Published in final edited form as:

Dev Psychopathol. 2009 ; 21(1): 173–187. doi:10.1017/S095457940900011X.

Caregiver Unresolved Loss and Abuse and Child Behavior Problems: Intergenerational Effects in a High-Risk Sample

Kristyn Zajac and

Department of Psychology, University of Delaware

Roger Kobak

Department of Psychology, University of Delaware

Abstract

This study examines the intergenerational effects of caregivers' Unresolved loss and abuse on children's behavior problems from middle childhood to early adolescence in an economically disadvantaged sample. One hundred twenty four caregivers completed the Adult Attachment Interview (AAI) and a lifetime trauma interview during the age 13 wave of the study. Child behavior problems were assessed at four time points (ages 6, 8, 10, and 13) with teacher-reported CBCL total problem scales. The children of insecure caregivers with Unresolved loss showed a consistent pattern of increased behavior problems from middle childhood to early adolescence. Caregivers' AAI status accounted for more variance in child behavior problems than did an alternative model of caregiver psychopathology (depression and dissociation). The results extend the literature on the effects of caregiver Unresolved states of mind beyond infancy to older children and adolescents.

Keywords

attachment; loss; abuse; child behavior problems; intergenerational effects

Caregiver Unresolved Loss and Abuse and Child Behavior Problems: Intergenerational Effects in a High-Risk Sample

Transactional models conceptualize the emergence and maintenance of psychopathology as a complex process, involving the dynamic transactions between child, caregiver, and environmental variables (Sroufe, Egeland, Carlson, & Collins, 2005). Attachment researchers have focused both on child factors, such as infant attachment security (see Greenberg, 1999; Sroufe, 1988), and on aspects of the caregiving environment that promote children's attachment security, such as caregiver sensitivity and caregiver state of mind in the Adult Attachment Interview (AAI; George, Kaplan, & Main, 1996). Although insecure attachment patterns have been identified as risk factors for problems in adaptation, studies of clinical and risk samples suggest that *atypical* attachment patterns are more frequently implicated in the development of child and adult psychopathology (Kobak, Cassidy, Lyons-

Ruth, & Ziv, 2006). These atypical attachment classifications include disorganized infant behavior (Main & Solomon, 1990) in the Strange Situation procedure (Ainsworth, Blehar, Waters, 1978) and Unresolved states of mind with respect to loss and trauma in the AAI (Main & Goldwyn, 1998). Caregivers' Unresolved states of mind have been most extensively studied using the AAI to predict infant attachment patterns (Hesse & Main, 2006; van IJzendoorn, 1995). In contrast, little is known about how caregiver states of mind influence functioning in older children and adolescents. In this study, we examine how caregivers' AAI status is associated with child behavior problems from middle childhood to early adolescence in a sample of economically disadvantaged families.

States of Mind Regarding Attachment

Main and Goldwyn's (1998) classifications of states of mind are based on an analysis of a person's ability to attend to attachment-related memories and topics during the course of the AAI. Individual differences in state of mind are inferred from features of AAI transcripts that reflect different discourse strategies used in discussing these topics. Secure/autonomous adults are able to engage attachment-related topics actively and in a flexible manner, allowing them to access and reflect upon experiences from childhood. Conversely, individuals with insecure states of mind show restrictions in their capacity to deploy attention to interview topics. On the one hand, dismissing speakers show limited access to attachment information through persistent claims of lack of memory for their early relationships, presentation of an idealized portrait of their parents, or derogation in an effort to minimize the importance of attachment figures. On the other hand, preoccupied speakers show restrictions in their attention through entanglement in memories of childhood or by displaying angry or passive speech that distracts them from the interview topics.

In addition to the secure/autonomous, dismissing, and preoccupied states of mind, Main and Goldwyn (1998) identified momentary lapses in these organized strategies that can occur during discussions of loss or abuse events. These lapses in monitoring of discourse or reason indicate a lack of resolution and result in an Unresolved classification with respect to either loss or abuse. For instance, individuals rated as Unresolved with respect to loss display markers such as beliefs that the deceased is not dead or a marked change in speech style, whereas individuals coded for Unresolved abuse might indicate a fear of being taken over by the perpetrator or exhibit visual-sensory intrusions in recounting an abuse event. In addition to Unresolved with respect to loss or abuse, Main and Goldwyn (1998) added two additional atypical classifications that are characterized by pervasive disorganization that extends beyond momentary lapses during the discussion of a specific topic. Cannot Classify (CC) is assigned when the speaker uses both dismissing and preoccupied strategies in different parts of the interview (Hesse, 1996). Preoccupied with Traumatic Events (E3), is assigned when discussions of trauma become a pervasive theme and the interview is marked by confusion and fearfulness (Main & Goldwyn, 1998).

Unresolved status is secondary to the major organized states of mind and may co-occur with secure as well as insecure (dismissing or preoccupied) states of mind. However, few studies have considered how caregivers' Unresolved loss or abuse is moderated by the major organized states of mind. Main effect models linking insecure/organized strategies to

psychopathology have received only limited support in attachment research (Greenberg, 1999; Kobak et al., 2006; Sroufe, 1988). Most dismissing and preoccupied individuals do not develop serious psychopathology. An alternative model of attachment and psychopathology views insecure/organized strategies as vulnerabilities to breaking down under conditions of stress. This diathesis-stress model of attachment and psychopathology has been supported by studies of both young children (Belsky & Fearon, 2002) and adolescents (Marsh, McFarland, Allen, Boykin-McElhaney, & Land, 2003). When Unresolved status is viewed as a continuing source of intrapersonal stress, this model suggests that individuals with both insecure states of mind and Unresolved loss or abuse would be particularly vulnerable to problems in adaptation. In contrast, Unresolved caregivers with secure/autonomous states of mind might be more resilient in managing the stress of Unresolved loss or abuse.

The Effects of Caregiver States of Mind on Adult and Child Psychopathology

A number of studies have examined the association between Unresolved, E3, or CC states of mind and symptoms of psychopathology. For example, increased rates of Unresolved classifications have been reported among suicidal teens (Adams, Sheldon-Keller, & West, 1996), adults previously hospitalized for psychiatric disorders during adolescence (Allen, Hauser, & Borman-Spurrell, 1996), and patients diagnosed with anxiety disorders (Fonagy et al., 1996). In addition, increased rates of CC and E3 classifications have been reported among those institutionalized for violent crimes (van IJzendoorn et al., 1997), men who have been violent towards their spouses (Holtzworth-Munroe, Stuart, & Hutchinson, 1997), and subjects diagnosed with borderline personality disorder (Fonagy et al., 1996; Patrick, Hobson, Castle, Howard, & Maughan, 1994). However, while these studies suggest that these states of mind are associated with increased risk for psychiatric diagnosis, it has been difficult to determine whether the AAI classifications precede or merely coincide with psychopathology.

