Published in final edited form as:

Artery Res. 2014 June 1; 8(2): 57–65. doi:10.1016/j.artres.2014.01.006.

Quantification of Arterial Wall Inhomogeneity Size, Distribution, and Modulus Contrast Using FSI Numerical Pulse Wave Propagation

Danial Shahmirzadi^{a,1} and Elisa E. Konofagou^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Biomedical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY

^bDepartment of Radiology, Columbia University, New York, NY

Abstract

Changes in aortic wall material properties, such as stiffness, have been shown to accompany onset and progression of various cardiovascular pathologies. Pulse Wave velocity (*PWV*) and propagation along the aortic wall have been shown to depend on the wall stiffness (*i.e.* stiffer the wall, higher the *PWV*), and can potentially enhance the noninvasive diagnostic techniques. Conventional clinical methods involve a global examination of the pulse traveling between femoral and carotid arteries, to provide an average *PWV* estimate. Such methods may not prove effective in detecting wall focal changes as entailed by a range of cardiovascular diseases. A two-way-coupled fluid-structure interaction (FSI) simulation study of pulse wave propagation along inhomogeneous aortas with focal stiffening and softening has previously proved the model reliable. In this study, simulations are performed on inhomogeneous aortic walls with hard inclusions of different numbers, size and modulus in order to further characterize the effects of focal hardening on pulse wave propagation. Spatio-temporal maps of the wall displacement were used to analyze the regional pulse wave propagations and velocities. The findings showed that the quantitative markers –such as *PWVs* and r^2 s on the pre-inclusion forward, reflected and post-inclusion waves, and the width of the standing wave– as well as qualitative markers –such as diffracted reflection zone versus single reflection wave– allow the successful and reliable distinction between the changes in inclusion numbers, size and modulus. Future studies are needed to incorporate the wall softening and physiologically-relevant wall inhomogeneities such as those seen in calcifications or aneurysms.

Keywords

FSI simulations; Aortic wall inhomogeneities; Pulse Wave Velocity (*PWV*); Pulse Wave Imaging (*PWI*)

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Correspondence to: Elisa E. Konofagou.

¹Danial Shahmirzadi is currently with the Mechanical Engineering Department at Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ 07030.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Introduction

Onset and progression of majority of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) have been shown to be accompanied by variations in the vascular structural –and thus mechanical– properties. In particular, change in aortic wall stiffness has been reported as an independent indicator of CVDs such as aortic calcifications and aneurysm^{1–3}. Assessing arterial stiffness has been collectively and increasingly recommended to be an essential part of clinical diagnosis, therapy and follow up procedures^{4,5}. Based on the Moens-Korteweg relationship, the velocity of the aortic pulse wave has been shown related to the underlying wall stiffness –the stiffer the wall, the higher the pulse wave velocity (*PWV*)^{6,7} and therefore can be used as a surrogate to estimate wall stiffness. The method for estimating *PWV* currently used in clinical practice is based on measuring the temporal pulse pressure profiles at two distant sites such as carotid and femoral arteries^{4,8}, and obtain an average velocity as over-the-skin-measured distance divided by the time delay between the pulse profiles^{9,10}. The carotid-femoral-based methods are subject to inaccuracies primarily due to the incorrect arterial geometry and/or assuming a single longitudinal flow direction between the carotid and the femoral arteries, leading to underestimation or overestimation of the true traveled distance^{4,11}. Moreover, wall stiffness –and thus *PWV*– have been shown to vary regionally along the vascular branch¹², and therefore assuming an average *PWV* for the entire carotid-femoral segment might not represent meaningful assessments; particularly given that onset and progress of variety of CVDs have been shown to entail focal wall degradations^{3,13,14}. A detailed discussion of the limitations of global *PWV* methods are provided elsewhere¹¹. To overcome these problems and provide a regional estimate of *PWV*, the ultrasound-based method of Pulse Wave Imaging (PWI) has recently been developed by our group. The PWI feasibility studies have been shown in human aortas *in vivo*^{15–17}, human carotids *in vivo*¹⁸, aneurysmal and hypertensive patients^{19,20}, normal and aneurysmal murine aortas^{21,22}, canine aorta *ex vivo*²³, experimental phantoms^{24,25}, in fully-coupled fluid-structure interaction (FSI) aortic simulations^{25–29}, and in comparison against applanation tonometry³⁰. However, the effective application of the PWI has been found more challenging in applications involving sites of high focal variations in wall composition, such as calcifications and aneurysms, where clear, homogeneous wave propagation would be replaced by highly disruptive, complex patterns of reflective waves^{15,20}. Obtaining precisely-controlled calcified samples *in vivo* may prove impossible, and therefore, simulation studies could be deemed as an efficient surrogate to gain further insights on the effects of wall inhomogeneities on the pulse wave propagation and velocity. Traditionally, Finite Element Method (FEM) simulations of vascular biomechanics have relied mostly on Solid-State (SS) modeling of blood vessels experiencing static or pulsatile internal pressure replicating the hemodynamic effects³¹; and were not suitable for studying the Fluid-Solid Interaction (FSI) effects in the aortic walls during the diseased conditions with altered hemodynamics such as vortex formation. Largely owing to the emergence of supercomputers over the past few decades, FSI simulations overcome this limitation by modeling both the fluid and solid domains and their interactions. Fully-coupled FSI simulations using patient specific geometries and anisotropic finite strain constitutive relations have been carried out for healthy and diseased arteries^{32,33}, aiming at computing

