Published in final edited form as:

J Colloid Interface Sci. 2012 January 1; 365(1): 178–183. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2011.08.081.

A General Method to Determine Ionization Constants of Responsive Polymer Thin Films

Hui Wang, Irene H. Lee, and Mingdi Yan*

Department of Chemistry, Portland State University, PO Box 751, Portland, OR, U.S.A.
97207-0751

Abstract

A general method has been developed to determine the ionization constants of polymer thin films based on the stimuli-responsiveness of the polymer. Robust polymer films were fabricated on silicon wafers and gold slides using perfluorophenyl azide (PFPA) as the coupling agent. The ionization constants were measured by a number of techniques including ellipsometry, dynamic contact angle goniometry, and surface plasmon resonance imaging (SPRi). Using poly(4-vinylpyridine) (P4VP) as the model system, P4VP thin films were fabricated and the ionization constants of the films were measured taking advantage of the pH responsive property of the polymer. The pK_a determined by ellipsometry, ~ 4.0 , reflects the swelling of the polymer film in response to pH. The pK_a value calculated from the dynamic contact angle measurements, ~ 5.0 , relies on the change in hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity of the films as the polymer undergoes protonation/deprotonation. The pK_a value measured by SPRi, ~ 4.9 , monitors *in situ* the change of refractive index of the polymer thin film as it swells upon protonation. This was the first example where SPRi was used to measure the ionization constant of polymers.

Keywords

Polymer thin films; covalent immobilization; ionization constant; poly(4-vinylpyridine); contact angle titration; surface plasmon resonance imaging

Introduction

Responsive polymers, whose molecular conformations and polymer chain sizes change in response to the environment conditions such as pH, temperature, light, electric field, solvent, ionic strength, have become an area of high interest and have demonstrated potential in a wide range of applications including sensors, biomedical devices, drug delivery, tissue engineering and microfluidic devices.[1–9] For example, pH-responsive polymers, often containing acidic or basic groups, can swell or collapse upon accepting or releasing protons in response to pH changes in the environment.[10–17] The pH-responsive polymers have

To whom correspondence may be addressed. Fax: 503-725-9525. Tel: 503-725-5756. yanm@pdx.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

been used to form “smart surfaces” in numerous applications such as cell adhesion,[18, 19] drug delivery,[13, 15–17] biosensors,[14] and microfluidic devices.[20]

Because the physical properties of the polymer change in response to external conditions, responsive polymers can be used to determine the related physical parameters of the polymer. For example, Russell et al. determined the elastic property of polymer thin films by measuring the number and length of wrinkles produced by a drop of water placed on a free-floating polymer thin film.[21] In the work of Radmacher and coworkers, gelatin thin films swelled upon treatment with solvents. The apparent Young's modulus of the film, dependent on the degree of swelling, was subsequently measured by atomic force microscopy.[22]

The pH-responsive polymers often contain ionizable groups that associate or dissociate depending on the external pH. The ionization constant is therefore an important parameter in understanding the ionization behavior of pH-responsive polymers as well as in the design of devices based on these polymers.[23] The ionization constant of a pH-responsive polymer thin film may differ from that of the corresponding bulk polymer, since the local environment around the film is different from that in the bulk polymer.[24, 25]

Various methods have been used to determine the ionization constants of polymer films, including contact angle titration,[24, 25] electrochemical titration,[26] IR spectroscopy,[23, 27, 28] Raman spectroscopy,[29] chemical force microscopy,[30] neutron reflection,[31] and quartz crystal microbalance (QCM).[32] For example, Mika et al. determined the pK_a of P4VP films anchored within the pores of polypropylene microfiltration membranes by potentiometric titrations and changes in membrane thickness.[26] Franck-Lacaze and coworkers measured pK_a of P4VP films grafted on poly(tetrafluoroethylene-*co*-hexafluoropropylene) (ARATM) and poly(ethylene-*co*-tetrafluoroethylene) (AWTM) membranes by confocal Raman spectroscopy.[29] Generally, these methods can be divided into two types: sensitive only to the uppermost layer of the polymer film, e.g., contact angle goniometry and chemical force microscopy, and sensitive to the bulk polymer film, e.g., IR spectroscopy and QCM.

