Published in final edited form as:

Biophys Chem. 2014 January ; 185: 58–69. doi:10.1016/j.bpc.2013.11.002.

ATP γ S Competes with ATP for Binding at Domain 1 but not Domain 2 during ClpA Catalyzed Polypeptide Translocation

Justin M. Miller and Aaron L. Lucius*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1530 3RD Ave S, Birmingham, AL 35294-1240

Abstract

ClpAP is an ATP-dependent protease that assembles through the association of hexameric rings of ClpA with the cylindrically-shaped protease ClpP. ClpA contains two nucleotide binding domains, termed Domain 1 (D1) or 2 (D2). We have proposed that D1 or D2 limit the rate of ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation when ClpP is either absent or present, respectively. Here we show that the rate of ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation depends on [ATP γ S] in the absence of ClpP, but not in the presence of ClpP. We observe that ATP γ S non-cooperatively binds to ClpA during polypeptide translocation with an apparent affinity of ~6 μ M, but that introduction of ClpP shifts this affinity such that translocation is not effected. Interpreting these data with our proposed model for translocation catalyzed by ClpA vs. ClpAP suggests that ATP γ S competes for binding at D1 but not at D2.

Keywords

ATP Dependent Proteases; AAA+ Motor Proteins; pre-steady-state kinetics; protein unfoldases; steady-state kinetics

Introduction

AAA+ proteases are a ubiquitous class of ATP-driven enzymes that are required in all organisms for the removal of both misfolded and properly folded proteins as a means of cell cycle regulation.^{1; 2} One example is the *E. coli* ATP-dependent protease ClpAP, which targets SsrA-polypeptides that have been tagged via the SsrA-SmpB system for degradation.^{3; 4; 5} ClpAP shares structural homology with other ATP-dependent proteases where a hexameric ring of ClpA, a AAA+ protein (ATPases associated with various cellular activities), associates with one or both ends of the cylindrically-shaped protease ClpP, where ClpP contains serine protease active sites sequestered in its inner core away from bulk solvent.^{5; 6; 7; 8; 9; 10; 11} Once associated with ClpP, ClpA is responsible for enzyme catalyzed protein unfolding and polypeptide translocation through repeated cycles of ATP binding and hydrolysis.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR FOOTNOTE: Aaron L. Lucius, Department of Chemistry, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1530 3RD Ave S, Birmingham, AL 35294-1240; Phone: 205-934-8096; fax: 205-934-2543, allucius@uab.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ClpA is a Class 1 HSP100/Clp protein unfoldase, where Class 1 indicates that each monomer contains two nucleotide binding domains.¹ In addition to other conserved domains, each nucleotide binding domain contains the canonical Walker A and Walker B motifs.² The two nucleotide binding domains are termed Domain 1 or 2, D1 or D2, respectively, and are each thought to serve a particular function. D1 is hypothesized to be primarily responsible for ClpA oligomerization, while D2 is thought to play a larger role in polypeptide translocation.^{12; 13} However, variants of ClpA that are deficient in ATP hydrolysis at either D1 or D2 both support polypeptide translocation, which likely indicates that both ATP hydrolysis sites are involved in translocation of polypeptide substrate. One model for polypeptide translocation proposes that loops formed between each Walker A and Walker B motif protrude into the axial channel of hexameric ClpA and make contact with polypeptide substrates. ATP hydrolysis then modulates up and down movement of the loops thereby translocating the polypeptide chain through the axial channel.^{14; 15; 16}

It has been well established that ClpA requires nucleotide binding to assemble into hexameric rings competent for association with ClpP.^{6; 17} As a consequence, 1 – 2 mM ATP γ S is often used in experiments where there is a need to preassemble ClpA into hexameric rings.^{6; 13; 18; 19; 20; 21; 22} In some cases, this preassembled complex is then mixed with hydrolysable ATP and it is assumed that the ATP will exchange with ATP γ S, which is likely to be a good assumption. However, since the experiment is being carried out in the presence of both ATP and ATP γ S, the observed reaction is occurring under conditions where ATP and ATP γ S may compete for binding to ClpA. For example, in our previous examination of ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation, we prebound ClpA to a polypeptide substrate in the presence of 150 μ M ATP γ S.²³ The sample was then rapidly mixed with 10 mM ATP and protein trap, resulting in a final concentration of 75 μ M ATP γ S and 5 mM ATP (see Figure 1 for schematic). In that study, even though the competition between ATP and ATP γ S was not well understood, we chose to preincubate ClpA with an initial [ATP γ S] of 150 μ M to minimize the effect of competition between ATP γ S and hydrolysable ATP upon rapid mixing of the two reactants, if such competition was present. Despite the large excess of ATP over ATP γ S, competition between the two nucleotides for binding to ClpA may still occur.

With the objective of eliminating the competing nucleotide, we have also explored a number of other strategies to assemble ClpA to hexamers, prebind ClpA to polypeptide, and initiate polypeptide translocation. For example, we prebound ClpA to polypeptide in the presence of ATP and absence of Mg²⁺ and attempted to initiate translocation by rapidly mixing with Mg²⁺. However, no translocation was observed (unpublished result). Furthermore, we explored the possibility of assembling ClpA using a number of other nucleotides and nucleotide analogs. These included AMP-PNP, AMP-PCP, ADP, and ADP.BeF. In that study, we showed that only AMP-PNP would assemble a prebound complex that would initiate translocation.²² However, substantially higher concentrations of AMP-PNP compared to ATP γ S are required, which was consistent with previous reports on assembling ClpA with AMP-PNP.¹⁷ Consequently, ATP γ S has emerged as the most effective nucleotide analog for preassembling ClpA.

Despite ATP γ S being the most effective nucleotide analog to assemble and prebind the complex, several concerns remain. First, ATP γ S is slowly hydrolyzed by ClpA. This leads to the question; how fast is ATP γ S hydrolyzed and, upon hydrolysis, does ATP γ S provide sufficient energy to drive polypeptide translocation in the preincubation syringe? If this occurs, the population of enzyme may not all be bound at the SsrA tag at the carboxy terminus in the preincubation syringe (see syringe 1, Fig. 1). Rather, the enzyme may be distributed randomly on the polypeptide substrate upon rapid mixing with ATP. Second, does competition between ATP γ S and ATP binding impact the reported kinetic parameters?

Here we report an examination of the effect of the ATP analogue, ATP γ S, on ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation in both the presence and absence of ClpP. We have employed our previously developed single turnover stopped-flow method to examine the kinetic parameters of ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation as a function of increasing ATP γ S concentrations.^{23; 24} Our results show that in the presence of 1 mM ATP γ S, the rate of polypeptide translocation is affected by competition between ATP γ S and hydrolysable ATP binding to ClpA. However, this effect is not present upon addition of ClpP. These observations, when incorporated with our proposed mechanism for ClpA and ClpAP catalyzed polypeptide translocation²⁵, suggest that ATP γ S competes for ATP binding at the D1 ATP binding site and therefore impacts polypeptide translocation catalyzed by ClpA in the absence of ClpP. No competition between ATP and ATP γ S was observed when ClpP was present. Therefore, ATP γ S does not appear to effectively compete for binding at the D2 ATP binding site.

Materials and Methods

Materials

All solutions were prepared in double-distilled water produced from a Purelab Ultra Genetic system (Siemens Water Technology, Alpharetta, Georgia) using reagent grade chemicals purchased commercially. All peptide substrates were synthesized by CPC Scientific (Sunnyvale, CA), and were judged to be >90% pure by HPLC and mass spectral analysis. Fluorescein was covalently attached to the free cysteine residue at the amino terminus of the polypeptide as previously described. *E. coli* ClpA and ClpP were purified as previously described.^{25; 39}

