Published in final edited form as:

Dalton Trans. 2014 January 28; 43(4): 1505–1508. doi:10.1039/c3dt53201a.

First- and second-sphere contributions to Fe(II) site activation by cosubstrate binding in nonheme Fe enzymes

Kenneth M. Light^a, John A. Hangasky^b, Michael J. Knapp^b, and Edward I. Solomon^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, USA.

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Amherst, USA.

Abstract

Nonheme Fe(II) enzymes exhibit a general mechanistic strategy where binding all cosubstrates opens a coordination site on the Fe(II) for O₂ activation. This study shows that strong-donor ligands, steric interactions with the substrate and second-sphere H-bonding to the facial triad carboxylate allow for five-coordinate site formation in this enzyme superfamily.

Non-heme Fe(II) (NHF(II)) enzymes generally utilize a redox-active cosubstrate and an Fe(II) center to activate O₂ for reaction with organic species.¹ These enzymes can be broadly divided into five classes based on the cosubstrate employed as an electron source for O₂ activation. Members of the α -ketoglutarate (α KG)-dependent dioxygenase class utilize a bidentate α KG ligand as a source of two electrons needed for the reaction.^{1a} For extradiol dioxygenases, the substrates themselves are bidentate catecholate ligands that provide the two electrons for O₂ activation.^{1b} Members of the pterin-dependent and Rieske dioxygenase classes use non-ligated pterins and Fe₂S₂ Rieske clusters, respectively, as electron sources.^{1c} A fifth class of NH Fe(II) enzymes acts on redox-inactive substrates which bind directly to the Fe(II) center and in some cases require an additional cosubstrate to supply electrons. This eclectic class includes isopenicillin *N* synthase (IPNS),^{1d} 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase (ACCO)^{1e} and (*S*)-2-hydroxypropylphosphonic acid epoxidase (HppE),^{1f} among others. Members of this superfamily bind to Fe(II) through a 2-His, 1-Asp/Glu facial triad of protein-derived ligands and additional H₂O's to maintain a 6-coordinate (6C) Fe(II) center. This loses a H₂O ligand to become 5-coordinate (5C) and reactive towards O₂ only when all cosubstrates are present, though what induces this water ligand to dissociate has not been well-explored. In this study we use computational methods on an enzyme system where various possible contributions to ligand dissociation can be evaluated to understand how NHFe(II) enzymes become active once all necessary components are in place.

Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α (HIF-1 α) is the master controller of the human cellular hypoxic response.² HIF-asparaginyl hydroxylase (identified formerly as FIH-1) is an α KG-dependent NHFe(II) enzyme that inactivates HIF-1 α by hydroxylating Asn803 in the C-terminal transaction domain (CAD) of HIF-1 α , preventing the HIF- $\alpha\beta$ homodimer from binding to transcriptional co-activator p300 and activating genes involved with increasing cellular O₂ levels.³ The active site of α KG/CAD-bound FIH-1 as determined by crystallography is

© The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

*Fax: 650 725 0259 Tel: 650 723 9104; Edward.solomon@stanford.edu.
Fax: 413 545 4490; Tel: 413 545 4001; mknapp@chem.umass.edu

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Computational modeling details, table of calculated thermodynamic parameters and coordinates for all geometry-optimized structures.

shown in Figure 1A where notable second-sphere interactions are the hydrogen bonds (H-bonds) from the backbone amide of Asn803 of CAD and from the sidechain of FIH Arg238 to the non-ligated O of the facial triad carboxylate.³ It has been previously shown in a related enzyme system taurine/αKG dioxygenase (TauD)⁴ that H-bonding between this carboxylate O and the ligated H₂O stabilizes the Fe-OH₂ bond, which is destabilized by the strong electron-donating character of the αKG ligand. From DFT calculations⁴ the ΔG for binding H₂O to an αKG-bound NHFe(II) site is ≈+8 kcal/mole in the absence of this H-bond, but is ≈-1 kcal/mole if this H-bond is present. Magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectra of Fe(II)- and Fe(II)/αKG-bound forms of TauD⁴ and FIH^{5a} show an increased splitting of the e_g d orbitals upon binding of αKG, which has been ascribed to the weakened Fe-OH₂ bond. Upon binding substrate, H-bonds from CAD Asn803 and FIH-1 Arg238 may disrupt the carboxylate-H₂O H-bond and therefore promote water loss upon CAD binding as is observed in the MCD spectrum of Fe(II)/αKG/CAD-bound FIH, which showed a mixture of 5C and 6C forms.^{5a} The β-carbon of CAD Asn803 (colored magenta in Figure 1) is the target for hydroxylation and is poised directly above the Fe(II) active site. This group will potentially sterically clash with a coordinated H₂O to further promote loss of this ligand. Due to the presence of steric, H-bonding, and strong electron-donor effects from equatorially bidentate bound αKG, FIH was deemed a good NHFe(II) system for exploring the different possible contributions to the 6C→5C conversion in activation of NHFe(II) to react with O₂.

