Published in final edited form as:

Cryobiology. 2014 February ; 68(1): 18–28. doi:10.1016/j.cryobiol.2013.11.002.

Optimization of Cryoprotectant Loading into Murine and Human Oocytes

Jens O.M. Karlsson¹, Edyta A. Szurek², Adam Z. Higgins³, Sang R. Lee², and Ali Eroglu^{2,4,*}

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Villanova University, Villanova, PA 19085

²Institute of Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Department of Medicine; Medical College of Georgia, Georgia Regents University, Augusta, GA 30912

³School of Chemical, Biological and Environmental Engineering, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331

⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Cancer Center, Medical College of Georgia, Georgia Regents University, Augusta, GA 30912

Abstract

Loading of cryoprotectants into oocytes is an important step of the cryopreservation process, in which the cells are exposed to potentially damaging osmotic stresses and chemical toxicity. Thus, we investigated the use of physics-based mathematical optimization to guide design of cryoprotectant loading methods for mouse and human oocytes. We first examined loading of 1.5 M dimethylsulfoxide (Me₂SO) into mouse oocytes at 23°C. Conventional one-step loading resulted in rates of fertilization (34%) and embryonic development (60%) that were significantly lower than those of untreated controls (95% and 94%, respectively). In contrast, the mathematically optimized two-step method yielded much higher rates of fertilization (85%) and development (87%). To examine the causes for oocyte damage, we performed experiments to separate the effects of cell shrinkage and Me₂SO exposure time, revealing that neither shrinkage nor Me₂SO exposure single-handedly impairs the fertilization and development rates. Thus, damage during one-step Me₂SO addition appears to result from interactions between the effects of Me₂SO toxicity and osmotic stress. We also investigated Me₂SO loading into mouse oocytes at 30°C. At this temperature, fertilization rates were again lower after one-step loading (8%) in comparison to mathematically optimized two-step loading (86%) and untreated controls (96%). Furthermore, our computer algorithm generated an effective strategy for reducing Me₂SO exposure time, using hypotonic diluents for cryoprotectant solutions. With this technique, 1.5 M Me₂SO was successfully loaded in only 2.5 min, with 92% fertilizability. Based on these

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Address correspondence to: Ali Eroglu, Ph.D., Institute of Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Medical College of Georgia, Georgia Regents University, 1120 15th Street, CB-2803, Augusta, GA 30912, USA, aeroglu@gru.edu, Tel: (706) 721-7595, Fax: (706) 721-0340.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

promising results, we propose new methods to load cryoprotectants into human oocytes, designed using our mathematical optimization approach.

Keywords

Cryopreservation; cryoprotectant; dimethyl sulfoxide; Me₂SO; DMSO; propane-1; 2-diol; propylene glycol; simplex optimization; oocyte; mouse; human; freezing; vitrification

INTRODUCTION

Oocyte cryopreservation may help to preserve future fertility of women who face cancer/extirpative therapy or want to delay childbearing years. It would also avoid many legal and ethical issues associated with embryo freezing. Furthermore, cryobanking of oocytes may help conservation of endangered species and improvement of livestock breeding.

Although the first successful cryopreservation of mammalian and human oocytes was achieved in the 1970s [55; 76] and mid-1980s [13], respectively, oocyte cryopreservation has proven to be challenging due to the diversity of mechanisms leading to cryoinjury. Known manifestations of oocyte cryoinjury include intracellular ice formation [45], cell lysis [6], osmotic stress [1], disruption of cytoskeleton and spindle microtubules [18; 75], premature exocytosis of cortical granules and zona hardening [12; 64], parthenogenetic activation [65; 68; 73], and polyploidy [2; 18; 25]. Only after a decade of additional research and implementation of intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), it has become possible to mitigate some of these cryoinjury mechanisms, and to reproduce the initial success of human oocyte cryopreservation [40; 60; 71]. Subsequently, increasingly encouraging results have been reported with both ice-assisted slow-cooling techniques [9; 10; 11; 27; 39; 56] and ice-free vitrification methods [15; 41; 66; 77]. More recently, clinical success rates similar to those of unfrozen controls have been reported by three groups using an open-system vitrification approach requiring minimum sample volume and extremely fast cooling/warming rates [4; 17; 63]. However, this vitrification approach is particularly prone to handling issues and devitrification due to the minimal sample volume (less than 1 µl) and low concentrations (~30%) of intracellular cryoprotectant additive (CPA), while direct contact with LN₂ in open systems poses a serious biosafety risk [8; 28; 46; 70]. Moreover, the open-system vitrification approach is highly dependent on operator skill, and thawed oocytes must be fertilized by ICSI [4; 17; 63]. Although slow-cooling techniques are usually safer and not associated with a biosafety risk, their overall success rates in multicenter studies remain significantly lower than that of controls [11; 56]. Therefore, further research is needed to improve the efficiency, reliability, and biosafety of the currently used cryopreservation techniques.

One of the critical steps in cryopreserving oocytes is the loading of permeating CPAs such as dimethyl sulfoxide (Me₂SO), propylene glycol (PROH), and ethylene glycol (EG), which may result in severe osmotic perturbations and CPA toxicity depending on the specifics of the experimental protocol. It is known that such insults compromise oocyte viability and developmental capacity [1; 32; 34; 53; 65; 68]. Consequently, optimization of CPA loading

procedures is of importance to maximize the probability of success in oocyte cryopreservation.

Although it has long been recognized that mathematical models of membrane transport can be used to guide the design of CPA loading and removal methods, the conventional approach to protocol development has been limited to prevention of osmotic stress (i.e., avoiding excessive cell volume excursions) without consideration of chemical toxicity due to prolonged CPA exposure [5; 23; 33; 48; 50; 52]. Moreover, these early studies focused on the formulation of CPA solutions used for step-wise addition and elution, whereas the exposure time to each solution was not optimized [5; 23; 33; 48; 50; 52]. In contrast, we recently introduced an optimization cost function to estimate the accumulation of toxicity damage, and used a Nelder-Mead simplex algorithm to automatically select the optimal times of exposure to each CPA solution as well as the optimal solution compositions [37]. Thus, we were able to design stepwise addition and removal procedures for rhesus monkey oocytes, resulting in protocols with total CPA exposure time comparable to or faster than that of one-step methods, but with significantly reduced osmotic stress [37]. However, we were unable experimentally evaluate our computer-optimized procedures, because primate oocytes are scarce, and all rhesus monkey oocytes collected in our previous investigation were used for measurement of the biophysical properties required to simulate the cell response.

Thus, in the present study, our goal was to experimentally test the hypothesis that oocyte viability and function will be higher when CPA addition is performed using a two-step process optimized by simultaneously limiting osmotic stress and CPA toxicity, than when CPA addition is performed in a single step (exposing oocytes directly to the full-strength CPA solution). To accomplish this, we employed our optimization approach to develop minimally damaging CPA addition procedures for mouse metaphase II (M II) oocytes. The computer-generated CPA loading protocols were realized experimentally, allowing the predictions to be validated, and demonstrating that optimized CPA addition methods can yield fertilization and embryonic development rates similar to those of untreated controls. Moreover, additional experimental tests were performed to shed light on the mechanisms of oocyte damage resulting from non-optimized CPA loading. Given the success demonstrated with mouse oocytes, we also used the computer models to design optimal processes for loading of PROH into human oocytes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and Media

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise stated. Bicarbonate-buffered Hypermedium [19; 20] supplemented with 4 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 50 mg/ml gentamycin served as a culture medium. For manipulation of oocytes and embryos under air, Hypermedium was buffered with 15 mM HEPES. Our previous studies showed that the Hypermedium supports mouse embryonic development and fertilized mouse eggs cultured in Hypermedium can develop into healthy mice when transferred to pseudo pregnant females [19; 20]. Before culturing oocytes and embryos,

drops of the Hypermedium were overlaid by embryo-tested mineral oil and equilibrated overnight under a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air at 37°C.

Galactose solutions used in osmotic shock experiments were prepared by adding 0.1 M, 0.3 M, or 0.5 M galactose to isotonic Ca²⁺- and Mg²⁺-free phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 4 mg/ml BSA.

