Published in final edited form as:

Trends Plant Sci. 2014 May ; 19(5): 304–310. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2013.12.003.

Advanced imaging techniques for the study of plant growth and development

Rosangela Sozzani¹, Wolfgang Busch², Edgar P. Spalding³, and Philip N. Benfey⁴

¹Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

²Gregor Mendel Institute of Molecular Plant Biology, Austrian Academy of Sciences, 1030 Vienna, Austria

³Department of Botany, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706 USA

⁴Department of Biology, Duke Center for Systems Biology, and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, 27708, USA

Abstract

A variety of imaging methodologies are being used to collect data for quantitative studies of plant growth and development from living plants. Multi-level data, from macroscopic to molecular, and from weeks to seconds, can be acquired. Furthermore, advances in parallelized and automated image acquisition enable the throughput to capture images from large populations of plants under specific growth conditions. Image-processing capabilities allow for 3D or 4D reconstruction of image data and automated quantification of biological features. These advances facilitate the integration of imaging data with genome-wide molecular data to enable systems-level modeling.

Combining imaging and modeling

Even the simplest organisms are highly complex systems in which countless dynamic biochemical processes occur simultaneously. To reach a comprehensive and quantitative understanding of such a complex molecular machine, the ability to accurately characterize dynamic processes at different scales is essential. Traditional molecular, genetic and biochemical studies have successfully identified regulators of plant growth and development; however these approaches often fail to address the timing of molecular events. To capture the dynamic behavior of biological systems, molecular activities need to be analyzed with regard to their spatial and temporal properties. To generate a comprehensive model of developmental processes, gene expression patterns have to be recorded with high-

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Benfey, P.N. (philip.benfey@duke.edu).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

spatial resolution and combined with morphological, genetic and functional data. Such approaches have been used to comprehend the role of genes during cell fate decisions [1] or in responses to environmental perturbations [2, 3]. Recent developments in the field of imaging have provided the tools to study processes during growth and development with high spatial and temporal resolution and with a high-throughput (Figure 1). For the first time, this allows for combining a comprehensive set of genome-wide data with imaging techniques and computational modeling, enabling the generation of quantitative models of plant development. Here we highlight imaging techniques that have led to novel biological insights with regard to plant growth and development and furthermore hold the promise to generate data that will advance our understanding of the molecular systems that govern plant growth and development.

Imaging to capture the time domain

To achieve a comprehensive characterization of the dynamic cell behaviors responsible for organ growth and development, it is necessary to measure gene expression, cell division and cell expansion as well as their rates, and spatial distributions. Early work showed how image-based experimentation could define cell lineage and division patterns in shoot [4, 5] and root meristems [6]. Subsequently, there was a need to develop imaging tools to visualize cellular dynamics in the living organism. In an effort to correlate observable molecular-level processes with plant development, several groups are now combining experimental approaches with computer modeling to analyze data and to make testable predictions [7–9]. Results from biological experiments (e.g. z-stacks of confocal microscope images) can be used to construct predictive mathematical and graphical models that account for the behavior of the system (reviewed in [10, 11]). These models are then used to make non-intuitive predictions that provide further insight into the processes involved and can be tested experimentally [12]. An example of “morphodynamics, which combine modeling and cell tracking of mutants with altered division patterns was used to explain the variability in cell size among sepal epidermal cells [13]. In addition to showing that the timing of cell division is irregular, this work highlights the importance of quantitative measurements of plant features for developing and testing morphodynamic models. Similarly, a study using a powerful combination of existing data with live imaging studies and modeling, proposed a model in which polarity switching and asymmetric divisions are keys to the precise sequence of patterning events that lead to the formation of guard cells in *Arabidopsis* (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) leaves [14]. Furthermore, live imaging and computational modeling have been combined to predict the position of new organs in response to mechanical signaling [15–16]. Overall, these studies have shown that a combination of time-resolved, high-resolution morphological and gene expression data is a powerful way to generate models that predict developmental processes.

