Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2013 November 27; 135(47): 17978–17982. doi:10.1021/ja409992m.

Tandem Dienone-Photorearrangement-Cycloaddition for the Rapid Generation of Molecular Complexity

Pieter H. Bos, Mitchell T. Antalek, John A. Porco Jr.^{*}, and Corey R. J. Stephenson^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry, Center for Chemical Methodology and Library Development (CMLD-BU) Boston University, 590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, United States

Abstract

A tandem dienone-photorearrangement-cycloaddition (DPC) reaction of novel cyclohexadienone substrates tethered with various 2π and 4π reaction partners resulted in the formation of polycyclic, bridged frameworks. In particular, use of alkynyl ether-tethered substrates led to (3+2) cycloaddition to afford strained alkenes which could be further elaborated by intra- and intermolecular cycloaddition chemistry to produce complex, polycyclic chemotypes.

Introduction

Photochemistry is a useful tool for the generation of architecturally interesting small molecules¹ and is often used as a key step in the synthesis of natural products.² In light of these developments, it is surprising that photochemistry is underutilized in the development of novel scaffolds for drug development.³ As part of a program directed towards the synthesis of novel chemotypes, we have been interested in leveraging the powerful transformations enabled by photochemical excitation for the rapid generation of molecular diversity from simple, readily available scaffolds.⁴ Moreover, photochemical transformations are easily parallelized with simple reactor designs⁵ and scale up can be readily accomplished using continuous processing technology.⁶ Equally important, photochemical transformations provide access to products with rich topological complexity and stereochemical diversity.

We wished to investigate the photochemical rearrangement of substituted cyclohexadienones to oxyallyl cations,⁷ versatile intermediates which have found broad applicability in reaction development and complex molecule synthesis.⁸ In 1985, Schultz and coworkers demonstrated that dienone photorearrangement of cyclohexadienone **1** led to the formation of an oxyallyl cation intermediate which underwent dipolar cycloaddition with a pendant furan to afford the (4+3) cycloadduct **2** (Scheme 1a).⁹ While the majority of examples conducted were intramolecular cycloadditions involving carbon-tethered alkenes, Schultz and coworkers also observed intermolecular reactions, albeit in lower chemical yields.¹⁰ A limited study on the factors controlling the mode of cycloaddition showed that

Corresponding Author: porco@bu.edu, crjsteph@umich.edu.

†Present Address

Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, 930 N University Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, United States

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and spectral data including ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and HRMS for all new compounds and X-ray structure analysis of compounds **12** and **50**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

The authors declare no competing financial interest

substituent effects (both steric and electronic) appear to play dominant roles in determining product distributions.¹¹

With the advent of powerful strategies for the oxidative dearomatization of phenols,¹² we were interested in examining the potential of a modular dearomatization-photorearrangement-cycloaddition strategy to generate topologically rich molecular architectures from readily available materials (phenols and ω -alkenols or ω -alkynols). Specifically, we were interested in determining the factors responsible for divergent, intramolecular (3+2) and (5+2) photocycloaddition pathways of oxyallyl cations **3** derived from substrates **4** (Scheme 1b) to produce bridged (**5**, **6**, and **7**) or fused frameworks (*e.g.* **8**).

Herein, we report use of tandem dienone photorearrangement-intramolecular cycloaddition (DPC) of alkenyl and alkynyl ether-tethered cyclohexadienone substrates to produce a variety of structurally complex cycloadducts. In particular, use of alkynyl ether-tethered substrates led to (3+2) cycloaddition to afford strained alkenes which could be further elaborated to polycyclic chemotypes.

