Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2013 December 2; 52(49): 12848–12851. doi:10.1002/anie.201306753.

Cholesterol's Aliphatic Side Chain Structure Modulates Membrane Properties**

Holger A. Scheidt,

Institute of Medical Physics and Biophysics, University of Leipzig, Härtelstr. 16-18, D-04107 Leipzig, Germany

Thomas Meyer,

Institute of Medical Physics and Biophysics, University of Leipzig, Härtelstr. 16-18, D-04107 Leipzig, Germany

Jörg Nikolaus,

Institute of Biology/Biophysics, Humboldt University Berlin, Invalidenstr. 42, D-10115 Berlin, Germany

Dong Jae Baek,

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Queens College of CUNY, Flushing, NY 11367-1597, USA

Ivan Haralampiev,

Institute of Biology/Biophysics, Humboldt University Berlin, Invalidenstr. 42, D-10115 Berlin, Germany

Lars Thomas,

Institute of Medical Physics and Biophysics, University of Leipzig, Härtelstr. 16-18, D-04107 Leipzig, Germany

Robert Bittman,

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Queens College of CUNY, Flushing, NY 11367-1597, USA

Peter Müller,

Institute of Biology/Biophysics, Humboldt University Berlin, Invalidenstr. 42, D-10115 Berlin, Germany

Andreas Herrmann, and

Institute of Biology/Biophysics, Humboldt University Berlin, Invalidenstr. 42, D-10115 Berlin, Germany

Daniel Huster

Institute of Medical Physics and Biophysics, University of Leipzig, Härtelstr. 16-18, D-04107 Leipzig, Germany

**Partial support was provided by NIH Grant HL-083187 (RB) and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, SFB 1052, B6, DH).

Correspondence to: Daniel Huster, daniel.huster@medizin.uni-leipzig.de.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.

Daniel Huster: daniel.huster@medizin.uni-leipzig.de

Keywords

membranes; lipids; diffusion; permeability; domain formation

The composition and chemical structures of the membrane forming molecules determine all essential properties of nature's most important interface. Cellular membranes are subject to a hierarchical domain organization on the mesoscopic length scale.^[1] This is triggered by preferential interactions between the major constituents of the membrane, e.g. phospholipids, cholesterol (Chol), glycolipids, and membrane proteins.^[2] In particular, the attractive interactions between Chol and sphingolipids or saturated phospholipids and/or membrane proteins have been identified as the driving force for membrane domain formation.^[3] Key to the lipid phase separation phenomena is the preferential interaction of Chol with saturated lipid chains.^[4] Numerous examples of the specific interaction between Chol and saturated phospholipids or sphingomyelin have been reported.^[5] The interaction between Chol and saturated lipid chains is thought to originate by attractive van der Waals interactions between Chol's planar and rigid ring system (particularly the smooth α -face) and the saturated phospholipid chains.^[6] This leads to a stiffening of the lipid chains, as indicated by an order increase, which is referred to as area condensation.^[7] This increased packing density decreases membrane permeability.^[8] Surprisingly, the influence of the aliphatic Chol side chain on lipid condensation and membrane domain formation is still not fully understood. Therefore, we have systematically studied the influence of the methyl-branched (*iso*-series) side chain of Chol with 5 to 14 carbon atoms^[9] (Figure 1A) on important membrane properties.

To evaluate the influence of the Chol side chain on the area condensation effect, ^2H NMR order parameters^[4] were measured for mixtures of either fully saturated DPPC- d_{62} or monounsaturated POPC- d_{31} with 20 mol% of the respective sterol analog (^2H NMR spectra and order parameter plots are shown in supplementary Figures S1 – S4). Pure lipid membranes are used as a reference featuring lowest chain order. Saturated DPPC shows higher order parameters than the monounsaturated POPC. All sterols studied induce an increase in lipid chain order, i.e. condensation but the degree of condensation varies as a function of the length of the *iso*-branched side chain. These differences are best displayed in the plot of the average order parameters $\langle S \rangle$ of the phospholipid in the presence of the sterols with varying length of the side chain (Figure 1B). There is a gradual increase in the POPC chain order from androst-5-en-3- β -ol (androst-enol), which lacks a side chain, to *i*-C5-sterol, native Chol, and *i*-C10-sterol, while the longer chain *i*-C12- and *i*-C14-sterol analogs show decreasing condensation again. Most interestingly is the fact that the sterol ring system of Chol is only responsible for ~40% of the lipid condensation as demonstrated for androst-enol, while the *i*-C8 side chain of Chol accounts for ~60% of the condensation. Furthermore, it is remarkable that native Chol induces a slightly lower lipid condensation than *i*-C10-sterol; for the latter, the lipid condensation is 6% higher.