While gene expression is an important parameter for models of growth and development, the abundance of bioactive molecules in cells or organs is critically important information. To measure temporal dynamics of such agents *in vivo*, readouts from highly specific molecular sensors are required. Tools based on changes in probe intensity, color, localization and energy transfer [17, 18] together with a wide array of sensors used to assay a variety of key molecules such as sugars, amino acids, ions such as ammonium and hormones are now

available [18–21]. Among these, a new sensor has been engineered, that enables dynamic changes in endogenous auxin levels to be detected. The DII-VENUS sensor enables quantitative measurement of auxin signaling activity at high spatiotemporal resolution during growth and development [20]. Additionally, microfluidic devices probing sensor responses under controlled conditions with high time resolution can be used. For instance, a microfluidics based device, the RootChip was used to quantify changing metabolite levels in multiple roots in real time [22].

Micro-scale resolution

Every image acquisition method has specific limits that are defined by attributes such as enhanced resolution, sensitivity, phototoxicity, penetration-depth and the properties of available dyes and fluorophores. In the past 5–10 years, significant progress has been made to develop new methods to push these limits. One of the most notable developments has been the development of super resolution microscopy. This technology enables the user to resolve features smaller than the limit of diffraction, thus significantly enhancing the ability to capture biological processes at a molecular scale. Different technologies achieving this goal have been developed: stimulated emission depletion microscopy (STED), structured illumination microscopy (SIM), photoactivated localization microscopy (PALM) and stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM) (for a *comprehensive review* on super resolution microscopy see [23, 24]). In plants, this enhanced resolution was used to explore plant membrane organization [25], to resolve and quantify lateral diffusion of PIN proteins in membranes [26] and characterize viral protein movements through plasmodesmata [27]. Nonetheless, while the physical resolution has increased, other severe constraints exist that limit the potential temporal resolution and the applicability to *in vivo* imaging for many super resolution systems.

Unwanted effects caused by refracted and scattered light, such as phototoxicity and photobleaching, have long been one of the major bottlenecks for *in vivo* confocal microscopy limiting both temporal and spatial resolution. Due to its fast image acquisition capability and high dynamic range, selective plane illumination microscopy (SPIM) is becoming the preferred method for imaging live fluorescent samples in 3D. This technique makes use of a thin (1 to 10 μm) light sheet across successively deep sections of the sample and in sub-second time frames, which minimizes phototoxicity and photobleaching [28, 29]. Notably, the thickness of the light sheet is adjusted depending on the sample to ensure homogeneous imaging. SPIM-based live images of emerging LR have been acquired, to understand how lateral roots (LRs) form in 3D and 4D. *In vivo* imaging of LR development showed that, rather than following a strict pattern of cell division, it is the intrinsic mechanical constraint of the surrounding tissues that plays a role in the formation of LR [30, 31]. Its technical advantages and current initiatives to make this technology more accessible to the research community by developing more cost-effective, and easier to assemble and operate light sheet microscopes [32, 33] are likely to make SPIM the tool of choice and thereby the source of the many 3D/4D image datasets needed for systems-level understandings of growth and development.

In addition to the development of new microscope technologies, sophisticated experimental setups and image processing and analyses algorithms can extend the ability to capture 3D or 4D phenotypes using conventional laser scanning confocal microscopy. For instance, real-time, automated 4D imaging during plant development has been achieved using three-dimensional reconstruction and cell segmentation-automated lineage tracking (MARS-ALT) [34]. Furthermore, there are techniques such as optical projection tomography (OPT) that have tremendous power for 3D/4D analyses. OPT has been used to quantify the size and shape of clonal sectors in Snapdragon (*Antirrhinum majus*) flowers, which led to new insights into the role of tissue polarity organisers in the development and evolution of organ shape [35].

With this battery of new tools in hand, we are beginning to have the ability to quantify the mechanistic basis of cellular and molecular dynamics in living organisms, which is an important step in shifting from descriptive to more quantitative biology.

