Published in final edited form as:

RSC Adv. ; 4(12): 5845–5848. doi:10.1039/C3RA47104G.

A Simple Sensitive ESIPT On-Off Fluorescent Sensor for Selective Detection of Al³⁺ in Water

Junfeng Wang^a and Yi Pang^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, The University of Akron, Akron, Ohio 44325 U.S.A

^bMaurice Morton Institute of Polymer Science, The University of Akron, Akron, Ohio 44325 U.S.A

Abstract

A highly selective and sensitive fluorescent sensor for Al³⁺ has been developed. The sensor shows great fluorescence turn-on upon binding Al³⁺ in complete water, giving strong blue emission. In addition, the sensor's turn-on exhibits excellent selectivity to Al³⁺ cation, with only a slight interference from Zn²⁺. These findings suggest that the developed Al³⁺ sensor could be a useful molecular probe for practical applications.

Aluminum is the most abundant metal in the Earth's crust and extensively used in modern life.¹ The soluble form of aluminum (Al³⁺), however, is highly toxic to plant growth.² Excessive aluminum, especially when deposited in the brain even in small amount, has also been shown to be toxic to human, which is believed to cause neurodementia such as Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease and dialysis encephalopathy, osteoporosis, etc.³ For these reasons, the development of Al³⁺ sensors for its facile detection is of great importance in both environmental monitoring and biological assays.

Despite the strong interest, the fluorescent detection for Al³⁺ cations remains to be a challenging problem. Owing to its weak coordination with ligands and strong hydration ability in water,⁴ the detection of Al³⁺ cation is often affected by the existence of interfering metal ions. So far, very few fluorescent chemosensors have been reported for detection of Al³⁺ with moderate success to date compared to the transition metal ions.⁵⁻²⁵ The majority of the reported Al³⁺ sensors, however, have limitations such as tedious synthetic efforts and/or lack of practical applicability in aqueous solutions.¹¹ Today, almost all the reported dyes for Al³⁺ have been tested in organic solvents or mixed solvents. In order to enable evaluation of Al³⁺ ions in aqueous environments, it is highly desirable to develop new sensors, which not only recognize Al³⁺ ions selectively but also compete effectively with the strong hydration of Al³⁺ ion during the application in aqueous.

One option is to integrate the Al³⁺ binding event with the excited state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT) in the sensor design. Recently, ESIPT has attracted attention from both theoretical and experimental viewpoints, because it shows a uniquely large Stokes' shifted

Correspondence to: Yi Pang.

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here].
See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

fluorescence emission ($6000\text{--}12000\text{ cm}^{-1}$).²⁶ In addition, the ESIPT turn-on or turn-off events will usually lead to a large change in fluorescence wavelength,²⁷ which is of great importance in their practical applications. In general, the ESIPT process requires a proton donor ($-\text{OH}$, $-\text{NH}_2$) and a proton acceptor ($-\text{C}=\text{O}$, $-\text{N}=\text{}$) group in close proximity in order to form the intramolecular hydrogen bond (a necessary condition for ESIPT).²⁸

In order to demonstrate the concept of using ESIPT in Al^{3+} sensing, we decide to explore the synthesis of Schiff base **1**. In the sensor design, the hydroxyl group in **1** forms an intramolecular hydrogen bonding with the adjacent imine bond ($-\text{CH}=\text{N}-$), which gives ESIPT. The hydroxyl and adjacent “acetohydrazide” groups also provide a strong binding cavity to host the Al^{3+} cation. As a consequence, the new sensor integrates the following functions into a single molecule: (a) containing sufficient polar groups to improve water solubility; (b) including an amine group for photoinduced electron transfer (PET) effect to suppress the background signal; and (c) utilizing the Al^{3+} binding to switch the excited-state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT), thereby inducing a large spectral shift. Herein, we report the fluorescence response of sensor **1**, which exhibits remarkable fluorescence turned on (by ~ 73 fold) upon binding Al^{3+} ion. In addition, the Al^{3+} binding also induced a large spectral shift (by 40 nm) (Figure 1), as the cation binding turned off the ESIPT.

