Published in final edited form as:

Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2014 September ; 22(9): 946–950. doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2013.08.004.

Connectivity Underlying Emotion Conflict Regulation in Older Adults with 5-HTTLPR Short Allele: A Preliminary Investigation

Jill D. Waring, Ph.D., Amit Etkin, MD, PhD, Joachim F. Hallmayer, MD., and Ruth O'Hara, Ph.D

¹Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA

²Sierra Pacific Mental Illness, Research, Education and Clinical Center, VA Palo Alto Health Care System, Palo Alto, CA

Abstract

Objectives—The serotonin transporter polymorphism short (s) allele is associated with heightened emotional reactivity and reduced emotion regulation, which increases vulnerability to depression and anxiety disorders. We investigated behavioral and neural markers of emotion regulation in community-dwelling older adults, contrasting s allele carriers and long (l) allele homozygotes.

Methods—Participants (n=26) completed a face-word emotion conflict during functional magnetic resonance imaging, in which facilitated regulation of emotion conflict was observed on face-word incongruent trials following another incongruent trial (i.e. emotional conflict adaptation).

Results—There were no differences between genetic groups in behavioral task performance or neural activation in post-incongruent versus post-congruent trials. By contrast, connectivity between dorsal (dACC) and pregenual cingulate (pgACC), regions previously implicated in emotion conflict regulation, was impaired in s carriers for emotional conflict adaptation.

Conclusions—This is the first demonstration of association between serotonin transporter polymorphism and functional connectivity in older adults. Poor dACC-pgACC connectivity in s carriers may be one route by which these individuals experience greater difficulty implementing effective emotional regulation, which may contribute to their vulnerability for affective disorders.

Keywords

5-HTTLPR; emotion regulation; neural connectivity; aging

© 2013 American College of Cardiology Foundation. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Address correspondence to Ruth O'Hara, Ph.D., Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305-5485. TEL: (650) 723-2795; FAX: (415) 725-5714.

No Disclosures to Report

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Objectives

Executive functioning is a skill required for both successful implementation of cognitive (non-emotional) control and effective emotion regulation¹. It is thought that the excessive, uncontrollable negative emotions experienced across anxiety and mood disorders are attributable, in part, to impaired emotion regulation².

The presence of the short “s” allele of 5-HTTLPR polymorphism in the serotonin transporter gene has been associated with biased processing of emotional information, elevated limbic reactivity, and poorer prefrontal control over limbic regions^{3–5}. It has also been implicated in depression, anxiety, and other stress-related disorders^{4,6}. S allele homozygotes exhibited lower dorsal ACC (dACC), pregenual ACC (pgACC), posterior insula, and dorsolateral prefrontal activation during passive perception of negative emotional information compared to neutral⁷. S carriers have also shown reduced amygdala to pgACC functional connectivity in response to emotional faces, compared to non-carriers³. Most of the work supporting this view, however, comes from studies of younger adults.

There is a significant amount of evidence that the 5-HTTLPR main and interactive effects attenuate with age on a broad range of affective outcomes^{8,9}. Thus, results of previous observations of 5-HTTLPR effects on emotional regulation may not extend to older adults. Moreover, it is important to consider whether the reported effects of 5-HTTLPR on ACC connectivity in younger adults also extend to older adults.

We therefore conducted a preliminary investigation in older adults of the relationship between the 5-HTTLPR genetic polymorphism and emotion regulation, using a well-validated behavioral task together with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). We employed a task that required subjects to categorize face stimuli according to their emotional expression (fearful vs happy) while ignoring emotionally congruent or incongruent word labels (Fear, Happy) printed over the faces. Emotional conflict between a word label incongruent with a facial expression, creates substantially slowed reaction times^{10,11}. Moreover, when an incongruent trial is preceded by an incongruent trial, reaction times are faster than if incongruent trials are preceded by a congruent trial^{10,11}. It is thought that conflict from an incongruent trial triggers an upregulation of top-down control thereby reducing conflict, indexed by faster reaction times, in the subsequent incongruent trial. Incongruent trials can thus be categorized by whether they are associated with high conflict regulation and consequently less emotional conflict (an incongruent trial [I] preceded by an incongruent trial [i]; iI), or low conflict regulation and thus more emotional conflict (an incongruent trial [I] preceded by a congruent trial [c]; cI).