Macro-scale resolution

Image analysis algorithms are the primary drivers in advancing imaging-based studies that require the quantification of macroscopic structures such as roots, stems, leaves, seeds, and flowers. A useful website (www.plant-image-analysis.org) describes 92 different image analysis software tools for studying plant biology [36]. Some of the tools require user inputs such as manual point selection, whereas others are automated or semi-automated, which is important when the task is to analyze dynamic processes captured in multi-frame time sequences (Figure 2). Roots have received considerable attention, because they can be grown within transparent gel media or on vertical gelled surfaces for the purposes of collecting images. Some tools have been designed to track growth rate, tip angles, and local curvature minute-by-minute to quantify mutant phenotypes [37–40], whereas other tools are designed to capture the overall architecture of branched root systems [41, 42]. Series of 2D images taken at different angles of rotation, rather than at different times, have been used to generate macroscopic 3D renditions of root system architecture [43, 44]. A third class of tools analyzes the time series of macroscopic images to characterize growth-related movement of material along the root with tracking algorithms to generate a kinematic description with microscopic spatial resolution [45–49]. Micro-computed tomography, which uses X-rays instead of visible light, can be used to generate high resolution 3D images of roots grown in soil [50].

Measurements of hypocotyl length in *Arabidopsis* seedlings have long provided important information about the mechanisms of light and hormone action. Formerly, researchers would manually measure end-point lengths, but now, computerized image analysis methods are being used. Different tools have been developed to measure responses to ethylene, light, and gravity, pinpointing when key events occur or mutant phenotypes begin to emerge [38, 51–55].

The *Arabidopsis* rosette has also received a great deal of attention from the perspective of measuring growth from images. The relatively planar structure of the *Arabidopsis* rosette is effectively captured by overhead images that can be analyzed to determine features such as

leaf area and compactness. Sequences of images can be automatically processed to determine rates of change in these descriptors [56–59]. A laser-scanning technique has been shown to produce 3D renditions of *Arabidopsis* rosette morphology that can also capture hourly changes [60]. This technology has also been used to study root system architecture [61] and will undoubtedly be used in other plant growth monitoring applications in the future.

The seeds from which all the above structures ultimately originate are also good examples of macroscopic structures that can be quantified with high resolution by image analysis [62–64].

An important area of development in all the approaches discussed above is increasing automation so that large-scale population studies are feasible.

Throughput to capture populations and systems

Applying image-based trait measurement methodologies to studies of large populations, such as panels of natural accessions, collections of recombinant inbred lines (RIL), or populations of mutants will advance our understanding of biological systems because of the quantitative rigor inherent in the approach. Despite the remarkable advances in quantitative phenotyping capabilities effective over a range of scales, their systematic application to the study of large numbers of plants is still a tremendous challenge. A key issue for increasing the scope of experimentation to include populations of plants is maintaining a strictly controlled and reproducible growth environment over the course of multiple experiments. There has been substantial interest in phenotyping soil-grown plants to determine shoot growth, biomass and responses to environmental stresses. Platforms designed for this purpose employ either a robotically movable camera or move plants to a stationary camera. In setups designed for use with *Arabidopsis*, a top view image of the rosette is acquired and the rosette area is measured using automated image analyses [59, 65, 66]. Image-based phenotyping for monocots such as rice, is complicated by their morphology. For these, a simple top view is often not sufficient and so side-view images are required. Due to the large sizes of shoot phenotyping devices, the heterogeneous nature of soil, and time frames that typically span several weeks, a major challenge is to provide uniform growth conditions for each plant. This is complicated by micrometeorological conditions that exist within glass houses or growth chambers. Platforms such as GlyPh (Ref: GlyPh: a low-cost platform for phenotyping plant growth and water use), WIWAM [65] and PHENOPSIS [67] attempt to address these issues by weighing pots and adjusting for evaporated water. However, such systems are still subject to position dependent environmental effects. The Phenoscope pipeline [66] not only adjusts for water loss but also automatically, and at regular intervals, rotates the position of all pots.