Chemosensor **1** was synthesized in over 90% yield by simple coupling of 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde with acetohydrazide (**A**) (Scheme 1). Compound **1** could exist in the isomers **1a** and **1b**, whose ratio was dependent on the equilibrium in different solvents (See SI Figures S1–S3). The structure of the major isomer **1a** was determined by x-ray diffraction (ESI Figure S14). In aqueous, the free ligand **1** gave very weak green fluorescence (the emission $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 485\text{ nm}$, $\phi_{\text{fl}} = 0.01$), partly attributing to the PET effect from the amine. As expected, the emission of **1** exhibited a large Stocks' shift in water ($\lambda = 495 (\lambda_{\text{em}}) - 317 (\lambda_{\text{max}}) \approx 168\text{ nm}$), as a consequence of ESIPT process. Upon addition of Al^{3+} cation, however, the solution gave bright blue fluorescence, with its quantum efficiency reaching as high as $\phi_{\text{fl}} = 0.73$ (Figure 1a). In addition, the Al^{3+} binding also shifted the emission signal (around 40 nm shift from the weak green fluorescence to strong blue fluorescence), which could be used for naked eye detection (See Figure 1a–1b). Clearly, two “oxygen” and one “nitrogen” atoms in the sensor **1** provided a strong Al^{3+} binding (*via* hard acid-base interaction) to compete with the Al^{3+} hydration, thereby allowing the reliable fluorescence turn-on in the aqueous solution.

The fluorometric behaviour of **1** was further investigated by addition of the other metal ions Na^+ , K^+ , Ag^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cr^{3+} , and Fe^{3+} in pure water. As shown in Figures 1a and 1c, the addition of 5.0 equiv of Na^+ , K^+ , Ag^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Mn^{2+} and Cr^{3+} has no obvious effect on the fluorescence emission. The metal ions Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} quenched the fluorescence. Although the sensor **1** responded to Zn^{2+} cation with a slight increase in the fluorescent intensity, the emission wavelength of **1**-Zn was only shifted to 473 nm (around 10 nm blue shift). Therefore, the sensor **1** is highly selective and sensitive for Al^{3+} detection, which makes it feasible for biological and environmental applications.

The absorption peak of **1** ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 317 \text{ nm}$) was progressively decreased upon addition of Al^{3+} (Figure 2a), which was accompanied with a new band at about 352 nm. The large spectral bathochromic shift indicated the deprotonation, as a consequence of Al^{3+} -binding to phenol. Observation of the distinct isosbestic point at 333 nm suggests the complex formation with one new chemical species. On the basis of Job plot, the complex was assumed to have a ligand-to-metal ratio of 2:1. The assumption was supported by high resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS), which detected m/z 381.1135, corresponding to $[\text{2}(\text{1-H}^+) + \text{Al}^{3+}]^+$ (See Figure 4: the calcd mass for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{18}\text{AlN}_4\text{O}_4$: 381.1143). Furthermore, mass spectra detected no Al^{3+} complex with 1:1 ligand-to-metal ratio from the aqueous solution of **1** and Al^{3+} (ESI Figure S5).

The cation binding was further examined by ^1H NMR titration. The free ligand exhibited two imine ($-\text{CH}=\text{N}-$) signals at 8.25 and 8.15 ppm, corresponding to the major and minor isomers **1a** and **1b** respectively (Figure 3). Upon addition of Al^{3+} cation, a broad imine signal was developed at 8.45 ppm, attributing to the formation of **1**- Al^{3+} complex. The triplet signal at 7.27 ppm (aromatic H_c) disappeared when ~ 0.5 equiv molar Al^{3+} was added, indicating that all ligand was consumed to bind Al^{3+} cation, forming **1**- Al^{3+} complex. Further addition of Al^{3+} (0.5-1.0 equiv molar) did not reveal significant change. Since all the ligand was used to bind Al^{3+} , the broad peak at 8.28 ppm was also attributed to **1**- Al^{3+} , in addition to the peak at 8.45 ppm. The two peaks at 8.28 and 8.45 ppm suggested possible existence of two different isomers in the metal complex, as the mass spectra detected only **1**- Al^{3+} complex in 2:1 ligand-to-metal ratio.

In order to further evaluate the selectivity of **1** for Al^{3+} sensing, competition experiments were carried out with other metal cations. The fluorescence response of **1** to Al^{3+} in pure water in the presence of 5 equiv of various cations including Na^+ , K^+ , Ag^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} are given in Figure 4, respectively. There were insignificant changes in the fluorescence of "**1** + Al^{3+} " in the presence of other competing metal cations. Except for Fe^{3+} and Cu^{2+} , most competing metal ions did not interfere with detection of Al^{3+} by **1** in H_2O (Figure 4), indicating that **1** can be used as a selective chemosensor for the Al^{3+} cation.