A technical challenge in measuring the ionization constants of polymer thin films is the stability of the films. The ionizable polymers often become soluble or detach from the substrate under the experimental conditions. Robust polymer thin films are therefore needed in order to carry out the measurements across a wide range of pH without deteriorating the films. Covalent immobilization increases the stability of the polymer film, which can be accomplished by surface-initiated polymerization or by grafting a functionalized polymer to the substrate by a specific coupling reaction. For example, Mika *et al.* prepared P4VP-modified membranes by photopolymerizing 4-vinylpyridine within the pores of a polypropylene filtration membrane.[26] In the work of Franck-Lacaze and coworkers, the samples were prepared by radiochemically grafting P4VP on ARATM and AWTM membranes.[29] In this article, we apply a general photocoupling chemistry to prepare robust and stable polymer thin films.[33–38] The substrate is functionalized with PFPA, and polymer thin films are then covalently immobilized by UV irradiation. The method is applicable to a variety of polymers and no chemical derivatization is needed. Using P4VP as a model system, we fabricated P4VP thin films and subsequently measured the acid

dissociation constants of the films by three different techniques: ellipsometry, contact angle goniometry, and SPRi.

Experimental Procedures and Methods

Materials

Milli-Q water for contact angle measurements as well as for cleaning gold slides and silicon wafer was obtained from a Millipore Milli-Q system with at least 18.2 M Ω resistivity. Concentrated H₂SO₄ (98%), H₂O₂ (35%), 2-propanol, 1-butanol, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), dichloromethane and chloroform were purchased from Fisher. Dichloromethane was dried by refluxing over CaH₂ for 3 h and was distilled before use. Other solvents were used as received. P4VP (Ave. M_w ca 160,000) was used as received from Aldrich. Phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) was purchased from Sigma. Sodium phosphate monobasic (NaH₂PO₄•H₂O) was purchased from Mallinckrodt, Inc. (St. Louis, MO). Sodium phosphate dibasic (Na₂HPO₄•7H₂O) was purchased from J. T. Baker Chemical Co. (Phillipsburg, NJ).

PFPA-disulfide[39] and PFPA-silane[33, 35] (Scheme 1) were synthesized according to previously published procedures. The compounds were freshly prepared and purified using a silica-gel column before they were used to treat the gold slides and silicon wafers.

Immobilization of P4VP on Gold Slides

Gold-coated (~1000 nm in thickness) silicon wafers with a 2 nm Ti as the adhesion layer were cut into 1 × 1 inch pieces, cleaned in the piranha solution (3:1 v/v conc. H₂SO₄/H₂O₂) for 15 minutes, washed in boiling water 3 times for 60 minutes each, and then dried carefully under a stream of nitrogen. (Caution: the piranha solution reacts vigorously with organic solvents.) The cleaned gold slides were soaked in a solution of PFPA-disulfide in chloroform (10 mM) for 24 hours. The slides were then rinsed with chloroform and dried under nitrogen.

The PFPA-functionalized gold slides were spin-coated with a solution of P4VP in 2-propanol or 1-butanol (10 mg/mL) on a spin-coater (P6204, Specialty Coating Systems, Inc., Indianapolis, IN) at 2000 rpm for 60 seconds. The slides were irradiated for 5 min with a medium-pressure Hg lamp (450 W, Hanovia Ltd.). The lamp reached its full power after ~2.5 min warm-up to an intensity of 3.5 mW/cm² at 18 cm from the light source as measured by an UV power meter (OAI 306, Optical Associates Inc. Milpitas, CA) with a 260-nm sensor. The slides were then washed thoroughly in 2-propanol, 1-butanol or DMSO for 12 hours followed by Milli-Q water for 3 times at 1 hour each, and dried under nitrogen.