The intergenerational effect of caregivers' states of mind has been most extensively investigated in studies of infant attachment in the Strange Situation. A meta-analytic review indicated a substantial effect ($d = 1.06$) of caregiver autonomous/secure states of mind on infant attachment security (van IJzendoorn, 1995). In contrast, studies of caregivers' Unresolved status and their infants' disorganized behavior produced moderate effect sizes ($d = .65$). The lack of correspondence between caregivers' Unresolved status and infant attachment behavior may partially result from a failure to consider how Unresolved status is moderated by the major organized states of mind. For example, Schuengel, Bakersman-Kranenburg, and van IJzendoorn (1999) discovered that secure/autonomous Unresolved caregivers were less likely to have disorganized infants and more likely to display frightening behaviors directed towards their infants than non-autonomous Unresolved caregivers. Another study found a similar result in that Unresolved mothers displayed more frightening behaviors towards their infants than did non-Unresolved mothers but Unresolved secure mothers were judged as less frightening than Unresolved insecure mothers (Jacobvitz, Leon, & Hazen, 2006). Finally, secure Unresolved mothers were more successful in a home-visit based intervention targeting mothers and infants than were insecure Unresolved mothers (Heinicke et al., 2006).

Although there is substantial evidence supporting the effects of caregivers' states of mind on infant attachment, much less is known about intergenerational effects of caregivers' states of mind on older children or adolescents. There is some evidence that caregiver's organized states of mind have continued effects on young children. Crowell and Feldman (1988) found that preschool aged children of secure mothers were more affectionate and had more positive affect compared to insecure children. In addition, Allen and colleagues (2003) reported a modest association between mother and adolescents states of mind. Bowlby's (1973) concept of developmental pathways provides guidelines for considering caregiver effects, as he viewed attachment aspects of personality as more sensitive to early experiences during infancy and childhood and as gradually becoming more resistant to change by adolescence and adulthood. The two most extensively used measures of attachment support the view that children's personality is more environmentally sensitive during early developmental periods. Whereas infant and toddler attachment security as measured by the Strange Situation is relatively unstable (Fraley & Brumbaugh, 2004; Kobak et al., 2006), measures of adult attachment in the AAI tend to be very stable (Allen, McElhaney, Kuperminc, & Jodl, 2004; Bakersman-Kranenburg & van IJzendoorn, 1993; Crowell, Treboux, & Waters, 2002; Sagi, van IJzendoorn, Scharf, Koren-Karie, Joels, & Mayseless, 1994). Bowlby's environmental sensitivity hypothesis suggests that older children and adolescents may be less influenced by caregivers' states of mind or that the intergenerational effects may be largely shaped during early periods of development.

Limitations of Previous Studies of Unresolved States of Mind

Previous investigations of caregivers' Unresolved status with respect to loss or abuse have been limited by several conceptual and design issues. First, most studies have failed to control for *exposure* to abuse or loss when considering Unresolved status. As a result, it is not clear whether findings linking Unresolved status to psychopathology can be attributed to Unresolved status or simply to exposure to these events. Exposure to abuse has been consistently associated with negative outcomes. For instance, childhood physical and sexual abuse increase risk for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD; Widom, 1999), dissociative symptoms (Irwin, 1994), depression (Briere, 1988), personality disorders (Johnson, Cohen, Brown, Smailes & Bernstein, 1999), and substance abuse (Epstein, Saunders, Kilpatrick, & Resnick, 1998). In contrast to abuse, exposure to loss is more common and is not typically associated with long-term negative outcomes. Bowlby (1980) posited that some types of mourning may increase risk for psychopathology. For instance, approximately 18% of those who lose a spouse continue to show depressive symptoms two years later (Clayton, Halikas, & Maurice, 1972). In addition, certain types of traumatic loss such as homicide, suicide, or accident can result in PTSD (Kaltman & Bonnano, 2003). Compared to abuse, exposure to loss should be less predictive of psychopathology, but moderating factors may make recovery from a loss experience more difficult. These findings indicate importance of controlling for exposure to traumatic loss and abuse when considering the effects of Unresolved status.

Most prior investigations of Unresolved loss and abuse have been hindered by relatively small samples or by low base rates of Unresolved status in middle class samples. van IJzendoorn and Bakersman-Kranenburg's (1996) meta-analysis reported substantially

elevated rates of Unresolved loss in low SES compared with higher SES samples. In addition, rates of exposure to trauma are higher among low SES families who live in high-risk neighborhoods and face more life stress and adversity (Attar, Guerra, & Tolan, 1994). As a result, studies of Unresolved and E3/CC classifications need to be extended to low SES samples to better understand the relationships between atypical classifications and child outcomes.

The Current Study

The current study has several aims. First, we examine the associations between caregiver states of mind, caregiver psychopathology, and child behavior problems at ages 6, 8, 10, and 13 in an economically disadvantaged sample. At each of these ages, we plan to test caregivers' organized state of mind (secure vs. insecure) as a moderator of Unresolved status. We hypothesize that caregivers' exposure to trauma and disorganized states of mind will increase their children's risk for psychopathology. Additionally, we will address several limitations of previous studies by examining caregiver psychopathology as a possible mediator of caregivers' Unresolved status on child behavior problems, by controlling for caregivers' exposure to traumatic loss and abuse, and by treating Unresolved loss and Unresolved abuse as separate and independent predictors of child behavior problems.

Method

Participants

One hundred twenty nine caregiver-adolescent dyads were recruited from a longitudinal study of families with children that had participated in Head Start (Ackerman, Schoff, Levinson, Youngstrom, & Izard, 1999). Due to missing data on one of our control variables (caregiver verbal IQ), 5 dyads were not included in the analyses, leaving a sample of 124. Fifty-seven percent of the families had a single caregiver, 21% included two biological parents, and 22% included a primary caregiver and a live-in partner. Primary caregivers were predominately biological mothers (87%), 7% were grandmothers, 3% were biological fathers, and 3% were aunts or foster mothers. Adolescents ranged in age from 12.38 to 13.99 years ($M = 13.11$, $SD = .22$). Seventy six percent of participants were African-American, 21% were European-American, and 3% were Hispanic. Families had an average household income of \$23,756 ($SD = \$24,263$) and 27% of the families received welfare payments. Recruitment of a high-risk low-income sample served to increase the prevalence of both exposure to trauma and of Unresolved and E3/CC classifications.

Procedure

Caregiver-adolescent dyads were assessed two times when their children were 13. Initial interviews were conducted during a home visit and followed within a 2 to 4 week period by a 3-hour laboratory visit. During the lab visit, caregivers completed the Adult Attachment Interview (George et al., 1996). Within 6 months of the lab visit, adolescents were interviewed at their school and teacher-reports of child behavior problems were collected. In addition to data collected when the child was 13, teacher-reports of child behavior problems were collected at ages 6, 8, and 10 and caregiver verbal IQ was assessed when their children first entered the Head Start study at age 4.