Methods

ATPase Activity Assay—ATP γ S hydrolysis was examined by pre-incubating 10 μ M ClpA in Buffer H (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 at 25 °C, 10 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 300 mM NaCl, and 10% v/v glycerol) at 25 °C for 45 minutes prior to adding [³⁵S]-ATP γ S. After 45 minutes, ATP γ S that had been supplemented with [³⁵S]-ATP γ S was added. For determination of the initial velocity, samples were removed and quenched with a 1:1 dilution of 1 M HCl. The pH of each sample was adjusted through addition of a solution containing 2.5 M NaOH, 0.5 M Tris, and 0.5 M EDTA such that the final pH was neutral. Reaction progress was monitored through separation of [³⁵S]-ATP γ S from ³⁵S-thio-phosphate using PEI-Cellulose F Thin Layer Chromatography plates (EMD Chemicals, Inc., Darmstadt, Germany) with 0.6 M KH₂PO₄ (pH 3.4 at 25 °C) as the mobile phase. TLC plates were exposed to a phosphor imager screen (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA) for a period of 90 minutes. Radioactive counts were then quantified using a Typhoon Trio+ (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) in phosphor storage mode using the 390 BP 100 phosphor filter. The resulting data was then processed using ImageQuant TL (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). The resulting initial velocities were plotted versus [ATP γ S] and subjected to NLLS analysis using the Michaelis-Menten equation with no linear transformation, given by Eq. (1):

$$v_0 = \frac{k_{cat}[ClpA]_{total}}{1 + \frac{K_m}{[ATP\gamma S]}} \quad (1)$$

Stopped-flow fluorescence assay—Fluorescence stopped-flow experiments were performed as previously described and shown in Fig. 1. All reactions were prepared in buffer H (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 at 25 °C, 10 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 300 mM NaCl, and 10% v/v glycerol). All experiments were performed in an SX.20 stopped-

flow fluorometer, (Applied Photophysics, Letherhead, UK). Prior to each reaction, 1 μM ClpA was preincubated with ATP γ S for 25 minutes, concentration indicated in text. Fluorescently modified polypeptide substrate was then added such that the final concentration was 100 nM, and the mixture was loaded into syringe 1 of the stopped-flow fluorometer. Syringe 2 contained a solution of 10 mM ATP and 200 μM SsrA peptide prepared in buffer H. Prior to mixing, both solutions were incubated for an additional 10 minutes at 25°C in the stopped-flow instrument. Increasing the incubation time of either solution in the stopped-flow instrument had no effect on the observed fluorescence time courses. Upon mixing, the final concentrations were 0.5 μM ClpA monomer, 50 nM peptide substrate, 100 μM SsrA peptide, 5 mM ATP, and the final concentration of ATP γ S is indicated in the text. Fluorescein was excited at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 494$ nm and fluorescence emission was observed above 515 nm with a 515 nm long pass filter. All kinetic traces shown represent the average of at least 8 individual determinations.

Additional stopped-flow fluorescence experiments were performed in the presence of 1.2 μM ClpP. ClpAP was preassembled by incubating ClpA in the presence of ATP γ S for 25 minutes, followed by incubation with ClpP for an additional 25 minutes. Fluorescently modified polypeptide substrate was then added such that the initial concentration was 20 nM, and the mixture was loaded into syringe 1 of the stopped-flow fluorometer.

NLLS Analysis—The system of coupled differential equations that result from Scheme 1 was solved using the method of Laplace transforms to obtain an expression for product formation as a function of the Laplace variable, $S(s)$, given by Eq. (2),

$$S(s) = \frac{1}{s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^h \frac{k_d k_C^{j-1} (k_{NP} + sx)}{(k_C + k_d + s)^j (k_{NP} + s)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_d k_T^h k_T^{i-1} (k_{NP} + sx)}{(k_C + k_d + s)^h (k_{NP} + s) (k_d + k_T + s)^i} + \frac{(k_T + k_d) k_C^h k_T^{n-1} (k_{NP} + sx)}{(k_C + k_d + s)^h (k_{NP} + s) (k_d + k_T + s)^n} \right) \quad (2)$$

where capital S represents the substrate and lower case s is the Laplace variable, h is the number of steps with rate constant k_C , n is the number of steps with rate constant k_T , k_{NP} is the rate of transition from a nonproductive complex to the productive complex, and x is the fraction of ClpA bound in the productive form given by Eq. (3).

$$x = \frac{[ClpA \bullet S]_L}{[ClpA \bullet S]_L + [ClpA \bullet S]_{NP}} \quad (3)$$

Eq. (2) was then numerically solved using Eq. (4) to describe product formation as a function of time, $S(t)$,

$$S(t) = A_T \mathcal{L}^{-1} S(s) \quad (4)$$

where A_T is the total amplitude of the time-course, and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is the inverse Laplace transform operator. This was accomplished using the NLLS fitting routine, Conlin, and the inverse Laplace transform function using the IMSL C Numerical libraries (Visual Numerics, Houston, TX), as previously described.^{24; 32} Uncertainties reported on the parameters in Tables 2 and 3 and Fig. 4 are based on the average of a minimum of two experimental determinations.

The ATP γ S concentration dependencies of the macroscopic rate of translocation and microscopic translocation rate constant displayed in Fig. 4a–b was subjected to NLLS analysis using an infinitely cooperative model given by Eq. (5),

$$mk_{T,app} = \frac{mk_{T,max} \cdot (K_{ATP} \cdot [ATP])^v}{1 + (K_{ATP} \cdot [ATP])^v + (K_{ATP\gamma S} \cdot [ATP\gamma S])^\omega} \quad (5)$$

where $mk_{T,app}$ is the apparent macroscopic translocation rate. The maximum macroscopic translocation rate is represented as $mk_{T,max}$. The association equilibrium constants for ATP- or ATP γ S- association with ClpA are represented as K_{ATP} or $K_{ATP\gamma S}$, respectively. The apparent Hill coefficients for nucleotide binding are represented by either v or ω for ATP or ATP γ S binding, respectively.

For translocation time courses collected 75 μ M ATP γ S in the absence of ClpP, the “grid-searches” shown in Figs. 5b–c were performed by constraining either the kinetic step-size, m , or the elementary rate constant, k_T , to fixed values ranging from 1 to 40 or 0.35 to 10, in intervals of 0.04 or 0.01, respectively, followed by minimization of the SSR. For translocation time courses collected in the presence of 2.5 μ M ATP γ S in the absence of ClpP, the “grid-searches” shown in Figs. 5b–c were performed by constraining either the kinetic step-size, m , or the elementary rate constant, k_T , to fixed values ranging from 1 to 65 or 0.05 to 10, in intervals of 0.06 or 0.01, respectively, followed by minimization of the SSR. For translocation time courses collected in the presence of ClpA, ClpP, and 75 μ M ATP γ S, the “grid-searches” shown in Figs. 6b–c were performed by constraining either the kinetic step-size, m , or the elementary rate constant, k_T , to fixed values ranging from 2 to 21 or 0.6 to 10, in intervals of 0.04 or 0.01, respectively, followed by minimization of the SSR. For translocation time courses collected in the presence of ClpA, ClpP, and 1 μ M ATP γ S, the “grid-searches” shown in Figs. 6b–c were performed by constraining either the kinetic step-size, m , or the elementary rate constant, k_T , to fixed values ranging from 1.25 to 40 or 0.6 to 10, in intervals of 0.04 or 0.01, respectively, followed by minimization of the SSR.

Results

Kinetics of ATP γ S Hydrolysis Catalyzed by ClpA

We first set out to determine the steady-state kinetic parameters, K_m and k_{cat} , for ClpA catalyzed ATP γ S hydrolysis. Experiments were performed by mixing 10 μ M ClpA monomer with ATP γ S supplemented with ^{35} S-ATP γ S (see Materials and Methods). The total ATP γ S concentration was varied between 100 μ M and 1 mM. The initial velocity as a function of the total [ATP γ S] is shown in Fig. 2a. The relationship between initial velocity and nucleotide concentration was subjected to NLLS analysis using Eq. (1) to obtain estimates of the Michaelis constant, $K_m = (134 \pm 46)$ μ M, and the turnover number, $k_{cat} = (0.05 \pm 0.004)$ min $^{-1}$. Fig. 2a shows that ClpA hydrolyses ATP γ S, albeit slowly.

The observation that ClpA hydrolyses ATP γ S leads to the question; does hydrolysis of ATP γ S provide sufficient energy to fuel polypeptide translocation? If ATP γ S does provide sufficient energy for ClpA to translocate, then this would predict that not all of the ClpA in syringe 1 of Fig. 1 would be statically bound at the carboxy terminus of SsrA-tagged polypeptides. Rather, some molecules may have moved forward by some number of steps. If true, we would predict that the observed time courses would change depending on the amount of time the contents of syringe 1 (see Fig. 1) were allowed to incubate before rapid mixing with the contents of syringe 2. To test this, we collected time courses at various different incubation times. Since the two reactants are rapidly mixed together, a time course is collected over a 400 s time period, and up to ten time courses are collected, the contents of syringe 1 (Fig. 1) are allowed to incubate for up to 4,000 s or 70 minutes by the time the last time course is collected. Fig. 2b shows two time courses collected using the experimental design schematized in Fig. 1, where 1 μ M ClpA monomer has been allowed to incubate in the presence of 5 mM ATP γ S and 100 nM fluorescein-labeled polypeptide for either ~15

(solid blue circles) or ~70 minutes (solid red circles) before mixing with ATP and SsrA peptide (pre-mixing concentrations).