biomechanical properties such as fluid velocity and pressure, and wall displacement and stress^{34–36}. Previous studies on using Coupled Eulerian-Lagrangian (CEL) FSI simulations of aortic pulse wave propagation have been reported by our group and been validated against phantom and in vitro canine studies^{23,26–28,37}. In particular, the CEL simulations have been recently shown to reliably detect the existence of focal stiffening and softening of the aortic walls^{38,39}. In this study, the findings are extended to further examine the effects of wall focal stiffening in terms of the number, size and modulus of the hard inclusions, aiming to identify the markers on the wall displacement spatio-temporal maps that can potentially be used for diagnosis purposes on PWI *in vivo*.

Methods

An Intel® Xeon® with CPU @2.33GHz and 32 GB RAM was used to perform 3D dynamic FSI simulations of pulse wave propagation along the aortic walls. The Coupled Eulerian-Lagrangian (CEL) explicit solver of Abaqus 6.10-1 (*Simulia, RI, USA*) was used to describe the fluid-solid interactions. Further details of the modeling parameters and assumptions are provided in the Supplement (also see previous works of the authors³⁸).

The first simulation was performed on a homogeneous aortic model with entire walls of modulus E_w as the reference (*i.e.* no inclusion model). To examine the effects of wall inhomogeneities, additional nine simulations were performed on walls of modulus E_w containing hard inclusions varying in number, size or modulus (Fig. 1). The number of inclusions was denoted by N and tested within the range of $N=1, 2, 3$ and 4; each 10 mm apart. The size of the inclusion(s) was shown as S and tested in a range of $S=2, 5, 10$ mm. The modulus of the inclusion(s), E_i , was characterized by the inclusion-to-wall modulus contrast $MC=E_i/E_w$ and tested in a range of $MC=1.25, 1.5, 1.75$ and 2. The inclusions were defined at a distance of 90 mm from the flow inlet, allowing for the full development of the forward wave. See Supplement for details of the PWV calculations.

Results

Homogeneous and inhomogeneous pulse wave propagations

Figure 2 illustrates the 3D waterfall plots of the upper wall motion obtained on homogeneous walls (Fig. 2.A) as well as on three inhomogeneous walls with representative sets of inclusions number, size, and modulus contrast (Figs. 2.B–2.D, respectively). The wave propagations show the existence of forward waves that get split into a portion that continues to travel forward and a portion that gets reflected at the site(s) of the inclusion(s) and travels in the reverse direction. Also, the low displacements of the wall at the site(s) of the hard inclusion(s) resulted in standing waves. More importantly, it was found that the patterns of the reflection and standing waves are different depending on the inclusion numbers, size and modulus. Further quantification of the wave propagation patterns is being performed on the 2D projections of the wall displacement maps as shown below.