Caregiver Measures

Adult Attachment Interview—The Adult Attachment Interview (AAI; George et al., 1996) is a semi-structured sixty to ninety-minute interview. It focuses on memories of childhood experiences with attachment figures and includes detailed probing of all childhood physical or sexual abuse and lifetime loss experiences. Reliability and validity of the AAI are well-established (Bakermans-Kranenburg & van IJzendoorn, 1993; Crowell et al., 2003). All AAIs were recorded and transcribed. Transcripts were coded using the AAI Q-sort (Kobak, Cole, Fleming, Ferenz-Gillies, & Gamble, 1993) based on the Main and Goldwyn (1998) experience and state of mind scales (see also Main, Goldwyn, & Hesse, 2003). Two blind raters sorted 100 Q-sort items to describe each transcript. If inter-rater reliability fell below .60 (Spearman-Brown formula), a third rater sorted the transcript and the highest two-rater correlation was used to form a composite description. A third rater was required on 26 (21%) transcripts. The average reliability for the composite Q-sorts across all transcripts was .80 (Spearman-Brown formula). Composited Q-sorts were then correlated with prototype sorts for secure, dismissing, and preoccupied derived from the Main and Goldwyn (1998) system and were converted into classifications according to cut-off scores (Kobak et al., 1993). To check reliability with the Main and Goldwyn system, transcripts were assigned to one of the three major states of mind categories. Classifications of a random sample of 20 transcripts were then compared with classifications provided by a certified rater from another lab. Percentage agreement with the outside rater was 85%. At least one of the two raters coding each transcript had attended a 2-week training workshop and passed reliability testing on the Main and Goldwyn (1998) coding method.

Using cut-off scores of a zero or positive correlation with secure, dismissing, or preoccupied prototypes, 55 transcripts (44.4%) were classified as secure/autonomous, 44 (35.5%) were dismissing, and 21 (16.9%) were preoccupied. In addition, two atypical classifications were coded using Main & Goldwyn's (1998) criteria. Four transcripts (3.2%) were identified as Cannot Classify (CC) and 9 transcripts (7.3%) were classified as E3 or Fearfully Preoccupied with Trauma. Due to the small number of these transcripts, the CC and E3 classifications were combined to form a CC/E3 group. Of the 27 transcripts classified as Unresolved for loss, 11 were also classified as secure/autonomous and 16 were classified as insecure (dismissing, preoccupied, or CC/E3). Conversely, none of the 11 transcripts classified as Unresolved for abuse were also classified as secure/autonomous.

All discussions of loss and abuse were scored using Main and Goldwyn's (1998) 9-point Unresolved scales. A score above a 5 on these scales automatically qualifies the transcript for a primary Unresolved classification, while a score of 5 leaves classification of the transcript to the rater's discretion. Inter-rater reliability for the Unresolved scales was .82 for loss and .83 for abuse. Agreement on Unresolved classifications yielded a kappa of .96. Intra-class correlation coefficients between our lab and the outside rater for the continuous Unresolved loss and abuse scales were .67 and .94, respectively. One hundred and seventeen caregivers (94.4%) reported a loss during the interview and 27 transcripts (21.8%) were classified as Unresolved for loss. Forty-three caregivers (34.6%) reported childhood abuse during the interview and 11 transcripts (8.8%) were classified as Unresolved for abuse. Four transcripts were classified as Unresolved for both loss and abuse. Overall, 28.2% of the

sample was given a primary classification of Unresolved. For subsequent analyses, the Unresolved scales were treated as continuous measures.

Trauma interview—A lifetime trauma interview was administered following the AAI. Interviewers recorded attachment-related traumas from the AAI and then probed for additional traumatic events with questions from the Traumatic Stress Schedule (Norris, 1990). Dummy variables were created to index exposure to traumatic loss and childhood abuse. Traumatic loss events were defined as sudden, violent losses that occurred through homicide, suicide, or accident and childhood abuse included both physical and sexual abuse but excluded emotional abuse and neglect. Thirty-seven caregivers (29.8%) reported experiencing a traumatic loss and 47 caregivers (37.9%) reported childhood physical and/or sexual abuse.

Verbal IQ—The Vocabulary subtest of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale - Revised (WAIS-R; Wechsler, 1981) was administered to caregivers during the first wave of data collection when their children were in Head Start. This is a widely used measure of verbal ability that has demonstrated sound psychometric properties. Scaled scores were used for all analyses.

Depression—Caregiver depression was assessed using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Beck & Steer, 1987). The BDI is a commonly used self-report instrument for assessing depression severity and demonstrates good psychometric properties. A meta-analysis of the BDI's internal consistency revealed a mean alpha of .81 for non-psychiatric patients (Beck, Steer, & Garbin, 1988). In this study, Cronbach's alpha was .92.

Dissociation—The Dissociative Experiences Scale (DES; Bernstein & Putnam, 1986) is a 28-item measure of the extent to which an individual has dissociative experiences during their everyday life. The DES demonstrated good split-half and test-retest reliability as well as adequate internal consistency. For the present sample, Cronbach's alpha was .93.

Adolescent Measures

Teacher rating forms (ages 6, 8, 10, and 13)—The Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist - Teacher's Report Form (TRF; Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001) is a 113-item measure of children's behavioral and emotional problems at school. Achenbach and Rescorla (2001) report evidence for good inter-rater reliability, test-retest reliability, and criterion-related validity. At ages 6, 8, and 10, one elementary school teacher completed the TRF for each child. At age 13, two teachers (Math and English) rated the adolescents. The ratings between the two teachers correlated between .29 and .60 across the nine symptoms clusters. In 27.6% of the sample, only one teacher's rating was available. In order to maintain complete data at age 13 and match the single teacher ratings from elementary school, the teacher who reported the highest level of problem behavior at age 13 was used for the analyses. The Total Problems composite was used at each time point. In addition, the internalizing and externalizing composites as well as the attention, thought, and social problems subscales were used for post-hoc analyses.

Missing data—At ages 6, 8, 10, and 13, there were 12, 19, 36, and 6 children missing teacher data, respectively. These data were analyzed using Hierarchical Linear Modeling (Raudenbush, Bryk, Cheon, & Congdon, 2004), which allows for missing observations at level-1 under the assumption that data are either missing completely at random (MCAR) or missing at random (MAR). To test this assumption, we examined patterns of missing data at each time point by coding “missing” (0) or “not missing” (1). *T*-tests with each predictor variable yielded only 2 of 27 significant tests. In addition, missing data at any time point was unrelated to scores on the TRF at other time points. As a result of the two significant *t*-tests, data cannot be assumed to be missing completely at random (MCAR), but may still be MAR. There are no procedures to test whether data are MAR, but based on the limited relationships between missing data and both predictor variables and other time points, the MAR assumption is not rejected.