For DPPC mixtures, highest chain order is observed in the presence of Chol, and the attenuation of the ordering effect induced by the longer side chain sterol analogs is a bit

attenuated. In contrast to the monounsaturated mixtures, the *i*-C8 side chain of Chol only accounts for ~40% of the condensation in DPPC.

Changes in lipid chain order mostly affect the upper chain part, where an increase in order between 40% (POPC) and 50% (DPPC) due to Chol is observed. This can be evaluated from the difference order parameter plots shown in Figures S2 and S4. The increase in molecular order of the lower half of the chain much depends on the side chain length of the sterol analog and is optimal only for cholesterol or the *i*-C10 sterol. Order increase leads to an increase in lipid chain length in the presence of each sterol analog, which is reported in Table S1.

Next, we investigated the influence of the sterol molecules on basic lipid membrane properties. First, the main phase transition temperature of DPPC in the presence of each sterol analog was investigated by differential scanning calorimetry (Figures S5 and S6). In the presence of 20 mol% Chol, the phase transition temperature of DPPC increases from 40.9°C to 41.5°C. In the presence of the sterol analogs, the phase transition temperature decreased to well below 40°C for all sterols and for androstenol it dropped to 30.5°C (Figure S6).

Furthermore, the lateral diffusion of DPPC and the sterols was measured using ¹H MAS PFG NMR (Figure S7).^[10] While lipid diffusion rates can also be measured by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy,^[11] the NMR technique measures the diffusion rates of both phospholipid and the sterol in the same mixture without the need for fluorescence labels that may influence lipid diffusion rates. Diffusion coefficients determined for the DPPC and the sterols are shown in Figure 2. Within experimental error, DPPC and the various sterol molecules show approximately the same diffusion coefficients (filled bars represent DPPC, open bars the sterol). The diffusion coefficients of *i*-C5-, *i*-C8-, and *i*-C10-sterols are rather similar and so are the diffusion coefficients for the DPPC in the presence of these sterols. However, for androstenol as well as for *i*-C12- and *i*-C14-sterols much larger diffusion coefficients are found, which are even larger than for DPPC in the absence of Chol.

We also determined the diffusion coefficients of POPC in mixture with the respective sterol analog. Since POPC is not available in the chain perdeuterated form, signal superposition in the aliphatic region prevented the determination of the diffusion of the sterol and only POPC diffusion coefficients are reported in Figure S8. POPC showed the largest diffusion coefficients. In the presence of androstenol, *i*-C5-, and *i*-C8-sterol POPC diffusion is slower with relatively similar diffusion coefficients, while the diffusion coefficients of POPC in the presence of sterols *i*-C10, *i*-C12, and *i*-C14 decreased again significantly.

We also assessed the permeability of POPC and DPPC membranes in the presence of the respective sterols. A simple fluorescence assay was used that detects the permeation of dithionite ion across membranes by measuring the reduction of NBD-PE fluorescence in lipid vesicles.^[12] The reduction kinetics showed two components: a very fast decay due to the reduction of the NBD-PE in the outer leaflet of the bilayer and a slower decay, which reflects the reduction of the NBD-PE in the inner leaflet upon dithionite ion permeation (Figure S9). Figure S10 shows the rate constants for dithionite ion permeation across the

POPC and DPPC bilayers, which are obtained by fitting the curves to a bi-exponential equation. DPPC shows highest permeability for dithionite ion, which is relatively close to the phase transition temperature, where the permeability is generally high.^[13] Permeability is lower in the presence of all sterol analogs; the lowest permeation rate is measured for Chol, indicating that its structure is optimal for decreasing the membrane permeability. In the presence of a sterol with a longer or shorter *iso*-branched side chain or with androstenol, as well as in the absence of any sterol, these permeation rates are clearly higher.