If ATP γ S hydrolysis provided enough energy to fuel polypeptide translocation, the extent of the lag would be expected to be decreased or nonexistent after ClpA had been incubated in the presence of 5 mM ATP γ S and polypeptide for ~70 minutes. However, the time courses in Fig. 2b demonstrate that the extent of lag and the overall shape of the time courses are identical after 15 and 70 minutes of incubation in the presence of ATP γ S. Thus, ClpA catalyzed hydrolysis of ATP γ S does not impact the observed time courses for polypeptide translocation over this length of time. Consequently, the polypeptide substrates that are bound by ClpA in syringe 1 must represent hexameric ClpA bound at the SsrA sequence and not ClpA that has moved forward some distance.

Competition between ATP and ATP γ S

Preassembling ClpA into hexameric rings using ATP γ S is required to perform the single-turnover polypeptide translocation experiments reported here and previously.^{6; 9; 13; 16; 18; 19; 21; 22; 23} Upon rapid mixing with hydrolysable ATP, it is likely that ATP γ S and ATP compete for binding to ClpA. Moreover, this competition may impact the observed kinetic parameters. To elucidate the impact of the competition between ATP and ATP γ S on the kinetic parameters, polypeptide translocation experiments were performed as a function of [ATP γ S] by varying the concentration of ATP γ S in the preincubation syringe (see Fig. 1, syringe 1).

Single turnover polypeptide translocation experiments were performed as described previously and in Materials and Methods.^{23; 24; 25} Syringe 1 of the stopped-flow is loaded with a solution containing 1 μ M ClpA monomer, 100 nM fluorescein modified polypeptide substrate, and varying concentrations of ATP γ S (see Fig. 1). Under the conditions illustrated in Fig. 1, the final mixing concentrations of ATP γ S and ATP are 75 μ M and 5 mM, respectively. Thus, the resultant time courses represent polypeptide translocation under conditions where ATP γ S and ATP could compete for binding to ClpA.

Syringe 2 is loaded with a solution containing 10 mM ATP and 200 μ M SsrA. The inclusion of a non-fluorescently modified SsrA polypeptide in syringe 2 serves as a protein trap that insures single-turnover conditions. Upon mixing of the contents of the two syringes, free ClpA or any ClpA that dissociates will rapidly bind the non-fluorescent SsrA trap, thus insuring that the observed signal is only sensitive to ClpA that was bound prior to mixing. Reaction progress is monitored by exciting fluorescein at 494 nm and observing the emission at 515 nm and above using a 515 nm long pass filter.

Fig. 3 shows a representative set of time courses for translocation of ClpA on a set of polypeptide substrates that differ only in length in the presence of final mixing concentrations of 75 μ M (green circles), 600 μ M (blue circles) and 2.5 mM (red circles) ATP γ S in the presence of a final concentration of 5 mM ATP. From qualitative inspection of the time courses shown in Fig. 3, it is clear that the rate of translocation is slowed with each increase in [ATP γ S]. However, it is unclear if the apparent decrease in translocation rate is an effect of a change in the microscopic rate constants, kinetic step-size, or both.

Global NLLS analysis of translocation data

To quantify the effect of competition between ATP and ATP γ S for binding to ClpA, single-turnover stopped-flow fluorescence experiments were performed as illustrated in Fig. 1. Experiments were carried out with substrates I-III (see Table 1) at final ATP γ S concentrations of 75, 126, 250, 355, and 600 μ M, and 1, 1.8, and 2.5 mM. Each set of time courses for three polypeptide lengths at a fixed ATP γ S concentration was subjected to NLLS

analysis to determine the parameters k_T , m , mk_T , k_C , and k_{NP} . The data were well described by Scheme 1 at all [ATP γ S], and the resultant parameters are given in Table 2.

The macroscopic rate, mk_T , and the elementary rate constant, k_T , decrease with increasing [ATP γ S] (see Fig. 4a–b). We previously reported a cooperative dependence of mk_T and k_T on [ATP] for ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation.²³ Consistently, those data were well described by an infinitely cooperative binding model with a Hill coefficient of ~2.5. In contrast, the dependencies of the kinetic parameters on [ATP γ S] do not appear to exhibit isotherms consistent with cooperative binding of ATP γ S (see Fig. 4 a-b). That is to say, from a qualitative inspection of the curves, the decreases in rate and rate constant with increasing [ATP γ S] do not appear particularly steep.

To determine if cooperativity is present, the dependences of mk_T and k_T on [ATP γ S] were subjected to NLLS analysis using an infinitely cooperative competition binding model given by Eq. (5) (see Materials and Methods section). In Eq. (5), the parameters that define ATP binding were constrained to the previously determined values, specifically, $K_{ATP} = 1.9 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ($K_{d,ATP} = 526 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$) or $1.8 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ($K_{d,ATP} = 556 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$), the Hill coefficient for ATP binding, $\nu = 2.5$ or 2.2 , for mk_T or k_T , respectively and [ATP] = 5 mM. The floating parameters are the binding constant for ATP γ S, K_{ATPA} the Hill coefficient for ATP γ S binding, ω , and the maximum translocation rate or rate constant, $mk_{T,max}$ or $k_{T,max}$, respectively. For the analysis of mk_T and k_T (see Fig. 4a–b) the Hill coefficient for ATP γ S was found to be $\omega = 0.88 \pm 0.03$ and $\omega = 1.2 \pm 0.2$, respectively. Since the values are close to one it was concluded that there is not significant cooperativity. Thus, the analysis was performed with the Hill coefficient for ATP γ S binding constrained to one, i.e. $\omega = 1$ in Eq. (5). For the analysis of mk_T (see Fig. 4a), estimates of the parameters were found to be $K_{ATP\gamma S} = (160 \pm 7) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ($K_{d,ATP\gamma S} = (6.2 \pm 0.3) \text{ }\mu\text{M}$), and $mk_{T,max} = (21.6 \pm 0.2) \text{ aa s}^{-1}$.

The microscopic translocation rate constant, k_T , is plotted as a function of [ATP γ S] in Fig. 4b. Similar to mk_T , the relationship between k_T and [ATP γ S] was subjected to NLLS analysis using Eq. (5) with $\omega = 1.0$. From this analysis the parameters $K_{ATP\gamma S}$ and $k_{T,max}$ were found to be $(104 \pm 13) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ($K_{d,ATP\gamma S} = (10 \pm 1) \text{ }\mu\text{M}$) and $(1.39 \pm 0.05) \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. The analysis of both mk_T and k_T shows that ATP γ S binds to ClpA with nearly 100-fold greater affinity than ATP, but does so non-cooperatively.²³ This either indicates that the cooperativity is reduced in the presence of ATP γ S or that ATP γ S only binds to one of the two nucleotide binding sites on the ClpA monomer.

The kinetic step-size is plotted as a function of [ATP γ S] in Fig. 4c and shows no significant dependence upon ATP γ S concentration between 75 μM and 1 mM. However, at the two highest ATP γ S concentrations, the parameter is between 20 and 24 aa step $^{-1}$ with large uncertainty. The kinetic step-size averaged over all eight ATP γ S concentrations is $m = (18 \pm 3) \text{ aa step}^{-1}$, which is within error of our previously reported value of $m = (14 \pm 1) \text{ aa step}^{-1}$ independent of [ATP].²³ At the two highest [ATP γ S], 1.8 and 2.5 mM, the kinetic step-size is observed to increase. If these two data points are removed from the determination of the average, then $m = (16.3 \pm 0.5) \text{ aa step}^{-1}$.

Impact of Parameter Correlation on the Determination of the Kinetic Parameters

We and others have previously reported that the elementary rate constant, k_T , and the kinetic step-size, m , are negatively correlated.^{23; 26; 27; 28} Because of this, under certain conditions it can be difficult to simultaneously determine both parameters. However, the overall rate of translocation, mk_T , contains less parameter correlation and tends to be a parameter that can be determined with higher precision.^{28; 29} This is a consequence of the fact that the overall rate, mk_T , represents the product of the kinetic step-size, m , and the elementary rate constant, k_T . In this study, both mk_T and k_T follow the same trend and are both well described by the

same model. Thus, we asked the question; is the deviation in the kinetic step-size observed at high [ATP γ S] a consequence of parameter correlation (See Fig. 4c)?