Results

Data Analytic Plan

Our goal was to test the effects of caregiver AAI status on child behavior problems, while controlling for caregiver psychopathology. Therefore, our data analytic plan was to examine the relationships between caregiver state of mind and psychopathology, between caregiver psychopathology and child behavior problems, and between caregiver state of mind and child behavior problems. Initially, we used correlations and regression models to explore these relationships. Hierarchical Linear Modeling was then used to understand the association between caregiver state of mind and child behavior problems across four time points from middle childhood to early adolescence. Post hoc analyses were conducted to explore the effects of caregiver state of mind on subscales of the TRF to determine if there was specificity in the predicted outcomes.

Descriptive Analyses

The means and standard deviations of child and caregiver adjustment measures are presented in Table 1. Comparisons of mean teacher-reported child behavior problems with normative data highlight risks often found in low-income samples. According to Achenbach and Rescorla (2001), 7% of children are rated at a *T* score of 65 or above. In our sample, 24%, 29%, 22%, and 41% of children were rated 65 or above at ages 6, 8, 10, and 13, respectively.

Correlations between the caregiver attachment, trauma exposure, and psychopathology variables are presented in Table 2. These correlations indicate that caregivers classified as secure/autonomous had higher verbal IQs than insecure caregivers and that African-American caregivers were less likely to be classified E3/CC or Unresolved for abuse than non-African-Americans. However, African-American caregivers did not differ from other caregivers in rates of exposure to abuse. Exposure to abuse was correlated with E3/CC status, Unresolved abuse, and non-secure/autonomous states of mind. In contrast, scores on the Unresolved loss scale were independent of all other AAI and trauma exposure variables. As both caregiver IQ and ethnicity were related to constructs of interest, both variables were included as controls in subsequent analyses. In addition, correlations between teacher-

reported behavior problems across time points indicated substantial stability. Correlations between time points ranged from .28 to .50.

Caregiver Psychopathology and AAI Status

First, we examined the association between caregiver AAI status and psychopathology. Caregiver psychopathology was tested as a third variable that might account for associations between caregiver AAI status and child behavior problems. Correlations between caregiver depression and dissociation (BDI and DES) and AAI variables (secure/autonomous, Unresolved loss, Unresolved abuse, and E3/CC) produced 5 significant results (see Table 2). Caregivers' depressive symptoms were positively related to insecure status, Unresolved abuse, and E3/CC status. Dissociation was positively related to Unresolved abuse and E3/CC status. Unresolved loss was unrelated to psychopathology variables.

Caregiver depressive and dissociative symptoms were regressed on control and AAI variables (see Table 3). Caregivers' ethnicity, verbal IQ, and exposures to traumatic loss and childhood abuse were entered in the first two steps. Dummy codes for AAI secure/autonomous and E3/CC classifications were entered in the third step. The fourth step tested continuous scores for Unresolved loss and abuse. The final step tested the interaction between caregivers' secure/autonomous state of mind and Unresolved status. To reduce multicollinearity, variables were centered before creating interaction terms (Aiken & West, 1991). Caregivers' exposure to abuse accounted for significant variance in their depressive and dissociative symptoms. Caregiver AAI status failed to account for additional variance in caregiver adjustment. These findings suggest that caregiver exposure to trauma, not Unresolved or E3/CC status, accounts for increased caregiver depressive and dissociative symptoms. As a result, caregiver trauma exposure is controlled in all subsequent analyses.

Finally, we examined the correlations between caregivers' symptoms and teacher-reported child behavior problems at ages 6, 8, 10, and 13. None of the six correlations were significant. As a result, caregiver psychopathology was excluded from subsequent analyses of child behavior problems.

Caregiver AAI Status and Teacher Ratings of Child Behavior problems

Our primary aim was to examine the relation between caregiver Unresolved status and teacher reports of behavior problems when children were 6, 8, 10, and 13 years of age. A two-level HLM model (Raudenbush et al., 2004) was used, with repeated measures of child behavior problems as a within-subjects factor at Level-1. Caregiver AAI status, exposure to trauma, and IQ were entered as between-subjects factors at Level-2.

Caregiver effects on average total problems across time—In the initial analysis, the Level-1 model estimated the mean total problems score for children across the four time points (ages 6, 8, 10, and 13) with no additional Level-1 predictors. At Level-2, caregiver variables, including ethnicity, trauma exposure, and AAI variables were entered as predictors of the total problems intercept. As shown in the first column of Table 4, there was a main effect for E3/CC status on aggregate child behavior problems, $\gamma = 12.06$, $t(113) = 2.03$, $p < .05$. Caregiver's exposure to childhood abuse had a negative effect on total

problems, $\gamma = -7.99$, $t(113) = -1.98$, $p < .05$. This was an unanticipated finding. There was a main effect for Unresolved loss, $\gamma = 2.32$, $t(113) = 2.56$, $p < .05$. Finally, the interaction between caregiver secure/autonomous status and Unresolved loss produced a significant effect on the aggregate intercept, $\gamma = -7.62$, $t(113) = -4.00$, $p < .001$, indicating that caregiver security moderates the effect of Unresolved loss for child problem behaviors by reducing the association between Unresolved loss and child behavior problems (see Figure 1). The regression weights for these lines indicate the Unresolved loss positively predicts child behavior problems in the insecure group, $\beta = .42$, $p < .001$ but not in the secure group, $\beta = -.25$, *ns*.

Caregiver effects on total problems at ages 6, 8, 10, and 13—The next set of analyses tested whether caregiver AAI status affects child behavior problems at each of the time points (ages 6, 8, 10, and 13) individually. First, a time variable coded as (0, 1, 2, 3) was entered in the Level-1 model uncentered. This model, presented in the second column of Table 4, examines the relation between caregiver AAI status and behavior problems reported by teachers at age 6. In the third, fourth, and fifth columns of Table 4, the time variable was coded as (−1, 0, 1, 2), (−2, −1, 0, 1), and (−3, −2, −1, 0) respectively, to test caregiver effects on behavior problems at ages 8, 10, and 13.

At age 6, there was an interaction between caregiver secure/autonomous status and Unresolved loss on the total problems intercept, $\gamma = -6.11$, $t(113) = -2.28$, $p < .05$. This interaction followed the same pattern as in the first model of aggregate child problems. At age 8, there was a main effect for Unresolved loss, $\gamma = 2.34$, $t(113) = 2.13$, $p < .05$, and an interaction between secure/autonomous status and Unresolved loss, $\gamma = -7.12$, $t(113) = -3.12$, $p < .01$. At age 10, there was a main effect for Unresolved loss, $\gamma = 2.17$, $t(113) = 2.33$, $p < .05$, and an interaction between secure/autonomous status and Unresolved loss, $\gamma = -8.12$, $t(113) = -4.12$, $p < .001$. In addition, there was a significant positive effect of caregivers' E3/CC status, $\gamma = 12.06$, $t(113) = 2.15$, $p < .05$, on child behavior problems. At age 13, the interaction between caregiver secure/autonomous status and Unresolved loss had a significant effect on the total problems intercept, $\gamma = -9.12$, $t(113) = -3.75$, $p < .001$. The interactions at each of the time points followed the same pattern as in the aggregate model.