Finally, we studied the potential of sterols with varying side chain lengths to induce phase separation and the formation of liquid ordered (l_o) and liquid disordered (l_d) domains in mixtures of DOPC, N-stearoylsphingomyelin (SSM) and the respective sterol. Figure S11 shows confocal fluorescence images^[14] of giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) composed of DOPC, SSM, and the respective sterol molecule at a 1:1:1 molar ratio. As seen from this overview, all sterols induced the formation of large lipid domains irrespective of the length of the side chain, as reported by the fluorescence of N-Rh-DOPE representing a well-established marker for the l_d phase of GUVs made of lipid raft mixtures. Therefore, this phase appears in red in the images, while the l_o phase, which is depleted of N-Rh-DOPE, appears in dark colors (Figure S11).^[15]

In spite of the fact that all sterols induced phase separation, the partitioning of the fluorophore varies as a function of the sterol side chain length. To quantify this distribution ratio, we calculated the intensity ratio of N-Rh-DOPE in l_o and l_d phases according to^[16] (Figure 3, filled bars). Almost exclusive partitioning of N-Rh-DOPE into the l_d domain was found for raft mixtures composed of native Chol (0.2% in the l_o phase), while partitioning was less pronounced for the *i*-C5- and *i*-C12-sterols (2.5% and 2.1% in the l_o phase, respectively). For *i*-C10-sterol, the l_o domain partition increases again to 4% and is highest in raft mixtures in the presence of *i*-C14-sterol (8%) and androstenol (10.6%). Interestingly, the average domains covered approximately one-half of the line shown at the particular cut made at the equator of the vesicle for all Chol analogs except for GUVs containing androstenol. Here the l_o domains is only about 20% of the GUV. The only literature data available on sterols with longer or shorter side chain report that *i*-C4-sterol fails and *i*-C10-sterol promotes domain formation.^[17]

Finally, a rhodamine-labeled peptide (Rh-TMD), representing the transmembrane domain of Influenza A virus hemagglutinin, was incorporated at 1 mol% into the GUVs of the same mixtures.^[18] The l_o/l_d distribution of the peptide is shown in Figure 3 (open bars). In the ternary mixtures composed of native Chol, *i*-C5-, or *i*-C10-sterol, Rh-TMD almost exclusively partitioned into the l_d domains (i.e., only 0.4%, 0.7%, and 0.3% of the peptide were found in the l_o domain, respectively). In contrast, in mixtures containing *i*-C12- to *i*-C14-sterol, the amount of Rh-TMD found in the l_o domain increased to 2.6% and 3.7%, respectively. The partition equilibrium of the peptide was severely disturbed for mixtures containing androstenol, where 10% of the peptide was found in the l_o domain, which is 25 times more than in the l_o domain of GUVs prepared with Chol.

Sterols are typical lipids of eukaryotic cells. While Chol represents the sterol in the plasma membranes of all animal cells, a number of different phytosterols are representative for

plants, while ergosterol represents the sterol in fungi and yeast. All these molecules feature a side chain at C-17 that consists of carbons 20 to 27 (see Figure 1A). Phytosterols often have a methyl or ethyl branch at C-24, and the number and position of double bonds in the side chain varies. However, the overall length of the *iso*-branched side chain remains identical in the different sterols. In contrast to these differences in the sterols, the fatty acid composition of the cell membranes of plants, fungi, yeasts, and animals is rather similar. Typically, the lipids in most of these membranes (mostly phospho- and sphingolipids, but also glycolipids) contain ~80–90% lipid chains of 16 or 18 carbons that are either saturated or contain one to three *cis* double bonds.^[19] Thus the majority of cellular membranes have a thickness that matches well with the molecular dimension of a sterol with an *iso*-branched side chain consisting of 8 carbons.

Several studies have demonstrated that even small changes in Chol's tetracyclic structure can have a profound impact on the lipid condensation effect^[6b,20] and membrane domain formation.^[17,21] But contrary to the assumption that the interaction of Chol with membrane phospholipids is based on interactions between the tetracyclic ring system of the former and the lipid chains of the latter molecules, we found that a major part of the condensation effect and the lateral organization of the lipids in raft mixtures are attributed to the Chol side chain. The side chain of Chol contributes ~60% of the condensation in monounsaturated POPC membranes and ~40% in saturated DPPC membranes. This suggests that the Chol side chain is crucial for especially the interaction with unsaturated phospholipids, which represent the majority in biological membranes. Also, the lateral lipid diffusion and membrane permeability appears to be optimized for Chol's *i*-C8 side chain. Our biophysical data suggest that the Chol side chain has been fine tuned in evolution for an optimal interaction with phospholipids in lipid bilayers.