Immobilization of P4VP on Silicon Wafers

Silicon wafers were cut into 1 × 1 inch pieces, cleaned in the piranha solution at 80–90 °C for 1 hour, washed in boiling water three times for 60 minutes each, and then dried carefully under a stream of nitrogen. The cleaned wafers were soaked in a solution of PFPA-silane in toluene (12.6 mM) for 4 hours, rinsed with toluene, and dried under nitrogen. The wafers were allowed to cure at room temperature for 24 hours.

The cured wafers were spin-coated with a solution of P4VP in 2-propanol (10 mg/mL), and were irradiated with the medium-pressure Hg lamp. The films were washed thoroughly by soaking in 2-propanol for 12 hours followed by Milli-Q water for 3 times at 1 hour each, and dried under nitrogen.

Ellipsometry

Phosphate buffers of varying pH were prepared by mixing $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in the appropriate proportions and the pH measured using a pH meter. P4VP films were soaked in each phosphate buffer solution for 10 minutes, rinsed quickly with water and dried with nitrogen. Film thickness measurements were performed at room temperature ($\sim 20^\circ\text{C}$) on an ellipsometer (Model L116A, Gaertner Scientific Co.) with a He/Ne laser (632.8 nm, 2 mW, Melles Griot) at the incident angle of 70° in the manual mode. The real and imaginary parts of the refractive index of the freshly cleaned gold films were measured to be 0.2275 (N_s) and -3.4275 (K_s), respectively. The refractive indices (N_f) of 1.60 for P4VP[40] and 1.50 for PFPA-disulfide were used in calculating the film thickness. For each sample, 3 different spots on each sample were chosen and the thicknesses were measured and averaged.

Contact Angle Measurements

Contact angles were measured on a goniometer (model 250, Ramé-Hart Instrument Co., Netcong, NJ). The advancing contact angle (θ_A) was determined by placing a drop of corresponding buffer from a syringe dispenser attached to the instrument, advancing the periphery of the drop by adding corresponding buffer at the rate of $0.05 \mu\text{L/s}$ at a time interval of 1.0 s, and recording the contact angle as well as the diameter of the droplet. The receding contact angle (θ_R) was measured by withdrawing buffer from the drop at the same rate and time interval, and recording the contact angle and the diameter of the droplet. The needle was kept inside the buffer droplet throughout the measurements. Data were recorded and analyzed using the DROPimage Advanced v2.2 software provided by Ramé-Hart.

Fabrication of Polymer Arrays on SPR Sensor Chips

SPR sensor chips were prepared as follows. High refractive index N-SF10 glass slides ($18 \text{ mm} \times 18 \text{ mm}$, SCHOTT Glass Technology, Inc., PA) were cleaned in the piranha solution at room temperature for 60 minutes and washed thoroughly in boiling water three times for 60 minutes each. The slides were then dried with nitrogen and coated with a 2 nm thick Ti followed by a 45 nm gold film in an electron beam evaporator (SEC-600, CHA Industries, Fremont, CA) at the Microfabrication Lab, Washington Technology Center (University of Washington).

The SPR chips were cleaned and treated with PFPA-disulfide using the same procedures as those for the regular gold slides described above. P4VP arrays were generated by manually spotting solutions of P4VP in 2-propanol (1 mg/mL) onto the SPR chip using a pipettor tip. The printed SPR chip was dried under vacuum for 20 minutes. The chip was then irradiated with the medium-pressure Hg lamp, washed thoroughly in 2-propanol for 12 hours followed by Milli-Q water for 3 times at 1 hour each, and finally dried under nitrogen.

SPR Imaging

SPRi experiments were conducted at room temperature using a SPRImager® II system (GWC Technologies, Inc.). The incident angle was optimized and was kept constant in all experiments. The polymer array chip was primed in pH 7.4 PBS buffer (0.1 M) until a stable baseline was reached. PBS buffers (0.1 M) of various pH were then injected and SPR responses recorded. The flow rate was kept at 100 μ L/min. Data acquisition was carried out by selecting the area within printed spots on a microarray image, i.e., region of interest (ROI). An average of 30 images/frames was utilized and SPR signals converted to normalized percentage in reflectivity (% R) following the protocol provided by GWC. All SPR images were collected and analyzed using the Digital Optics V++ image Version 4 software package provided by the manufacturer.