When using **1** in low concentration (120 nM), the water scattering signal and the background noise could be brought to a observable level (Figure 5) to evaluate the detection limit. Sensor **1** exhibited a good linear response towards Al^{3+} in the concentration range of 1.0 to 60 nM in water, showing its analytical value. The detection limit for Al^{3+} was determined to be as low as $5.0 \times 10^{-11} \text{ M}$ (0.5 nM) in pure water (ESI Figure S15), which was defined as the three-fold standard deviation of the fluorescence obtained from a blank sample (dye **1** in the absence of Al^{3+}).²⁹ The detection limit of **1** for Al^{3+} cation was about 3 times lower than the highest detection limit reported in DMSO,^{30b} representing a significant advance in sensing Al^{3+} cation in water.

In an effort to seek the potential biological application, sensor **1** was applied to zebrafish. When zebrafish was exposed to dye **1** and Al^{3+} in fish tank, bright fluorescence was observed in the fish head and tail (Fig. 6 and ESI Figure S6), suggesting that this molecule could be used in organisms at certain conditions.

In conclusion, a highly selective and sensitive fluorescent sensor for Al^{3+} has been developed. The sensor shows great fluorescence turn-on upon binding Al^{3+} in aqueous solution, giving strong blue emission. In addition, the sensor's turn-on exhibits excellent selectivity to Al^{3+} cation, with only a slight turn-on effect observed from Zn^{2+} . These findings suggest that the developed Al^{3+} sensor could be a useful probe for the application in the biological systems.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Institute of Health (Grant No: 1R15EB014546-01A1). We also thank the Coleman endowment from the University of Akron for partial support, and thank Dr. Qin Liu at the University of Akron for assistance in the zebrafish experiment.

Notes and references

1. (a) Robinson GH. Chem Eng News. 2003; 81:54.(b) The special issue on Aluminium: Lithosphere to Biosphere (and Back): J Inorg Biochem. 2005; 99:1747.
2. Delhaize E, Ryan PR. Plant Physiol. 1995; 107:315. [PubMed: 12228360]
3. (a) Berthon G. Coord Chem Rev. 2002; 228:319.(b) Nayak P. Environ Res. 2002; 89:101. [PubMed: 12123643] (c) Cronan CS, Walker WJ, Bloom PR. Nature. 1986; 324:140.(d) Perl DP, Gajdusek DC, Garruto RM, Yanagihara RT, Gibbs CJ. Science. 1982; 217:1053. [PubMed: 7112111] (e) Perl DP, Brody AR. Science. 1980; 208:297. [PubMed: 7367858] (f) Crapper DR, Krishnan SS, Dalton AJ. Science. 1973; 180:511. [PubMed: 4735595]
4. (a) Soroka K, Vithanage RS, Phillips DA, Walker B, Dasgupta PK. Anal Chem. 1987; 59:629.(b) Ma TH, Dong M, Dong YM, Wang YW, Peng Y. Chem Eur J. 2010; 16:10313. [PubMed: 20661963]
5. Sinha S, Koner RR, Kumar S, Matthew J, Monisha PV, Kazi I, Ghosh S. RSC Advances. 2013; 3:345.
6. Tiwari K, Mishra M, Singh VP. RSC Advances. 2013; 3:12124.
7. Chen CH, Liao DJ, Wan CF, Wu AT. Analyst. 2013; 138:2527. [PubMed: 23507833]
8. Kim S, Noh JY, Kim KY, Kim JH, Kang HK, Nam SW, Kim SH, Park S, Kim C, Kim JH. Inorg Chem. 2012; 51:3597. [PubMed: 22385332]
9. Liu YW, Chen CH, Wu AT. Analyst. 2012; 137:5201. [PubMed: 23014158]
10. Maity D, Govindaraju T. Chem Commun. 2012; 48:1039.
11. Lu Y, Huang S, Liu Y, He S, Zhao L, Zeng X. Org Lett. 2011; 13:5274. [PubMed: 21916451]
12. Sahana A, Banerjee A, Das S, Lohar S, Karak D, Sarkar B, Mukhopadhyay SK, Mukherjee AK, Das D. Org Biomol Chem. 2011; 9:5523. [PubMed: 21691668]
13. Kim SH, Choi HS, Kim J, Lee SJ, Quang DT, Kim JS. Org Lett. 2010; 12:560. [PubMed: 20043625]
14. Maity D, Govindaraju T. Chem Commun. 2010; 46:4499.
15. Upadhyay KK, Kumar A. Org Biomol Chem. 2010; 8:4892. [PubMed: 20820652]
16. Maity D, Govindaraju T. Inorg Chem. 2010; 49:7229. [PubMed: 20690731]
17. Ma TH, Dong M, Dong YM, Wang YW, Peng Y. Chem Eur J. 2010; 16:10313. [PubMed: 20661963]
18. Wang L, Qin W, Tang X, Dou W, Liu W, Teng Q, Yao X. Org Biomol Chem. 2010; 8:3751. [PubMed: 20617258]
19. Wang Y-W, Yu M-X, Yu Y-H, Bai Z-P, Shen Z, Li F-Y, You X-Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009; 50:6169.