To assess the parameter correlation between the rate constant and the kinetic step-size, we performed Monte Carlo simulations (see Materials and Methods). Fig. 5a is a plot of the translocation rate constant versus the kinetic step-size from two representative Monte Carlo simulations from polypeptide translocation experiments collected in the presence of 75 μ M (solid blue spheres) and 2.5 mM (solid red spheres) ATP γ S and a fixed [ATP] = 5 mM. Consistent with our previous report, in both cases, k_T and m are negatively correlated based on the observation of a negative slope (see Fig. 5a), where the slope represents the correlation coefficient. However, the 75 μ M data exhibits a slope of -0.096 ± 0.001 and the 2.5 mM data exhibits a slope of -0.0148 ± 0.0005 . If the two parameters had the same degree of correlation, one would expect the correlation coefficient to be -1 . However, at both low and high [ATP γ S], the correlation coefficient is less than one, in this case, indicating that the kinetic step-size is less well constrained than the elementary rate constant. Furthermore, the observation of different correlation coefficients predicts a different degree of parameter correlation for data collected at low vs. high ATP γ S concentrations. That is to say, for each incremental change in the elementary rate constant, a relatively large change in the kinetic steps-size will occur for the shallow slope exhibited at 2.5 mM ATP γ S.

To assess how well the kinetic step-size is constrained, we examined the sum of the squared residuals (SSR) as a function of fixed values of the kinetic step-size for the two sets of data (see Fig. 5b). The minimum of these plots represent the best estimates of the kinetic step-size under conditions of 75 μ M ATP γ S (solid blue line) or 2.5 mM ATP γ S (dashed red line). For plotting purposes, we have subtracted the value of the SSR at the minimum of each curve from each data point so the bottom of the parabola is close to zero (see Fig. 5b). Although both curves exhibit the expected concave up parabolic shape, the 2.5 mM ATP γ S data exhibits a parabola with a broader minimum than the 75 μ M ATP γ S data. This observation is consistent with the slopes in the k_T vs. m plot shown in Fig. 5a. That is to say, a broad minimum in the parabola indicates that a wide range of kinetic step-sizes yield very similar SSR values.

In order to determine how well the elementary rate constant is constrained, we examined SSR as a function of fixed values of k_T using the same methodology as applied to the assessment of the kinetic step-size. Similar to Fig. 5b, Fig. 5c shows a plot of SSR versus k_T for both the 75 μ M ATP γ S (solid green line) and 2.5 mM ATP γ S (solid red line) data sets. Both curves exhibit the expected concave up parabolic shape corresponding to the best estimate of the elementary rate constant. From the minimum of each parabola, Fig. 5c shows that the minima in the SSR vs. k_T plot is 1.2 s^{-1} and 0.4 s^{-1} for 75 μ M and 2.5 mM ATP γ S, respectively. However, the parabola corresponding to the 75 μ M ATP γ S dataset is observed to have a broader minimum than observed for the 2.5 mM ATP γ S dataset, which is opposite to what was observed in the SSR vs. step-size plot in Fig 5b. Thus, the elementary rate constant is better constrained at 2.5 mM ATP γ S in comparison to 75 μ M ATP γ S, whereas the kinetic step-size is better constrained at 75 μ M ATP γ S and less well constrained at 2.5 mM ATP γ S. These observations are consistent with the initial predictions made from the plot of k_T versus m shown in Fig. 5a.

These results indicate that at elevated [ATP γ S], there is a change in the parameter correlation relative to low [ATP γ S]. The consequence of this change is a reduced ability to uniquely determine the kinetic step-size at high [ATP γ S]. Although the elementary rate constant is better constrained at high ATP γ S concentrations, it is adequately constrained under both conditions.

ClpAP catalyzed polypeptide translocation

We recently reported that the kinetic step-size for ClpA when ClpP is present, i.e. ClpAP, is (4.6 ± 0.3) aa step⁻¹ compared to (14 ± 1) aa step⁻¹ for ClpA in the absence of ClpP.²³ Similarly, the translocation rate constant and the overall translocation rate were found to be (7.9 ± 0.2) s⁻¹ and (36.1 ± 0.7) aa s⁻¹, respectively, for ClpAP compared to ClpA alone where $k_T = (1.39 \pm 0.06)$ s⁻¹ and $mk_T = (19.5 \pm 0.7)$ aa s⁻¹.^{23; 25} Moreover, the rate and rate constant for ClpA in the absence of ClpP exhibit a cooperative dependence on ATP concentration, whereas, ClpAP appears to depend non-cooperatively on ATP concentration. Since the molecular mechanisms for ClpA and ClpAP are emerging to be so different, we asked the question; does ClpAP exhibit the same dependence on [ATP_γS] as ClpA in the absence of ClpP?

Single-turnover fluorescence stopped-flow experiments were performed as described in Fig. 1 with the modification that 1.2 μM ClpP was added to syringe 1 (see Fig. 1). Experiments were performed with substrates I-III at final ATP_γS concentrations of 75, 250, 500, 750 and 1000 μM. All data were subjected to global NLLS analysis to determine the parameters k_T , m , mk_T , k_C , and k_{NP} for each set of polypeptide lengths at each [ATP_γS]. The data were well described by Scheme 1 at each [ATP_γS]. The resultant parameters are summarized in Table 3 and plotted in Fig. 4d–f. Strikingly, the rate of translocation, mk_T , does not exhibit any dependence on ATP_γS concentration. On the other hand, the three low ATP_γS concentrations exhibit a rate constant, k_T , between 6 – 8 s⁻¹ within error of the value we have previously reported (see Fig. 4e). However, the rate constant drops to between 2 – 4 s⁻¹ at the two highest ATP_γS concentrations. Similarly, the kinetic step-size also increases from a value of ~ 5 aa step⁻¹ to values of ~ 14 and 10 aa step⁻¹ at the two highest ATP_γS concentrations. The observed change in the rate constant and kinetic step-size at 750 μM and 1 mM ATP_γS is likely a consequence of parameter correlation since these two parameters are negatively correlated. Consistent with negative parameter correlation, the observed rate constant is observed to decrease and the kinetic step-size increase at the two highest concentrations of ATP_γS. Also consistent with parameter correlation, the overall rate of translocation, mk_T is not observed to depend on ATP_γS over the range of [ATP_γS] where both k_T and m do appear to change.

To determine whether the parameter correlation between the elementary rate constant and kinetic step-size is the same for both ClpA and ClpAP, we performed Monte Carlo simulations using ClpAP polypeptide translocation time courses. A plot of the resulting translocation rate constants versus the kinetic step-size is shown in Fig. 6a, where representative Monte Carlo simulations are shown from polypeptide translocation experiments collected in the presence of 75 μM (solid blue spheres) and 1 mM (solid red spheres) ATP_γS. As expected, the two parameters are negatively correlated for both 75 μM and 1 mM ATP_γS. However, the correlation coefficients observed for ClpAP are different from the correlation coefficients observed for ClpA in the absence of ClpP (See Fig. 6a). For ClpAP, the 75 μM ATP_γS data exhibit a slope of -0.97 ± 0.01 and the 1 mM ATP_γS data exhibit a slope of -0.258 ± 0.004 . Unlike ClpA in the absence of ClpP, ClpAP exhibits nearly 1:1 parameter correlation between m and k_T at 75 μM ATP_γS. Although the correlation coefficient decreases to ~-0.26 at 1 mM ATP_γS, this correlation coefficient is approximately an order of magnitude larger than the correlation coefficient exhibited by ClpA in the absence of ClpP of ~-0.015.

Fig. 6a predicts that the kinetic step-size should be better constrained at 75 μM ATP_γS relative to 1 mM ATP_γS. To test this hypothesis, we examined the SSR as a function of fixed values of the kinetic step-size for the two sets of data (see Fig. 6b). For plotting purposes, we have again subtracted the value of the SSR at the minimum of each curve from each data point so the bottom of the parabola is close to zero (see Fig. 6b). For both datasets,

the plots of SSR versus m exhibit the concave up parabolic shape that allows for the determination of the best estimate of the kinetic step-size. Although there is a decrease in the correlation coefficient of k_T vs. m in Fig. 6a, visually, there is not a substantial difference in the broadness of the minimum in the two SSR vs. m plots shown in Fig. 6b. From the minima shown in Fig. 6b, the best estimate of the kinetic step-size is $m = 4.8$ or 11.2 aa step^{-1} for $75 \mu\text{M}$ or 1 mM $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$, respectively.

To assess how well the elementary rate constant for ClpAP catalyzed polypeptide translocation is constrained under conditions of $75 \mu\text{M}$ $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ and 1 mM $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$, we examined SSR as a function of fixed values of k_T . Fig. 6c clearly shows that both curves exhibit the expected concave up shape. The parabola corresponding to the $75 \mu\text{M}$ $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ dataset (solid blue line) is observed to have a broader minimum than observed for the 1 mM $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ dataset (dashed red line). From this analysis the best estimate of the elementary rate constant is 5.9 s^{-1} and 2.7 s^{-1} for $75 \mu\text{M}$ and 1 mM $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$, respectively.

