Published in final edited form as:

Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci. 2013 ; 119: 155–190. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-396971-2.00007-5.

Circadian Rhythms, Sleep Deprivation, and Human Performance

Namni Goel*, Mathias Basner*, Hengyi Rao*,†, and David F. Dinges*

*Division of Sleep and Chronobiology, Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

†Department of Neurology, Center for Functional Neuroimaging, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Abstract

Much of the current science on, and mathematical modeling of, dynamic changes in human performance within and between days is dominated by the two-process model of sleep–wake regulation, which posits a neurobiological drive for sleep that varies homeostatically (increasing as a saturating exponential during wakefulness and decreasing in a like manner during sleep), and a circadian process that neurobiologically modulates both the homeostatic drive for sleep and waking alertness and performance. Endogenous circadian rhythms in neurobehavioral functions, including physiological alertness and cognitive performance, have been demonstrated using special laboratory protocols that reveal the interaction of the biological clock with the sleep homeostatic drive. Individual differences in circadian rhythms and genetic and other components underlying such differences also influence waking neurobehavioral functions. Both acute total sleep deprivation and chronic sleep restriction increase homeostatic sleep drive and degrade waking neurobehavioral functions as reflected in sleepiness, attention, cognitive speed, and memory. Recent evidence indicating a high degree of stability in neurobehavioral responses to sleep loss suggests that these trait-like individual differences are phenotypic and likely involve genetic components, including circadian genes. Recent experiments have revealed both sleep homeostatic and circadian effects on brain metabolism and neural activation. Investigation of the neural and genetic mechanisms underlying the dynamically complex interaction between sleep homeostasis and circadian systems is beginning. A key goal of this work is to identify biomarkers that accurately predict human performance in situations in which the circadian and sleep homeostatic systems are perturbed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sleep is a ubiquitous biological imperative that appears to be evolutionarily conserved across species.¹ Sleep of sufficient duration, continuity, and intensity (depth) without circadian disruption is necessary to promote high levels of attention and cognitive performance during the wake period, and to prevent physiological changes that may predispose individuals to adverse health outcomes.² The evidence linking habitually short sleep or circadian desynchrony to conditions such as weight gain,^{3,4} obesity,⁵ diabetes,⁶ and hypertension,⁷ as well as to increased mortality,⁸ has accumulated over the past decade. These negative cognitive and health consequences of sleep restriction are provocative, given that current representative surveys indicate 35–40% of the adult US population report sleeping less than 7 h on weekday nights,⁹ which has been experimentally demonstrated to result in cumulative deficits in behavioral alertness and vigilant attention.¹⁰

A lifestyle of chronic partial sleep loss that is often paired with chronic stimulant use (e.g., caffeine)¹¹ may at least in part be explained by the fact that humans frequently alter the timing and duration of sleep in exchange for other activities. This altered behavior appears to be prevalent in current industrialized societies, where the biological imperative to sleep

adequately often opposes the cultural imperative to spend more time awake.¹² Sleep may be perceived as a flexible commodity that is traded for other activities considered more pressing or of greater value.¹³ Analyses of the American Time Use Survey (ATUS) revealed that paid work time and commuting to and from work were the two waking activities most often exchanged for sleep time.¹⁴ Sleep time was lowest in the 45- to 54-year-old respondents, shorter in men than in women, and shorter on weekdays compared to weekends. An ATUS analysis on waking activities in the 2-h period before retiring in the evening and after waking up in the morning showed that watching TV was the dominant (>50%) activity in the 2 h before retiring.¹⁵ Long work hours were associated with progressively earlier wake-up times in the morning, while long-hour workers, short-hour workers, and those who did not work did not differ in the times when they retired at night.¹⁵ We speculate that some of this sleep-restriction behavior may be explained by respondents with a late evening circadian phase preference, who awaken early by alarm clock to commute for paid work. These individuals cannot easily advance their sleep onset, but they can use an alarm clock to advance their sleep offset (for commuting and paid work), resulting in a restricted sleep period. This misalignment of biological and social time has been termed “social jet lag” by Roenneberg and colleagues.¹⁶ Individuals with a late circadian preference thus often engage in chronic sleep restriction during the work week, and try to pay off their sleep debt on the weekend. Furthermore, shift work affects sleep and alertness of approximately one out of five working Americans, with 15% of full-time salaried workers usually working shifts that include nights.¹⁷ Shift work includes working evenings, nights, or rotating shifts and is often associated with shorter-than-normal and disrupted sleep periods at an adverse circadian phase.¹⁸ The International Agency for Research on Cancer concluded in 2007 that shift work involving circadian disruption is probably carcinogenic to humans.^{17,19}