To explore the relative contributions of sterics and second-sphere H-bonding to water loss, a computational approach to correlate the thermodynamics of water elimination with various effects was undertaken as outlined in Figure 2. DFT calculations were performed on both H₂O-coordinated 6C and H₂O-dissociated 5C geometries of FIH wherein the CAD substrate was either present in its crystallographic location (Figure 2, right) or *ca.* 40 Å away (Figure 2, left) where it could not interact with the FIH active site. Initial calculations, which included the full Asn803 side chain, indicated that while the process of coordinated water loss for the CAD-unbound form has a ΔG≈0 kcal/mole, water loss from the CAD-bound form is favorable with a ΔG≈-7 kcal/mole. (See Supporting) The ΔE for removal of water for the CAD-bound form was also ≈5 kcal/mole more favorable than that of the CAD-unbound form. (See Supporting) In order to separate the contributions of substrate sterics and H-bonding, three CAD models were evaluated. The side chain of CAD-Asn803 was changed to a Gly residue as shown in Figure 1B, (N803G) for the inclusion of H-bonding effects with minimal steric interaction. Replacement of CAD-Asn803 with an Ala residue (N803A) retains the H-bonding while introducing the steric interaction between the coordinated water and the methylene group of Asn803 (Figure 1C). The N803A model still allows for flexibility of the methyl group, so to further model the anchoring of CAD Asn803 (by H-bonding with FIH Arg238 and Gln239) a third model, N803A*, was used in which the methyl group is constrained in its position during geometry optimization. The changes in energy (E), enthalpy (H), and Gibbs free energy (G) upon loss of the water ligand are given in the Supporting Information. For all three models of the CAD substrate ΔG for H₂O loss is ≈ 0 when CAD is unbound and is from ≈ -4 to -9 kcal/mole when CAD is bound. This reproduces the behavior of the CAD model with the full Asn803 side chain and correctly predicts that coordinated water will dissociate upon CAD binding. Figure 3 compares the relative energies of the 6C (H₂O bound, bottom) and 5C (H₂O lost, top) CAD-bound (green) and unbound (red) forms for the three models, and decouples the effects of H-bonding from those of sterics on the stability of these forms. For each group of models the energy of the 6C CAD-unbound form is set to 0 kcal/mole. For the N803G (H bond) model, binding CAD stabilizes both the 6C and 5C forms, but stabilizes the latter by 5.8 kcal/mole *vs* 2.6 kcal/mole for the 6C form. This result indicates that the H bond between the substrate amide NH and the carboxylate O stabilizes the 5C form relative to the 6C form (by ≈3 kcal/mole *in silico*), shifting the equilibrium towards uncoordinated water. This increased stabilization is

depicted in Figure 4 by a weaker amide-carboxylate H bond for the 6C form (left) relative to the strong H bond in the 5C form (right). This contribution solely reflects the H-bonding interaction, as steric effects between the substrate and water are minimal.

While the stabilization of 5C FIH upon CAD binding is effectively constant for all the models in Figure 3, top, the stabilization of 6C FIH decreases from N803G to N803A, until binding CAD to 6C N803A* results in destabilization (Figure 3, bottom). This trend reflects the increase in steric clash between the CAD sidechain and the coordinated water, also depicted in Figure 4, left (light–red curves). Thus, the energetic effects of the H bond between the CAD backbone amide NH and the nonligated O of the facial triad carboxylate and the steric interaction of the substrate with the coordinated H₂O are comparable in promoting loss of H₂O upon substrate binding, and are similar in magnitude to the contribution of the strong donor ligand α KG to H₂O release (i.e. ≈ 9 kcal/mole).⁴

From this and prior studies, three contributions to H₂O loss in FIH-1 have been identified: Steric interactions, which destabilize the 6C form, H-bonding to the non-ligated facial triad carboxylate O, which stabilizes the 5C form, and the strong donor properties of the α KG ligand, which also stabilize the 5C form. These findings can be extended to the other members of the α KG-dependent class, where all three contributions are present. While for many α KG-dependent enzymes the substrate cannot form an H bond to the facial triad carboxylate, this H bond is provided by a protein residue from the enzyme itself which stabilizes the 5C Fe(II) site, such as Tyr299 in clavaminic synthase⁶ and Thr239 in anthocyanidin synthase.⁷