Results and Discussion

Fabrication of P4VP Thin Films

P4VP thin films were fabricated following a general procedure developed in our laboratory. [34, 35, 37, 41] Briefly, gold slides or wafers were treated with PFPA-disulfide or PFPA-silane to introduce PFPA on the surface (Scheme 1). P4VP was then spin-coated or printed on the PFPA-functionalized surface followed by UV irradiation. The deep UV light emitted from the medium-pressure Hg lamp generates free radicals in P4VP which combine to give crosslinked products.[32] At the same time, UV irradiation converts PFPA to singlet perfluorophenyl nitrene, which undergoes CH insertion reaction with P4VP to covalently attach P4VP to the substrate.[37, 42–44] The samples were then soaked in chloroform to remove un-attached polymers, and were dried to give P4VP thin films or arrays (Scheme 1).

Determination of pK_a by Ellipsometry

P4VP is a basic polymer that swells upon protonation. The swelling is attributed to the electrostatic repulsion between adjacent charges on the polymer and/or the osmotic pressure effect, where water enters the polymer to equalize the charge density difference between the polymer and the solution.[45] Therefore, by measuring the thickness of the polymer film as a function of pH, the ionization constant can be derived by applying the Hendersen-Hasselbalch equation (Eq. 1) as the pH when $[P4VP] = [P4VPH^+]$, i.e., when 50% of the polymer is protonated.

(1)

To ensure that the P4VP films adhere well to the substrate, the gold slides were functionalized with PFPA-disulfide before spin-coating P4VP. UV irradiation crosslinked the polymer and at the same time covalently attached the films onto the gold slides via the surface PFPA. The film was then soaked in a pH 7.8 phosphate buffer for 5 min, rinsed quickly with water to remove residual salts, and the film thickness was measured by ellipsometry. This process was repeated using phosphate buffers of different pH, and the film thicknesses were measured after each treatment. Results show that the film thickness increased as the pH of the buffer decreased (Figure 1a). The P4VP film was expected to protonate at lower pH, therefore the crosslinked polymer film would swell and the film thickness would increase. The data were then fit to a logistic function, and the pK_a was determined as the pH where the P4VP film thickness was 50% of the maximum when the film was fully protonated and swollen (Eq. 1). For the data shown in Figure 1a, curve fitting gave a pK_a of ca. 4.0 for the P4VP films. This result was similar to the reported pK_a value of a bulk P4VP polymer (4.0).[46]

A second set of experiments was carried out where the crosslinked P4VP film was first treated in pH 1.0 buffer, and then with buffers of increasing pH and the film thicknesses were measured. In this case, the cycle began when the film was fully swollen at pH 1.0 and was at its greatest film thickness. As the pH of the buffer increased, the film became thinner (Figure 1b). Again, an S-shaped curve was obtained when fitting the data with the logistic function (Figure 1b). The pK_a value, determined by curve fitting, ca. ~4.0, matches the value obtained from Figure 1a where the cycle began at pH 7.6 when the film was in the non-swollen state. These results not only demonstrate that the method is reliable, but also confirm that the film swelling is reversible.

Determination of pK_a by Contact Angle Goniometry

The P4VP films prepared by spin-coating from the chloroform solution had a static water contact angle of $67^\circ \pm 1.9$. When P4VP is protonated, the film becomes more hydrophilic and the contact angle is expected to decrease. Therefore, by treating the P4VP film with varying pH and measuring the contact angle of the resulting film, the pK_a value can be derived from the contact angle – pH titration curve. In the experiments, P4VP thin films were immersed in

0.1 M phosphate buffers of varying pH for 10 minutes each, and dried. The static, θ_A and θ_R values were then measured using the corresponding buffer solutions, and results were plotted against pH of the buffer (Figures 2a-2c). The contact angle hysteresis, defined as $\theta_A - \theta_R$ was computed from each measurement and the results were plotted against pH (Figure 2d). Surprisingly, none of the contact angle titration curves showed the anticipated S shape. Instead, both static and advancing contact angles reached their highest values between pH 5.0 and 5.5 (Figures 2a, 2b). The receding contact angle also peaked at around pH 5.0 (Figure 2c), although the value did not change as drastically as the values of the static or the advancing contact angles.