Results and Discussion

Our initial investigations focused on substituted cyclohexadienone substrate **9**, readily available *via* oxidative dearomatization of 2,4,6-trimethylphenol.¹³ Having optimized reaction parameters (solvent/concentration/reaction time) for the conversion of **1**→**2** in a multi-welled photoreactor (Scheme 1),¹³ we examined dienone-photorearrangement-cycloaddition of alkene-tethered substrate **9** (Scheme 2). Indeed, **9** was smoothly converted to a 10:1 mixture of the 5,1- and 1,5-bonded products (**10** and **11**, respectively) in 83% isolated yield upon irradiation ($\lambda > 300$ nm) in benzene at 20 °C for 20 min. Interestingly, 1,3- and 1,6- cycloaddition products were not observed upon irradiation of substrate **9**. In addition, cycloadduct **10** was observed as the major regioisomeric cycloadduct. Although the reason for this outcome was unclear at the time, we observed that the selectivity was attenuated (**10**/**11** = 6:1) when the reaction mixture was not degassed prior to irradiation, presumably due to degradation of the major product under the reaction conditions. The use of polar solvents to potentially stabilize the oxyallyl cation did not change the product distribution.^{13, 14} Structural assignment of the major isomer was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of alcohol **12**, obtained as a single diastereomer from reduction of **10**/**11** with NaBH₄ in methanol (Scheme 2).¹³

We next focused our efforts on evaluating the scope of the reaction (Table 1). Irradiation of substrate **9** with >300 nm light in degassed benzene for 20 min afforded the DPC product in a 10:1 ratio of 5,1:1,5-bonded product in 83% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 1). In most cases, isolation of pure 5,1-products such as **10** was accomplished by a reduction/oxidation sequence.¹³ Switching from methyl- to allyl-substituted cyclohexadienone substrate **13** provided similar regioselectivity to afford the 5,1-product **14** in 80% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 2). Elongation of the carbon spacer with an extra methylene group led to complete regioselectivity in which case products **16** and **18** could be obtained in 85% and 78% yield, respectively (Table 1, entries 3–4).

Upon replacement of the methyl group in the 4-position of the cyclohexadienone substrate with aromatic substituents, a decrease in regioselectivity was observed in some cases (*cf.* Table 1, entry 5). Irradiation of diallyl acetal **27** provided the DPC product in 52% yield as a 9:1 mixture of regioisomers (Table 1, entry 6). Substitution of the terminal position of the alkene with an ester moiety led to selective formation of cycloadduct **30** in 80% yield (Table 1, entry 7). Photoradiation of substrate **31** provided silyl ether product **32** in 53% yield as a 12:1 mixture of 5,1:1,5-product (Table 1, entry 8), whereas its corresponding *Z*-isomer gave

an indiscernible mixture. Upon irradiation of cyclic alkene-substituted cyclohexadienone substrates, a decrease in yield and regioselectivity was observed (Table 1, entries 9–10).

As depicted in Scheme 1, irradiation of cyclohexadienone substrate **1** bearing a tethered furan led solely to the formation of (4+3) cycloadduct **2** in excellent yield. Substrate **37** bearing a 3-furyl ether connected to the cyclohexadienone moiety did not lead to the formation of the corresponding (4+3) cycloadduct. In this case, (5+2) cycloaddition was observed to provide a 7:1 mixture of the 5,1- and the 1,5-bonded products in 71% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 11). Substitution of the 5-position of the furyl group of substrate **1** with an ester moiety cleanly afforded the (4+3) cycloadduct **40** in 81% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 12).

In order to rationalize the observed preference for 5,1- vs 1,5-bonded products, we examined molecular models of the zwitterionic intermediate formed after dienone photorearrangement (Scheme 3).¹⁵ Formation of the 1,5-bonded product appears to be disfavored due to steric hindrance between one of the methyl groups on the cyclohexadienone core and the tethered alkene. This disfavored steric interaction is particularly apparent in the case of substrate **15** which provided exclusively the 5,1-product **16** in 85% isolated yield.

Based on these results, we surmised that the use of a tethered alkyne in the cycloaddition may provide a surrogate for the formation of the 1,3-bonded cycloaddition product. Examination of transition state models for dienone photorearrangement of substrate **41** (Scheme 4) shows that as a consequence of the linear geometry of the alkyne, cycloaddition to form 5,1- or 1,5-adducts is geometrically impossible.