Caregiver effects on TRF subscales—As the Unresolved loss produced risk for child behavior problems among insecure caregivers, further analyses were conducted to determine whether these effects are specific to a certain type of behavior problem. Specifically, we used the internalizing and externalizing composites from the TRF as well as the attention, social, and thought problems subscales. A series of HLM models were constructed using Unresolved loss as a predictor in the intercept of each of these subscales aggregated across time points, as well as for each individual time point (ages 6, 8, 10, and 13). Results are presented in Table 5. Overall, they suggest a consistent effect for Unresolved loss on all symptom clusters across ages with the exception of internalizing behaviors, for which there was no effect at any time point.

Caregiver effects on growth in child behavior problems—Next, we examined the effects of caregiver variables on *growth* in children's behavior problems between ages 6 and 13. Time was entered as an uncentered variable at Level-1 and caregiver variables were

entered at Level-2 to test the effects of caregiver variables on children's growth trajectories. With caregiver IQ, ethnicity, and exposure to trauma controlled, caregiver AAI variables did not influence growth in behavior problems.

Discussion

The primary goal of our study was to examine the effects of caregivers' Unresolved status with respect to loss or abuse on child behavior problems over a seven-year period. We found a consistent association between caregivers' Unresolved loss and teacher ratings of children's behavior problems at ages 6, 8, 10, and 13, but solely among caregivers who had insecure (dismissing or preoccupied) states of mind. This association was established at the first assessment (age 6) and persisted at the ages 8, 10, and 13 assessments. Post hoc analyses showed that these effects on total problems scores resulted from teacher-ratings of externalizing (rule breaking and aggressive behaviors) along with social, thought, and attention problems. Elevated levels of internalizing behaviors (withdrawn, depressed and somatic) were not evident in teacher-ratings of children with insecure Unresolved caregivers. Past studies with mothers and infants (Jacobvitz et al., 2006; Schuengel et al., 1999) have reported a similar moderating effect in which caregivers' secure/autonomous state of mind served as a protective factor when caregivers were Unresolved with respect to loss or abuse. The present study extends these findings to older children and adolescents. Although the combination of Unresolved loss and insecure states of mind did not affect caregivers' reports of depressive or dissociative symptoms, it was strongly associated with child behavior problems over a five-year period. These findings are especially impressive considering that child behavior problems were rated by teachers rather than by parents, avoiding the problem of shared method variance.

The protective effect of secure/autonomous states of mind illustrates an important point about the three organized attachment categories. While a secure state of mind has been linked to overall resilience in the face of stressful transitions (Kobak & Sceery, 1988), insecure strategies may represent potential sources of vulnerability. However, the vast majority of individuals with insecure strategies do not develop psychopathology (Sroufe, 1988; Greenberg, 1999). An alternative view is that insecure strategies create a diathesis for psychopathology that is likely to be evident under highly stressful conditions (Kobak et al., 2006). In our sample, Unresolved loss may represent a persistent source of stress that becomes most problematic for insecure caregivers whose strategies for regulating the attachment system, compared to those of secure caregivers, are more vulnerable to breaking down when faced with the daily demands of caring for children.

Previous AAI studies have treated individuals with Unresolved loss and Unresolved abuse as part of a single category. However, our results suggest that researchers should consider them as separate risk factors. In our study, caregivers' lack of resolution with respect to loss and abuse were not correlated and produced differential effects on caregiver and child outcomes. This was most evident in the case of Unresolved loss, which was independent of secure/autonomous, E3/CC, and Unresolved abuse as well as rates of exposure to abuse or traumatic loss. The lack of association between Unresolved loss and exposure to traumatic losses was surprising and suggests that objectively coded features of the loss do not account

for lack of resolution. In contrast to Unresolved loss, the effects of Unresolved abuse on caregivers' depressive and dissociative symptoms were largely accounted for by exposure to abuse and not to Unresolved status. These findings converge with a recent taxometric analysis of the AAI (Roisman, Fraley, & Belsky, 2007). A factor analysis of the AAI state of mind scales from over 500 transcripts indicated that Unresolved loss loaded with more passive indicators of a preoccupied state of mind including passive speech and fear of loss. In contrast, Unresolved abuse loaded with more active markers of preoccupied status such as angry involved speech. Both this study and our own point to differential patterns associated with abuse and loss and suggest that researchers should analyze these scales separately.

Neither caregivers' exposure to abuse nor Unresolved abuse had an impact on teacher-rated child behavior problems but, at this point, we would like to be cautious about concluding that caregivers' abuse histories do not produce risk for their children. An alternative explanation for this finding must be considered, especially in light of findings that parents who were abused during childhood are likely to maltreat their own children (Egeland, Jacobvitz, & Sroufe, 1988; Lyons-Ruth & Block, 1996). It is possible that teacher-reports of behavior problems are not sensitive to the types of internalizing behaviors that may result from abuse; that is, teachers may not be accurate reporters of the types of behavior problems typically displayed by children of abused parents. It is likely that parent and self-reports of behavior problems will provide additional information regarding maladjustment among this group.

Mediators of the relationship between caregiver Unresolved loss and children's behavior problems remain to be discovered. In infancy, Unresolved caregivers are more likely to display frightened or frightening behaviors, which in turn produce higher levels of infant attachment disorganization (Hesse & Main, 2006; Main & Hesse, 1990; Scheunzel et al., 1999). Theoretically, these behaviors, which include dissociative, frightening, and frightened behaviors, put the infant in a situation of "fright without solution" as their source of safety also becomes a source of danger (Main & Hesse, 1990). Infants may be especially vulnerable to these subtle parental behaviors, as they have not yet developed the self-regulatory capacities necessary to cope with these situations. However, not only may these behaviors be more difficult to observe at later developmental periods, they may also have less of a direct impact on children as they develop strategies to manage themselves and their interactions with their parents. More research is necessary to determine whether caregiver behavior during infancy can fully account for the relationship between caregiver Unresolved loss and children's later behavior problems.