2. SLEEP–WAKE AND CIRCADIAN REGULATION: TWO-PROCESS MODEL

The two-process model of sleep–wake regulation has been applied to the temporal profiles of sleep^{20,21} and daytime vigilance.²² The model consists of a homeostatic process (S) and a circadian process (C), which combine to determine the timing of sleep onset and offset. The homeostatic process represents the drive for sleep that increases as a saturating exponential during wakefulness (as can be observed when wakefulness is maintained beyond habitual bedtime into the night and subsequent day) and decreases as a saturating exponential during sleep (which represents recuperation obtained from sleep). When the homeostat increases above a certain threshold, sleep is triggered; when it decreases below a different threshold, wakefulness occurs. The circadian process represents daily oscillatory modulation of these threshold levels. It has been suggested that the circadian system actively promotes wakefulness more than sleep.²³ The circadian drive for wakefulness may be manifested as spontaneously enhanced alertness and better cognitive performance in the early evening after one night or multiple nights without sleep^{24,25} (Figs. 7.1 and 7.2).

The endogenous circadian regulating system (i.e., biological clock) that modulates the timing of both sleep and wakefulness is located in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) of the anterior hypothalamus. Beyond gating the timing of sleep onset and offset, the SCN modulates waking behavior in a circadian manner, as reflected in subjective and physiological sleepiness, behavioral alertness, and a number of fundamental cognitive functions, including vigilant attention, psychomotor and perceptual cognitive speed, and working memory.

Alertness and performance, sleep and sleeplessness are neurobehavioral outputs that involve dynamic circadian variation. Recent forced desynchrony protocols, which serve to experimentally reveal the variance in neurobehavioral functions attributable primarily to

endogenous circadian control and the variance attributable primarily to the sleep homeostatic drive, have revealed that circadian dynamics can expose large neurobehavioral vulnerability during chronic sleep restriction.^{26,27} These studies demonstrated that sleep restriction induced decreased vigilant attention, as measured by the Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT),²⁵ most prominently during circadian night, even with short prior wake duration. Another study found that time of day modulated the effects of chronic sleep restriction, whereby the build-up rate of cumulative neurobehavioral deficits across days was largest at 0800 h and became progressively smaller across the hours of the day, especially between 1600 and 2000 h, indicating a late afternoon/early evening period of relatively protected alertness.²⁸

Thus, while the two-process model has been very successful in explaining changes in neurobehavioral performance in acute total sleep deprivation paradigms, it fails to adequately predict the escalating declines in vigilant attention observed under chronic sleep-restriction conditions.

