University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification on Iranian Population

Seyed Kamran Kamrava¹; Mohammad Farhadi¹; Maryam Jalessi^{1,*}; Babak Khosravian^{1,2}; Behzad Pousti¹; Ebrahim Amin Tehran¹; Mohsen Rezaee Hemami¹

¹Endoscopic Pituitary and Skull Base Unit, ENT-Head and Neck Research Center and Department, Rasool Akram Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

²School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

*Corresponding Author: Maryam Jalessi, ENT-Head and Neck Research Center and Department, Rasool Akram Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Niayesh Street, Sattarkhan Avenue, P.O. Box: 1445613131, Tehran, IR Iran. Tel: +98-2166552828, E-mail: jalessimd@gmail.com

Received: August 26, 2012; Revised: November 11, 2013; Accepted: November 17, 2013

Background: University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT) is one of the most common smell identification tests to assess olfactory function.

Objectives: The study was conducted to assess the accuracy of University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT) in Iranian population.

Materials and Methods: University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test was performed on 30 (50%) female and 30 (50%) male, who were healthy adult volunteers. The total mean score as well as mean scores according to the gender were assessed and compared to the UPSIT classification. Odors considered valid and accurate if its correct identification ability rate was more than 70% among study population.

Results: The test score was 25.04 ± 4.92 in female and 23.29 ± 4.23 in male volunteers that all were considered as microsmia according to UPSIT. Sixteen odorants were correctly identified by about 70% of the volunteers and the remains 24 odorants were identified by less than 70%; 7, 5 and 12 odors was identified by 60%-70%, 50%-60% and less than 50% of the volunteers, respectively.

Conclusions: According to the results of the study, documented that even less than half of the odors (16 out of 40) were identified correctly by the volunteers, which indicating that the UPSIT is not a suitable test to evaluate olfactory function in Iranian population due to the high amount of unfamiliar smells that should be replaced with more familiar ones.

Keywords: Smell; Odors; University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT)

1. Background

University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT) is one of the most common smell identification tests to assess the olfactory function. It has been now translated into several languages and employed widely due to its accurate and appropriate ability to test the olfactory function with no need to complex equipment, and devices (1, 2). However, the identification of different odorants even in a normal population is strongly affected by various social and cultural factors, it is suggested that the test be modified culturally to prevent the cultural biases (2-7).

2. Objectives

This study was conducted to assess the accuracy of University of Pennsylvania smell identification test by evaluating the odor identifiability and familiarity in Iranian population.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Study Population

Between April 2006 and April 2011 hundreds of outpatients who referred to our otorhinolaryngology clinic (Iran University of Medical School, Rasool Akram Hospital, Tehran) had a normal otorhinolaryngological examination, 60 healthy volunteers comprising 30 (50%) female and 30 (50%) male (aged 20 - 60 years), enrolled into this cross-sectional study. The ethics committee of ENT- Head and Neck Research Center approved the study, and written informed consent was preoperatively obtained from the patients. The UPSIT was administered to all volunteers and the total mean score as well as the mean scores according to the gender were assessed and compared with the UPSIT classification.

3.2. UPSIT Classification

Volunteers considered as anosmia: score of 6 - 18, severe

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

Although University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT) is one of the most common smell identification tests for assessing the olfactory function, its accuracy should be evaluated in different cultures. We tested its accuracy among Iranian population.

Copyright © 2014, Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal; Published by Kowsar Corp. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

microsmia: score between 19 and 25, moderate microsmia: 26-30 in women and 26-29 in men, mild microsmia: 31-34 in women and 30-33 in men and normosmia: score of more than 34 in women and 33 in men.