To dilute Me₂SO to specified concentrations as required for CPA loading (0.738M, 0.750 M, 0.976 M, 1.40 M, and 1.50 M), we used either the BSA-supplemented isotonic Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free PBS or one of two hypotonic buffers as the aqueous diluent. One hypotonic buffer formulation, designated “hypo-PBS”, comprised Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free PBS that was diluted in ddH₂O as well as fetal bovine serum (FBS) to yield a calculated osmolality of ~55 mOsmol/L (and a final FBS content of 10% v/v). Another hypotonic diluent, designated “hypo-NaCl”, was prepared by adding NaCl and either FBS (10% v/v) or BSA (4 mg/ml) to a 15 mM Hepes buffer until the calculated osmolality reached ~55 mOsmol/L. These hypotonic buffer formulations were designed so that the final salt osmolality would be 50 mOsmol/L after addition of 1.4 M (i.e., 9.98% v/v) Me₂SO. To check this, the buffer osmolalities were measured by freezing-point depression osmometry after addition of an equivalent volume (9.98% v/v) ddH₂O, and confirmed to be 50 ± 5 mOsmol/kg (which is equal to 50 ± 5 mOsmol/L). For CPA removal, diluted Me₂SO solutions (0.5 M and 1.0 M), either with or without 0.25 M sucrose, were prepared using the isotonic Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free PBS with BSA only.

Oocyte Isolation

All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Medical College of Georgia/Georgia Regents University. Metaphase II (M II) oocytes were obtained from 4–8 week-old B6D2F1 (C57BL/6NCr X DBA/2NCr; NCI, Frederick, MD) hybrid mice. Superovulation and collection of M II oocytes were carried out as described elsewhere [20]. To remove cumulus cells, the oocyte-cumulus masses were exposed to 120 IU /ml of bovine testis hyaluronidase (Type IV-S) at ambient temperature for 3–4 min. Next, the oocytes were washed in HEPES-buffered Hypermedium twice and then transferred to the Hypermedium for recovery before experimentation. For each experiment, M II oocytes were typically isolated from three or more female mice, pooled, and then randomly distributed among the experimental groups.

Simulation of Oocyte Response to Cryoprotectant Solutions

Oocyte volume and intracellular cryoprotectant concentration changes in response to molecular transport across the oolemma were simulated using custom software developed by one of us (JOMK) in the MATLAB[®] programming language (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA). As previously described [37], the coupled transport of water and cryoprotectant additives (Me₂SO or PROH) across the cell membrane during cryoprotectant loading was described by a Jacobs-type two-parameter model [38], in which water chemical potential (i.e., the osmotic driving force) was estimated using an ideal-solution approximation.

For simulation of the response of mouse oocytes exposed to Me₂SO at 23 °C or 30 °C, published biophysical properties were used [57]. Oocytes were assumed to remain spherical

during shrinking and swelling, and the isotonic (290 mOsmol/L) cell diameter was taken to be 74 μm , representing the average size of the mouse oocytes observed in our experiments. Because the reflection coefficients reported by Paynter et al. were near unity [57], the published Kedem-Katchalsky permeabilities were used directly in the two-parameter transport model, without modification to account for difference in model structure [38].

For simulation of human oocytes exposed to PROH at 24°C or 30°C, we used membrane permeability parameters that had been measured by Paynter et al. [58] and converted from the Kedem-Katchalsky to the two-parameter model format by Chuenkhum and Cui [16]. The oocytes were assumed to be spherical with an isotonic (290 mOsmol/L) volume of $1 \times 10^6 \mu\text{m}^3$, of which 20% was taken to be osmotically inactive [52].

Optimization of Cryoprotectant Addition Protocols

Protocols for step-wise addition of cryoprotectant additives (Me_2SO or PROH) to a final intracellular concentration of 1.5 M were designed using computer-aided optimization algorithms that simultaneously minimize the probability of oocyte damage due to osmotic shock and to so-called solution effects (e.g., cryoprotectant toxicity). For a given set of process parameters $\mathbf{p} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots\}$, the objective of the optimization algorithm was to search for the combination of values of the parameters $\mathbf{p}$ that would minimize a cost function $C(\mathbf{p})$ representing the probability of cell damage. To model solution-effects injury, the value of C was taken to be the cumulative (integrated) damage intensity:

$$C(\mathbf{p}) = \int_{t=0}^{t=t^*} \lambda dt$$

where λ is the instantaneous rate of damage accumulation; t , time; t^* , the total CPA loading time (i.e., the time required to reach the target intracellular CPA concentration). The target level of intracellular CPA was defined as 95% of the amount of CPA within an oocyte at isotonic volume, in which the osmotically active volume fraction contains CPA at a concentration of 1.5 M.

Following our previous approach [37], we have approximated the rate of damage accumulation (λ) as constant, so that the cost function is proportional to the total loading time, t^* ; as a result, the optimization objective is to minimize the protocol duration. This is consistent with experimental observations of cell damage during cryopreservation, which indicate that the probability of damage attributable to solution effects (e.g., cryoprotectant toxicity) is strongly correlated with protocol duration [31; 36]. For purposes of comparison, we also considered an alternative cost function definition, in which the instantaneous rate of damage accumulation is assumed to have a power-law dependence on the molality of intracellular CPA [7].

For both cost function definitions, to avoid oocyte damage due to osmotic shock, any cell volume change greater than a critical threshold (conservatively defined as $\pm 25\%$ of the isotonic cell volume) were prohibited by adding a penalty value to the cost function [37].

To systematically search a multi-dimensional parameter-space $\mathbf{p}$ for the set of process parameter values that will minimize the cost function $C(\mathbf{p})$, the Nelder-Mead simplex algorithm was used, as previously [37]. All computer-aided optimizations were performed using MATLAB[®] programs written by one of us (JOMK).

Preliminary simulations suggested that using three or more CPA loading steps did not significantly reduce the predicted cost, compared to two-step protocols (data not shown). Thus, we focused on designing two-step loading methods. To develop optimal two-step protocols, we allowed the optimization algorithm to adjust the CPA concentration in the first loading step, as well as the time of oocyte exposure to this initial loading solution. The second (and final) loading solution always contained 1.5 M of the CPA, while the exposure time to the final solution was taken as the time required to reach the target level of intracellular CPA. For both the first and second loading step, it was assumed that solutions would be prepared by mixing pure CPA with an isotonic (290 mOsmol/L) salt solution. However, to develop alternative approaches to CPA loading, we also performed separate optimizations in which the computer algorithm was allowed to adjust the extracellular salt concentration in the initial loading step (independently of the CPA concentration); nonetheless, because extracellular electrolytes are important for cell function, a lower bound of 50 mOsmol/L was imposed on the salt osmolarity.

Me₂SO Loading Experiments at Room Temperature

The Nelder-Mead simplex algorithm predicted an optimal two-step Me₂SO loading protocol consisting of the following steps: (1) exposure to 0.738 M Me₂SO for 7.1 min; and (2) equilibration in 1.500 M Me₂SO for 11.3 min. In addition to this optimized two-step loading method, the experimental groups for loading of Me₂SO at room temperature included non-optimized one-step loading, simplified two-step loading, and simplified two-step loading with a longer second step. Controls consisted of an untreated oocyte group as well as a group exposed to osmotic stress in the absence of CPA (see Supplementary Table 1 for details).

Non-optimized one-step loading was achieved by exposing the oocytes directly to 1.5 M Me₂SO for 15 min. The optimized two-step loading of 1.5 M Me₂SO was carried out as predicted by the computer algorithm. Whereas the simplified two-step loading method (i.e., step #1: 0.75 M Me₂SO for 5 min and step #2: 1.5 M Me₂SO for 10 min) was testing a more practical version of the optimized two-step loading protocol, the objective of the last experimental group was to address the question of whether a longer exposure (i.e., 15 min, selected to match the exposure time in the one-step loading protocol) of oocytes to the final Me₂SO concentration (1.5 M) single-handedly changes the fertilization and development rates. To test the alternative possibility (i.e., alteration of the fertilization and development rates by solely osmotic stresses in the absence of chemical toxicity of Me₂SO), mouse oocytes in the osmotic stress control group were exposed to a 0.5-M galactose solution at 23°C for 15 min, a procedure that is expected to induce volume excursions similar in magnitude to those resulting from direct exposure to 1.5 M Me₂SO.

At the end of each final exposure time, the oocytes were transferred to successively decreasing concentrations (1.0 M, 0.5 M, and 0.0 M) of Me₂SO at ambient temperature with

5-min intervals, to reduce osmotic stresses during Me₂SO removal. Similarly, 0.5 M galactose was diluted by transferring oocytes to its successively decreasing concentrations (i.e., 0.3 M, 0.1 M, and 0.0 M) at ambient temperature with 5-min intervals.