The two-process model has proved to be most useful for generating mathematical predictions of the dynamics of human alertness and performance under varying conditions of sleep loss and circadian misalignment.²⁹ When these mathematical models are compared to emerging experimental data on performance relative to sleep–wake dynamics, they often reveal new deficiencies in the two-process model.³⁰ An excellent example of this need to continually improve the predictions of the two-process model can be found in a mathematical modeling paper by McCauley and colleagues,³¹ who showed that the two-process model belongs to a broader class of models formulated in terms of coupled nonhomogeneous first-order ordinary differential equations. Their new model includes an additional component modulating the homeostatic process across days and weeks, and better reflects the neurobehavioral changes observed under both acute total and chronic partial sleep loss than the original two-process model. The authors speculate that adenosine receptor upregulation (wakefulness) and down-regulation (sleep) constitute the underlying neurobiological mechanism. Importantly, the model predicts a critical amount of daily wake duration of 20.2 h. If daily wake duration is above ca. 15.8 h³² but below 20.2 h (corresponding to a total sleep time of 3.8–8.2 h), the model, over a period of weeks, converges to an asymptotically stable equilibrium (i.e., performance deficits will stabilize at a certain level). If daily wake duration is above 20.2 h, the model diverges and, similar to acute total sleep deprivation, performance impairments escalate.³¹ The model of McCauley *et al.*³¹ also predicts that a single night of recovery sleep is inadequate to recover from a prolonged period of sleep restriction, a finding we recently experimentally confirmed.³³ It is recognized that further model development is needed to integrate more comprehensive mathematical models of the circadian component and to account for sleep inertia and trait-like individual differences in vulnerability to sleep loss.³¹

3. CIRCADIAN RHYTHMS OF PERFORMANCE

3.1. Subjective measures of sleepiness and alertness

A variety of subjective measures of sleepiness and alertness reflect circadian variation, as long as the scale requests ratings about the near immediate state of the subject. These include visual analogue scales,³⁴ Likert-type rating scales such as the Stanford Sleepiness Scale³⁵ and the Karolinska Sleepiness Scale,³⁶ and certain fatigue-related subscales of standard adjective checklists such as the Activation–Deactivation Adjective Check List³⁷ and Profile of Mood States.³⁸ Despite structural differences among these scales, all self-reports of sleepiness are highly intercorrelated and because they are relative psychometrics, they are subject to a number of sources of variance, including different uses of the scale response range by different subjects. The effects of cognitive performance testing on

subsequent posttest subjective alertness ratings are evident only when sleep loss has commenced and this effect is modulated by circadian variation.²⁸

3.2. Objective measures of cognitive performance

Many studies rely on objective performance measures to track the temporal dynamics of endogenous circadian rhythmicity. Circadian variation in performance is most evident when sleep loss is present, and sleep loss has its largest effects on attention, working memory, and cognitive throughput.³⁹ Examples of such cognitive performance measures that have historically been reported to display circadian variation include the following: search-and-detection tasks⁴⁰ and simple and choice reaction time tasks,⁴¹ sorting,⁴² logical reasoning,⁴³ memory access,⁴⁴ and real-world tasks such as meter reading accuracy⁴⁵ and school performance.⁴⁶ Typically, response speed and accuracy to a series of repetitive stimuli are analyzed, although the sensitivity of the performance metric used to track circadian variation depends on whether the task is work-paced versus subject-paced, on speed versus accuracy trade-offs in performance metrics,⁴⁷ on the rate and number of responses acquired during the task, on whether the task metrics reflect performance variability or mean performance, and on the overall technical precision of the measurement. Even short-duration, work-paced tasks that precisely measure variability in performance can be used to demonstrate circadian variation.⁴⁸ It is likely that the modulatory effects the circadian system has on speed and accuracy make many tasks sensitive to process C, more so than any unique aspect of task demand.

Earlier studies concluded that different tasks^{49,50} and different task outcomes^{51,52} may yield distinct peak phases of circadian rhythmicity, suggesting that many distinct circadian rhythms utilizing different clock mechanisms exist.^{53,54} Under strictly controlled laboratory conditions, most intertask differences in circadian variation disappear.^{55,56} As illustrated in Fig. 7.1, under controlled sleep deprivation conditions, the circadian rhythms of neurobehavioral performance variables covary with each other and with subjective sleepiness. Importantly, these rhythms mimic the circadian profile of core body temperature, one conventional marker of the biological clock.^{57,58} Under entrained conditions, higher and lower core body temperature values typically correspond to good and poor performance, respectively.^{56,59,60}

