18 FDG PET-CT and Unknown Primary Tumours

Viroj Wiwanitkit

Received: 3 December 2013 / Accepted: 13 December 2013 / Published online: 22 December 2013
© Indian Association of Surgical Oncology 2013

Sir, the recent report on “18 FDG PET-CT in Evaluation of Unknown Primary Tumours (UPT)” is very interesting. Saidha et al. concluded that “whole body FDG-PET/CT has to be considered a useful tool in evaluating metastases from an UPT [1].” In fact, the previous report by Karapolat et al. also confirmed the usefulness of whole body FDG-PET/CT in this application [2]. However, it should be noted that the accuracy of this technique is about 88.3 % [3]. According to a recent report, Møller et al. concluded that “FDG PET/CT does not represent a clear diagnostic advantage over CT alone regarding the ability to detect the primary tumor site [4].”

References

1. Saidha NK, Ganguly M, Sidhu HS, Gupta S (2013) The role of 18 FDG PET-CT in evaluation of unknown primary tumours. *Indian J Surg Oncol* 4:236–241
2. Karapolat I, Kumanlioğlu K (2012) Impact of FDG-PET/CT for the detection of unknown primary tumours in patients with cervical lymph node metastases. *Mol Imaging Radionucl Ther* 21(2):63–68
3. Han A, Xue J, Hu M, Zheng J, Wang X (2012) Clinical value of 18F-FDG PET-CT in detecting primary tumor for patients with carcinoma of unknown primary. *Cancer Epidemiol* 36(5):470–475
4. Møller AK, Loft A, Berthelsen AK, Pedersen KD, Graff J, Christensen CB, Costa JC, Skovgaard LT, Perell K, Petersen BL, Daugaard G (2012) A prospective comparison of 18F-FDG PET/CT and CT as diagnostic tools to identify the primary tumor site in patients with extracervical carcinoma of unknown primary site. *Oncologist* 17(9):1146–1154

V. Wiwanitkit
Hainan Medical University, Hainan, China

V. Wiwanitkit
Joseph Ayobabalola University, Ikeji-Arakeji, Nigeria

V. Wiwanitkit (✉)
Wiwanitkit HouseBangkhoe Bangkok, Thailand
e-mail: wviroj@yahoo.com