Me₂SO Loading Experiments at Elevated Temperature

Next, we allowed our theoretical transport models to guide the development of alternative strategies for CPA addition, which were tested in experiments using mouse oocytes. The theoretical models indicate that the rates of permeation of water and Me₂SO across the cell membrane increase with increasing temperature, which suggests that the total exposure time can be reduced if CPA addition is carried out above room temperature. It is often assumed that the benefits of faster CPA loading at elevated temperatures would be negated by a concomitant acceleration of cytotoxicity kinetics. However, this assumption is rarely tested, and to our knowledge, there have been no previous attempts to increase temperature while also re-optimizing the CPA loading procedure to account for the temperature-dependence of membrane permeability parameters. Hence, we hypothesized that high survival, fertilizability and developmental capacity can be achieved if CPA addition is performed slightly above room temperature (30°C), provided that the CPA loading protocol is designed to minimize CPA exposure and prevent excessive volume excursions.

The experiments involving loading of Me₂SO at 30°C were performed on a stage warmer (MATS-U4020WF, Tokai Hit, Fujinomiya-shi, Japan) and the temperature of the Me₂SO solutions was monitored using a thin (0.8 mm) thermocouple wire that was immersed into one of the Me₂SO solutions in a 4-well dish. The temperature fluctuation was $\pm 0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$. Initially, all Me₂SO solutions were prepared by dilution using isotonic PBS. The CPA loading protocol that was predicted by the Nelder-Mead simplex algorithm and experimentally tested in this series of experiments had two steps (i.e., step #1: 0.976 M Me₂SO for 1.7 min; and step #2: 1.500 M Me₂SO for 5.4 min) and was compared to the non-optimized one-step loading protocol consisting of 6.5-min exposure to 1.5 M Me₂SO. Untreated oocytes were used as controls. After the final exposure periods, the removal of Me₂SO was carried out in a step-wise manner at room temperature as described earlier.

The Nelder-Mead simplex algorithm also predicted a CPA loading approach that prescribed the use of hypotonic salt buffers to dilute the Me₂SO. The computer-generated protocol consisted of two-steps (i.e., step #1: 1.404 M Me₂SO with 50 mOsmol/L salt for 2.4 min; and step #2: 1.500 M Me₂SO in isotonic saline for 8 s). To implement the first step of the predicted protocol, the Me₂SO solution was prepared using one of two hypotonic diluent buffers (“hypo-PBS” or “hypo-NaCl”, see above), for testing of the effect of salt composition in combination with the hypotonic condition. Furthermore, to examine the effect of buffer supplements (macromolecule vs. salts+proteins+others), we added either BSA or FBS to the hypo-NaCl buffer, whereas the hypo-PBS buffer was supplemented with FBS only. The stepwise removal of 1.5 M Me₂SO was achieved by exposing the oocytes to decreasing concentrations (1.0 M, 0.5 M, and 0.0 M) of Me₂SO at ambient temperature as described earlier; however, the diluting solutions also contained 0.25 M sucrose this time, because in our preliminary experiments, the CPA removal in the absence of sucrose gave

poor fertilization results following the loading of Me₂SO by using the hypotonic diluent buffers.

Partial Dissection of Zona Pellucida

To test for the possibility of hardening of the zona pellucida (ZP) in oocytes that had undergone one-step Me₂SO loading, a subset of such oocytes were subjected to partial dissection of the ZP, as described previously [30; 51]. Briefly, to attain sufficiently large perivitelline space, M II oocytes that had undergone one-step Me₂SO loading and subsequent Me₂SO elution were transferred to a 80-μl drop of 0.3 M sucrose in BSA-free PBS covered with mineral oil. Once the oocytes were equilibrated with the sucrose solution and attached to the culture dish, a 30-gauge sterile needle (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) was used to make a slit in the ZP of each oocyte under a stereomicroscope. Thereafter, 20 μl of 0.3 M sucrose solution containing 4% BSA was added to the dissection drop to detach the oocytes, after which the oocytes with partially dissected ZP were washed in PBS containing 0.4% BSA.

Recovery and Viability Assessment

After loading and removal of 1.5 M Me₂SO (and zona slitting, if applicable), the oocytes were rinsed in a fresh drop of Hepes-buffered Hypermedium plus BSA before being transferred to the culture medium for a recovery period of 40–60 min. Following the recovery period at 37°C, the post-treatment survival was assessed using morphological criteria (i.e., translucent appearance of cytoplasm, integrity of the plasma membrane and the ZP, and the size of the perivitelline space) and the rate of the survival was calculated based on the number of oocytes exposed to Me₂SO solutions. Subsequently, both treated and control oocytes were inseminated as described next to evaluate fertilization and embryonic development.

In Vitro Fertilization and Embryo Culture

In vitro fertilization (IVF) and culture of inseminated oocytes were carried out in Hypermedium at 37°C under a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air as described previously [20]. Cleavage to the two-cell stage was examined after overnight culture while development to the blastocyst stage was evaluated after 5 days of culture. Fertilization and blastocyst rates were calculated based on the number of surviving and fertilized oocytes, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

Experiments in each series were repeated at least three times. Data reported are means of experimental repeats involving survival, fertilization, and development rates with error bars representing standard error of mean (SEM). The data were analyzed by ANOVA /Tukey's multiple comparison test using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA) except the experiments involving exposure to 0.5 M galactose and zona slitting, which were analyzed by Fisher's exact test. Before ANOVA, arcsine transformation was performed on proportional data. Differences between the groups were considered statistically significant when the p-value was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Optimization of Me₂SO Loading into Mouse Oocytes at Room Temperature

As explained previously, the Nelder-Mead simplex algorithm generated an optimal two-step Me₂SO loading protocol (See Materials and Methods). The predicted intracellular CPA concentrations and normalized volume excursions for this protocol are shown in Fig. 1A and 1B, along with simulations of a conventional one-step loading protocol. The non-optimized one-step loading protocol is predicted to take 14.3 min to reach the target intracellular Me₂SO concentration, but results in a 40% volume excursion. In contrast, the optimized two-step loading procedure requires 18.5 min but only results in a 25% volume excursion.

Next, we experimentally tested the optimized and non-optimized Me₂SO loading methods. A total of 401 oocytes were used in this set of experiments, which were repeated more than three times each. As shown in Fig. 1C, although neither Me₂SO loading method had adverse effects on oocyte survival, there was significant sublethal damage in oocytes subjected to non-optimized one-step loading of Me₂SO. In particular, fertilization was significantly reduced after one-step Me₂SO loading in comparison to untreated controls (34% vs. 95%, $p < 0.0001$). Furthermore, the one-step loading method significantly lowered the embryonic development (i.e., blastocyst formation) rate (60%) compared to the control group (94%, $p < 0.0005$). Thus, the net blastocyst yield per Me₂SO-loaded oocyte in the non-optimized group was only 27% (compared to 89% for untreated controls). In contrast to the poor result with one-step loading, the fertilization rate after using the mathematically optimized two-step loading method (85%) was significantly higher than after one-step loading, and similar to that of untreated controls. The optimized two-step loading method also resulted in an improved embryonic development rate (87%), which was comparable to that of untreated controls.

To explore possible reasons for the differences in fertilization and embryonic development rates described above, we performed an additional set of experiments, the results of which are shown in Fig. 1D. The first experimental group was subjected to a simplified version of the mathematically optimized protocol in which the computer-generated values of the process parameter were rounded. Computer simulations indicated that this simplified protocol would result in a maximum volume excursion of 28%, and result in a final intracellular Me₂SO concentration of 1.47 M. To dissect the role of chemical CPA toxicity vs. CPA-induced volume excursions in poor fertilization and embryonic development, we extended the 2nd step of the simplified two-step protocol to 15 min (similar to the one-step protocol) in the second experimental group and exposed oocytes to 0.5 M galactose in the third experimental group. Each treatment and control experiment was repeated more than three times, and a total of 496 oocytes were used for this set of experiments. As shown in Fig. 1D, none of the tested CPA loading protocols induced oocyte degeneration. Moreover, the simplified version of the optimized two-step loading yielded fertilization (89%) and embryonic development (92%) rates similar to those of the control group, indicating that our minor modifications of the computer-generated protocol did not adversely affect outcome. When subjecting oocytes to a 15-min exposure to 1.5 M Me₂SO in the second step of the simplified two-step method, a slight decrease of the rates of fertilization (80%) and

embryonic development (88%) were observed compared to controls; however, these differences were not statistically significant. This indicates that the Me₂SO chemical toxicity associated with a 15-min exposure at 1.5 M is not sufficient to explain the sublethal oocyte damage manifesting in the non-optimized loading group. Interestingly, the osmotic stress control group (exposed to 0.5 M galactose in the absence of Me₂SO) also did not significantly lower the rates of fertilization or embryonic development (95% and 88%, respectively) compared to untreated controls, even though the maximum volumetric excursion in this group was at least as large as in the non-optimized loading group; i.e., osmotic shock alone is not sufficient to explain the reduced function of oocytes subjected to one-step loading. Taken together, these results suggest that the decreased fertilization and development rates after non-optimized one-step CPA loading are due to an interaction between chemical and osmotic effects of the Me₂SO.