3.3. Masking factors

Subjective measures of sleepiness and alertness are vulnerable to numerous confounding influences that can “mask” their circadian rhythmicity. Masking refers to the evoked effects of noncircadian factors on measurements of circadian rhythmicity. The context in which such measurements are taken (i.e., the environmental and experimental conditions) is a major source of masking effects. Masking can alter or obscure a circadian rhythm, or create the appearance of a circadian rhythm. Masking factors specifically affecting sleepiness and alertness include the following demand characteristics of the experiment,⁶¹ distractions by environmental stimuli and noise,⁶² boredom and motivational factors,^{63–65} stress,⁶⁶ food intake,^{67,68} posture and activity,^{69,70} ambient temperature,⁶⁴ lighting conditions,^{71,72} and stimulant drug intake (e.g., caffeine, modafinil, amphetamine).^{73–75}

Physical, mental, and social activities can represent masking factors that interact with endogenous circadian rhythms in neurobehavioral functions. The effects of performing cognitive tests on subjective estimates of alertness are apparent at certain circadian phases during sleep deprivation. Subjects report feeling less alert after they are challenged to perform. Thus, prior activity can influence subjective estimates, and can interact with circadian effects if not properly controlled when measuring the rhythmicity of subjective states.

Sleep and sleep loss can also be considered masking factors when measuring circadian rhythmicity in certain neurobehavioral variables. Therefore, neurobehavioral measures reflect to varying degrees a combination of endogenous circadian rhythmicity, sleep homeostatic drive, and masking effects interacting to produce behavioral outcomes.

4. PROTOCOLS TO ASSESS CIRCADIAN VARIATION IN NEUROBEHAVIORAL FUNCTIONS

Considerable research has been devoted to unmasking circadian rhythms, that is, eliminating sources of extraneous variance to expose the endogenous circadian rhythms of variables of interest, including alertness and cognitive performance. Two such experimental approaches are the use of a constant routine protocol and the use of a forced desynchrony protocol.

4.1. Constant routine

The constant routine procedure⁷⁶ is generally regarded as the gold standard for measuring circadian rhythmicity. By keeping subjects awake with a fixed posture in a constant laboratory environment for at least 24 h, circadian rhythms in a variety of physiologic and neurobehavioral variables can be recorded without biases (Fig. 7.1). Indeed, the circadian rhythm of body temperature is believed to be largely free of masking effects when derived under a constant routine.

However, when neurobehavioral variables are considered, sleep deprivation and the stimuli used to sustain wakefulness can constitute masking factors. In constant routine experiments, these masking effects are evident in subjective measures of sleepiness and alertness.^{57,77} Figure 7.1 shows the somewhat reduced values for subjective alertness as well as cognitive and psychomotor performance after 30 h awake in a constant routine, compared with the values of these variables 24 h earlier (i.e., at the same circadian phase but without sleep deprivation).

Recently, the constant routine protocol has been used to examine metabolites in saliva and plasma at different times of day to identify those that are under circadian control and are independent of sleep.^{78,79} Remarkably, one study found that metabolites from blood taken every 2 h, which were used to form a circadian timetable, could subsequently be used to predict internal time within a 3-h interval using only two blood samples.⁸⁰ More recently, a constant routine was used to examine the effects of chronic sleep restriction on circadian rhythmicity and amplitude of genes that were upregulated or downregulated using a transcriptome analysis, highlighting the critical interaction between sleep homeostasis and circadian rhythms at the mRNA level.⁸¹

A progressive change associated with the time spent awake is typically superimposed on the circadian rhythm of neurobehavioral variables.^{82,83} When total sleep deprivation is continued for several days (whether in a constant routine procedure or an experimental design involving ambulation), the detrimental effects on alertness and performance increase, and although the circadian process can be exposed,⁸⁴ it is overlaid on a continuing (nearly linear) change reflecting increasing homeostatic pressure for sleep.⁸⁵ This is illustrated in Fig. 7.2 for PVT performance lapses—perhaps the most sensitive waking measure of homeostatic sleep drive and circadian phase, and the least masked by aptitude and learning.^{25,86} It is noteworthy that decreased alertness during the circadian trough is associated with increased intra-individual variability in performance. This is evidenced by intermittent lapsing (reaction times >500 ms)⁸⁷ which reflects wake state instability.^{24,25} The wake state instability hypothesis posits that sleep-initiating mechanisms may interfere with wakefulness, making sustained performance unstable and dependent on compensatory mechanisms.²⁵