3.3. Identification Ability

The UPSIT has four booklets that each one contains 10 stimuli for smell. The test can be self-administered and uses microencapsulated odorants which are released by scratching the standardized odor-impregnated test booklets. For each stimulus, the respondent chooses out of four options. The ability to identify each odorant was evaluated according to the Doty (8) to determine inaccurate odorants. The cutoff point criterion used by Doty in the validation of each odor was 70% of correct rates (2, 9, 10). Odorant that were identified by less than 70% of the population considered inaccurate as they confounded. Before administration of the test, volunteers also asked to rate their familiarity with each odorant (out of 10) in order to evaluate its correlation with correct answer after applying the test. It was suggested that in the further try to modify the test culturally according to our background, the result could facilitate choosing some new odorant to replace with the unfamiliar ones.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as number (%), means and standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

4. Results

We analyzed data from 30 (50%) female and 30 (50%) female volunteers, who were tested by using the UPSIT. The test score was 25.04 ± 4.92 in female and 23.29 ± 4.23 in male volunteers. All three scores were considered as severe microsmia according to UPSIT (severe microsmia: score of 19-25) and 16 of 40 odors were identified correctly by more than 70% of the volunteers, 24 other odorants were identified correctly by less than 70% of the population and considered as inaccurate odors. 7, 5 and 12 odors were identified by %60-%70, %50-%60 and less than %50 of the volunteers, respectively (Table 1).

5. Discussion

These days, the UPSIT become the most popular olfactory functional test all over the world due to the feasibility to use, the ability to classify primary olfactory dysfunctions or secondary ones caused by neurological diseases with no need to complex instruments (7, 10). It is a 40 item smell identification test comprised of four "scratch-and-sniff" book-lets. It could be administered easily by physicians, nurse practitioners or either could be self-administered. However, despite the worldwide employment of the UPSIT, it is documented that cultural bias has

Table 1. Correct Identifiability and the Familiarity Level of Odorants^a

Odorant	Correct Identifiability	Familiar Level
Bubble Gum	91.67 %	7.58 ± 2.11
Menthol	80.00 %	7.82 ± 2.39
Mint	83.33 %	7.74 ± 2.09
Banana	88.33 %	6.94 ± 2.41
Leather	75.00 %	5.62 ± 2.83
Coconut	83.33 %	7.09 ± 2.51
Cinnamon	78.33 %	7.08 ± 2.61
Ginger bread	86.67 %	6.44 ± 2.31
Pine Apple	80.00 %	7.62 ± 2.19
Orange	88.33 %	8.15 ± 1.98
Watermelon	76.67 %	7.53 ± 2.16
Grass	75.00 %	6.79 ± 2.46
Smoke	75.00 %	6.51 ± 2.52
Soap	81.67 %	7.25 ± 2.09
Natural Gas	88.33 %	6.74 ± 2.76
Rose	78.33 %	7.58 ± 2.47
Onion	68.33 %	7.62 ± 2.49
Chocolate	68.33 %	6.86 ± 2.32
Lilac	60.00 %	7.98 ± 1.85
Peach	65.00 %	6.51 ± 2.63
Root Beer	61.67 %	8.36 ± 2.34
Pine	68.33 %	6.36 ± 2.39
Lime	68.33 %	7.24 ± 2.32
Clove	58.33 %	6.31 ± 2.57
Liquorice	55.00 %	4.93 ± 2.81
Gasoline	50.00 %	5.24 ± 2.73
Strawberry	55.00 %	7.60 ± 2.01
Peanut	55.00 %	7.86 ± 2.19
Pizza	28.33 %	6.55 ± 2.47
Cherry	31.67 %	6.39 ± 2.54
Motor Oil	41.67 %	5.01 ± 2.63
Fruit Punch	18.33 %	7.67 ± 2.19
Cheddar Cheese	31.67 %	3.77 ± 2.61
Cedar	21.67 %	6.27 ± 2.38
Dill Pickle	23.33 %	5.58 ± 2.57
Lemon	31.67 %	6.77 ± 2.60
Wintergreen	43.33 %	9.00 ± 1.68
Thinner	41.67 %	6.67 ± 2.54
Grape	13.33 %	6.75 ± 2.35
Turpentine	48.33 %	6.27 ± 2.68

^a Data are presented as No. (%) and mean ± SD.