For both ClpA alone and for ClpA in the presence of ClpP, the degree of parameter correlation between k_T and m changes at the highest $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ concentrations. However, this transition is not observed to be as dramatic for ClpAP as it is for ClpA in the absence of ClpP. Although the kinetic parameters for ClpAP exhibit little $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ concentration dependence between $75 \mu\text{M}$ and $500 \mu\text{M}$ $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ some impact on the kinetic parameters is beginning to occur above 1 mM $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ (see Fig. 4d–f).

Discussion

Many studies, including ours, on proteolytic degradation by ClpAP and translocation by ClpA have reported results that come from experiments performed in the presence of $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ and ATP^{8, 19, 21, 22, 23, 30} However, the potential competition between the nucleotide analogue and ATP has not been addressed. The question is; does the inclusion of a particular ATP-analogue affect the polypeptide binding or translocation activities of ClpA? We have previously reported that the nucleotide analogues $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$, AMP-PNP, AMP-PCP, ADP.BeF, and ADP promote the formation of ClpA hexamers, but only $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ and AMP-PNP promote the formation of ClpA hexamers that are active in both polypeptide binding and translocation.²² However, to fully populate hexamers, we,²² and others,¹⁷ have observed that higher concentrations of AMP-PNP are required relative to $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$. For this reason, $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ appears to be the only choice for efficiently pre-assembling and binding ClpA to a polypeptide substrate.

We previously reported an elementary rate constant, $k_T = (1.39 \pm 0.06) \text{ s}^{-1}$, and overall rate, $mk_T = (19.4 \pm 1.3) \text{ aa s}^{-1}$, for ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation in the presence of 5 mM ATP and $75 \mu\text{M}$ $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$.²³ By examining the $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ concentration dependence of these parameters and extrapolating to zero $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$, here we have shown that the $k_T = (1.39 \pm 0.05) \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $mk_T = (21.6 \pm 0.2) \text{ aa s}^{-1}$ in the presence of 5 mM ATP. Thus, these parameters are within error of our previous report.²³ Consequently, we conclude that the kinetic parameters previously reported reflect translocation under conditions where there is little to no competition between ATP and $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$. The same conclusion is drawn for ClpAP, since we have shown here that ClpA in the presence of ClpP exhibits no dependence on $[\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}]$.

Model for Polypeptide Translocation catalyzed by ClpA vs. ClpAP

The strength of the single-turnover experiments applied here is that the kinetic time-courses are sensitive to the events in the active site of the enzyme and are not affected by macromolecular assembly or polypeptide binding. However, they are single-turnover with respect to polypeptide and multiple turnover with respect to ATP. That is to say, multiple rounds of ATP binding and hydrolysis are occurring during a single round of polypeptide

translocation. Based on the observation of a lag in the single turnover kinetic time courses for polypeptide translocation, we can conclude that multiple steps with similar or the same rate constants are occurring before the enzyme dissociates.

For a motor protein to translocate a linear lattice, repetitive cycles of similar events must occur. At a minimum, this cycle must include ATP binding, hydrolysis, mechanical movement, ADP and Pi release, and likely conformational changes. The single turnover experiments performed here are sensitive to the slowest repeating step in each of these cycles.

We have shown that, for both ClpA and ClpAP, the observed rate constant reflects a repeating step that immediately follows an ATP binding event within a cycle of translocation.^{23; 25} However, for ClpA this step repeats every ~14 amino acids translocated with an observed rate constant of $\sim 1.39 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and for ClpAP this step repeats every ~2–5 amino acids translocated with an observed rate constant of $\sim 6.6 \text{ s}^{-1}$. We have hypothesized that the rate limiting step that we observe for ClpA in the absence of ClpP is coupled to ATP hydrolysis site D1, and, when ClpP is present, the observed repeating rate-limiting step is coupled to D2. This hypothesis is based on our examination of the ATP concentration dependence of both the observed rate constant and the kinetic step-size,^{23; 25} steady state ATP hydrolysis rates from Weber-Ban and coworkers,¹³ and crosslinking experiments from Horwich and coworkers.¹⁶

To perform the single-turnover experiments reported here, we pre-bind ClpA to the polypeptide substrate. This is done to eliminate any effects on the kinetic time courses due to macromolecular assembly and polypeptide binding. To accomplish this, we must include a nucleotide analog to form hexameric rings competent for polypeptide binding.²² In an attempt to eliminate the competing nucleotide analog, we have explored initiating translocation in a variety of other ways. For example, we have prebound ClpA to polypeptide in the presence of ATP and absence of Mg^{2+} and attempted to initiate translocation by rapidly mixing with Mg^{2+} . However, no translocation was observed (unpublished result). In summary, we have found that $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ is the best and possibly the only practical option for pre-assembling and pre-binding ClpA to the polypeptide. Since we are “stuck” with $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ in these experiments, some of the experiments reported here were initiated to control for the fact that $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ may compete with ATP during repeating cycles of polypeptide translocation. Surprisingly, the results yielded insight into the differences in the molecular mechanism for ClpA vs. ClpAP catalyzed polypeptide translocation.

The examination of polypeptide translocation catalyzed by ClpA in the absence of ClpP as a function of $[\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}]$ reveals that increasing concentrations of $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ slows down polypeptide translocation, which is an observation that has been reported.³¹ Such an observation is not surprising and indicates that there is competition between ATP and $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ binding. More importantly, it indicates that there is competition between ATP and $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ at the ATP binding site that is responsible for coupling ATP binding and hydrolysis to polypeptide translocation.

As stated above, we have previously concluded that the repeating rate limiting step that limits the observation of translocation, in the absence of ClpP, is occurring at the D1 ATPase site. Thus, the competition between ATP and $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$ is likely occurring at the D1 ATPase site. Further, the dependence of the rate and the observed rate constant on ATP exhibits a Hill coefficient of ~2.2 and 2.5, respectively. This indicates that there is cooperativity between ATP binding sites, which, by definition requires at least two ATP binding sites.

Unlike the previously reported dependence on [ATP], the translocation rate and rate constant do not exhibit cooperative dependencies on [ATP γ S] for ClpA in the absence of ClpP. This observation suggests that ATP γ S does not bind to both ATP binding sites on the monomer of ClpA or that binding to the second site is substantially weaker than the first. However, in order to observe a dependence on [ATP γ S] the competition must occur at the site where the repeating rate limiting step is occurring and, based on our model, this site is most likely D1.

In contrast to ClpA alone, the translocation rate and rate constant for ClpA in the presence of ClpP exhibits little to no dependence on [ATP γ S]. We have proposed that when ClpP is present, the observed repeating rate limiting step occurs at D2. Since we do not observe competition between ATP and ATP γ S when ClpP is present, this is consistent with ATP γ S binding more weakly to D2 than to D1.

It seems unlikely that ATP γ S would not bind at all to D2, so we favor the interpretation that ATP γ S binds more weakly to D2 than D1. Consistently, at 750 μ M and 1 mM ATP γ S, the kinetic step-size increases and the rate constant decreases, consistent with negative parameter correlation. Also consistent with parameter correlation is the fact that the overall rate does not appear to exhibit any dependence on [ATP γ S] even at the most elevated concentrations.

Dependence of Correlation Coefficient on ATP γ S

We, and others, have established that there is negative parameter correlation between the kinetic step-size and the elementary rate constant that is coupled to the observed kinetic step-size^{3; 24; 26; 27; 28; 32; 33} This can often lead to poor constraints on the two parameters and, under some conditions, the parameters cannot be simultaneously determined. Under such conditions, the overall rate, mk_T , is considered to be a more reliable parameter since the parameter correlation is largely cancelled.

For ClpA in the absence of ClpP, the kinetic step-size increased to ~20 and ~24 at 1.8 and 2.5 mM ATP γ S, respectively. With this observation in mind, we asked the question; is this simply due to parameter correlation or are we truly monitoring a different kinetic step that repeats every 20–25 amino acids. However, there is not a concomitant decrease in the observed rate constant or an effect on the overall rate. This is inconsistent with the typical effects of negative parameter correlation where it would be expected that the rate constant would decrease with a concomitant increase in the kinetic step-size. On the other hand, one may argue that the decrease in the rate constants is apparent in the data but the rate constant is already decreasing with increasing [ATP γ S] and thus the effect is masked. If this were true, then the dependence of the rate constant on ATP γ S would be steeper than the dependence of the overall rate on ATP γ S. Upon inspection and comparison of Fig. 4a–b, these two curves do not appear to exhibit vastly different midpoints.

We examined the parameter correlation between the kinetic step-size and the rate constant to determine if the observed change in the kinetic step-size is physically meaningful. Interestingly, the correlation coefficient changes quite significantly at the highest ATP γ S concentrations. Specifically, the correlation coefficient is observed to decrease, which reduces the constraints on the kinetic step-size. Consequently, we do not interpret the increase in the kinetic step-size at 1.8 and 2.5 mM ATP γ S to be physically meaningful. Rather, it is likely the consequence of the reduced constraints on the parameter.