4.2. Forced desynchrony

The forced desynchrony protocol^{88,89} conducted in temporally and environmentally isolated conditions, is an experimental procedure particularly suitable for studying the interaction of the circadian and homeostatic processes.^{55,90,91} In this protocol, a subject's imposed timing and duration of wake and sleep (typically maintained in a 2:1 ratio) deviate from the normal 24-h day (e.g., 20- or 28-h days), such that the subject's biological clock is unable to entrain to this schedule. The subject experiences two distinct influences simultaneously—the schedule of predetermined sleep and waking times representing the homeostatic system and the rhythm of the subject's unsynchronized (i.e., free-running) circadian system. Neurobehavioral functions are assayed during the waking periods. By folding the data over either the free-running circadian rhythm or the imposed sleep–wake cycle, the other component can be balanced out. Thus, the separate effects of circadian rhythms and wake duration (i.e., homeostatic drive for sleep) on neurobehavioral variables can be assessed.

Forced desynchrony studies have found that both the circadian and homeostatic processes influence sleepiness and performance.^{26,27} The interaction of the two systems is oppositional during diurnal wake periods (from approximately 0700 h until 2300 h), such that a relatively stable level of alertness and performance can be maintained throughout the day.^{89,90} This explains why in many studies of alertness and performance, very little temporal variation is observed during the waking portion of a normal day, especially when there is no sleep deprivation²⁴ (Fig. 7.2).

The interaction of the homeostatic and circadian processes is believed to be nonlinear (i.e., nonadditive).^{90,92} Therefore, the separation of circadian and homeostatic influences on neurobehavioral variables presents a conceptual and mathematical challenge, and it is difficult, if not impossible, to quantify the relative importance of the two influences on neurobehavioral functions. Moreover, their relative contributions may vary across different experimental conditions^{55,90} and among subjects.⁹³

5. INTERINDIVIDUAL VARIABILITY IN CIRCADIAN RHYTHMS

Healthy adults show interindividual differences in the free-running circadian period (τ),^{94–98} which shows robust stability within individuals.⁹⁷ Subjects also demonstrate interindividual differences in circadian amplitude^{58,99} and circadian phase^{57,58,95,99} which are in part due to genetic influences.⁹⁹ There are several standardized methods for assessing interindividual differences in circadian rhythms. One newer method, using molecular techniques, can determine individual differences in τ , amplitude, and phase-resetting, which relate to diurnal phase preference, using cultured human fibroblasts from skin biopsies or blood samples.^{100–102} While these *in vitro* skin fibroblasts can determine circadian rhythms and period, they do not necessarily correlate with *in vivo* physiological rhythms,⁹⁷ limiting the validity and utility of this technique. Standard physiological estimates of circadian phase include the dim light melatonin onset¹⁰³ and core body temperature minimum.^{57,58} These methods are important for characterizing interindividual variation in circadian rhythmicity.

5.1. Chronotype (morningness–eveningness)

Chronotype or morningness–eveningness (i.e., the tendency to be an early “lark” or a late “owl”) is perhaps the most frequently measured inter-individual variation in circadian rhythmicity. Morning- and evening-type individuals differ endogenously in the circadian phase of their biological clocks.^{57,58} Self-report questionnaires, such as the Horne–Östberg morningness–eveningness questionnaire¹⁰⁴ and its variants,¹⁰⁵ and the Munich ChronoType Questionnaire,^{106,107} differentiate timing of activities on workdays versus free days. They

are the most commonly utilized measures of circadian phase preference, because of their convenience and cost effectiveness.