In the emotional conflict task, implicit emotion regulation (i.e., of the interference caused by emotional conflict) happens through activation of the pgACC by the dACC and amygdala^{10,11} in one trial (i.e., congruent, incongruent) compared to the next. The dACC is a key structure for monitoring and appraisal of non-emotional and emotional conflict, and exerts control over pgACC during emotion conflict regulation^{11–13}. Connectivity between these regions may be integral for successful emotion regulation, as individuals with anxiety and depression show impairments in these regions while completing this task¹⁴. While prior

investigations in the elderly have examined the relationship between aging and 5-HTTLPR with respect to vulnerability to stress-related cognitive and affective symptoms^{15–17}, emotional reactivity¹⁸, and serotonin responsivity¹⁹, the effects of 5-HTTLPR on the neural substrates of emotional regulation in older adults are yet to be fully elucidated. In this study, we compared s carriers to l homozygotes' reaction times, neural activation, and neural connectivity between dACC and pgACC to better understand the relationship between 5-HTTLPR and implicit emotion conflict regulation in older adults.

Methods

Participants

Twenty-nine community-dwelling older adults ages 61–86 ($M=70.52$, $SD=5.79$; 14 female; education $M=15.7$ years, $SD=2.2$) participated, providing Stanford University-approved informed consent. Exclusion criteria included a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) less than 27, any Axis I disorders within the past 2 years as assessed by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR (SCID), and use of systemic corticosteroids, psychotropic medications, short-acting anxiolytics, sedative hypnotics, or medication for treatment of dementia (inclusion/exclusion criteria described in ¹⁶). Responses on the Geriatric Depression Scale²⁰ were also within normal limits ($M=6.70$, $SD=2.52$). Participants were recruited from previous lab genetic studies to assure adequate number of s carriers in the current sample, so that we could compare s allele carriers (ss $n=9$; sl $n=6$) and l allele homozygotes ($n=14$)^[1]. We considered Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) status as a covariate to assure that disease-related atrophy or connectivity changes were not unduly influencing our findings. MCI status was assessed using a cognitive battery described in ¹⁶, and indicated that 15 participants were cognitively normal, 4 had possible MCI, and 7 had MCI²¹.

Participants had whole blood drawn at the Stanford Clinical Research Unit. DNA was extracted using Qiagen DNeasy Kit (Cat. #69506; Qiagen GmbH D-40724, Hilden, Germany). 5-HTTLPR genotype status was determined using standard methods previously described¹⁶.

Experimental Task

Inside the MRI scanner participants completed a task where they were shown a happy or fearful face overlaid with the word “HAPPY” or “FEAR”. Participants were asked to identify the emotional expression of the face using a button-press while trying to ignore the distractor word, thus the facial expression and word either matched (“congruent”) or conflicted (“incongruent”; task described in more detail in ¹⁰).

Functional MRI Acquisition and Processing

Images were acquired on a 3-T GE Signa scanner using a custom 8-channel head coil (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI). Twenty-nine axial slices (4.0 mm thickness with 0.9 mm

[1]We did not enroll those with the G variant of the L allele because it could produce expression similar to s allele patterns, and thus yield ambiguous results between s and l allele groups.