The three contributions to water dissociation discussed above are also present in the other classes of NHFe(II) enzymes. In addition to α KG-dependent enzymes, classes that involve cosubstrate binding to Fe(II) include the extradiol dioxygenases^{1b} and the non-redox-active bound substrate class^{1d-f} as described above. Like the α KG-dependent enzymes, members of these two classes possess good electron-donating ligands: catecholates for the extradiol dioxygenases,^{1b} the δ -(L- α -aminoadipoyl)-L-cysteinyl-D-valine (ACV) substrate thiolate group for IPNS,^{1d} amine and carboxylate ligation from ACC for ACCO,^{1e} and hydroxyl and phosphonate ligation from HPP for HppE.^{1f} Extradiol dioxygenase active sites bind substrate such that the remaining Fe(II) coordination site is *trans* to the facial triad carboxylate, and with no H-bond, the H₂O in this position dissociates to form a 5C site.^{1b} In IPNS the substrate ACV, in addition to binding to Fe(II) as a strong donor, sterically clashes with a coordination site to help promote water loss, and a second-sphere enzyme residue H-bonding partner for the facial triad carboxylate is also present in the form of Thr221.^{1c} In the case of HppE, HPP does not sterically clash with the remaining coordination site for H₂O, and HppE lacks a second-sphere H-bonding partner for the facial triad carboxylate. However, HPP can stabilize a 5C site through its donation of negative charge, and the short distance (≈ 2.8 Å) between the HPP hydroxyl O and facial triad carboxylate O implies the presence of an H-bond, which would prevent the carboxylate from H-bonding to a potential H₂O ligand.^{1e} The case of ACCO is more difficult to examine due to the lack of crystallography on the ACC-bound form. However, the resting form of the enzyme does show the presence of a second-sphere H-bonding partner for the facial triad carboxylate in residue Asn216, and the ACC substrate, which binds through an amine and carboxylate group, would donate significant electron density to the Fe(II) to promote a 5C active site.⁸

Pterin-dependent hydroxylases and Rieske dioxygenases, which use non-ligated pterins and Fe₂S₂ Rieske clusters respectively as electron sources,^{1c} do not generally possess a second-sphere enzyme residue for H-bonding, nor do they possess a cosubstrate that binds to Fe(II). Steric destabilization of 6C Fe(II) must therefore play a significant role in opening up the

active site. However, it should be noted that enzymes in these two classes generally have the facial triad carboxylate bind in a bidentate mode once all cosubstrates are present, and this bidentate ligand may provide additional charge density for stabilization of the 5C site.⁹

Spectroscopic studies have shown that NHFe(II) sites favour a 5C geometry only when all cosubstrates are present.¹⁰ A 5C active site plays an important role in catalytic activity as shown by the 200-fold higher rate of Fe-O₂ bonding of (tyrosine+pterin)-bound tryptophan hydroxylase relative to the pterin-only-bound form of the enzyme,¹¹ the $\approx$ 5000-fold higher rate of reaction for substrate-bound vs substrate-free halogenase SyrB2,¹² and the $\approx$ 17500-fold higher rate of Rieske site oxidation of substrate-bound over substrate-free naphthalene dioxygenase.¹³ All of these enzymes have been observed from MCD to show the 6C $\rightarrow$ 5C conversion with substrate binding in combination with binding of cosubstrates (if any) or a reduced Rieske cluster for Rieske-dependent dioxygenases. It is important that the Fe site remain 6C until the cosubstrates have been appropriately bound, as uncoupled reaction with O₂ can lead to unwanted side reactions including production of H₂O₂¹⁴ and enzyme self-hydroxylation.¹⁵

In summary, three major contributions to stabilization of the experimentally observed 5C Fe(II) center in FIH-1 upon substrate binding have been identified: Steric interactions between substrate and coordinated H₂O which destabilizes the 6C form, H-bonding between second-sphere enzyme residues and the facial triad carboxylate which stabilizes the 5C form, and the strong electron-donating character of the bound α KG which also stabilizes the 5C form. These contributions are found in all the 5 classes of NHFe(II) enzymes listed above, and although in some instances a given contribution is absent, the other contributions appear to compensate. Nature has produced a flexible system for inducing the opening of the coordination sphere of the Fe(II) active site for O₂ reactivity that can be tailored to the properties of the cosubstrates involved in catalysis.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the U.S. National Institute of Health (GM 40392 to E.I.S. and GM 077413 to M.J.K.). K.M.L. and J.A.H. were supported by the Althouse Stanford Graduate Fellowship and the CBI Training Grant (NIH T32-GM008515), respectively.