Evolutionary, sterols were introduced at a relatively late stage because of the lack of molecular oxygen for biosynthesis.^[8a] The evolutionary advantage of adding Chol to eukaryotic cellular membranes was to modulate and refine membrane properties. In particular, the barrier function of the membrane is markedly improved.^[22] In that regard, it was not sufficient to develop a sterol lacking side chain such as androstenol. As our study shows, only 40% of the total ordering of monounsaturated membranes (and concomitant denser packing) is achieved by the rigid tetracyclic carbon skeleton, and an important contribution comes from the aliphatic side chain. The additional van der Waals attraction between the Chol side chain and the lower part of the lipid chains leads to an optimal increase in packing density of the lipids and thus the improved barrier function of the membrane (Figure S2). Membrane permeation is most restricted for native Chol, and even small alterations in the side chain length lead to a significantly impaired barrier function. Although *i*-C10-sterol induced a higher lipid condensation in POPC and an increased lipid chain ordering particularly in the lower half of the chain (Figure S2), the membrane permeation for dithionite ion is much larger for the sterol with the longer side chain.

The basis for the length of the Chol side chain is set in the biosynthesis of the molecule from dimethylallyl pyrophosphate and isopentenyl pyrophosphate in the isoprenoid metabolic pathway yielding squalene.^[23] This is the precursor for Chol and phytosterols and explains the general similarity between these molecules and in particular their aliphatic side chains.

From squalene, lanosterol evolved, which features a relatively rough surface due to three additional methyl groups on the tetracyclic ring system (4- α -CH₃, 4- β -CH₃, and 14-CH₃), not present in Chol. This suggests that in the last steps of Chol evolution, the smoothness of the α -face was optimized and the sterol-induced ordering of the lipid chains was increased: in the presence of 20 mol% lanosterol, the average chain order of POPC membranes is 0.202, while in the presence of 20 mol% Chol it is 0.206.^[24] This final evolutionary steps resulted in a ~2% increase in lipid chain order. However, as our study on the length of the Chol side chain suggests, a very important evolutionary step was the development of the general architecture of the sterol structure featuring the *iso*-branched octyl side chain of the molecule.

In summary, our work emphasizes the crucial role of the Chol side chain for a number of basic membrane properties as well as the lateral organization of the membrane lipids and proteins. By a systematic analysis of sterols with varying *iso*-branched aliphatic chains, we found that the side chain of Chol has a profound impact on all of these properties. Typically, the characteristic properties of Chol cannot be reproduced by molecules harboring a longer or shorter side chain. This highlights the importance of the allegedly disordered Chol side chain for intermolecular interactions and membrane properties with significant consequences for the cell biological role of the sterol.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

1. a) Simons K, Toomre D. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2000; 1:31–39. [PubMed: 11413487] b) Brown DA, London E. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 1998; 14:111–136. [PubMed: 9891780] c) Munro S. Cell. 2003; 115:377–388. [PubMed: 14622593]
2. Jacobson K, Mouritsen OG, Anderson RGW. Nat. Cell. Biol. 2007; 9:7–14. [PubMed: 17199125]
3. a) Frazier ML, Wright JR, Pokorny A, Almeida PF. Biophys. J. 2007; 92:2422–2433. [PubMed: 17218467] b) Tsamaloukas A, Szadkowska H, Heerklotz H. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 2006; 18:S1125–S1138. [PubMed: 21690833]
4. a) Huster D, Arnold K, Gawrisch K. Biochemistry. 1998; 37:17299–17308. [PubMed: 9860844] b) Almeida PF, Pokorny A, Hinderliter A. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 2005; 1720:1–13. [PubMed: 16472555]
5. a) Veatch SL, Soubias O, Keller SL, Gawrisch K. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2007; 104:17650–17655. [PubMed: 17962417] b) Bunge A, Müller P, Stöckl M, Herrmann A, Huster D. Biophys. J. 2008; 94:2680–2690. [PubMed: 18178660] c) Bartels T, Lankalapalli RS, Bittman R, Beyer K, Brown MF. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:14521–14532. [PubMed: 18839945] d) Ziblat R, Leiserowitz L, Addadi L. Angew. Chem. 2011; 123:3700–3710. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 3620–3629.
6. a) Davies MA, Schuster HF, Brauner JW, Mendelsohn R. Biochemistry. 1990; 29:4368–4373. [PubMed: 2350543] b) Orädd G, Shahedi V, Lindblom G. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 2009; 1788:1762–1771. [PubMed: 19573518]
7. Oldfield E, Meadows M, Rice D, Jacobs R. Biochemistry. 1978; 17:2727–2740. [PubMed: 687560]
8. a) Mouritsen OG, Zuckermann MJ. Lipids. 2004; 39:1101–1113. [PubMed: 15726825] b) Huster D, Jin AJ, Arnold K, Gawrisch K. Biophys. J. 1997; 73:855–864. [PubMed: 9251802]
9. Baek DJ, Bittman R. Chem. Phys. Lipids. 2013