gap) were acquired across the whole brain using a T2*-weighted gradient echo spiral pulse sequence (repetition time=2000 msec, echo time=30 msec, flip angle=80°, 1 interleaved, field of view=22 cm, 64×64 matrix). A high-resolution T1-weighted three-dimensional inversion recovery spoiled gradient-recalled acquisition in the steady state MRI sequence was used with the following parameters: inversion time=400 msec, repetition time=8 msec, echo time=3.4 msec, flip angle=15°, field of view=22 cm, 124 slices in coronal plane, matrix=256×192, number of excitations=1, acquired resolution=0.9×0.9×1.2 mm. Preprocessing followed previously published procedures¹⁴, with the exception that normalization to the MNI152 template occurred using non-linear methods optimally suited to atrophy found in older subjects (implemented in FSL 5.0 <http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/>). Global signal was regressed out using signal estimates from white matter and CSF. No participants had movement greater than 3 mm of translation or 3° of rotation.

Analyses

Random effects group-level analyses were conducted in SPM8 using the contrast between the high conflict regulation trial sequence (iI) minus low conflict regulation trial sequence (cI), as previously detailed^{10–12,14}, for both activation and task-related connectivity. MCI status was used as a covariate in all neuroimaging analyses^{16,21}. Three participants were excluded from analyses (1 was missing task data, 1 had MRI structural abnormalities, and 1 did not complete the neurocognitive testing necessary for determining MCI status). In the final sample, 12 participants were homozygous for the l allele, and 14 had at least one s allele. Results were thresholded at $p < .001$, 5 voxel extent.

A psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis was used to examine the context-specific relationship between the activity in two regions during each trial type (e.g., congruent, incongruent) on an acquisition-by-acquisition basis²². PPIs allowed us to examine differences in interregional connectivity between task conditions separate from differences in activation or in general connectivity¹⁴. For the PPI analyses, we defined an *a priori* region of interest (ROI) 5 mm sphere around the dACC (5,33,32) by calculating a sample-size-weighted average of the peak voxel coordinate for clusters in this regions from our three prior studies of healthy subjects using this task^{10–12}. The pgACC cluster was identified in results of PPI connectivity contrasting iI minus cI (described in results below). In light of the frequently-implicated role of the dorsal ACC in monitoring conflict and the role of the pregenual ACC in regulating conflict, only the connectivity results from the dACC seed ROI to the pgACC target ROI are discussed.

Results

Behavioral

There were no significant differences between s carriers and l homozygotes in overall reaction times, emotional conflict regulation (iI-cI) reaction time differences, or for accuracy (F 's(1,24)<1.61, p 's>.21). There were also no significant behavioral differences in the task according to MCI status (yes, possible, no; F s(2,23)<.95, p s>.40).

Neuroimaging

First, we examined neural activation between s carriers and non-carriers during emotional conflict regulation. However, no group differences were found in whole brain analyses of neural activation in response to iI compared to cI trials.

Next, we examined task context-dependent connectivity between dACC and pgACC, key functional nodes for emotion conflict regulation^{12,13}. To do so, we carried out a PPI analysis on the contrast of iI minus cI trials in the dACC (5,33,32; see Fig. 1A) between s non-carriers and s carriers. PPI results revealed greater connectivity in s non-carriers than s carriers from dACC to the pgACC ($-18, 36, -4$; $z=4.31$; 245 mm^3). Results of this contrast did not identify any target voxels located within the amygdala for the dACC seed.

To understand the relative influence of cI and iI trials to this group difference, we examined task context-dependent connectivity for the two conditions separately. Paired t-tests comparing cI to iI in each genetic group illustrated (see Fig. 1A) that s non-carriers increased dACC-pgACC (locations depicted in Fig. 1B, 1C) connectivity as they engaged emotion conflict regulation in iI trials relative to cI trials ($t(11)=4.24$, $p=.001$), but that s carriers failed to do so ($t(13)=-.75$, $p=.49$). Analogous t-tests comparing iC and cC in each genetic group showed no differences between trial types (s non-carriers $t(11)=1.17$, $p=.27$; s carriers $t(13)<1$. Results depicted in Supplemental Figure 1).