Surprisingly, even though the kinetic parameters exhibit no dependence on [ATP γ S] for ClpA in the presence of ClpP, the correlation coefficients also change with increasing [ATP γ S] (see supplemental Table S1). In the case of ClpAP, this indicates that, even though there is little effect on the kinetic parameters, there must still be some impact of high

concentrations of ATP γ S on translocation catalyzed by ClpAP. One possibility, among others, is that ATP γ S binding to D1 may have some influence on translocation even though ATP hydrolysis at D2 is rate limiting. It is tempting to interpret the data in this way since the kinetic step-size increases to ~14 and ~10 aa step⁻¹ at 750 μ M and 1 mM, respectively, and the rate constant decreases to 2.4 and 3.1 s⁻¹, respectively. The temptation occurs because these values are similar to the parameters observed for ClpA in the absence of ClpP, where we conclude that events occurring at D1 are rate limiting. However, due to the fact that the correlation coefficient decreases in this range, resulting in a reduction in the certainty on the kinetic step-size, one is required to conclude that these numbers may be fortuitously similar.

It is important to note that experiments performed at a final mixing concentration above 500 μ M ATP γ S are not likely to be conditions where we would examine the mechanism of polypeptide translocation. Although we preincubate ClpA with polypeptide substrate in the presence of 1 mM ATP γ S, upon rapid mixing with ATP the final concentration of ATP γ S is 500 μ M. Such high concentrations of ATP γ S were only used in this study to further probe the impact on the mechanism.

The single-turnover translocation experiments and the method of analysis presented here have been applied to polypeptide translocation,^{23; 24; 25} helicase catalyzed nucleic acid unwinding,^{34; 35 27; 33 36} and helicase catalyzed ssDNA translocation.^{28; 37; 38} In all of these studies there is concern about the interpretation of the kinetic steps-size and elementary rate constant since it is well known that the two parameters are negatively correlated. However, we contend that under many conditions these two parameters yield insight into the molecular mechanism. With the observation of the changes in the parameter correlation observed here, we propose that an examination of the parameter correlation should accompany the analysis of the kinetic parameters. This will allow the examiner to determine the range over which the parameters can be reliably interpreted.

Type 1 AAA+ Molecular Chaperones

A major thrust of our research is to understand how Type 1 AAA+ protein translocases coordinate the activity of their two ATP binding and hydrolysis sites per monomer (12 per hexamer) to polypeptide translocation. It is striking to us that the hexameric rings of Type 1 AAA+ motors like ClpA, ClpB, Hsp104, NSF, and p97 all contain 12 ATP binding and hydrolysis sites per hexameric ring when most hexameric ring motor proteins only contain six. A fascinating question is; why the need for so many sites when many hexameric ring motors do their work with half as many? One potential answer is that the enzymatic activities of the different sets of nucleotide binding and hydrolysis sites are up-regulated or down-regulated depending upon which partner the enzyme is interacting with, i.e. ClpP and/or adapter proteins.

In a series of surprising observations, Wickner and coworkers showed that a mixture of ATP and ATP γ S increased the activity of ClpB and Hsp104 catalyzed disaggregation and the rate of ATP hydrolysis.³¹ That work represented the first report of a slowly hydrolysable nucleotide analog enhancing the activity of a motor protein. They went on to show, through mutational analysis of the D1 and D2 nucleotide binding sites, that ATP γ S differentially competed for the two sites. Moreover, they concluded that ATP γ S and cochaperone proteins could elicit similar impacts on ATP hydrolysis and disaggregation. These observations are similar to what we have observed here for ClpA. That is, our results support a hypothesis where D1 binds tighter to ATP γ S than D2. Furthermore, if we think of ClpP as a cochaperone, the impact of ClpP on the activities of D1 and D2 are similar to how cochaperones impact ClpB activities.

Substantially more work is required on ClpA, ClpB, Hsp104, and other Type 1 AAA+ motors, to fully understand the role of the D1 and D2 ATP binding and hydrolysis sites. It remains unclear how the two sites coordinate their activities and couple binding and hydrolysis to polypeptide translocation and/or disaggregation.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Clarissa Weaver and Ryan Stafford for comments on this manuscript. We would also like to thank to J. Woody Robins for use of the fermenter core facility. This work was supported by NSF grant MCB-0843746 to ALL, National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBIB) grant number T32EB004312 to JMM, and the University of Alabama at Birmingham Department of Chemistry. The content discussed here is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering or the National Institutes of Health.

Abbreviations

ATPγS	Adenosine 5'-[gamma-thio]-triphosphate
NLLS	nonlinear-least-squares
AMP-PNP	Adenosine 5'-(β,γ -imido)triphosphate
ATP	Adenosine 5'-triphosphate
ADP	Adenosine 5'-diphosphate
SSR	sum of squared residuals
D1	Domain 1
D2	Domain 2

References

1. Alberts B. The cell as a collection of protein machines: preparing the next generation of molecular biologists. *Cell*. 1998; 92:291–294. [PubMed: 9476889]
2. Neuwald AF, Aravind L, Spouge JL, Koonin EV. AAA+: A class of chaperone-like ATPases associated with the assembly, operation, and disassembly of protein complexes. *Genome Res*. 1999; 9:27–43. [PubMed: 9927482]
3. Karzai AW, Roche ED, Sauer RT. The SsrA-SmpB system for protein tagging, directed degradation and ribosome rescue. *Nat Struct Biol*. 2000; 7:449–455. [PubMed: 10881189]
4. Gottesman S. Proteases and their targets in *Escherichia coli*. *Annu Rev Genet*. 1996; 30:465–506. [PubMed: 8982462]
5. Sauer RT, Baker TA. AAA+ Proteases: ATP-Fueled Machines of Protein Destruction. *Annual review of biochemistry*. 2011; 80:587–612.
6. Hoskins JR, Pak M, Maurizi MR, Wickner S. The role of the ClpA chaperone in proteolysis by ClpAP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1998; 95:12135–12140. [PubMed: 9770452]
7. Licht S, Lee I. Resolving individual steps in the operation of ATP-dependent proteolytic molecular machines: from conformational changes to substrate translocation and processivity. *Biochemistry*. 2008; 47:3595–3605. [PubMed: 18311925]
8. Thompson MW, Singh SK, Maurizi MR. Processive degradation of proteins by the ATP-dependent Clp protease from *Escherichia coli*. Requirement for the multiple array of active sites in ClpP but not ATP hydrolysis. *J Biol Chem*. 1994; 269:18209–18215. [PubMed: 8027082]