10. a) Scheidt HA, Huster D, Gawrisch K. *Biophys. J.* 2005; 89:2504–2512. [PubMed: 16085761] b) Gaede HC, Gawrisch K. *Biophys. J.* 2003; 85:1734–1740. [PubMed: 12944288]
11. a) Damayanti NP, Parker LL, Irudayaraj JMK, Damayanti NP, Parker LL, Irudayaraj JMK. *Angew. Chem.* 2013; 125:4023–4026. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52, 3931–3934. b) Ries J, Schwille P. *Bioessays.* 2012; 34:361–368. [PubMed: 22415816]
12. McIntyre JC, Sleight RG. *Biochemistry.* 1991; 30:11819–11827. [PubMed: 1751498]
13. Corvera E, Mouritsen OG, Singer MA, Zuckermann MJ. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1992; 1107:261–270. [PubMed: 1504071]
14. a) Arumugam S, Chwastek G, Fischer-Friedrich E, Ehrig C, Mönch I, Schwille P. *Angew. Chem.* 2012; 124:12028–12032. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, 51, 11858–11862. b) Sezgin E, Kaiser HJ, Baumgart T, Schwille P, Simongs K, Levental I. *Nat. Protoc.* 2012; 7:1042–1051. [PubMed: 22555243]
15. Baumgart T, Hunt G, Farkas ER, Webb WW, Feigenson GW. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2007; 1768:2182–2194. [PubMed: 17588529]
16. Johnson SA, Stinson BM, Go MS, Carmona LM, Reminick JJ, Fang X, Baumgart T. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2010; 1798:1427–1435. [PubMed: 20230780]
17. Xu X, Bittman R, Duportail G, Heissler D, Vilcheze C, London E. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001; 276:33540–33546. [PubMed: 11432870]
18. Nikolaus J, Scolari S, Bayraktarov E, Jungnick N, Engel S, Pia PA, Stöckl M, Volkmer R, Veit M, Herrmann A. *Biophys. J.* 2010; 99:489–498. [PubMed: 20643067]
19. Gunstone, FD.; Harwood, JL.; Dijkstra, AJ. *The Lipid Handbook*. 3rd ed.. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2007.
20. a) Scheidt HA, Müller P, Herrmann A, Huster D. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003; 278:45563–45569. [PubMed: 12947110] b) Endress E, Bayerl S, Prechtel K, Maier C, Merkel R, Bayerl TM. *Langmuir.* 2002; 18:3293–3299. c) Dufourc EJ. *J. Chem. Biol.* 2008; 1:63–77. [PubMed: 19568799] d) Milles S, Meyer T, Scheidt HA, Schwarzer R, Thomas L, Marek M, Szente L, Bittman R, Herrmann A, Pomorski TG, Huster D, Müller P P. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2013; 1828:1822–1828. c). [PubMed: 23583923]
21. a) Wang J, Megha, London E. *Biochemistry.* 2004; 43:1010–1018. [PubMed: 14744146] b) Wenz JJ, Barrantes FJ. *Biochemistry.* 2003; 42:14267–14276. [PubMed: 14640695]
22. Haines TH. *Prog. Lipid Res.* 2001; 40:299–324. [PubMed: 11412894]
23. Bloch K. *CRC Crit. Rev.* 1983; 14:47–92.
24. Huster D, Scheidt HA, Arnold K, Herrmann A, Müller P. *Biophys. J.* 2005; 88:1838–1844. [PubMed: 15596512]

Figure 1.

A) Structures of Chol and the sterol variants investigated in this study featuring varying lengths of the *iso*-branched side chain. B) Mean order parameter $\langle S \rangle$ of POPC-*d*₃₁ (filled bars) and DPPC-*d*₆₂ membranes (open bars) in the presence of various sterol variants.

Figure 2.

Lateral diffusion coefficients D in DPPC bilayers in mixture with the sterol analogs. Diffusion coefficients are reported for DPPC (filled bars) and the respective sterol (open bars) in the mixture at a molar ratio of 50:50 at 45°C.

Figure 3.

Ratio of the average fluorescence intensity of N-Rh-DOPE (filled bars) and the Rh-labeled transmembrane peptide (TMD, open bars) in the l_o domain and the l_d domain of the canonical raft mixture of DOPC/SSM/Chol or sterol variants (1:1:1 molar ratio).

Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Between 27 and 102 GUVs were analyzed per raft mixture.