Notes and references

1. a Hausinger R. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. 2004; 39:21–68. b Kovaleva EG, Neibergall MB, Chakrabarty S, Lipscomb JD. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007; 40:475–483. [PubMed: 17567087] c Olsson E, Teigen K, Martinez A, Jensen VR. Adv. Inor. Chem. 2010; 62:437–500. d Burzlaff NI, Rutledge PJ, Clifton IJ, Hensgens CMH, Pickford M, Adlington RM, Roach PL, Baldwin JE. Nature. 1999; 401:721–724. [PubMed: 10537113] e Rocklin AM, Tierney DL, Kofman V, Brunhuber NMW, Hoffman BM, Christoffersen RE, Reich NO, Lipscomb JD, Que L Jr. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 1999; 96:7905–7909. [PubMed: 10393920] f Higgins LJ, Yan F, Liu P, Liu HW, Drennan CL. Nature. 2005; 437:838–844. [PubMed: 16015285]
2. Hampton-Smith RJ, Peet DJ. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 2009; 1177:19–29. [PubMed: 19845603]
3. Elkins JM, Hewitson KS, McNeill LA, Seibel JF, Schlemminger I, Pugh CW, Ratcliffe PJ, Schofield CJ. J. Biol. Chem. 2003; 278:1802–1806. [PubMed: 12446723]
4. Neidig ML, Brown CD, Light KM, Fujimori DG, Nolan EM, Price JC, Barr EW, Bollinger JM, Krebs C Jr, Walsh CT, Solomon EI. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007; 129:14224–14231. [PubMed: 17967013]

5. a Light KM, Hangasky JA, Knapp MJ, Solomon EI. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013; 135:9665–9674. [PubMed: 23742069] b Hangasky JA, Taabazuing CY, Valliere MA, Knapp MJ. *Metallomics*. 2013; 5:287–301. [PubMed: 23446356]
6. Zhang Z, Ren J, Stammers DK, Baldwin JE, Harlos K, Schofield CJ. *Nature Struct. Biol.* 2000; 7:127–133. [PubMed: 10655615]
7. Wilmoth RC, Turnbull JJ, Welford RWD, Clifton IJ, Prescott AG, Schofield CJ. *Structure*. 2002; 10:93–103. [PubMed: 11796114]
8. Zhang Z, Ren J-S, Clifton IJ, Schofield CJ. *Chem. Biol.* 2004; 11:1383–1394. [PubMed: 15489165]
9. a Andersen OA, Stokka AJ, Flatmark T, Hough E. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2003; 333:747–757. [PubMed: 14568534] b Karlsson A, Parales JV, Parales RE, Gibson DT, Eklund H, Ramaswamy S. *Science*. 2003; 299:1039–1042. [PubMed: 12586937]
10. Solomon EI, Brunold TC, Davis MI, Kemsley JN, Lee S-K, Lehnert N, Neese F, Skulan AJ, Yang Y-S, Zhou J. *Chem. Rev.* 2000; 100:235–349. [PubMed: 11749238]
11. Chow MS, Eser BE, Wilson SA, Hodgson KO, Hedman B, Fitzpatrick PF, Solomon EI. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:7685–7698. [PubMed: 19489646]
12. Matthews ML, Krest CM, Barr EW, Vaillancourt FH, Walsh CT, Green MT, Krebs C, Bollinger JM Jr. *Biochem.* 2009; 48:4331–4343. [PubMed: 19245217]
13. Wolfe MD, Parales JV, Gibson DT, Lipscomb JD. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001; 276:1945–1953. [PubMed: 11056161]
14. Kemsley JN, Wasinger EC, Datta S, Miti N, Acharya T, Hedman B, Caradonna JP, Hodgson KO, Solomon EI. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:5677–5686. [PubMed: 12733906]
15. Ryle MJ, Liu A, Muthukumaran RB, Ho RYN, Koehn top KD, McCracken J, Que L Jr. *Hausinger RP. Biochem.* 2003; 42:1854–1862. [PubMed: 12590572]

Figure 1.

A.) View of the FIH-1 active site showing H-bonds to the facial triad carboxylate. CAD Fragment is in yellow with hydroxylation target in magenta. B.) The N803G model for analyzing H-bonding effects only. C.) The N803A/A* model for including steric effects. Atoms in magenta replace the side chain of N803.

Figure 2.
Computational strategy for determining contributions to H_2O loss.

Figure 3. DFT Thermodynamic study of water loss. Forms listed in red are for CAD-unbound models whereas those in green are for CAD-bound models. All energies are in kcal/mole.

Figure 4. Diagram showing the H-bonding and steric contributions to 5C site formation in FIH-1 upon CAD binding.