In order to test this hypothesis, we prepared alkyne-tethered cyclohexadienone substrate **41**¹⁶ in analogous fashion to the alkene counterparts.¹³ Photoirradiation of substrate **41** led to the formation of the (3+2) cycloaddition product **42** as predicted by our hypothetical transition state model (Scheme 4). Although adduct **42** was cleanly formed during the photochemical reaction as observed by ¹H-NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, the product proved to be unstable to attempted chromatographic purification and other isolation attempts (Scheme 5). This instability can be explained by the strained nature of the system which was also confirmed by DFT calculations (B3LYP/6-31g**) predicting torsion angles for strained alkene **42** up to 45.9° out of the plane ($\chi_{C^*} = 45.9^\circ$ and $\chi_{C''} = 10.8^\circ$, see Scheme 5).^{17, 18} We were able to leverage this high energy intermediate in cycloaddition chemistry by successfully trapping the alkene in an intramolecular (4+2) cycloaddition with furan providing a 3:2 mixture of regioisomers (**43:44**) in 70% isolated yield (Scheme 5).^{19, 20} Although the two diastereomeric cycloadducts were inseparable, the major isomer could be assigned on the basis of coupling constant analysis (**43**: H_a, singlet, calculated dihedral angle H_a:H_b = 87.5° and **44**: H_a', doublet, $J = 4.9$ Hz, calculated dihedral angle H_a':H_b' = 39.7°).²¹ Intramolecular dipolar cycloaddition with *in situ*²²-generated benzonitrile oxide afforded a 5.5:1 mixture of tetracyclic regioisomers **45:46**.

Inspired by the successful intermolecular transformations utilizing strained alkene intermediate **42**, we envisioned that the use of alkynol ether substrates tethered with furfuryl ethers (Scheme 6, **48–49**) would lead to the formation of highly complex, polycyclic structures. Oxidative dearomatization of trimethylphenol with 1,4-butanediol using PIDA followed by etherification of the resulting alkynol **47** provided the substituted dienone substrates **48–49** in two steps. Photoirradiation of **48–49** for 20 min. cleanly afforded polycyclic compounds **50–51** in good isolated yield as single diastereomers. The structural assignment of **51** was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 1).¹³ It is noteworthy that four new bonds are formed in the tandem DPC/(4+2) sequence which produces a highly complex architecture containing six rings and seven stereogenic centers.

Conclusion

In summary, we have employed a dienone photorearrangement cycloaddition (DPC) sequence for the synthesis of highly functionalized, bridged tri- and tetracyclic frameworks. Photoirradiation of alkenyl ether-tethered cyclohexadienones led to the favored production of 5,1-bonded (5+2) cycloaddition products. Alternatively, use of alkynyl ether-tethered substrates led to (3+2) cycloaddition to afford strained alkenes which could be further elaborated by both inter- and intramolecular cycloaddition chemistry to produce complex polycyclic adducts. Further studies on reactions of strained alkenes produced by DPC as well as biological studies of the chemotypes and derived adducts are currently in progress and will be reported in due course.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from the NIH-NIGMS (P50 GM067041) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Prof. Aaron Beeler (Boston University) for assistance with photochemistry equipment, Drs. Kiel Lazarski (Boston University) and Alexander Grenning (Boston University) for helpful discussions, and Dr. Jeffrey Bacon (Boston University) for X-ray crystal structure analysis. NMR (CHE-0619339) and MS (CHE-0443618) facilities at BU are supported by the NSF.