Based on our recent study [69], we reasoned that the significantly lower fertilization rate caused by one-step Me₂SO loading might be related to zona hardening. To test this possibility, we partially dissected the ZP of oocytes that had undergone one-step Me₂SO loading; these ZP-dissected oocytes were inseminated along with untreated controls. A total of 102 oocytes were used in this set of experiments, of which 6 degenerated during the dissection process. As hypothesized, the partial ZP-dissection greatly improved the fertilization rate (77%) compared to that of oocytes that were not ZP-dissected after one-step Me₂SO loading (34%), although the former was still lower than the fertilizability of the untreated controls (99%) (see Supplementary Fig. 1). The blastocyst formation rates in the ZP-dissection (92%) and control (97%) groups were similar, and these values were higher than the developmental capacity observed in non-dissected oocytes (60%). Overall, these results suggest that zona hardening is part of the mechanism by which non-optimized one-step loading of 1.5 M Me₂SO adversely affects the fertilization rate.

Development and Testing of Alternative Strategies for Me₂SO Loading

To optimize Me₂SO loading at 30°C, we again used the Nelder-Mead simplex algorithm to systematically search for conditions that result in the fastest CPA loading without exceeding 25% volume excursions, thus mitigating deleterious osmotic shock and potential CPA toxicity. We considered two different optimization approaches that differed in their assumptions about the salt concentration in the first loading step. Our initial approach assumed that all Me₂SO solutions would be prepared using an isotonic salt buffer as the diluent solution; this is the same assumption that was used to develop room-temperature protocols for Me₂SO addition. The resulting computer-optimized two-step loading protocol (which allows loading of 1.5 M Me₂SO in 7.1 min without exceeding 25% volume excursion) was experimentally compared to the non-optimized one-step addition of Me₂SO, which requires 6.5 min for loading and is predicted to result in a 34% volume excursion, as shown in Fig. 2A and 2B. Untreated oocytes served as controls. A total of 241 oocytes were used for these experiments, and the results are summarized in Fig. 2C. None of the tested Me₂SO loading protocols caused degeneration of oocytes. However, only a few oocytes (8%) were fertilized when the non-optimized one-step loading protocol was used. In contrast, the optimized two-step loading protocol yielded a significantly higher fertilization

rate of 86% ($p<0.0001$) similar to that of untreated controls (96%). The blastocyst formation rates were over 89% and not significantly different between the groups.

To remove unnecessary constraints on the search for optimal loading procedures, we repeated the computer-aided optimization after relaxing the assumption that Me₂SO solutions should be prepared using isotonic saline as the diluent. In particular, we used the sequential simplex method to optimize a two-step Me₂SO loading protocol, this time allowing the optimization algorithm to simultaneously adjust three process parameters, corresponding to the concentration of salt in the first loading solution, the Me₂SO concentration in the first solution, and the exposure time to the first solution. The only restriction imposed on the optimized solution composition was that the saline osmolality should be at least 50 mOsmol/L (because pilot experiments indicated that some minimal presence of electrolytes was required to maintain homeostasis during CPA addition). Using this approach, our computer simulations identified the following general strategy for CPA addition: in the first exposure step, the solution should be hypotonic, with a minimal salt content. Specifically, for two-step addition of Me₂SO, the optimal saline osmolality in the first step was predicted to be 50 mOsmol/L, equal to the imposed lower bound.

As shown in Fig. 3A, this alternative CPA loading strategy (using a hypotonic diluent buffer in the first step) yielded an optimized protocol for mouse oocytes that allows loading of 1.5 M Me₂SO within a remarkably short time period of 2.5 min. We performed experimental tests to evaluate this CPA loading protocol with respect to untreated controls. Moreover, to further refine the efficacy of the new loading protocol, we also compared the effect of salt composition (NaCl vs. PBS) and the effect of supplementation of the hypotonic NaCl solution with BSA or FBS. The results of these experiments (which were repeated more than three times using a total of 616 oocytes) are summarized in Fig 3B. None of the loading protocols induced cell degeneration. The loading of 1.5 M Me₂SO using the optimized two-step protocol with hypo-PBS+FBS resulted in fertilization (92%) and blastocyst (88%) rates similar to those (96% and 93%, respectively) of untreated controls. The fertilization and blastocyst rates were also high in the two-step hypo-NaCl+FBS (85% and 86%, respectively) and two-step hypo-NaCl+BSA (85% and 82%, respectively) groups, but significantly lower compared to those in the control group ($p<0.01$). There was no significant difference between the experimental groups in terms of fertilization and embryonic development. Taken together, these results suggest that (i) the duration of CPA loading can be significantly shortened without adversely affecting fertilization and embryonic development when a hypotonic diluent buffer is used, and (ii) the presence of a balanced salt composition in the diluent buffer is beneficial during CPA loading.

Optimization of PROH Loading into Human Oocytes

Currently, PROH is the most commonly used CPA for slow cooling cryopreservation of human oocytes [9; 11; 59]. Encouraged by our success with mouse oocytes, we also used our mathematical optimization algorithm to predict procedures for loading of 1.5 M PROH into human oocytes (see Supplementary Table 2 for details). Fig. 4 shows predictions for the optimized two-step loading at 24°C, along with predictions for a conventional one-step loading method. In the non-optimized single-step protocol, 14.5 min is required for the

intracellular PROH content to reach its target value, and the maximum cell volume excursion is 36%, exceeding the critical threshold value. In contrast, the optimized two-step protocol requires only 6 min to reach the target intracellular PROH level and the maximum volume excursion is 25%. These reductions in protocol duration and volume excursions would be expected to reduce damage due to chemical toxicity and osmotic stresses, respectively. The optimized protocol specifies an initial loading solution that contains 1.3 M PROH and 50 mOsmol/L salt. After a 5.5 min exposure to this hypotonic loading solution, the oocytes only require a 29 s exposure to the final CPA solution (1.5 M PROH prepared using isotonic buffer) before the target level of intracellular PROH is achieved.

We also used model predictions to evaluate PROH loading into human oocytes at 30°C, as shown in Fig. 5. A conventional one-step loading method at 30°C is predicted to result in a 34% volume excursion (Fig. 5 dash-dotted lines), and to require a total of 3.5 min CPA exposure time to reach the target intracellular PROH content. In contrast, the optimized two-step protocol (Fig. 5, solid lines) is significantly faster (1.4 min) than the one-step method (which is expected to reduce toxicity), while avoiding cell volume excursions in excess of $\pm 25\%$ (which is expected to reduce osmotic damage). The optimized protocol specifies an initial loading solution that contains 1.4 M PROH and 50 mOsmol/L salt. After a 1.3-min exposure to this hypotonic loading solution, the oocytes only require a 4-s exposure to the final CPA solution (1.5 M PROH prepared using isotonic buffer) before the target level of intracellular PROH is achieved. In comparison to the loading methods at 24°C, PROH loading at 30°C is more than fourfold faster, which is expected to reduce damage associated with CPA toxicity.

One of us (AZH) has proposed an alternative optimization approach in which the rate of damage accumulation was assumed to have a power-law dependence on the CPA molality [7]. For purposes of comparison with the present algorithm (in which the concentration-dependence of damage accumulation was approximated as negligible), the results of optimization using a concentration-dependent cost function are also shown in Fig. 5 (dashed lines). The protocol optimized using this alternative algorithm specifies an initial loading solution that contains 0.88 M PROH and 50 mOsmol/L salt. After a 1.5 min (89 s) exposure to this hypotonic loading solution, the oocytes only require a 15-s exposure to the final CPA solution (1.5 M PROH prepared using isotonic buffer) before the target level of intracellular PROH is achieved. Thus, the total duration of the protocol is 1.7 min (103 s). This protocol is slightly longer than the design generated by the original cost function (1.7 min vs. 1.4 min) but offers the potential advantage of a lower PROH concentration in the first loading step (0.88 M vs. 1.4 M).