Phenotyping of root-related traits usually requires the plants to be grown in transparent, non-soil media. Although this limits the applications to more reductionist experimental designs, it also alleviates many of the challenges associated with micro-environmental noise. Furthermore, the simple structure of the root and its optical properties facilitate the development of a broad range of applications at different spatial and time scales. Aided by

the small size of *Arabidopsis* seedling roots, various platforms have been developed that can harbor many individuals in parallel and thus provide a larger throughput. The observation of gravity stimulus induced growth responses at relatively small time-scales and with a high throughput was initially achieved by multiplexing automated image acquisition. Parallel data acquisition was performed using 11 identical setups in which plants grown on the agar surface of plates and illuminated from behind were imaged using charge-couple device CCD cameras [68]. More than 10^6 images were captured and subsequently used to map QTLs underlying the natural variation of gravitropic growth responses thereby providing a first glimpse into the time-resolved genetic architecture of a dynamic trait. By substituting the multiplexing of cameras with a robotic platform, further improvement of throughput for similar assays was achieved. Backlit plates are arranged in a vertical grid and two cameras, each providing a different resolution, are mounted on a three-axis robot whose position is controlled based on real-time detection of roots [69]. Not only physiological responses at the organ-level can be assessed at a large-scale, but also processes at the cellular level. To achieve this, the microscopy needs to be automated. A simple agar-filled growth chamber in conjunction with automated confocal microscopy has been used for morphometric measurements on hundreds of natural accessions of *Arabidopsis*, allowing genome wide association mapping of cellular traits [70]. A further level of automation is required to capture responses at the cellular level, such as spatiotemporal changes in gene expression upon changing growth conditions. This was achieved with a microfluidics device called the RootArray (Figure 1). In this platform, up to 64 plants at a time can be subjected to growth condition changes. An integrated software solution controls a confocal microscope to acquire high-resolution images of root tips. Automated image processing is then utilized to extract expression changes of fluorescent marker proteins in different root tissues at different time points [71].

Other challenges are encountered for phenotyping older roots and for understanding the 3D architecture of root systems. A critical issue is to preserve the topology of root architecture during image acquisition and to deal analytically with the complex 3D-structures of root systems. A relatively simple but efficient high-throughput approach has been developed for rice (*Oryza sativa*), roots: plants are grown in a hydroponic environment and subsequently scanned and computationally analyzed [72]. Although this approach is very high-throughput, information about 3D root system architecture (RSA) cannot be captured. An alternative method provides 3D information on RSA with some reduction of throughput, using a transparent gellan gum medium. Plants are grown in transparent cylinders and imaged on a computer-controlled turntable with a stationary camera to acquire images from different angles. From these images, a 3D representation of the root system can be generated and traits derived. This provides a powerful tool for studying the genetic bases of a complex 3D developmental process [44].

Although methods providing the throughput to quantitatively phenotype whole populations are still in their infancy, these examples indicate the potential of such approaches. The benefits from using such procedures are the ability to: (i) sample a greater genotypic or treatment area; (ii) compare different experimental series; (iii) assess and reduce the environmental noise that confounds measurements; (iv) assess the significance and the

magnitude of the effect compared with an empirically acquired distribution derived from all plants in the assay; and (v) free observations from human bias. This leads to a more powerful method for detecting phenotypic changes in an unbiased and quantitatively robust manner [71], of revealing the genetic bases of complex genetic traits that govern plant form and growth [44, 66, 72], mapping genes and alleles underlying such traits [70], and of re-evaluating classical assays, models and assumptions [65].

Concluding remarks

Recent technical developments for imaging plant growth and development pave the way for obtaining data with unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution and throughput. While higher resolution directly determines the level of detail of biological processes that can be observed and analyzed, higher throughput can provide a solid statistical basis for quantitative observations. The integration of image-based data with data from genome-wide approaches provides the capability of modeling biological processes over time and at different scales. The quantitative system-level investigation of development with novel imaging and image processing technologies has only just begun. The future success of such studies will depend critically on combining the technological and conceptual advances in different disciplines.

Acknowledgments

Work in the Sozzani laboratory is funded by the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service and North Carolina State University Provost's Office. Work in the Busch laboratory is funded by the Austrian Academy of Science through GMI. Image analysis research in the Spalding laboratory is funded by the National Science Foundation Plant Genome Research Program (through Grant IOS-1031416). Work in the Benfey laboratory is funded by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (through Grant GBMF3405) as well as by grants from the NIH (R01-GM043778), NSF and DARPA.