Effect of the number of inclusions

Figs. 3.A–3.E illustrate the wall displacement 2D spatio-temporal maps for walls ($E_w=5.12$ MPa) containing $N=0$ (homogeneous), 1, 2, 3 and 4 inclusions, respectively, of size $S=2$ mm

(10 mm apart) with $MC=1.5$ ($E_i=7.68$ MPa). The results showed that increasing the number of inclusions makes the reflection pattern changing from a clear single reflection wave for one inclusion to a disordered reflection zone for multiple inclusions. Additionally, the standing wave grows by increasing the number of inclusions. The effects of numbers of inclusion were quantified in terms of the width of the standing wave, the $PWVs$ of the forward and reflected waves, and the linear correlation coefficients (r^2 s), Figs. 6.A, 6.D, 6.G, respectively.

Effect of the inclusion size

Figures 4.A–4.D illustrate the wall displacement spatio-temporal maps for walls ($E_w=5.12$ MPa) containing no inclusion, as well as $N=1$ inclusion of size $S=2, 5$ and 10 mm, respectively, with $MC=1.5$ ($E_i=7.68$ MPa), in order to examine the effect of the inclusion size on the wave propagation. There is only one clear main reflection wave for all inclusion sizes, with a magnitude increasing with the increase of the inclusion size. The standing wave was also found to grow with the inclusion size. The effects of inclusion size were quantified in terms of the width of the standing wave, the $PWVs$ of the forward and reflected waves, and the linear correlation coefficients (r^2 s), Figs. 6.B, 6.E, 6.H, respectively.

Effects of inclusion modulus contrast

Figs. 5.A–5.E illustrate the wall displacement spatio-temporal maps for walls ($E_w=5.12$ MPa) containing no inclusion, as well as $N=1$ inclusion of $S=2$ mm, with modulus contrast of $MC=1.25$ ($E_i=6.4$ MPa), 1.5 ($E_i=7.68$ MPa), 1.75 ($E_i=8.96$ MPa), and 2 ($E_i=10.24$ MPa), respectively. There is only one clear main reflection wave is seen for all inclusion sizes, with a magnitude increasing with the increase of the inclusion modulus.

However, the width of the standing wave was not found significantly growing with the inclusion modulus. The effects of inclusion modulus were quantified in terms of the width of the standing wave, the $PWVs$ of the forward and reflected waves, and the linear correlation coefficients (r^2 s), Figs. 6.C, 6.F, 6.I, respectively.

Quantified parameters

Figure 6 shows the quantified effects of number of inclusions (N), inclusion size (S), and inclusion modulus contrast (MC) on the width of the standing wave, PWV and r^2 . Figure 6.A–6.C show that the width of the standing wave correlates proportionally to the number of inclusions, but it changes only slightly as inclusion size or modulus varies.

The $PWVs$ were measured on the pre-inclusion forward, reflection and post-inclusion forward waves (Figs. 6.D–6.F). The results show that regardless of the inclusion numbers, size and modulus, the pre-inclusion $PWVs$ decrease slightly on the inhomogeneous walls – compared to that of the forward wave on the homogeneous walls. Similarly, change in post-inclusion $PWVs$ with for different inclusion parameters is found insignificant. Increasing the number of inclusions makes the reflection pattern changing from a clear single reflection wave for one inclusion to a diffracted reflection zone for multiple inclusions. Additionally, the standing wave grows by increasing the number of inclusions. On the effects of the change in inclusion size, only one clear main reflection wave is seen for all inclusion sizes,