DISCUSSION

Our strategy for minimizing oocyte damage is based on computer-aided design of CPA addition protocols that are predicted to simultaneously reduce chemical cytotoxicity and osmotic shock. Whereas toxicity was minimized by decreasing exposure times as much as possible, osmotic stress was prevented by limiting the magnitude of cell volume changes during CPA loading. Our results are consistent with previous studies which show that multi-step CPA loading methods designed to avoid osmotic damage are superior to single-step

loading methods [14; 23; 54]. However, our approach has the additional benefit of minimizing the duration of exposure to potentially toxic CPAs.

To identify the osmotic tolerance of oocytes, researchers have measured cell viability and function after exposure to hypertonic solutions containing saccharides (e.g., galactose and sucrose) in increasing concentrations [47; 72]. In such experiments, mature human oocytes were found to tolerate shrinkage by up to ~60% of the isotonic cell volume [47], while a study on in vitro matured human oocytes concluded that osmotic insults causing volume reductions up to ~70% were tolerated [72]. These results are consistent with our present finding that M II mouse oocytes tolerate exposure to 0.5 M galactose (yielding cell shrinkage >40%).

Although oocytes appear to tolerate osmotic stresses reasonably well in the absence CPAs, it is important to estimate osmotic tolerance limits of oocytes in the presence of CPA, due to the potential for interaction between chemical toxicity effects and osmotic shock. Songsasen et al. performed such a study by exposing rhesus monkey M II oocytes to EG solutions of increasing molar concentrations for 5 or 10 min, which was followed by a single-step dilution in an isotonic medium [67]. Analyzing the membrane integrity data of Songsasen et al. in the context of our mathematical model of coupled water- and CPA-transport, we estimated that rhesus monkey oocytes can tolerate volume excursions up to ~50% [37]. While the post-exposure survival results in the present study (i.e., no oocyte degeneration after one-step loading of 1.5 M Me₂SO involving ~40% volume excursion) are in agreement with the findings of Songsasen et al., we found that the fertilization and blastocyst rates were adversely affected by one-step loading of 1.5 M Me₂SO, suggesting that the membrane integrity as a sole criterion is not sufficient to determine the sensitivity of oocytes to osmotic stresses and CPA toxicity. The potential for osmotic stresses to cause sublethal injuries affecting oocyte function has previously been documented [1; 47; 49]. Some studies have suggested that cell volume excursions in excess of ±30% should be avoided when loading CPAs into human oocytes [52; 58; 59]. Considering the variability in oocyte quality [67; 72] and difference in toxicity of various CPAs [68], in the present study, we implemented an additional safety margin and limited volume excursions of oocytes to ±25% when optimizing CPA loading protocols. It should be noted that the simplified version of our two-step protocol for room-temperature loading exceeded our preset cell volume constraints and resulted in a volume excursion of 28%, but still delivered excellent results similar to controls, suggesting that mouse oocytes can tolerate volume excursions beyond ±25% in the presence of Me₂SO. In contrast, non-optimized one-step Me₂SO loading at 30°C, which induces a 34% volume excursion, resulted in significantly lower fertilization rates. Taken together, these results suggest that it might be a good idea to avoid volume excursions beyond 30% in the presence of potentially toxic CPAs, although the exact value of the osmotic tolerance limit is expected to vary depending on species, type of CPA, composition of cryopreservation medium, and loading temperature.

In the present study, the primary deleterious effect of the non-optimized Me₂SO loading was on fertilization. It is important to note that 1.5 M Me₂SO solution was prepared in PBS supplemented with BSA (i.e., in the absence of any appreciable Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺). In our previous study, when mouse M II oocytes were exposed to 1.5 M Me₂SO prepared in PBS

containing 10% FBS and thus small amounts of extracellular Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} , no significant adverse effect was observed [68]. We have performed similar experiments with PROH (i.e., exposure of mouse oocytes to 1.5 M PROH in the presence and absence of extracellular $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}$) and obtained similar results in our recent study, suggesting that extracellular presence/absence of $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}$ modulates cell injury induced by CPA loading [69]. Additional experiments in that study indicated that non-optimized loading of 1.5 M PROH perturbs intracellular Ca^{2+} homeostasis, leading to various injuries including fertilization failure. It has been shown that exposure to penetrating CPAs (including Me_2SO , PROH and EG) causes a transient rise in intracellular Ca^{2+} , which in turn induces premature cortical granule exocytosis [42; 43]. It is also known that premature cortical granule exocytosis in response to CPA exposure may result in hardening of the ZP, leading to fertilization failure [12; 24; 35; 43; 64; 74] and necessitating the use of work-around strategies such as ICSI. By showing a significant improvement in the fertilization rate of mouse oocytes that underwent zona slitting after one-step Me_2SO loading, the present results lend further support to a mechanism of CPA-induced cell injury that is triggered by perturbation of intracellular Ca^{2+} homeostasis. Significantly, all previous studies of this mechanism used non-optimized protocols when exposing oocytes to the penetrating CPAs. In contrast, the present experiments demonstrated that when osmotic stress was constrained (by limiting volume excursions to <25% in the optimized protocol, and 28% in the simplified versions), Me_2SO exposure did not significantly reduce fertilization rate in mouse oocytes. The absence of deleterious sequelae of Me_2SO exposure was also confirmed when the total exposure time to 1.5 M Me_2SO was increased to 15 min (equal to the duration of the non-optimized one-step protocol). These results indicate that the zona hardening mechanism described above is not caused by Me_2SO chemical toxicity alone, but due to a combined effect of Me_2SO exposure and osmotic stress. Therefore, the use of rigorous optimization methods to design CPA addition protocols that simultaneously minimize CPA toxicity and osmotic shock may reduce the need for ICSI after oocyte cryopreservation.

It is well known that the toxicity of penetrating CPAs is more pronounced at high temperatures [68]. Our results are consistent with this general trend in that non-optimized Me_2SO loading resulted in substantially lower fertilization rates at 30 °C than at room temperature. Because of this temperature-dependence of toxicity, it is commonly argued that improved results can be obtained by loading CPA at a hypothermic temperature, typically at room temperature or below [21; 61; 62]. However, CPA loading takes longer at reduced temperatures due to decreased membrane permeability [57; 72]; the extended exposure to CPA in the loading solution may, paradoxically, increase damage caused by chemical cytotoxicity. Alternatively, it might be possible to minimize the toxicity effects by loading penetrating CPAs at modestly elevated temperatures, thus minimizing the duration of CPA exposure [26]. This approach offers additional benefits in terms of avoiding chilling injury. In the present study, we developed CPA loading methods at 30°C to realize the benefits mentioned above. Indeed, our two-step optimized protocol at 30°C achieved loading of 1.5 M Me_2SO in 7.1 min and delivered survival, fertilization and blastocyst rates similar to those of controls. This positive result indicates that mathematically optimized protocols may help safe loading of CPAs at elevated temperatures by controlling volume excursions and reducing the total duration of CPA exposure.

Typically, CPA solutions are prepared by adding CPA to isotonic solutions or media. Consequently, we initially constrained our mathematical optimization algorithm to consider only loading solution compositions corresponding to mixtures of neat CPA and isotonic saline. Mullen et al. [50] have suggested that a reduced-osmolality diluent (~175 mOsmol/kg) may be beneficial during CPA loading, but they did not attempt to optimize the time of exposure. In a previous study [37] we have shown that mathematically optimized CPA removal procedures can be improved by allowing the computer algorithm to vary both the concentration of CPA and the concentration of non-permeating solute. Thus, in the current study we also explored the possibility of obtaining improved results by allowing the optimization algorithm to vary the non-permeating solute concentration (in addition to the CPA concentration and exposure time). This optimization approach produced a CPA loading strategy based on preparation of CPA solutions in a hypotonic buffer (50 mOsmol/L, the lowest salt osmolality permitted by the optimization algorithm constraints). The resulting computer-generated protocol was predicted to yield remarkably rapid loading of 1.5 M Me₂SO at 30 °C within 2.5 min (almost three times faster than one-step loading at this temperature), without exceeding critical volume excursion thresholds. Experimental tests of this prediction confirmed that survival, fertilization and blastocyst rates for oocytes loaded using this 2.5-min protocol were similar to those of untreated controls, when the diluent solution consisted of hypotonic PBS. Interestingly, when the hypotonic diluent was prepared using NaCl, the rates of fertilization and embryonic development were slightly reduced compared to controls (albeit still satisfactory); this demonstrates the importance of an appropriate salt composition during CPA loading. Encouraged by the success of this approach with mouse oocytes, we also used our computer algorithms to predict optimal protocols for loading of 1.5 M PROH into human oocytes. Our simulations showed that it should be possible to introduce the CPA into the oocytes in <90 s, by using the hypotonic diluent approach at 30°C. Significantly, Ca²⁺-mediated oocyte damage induced by PROH loading has been shown to be time-dependent, with >2 min exposure required for manifestation of injury [42]. Thus, the extremely rapid CPA addition protocols made possible by the hypotonic diluent strategy may prevent such time-dependent mechanisms of oocyte damage. Our experiments with mouse oocytes indicate that transient volume increases up to 25% during CPA loading using a hypotonic diluent have no negative impact on subsequent survival, fertilization and embryonic development.