References

1. Sozzani R, et al. Spatiotemporal regulation of cell-cycle genes by SHORTROOT links patterning and growth. *Nature*. 2010; 466:128–132. [PubMed: 20596025]
2. Dinneny JR, et al. Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. *Science*. 2008; 320:942–945. [PubMed: 18436742]
3. Iyer-Pascuzzi AS, et al. Cell identity regulators link development and stress responses in the Arabidopsis root. *Developmental cell*. 2011; 21:770–782. [PubMed: 22014526]
4. Grandjean O, et al. In vivo analysis of cell division, cell growth, and differentiation at the shoot apical meristem in Arabidopsis. *The Plant cell*. 2004; 16:74–87. [PubMed: 14671026]
5. Reddy GV, et al. Real-time lineage analysis reveals oriented cell divisions associated with morphogenesis at the shoot apex of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Development*. 2004; 131:4225–4237. [PubMed: 15280208]
6. Campilho A, et al. Time-lapse analysis of stem-cell divisions in the Arabidopsis thaliana root meristem. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*. 2006; 48:619–627. [PubMed: 17087761]
7. Grieneisen VA, et al. Auxin transport is sufficient to generate a maximum and gradient guiding root growth. *Nature*. 2007; 449:1008–1013. [PubMed: 17960234]
8. Grieneisen VA, et al. Morphogengineering roots: comparing mechanisms of morphogen gradient formation. *BMC systems biology*. 2012; 6:37. [PubMed: 22583698]

9. Cruz-Ramirez A, et al. A bistable circuit involving SCARECROW-RETINOBLASTOMA integrates cues to inform asymmetric stem cell division. *Cell*. 2012; 150:1002–1015. [PubMed: 22921914]
10. Prusinkiewicz P, Runions A. Computational models of plant development and form. *The New phytologist*. 2012; 193:549–569. [PubMed: 22235985]
11. Roeder AH, et al. Computational morphodynamics of plants: integrating development over space and time. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology*. 2011; 12:265–273.
12. Prusinkiewicz P, Rolland-Lagan AG. Modeling plant morphogenesis. *Current opinion in plant biology*. 2006; 9:83–88. [PubMed: 16376602]
13. Roeder AH, et al. Variability in the control of cell division underlies sepal epidermal patterning in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS biology*. 2010; 8:e1000367. [PubMed: 20485493]
14. Robinson S, et al. Generation of spatial patterns through cell polarity switching. *Science*. 2011; 333:1436–1440. [PubMed: 21903812]
15. Hamant O, et al. Developmental patterning by mechanical signals in *Arabidopsis*. *Science*. 2008; 322:1650–1655. [PubMed: 19074340]
16. Heisler MG, et al. Alignment between PIN1 polarity and microtubule orientation in the shoot apical meristem reveals a tight coupling between morphogenesis and auxin transport. *PLoS biology*. 2010; 8:e1000516. [PubMed: 20976043]
17. Paige JS, et al. RNA mimics of green fluorescent protein. *Science*. 2011; 333:642–646. [PubMed: 21798953]
18. Swanson SJ, et al. In vivo imaging of Ca²⁺, pH, reactive oxygen species using fluorescent probes in plants. *Annual review of plant biology*. 2011; 62:273–297.
19. De Michele R, et al. Fluorescent sensors reporting the activity of ammonium transporters in live cells. *eLife*. 2013; 2:e00800. [PubMed: 23840931]
20. Brunoud G, et al. A novel sensor to map auxin response and distribution at high spatio-temporal resolution. *Nature*. 2012; 482:103–106. [PubMed: 22246322]
21. Wend S, et al. A quantitative ratiometric sensor for time-resolved analysis of auxin dynamics. *Scientific reports*. 2013; 3:2052. [PubMed: 23787479]
22. Grossmann G, et al. The RootChip: an integrated microfluidic chip for plant science. *The Plant cell*. 2011; 23:4234–4240. [PubMed: 22186371]
23. Schermelleh L, et al. A guide to super-resolution fluorescence microscopy. *The Journal of cell biology*. 2010; 190:165–175. [PubMed: 20643879]
24. Leung BO, Chou KC. Review of Super-Resolution Fluorescence Microscopy for Biology. *Applied Spectroscopy*. 2011; 65:967–980. [PubMed: 21929850]
25. Gutierrez R, et al. Opportunities to explore plant membrane organization with super-resolution microscopy. *Plant physiology*. 2010; 154:463–466. [PubMed: 20921164]
26. Kleine-Vehn J, et al. Recycling, clustering, and endocytosis jointly maintain PIN auxin carrier polarity at the plasma membrane. *Molecular systems biology*. 2011; 7:540. [PubMed: 22027551]
27. Fitzgibbon J, et al. Super-resolution imaging of plasmodesmata using three-dimensional structured illumination microscopy. *Plant physiology*. 2010; 153:1453–1463. [PubMed: 20508140]
28. Sena G, et al. Quantitation of Cellular Dynamics in Growing *Arabidopsis* Roots with Light Sheet Microscopy. *PLoS ONE*. 2011; 6:e21303. [PubMed: 21731697]
29. Maizel A, et al. High-resolution live imaging of plant growth in near physiological bright conditions using light sheet fluorescence microscopy. *The Plant Journal*. 2011; 68:377–385. [PubMed: 21711399]
30. Rosquete MR, et al. An auxin transport mechanism restricts positive orthogravitropism in lateral roots. *Current biology*. 2013; 23:817–822. [PubMed: 23583551]
31. Lucas M, et al. Lateral root morphogenesis is dependent on the mechanical properties of the overlaying tissues. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci*. 2013; 110:5229–5234. [PubMed: 23479644]
32. Pitrone PG, et al. OpenSPIM: an open-access light-sheet microscopy platform. *Nature methods*. 2013; 10:598–599. [PubMed: 23749304]
33. Gualda EJ, et al. OpenSpinMicroscopy: an open-source integrated microscopy platform. *Nature methods*. 2013; 10:599–600. [PubMed: 23749300]