made scientists to adjust the test according to their culture and replace more familiar odors (2-6). We also performed the test in a normal population that revealed the mean score of 25.04 ± 4.92 in female and 23.29 ± 4.23 in male volunteers. According to the classification (severe microsmia: score of 19-25), the scores refer to severe microsmia in both genders in this normal population that showed the inaccuracy of the test in our population. Further analysis revealed that only 16 of 40 odorants were identified correctly by more than 70% of the volunteers including Rose, Natural Gas, Soap, Smoke, Grass, Watermelon, Orange, Pine Apple, Ginger bread, Cinnamon, Coconut, Leather, Banana, Mint, menthol and bubble Gum. It means 24 other odorants with less than 70% correct identifiability are inaccurate and not valid odors based on our cultural background (8, 9). These are 12 odors (onion, chocolate, lilac, peach, root beer, pine, lime) with %60-%70 identifiability, 5 odors (clove, liquorice, gasoline, strawberry and peanut) with %50-%60 identifiability and 12 odors (pizza, cherry, motor oil, fruit punch, cheddar cheese, cedar, Dill pickle, lemon, winter green, thinner and grape) with less than %50 of the identifiability. These results make us to replace the odorants with less than 70% correct identifiability with some more familiar odors to validate the test in our culture. As our country is a multicultural one, we must identify several odors that could be familiar to most of the races and in all provinces' population, meanwhile have more than 70% correct identifiability. Regarding this matter, we tested extra 15 odors on the participants that showed only 16 out of 40 odors, even less than half of them, were identified correctly by the volunteers that suggest UPSIT is not a suitable test to evaluate olfactory function in Iranian population due to the high amount of unfamiliar smells that should be replaced with more familiar ones.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the patients who participated in our study.

Authors' Contributions

All of the authors have participated in the research design, data gathering, data analysis and writing the manuscript.

Financial Disclosure

There is no conflict of interests.

Funding Support

There is no funding or support.

References

- Doty RL, Shaman P, Applebaum SL, Giberson R, Sikorski L, Rosenberg L. Smell identification ability: changes with age. *Science*. 1984;**226**(4681):1441-3.
- Jiang RS, Su MC, Liang KL, Shiao JY, Wu SH, Hsin CH. A pilot study of a traditional Chinese version of the University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test for application in Taiwan. *Am J Rhinol Allergy*. 2010;**24**(1):45-50.
- Yucepur C, Ozucer B, Degirmenci N, Yildirim Y, Veyseller B, Ozturan O. University of Pennsylvania smell identification test: application to Turkish population. *Kulak Burun Bogaz Ihtis Derg*. 2012;**22**(2):77-80.
- Ogihara H, Kobayashi M, Nishida K, Kitano M, Takeuchi K. Applicability of the cross-culturally modified University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test in a Japanese population. *Am J Rhinol Allergy*. 2011;**25**(6):404-10.
- Eibenstein A, Fioretti AB, Lena C, Rosati N, Amabile G, Fusetti M. Modern psychophysical tests to assess olfactory function. *Neurol Sci*. 2005;**26**(3):147-55.
- Parola S, Liberini P. Assessing olfaction in the Italian population: methodology and clinical application. *Ital J Neurol Sci*. 1999;**20**(5):287-96.
- Fornazieri MA, Pinna Fde R, Bezerra TF, Antunes MB, Voegels RL. Applicability of the University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (SIT) in Brazilians: pilot study. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol*. 2010;**76**(6):695-9.
- Doty RL. Olfaction. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2001;**52**:423-52.
- Ashendorf L, Constantinou M, Duff K, McCaffrey RJ. Performance of community-dwelling adults ages 55 to 75 on the University of Pennsylvania smell identification test: an item analysis. *Appl Neuropsychol*. 2005;**12**(1):24-9.
- Silveira-Moriyama L, Azevedo AM, Ranvaud R, Barbosa ER, Doty RL, Lees AJ. Applying a new version of the Brazilian-Portuguese UPSIT smell test in Brazil. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. 2010;**68**(5):700-5.