REFERENCES

- Maskill KG, Knowles JP, Elliott LD, Alder RW, Booker-Milburn KI. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013; 52:1499.
- For reviews on the use of photochemistry in natural product synthesis, see: Iriondo-Alberdi J, Greaney MF. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2007:4801. Ciana C, Bochet CG. *Chimia.* 2007; 61:650. Hoffmann N. *Chem. Rev.* 2008; 108:1052. [PubMed: 18302419] Hoffmann N, Gramain J, Bouas-Laurent H. *Actual Chim.* 2008; 317:6. Bach T, Hehn JP. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011; 50:1000.
- Coyle EE, Oelgemöller M. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2008; 7:1313. [PubMed: 18958317]
- a) Goodell JR, Poole JL, Beeler AB, Aubé J, Porco JA Jr. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011; 76:9792. [PubMed: 22007996] b) Tucker JW, Stephenson CRJ. *Org. Lett.* 2011; 13:5468. [PubMed: 21939250] c) Scully SS, Porco JA Jr. *Org. Lett.* 2012; 14:2646. [PubMed: 22571279]
- a) Xia B, Gerard B, Solano DM, Wan J, Jones G II, Porco JA Jr. *Org. Lett.* 2011; 13:1346. [PubMed: 21338078] b) Yavorsky A, Shvydkiv O, Hoffmann N, Nolan K, Oelgemöller M. *Org. Lett.* 2012; 14:4342. [PubMed: 22905680]
- For select, recent examples of photochemical transformations conducted on flow, see: Hook BDA, Dohle W, Hirst PR, Pickworth M, Berry MB, Booker-Milburn KI. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005; 70:7558. [PubMed: 16149784] Goodell JR, McMullen JP, Zaborenko N, Maloney JR, Ho C-H, Jensen KF, Porco JA Jr, Beeler AB. *J. Org. Chem.* 2009; 74:6169. [PubMed: 20560568] Shvydkiv O, Yavorsky A, Nolan K, Youssef A, Riquet E, Hoffmann N, Oelgemöller M. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2010; 9:1601. [PubMed: 20931135] Fukuyama T, Kajihara Y, Hino Y, Ryu I. *J. Flow Chem.* 2011; 13:5008. Gutierrez AC, Jamison TF. *J. Flow Chem.* 2011; 1:24. Gutierrez AC, Jamison TF. *Org. Lett.* 2011; 13:6414. [PubMed: 22085085] Pimparkar K, Yen B, Goodell JR, Martin VI, Lee W-H, Porco JA Jr, Beeler AB, Jensen KF. *J. Flow Chem.* 2011; 2:53. Tucker JW, Zhang Y, Jamison TF, Stephenson CRJ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:4144. Nguyen JD, Reiß B, Dai C, Stephenson CRJ. *Chem. Commun.* 2013; 19:4352.
- For early studies on the dienone photorearrangement process, see: Barton DHR, De Mayo P, Shafiq M. *J. Chem. Soc.* 1957:929. Arigoni D, Bosshard H, Bruderer H, Büchi G, Jeger O, Krebaum LJ. *Helv. Chim. Acta.* 1957; 40:1732. Barton DHR, De Mayo P, Shafiq M. *J. Chem. Soc.* 1958:3314. Barton DHR, De Mayo P, Shafiq M. *J. Chem. Soc.* 1958:140. Piers E, Cheng KF. *Can. J. Chem.* 1970; 48:2234. Zimmerman HE, Jones G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1970; 92:2753. Caine D, Tuller FN. *J.*