Whereas in this study we have focused on loading of CPA at relatively low concentrations (for use in slow-cooling cryopreservation methods), it is important to point out that CPA toxicity is known to be more prominent at high concentrations (such as those required for vitrification); indeed, the need to overcome toxicity damage is a well-recognized challenge in the design of vitrification procedures [3; 22; 29; 44]. Thus, our present optimization approach, which is able to simultaneously reduce toxicity and osmotic stress, has the potential to result in significantly improved vitrification methods. It is likely that the use of more sophisticated cost functions, which take into account the concentration-dependence of the rate of damage accumulation [7], will prove beneficial when adapting our approach for vitrification applications.

In conclusion, our results show that inappropriate loading of moderate concentrations of penetrating CPAs may induce significant cell injury through a combined action of chemical

toxicity and osmotic perturbations. Such cell injuries can be mitigated by efficiently optimizing CPA loading protocols using physics-based computer simulations, as demonstrated in the present study. In particular, we showed that exceptionally fast CPA loading can be achieved by preparing the CPA loading solution using a hypotonic balanced salt buffer. Such rapid CPA loading processes may significantly improve the cryopreservation outcome of mammalian oocytes by minimizing exposure to potentially toxic CPAs while simultaneously limiting volume excursions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

FUNDING

This work was supported by a grant from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (Grant Number R01HD049537).

REFERENCES

1. Agca Y, Liu J, Rutledge JJ, Critser ES, Critser JK. Effect of osmotic stress on the developmental competence of germinal vesicle and metaphase II stage bovine cumulus oocyte complexes and its relevance to cryopreservation. *Mol Reprod Dev.* 2000; 55:212–219. [PubMed: 10618661]
2. Al-Hasani S, Diedrich K, van der Ven H, Reinecke A, Hartje M, Krebs D. Cryopreservation of human oocytes. *Hum Reprod.* 1987; 2:695–700. [PubMed: 3437048]
3. Almansoori KA, Prasad V, Forbes JF, Law GK, McGann LE, Elliott JA, Jomha NM. Cryoprotective agent toxicity interactions in human articular chondrocytes. *Cryobiology.* 2012; 64:185–191. [PubMed: 22274740]
4. Antinori M, Licata E, Dani G, Cerusico F, Versaci C, Antinori S. Cryotop vitrification of human oocytes results in high survival rate and healthy deliveries. *Reprod BioMed Online.* 2007; 14:73–79.
5. Arnaud FG, Pegg DE. Permeation of glycerol and propane-1,2-diol into human platelets. *Cryobiology.* 1990; 27:107–118. [PubMed: 2331885]
6. Ashwood-Smith MJ, Morris GW, Fowler R, Appleton TC, Ashorn R. Physical factors are involved in the destruction of embryos and oocytes during freezing and thawing procedures. *Hum Reprod.* 1988; 3:795–802. [PubMed: 3220945]
7. Benson JD, Kearsley AJ, Higgins AZ. Mathematical optimization of procedures for cryoprotectant equilibration using a toxicity cost function. *Cryobiology.* 2012; 64:144–151. [PubMed: 22248796]
8. Bielanski A, Nadin-Davis S, Sapp T, Lutze-Wallace C. Viral contamination of embryos cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen. *Cryobiology.* 2000; 40:110–116. [PubMed: 10788310]
9. Boldt J, Tidswell N, Sayers A, Kilani R, Cline D. Human oocyte cryopreservation: 5-year experience with a sodium-depleted slow freezing method. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2006; 13:96–100. [PubMed: 16820118]
10. Borini A, Lagalla C, Bonu MA, Bianchi V, Flamigni C, Coticchio G. Cumulative pregnancy rates resulting from the use of fresh and frozen oocytes: 7 years' experience. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2006; 12:481–486. [PubMed: 16740222]
11. Borini A, Levi Setti PE, Anserini P, De Luca R, De Santis L, Porcu E, La Sala GB, Ferraretti A, Bartolotti T, Coticchio G, Scaravelli G. Multicenter observational study on slow-cooling oocyte cryopreservation: clinical outcome. *Fertility and sterility.* 2010; 94:1662–1668. [PubMed: 20047739]
12. Carroll J, Depypere H, Matthews CD. Freeze-thaw-induced changes of the zona pellucida explains decreased rates of fertilization in frozen-thawed mouse oocytes. *J Reprod Fertil.* 1990; 90:547–553. [PubMed: 2250252]

13. Chen C. Pregnancy after human oocyte cryopreservation. *Lancet*. 1986; 1:884–886. [PubMed: 2870356]
14. Chen SU, Lien YR, Chao K, Lu HF, Ho HN, Yang YS. Cryopreservation of mature human oocytes by vitrification with ethylene glycol in straws. *Fertility and Sterility*. 2000; 74:804–808. [PubMed: 11020527]
15. Chian RC, Huang JY, Tan SL, Lucena E, Saa A, Rojas A, Ruvalcaba Castellon LA, Garcia Amador MI, Montoya Sarmiento JE. Obstetric and perinatal outcome in 200 infants conceived from vitrified oocytes. *Reproductive biomedicine online*. 2008; 16:608–610. [PubMed: 18492361]
16. Chuenkhum S, Cui Z. The parameter conversion from the Kedem-Katchalsky model into the two-parameter model. *Cryo Letters*. 2006; 27:185–199. [PubMed: 16892167]
17. Cobo A, Kuwayama M, Perez S, Ruiz A, Pellicer A, Remohi J. Comparison of concomitant outcome achieved with fresh and cryopreserved donor oocytes vitrified by the Cryotop method. *Fertility and sterility*. 2008; 89:1657–1464. [PubMed: 17889865]
18. Eroglu A, Toth TL, Toner M. Alterations of the cytoskeleton and polyploidy induced by cryopreservation of metaphase II mouse oocytes. *Fertil Steril*. 1998; 69:944–957. [PubMed: 9591507]
19. Eroglu A, Lawitts JA, Toner M, Toth TL. Quantitative microinjection of trehalose into mouse oocytes and its effect on development. *Cryobiology*. 2003; 46:121–134. [PubMed: 12686202]
20. Eroglu A, Bailey SE, Toner M, Toth TL. Successful cryopreservation of mouse oocytes by using low concentrations of trehalose and dimethylsulfoxide. *Biol Reprod*. 2009; 80:70–78. [PubMed: 18815355]
21. Fahy GM, Lilley TH, Linsdell H, Douglas MS, Meryman HT. Cryoprotectant Toxicity and Cryoprotectant Toxicity Reduction - in Search of Molecular Mechanisms. *Cryobiology*. 1990; 27:247–268. [PubMed: 2199153]
22. Fahy GM, Wowk B, Wu J, Paynter S. Improved vitrification solutions based on the predictability of vitrification solution toxicity. *Cryobiology*. 2004; 48:22–35. [PubMed: 14969679]
23. Gao DY, Liu J, Liu C, McGann LE, Watson PF, Kleinhans FW, Mazur P, Critser ES, Critser JK. Prevention of osmotic injury to human spermatozoa during addition and removal of glycerol. *Human reproduction*. 1995; 10:1109–1122. [PubMed: 7657750]
24. Ghetler Y, Skutelsky E, Ben Nun I, Ben Dor L, Amihai D, Shalgi R. Human oocyte cryopreservation and the fate of cortical granules. *Fertility and sterility*. 2006; 86:210–216. [PubMed: 16756978]
25. Glenister PH, Wood MJ, Kirby C, Whittingham DG. Incidence of chromosome anomalies in first-cleavage mouse embryos obtained from frozen-thawed oocytes fertilized in vitro. *Gamete research*. 1987; 16:205–216. [PubMed: 3506911]
26. Gook DA, Edgar DH. Implantation rates of embryos generated from slow cooled human oocytes from young women are comparable to those of fresh and frozen embryos from the same age group. *Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*. 2011; 28:1171–1176. [PubMed: 22127676]
27. Grifo JA, Noyes N. Delivery rate using cryopreserved oocytes is comparable to conventional in vitro fertilization using fresh oocytes: potential fertility preservation for female cancer patients. *Fertility and sterility*. 2010; 93:391–396. [PubMed: 19439285]
28. Grout BWW, Morris GJ. Contaminated liquid nitrogen vapour as a risk factor in pathogen transfer. *Theriogenology*. 2009; 71:1079–1082. [PubMed: 19215973]
29. Guan N, Blomsma SA, van Midwoud PM, Fahy GM, Groothuis GM, de Graaf IA. Effects of cryoprotectant addition and washout methods on the viability of precision-cut liver slices. *Cryobiology*. 2012; 65:179–187. [PubMed: 22722061]
30. Herr CM, Reed KC. Micromanipulation of Bovine Embryos for Sex Determination. *Theriogenology*. 1991; 35:45–54.
31. Higgins AZ, Cullen DK, LaPlaca MC, Karlsson JO. Effects of freezing profile parameters on the survival of cryopreserved rat embryonic neural cells. *J Neurosci Methods*. 2011; 201:9–16. [PubMed: 21816171]
32. Huang JYJ, Chen HY, Tan SL, Chian RC. Effects of osmotic stress and cryoprotectant toxicity on mouse oocyte fertilization and subsequent embryonic development in vitro. *Cell Preserv Technol*. 2006; 4:149–160.