34. Fernandez R, et al. Imaging plant growth in 4D: robust tissue reconstruction and lineaging at cell resolution. *Nat Meth.* 2010; 7:547–553.
35. Green AA, et al. Genetic control of organ shape and tissue polarity. *PLoS biology.* 2010; 8:e1000537. [PubMed: 21085690]
36. Lobet G, et al. An online database for plant image analysis software tools. *Plant methods.* 2013; 9:38. [PubMed: 24107223]
37. Lewis DR, et al. Separating the roles of acropetal and basipetal auxin transport on gravitropism with mutations in two *Arabidopsis* multidrug resistance-like ABC transporter genes. *The Plant cell.* 2007; 19:1838–1850. [PubMed: 17557805]
38. Miller ND, et al. Computer-vision analysis of seedling responses to light and gravity. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology.* 2007; 52:374–381. [PubMed: 17711415]
39. Miller ND, et al. Detection of a gravitropism phenotype in glutamate receptor-like 3.3 mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* using machine vision and computation. *Genetics.* 2010; 186:585–593. [PubMed: 20647506]
40. French A, et al. High-throughput quantification of root growth using a novel image-analysis tool. *Plant physiology.* 2009; 150:1784–1795. [PubMed: 19515787]
41. Galkovskyi T, et al. GiA Roots: software for the high throughput analysis of plant root system architecture. *BMC plant biology.* 2012; 12:116. [PubMed: 22834569]
42. Iyer-Pascuzzi AS, et al. Imaging and analysis platform for automatic phenotyping and trait ranking of plant root systems. *Plant physiology.* 2010; 152:1148–1157. [PubMed: 20107024]
43. Clark RT, et al. Three-dimensional root phenotyping with a novel imaging and software platform. *Plant physiology.* 2011; 156:455–465. [PubMed: 21454799]
44. Topp CN, et al. 3D phenotyping and quantitative trait locus mapping identify core regions of the rice genome controlling root architecture. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 2013; 110:E1695–E1704. [PubMed: 23580618]
45. Walter A, et al. Spatio-temporal dynamics of expansion growth in roots: automatic quantification of diurnal course and temperature response by digital image sequence processing. *Journal of experimental botany.* 2002; 53:689–698. [PubMed: 11886889]
46. van der Weele CM, et al. A new algorithm for computational image analysis of deformable motion at high spatial and temporal resolution applied to root growth. Roughly uniform elongation in the meristem and also, after an abrupt acceleration, in the elongation zone. *Plant physiology.* 2003; 132:1138–1148. [PubMed: 12857796]
47. Chavarria-Krauser A, et al. Spatio-temporal quantification of differential growth processes in root growth zones based on a novel combination of image sequence processing and refined concepts describing curvature production. *The New phytologist.* 2008; 177:811–821. [PubMed: 18069960]
48. Basu P, et al. A novel image-analysis technique for kinematic study of growth and curvature. *Plant physiology.* 2007; 145:305–316. [PubMed: 17720760]
49. Zheng X, et al. AUXIN UP-REGULATED F-BOX PROTEIN1 regulates the cross talk between auxin transport and cytokinin signaling during plant root growth. *Plant physiology.* 2011; 156:1878–1893. [PubMed: 21653785]
50. Mairhofer S, et al. RooTrak: automated recovery of three-dimensional plant root architecture in soil from x-ray microcomputed tomography images using visual tracking. *Plant physiology.* 2012; 158:561–569. [PubMed: 22190339]
51. Wu G, Spalding EP. Separate functions for nuclear and cytoplasmic cryptochrome 1 during photomorphogenesis of *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 2007; 104:18813–18818. [PubMed: 18003924]
52. Wu G, et al. A role for ABCB19-mediated polar auxin transport in seedling photomorphogenesis mediated by cryptochrome 1 and phytochrome B. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology.* 2010; 62:179–191. [PubMed: 20088903]
53. Wang L, et al. HYPOTrace: image analysis software for measuring hypocotyl growth and shape demonstrated on *Arabidopsis* seedlings undergoing photomorphogenesis. *Plant physiology.* 2009; 149:1632–1637. [PubMed: 19211697]