In addition, the hysteresis also reached the highest value between pH 5.0 and 5.5 (Figure 2d). Generally, the contact angle hysteresis reflects the extent of interactions between the liquid droplet and the surface, and is related to the surface roughness and chemical heterogeneity.[47] A higher hysteresis suggests a surface that is more heterogeneous or rougher. At $\text{pH} = \text{pK}_a$, 50% of the pyridyl groups are protonated leaving the other 50% in the un-protonated neutral state. At this point, the P4VP film would be the most heterogeneous chemically. Evidences of this chemical heterogeneity can also be found during the courses of dynamic contact angle measurements. Figure 3a shows a typical graph of the advancing contact angle measurement where the contact angle remained mostly unchanged throughout the course of the titration.[48] At pH 5.04, however, multiple breaking points were observed during the titration (Figure 3b), likely due to the heterogeneity of the film.

Determination of pK_a by SPRi

The pH responsive behavior of the P4VP thin films was further studied using SPRi. SPR is a label-free and real-time sensing technique. In addition, SPRi allows the simultaneous detection of multiple interactions on an array,[49–55] and therefore, different polymers can be spotted and the pK_a values measured simultaneously. The samples were prepared by first treating the SPR sensor chips with PFPA-disulfide. P4VP was then spotted on the chip and was subsequently immobilized by UV irradiation. The SPR sensorgrams were obtained by injecting phosphate buffers of varying pH into the flow cell containing the sample, and responses were recorded in real time. The SPR signal, presented as % R , decreased from pH 7.7 to 5.7 (Figure 4a). The SPR response increased drastically when the pH 5.0 buffer was introduced. The signal continued to increase at pH 4.6, after which, remained relatively constant at pH below 4.0 (Figure 4a).

The SPR responses, i.e., % R , were then plotted against pH, and results are shown in Figure 4b. Ideally, an S curve similar to those in Figure 1 was to be expected. However, as the pH changed from 7.7 to 6.6 and 5.7, instead of remaining constant, the signal decreased (Figure 4b). SPR is related to the refractive index of the dielectric layer on the Au surface, and the signal is proportional to the change in the refractive index and surface concentration (mass/area) of the dielectric layer.[56] The drastic increase in the SPR response from pH 5.7 to 4.6 can be attributed to the swelling of the P4VP film where the film thickness increased significantly. The decrease in the SPR signal from 7.8 to 5.7 is puzzling, however. The protonation-induced swelling is minimal in this pH range. A decrease in the SPR response

could be caused by a decrease in the refractive index and/or the surface concentration of the P4VP layer, the nature of which is currently under investigation. Assuming minimal swelling in the pH range of 7.8-5.7, the data were fitted to an S curve ($R^2 = 0.94$), from which the pK_a value was determined to be ~ 4.9 .

Conclusions

In summary, the pH responsive property of P4VP was successfully utilized to measure the ionization constants of P4VP thin films. The films were fabricated by UV irradiation which simultaneously crosslinks the polymer and covalently immobilizes the film. The process is general and simple, and the resulting films were robust and could withstand the experimental conditions including *in situ* measurements at low pH. The pK_a values were measured by ellipsometry, dynamic contact angle, and SPRi. Ellipsometry monitors the swelling of the polymer films in response to pH and measures the film thickness in the dry state. The contact angle reflects the hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity of the surface layer as the polymer films becomes protonated/deprotonated. Instead of the typical S-curve observed in the ellipsometry measurements, the contact angles and hysteresis took the largest value at pK_a , reflecting the highest chemical heterogeneity of the films at $pH=pK_a$. SPR, being a real time sensing technique, reflects the change in refractive index of the polymer film. By monitoring the SPR signals in response to pH *in situ*, the pK_a value of the P4VP film was successfully determined. This represents the first example where the SPRi technique has been employed to measure the ionization constants of polymer thin films. The method developed is general and can be readily applied to other responsive polymers.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of General Medical Science (NIGMS) under NIH Award Numbers R01GM080295 and 2R15GM066279.