33. Hunt CJ, Armitage SE, Pegg DE. Cryopreservation of umbilical cord blood:1 Osmotically inactive volume, hydraulic conductivity and permeability of CD34(+) cells to dimethyl, sulphoxide. *Cryobiology*. 2003; 46:61–75. [PubMed: 12623029]
34. Johnson MH, Pickering SJ. The effect of dimethylsulphoxide on the microtubular system of the mouse oocyte. *Development*. 1987; 100:313–324. [PubMed: 3652973]
35. Johnson MH, Pickering SJ, George MA. The influence of cooling on the properties of the zona pellucida of the mouse oocyte. *Hum Reprod*. 1988; 3:383–387. [PubMed: 3372700]
36. Karlsson JO, Eroglu A, Toth TL, Cravalho EG, Toner M. Fertilization and development of mouse oocytes cryopreserved using a theoretically optimized protocol. *Hum Reprod*. 1996; 11:1296–1305. [PubMed: 8671443]
37. Karlsson JO, Younis AI, Chan AW, Gould KG, Eroglu A. Permeability of the rhesus monkey oocyte membrane to water and common cryoprotectants. *Mol Reprod Dev*. 2009; 76:321–333. [PubMed: 18932214]
38. Kleinhans FW. Membrane permeability modeling: Kedem-Katchalsky vs a two-parameter formalism. *Cryobiology*. 1998; 37:271–289. [PubMed: 9917344]
39. Konc J, Kanyo K, Varga E, Kriston R, Cseh S. Births resulting from oocyte cryopreservation using a slow freezing protocol with propanediol and sucrose. *Systems biology in reproductive medicine*. 2008; 54:205–210. [PubMed: 18942028]
40. Kuleshova L, Gianaroli L, Magli C, Ferraretti A, Trounson A. Birth following vitrification of a small number of human oocytes: case report. *Hum Reprod*. 1999; 14:3077–3079. [PubMed: 10601099]
41. Kuwayama M, Vajta G, Kato O, Leibo SP. Highly efficient vitrification method for cryopreservation of human oocytes. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2005; 11:300–308. [PubMed: 16176668]
42. Larman MG, Sheehan CB, Gardner DK. Calcium-free vitrification reduces cryoprotectant-induced zona pellucida hardening and increases fertilization rates in mouse oocytes. *Reproduction*. 2006; 131:53–61. [PubMed: 16388009]
43. Larman MG, Katz-Jaffe MG, Sheehan CB, Gardner DK. 1,2-propanediol and the type of cryopreservation procedure adversely affect mouse oocyte physiology. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:250–259. [PubMed: 16905767]
44. Lawson A, Ahmad H, Sambanis A. Cytotoxicity effects of cryoprotectants as single-component and cocktail vitrification solutions. *Cryobiology*. 2011; 62:115–122. [PubMed: 21262212]
45. Leibo SP, McGrath JJ, Cravalho EG. Microscopic observation of intracellular ice formation in unfertilized mouse ova as a function of cooling rate. *Cryobiology*. 1978; 15:257–271. [PubMed: 710156]
46. McDonald CA, Valluzo L, Chuang L, Poleshchuk F, Copperman AB, Barritt J. Nitrogen vapor shipment of vitrified oocytes: time for caution. *Fertility and Sterility*. 2011; 95:2628–2630. [PubMed: 21704214]
47. McWilliams RB, Gibbons WE, Leibo SP. Osmotic and physiological responses of mouse zygotes and human oocytes to mono- and disaccharides. *Human reproduction*. 1995; 10:1163–1171. [PubMed: 7657759]
48. Mukherjee IN, Song YC, Sambanis A. Cryoprotectant delivery and removal from murine insulinomas at vitrification-relevant concentrations. *Cryobiology*. 2007; 55:10–18. [PubMed: 17533114]
49. Mullen SF, Agca Y, Broermann DC, Jenkins CL, Johnson CA, Critser JK. The effect of osmotic stress on the metaphase II spindle of human oocytes and the relevance to cryopreservation. *Human reproduction*. 2004; 19:1148–1154. [PubMed: 15070883]
50. Mullen SF, Li M, Li Y, Chen ZJ, Critser JK. Human oocyte vitrification: the permeability of metaphase II oocytes to water and ethylene glycol and the appliance toward vitrification. *Fertility and Sterility*. 2008; 89:1812–1825. [PubMed: 17681308]
51. Nakagata N, Okamoto M, Ueda O, Suzuki H. Positive effect of partial zona-pellucida dissection on the in vitro fertilizing capacity of cryopreserved C57BL/6J transgenic mouse spermatozoa of low motility. *Biology of reproduction*. 1997; 57:1050–1055. [PubMed: 9369169]