This study also addressed several methodological issues in studies of atypical AAI status. Previous studies have reported elevated levels of Unresolved loss and abuse and E3/CC classifications in psychiatric populations (e.g., Adams et al., 1996; Allen et al., 1996; Fonagy et al., 1996) as well as increased psychopathology among children of parents with psychiatric problems. Thus, it was important to test whether the effects of caregivers' Unresolved or E3/CC status on child outcomes could be accounted for by caregiver psychopathology. Consistent with previous studies, the findings suggest that caregivers with Unresolved abuse (however, not Unresolved loss) and E3/CC classifications are at increased risk for depressive and dissociative symptoms. However, this association was accounted for

by caregivers' reports of exposure to childhood abuse, rather than by Unresolved or E3/CC status. In addition, we found no association between caregiver reports of depressive or dissociative symptoms and increased risk for child behavior problems. Thus, the effects of caregiver AAI status on child behavior problems could not be accounted for by caregiver psychopathology.

These findings are consistent with the literature on the sequelae of child abuse. Exposure to childhood abuse is a well-established predictor of adult psychopathology (e.g., Briere, 1988; Epstein et al., 1998; Widom, 1999). However, although exposure to child abuse was associated with concurrent psychopathology, neither caregivers' exposure to abuse nor Unresolved abuse influenced teacher reports of child behavior problems. Controlling for exposure to loss produced different results. Loss of an attachment figure is a common lifetime occurrence and, although depressive symptoms are part of the normal grieving process in the year following a loss (Clayton et al., 1972), exposure to loss has not been linked to psychopathology. Whereas simple exposure to loss, even sudden, violent loss, did not increase intergenerational risk for psychopathology, markers of a lack of resolution of the loss did. In sum, our study supported the incremental validity of the Unresolved scales over exposure for loss but not abuse.

Finally, the E3/CC caregiver classification predicted elevated levels of child behavior problems at age 10. As both E3 and CC classifications represent a pervasively disorganized attachment narrative, it is not surprising that they are related to intergenerational risk. However, these classifications have low base rates and, as a result, little is known about their impact on developmental outcomes. Therefore, this study provides important evidence of their incremental validity, as these effects were not accounted for by either exposure to trauma or caregiver psychopathology.

Limitations and Future Directions

It is important to note that caregiver state of mind was measured when the children were 13 and accounted for child behavior problems that were concurrent with and preceded the AAI assessment. Previous AAI studies do show substantial stability in the major classifications (Allen et al., 2004; Bakersman-Kranenburg & van IJzendoorn, 1993; Crowell et al., 2002; Sagi, van IJzendoorn et al., 1994). In the Crowell et al. (2002) study, stability was 78% for organized classifications (secure/autonomous, dismissing, and preoccupied). These stability studies suggest that attachment-related aspects of caregivers' personalities are relatively resistant to change. Future research on intergenerational effects of caregivers' states of mind on child adaptation would benefit from repeated AAI assessments beginning earlier in the child's development. A second limitation was the reliance on self-reports of child abuse events both on the trauma interview and the AAI. As a result, caregiver reports of abuse were not independently validated by archival records from child protective services. A number of abuse experiences reported in the interview had not been previously reported or legally documented and, in some cases, participants had not shared these experiences with even close family members. Inability to validate these reports as well as possible underreporting of abuse are limitations inherent to child abuse research and could potentially

lead to underestimation of the relationships between this both caregiver and adolescent adjustment.

Although this study highlights the intergenerational effects of caregivers' Unresolved loss experiences, several issues remain to be addressed by future investigations. Unresolved loss was not associated with concurrent depressive or dissociative symptoms in our study, but the relation with other forms of adult psychopathology needs to be considered. In particular, it would be useful to explore the potential overlap between Unresolved loss and the complicated grief diagnosis that is being considered for inclusion in the DSM-V (Bonnano, Neria, Mancini, Coifman, Litz, & Insel, 2007). Second, there is a need to consider how intergenerational transmission is influenced by children's developmental period. Although we found evidence for a consistent pattern of effects during childhood and early adolescence, later adolescence may expose offspring to a number of non-familial influences that may alter symptom patterns and trajectories. Finally, investigations should focus on the mechanisms of intergenerational transmission with special consideration of possible cognitive factors, such as how the frightening experiences associated with Unresolved loss and abuse may affect children's working memory and associated attentional problems (Hesse & Main, 2006) as well as genetic factors that may predispose children to adjustment problems.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by a grant from the National Institute of Mental Health (RO1MH59670) to Roger Kobak. We would like to thank Mary Dozier, Erik Hesse, and Mary Main for their helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper and June Sroufe for her AAI training workshop.

References

- Achenbach, T.M.; Rescorla, L.A. Manual for the ASEBA school-age forms & profiles. Burlington: University of Vermont Research Center for Children, Youth, & Families; 2001.
- Ackerman BP, Schoff K, Levinson K, Youngstrom E, Izard CE. The relations between cluster indexes of risk and promotion and the problem behaviors of 6- and 7-year-old children from economically disadvantaged families. *Developmental Psychology*. 1999; 35:1355–1356. [PubMed: 10563726]
- Adams KS, Sheldon-Keller AE, West M. Attachment organization and history of suicidal behavior in clinical adolescents. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1996; 64:264–272. [PubMed: 8871410]
- Aiken, L.S.; West, S.G. Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions. Newbury Park, CA: Sage; 1991.
- Ainsworth, M.D.S.; Blehar, M.C.; Waters, E.; Wall, S. Patterns of attachment. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum; 1978.
- Allen JP, Hauser ST, Borman-Spurrell E. Attachment theory as a framework for understanding sequelae of severe adolescent psychopathology: An 11-year follow-up study. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1996; 64:254–263. [PubMed: 8871409]
- Allen JP, McElhaney KB, Kuperminc GP, Jodl KM. Stability and change in attachment security across adolescence. *Child Development*. 2004; 75:1792–1805. [PubMed: 15566380]
- Allen JP, McElhaney KB, Land DJ, Kuperminc GP, Moore CW, O'Bierne-Kelly H, Kilmer SL. A secure base in adolescence: Markers of attachment security in the mother-adolescent relationship. *Child Development*. 2003; 74:292–307. [PubMed: 12625451]
- Attar B, Guerra N, Tolan P. Neighborhood disadvantage, stressful life events, and adjustment in urban elementary-school children. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*. 1994; 23:391–400.

- Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, van IJzendoorn MH. A psychometric study of the Adult Attachment Interview: Reliability and discriminant validity. *Developmental Psychology*. 1993; 29:870–879.
- Beck, AT.; Steer, RA. Beck Depression Inventory Manual. San Antonio, Tx: The Psychological Corporation; 1987.
- Beck AT, Steer RA, Garbin MG. Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 1988; 8:77–100.
- Belsky J, Fearon RMP. Infant-mother attachment security, contextual risk, and early development: A moderational analysis. *Development & Psychopathology*. 2002; 14:293–310. [PubMed: 12030693]
- Bernstein EM, Putnam FW. Development, reliability, and validity of a dissociation scale. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 1986; 174:727–735. [PubMed: 3783140]
- Bonnano GA, Neria Y, Mancini A, Coifman KG, Litz B, Insel B. Is there more to complicated grief than depression and posttraumatic stress disorder? A test of incremental validity. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2007; 116:342–351. [PubMed: 17516766]
- Bowlby, J. Attachment and loss: Vol. 2. Separation. New York: Basic Books; 1973.
- Bowlby, J. Attachment and loss: Vol. 3. Loss. New York: Basic Books; 1980.
- Briere J. The long-term clinical correlates of childhood sexual victimization. *Annals of the New York Academy of Science*. 1988; 528:327–334.
- Clayton PT, Halikas JA, Maurice WL. The depression of widowhood. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 1972; 120:71–76. [PubMed: 5041522]
- Crowell JA, Feldman SS. Mothers' internal models of relationships and children's behavioral and developmental status: A study of mother-child interactions. *Child Development*. 1988; 59:1272–1285.
- Crowell JA, Treboux D, Waters E. Stability of attachment representations: The transition to marriage. *Developmental Psychology*. 2002; 38:467–479. [PubMed: 12090478]
- Egeland B, Jacobvitz D, Sroufe LA. Breaking the cycle of abuse. *Child Development*. 1988; 59:1080–1088. [PubMed: 3168615]
- Epstein JN, Saunders BE, Kilpatrick DG, Resnick HS. PTSD as a mediator between childhood rape and alcohol use in adult women. *Child Abuse and Neglect*. 1998; 22:223–234. [PubMed: 9589176]
- Fonagy P, Leigh T, Steele M, Steele H, Kennedy R, Mattoon G, Target M, Gerber A. The relationship of attachment status, psychiatric classification, and response to psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1996; 64:22–31. [PubMed: 8907081]
- Fraley, RC.; Brumbaugh, CC. A dynamical systems approach to conceptualizing and studying stability and change in attachment security. In: Rholes, WS.; Simpson, JA., editors. *Adult attachment: Theory, research, and clinical implications*. New York: Guilford Press; 2004. p. 86–132.
- George, C.; Kaplan, N.; Main, M. Adult Attachment Interview. University of California at Berkeley; 1996. Unpublished manuscript
- Greenberg, MT. Attachment and psychopathology in childhood. In: Cassidy, J.; Shaver, PR., editors. *Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications*. New York: Guilford Press; 1999. p. 469–496.
- Heinicke CM, Goorsky M, Levine M, Ponce V, Ruth G, Silverman M, Sotelo C. Pre- and postnatal antecedents of a home-visiting intervention and family developmental outcome. *Infant Mental Health Journal*. 2006; 27:91–119.
- Hesse E. Discourse, memory, and the Adult Attachment Interview: A note with emphasis on the emerging cannot classify category. *Infant Mental Health Journal*. 1996; 17:4–11.
- Hesse E, Main M. Frightening, threatening, and dissociative parental behavior in low-risk samples: Description, discussion, and interpretations. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2006; 18:309–343. [PubMed: 16600057]
- Holtzworth-Munroe A, Stuart GL, Hutchinson G. Violent versus nonviolent husbands: Differences in attachment patterns, dependency, and jealousy. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 1997; 11:314–331.
- Irwin HJ. Proneness to dissociation and traumatic childhood events. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 1994; 8:456–460. [PubMed: 8040656]

- Jacobvitz D, Leon K, Hazen N. Does expectant mothers' unresolved trauma predict frightened/frightening maternal behavior? Risk and protective factors. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2006; 18:363–379. [PubMed: 1660059]
- Johnson JG, Cohen P, Brown J, Smailes EM, Bernstein DP. Childhood maltreatment increases risk for personality disorders during early adulthood. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1999; 107:527–532.
- Kaltman S, Bonnano GA. Trauma and bereavement: Examining the impact of sudden and violent deaths. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*. 2003; 17:131–147. [PubMed: 12614658]
- Kobak, R.; Cassidy, J.; Lyons-Ruth, K.; Ziv, Y. Attachment, stress and psychopathology: A developmental pathways model. In: Cicchetti, D.; Cohen, DJ., editors. *Handbook of developmental psychopathology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. p. 333-369.
- Kobak R, Cole H, Fleming W, Ferenz-Gillies R, Gamble W. Attachment and emotion regulation during mother-teen problem-solving: A control theory analysis. *Child Development*. 1993; 64:231–245. [PubMed: 8436031]
- Kobak RR, Sceery A. Attachment in late adolescence: Working models, affect regulation, and representations of self and others. *Child Development*. 1988; 59:135–146. [PubMed: 3342708]
- Lyons-Ruth K, Block D. The disturbed caregiving system: Relations among childhood trauma, maternal caregiving, and infant affect and attachment. *Infant Mental Health*. 1996; 25:130–148.
- Main, M.; Goldwyn, R. Adult attachment scoring and classification system. University of California at Berkeley; 1998. Unpublished manuscript
- Main, M.; Goldwyn, R.; Hesse, E. Adult attachment scoring and classification systems. University of California at Berkeley; 2003. Unpublished manuscripts
- Main, M.; Hesse, E. Parents' unresolved traumatic experiences are related to infant disorganized attachment status: Is frightened and/or frightening parental behavior the linking mechanism?. In: Greenberg, MT.; Cicchetti, D.; Cummings, EM., editors. *Attachment in the preschool years: Theory, research, and intervention*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1990. p. 161-182.
- Main, M.; Solomon, J. Procedures for identifying infants as disorganized/disoriented during the Ainsworth strange situation. In: Greenberg, MT.; Cicchetti, D.; Cummings, EM., editors. *Attachment in the preschool years: Theory, research, and intervention*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1990. p. 121-160.
- Marsh P, McFarland FC, Allen JP, Boykin McElhaney K, Land D. Attachment, autonomy, and multifinality in adolescent internalizing and risky behavioral symptoms. *Development & Psychopathology*. 2003; 15:451–467. [PubMed: 12931837]
- Norris FH. Screening for traumatic stress: A scale for use in the general population. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*. 1990; 20:408–418.
- Patrick M, Hobson RP, Castle D, Howard R, Maughan B. Personality disorder and the mental representation of early social experience. *Development and Psychopathology*. 1994; 6:375–388.
- Raudenbush, S.; Bryk, A.; Cheon, YF.; Congdon, R. HLM 6: Hierarchical linear and nonlinear modeling. Lincolnwood, IL: Scientific Software International; 2004.
- Roisman GI, Fraley RC, Belsky J. A taxometric study of the Adult Attachment Interview. *Developmental Psychology*. 2007; 43:675–686. [PubMed: 17484579]
- Sagi A, van IJzendoorn MH, Scharf M, Koren-Karie N, Joels T, Mayseless O. Stability and discriminant validity of the Adult Attachment Interview: A psychometric study of young Israeli adults. *Developmental Psychology*. 1994; 30:771–777.
- Schuengel C, Bakersman-Kranenburg MJ, van IJzendoorn MH. Frightening maternal behavior linking unresolved loss and disorganized infant attachment. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1999; 67:54–63. [PubMed: 10028209]
- Sroufe, LA. The role of infant-caregiver attachment in development. In: Belsky, J.; Nezworski, T., editors. *Clinical implications of attachment*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum; 1988. p. 18-38.
- Sroufe, LA.; Egeland, B.; Carlson, EA.; Collins, WA. The development of the person: The Minnesota study of risk and adaptation from birth to adulthood. New York: Guilford; 2005.
- van IJzendoorn MH. Adult attachment representations, parental responsiveness, and infant attachment: A meta-analysis on the predictive validity of the Adult Attachment Interview. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1995; 117:387–403. [PubMed: 7777645]