with a magnitude increasing with the increase of the inclusion size. The standing wave also grows by increasing the inclusion size. As far as the effects of change in inclusion modulus is concerned, only one clear main reflection wave is seen for all inclusion sizes, with a magnitude increasing with the increase of the inclusion modulus. However, the standing wave does not grow significantly by increasing the inclusion modulus. Furthermore, the pre-inclusion *PWVs* decrease slightly on the inhomogeneous walls, compared to that of the forward wave on the homogeneous walls; however, they do not change significantly as a function of inclusion numbers, size and modulus. Similarly, post-inclusion *PWVs* are also largely unaffected by changes in inclusion parameters. Finally, the number of inclusions changes the reflection patterns, where both increase and decrease in *PWVs* are seen on multiple, short, reflected waves. The reflection *PWVs* are found to increase –rather exponentially– with both inclusion size and modulus, while the r^2 s of the *PWV* fit remain extremely high (*i.e.* nearly 0.99) for all pre- and post-inclusion forward waves, indicating uniform propagations of the forward waves at the homogeneous regions of the wall outside the inclusion zone. However, the r^2 s on the reflected *PWVs* fit are found to decrease by decreases in the inclusion size and modulus, and to vary largely on multiple inclusions cases, dropping to as low as 0.40.

Discussion

The *PWV* estimation method currently used in clinical practice is based on obtaining an average velocity measured globally between two remote locations, typically the carotid and femoral arteries^{4,8}. Such average methods are prone to large inaccuracies caused by the lack of knowledge of the exact arterial geometry and the assumption of a single longitudinal flow direction. Yet, the most important drawback of such global methods is the lack of information on the regional *PWVs* along the vascular branch. Variety of cardiovascular diseases have been shown to entail focal wall degradations^{3,13,14}, and therefore the ability to detect regional *PWVs* has been shown essential for early diagnosis of such diseases³⁸.

The ultrasound-based, noninvasive method of Pulse Wave Imaging (PWI) has been shown capable of providing regional *PWVs* and estimating focal changes in arterial wall modulus in various applications^{16–18,20–23,25,29,30,38}. The present study reports the use of finite element FSI dynamic simulations to obtain fluid-induced wall pulsatile motion and its propagation along homogeneous and inhomogeneous aortic walls, in order to shed more light on pulse wave propagation and velocity findings, and potentially serve as a guide for PWI *in vivo*. The FSI framework has previously proved reliable in describing the pulse wave propagation on homogeneous walls of different modulus, yielding a PWV^2 - E linear correlation coefficient of 0.99 as hinted by the Moens-Korteweg relationship³⁸. The same study also showed that having soft and hard inclusions in the wall makes remarkable changes in the propagation of the forward and reflected waves, leading to evident markers in the spatio-temporal maps. Most importantly, it was concluded that the pre- and post-inclusion *PWVs* do not differ significantly, emphasizing even more on the necessity of a focal –as compared to global– pulse wave analysis. The aim of this study is to examine the effects of various hard inclusions on the pulse propagation in order to obtain qualitative and quantitative markers that can be used to characterize properties such as number of inclusions, and inclusion size and modulus.

Based on the simulation results, it was found that the quantitative and qualitative markers on the wall displacement spatio-temporal maps can be effectively used to infer on the properties of the wall inclusions and to distinguish between the changes in inclusion numbers, size and modulus. The propagation of the forward wave was not substantially affected by the inclusions as seen by the pre- and post-inclusion *PWVs* to differ only up to 5%. In the multi-inclusion cases, Figure 3 shows that the change of a single reflection wave to a diffracted reflection zone, *i.e.* drop in r^2 (Fig. 6.G), is the most evident marker to detect the increase in the number of inclusions. Furthermore, the width of the standing wave was found to increase linearly with the number of inclusions, Fig. 6.A. Similarly, the width of the standing wave also increases with the increase in the inclusion size, Fig. 6.B. However, in this case the reflection remains as a single wave (Fig. 4) –as opposed to the diffracted zone seen for multiple inclusions, with a *PWV* that only changes up to 4% (Fig. 6.E) –as opposed to that for the multiple inclusions with changes in reflected *PWV* up to 100% (Fig. 6.D). Finally, the change in inclusion modulus contrast was found to be the least evident to be detected (Fig. 5), as all the qualitative and quantitative markers indicated lowest sensitivities to change in inclusion modulus. Increase in the magnitude of the wall displacements on the reflected wave (Fig. 5) is the primary indicator of the change in the modulus. The width of the standing wave was also found to increase slightly with the increase in the modulus contrast (Fig. 6.C). Additionally, both the *PWV* and r^2 on the reflected waves indicated remarkable changes, *e.g.* ~ 64 % and 16.50 %, respectively, for the initial change in the modulus contrast from 1.25 to 1.5, but remained largely unchanged for higher changes in the modulus contrast. However, it should be noted that reflection patterns –as part of the whole wave propagation dynamics– are being affected by both the terminal boundaries (inlet and outlet wall constraints) as well as the wall inclusion properties. In addition, the interactions between the waves generated over multiple cycles would also add to the complexity of the reflective waves; to minimize the latter effect, having a relatively short simulation time in this study helped capturing only one wave cycle.