- Org. Chem. 1973; 38:3663. Cowan DO, Drisko RL. Elements of Organic Photochemistry. 1976 New York: Plenum press: 307–336.
8. a) Mann J. Tetrahedron. 1986; 42:4611. b) Cha JK, Oh J. Curr. Org. Chem. 1998; 2:217. c) Harmata M. Chem. Commun. 2010; 46:8886. d) Lohse AG, Hsung RP. Chem. Eur. J. 2011; 17:3812. [PubMed: 21384451]
9. Schultz AG, Puig S, Wang Y. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1985:785.
10. Schultz AG, Reilly J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992; 114:5068.
11. a) Schultz AG, Lavieri FP, Macielag M, Plummer M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987; 109:3991. b) Schultz AG, Plummer M. J. Org. Chem. 1989; 54:2112. c) Schultz AG, Lockwood LO Jr. J. Org. Chem. 2000; 65:6354. [PubMed: 11052076]
12. For reviews on oxidative dearomatization, see: Quideau S, Pouységu L, Deffieux D. Synlett. 2008:467. Pouységu L, Deffieux D, Quideau S. Tetrahedron. 2010; 66:2235. Pouységu L, Sylla T, Garnier T, Rojas LB, Charris J, Deffieux D, Quideau S. Tetrahedron. 2010; 66:5908. Roche SP, Porco JA Jr. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:4068. Zhuo C–X, Zheng W, You S–L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 51:12662.
13. See the Supporting Information for complete experimental details.
14. a) Huisgen R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1977; 10:117. b) Huisgen R. Pure Appl. Chem. 1980; 52:2283. c) Brückner R, Huisgen R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990; 31:2557. d) Brückner R, Huisgen R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990; 31:2561.
15. a) Schuster DI, Abraitys VY. J. Chem. Soc. D. 1969:419. b) Schuster DI. Acc. Chem. Res. 1978; 11:65. c) Samuel CJ. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1981; 2:736.
16. For metal-catalyzed reactions of alkyne-tethered cyclohexadienones, see: Tello-Aburto R, Harned AM. Org. Lett. 2009; 11:3998. [PubMed: 19708708] Cai S, Liu Z, Zhang W, Zhao X, Wang DZ. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:11133.
17. For a review on the chemistry of strained/pyrimidalized alkenes, see: Vásquez S, Camps P. Tetrahedron. 2005; 61:5147.
18. Winkler FK, Dunitz JD. J. Mol. Biol. 1971:59.
19. For a review on Diels-Alder chemistry of furans, see: Kappe CO, Murphree SS, Padwa A. Tetrahedron. 1997; 53:14179.
20. For a review on strained alkenes in natural product synthesis, see: Wilson MR, Taylor RE. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013; 52:4078.
21. Dihedral angles were calculated using Schrödinger Maestro, Version 9 at the B3LYP/6-31g** level of theory.
22. Gutmiedl K, Wirges CT, Ehmke V, Carell T. Org. Lett. 2009; 11:2405. [PubMed: 19405510]

Figure 1.
X-ray crystal structure of polycyclic compound **50**.¹³

Scheme 1.

(a) Tandem Dienone Photorearrangement by Schultz *et al.*⁹ (b) Possible Reaction Pathways for Intramolecular (3+2) or (5+2) Cycloaddition with Tethered Alkene Substrates

Scheme 2.
Dienone-Photorearrangement-Cycloaddition of Alkene-Tethered Substrate **9**

Scheme 3.
Mechanistic Rationale for Selective Formation of 5,1-Bonded Cycloaddition Products

Scheme 4.
Mechanistic Proposal for Selective Formation of 1,3-Bonded Cycloaddition Products

Scheme 5.
Trapping of Unstable, Strained Alkene 42 by Cycloaddition with Furan or a Nitrile Oxide

Scheme 6.
Tandem DPC / Intramolecular (4+2)-Cycloaddition to Afford Complex Polycyclic
Chemotypes

Table 1

Substrate Scope for the Dienone-Photorearrangement-Cycloaddition^a

Entry	Substrate	Major Product	Yield [%], c	Entry	Substrate	Major Product	Yield [%], c
1			83 (10:1)	7			80 (>25:1)
2			80 (9:1)	8			53 (12:1)
3			85 (>25:1)	9			67 (4:1)
4			78 (>25:1)	10			73 (4:1)

Entry	Substrate	Major Product	Yield [%] ^{b, c}	Entry	Substrate	Major Product	Yield [%] ^{b, c}
5	 19: R = Ph 21: R = Toly 23: R = 3-F-Ph 25: R = 2-Naphth	 20: R = Ph 22: R = Toly 24: R = 3-F-Ph 26: R = 2-Naphth	20: 75 (10:1) 22: 63 (4:1) ^d 24: 60 (4:1) ^d 26: 60 (4:1) ^d	11	 37	 38	51 (7:1)
6	 27	 28	52 (9:1)	12	 39	 40	81 (>25:1)

^aReaction conditions: substrate (0.25 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) degassed for 30 min followed by irradiation (>300 nm) at room temperature for 20 min.

^bIsolated yield.

^cRegioselectivity determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture in parenthesis.

^dIsolated yield of the 5,1-product.