9. Maglica Z, Kolygo K, Weber-Ban E. Optimal efficiency of ClpAP and ClpXP chaperone-proteases is achieved by architectural symmetry. *Structure*. 2009; 17:508–516. [PubMed: 19368884]
10. Maurizi MR, Singh SK, Thompson MW, Kessel M, Ginsburg A. Molecular properties of ClpAP protease of *Escherichia coli*: ATP-dependent association of ClpA and clpP. *Biochemistry*. 1998; 37:7778–7786. [PubMed: 9601038]
11. Ishikawa T, Beuron F, Kessel M, Wickner S, Maurizi MR, Steven AC. Translocation pathway of protein substrates in ClpAP protease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001; 98:4328–4233. [PubMed: 11287666]
12. Singh SK, Maurizi MR. Mutational analysis demonstrates different functional roles for the two ATP-binding sites in ClpAP protease from *Escherichia coli*. *J Biol Chem*. 1994; 269:29537–29545. [PubMed: 7961938]
13. Kress W, Mutschler H, Weber-Ban E. Both ATPase domains of ClpA are critical for processing of stable protein structures. *J Biol Chem*. 2009; 284:31441–31452. [PubMed: 19726681]
14. Guo F, Maurizi MR, Esser L, Xia D. Crystal structure of ClpA, an Hsp100 chaperone and regulator of ClpAP protease. *J Biol Chem*. 2002; 277:46743–46752. [PubMed: 12205096]
15. Bohon J, Jennings LD, Phillips CM, Licht S, Chance MR. Synchrotron protein footprinting supports substrate translocation by ClpA via ATP-induced movements of the D2 loop. *Structure*. 2008; 16:1157–1165. [PubMed: 18682217]
16. Hinnerwisch J, Fenton WA, Furtak KJ, Farr GW, Horwich AL. Loops in the central channel of ClpA chaperone mediate protein binding, unfolding, and translocation. *Cell*. 2005; 121:1029–1041. [PubMed: 15989953]
17. Maurizi MR. ATP-promoted interaction between Clp A and Clp P in activation of Clp protease from *Escherichia coli*. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 1991; 19:719–723. [PubMed: 1783205]
18. Hoskins JR, Singh SK, Maurizi MR, Wickner S. Protein binding and unfolding by the chaperone ClpA and degradation by the protease ClpAP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2000; 97:8892–8897. [PubMed: 10922051]
19. Kolygo K, Ranjan N, Kress W, Striebel F, Hollenstein K, Neelsen K, Steiner M, Summer H, Weber-Ban E. Studying chaperone-proteases using a real-time approach based on FRET. *J Struct Biol*. 2009; 168:267–277. [PubMed: 19591940]
20. Kress W, Mutschler H, Weber-Ban E. Assembly Pathway of an AAA+ Protein: Tracking ClpA and ClpAP Complex Formation in Real Time. *Biochemistry*. 2007; 46:6183–6193. [PubMed: 17477547]
21. Reid BG, Fenton WA, Horwich AL, Weber-Ban EU. ClpA mediates directional translocation of substrate proteins into the ClpP protease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001; 98:3768–3772. [PubMed: 11259663]
22. Veronese PK, Rajendar B, Lucius AL. Activity of *Escherichia coli* ClpA Bound by Nucleoside Di- and Triphosphates. *Journal of molecular biology*. 2011; 409:333–347. [PubMed: 21376057]
23. Rajendar B, Lucius AL. Molecular mechanism of polypeptide translocation catalyzed by the *Escherichia coli* ClpA protein translocase. *J Mol Biol*. 2010; 399:665–679. [PubMed: 20380838]
24. Lucius AL, Miller JM, Rajendar B. Application of the Sequential n-Step Kinetic Mechanism to Polypeptide Translocases. *Methods Enzymol*. 2011; 488:239–264. [PubMed: 21195231]
25. Miller JM, Lin J, Li T, Lucius AL. *E. coli* ClpA Catalyzed Polypeptide Translocation Is Allosterically Controlled by the Protease ClpP. *J Mol Biol*. 2013
26. Lucius AL, Jason Wong C, Lohman TM. Fluorescence stopped-flow studies of single turnover kinetics of *E.coli* RecBCD helicase-catalyzed DNA unwinding. *J Mol Biol*. 2004; 339:731–750. [PubMed: 15165847]
27. Lucius AL, Lohman TM. Effects of temperature and ATP on the kinetic mechanism and kinetic step-size for *E.coli* RecBCD helicase-catalyzed DNA unwinding. *J Mol Biol*. 2004; 339:751–771. [PubMed: 15165848]
28. Fischer CJ, Lohman TM. ATP-dependent translocation of proteins along single-stranded DNA: models and methods of analysis of pre-steady state kinetics. *J Mol Biol*. 2004; 344:1265–1286. [PubMed: 15561143]
29. Fischer CJ, Wooten L, Tomko EJ, Lohman TM. Kinetics of motor protein translocation on single-stranded DNA. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2010; 587:45–56. [PubMed: 20225141]

30. Thompson MW, Maurizi MR. Activity and specificity of Escherichia coli ClpAP protease in cleaving model peptide substrates. *J Biol Chem.* 1994; 269:18201–18208. [PubMed: 8027081]
31. Doyle SM, Shorter J, Zolkiewski M, Hoskins JR, Lindquist S, Wickner S. Asymmetric deceleration of ClpB or Hsp104 ATPase activity unleashes protein-remodeling activity. *Nature structural & molecular biology.* 2007; 14:114–122.
32. Lucius AL, Maluf NK, Fischer CJ, Lohman TM. General methods for analysis of sequential “n-step” kinetic mechanisms: application to single turnover kinetics of helicase-catalyzed DNA unwinding. *Biophys J.* 2003; 85:2224–2239. [PubMed: 14507688]
33. Lucius AL, Vindigni A, Gregorian R, Ali JA, Taylor AF, Smith GR, Lohman TM. DNA unwinding step-size of E. coli RecBCD helicase determined from single turnover chemical quenched-flow kinetic studies. *J Mol Biol.* 2002; 324:409–428. [PubMed: 12445778]
34. Jankowsky E, Gross CH, Shuman S, Pyle AM. The DExH protein NPH-II is a processive and directional motor for unwinding RNA. *Nature.* 2000; 403:447–451. [PubMed: 10667799]
35. Ali JA, Lohman TM. Kinetic measurement of the step size of DNA unwinding by Escherichia coli UvrD helicase. *Science.* 1997; 275:377–380. [PubMed: 8994032]
36. Galletto R, Jezewska MJ, Bujalowski W. Unzipping mechanism of the double-stranded DNA unwinding by a hexameric helicase: quantitative analysis of the rate of the dsDNA unwinding, processivity and kinetic step-size of the Escherichia coli DnaB helicase using rapid quench-flow method. *J Mol Biol.* 2004; 343:83–99. [PubMed: 15381422]
37. Fischer CJ, Maluf NK, Lohman TM. Mechanism of ATP-dependent translocation of E.coli UvrD monomers along single-stranded DNA. *J Mol Biol.* 2004; 344:1287–1309. [PubMed: 15561144]
38. Chisty LT, Toseland CP, Fili N, Mashanov GI, Dillingham MS, Molloy JE, Webb MR. Monomeric PcrA helicase processively unwinds plasmid lengths of DNA in the presence of the initiator protein RepD. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013; 41:5010–5023. [PubMed: 23535146]
39. Veronese PK, Stafford RP, Lucius AL. The Escherichia coli ClpA Molecular Chaperone Self-Assembles into Tetramers. *Biochemistry.* 2009; 48:9221–9233. [PubMed: 19650643]

Highlights

- The competition between ATP and ATP γ S for ClpA binding is examined here
- We show that ATP γ S interacts with ClpA differently when ClpP is present
- The results suggest that ATP γ S binds to D1 with an affinity $\sim 6 \mu\text{M}$, but binds substantially weaker to D2

Syringe 1

150 μM ATP γS
 1 μM ClpA monomer
 100 nM Flu-SsrA peptide

Syringe 2

10 mM ATP
 200 μM SsrA peptide

Fig. 1.

Schematic representation of single turnover stopped-flow translocation experiments. Syringe 1 contains the indicated reagents, ClpA, ATP γS , and fluorescein-labeled polypeptide. The structure schematizes the contents of syringe 1 with ClpA hexamers bound by a single polypeptide. Syringe 2 contains 10 mM ATP and 200 μM SsrA peptide to serve as a trap for unbound ClpA or any ClpA that dissociates from polypeptide during the course of the reaction. The two reactants are rapidly mixed in the green colored chamber and fluorescein is excited at λ_{ex} 494 nm. Fluorescein emission is observed above 515 nm with a 515 nm long pass filter. Upon mixing, the concentrations are two-fold lower than in the preincubation syringe.

Fig. 2.

ClpA catalyzes ATPγS hydrolysis. a) Steady-state kinetic experiments were performed by mixing 10 μM ClpA monomer with ATPγS supplemented with ³⁵S-ATPγS. The relationship between initial velocity and nucleotide concentration was subjected to NLLS analysis using Eq. (1) to obtain estimates of the Michaelis constant, $K_m = (134 \pm 46) \mu\text{M}$, and the turnover number, $SD = (0.05 \pm 0.004) \text{ min}^{-1}$. b) Two fluorescence time courses are shown that have been collected using the experimental design schematized in Fig. 1. ClpA has been allowed to incubate in the presence of 5 mM ATPγS and 100 nM fluorescein-labeled polypeptide for

either ~15 (solid blue circles) or ~70 minutes (solid red circles) before mixing with ATP and SsrA peptide (pre-mixing concentrations).

Fig. 3.

Fluorescence time-courses for ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation. As shown in Fig. 1, 1 μ M ClpA was pre-assembled in the presence of ATP γ S and 100 nM fluorescein-labeled polypeptide substrate prior to rapidly mixing with 10 mM ATP and 200 μ M SsrA. Time courses are shown for ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation of N-Cys-50, N-Cys-40, and N-Cys-30 (see Table 1) substrates after incubation of ClpA with 75 μ M (green circles), 600 μ M (blue circles), and 2.5 mM (red circles) ATP γ S. The time courses shown illustrate that the extent of the lag phase is dependent upon [ATP γ S]. The solid black lines represent a global NLLS fit using Scheme 1 for time-courses collected with substrates I - III in Table 1. The resulting kinetic parameters are summarized in Table 2 for each [ATP γ S]. Each time-

course was analyzed under a given set of conditions by constraining the parameters k_T , k_C , k_{NP} , and h to be global parameters, while A_x , x , and n were allowed to float for each polypeptide length.