Conclusion

This is the first demonstration of an association between the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism, a gene that has been linked with depression and anxiety, and functional connectivity in older adults. Our results indicate a relationship between the serotonin transporter polymorphism and neural connectivity within regions known for their prominent role in emotion regulation, independent of effects on neural activation. We found that behavioral, activation, and connectivity analyses do not always paint the same picture; some types of analyses may detect effects that are not apparent with other methods²³. One possible explanation for the lack of correspondence between behavioral and brain measures is that we examined only one element within the circuit that contributes to behavior in this task. Additionally, measures of connectivity may be more sensitive than behavioral measures alone, thereby requiring less power for detecting effects.

By controlling for MCI status, we were able to examine the effects of genetics on affective processing independent of cognitive deficits¹⁶. Specifically, presence of the s “risk” allele⁴ resulted in a failure to increase dACC to pgACC connectivity during emotional conflict regulation. A recent lesion study¹³ demonstrated that the pgACC is causally required for emotional conflict regulation. In light of these findings, poor dACC-pgACC PPI connectivity in s allele carriers is one route by which they may have more difficulty implementing effective emotional regulation, thereby increasing vulnerability to affective disorders^{4,6}. As such, our results are consistent with prior findings reporting impaired connectivity of the pgACC in younger adult s carriers³, and importantly extend this conclusion to community-dwelling older adults.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Medical Research Service of the VA Palo Alto Health Care System, the Sierra-Pacific Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Center (MIRECC), the VA Advanced Fellowship Program in Mental Illness Research and Treatment and by National Institute of Aging grants AG 13289; AG 18784; AG 17824 and National Institute of Mental Health grants R01MH091342, and P30MH089888.

References

- Hofmann W, Schmeichel BJ, Baddeley AD. Executive functions and self-regulation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2012; 16(3):174–180.10.1016/j.tics.2012.01.006 [PubMed: 22336729]
- Aldao A, Nolen-Hoeksema S, Schweizer S. Emotion-regulation strategies across psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2010; 30(2):217–237.10.1016/j.cpr.2009.11.004 [PubMed: 20015584]
- Pezawas L, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Drabant EM, et al. 5-HTTLPR polymorphism impacts human cingulate-amygdala interactions: a genetic susceptibility mechanism for depression. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2005; 8(6):828–834.10.1038/nn1463
- Kenna GA, Roder-Hanna N, Leggio L, et al. Association of the 5-HTT gene-linked promoter region (5-HTTLPR) polymorphism with psychiatric disorders: review of psychopathology and pharmacotherapy. *Pharmacogenomics Pers Med*. 2012; 5:19–35.10.2147/PGPM.S23462 [PubMed: 23226060]
- Munafò MR, Brown SM, Hariri AR. Serotonin Transporter (5-HTTLPR) Genotype and Amygdala Activation: A Meta-Analysis. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2008; 63(9):852–857.10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.08.016 [PubMed: 17949693]
- Canli T, Ferri J, Duman EA. Genetics of Emotion Regulation. *Neuroscience*. 2009; 164(1):43–54.10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.06.049 [PubMed: 19559759]
- Firk C, Siep N, Markus CR. Serotonin transporter genotype modulates cognitive reappraisal of negative emotions: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci*. 2012.10.1093/scan/nsr091
- Gillespie NA, Whitfield JB, Williams B, Heath AC, Martin NG. The relationship between stressful life events, the serotonin transporter (5-HTTLPR) genotype and major depression. *Psychol Med*. 2005; 35(1):101–111.10.1017/S0033291704002727 [PubMed: 15842033]
- O'Hara R, Marcus P, Thompson WK, et al. 5-HTTLPR Short Allele, Resilience, and Successful Aging in Older Adults. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2012; 20(5):452–456.10.1097/JGP.0b013e31823e2d03
- Etkin A, Egner T, Peraza DM, Kandel ER, Hirsch J. Resolving emotional conflict: a role for the rostral anterior cingulate cortex in modulating activity in the amygdala. *Neuron*. 2006; 51(6):871–882. [PubMed: 16982430]
- Egner T, Etkin A, Gale S, Hirsch J. Dissociable neural systems resolve conflict from emotional versus nonemotional distracters. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2008; 18(6):1475–1484. [PubMed: 17940084]
- Etkin A, Egner T, Kalisch R. Emotional processing in anterior cingulate and medial prefrontal cortex. *Trends in cognitive sciences*. 2011; 15(2):85–93. [PubMed: 21167765]
- Maier ME, di Pellegrino G. Impaired Conflict Adaptation in an Emotional Task Context following Rostral Anterior Cingulate Cortex Lesions in Humans. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. 2012; 24(10):2070–2079. [PubMed: 22721382]
- Etkin A, Prater KE, Hoefl F, Menon V, Schatzberg AF. Failure of anterior cingulate activation and connectivity with the amygdala during implicit regulation of emotional processing in generalized anxiety disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2010; 167(5):545–554. [PubMed: 20123913]
- Zannas AS, McQuoid DR, Steffens DC, Chrousos GP, Taylor WD. Stressful life events, perceived stress, and 12-month course of geriatric depression: Direct effects and moderation by the 5-