54. Cole B, et al. Automated analysis of hypocotyl growth dynamics during shade avoidance in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant journal: for cell and molecular biology*. 2011; 65:991–1000. [PubMed: 21288269]
55. Men Y, et al. A high-throughput imaging system to quantitatively analyze the growth dynamics of plant seedlings. *Integrative biology : quantitative biosciences from nano to macro*. 2012; 4:945–952. [PubMed: 22688077]
56. Leister D, et al. Large-scale evaluation of plant growth in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by non-invasive image analysis. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*. 1999; 37:671–678.
57. De Vylder J, et al. Rosette tracker: an open source image analysis tool for automatic quantification of genotype effects. *Plant physiology*. 2012; 160:1149–1159. [PubMed: 22942389]
58. Zhang X, et al. Natural Genetic Variation for Growth and Development Revealed by High-Throughput Phenotyping in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *G3*. 2012; 2:29–34. [PubMed: 22384379]
59. Walter A, et al. Dynamics of seedling growth acclimation towards altered light conditions can be quantified via GROWSCREEN: a setup and procedure designed for rapid optical phenotyping of different plant species. *The New phytologist*. 2007; 174:447–455. [PubMed: 17388907]
60. Dornbusch T, et al. Measuring the diurnal pattern of leaf hyponasty and growth in *Arabidopsis* – a novel phenotyping approach using laser scanning. *Functional Plant Biology*. 2012; 39:860–869.
61. Fang S, et al. 3D reconstruction and dynamic modeling of root architecture in situ and its application to crop phosphorus research. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*. 2009; 60:1096–1108. [PubMed: 19709387]
62. Moore CR, et al. Mapping quantitative trait loci affecting *Arabidopsis thaliana* seed morphology features extracted computationally from images. *G3*. 2013; 3:109–118. [PubMed: 23316443]
63. Herridge RP, et al. Rapid analysis of seed size in *Arabidopsis* for mutant and QTL discovery. *Plant methods*. 2011; 7:3. [PubMed: 21303553]
64. Tanabata T, et al. SmartGrain: high-throughput phenotyping software for measuring seed shape through image analysis. *Plant physiology*. 2012; 160:1871–1880. [PubMed: 23054566]
65. Skirycz A, et al. Survival and growth of *Arabidopsis* plants given limited water are not equal. *Nature biotechnology*. 2011; 29:212–214.
66. Tisne S, et al. Phenoscope: an automated large-scale phenotyping platform offering high spatial homogeneity. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*. 2013; 74:534–544. [PubMed: 23452317]
67. Granier C, et al. PHENOPSIS, an automated platform for reproducible phenotyping of plant responses to soil water deficit in *Arabidopsis thaliana* permitted the identification of an accession with low sensitivity to soil water deficit. *The New phytologist*. 2006; 169:623–635. [PubMed: 16411964]
68. Moore C, Johnson LS, Kwak IY, Livny M, Broman KW, Spalding EP. High-Throughput Image Analysis and Computation Introduces the Time Axis to a Genetic Architecture Map of *Arabidopsis* Root Gravitropism. *Genetics*. 2013 in press.
69. Subramanian R, et al. A high throughput robot system for machine vision based plant phenotype studies. *Machine Vision and Applications*. 2013; 24:619–636.
70. Meijon M, et al. Genome-wide association study using cellular traits identifies a new regulator of root development in *Arabidopsis*. *Nat Genet*. 2013 advance online publication.
71. Busch W, et al. A microfluidic device and computational platform for high-throughput live imaging of gene expression. *Nature methods*. 2012; 9:1101–1106. [PubMed: 23023597]
72. Clark RT, et al. High-throughput two-dimensional root system phenotyping platform facilitates genetic analysis of root growth and development. *Plant, cell & environment*. 2013; 36:454–466.