Fig. 4. Molecular mechanism for ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation depends on [ATP γ S]. a) Dependence of mk_T on [ATP γ S] for ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation in the absence of ClpP, where the solid line is the result of a NLLS fit to Eq. (5) with $K_{ATP\gamma S} = (160 \pm 7) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $mk_{T,max} = 21.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ aa s}^{-1}$. The number of ATP and ATP γ S binding sites, $\nu = 2.5$ and $\omega = 1.0$, respectively, the association equilibrium constant, $K_{ATP} = 1.9 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$, and [ATP] = 5 mM were treated as constant parameters in this analysis. b) The dependence of k_T on [ATP γ S] was subjected to NLLS analysis using Eq. (5), where the solid line represents the best fit with $K_{ATP\gamma S} = (104 \pm 13) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $k_{T,max} = (1.39 \pm 0.05) \text{ s}^{-1}$. For

this analysis, the number of ATP and ATP γ S binding sites, $\nu = 2.2$ and $\omega = 1.0$, respectively, the association equilibrium constant, $K_{ATP} = 1.8 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $[\text{ATP}] = 5 \text{ mM}$ were treated as constant parameters. c) Dependence of the kinetic step-size on $[\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}]$, solid line represents the average of six measurements, $\langle m \rangle = (16.3 \pm 0.5) \text{ aa step}^{-1}$. (d) The rate of translocation for ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation in the presence of ClpP, mk_T , does not exhibit any dependence on ATP γ S concentration with a mean $mk_T = (32 \pm 2) \text{ aa s}^{-1}$, where the solid line represents the average of five measurements. (e-f) The elementary rate constant and kinetic step-size for ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation in the presence of ClpP do not exhibit a significant dependence on $[\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}]$.

Fig. 5.

Parameter correlation between the kinetic step-size and elementary rate constant depends on [ATP_γS] for ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation in the absence of ClpP. (a) Plot of the translocation rate constant versus the kinetic step-size from two representative Monte Carlo simulations from polypeptide translocation experiments collected in the presence of 75 μM (blue spheres) and 2.5 mM (red spheres) ATP_γS. Lines represent linear least-squares fit of 75 μM (solid blue line) and 2.5 mM (dashed red line) ATP_γS data, where the 75 μM data exhibit a slope of -0.096 ± 0.001 and the 2.5 mM data exhibit a slope of -0.0148 ± 0.0005 . (b,c) Plots of the sums of the squared residuals as functions of fixed values of the kinetic step-size (b) or fixed values for the elementary rate constant (c) for conditions of 75 μM

(solid blue line) and 2.5 mM (dashed red line) ATP γ S. From the minima shown in Fig. 5b, the best estimate of the kinetic step-size is $m = 16.2$ or 27.8 aa step $^{-1}$, for 75 μ M or 2.5 mM ATP γ S, respectively. For the elementary translocation rate constant, the best estimate from the minima shown in Fig. 5c is 1.2 s $^{-1}$ or 0.4 s $^{-1}$ for 75 μ M or 2.5 mM ATP γ S, respectively.

Fig. 6.

Parameter correlation between the kinetic step-size and elementary rate constant depends on [ATP γ S] for ClpA catalyzed polypeptide translocation in the presence of ClpP. (a) Plot of the translocation rate constant versus the kinetic step-size from two representative Monte Carlo simulations from polypeptide translocation experiments collected in the presence of 75 μ M (blue spheres) and 1 mM (red spheres) ATP γ S. Solid or dashed lines represent linear least-squares fit of 75 μ M (solid blue line) and 1 mM (dashed red line) ATP γ S data, where the 75 μ M data exhibit a slope of -0.97 ± 0.01 and the 1 mM data exhibit a slope of -0.258 ± 0.004 . (b,c) Plots of the sums of the squared residuals as functions of fixed values of the kinetic step-size (b) or fixed values for the elementary rate constant (c) for conditions of 75

μM (solid blue line) and 1 mM (dashed red line) $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$. From the minima shown in Fig. 6 b, the best estimate of the kinetic step-size is $m = 4.8$ or $11.2 \text{ aa step}^{-1}$, for $75 \mu\text{M}$ or 1 mM $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$, respectively. For the elementary translocation rate constant, the best estimate from the minima shown in Fig. 6 c is 5.9 s^{-1} or 2.7 s^{-1} for $75 \mu\text{M}$ or 1 mM $\text{ATP}\gamma\text{S}$, respectively.

Scheme 1.

Sequential n -step model for polypeptide translocation. $(E \bullet S)_L$ and $(E \bullet S)_{NP}$ represent enzyme bound to polypeptide substrate in the productive and nonproductive forms, respectively, and S is the unbound polypeptide substrate. k_T is the translocation rate constant, k_d is the dissociation rate constant, L is the polypeptide length, m is the average distance translocated between two rate limiting steps with rate constant k_T , ' i ' in $I_{(L-im)}$ represents i number of translocation steps, and the step that occurs with rate constant k_c represents a step slower than the step with rate constant k_T .

1. Schirmer, E. C., Glover, J. R., Singer, M. A. & Lindquist, S. (1996). HSP100/Clp proteins: a common mechanism explains diverse functions. *Trends Biochem Sci* **21**, 289–96.

Table 1

Polypeptide translocation Substrates

Substrate	Name	Length (aa)	Sequence
I	N-Cys-50	50	Flu-CLILHNKQLGMTGEVSFQAANTKSAANLKVKELRSKKKLAANDENYALAA
II	N-Cys-40	40	Flu-CTGEVSFQAANTKSAANLKVKELRSKKKLAANDENYALAA
III	N-Cys-30	30	Flu-CTKSAANLKVKELRSKKKLAANDENYALAA

Flu, Fluorescein dye covalently attached at the cysteine residue.

Table 2

ClpA Polypeptide Translocation Parameters as a Function of [ATP_γS]

[ATP _γ S] (μM)	<i>m</i> (aa step ⁻¹)	<i>k_T</i> (s ⁻¹)	<i>mk_T</i> (aa s ⁻¹)	<i>k_{NP}</i> (s ⁻¹)	<i>k_C</i> (s ⁻¹)
75	16 ± 1	1.3 ± 0.1	20.8 ± 0.4	0.039 ± 0.002	0.15 ± 0.01
126	17 ± 1	1.2 ± 0.1	20.4 ± 0.1	0.034 ± 0.001	0.141 ± 0.004
250	17 ± 2	1.1 ± 0.1	18.6 ± 0.2	0.031 ± 0.001	0.125 ± 0.003
355	17 ± 2	1.1 ± 0.2	17.6 ± 0.4	0.029 ± 0.001	0.118 ± 0.003
600	16 ± 2	1.0 ± 0.1	15.7 ± 0.6	0.028 ± 0.001	0.102 ± 0.004
[ATP _γ S] (mM)					
1.0	16 ± 1	0.8 ± 0.1	13.1 ± 0.3	0.03 ± 0.01	0.089 ± 0.003
1.8	20 ± 3	0.5 ± 0.1	10.7 ± 0.3	0.0163 ± 0.0004	0.052 ± 0.001
2.5	24 ± 6	0.4 ± 0.1	9.1 ± 0.3	0.015 ± 0.001	0.043 ± 0.004

k_T is the translocation rate constant, *k_C* is an additional kinetic step defined by Scheme 1, *m* is the kinetic step size, *k_{NP}* is a slow conformational change defined by Scheme 1, and *mk_T* is the macroscopic rate of translocation

Table 3

ClpAP Polypeptide Translocation Parameters as a Function of [ATP_γS]

[ATP _γ S] (μM)	<i>m</i> (aa step ⁻¹)	<i>k_T</i> (s ⁻¹)	<i>mk_T</i> (aa s ⁻¹)	<i>k_{NP}</i> (s ⁻¹)	<i>k_C</i> (s ⁻¹)
75	5 ± 1	6.6 ± 0.9	35 ± 2	0.042 ± 0.002	0.23 ± 0.01
250	5.3 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.3	30 ± 3	0.033 ± 0.001	0.18 ± 0.01
500	4 ± 1	8.5 ± 0.9	31 ± 1	0.029 ± 0.001	0.17 ± 0.01
750	14 ± 1	2.4 ± 0.2	33 ± 1	0.0296 ± 0.0003	0.15 ± 0.002
1000	10 ± 2	3.1 ± 0.6	29 ± 2	0.026 ± 0.001	0.14 ± 0.002

k_T is the translocation rate constant, *k_C* is an additional kinetic step defined by Scheme 1, *m* is the kinetic step size, *k_{NP}* is a slow conformational change defined by Scheme 1, and *mk_T* is the macroscopic rate of translocation.