- HTTLPR and COMT Val158Met polymorphisms. *Stress*. 2012; 15(4):425–434.10.3109/10253890.2011.634263 [PubMed: 22044241]
16. O'Hara R, Schroder CM, Mahadevan R, et al. Serotonin transporter polymorphism, memory and hippocampal volume in the elderly: association and interaction with cortisol. *Mol Psychiatr*. 2007; 12(6):544–555.10.1038/sj.mp.4001978
 17. Pacheco J, Beevers CG, McGeary JE, Schnyer DM. Memory monitoring performance and PFC activity are associated with 5-HTTLPR genotype in older adults. *Neuropsychologia*. 2012; 50(9): 2257–2270.10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2012.05.030 [PubMed: 22705442]
 18. Gyurak A, Haase CM, Sze J, et al. The effect of the serotonin transporter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR) on empathic and self-conscious emotional reactivity. *Emotion*. 2013; 13(1):25–35.10.1037/a0029616 [PubMed: 22906085]
 19. Smith GS, Lotrich FE, Malhotra AK, et al. Effects of serotonin transporter promoter polymorphisms on serotonin function. *NEUROPSYCH*. 2004; 29(12):2226–2234.10.1038/sj.npp.1300552
 20. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: A preliminary report. *J Psychiat Res*. 1983; 17(1):37–49.10.1016/0022-3956(82)90033-4 [PubMed: 7183759]
 21. Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, et al. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's and Dementia*. 2011; 7(3):270–279. doi:16/j.jalz.2011.03.008.
 22. Friston KJ, Buechel C, Fink GR, Morris J, Rolls E, Dolan RJ. Psychophysiological and modulatory interactions in neuroimaging. *Neuroimage*. 1997; 6(3):218–229.10.1006/nimg.1997.0291 [PubMed: 9344826]
 23. Grady, CL. *Cognitive Neuroscience of Aging; Linking Cognitive and Cerebral Aging*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2005. Functional connectivity during memory tasks in healthy aging and dementia; p. 286-308.

Figure 1. Dorsal ACC (dACC) to Pregenuar ACC (pgACC) PPI

Connectivity between dACC and pgACC for post-congruent incongruent trials (cI) and post-incongruent incongruent trials (iI), by s allele carrier status. (A) S non-carriers increased dACC-pgACC connectivity between cI and iI trials ($t(11)=4.24, p=.001$), but s carriers did not. (B) dACC seed, $x,y,z = 5,33,32$, (C) pgACC, $x,y,z = -18, 36, -4$. Error bars represent standard error. Coordinates in MNI space. * $p=.001$.