20. Lin W, Yuan L, Feng J. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2008;3821.
21. Othman AB, Lee JW, Hum YD, Abidi R, Kim JS, Vicens J. *Tetrahedron*. 2007; 63:10793.
22. Zhao Y, Lin Z, Liao H, Duan C, Meng Q-J. *Inorg Chem Commun*. 2006; 9:966.
23. Ng SM, Narayanaswamy R. *Anal Bioanal Chem*. 2006; 386:1235. [PubMed: 16947006]
24. Jeanson A, Béreau V. *Inorg Chem Commun*. 2006; 9:13.
25. Arduini M, Felluga F, Mancin F, Rossi P, Tecilla P, Tonellato U, Valentinuzzi N. *Chem Commun*. 2003:1606.
26. Goodman J, Brus LE. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1978; 100:7472.
27. Xu YQ, Liu Q, Dou B, Wright B, Wang JY, Pang Y. *Adv Healthcare Mater*. 2012; 1:485.
28. Kwon JE, Park SY. *Adv Mater*. 2011; 23:3615. [PubMed: 21780312]
29. Ingle, JD.; Crouch, SR. *Spectrochemical Analysis*. Prentice; 1988. p. 171-176.
30. (a) Tiwari, Karishma; Mishra, Monika; Singh, Vinod P. *RSC Adv*. 2013; 3:12124–12132.(b) Upadhyay KK, Kumar A. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2010; 8:4892–4897. [PubMed: 20820652]

Figure 1.

(a) Fluorescent spectra of **1** (20.0 μM) with 5.0 equiv. of various metal ions in pure water: Na^+ , K^+ , Ag^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cr^{3+} , Fe^{3+} . (b) Fluorescent images of **1** in the presence of different cations. (c) Change ratio $((F_A - F_{A0})/F_{A0})$ of fluorescence of **1** (20.0 μM) in pure water containing various metal ions (5.0 equiv.): Na^+ , K^+ , Ag^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cr^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , Al^{3+} .

Figure 2.
Titration of **1** (10 μM) in water by addition of different amount of Al^{3+} .

Figure 3.
 ^1H NMR of **1** in CD_3OD upon addition of various equiv. of Al^{3+} cation.

Figure 4.

Fluorescence intensity of **1** (20.0 μM) in pure water (column 1), and sensor **1** in the presence of different metal ion(s) (5.0 equiv.): (2) Al^{3+} ; (3) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Na}^+$; (4) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{K}^+$; (5) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Ag}^+$; (6) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Mg}^{2+}$; (7) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Ca}^{2+}$; (8) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Hg}^{2+}$; (9) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Ba}^{2+}$; (10) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Pb}^{2+}$; (11) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Cd}^{2+}$; (12) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Mn}^{2+}$; (13) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Ni}^{2+}$; (14) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Co}^{2+}$; (15) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Zn}^{2+}$; (16) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Cr}^{3+}$; (17) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Fe}^{2+}$; (18) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Cu}^{2+}$; (19) $\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Fe}^{3+}$.

Figure 5.

Fluorescent intensity of Dye **1** (120 nM) upon addition of different concentration of Al^{3+} . The sharp signal at ~410 nm was from the scattering of water. The inset at the top right shows that the linear response of **1** to Al^{3+} concentration.

Figure 6.

Fluorescent images of Al^{3+} in Zebrafish (a) fish exposed to dye **1** only in the fish tank at the concentration of 10 μM for 2 hours; (b) fish exposed to 10 μM dye **1** and Al^{3+} for 2 hours.

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of **1** and its Al³⁺ complex.