Glossary

Glossary Confocal microscopy

Laser microscopy that allows image acquisition of fluorescent molecules producing images with high horizontal resolution and depth-selectivity.

Light-sheet illumination	Technique in which the sample is illuminated perpendicular to the direction of observation.
Micro-computed tomography	3D image computed from multiple 2D projections from different angles obtained by interaction of matter and X-rays.
Microfluidics device	Apparatus in which small volumes of liquid can be controlled.
Multi-angle image acquisition three-dimensional reconstruction and cell segmentation-automated lineage tracking (MARS-ALT)	Integrated and automated approach to track cell lineages over time, in which images of organs are acquired from multiple angles, computationally merged and segmented.
Optical projection tomography	3D image computed from multiple 2D projections from different angles obtained by optical microscopy.
Quantitative trait loci (QTL)	Genome regions that underlie the quantitative variation of a trait.
Recombinant inbred lines (RIL)	Collection of lines, each containing chromosomes which constitute a genetic mosaic of two parental lines.
Super-resolution microscopy	Microscopy technique that can yield images with a resolution higher than the diffraction limit would allow.
Selective plane illumination microscopy (SPIM)	Light-sheet illumination based microscopy allowing for image acquisition with high-spatial and temporal resolution.

Highlights

1. Integration of imaging tools with genome-wide approaches and modeling
2. Quantitative measurements to describe biological systems at cellular resolution over time
3. Recent developments in the field of imaging
4. Vision-based methods (2D high-throughput and non-destructive methods)

Figure 1.

Schematic representation of the RootArray microfluidics device [71]. (A). Front view of 64 *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings grown over several days without manual intervention thanks to gaseous and liquid exchanges through the tubes on the top left and bottom right. (B). Side view of the microfluidics device. Red circle highlights roots imaged by confocal microscopy. (C). Root stained with propidium iodide expressing a transgenic reporter line and tracked over time.

Figure 2.

A typical workflow for the acquisition and processing of images. **(A)** An imaging device can produce multidimensional raw data such as z-stacks or time series of images that need to be saved to a disk. **(B)** Extracting information from those images requires a suitable algorithm and appropriate computing resources. **(C)** The features quantified from the images must be organized in some data structure such as a database in a manner that facilitates integration with other data types that will be combined to capture key features of the system under study **(D)**. **(E)** These integrative analyses, collectively called modeling, can be computationally demanding. **(F)** Databases that can present the raw data as well as the modeled results to the broad scientific community, including image scientists, statisticians, mathematicians, bioinformaticists, as well as plant scientists would be very enabling for modelling biological processes.