Finite-element, fully-coupled FSI dynamic simulations can be powerful and effective tools to study the fluid-induced pulsatile motions in the aortic walls under health and disease and to serve as a guide for PWI examination *in vivo*. However, performing fully physiologically-relevant simulations using physiological pressure/velocity waveforms, patient-specific geometries and realistic material properties (inhomogeneous, anisotropic, nonlinear, viscoelastic, etc.), may prove not readily feasible primarily due to the computation cost. Therefore, some level of tradeoff is typically required, such as those on the mesh size here (Fig. 7), in order to minimize the computation cost, while maintaining acceptable accuracy. The more detailed discussions on the limitations of the simulations used in this study are provided in a previous report by our group³⁸.

The results presented here may constitute the first work –to the best of our knowledge– that conducts a parametric study on the effects of arterial wall inhomogeneities on the wave propagation, and establishes quantitative and qualitative markers to infer on the properties of the inhomogeneities, that can be used to enhance the understanding of PWI *in vivo*. Future work will be conducted on performing soft inclusion parametric simulations and obtaining relevant quantitative and qualitative markers on the pulse wave propagation. The findings on

the soft and hard inclusions combined can eventually be used to analyze the simulation results on pathological patient-specific geometries and wall inhomogeneities such as those seen on atherosclerotic and aneurysmal blood vessels, for which both softening and hardening of the walls have been reported^{40–43}. The implications of the outcome are extended to early diagnosis of cardiovascular diseases at their onset when focal material changes initially occur.

Conclusion

Regional estimates of *PWV*, rather than global *PWVs*, have been proven critical in detecting focal changes in the aortic walls such as those taking place during the diseased conditions. In this study, FSI simulation of straight-geometry aortas was shown as a reliable tool to obtain the wave propagations on aortas with homogeneous walls as well as those with wall inhomogeneities represented in the form of hard inclusions. The effects of number of inclusions, inclusion size and inclusion-to-wall modulus contrast on the pulse wave propagations were characterized in terms of qualitative parameters such as standing wave, reflection wave, diffracted reflection zone, and quantitative parameters such as *PWV*, linear correlation coefficient, with of the standing wave. The qualitative and quantitative markers, combined, were shown to be able to distinguish the nature of inhomogeneities, *i.e.* whether it is change in the inclusion numbers, size or modulus. The implications of the findings here can potentially extend to enhanced analysis of *PWI* results on diseased arteries *in vivo*.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health (R01-HL098830).

