by Zhang

entry	E	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	yield (%)	121:122	% ee of 121
1	CO ₂ Me	Et	H	H	87	96 : 4	79
2	CO ₂ ⁱ Bu	Et	H	H	88	100 : 0	93
3	CO ₂ Et	^t Bu	H	H	84	94 : 6	69
4	CO ₂ Et	Et	CO ₂ Et	H	49		79
5	CO ₂ Me	Et	H	CO ₂ Me	84		36

Table 19

Enantioselective allene-imine [4+2] annulation reported by Fu

entry	Ar	ee (%)	cis:trans	yield (%)
1	Pr	98	91:9	93
2	3-MeC ₆ H ₄	98	93:7	98
3	2-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄	68	96:4	98
4	2-naphthyl	99	93:7	96
5	2-furyl	97	87:13	98
6	3-pyridyl	97	91:9	76

Table 20

Enantioselective allene-alkene [3+2] annulation reported by Marinetti

entry	R ¹	R ²	125 : 126 ratio	yield (%)	ee (%)
1	H	OEt	1 : 1.5	53	88/84
2	Ph	Ph	20 : 1	65	92
3	1-naphthyl	Ph	>20 : 1	87	96
4	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Ph	>20 : 1	71	93
5	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	Ph	10 : 1	63	92
6	2-furyl	Ph	8 : 1	71	93

Table 21

Enantioselective allene-olefin [3+2] annulation reported by Miller

entry	substrate	product()	yield + (%)	α :	ee (%)
1			95	> 99 : 1	80
2			95	> 99 : 1	84
3			68	94 : 6	65
4			75	> 99 : 1	76

entry	substrate	product()	yield + (%)	α :	ee (%)
5			53	> 99 : 1	71

Table 22

Enantioselective allene-olefin [4+2] annulation reported by Zhao

entry	R	t(h)	yield (%)	ee (%)
1	Ph	4	94	96
2	Isopropyl	3	92	97
3	2-naphthyl	3	95	95
4	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	6	99	97
5	3-MeOC ₆ H ₄	6	88	96
6	2-thienyl	4	87	93

Table 23

Enantioselective allene-imine [3+2] annulation reported by Lu

entry	R	yield (%)	ee (%)
1	methyl	75	95
2	ethyl	78	96
3	<i>n</i> -hexyl	84	97
4	<i>iso</i> -propyl	81	99
5	PhCH ₂ CH ₂	81	95
6	cyclohexyl	83	99

Table 24

Enantioselective allene-imine [3+2] annulation reported by Jacobsen

entry	R	7a (mol%)	yield (%)	ee (%)
1	Ph	10	84	98
2	<i>p</i> -FC ₆ H ₄	10	72	95
3	<i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄	20	80	97
4	<i>m</i> -NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	10	70	95
5	<i>o</i> -BrC ₆ H ₄	10	90	95
6	4-pyridyl	10	70	94
7	3-furyl	20	68	94
8	2-thienyl	20	77	97