52. Newton H, Pegg DE, Barrass R, Gosden RG. Osmotically inactive volume hydraulic conductivity and permeability to dimethyl sulphoxide of human mature oocytes. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility*. 1999; 117:27–33. [PubMed: 10645242]
53. Oda K, Gibbons WE, Leibo SP. Osmotic shock of fertilized mouse ova. *J Reprod Fertil*. 1992; 95:737–747. [PubMed: 1404091]
54. Papis K, Shimizu M, Izaikae Y. Factors affecting the survivability of bovine oocytes vitrified in droplets. *Theriogenology*. 2000; 54:651–658. [PubMed: 11101028]
55. Parkening TA, Tsunoda Y, Chang MC. Effects of various low temperatures cryoprotective agents and cooling rates on the survival fertilizability and development of frozen-thawed mouse eggs. *J Exp Zool*. 1976; 197:369–374. [PubMed: 965915]
56. Parmegiani L, Bertocci F, Garello C, Salvarani MC, Tambuscio G, Fabbri R. Efficiency of human oocyte slow freezing: results from five assisted reproduction centres. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online*. 2009; 18:352–359. [PubMed: 19298734]
57. Paynter SJ, Fuller BJ, Shaw RW. Temperature dependence of mature mouse oocyte membrane permeabilities in the presence of cryoprotectant. *Cryobiology*. 1997; 34:122–130. [PubMed: 9130385]
58. Paynter SJ, ONeil L, Fuller BJ, Shaw RW. Membrane permeability of human oocytes in the presence of the cryoprotectant propane-1,2-diol. *Fertility and Sterility*. 2001; 75:532–538. [PubMed: 11239537]
59. Paynter SJ, Borini A, Bianchi V, De Santis L, Flamigni C, Coticchio G. Volume changes of mature human oocytes on exposure to cryoprotectant solutions used in slow cooling procedures. *Human Reproduction*. 2005; 20:1194–1199. [PubMed: 15665009]
60. Porcu E, Fabbri R, Seracchioli R, Ciotti PM, Magrini O, Flamigni C. Birth of a healthy female after intracytoplasmic sperm injection of cryopreserved human oocytes. *Fertil Steril*. 1997; 68:724–726. [PubMed: 9341619]
61. Rall WF, Fahy GM. Ice-Free Cryopreservation of Mouse Embryos at –196-Degrees-C by Vitrification. *Nature*. 1985; 313:573–575. [PubMed: 3969158]
62. Rall WF. Factors Affecting the Survival of Mouse Embryos Cryopreserved by Vitrification. *Cryobiology*. 1987; 24:387–402. [PubMed: 3652721]
63. Rienzi L, Cobo A, Paffoni A, Scarduelli C, Capalbo A, Vajta G, Remohi J, Ragni G, Ubaldi FM. Consistent and predictable delivery rates after oocyte vitrification: an observational longitudinal cohort multicentric study. *Human reproduction*. 2012; 27:1606–1612. [PubMed: 22442248]
64. Schalkoff ME, Oskowitz SP, Powers RD. Ultrastructural Observations of Human and Mouse Oocytes Treated with Cryopreservatives. *Biology of Reproduction*. 1989; 40:379–393. [PubMed: 2720033]
65. Shaw JM, Trounson AO. Parthenogenetic activation of unfertilized mouse oocytes by exposure to 1,2-propanediol is influenced by temperature oocyte age and cumulus removal. *Gamete Res*. 1989; 24:269–279. [PubMed: 2599505]
66. Smith GD, Serafini PC, Fioravanti J, Yadid I, Coslovsky M, Hassun P, Alegretti JR, Motta EL. Prospective randomized comparison of human oocyte cryopreservation with slow-rate freezing or vitrification. *Fertility and sterility*. 2010; 94:2088–2095. [PubMed: 20171613]
67. Songsasen N, Ratterree MS, VandeVoort CA, Pegg DE, Leibo SP. Permeability characteristics and osmotic sensitivity of rhesus monkey (*Macaca mulatta*) oocytes. *Hum Reprod*. 2002; 17:1875–1884. [PubMed: 12093854]
68. Szurek EA, Eroglu A. Comparison and avoidance of toxicity of penetrating cryoprotectants. *PloS one*. 2011; 6:e27604. [PubMed: 22110685]
69. Szurek EA, Miao D, Eroglu A. Alleviation of Toxicity of 1,2-Propanediol to Mammalian Oocytes. In preparation. 2013
70. Tedder RS, Zuckerman MA, Goldstone AH, Hawkins AE, Fielding A, Briggs EM, Irwin D, Blair S, Gorman AM, Patterson KG, Linch DC, Heptonstall J, Brink NS. Hepatitis B transmission from contaminated cryopreservation tank. *Lancet*. 1995; 346:137–140. [PubMed: 7603227]
71. Tucker MJ, Wright G, Morton PC, Massey JB. Birth after cryopreservation of immature oocytes with subsequent in vitro maturation. *Fertil Steril*. 1998; 70:578–579. [PubMed: 9757897]

72. Van den Abbeel E, Schneider U, Liu J, Agca Y, Critser JK, Van Steirteghem A. Osmotic responses and tolerance limits to changes in external osmolalities, and oolemma permeability characteristics of human in vitro matured MII oocytes. *Hum Reprod.* 2007; 22:1959–1972. [PubMed: 17428880]
73. Van der Elst J, Van den Abbeel E, Nerinckx S, Van Steirteghem A. Parthenogenetic activation pattern and microtubular organization of the mouse oocyte after exposure to 1,2-propanediol. *Cryobiology.* 1992; 29:549–562. [PubMed: 1424712]
74. Vincent C, Pickering SJ, Johnson MH. The hardening effect of dimethylsulphoxide on the mouse zona pellucida requires the presence of an oocyte and is associated with a reduction in the number of cortical granules present. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility.* 1990; 89:253–259. [PubMed: 2374118]
75. Vincent C, Johnson MH. Cooling cryoprotectants and the cytoskeleton of the mammalian oocyte. *Oxf Rev Reprod Biol.* 1992; 14:73–100. [PubMed: 1437216]
76. Whittingham DG. Fertilization in vitro and development to term of unfertilized mouse oocytes previously stored at –196 degrees C. *J Reprod Fertil.* 1977; 49:89–94. [PubMed: 833794]
77. Yoon TK, Kim TJ, Park SE, Hong SW, Ko JJ, Chung HM, Cha KY. Live births after vitrification of oocytes in a stimulated in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer program. *Fertility and sterility.* 2003; 79:1323–1326. [PubMed: 12798878]

Figure 1. Optimization of Me₂SO loading at room temperature (24°C)

Simulated intracellular CPA concentration (A) and normalized cell volume (B) for addition of 1.5 M Me₂SO to mouse M II oocytes. These simulations used an isotonic buffer for preparation of the Me₂SO solution. Results are shown for the predicted optimal two-step loading protocol (solid lines), as well as a non-optimized one-step loading protocol (dashed lines). Symbols mark the time at which the target intracellular CPA level has been reached. The critical values of the cell volume excursion ($\pm 25\%$) are demarcated by dotted reference lines (B). Survival, fertilization, and development of mouse oocytes after addition and removal of 1.5 M Me₂SO using non-optimized one-step loading and optimized two-step loading protocols (C), and two simplified versions of the optimized protocol (D), as well as after exposure to 0.5 M galactose (D). Data represent mean $\pm$ SEM. Bars with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Figure 2. Optimization of Me₂SO loading at 30°C

Simulated intracellular CPA concentration (A) and normalized cell volume (B) for addition of 1.5 M Me₂SO to mouse M II oocytes. These simulations used an isotonic buffer for preparation of the Me₂SO solution. Results are shown for the predicted optimal two-step loading protocol (solid lines), as well as a non-optimized one-step loading protocol (dashed lines). Symbols mark the time at which the target intracellular CPA level has been reached. The critical values of the cell volume excursion ($\pm 25\%$) are demarcated by dotted reference lines (B). (C) Survival, fertilization, and development of mouse oocytes after addition and removal of 1.5 M Me₂SO using the non-optimized one-step loading and optimized two-step loading protocols. Data represent mean $\pm$ SEM. Bars with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

Figure 3. Optimization of Me₂SO loading at 30°C using a hypotonic diluent buffer

(A) Simulated intracellular CPA concentration (dashed line) and normalized cell volume (solid line) for a novel two-step method for addition of 1.5 M Me₂SO to mouse M II oocytes, requiring only 2.5 min of Me₂SO exposure. Symbols mark the time at which the target intracellular CPA level has been reached. (B) Survival, fertilization, and embryonic development of mouse oocytes after addition and removal of 1.5 M Me₂SO that had been prepared using hypotonic diluent. Data represent mean±SEM. Bars with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

Figure 4. Prediction of an optimized PROH loading procedure for human oocytes at 24°C using a hypotonic diluent buffer

Simulated intracellular CPA concentration (A) and normalized cell volume (B) for addition of 1.5 M PROH to human M II oocytes at room temperature (24°C). Results are shown for the predicted optimal two-step loading protocol (solid lines), as well as a conventional single-step loading protocol (dashed lines). The critical values of the cell volume excursion ($\pm 25\%$) are demarcated by dotted reference lines (B). Symbols mark the time at which the target intracellular CPA concentration has been reached. The computer generated optimal

two-step protocol specifies the use of a hypotonic diluent buffer for the initial CPA solution (see text for details).

Figure 5. Prediction of optimized PROH loading procedures for human oocytes at 30 °C
 Simulated intracellular CPA concentration (A) and normalized cell volume (B) for addition of 1.5 M PROH to human oocytes at 30°C using either a conventional one-step loading protocol (dash-dotted lines) or an optimized two-step method (solid lines). The critical values of the cell volume excursion ($\pm 25\%$) are demarcated by dotted reference lines (B). For comparison, the results using an alternative optimization algorithm (Benson et al., 2012) are also shown (dashed lines). Symbols mark the time at which the target intracellular CPA level has been reached (not shown for one-step loading). For both optimization methods, the

computer-generated loading protocols specified the use of a hypotonic diluent buffer for the initial CPA exposure (see text for details).