References

1. Inzoli F, Boschetti F, Zappa M, Longo T, Fumero R. Biomechanical factors in abdominal aortic aneurysm rupture. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 1993; 7:667–674.
2. Verbeke F, et al. Role of aortic calcification, stiffness and wave reflections in cardiovascular risk in dialysis patients: Baseline data from the CORD study. *Artery Research*. 2010; 4:81–90.
3. Choke E, Cockerill G, Dawson J, et al. Increased Angiogenesis at the Site of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Rupture. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 2006; 1085:315–319. [PubMed: 17182949]
4. Laurent S, Cockcroft J, Van Bortel L, et al. Expert consensus document on arterial stiffness: methodological issues and clinical applications. *Eur Heart J*. 2006; 27(21):2588–2605. [PubMed: 17000623]
5. Mancia G, et al. ESH-ESC Practice Guidelines for the Management of Arterial Hypertension: ESH-ESC Task Force on the Management of Arterial Hypertension. *J Hypertens*. 2007; (25):1751–1762. [PubMed: 17762635]
6. Fung, YC. *Biomechanics: Circulation*. 2nd Ed.. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 1997.
7. Kinsler, LE.; Frey, AR., et al. *Fundamentals of Acoustics*. New York, NY: Wiley; 2000.
8. Pannier BM, Avolio AP, Hoeks A, Mancia G, Takazawa K. Methods and devices for measuring arterial compliance in humans. *Am J Hypertens*. 2002; 15(8):743–753. [PubMed: 12160200]
9. Lantelme P, Mestre C, et al. Heart rate: an important cofounder of pulse wave velocity assessment. *Hypertension*. 2002; 39:1083–1087. [PubMed: 12052846]

10. Millasseau SC, Stewart AD, et al. Evaluation of carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity: influence of timing algorithm and heart rate. *Hypertension*. 2005; 45:222–226. [PubMed: 15642772]
11. Segers P, Kips J, Trachet B, et al. Limitations and pitfalls of non-invasive measurement of arterial pressure wave reflections and pulse wave velocity. *Artery Research*. 2009; 3(2):79–88.
12. Nichols, W.; O'Rourke, M. McDonald's Blood Flow in Arteries: Theoretical, Experimental and Clinical Principles. 5th Ed.. London, UK: Hodder Arnold Publication; 2005.
13. Vande Geest JP, Schmidt DE, Sacks MS, Vorp DA. The Effects of Anisotropy on the Stress Analyses of Patient-Specific Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*. 2008; 36(6):921–932. [PubMed: 18398680]
14. Palmieri D, et al. Resveratrol counteracts systemic and local inflammation involved in early abdominal aortic aneurysm development. *Surg Res*. 2011; 171:237–246.
15. Luo J, Lee W-N, Wang S, Konofagou EE. Pulse Wave Imaging of Human Abdominal Aortas In Vivo. *Proc of IEEE Ultrasonics Symp*. 2008; 2008:859–862.
16. Wang S, Lee W-N, Provost J, Luo J, Konofagou EE. A composite high-frame-rate system for clinical cardiovascular imaging. *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*. 2008; 55(10):2221–2233.
17. Vappou J, Luo J, Konofagou EE. Pulse wave imaging for noninvasive and quantitative measurement of arterial stiffness in vivo. *Am J Hypertens*. 2010; 23(4):393–398. [PubMed: 20094036]
18. Luo J, Li RX, Konofagou EE. Pulse wave imaging of the human carotid artery: an in vivo feasibility study. *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*. 2012; 59(1):174–181.
19. Li, RX.; Shahmirzadi, D.; Qaqish, WW.; Konofagou, EE. Performance assessment and optimization of Pulse Wave Imaging (PWI) and its applications for pulse wave analysis in ex vivo canine aortas and in vivo normal human arteries; 34th Annual International IEEE EMBS Conference; 2012.
20. Li RX, Luo J, Baram SK, Chaudhry FA, Shahmirzadi D, Konofagou EE. Pulse wave imaging in normal, hypertensive and aneurysmal human aortas in vivo: a feasibility study. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*. 2013; 58(13):4549–4562. [PubMed: 23770991]
21. Fujikura K, Luo J, Gamarnik V, et al. A novel noninvasive technique for pulse-wave imaging and characterization of clinically-significant vascular mechanical properties in vivo. *Ultrason Imaging*. 2007; 29(3):137–154. [PubMed: 18092671]
22. Luo J, Fujikura K, Tyrie LS, Tilson MD, Konofagou EE. Pulse Wave Imaging of Normal and Aneurysmal Abdominal Aortas In Vivo. *IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON MEDICAL IMAGING*. 2009; 28(4):477–486. [PubMed: 19272985]
23. Shahmirzadi D, Narayanan P, Li RX, Qaqish WW, Konofagou EE. Mapping the longitudinal wall stiffness heterogeneities within intact canine aortas using Pulse Wave Imaging (PWI) ex vivo. *Journal of biomechanics*. 2013;1–9. [PubMed: 23259938]
24. Vappou J, Luo J, Konofagou EE. Regional measurement of arterial stiffness using Pulse Wave Imaging: Phantom validation and preliminary clinical results. *Proc of IEEE Ultrasonics Symp*. 2010:1332–1335.
25. Shahmirzadi D, Li RX, Konofagou EE. Pulse-Wave Propagation in Straight-Geometry Vessels for Stiffness Estimation: Theory, Simulations, Phantoms and In Vitro Findings. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*. 2012; 134(11):114502. [PubMed: 23387790]
26. Shahmirzadi D, Konofagou EE. Detection of Aortic Wall Inclusions Using Regional Pulse Wave Propagation and Velocity In Silico. *Artery Research*. 2012; 6(3):114–123.
27. Shahmirzadi, D.; Jourard, I.; Konofagou, EE. Effects of the Sac Geometry and Modulus Contrast on the Regional Pulse Wave Propagation along the aneurysmal arterial wall in silico; International Tissue Elasticity Conference; 2013:October 1–4; Lingfield, UK.
28. Shahmirzadi, D.; Jourard, I.; Konofagou, EE. Biomedical Engineering Society Annual Meeting. Washington, WA: 2013. Finite Element Fluid-Solid Interaction Simulations of Pulse Wave Propagation on Human Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm.
29. Shahmirzadi D, Konofagou EE. Effects of Sac Geometry and Stiffness on Pulse Wave Propagation in FSI Simulations of Human Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm. 2013 submitted.