- van IJzendoorn MH, Bakerman-Kranenburg MJ. Attachment representations in fathers, adolescents, and clinical groups: A meta-analytic search for normative data. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1996; 64:8–21. [PubMed: 8907080]
- van IJzendoorn MH, Feldbrugge J, Derks F, de Ruiter C, Verhagen M, Philipse M, van der Staak C, Riksen-Walraven J. Attachment representations of personality-disordered criminal offenders. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*. 1997; 67:449–459. [PubMed: 9250345]
- Wechsler D. The psychometric tradition: Developing the Wechsler adult intelligence scale. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*. 1981; 6:82–85.
- Widom CS. Posttraumatic stress disorder in abused and neglected children grown up. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1999; 156:1223–1229. [PubMed: 10450264]

Figure 1.
Moderating effect of caregiver secure/autonomous status on the relationship between
Unresolved loss and teacher-reported child behavior problems across time points.

Table 1

Means and Standard Deviations

	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>
Caregiver Verbal IQ	124	5.46	1.97
Caregiver Depression (BDI)	124	11.10	10.82
Caregiver Dissociation (DES)	124	2.27	1.11
TRF-Total Problems (Age 6)	112	37.77	27.08
TRF-Total Problems (Age 8)	105	39.30	34.36
TRF-Total Problems (Age 10)	88	37.19	25.87
TRF-Total Problems (Age 13)	118	47.10	31.16

Table 2

Correlations between Caregiver Variables

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. IQ	-.13	.13	-.05	.23*	.01	.14	.07	-.10	-.06
2. Ethnicity	–	-.14	.02	-.06	-.24**	-.25**	.10	-.13	-.06
3. Abuse expos		–	.22*	-.10	.30**	.57**	.06	.24**	.20*
4. Loss expos			–	-.05	.01	.02	.09	.03	.11
5. Secure/auton				–	-.28**	-.22*	-.05	-.22*	-.13
6. E3/CC					–	.37**	-.04	.22*	.24**
7. U abuse						–	.05	.27**	.24**
8. U loss							–	-.02	-.03
9. BDI								–	.45***
10. DES									–

*
 $p < .05$.**
 $p < .01$.***
 $p < .001$

Table 3

Regression Models Predicting Caregiver Self-Reported Psychopathology

	Depression		Dissociation	
	β	R^2 Chng	β	R^2 Chng
Step 1		.03		.01
Caregiver IQ	-.12		-.07	
Ethnicity	-.15		-.07	
Step 2		.06*		.04
Loss exposure	-.03		.06	
Abuse exposure	.25**		.19*	
Step 3		.04		.04
Secure/autonomous	-.16		-.05	
E3/CC	.09		.19	
Step 4		.01		.02
Unresolved Loss	-.02		-.04	
Unresolved Abuse	.14		.16	
Step 5		.02		.01
SecureXUloss	.12		.11	
SecureXUabuse	-.11		-.07	
Total R^2		.16*		.14

*
 $p < .05$.

**
 $p < .01$.

Table 4

Multilevel Model Coefficients Predicting TRF Total Problems (Intercepts)

Variable	Equation				
	1 ¹	2 ²	3 ³	4 ⁴	5 ⁵
Intercept					
γ_{00}	40.55***	36.44***	39.26***	42.08***	44.90***
SE	1.75	2.36	1.86	1.84	2.32
Caregiver IQ					
γ_{01}	-2.68**	-1.89	-2.34*	-2.80**	-3.25**
SE	0.91	1.25	0.99	0.95	1.16
Ethnicity					
γ_{02}	-0.54	2.31	0.39	-1.53	-3.44
SE	4.98	5.64	4.91	5.37	6.79
Loss Exposure					
γ_{03}	-1.75	-3.21	-1.99	-0.77	0.46
SE	4.43	5.60	4.64	4.59	5.47
Abuse Exposure					
γ_{04}	-7.99*	-15.15*	-10.65*	-6.15	-1.65
SE	4.04	6.22	4.46	4.21	5.67
Secure/autonomous					
γ_{05}	-1.81	-3.76	-2.26	-0.78	0.71
SE	4.44	5.35	4.55	4.68	5.66
E3/CC					
γ_{06}	12.06*	12.77	12.41	12.06*	11.70
SE	5.93	11.19	7.60	5.61	6.82
Unresolved Loss					
γ_{07}	2.32*	2.52	2.34*	2.17*	2.00
SE	0.90	1.31	0.99	0.93	1.16
Unresolved Abuse					
γ_{08}	1.06	1.18	1.32	1.45	1.59

Variable	Equation				
	1 ¹	2 ²	3 ³	4 ⁴	5 ⁵
SecureXUloss					
SE	1.38	1.92	1.46	1.47	1.94
SecureXUabuse					
γ_{00}	-7.62***	-6.11*	-7.12**	-8.12***	-9.12***
SE	1.91	2.68	2.08	1.97	2.43
SecureXUabuse					
γ_{010}	1.22	-2.06	0.63	3.34	6.03
SE	2.68	3.25	2.69	2.93	3.84

* $p < .05$.
** $p < .01$.
*** $p < .001$.
¹Total Problems aggregated across time points (6, 8, 10, and 13).
²Total Problems at age 6.
³Total Problems at age 8.
⁴Total Problems at age 10.
⁵Total Problems at age 13.

Table 5
Multilevel Model Coefficients for Unresolved Loss Predicting TRF Subscales (Intercepts) Among Children of Insecure Caregivers

	Aggregate	Age 6	Age 8	Age 10	Age 13
Externalizing	2.09**	2.78**	2.32**	1.86**	1.39*
	0.61	0.85	0.67	0.60	0.68
	1.43**	1.05	1.28**	1.51**	1.73**
Attention	0.43	0.59	0.45	0.46	0.61
	0.51***	0.40*	0.47**	0.54***	0.61***
Social	0.13	0.17	0.13	0.13	0.18
	0.24***	0.15*	0.21**	0.26**	0.31**
Thought	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.11
	0.48	0.51	0.47	0.45	0.42
Internalizing	0.26	0.40	0.29	0.28	0.40

* $p < .05$.

** $p < .01$.

*** $p < .001$.