References

1. Minko, S. Responsive Polymer Materials: Design and Applications. Wiley-Blackwell; 2006.
2. Osada Y, Gong JP. Prog. Polym. Sci. 1993; 18:187.
3. Luzinov I, Minko S, Tsukruk VV. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2004; 29:635.
4. Sukhishvili SA. Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. 2005; 10:37.
5. Minko S. Polym. Rev. 2006; 46:397.
6. Ahn SK, Kasi RM, Kim SC, Sharma N, Zhou YX. Soft Matter. 2008; 4:1151.
7. Stuart MAC, Huck WTS, Genzer J, Muller M, Ober C, Stamm M, Sukhorukov GB, Szleifer I, Tsukruk VV, Urban M, Winnik F, Zauscher S, Luzinov I, Minko S. Nat. Mater. 2010; 9:101. [PubMed: 20094081]
8. Zhang JL, Han YC. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010; 39:676. [PubMed: 20111787]
9. Chen T, Ferris R, Zhang JM, Ducker R, Zauscher S. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2010; 35:94.
10. Langer R, Peppas NA. AIChE Journal. 2003; 49:2990.
11. Thompson RL, Hardman SJ, Hutchings LR, Narrainen A, Dalgliesh RM. Langmuir. 2009; 25:3184. [PubMed: 19437721]