30. Vappou J, Luo J, Okajima K, Tullio MD, Konofagou EE. Aortic pulse wave velocity measured by pulse wave imaging (PWI): A comparison with applanation tonometry. *Artery Research*. 2011; 5(2):65–71.
31. Simon BR, Kaufmann MV, McAfee MA, Baldwin AL. Finite element models for arterial wall mechanics. *J Biomech Eng*. 1993; 115(4B):489–496. [PubMed: 8302030]
32. Leung JH, Wright AR, Cheshire N, et al. Fluid structure interaction of patient specific abdominal aortic aneurysms: a comparison with solid stress models. *Biomed Eng Online*. 2006; 5(33):1–15.
33. Rissland P, Alemu Y, Einav S, Ricotta J, Bluestein D. Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Risk of Rupture: Patient-Specific FSI Simulations Using Anisotropic Model. *ASME J Biomech Eng*. 2009; 131(3):31001.
34. Pelerin JL, Kulik C, Goksu C, Coatrieux JL, Rochette M. Fluid/structure interaction applied to the simulation of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2006; 1:1754–1757. [PubMed: 17945665]
35. Lia MX, Beech-Brandta JJ, Johnb LR, Hoskinsc PR, Eassona WJ. Numerical analysis of pulsatile blood flow and vessel wall mechanics in different degrees of stenoses. *J Biomech*. 2007; 40:3715–3724. [PubMed: 17723230]
36. Kelly SC, O'Rourke MJ. A two-system, single-analysis, fluid-structure interaction technique for modelling abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Proc Inst Mech Eng H*. 2010; 224(8):955–969. [PubMed: 20923114]
37. Shahmirzadi D, Li RX, Konofagou EE. Pulse-Wave Propagation in Straight-Geometry Vessels for Stiffness Estimation: Theory, Simulations, Phantoms and In Vitro Findings. *J Biomechanical Engineering*. 2012; 134(11):1145021–1145026.
38. Shahmirzadi D, Konofagou EE. Detection of Aortic Wall Inclusions Using Regional Pulse Wave Propagation and Velocity In Silico. *Artery Research*. 2012; 6(3):114–123.
39. Shahmirzadi D, Konofagou EE. Effects of the Wall Inclusion Size and Modulus Contrast on the Regional Pulse Wave Propagation along the Arterial Wall In Silico. *International Tissue Elasticity Conference*. 2012
40. Dobrin PB. Pathophysiology and pathogenesis of aortic aneurysms. *The Surgical Clinics of North America*. 1989; 69:687–703. [PubMed: 2665139]
41. Carmo M, Colombo L, Bruno A, et al. Alteration of Elastin, Collagen and their Cross-links in Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2002; 23:543–549. [PubMed: 12093072]
42. Vorp DA. Biomechanics of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm. *J Biomech*. 2007; 40(9):1887–1902. [PubMed: 17254589]
43. Collins MJ, Eberth JF, Wilson E, Humphrey JD. Acute Mechanical Effects of Elastase on the Infra-renal Mouse Aorta: Implications for Models of Aneurysms. *J Biomech*. 2012; 45:660–665. [PubMed: 22236532]
44. Humphrey, JD. *Cardiovascular Solid Mechanics: Cells, Tissues, and Organs*. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2002.
45. Scotti CM, Jimenez J, Muluk SC, Finol EA. Wall stress and flow dynamics in abdominal aortic aneurysms: finite element analysis vs. fluid-structure interaction. *Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin*. 2008; 11(3):301–322. [PubMed: 18568827]
46. Kanyanta V, Ivankovic A, Karac A. Validation of a fluid-structure interaction numerical model for predicting flow transients in arteries. *J Biomech*. 2009; 42(11):1705–1712. [PubMed: 19482285]
47. Kaatz U. *Fundamentals of microwaves. Radiation Physics and Chemistry*. 1995; 45(4):539–548.

(A) Homogenous walls **(B) Inhomogenous walls** **Figure 1.**

2D schematic of aortic models with (A)homogeneous and (B)inhomogeneous walls (S indicates the inclusion size).

Figure 2.

Surface map of the wall displacement showing the pulse wave propagation in (A) homogeneous wall ($E_w=5.12$ MPa) with no inclusion, (B) wall with three inclusions of $MC=1.5$ ($E_i=7.68$ MPa) of size $S=2$ mm, 10 mm apart, (C) wall with one inclusion ($E_i=7.68$ MPa) of size $S=10$ mm, and (D) wall with one $S=2$ mm inclusion of $MC=2$ ($E_i=10.24$ MPa).

Figure 3.

Effects of the number of inclusions: Spatio-temporal maps of the wall displacement of (A)homogeneous wall ($E_w=5.12$ MPa) with no inclusions; and walls with $N=$ (B)1, (C)2, (D)3 and (E)4 inclusions of $MC=1.5$ ($E_i=7.68$ MPa) and size $S=2$ mm; 10 mm apart.

Figure 4.

Effects of the inclusion size: Spatio-temporal maps of the wall displacement of (A)homogeneous wall ($E_w=5.12$ MPa) with no inclusions; and walls with $N=1$ inclusion with $MC=1.5$ ($E_i=7.68$ MPa) and size S of (B)2, (C)5, and (D)10 mm.

Figure 5.

Effects of the inclusion modulus contrast: Spatio-temporal maps of the wall displacement of (A)homogeneous wall with no inclusions, i.e. $MC=1$ ($E_w=5.12$ MPa); and walls with one inclusion of $S=2$ mm and MC of (B)1.25 ($E_i=6.40$ MPa), (C)1.5 ($E_i=7.68$ MPa), (D)1.75 ($E_i=8.96$), and (E)2 ($E_i=10.24$ MPa).

Figure 6.

Effects of the number of inclusions (N), inclusion size (S), and inclusion modulus contrast (MC) on the width of the standing wave (d), (A)-(C), respectively; on the PWVs, (D)- (F), respectively; and on r^2 s, (G)-(I), respectively.

Figure 7.

(A) Illustration of the mesh topologies for four increasing mesh seeding size of 0.001, 0.002, 0.004, 0.006 from left to right, respectively. (B)-(F) Number of Lagrangian elements, Number of Eulerian elements, Stable time increment, CPU time, and the computed PWV results, respectively, for the aforementioned mesh seeding sizes. In (B)-(F), regions in red shadow indicate the unfavorable seed sizes.