12. Bajpai AK, Bajpai J, Saini R, Gupta R. *Polym. Rev.* 2011; 51:53.
13. Dai S, Ravi P, Tam KC. *Soft. Matter.* 2008; 4:435.
14. Richter A, Paschew G, Klatt S, Lienig J, Arndt KF, Adler H. *Sensors.* 2008; 8:561.
15. Schmaljohann D. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 2006; 58:1655.
16. Gupta P, Vermani K, Garg S. *Drug Discovery Today.* 2002; 7:569. [PubMed: 12047857]
17. Qiu Y, Park K. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 2001; 53:321.
18. Lee JH, Jung HW, Kang IK, Lee HB. *Biomaterials.* 1994; 15:705. [PubMed: 7948593]
19. Goddarda JM, Hotchkiss JH. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2007; 32:698.
20. Beebe DJ, Moore J, Bauer JM, Yu Q, Liu RH, Devadoss C, Jo BH. *Nature.* 2000; 404:588. [PubMed: 10766238]
21. Huang J, Juszkievicz M, Jeu WHd, Cerda E, Emrick T, Menon N, Russell TP. *Science.* 2007; 317:650. [PubMed: 17673658]
22. Domke J, Radmacher M. *Langmuir.* 1998; 14:3320.
23. Dong R, Lindau M, Ober CK. *Langmuir.* 2009; 25:4774. [PubMed: 19243153]
24. Holmesfarley SR, Reamey RH, McCarthy TJ, Deutch J, Whitesides GM. *Langmuir.* 1985; 1:725.
25. Bain CD, Whitesides GM. *Langmuir.* 1989; 5:1370.
26. Mika AM, Childs RF. *J. Membr. Sci.* 1999; 152:129.
27. Gershevit O, Sukenik CN. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:482. [PubMed: 14719942]
28. Choi J, Rubner MF. *Macromolecules.* 2005; 38:116.
29. Franck-Lacaze L, Sistat P, Huguet P. *J. Membr. Sci.* 2009; 326:650.
30. Vezenov DV, Noy A, Rozsnyai LF, Lieber CM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:2006.
31. An SW, Thomas RK. *Langmuir.* 1997; 13:6881.
32. Harnish B, Robinson JT, Pei ZC, Ramstrom O, Yan M. *Chem. Mater.* 2005; 17:4092.
33. Bartlett MA, Yan M. *Adv. Mater.* 2001; 13:1449.
34. Liu L, Yan M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2006; 45:6207.
35. Liu L, Engelhard MH, Yan M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:14067. [PubMed: 17061889]
36. Yan M, Ren J. *Chem. Mater.* 2004; 16:1627.
37. Yan M. *Chem.--Eur. J.* 2007; 13:4138. [PubMed: 17444538]
38. Wang H, Ren J, Hlaing A, Yan M. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2011; 354:160. [PubMed: 21044787]
39. Wang X, Ramstrom O, Yan M. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2009; 19:8944. [PubMed: 20856694]
40. Cesteros LC, Isasi JR, Katime I. *Macromolecules.* 1994; 27:7887.
41. Yan M, Harnish B. *Adv. Mater.* 2003; 15:244.
42. Soundararajan N, Platz MS. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990; 55:2034.
43. Keana JFW, Cai SX. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990; 55:3640.
44. Poe R, Schnapp K, Young MJT, Grayzar J, Platz MS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1992; 114:5054.
45. La Rosa, A.; Yan, M. *Tip-Based Nanofabrication: Fundamentals and Applications.* Tseng, AA., editor. New York: Springer; 2011. p. 299
46. Satoh M, Yoda E, Hayashi T, Komiyama J. *Macromolecules.* 1989; 22:1808.
47. Johnson REJ, Dettre RH. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1964; 68:1744.
48. Kwok DY, Gietzelt T, Grundke K, Jacobasch HJ, Neumann AW. *Langmuir.* 1997; 13:2880.
49. Yeatman E, Ash EA. *Electronics Letters.* 1987; 23:1091.
50. Homola J, Yee SS, Gauglitz G. *Sens. Actuators, B.* 1999; 54:3.
51. Brockman JM, Nelson BP, Corn RM. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 2000; 51:41. [PubMed: 11031275]
52. Bally M, Halter M, Voros J, Grandin HM. *Surf. Interface Anal.* 2006; 38:1442.
53. Boozer C, Kim G, Cong SX, Guan HW, Londergan T. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2006; 17:400. [PubMed: 16837183]
54. Ray S, Mehta G, Srivastava S. *Proteomics.* 2010; 10:731. [PubMed: 19953541]
55. Scarano S, Mascini M, Turner APF, Minunni M. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2010; 25:957. [PubMed: 19765967]

56. Homola J. Chem. Rev. 2008; 108:462. [PubMed: 18229953]

Research highlights

- versatile approach to fabricate robust polymer thin films.
- A general method to measure ionization constants of polymer films.
- The pK_a of P4VP films measured by ellipsometry, dynamic contact angle, and SPRi.

Figure 1. Film thickness of P4VP 160,000 immobilized on gold slides after treating with PBS buffers of varying pH from (a) high to low, and (b) low to high. The experimental data (solid squares) were fitted with the logistic function (lines).

Figure 2. Contact angle titration curves: a) static, b) advancing and c) receding contact angles, and d) contact angle hysteresis. The experimental data points were connected with lines to aid visualization.

Figure 3. Advancing contact angle graphs of P4VP films using buffer solution of pH a) 4.04, and b) 5.04.

Figure 4.

a) SPR sensorgram monitoring the percent change in reflectivity as a function of pH. The measurements started in the pH 7.7 PBS buffer (0.1 M), and buffers of pH 6.7, 5.7, 5.0, 4.6, 4.0, 3.6, 3.0, 2.5, 2.0, 1.5 and 1.0 were injected at 5, 10, 15, 25, 35, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65 and 70 min, respectively. Sensorgrams were recorded simultaneously for all spots (see Supporting Information for the array layout and the SPRi image), however, only one response curve was shown for clarity. b) SPR responses as a function of pH.

Scheme 1.

Fabrication of covalently immobilized P4VP thin films.