Age affects morningness–eveningness as shown in laboratory studies¹⁰⁸ and more naturalistic population-based settings.^{107,109} In addition, gender influences morningness–eveningness with women showing a greater skew toward morningness than men.^{107,110–112} Women also have been reported to have a shorter average intrinsic circadian period than men,¹¹³ though not consistently,¹¹⁴ and blacks have been reported to have a shorter average intrinsic circadian period than whites.¹¹⁴ These differences in circadian phase preference (and possibly in circadian period) appear to be enduring traits, with a significant genetic basis across various diverse populations.^{115–120} As such, chronotype is a phenotypic aspect of circadian rhythmicity in humans.¹²¹

In line with the two-process model, the relationship of chronotype to the regulation of sleep and neurobehavioral responses to sleep deprivation has been investigated in laboratory studies. Chronotypes showed differences in homeostatic sleep regulation^{122–124} and in homeostatic response to sleep fragmentation.¹²⁵ Moreover, chronotypes showed differences in neurobehavioral responses to sleep fragmentation¹²⁶ and to total sleep deprivation,¹²⁷ and to risk-taking propensity at baseline and following total sleep deprivation.¹²⁸

5.2. Genetics of individual differences in chronotype and circadian rhythms

Morningness–eveningness is estimated to be about 50% heritable.¹²⁹ The genetic basis of morningness–eveningness in the general population has been investigated by targeting several core circadian genes, producing inconsistent results.¹³⁰ For example, the 3111C allele of the *CLOCK* gene 5'-UTR region has been associated with eveningness and delayed sleep timing in some studies^{131–133} but not others.^{98,134–138} Similarly, the variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) polymorphism in *PERIOD3* (*PER3*), another core clock gene, has been linked to diurnal preference, but not consistently,^{135,139–148} thereby underscoring the need for further investigation on this topic. Both the 111G polymorphism in the 5'-untranslated region of *PERIOD2* (*PER2*) and the T2434C polymorphism of *PERIOD1* have been associated with morning preference^{149,150} though not consistently.¹³⁴ Since morningness–eveningness represents a continuum, it is likely this trait is polygenic, influenced by several genes, each contributing to the determination of circadian phase preference. Thus, further studies investigating other clock genes, as well as replication of the *PER* and *CLOCK* findings, are needed to establish precisely the molecular components of behavioral circadian phase preference.

Interindividual differences in morningness–eveningness are believed to manifest into extreme cases classified as primary circadian rhythm sleep disorders (CRSDs), with altered phase relationships of the biological clock to the light–dark cycle, including alterations in sleep timing.^{151,152} Thus, extreme eveningness is thought to result in CRSD, delayed sleep phase type (usually referred to as a disorder and abbreviated as DSPD¹⁵²), while extreme morningness can manifest as CRSD, advanced sleep phase type (usually referred to as a disorder and abbreviated as ASPD).^{151,152} The extent to which these phase-displacement disorders reflect differences in endogenous circadian period, amplitude, coupling, entrainment, or other aspects of clock neurobiology has been the focus of recent research.

The genetic basis of DSPD and ASPD related to phenotypic chronotype has been investigated in recent years, both demonstrating links to core clock genes.^{130,153,154} DSPD, the most common CRSD in the general population, is characterized by an inability to fall asleep at the desired and “normal” time of day; the average onset of sleep in DSPD occurs in the early morning (0300–0600 h), and the average wake-up time occurs in the late morning to early afternoon (1100–1400 h).¹⁵² DSPD also may be characterized by a longer than

normal tau (e.g., 25 h).¹⁵⁵ The VNTR polymorphism in *PER3* is associated with DSPD in large sample studies,^{139,140,142} and the 3111C allele of the *CLOCK* gene 5'-UTR region also has been related to DSPD.¹³¹ In addition, a specific haplotype of *PER3*, which includes the polymorphism G647, is associated positively with DSPD,¹⁴² while the N408 allele of casein kinase I epsilon protects against DSPD in a Japanese population¹⁵⁶ but not in a Brazilian population.¹⁵⁷

ASPD is a rarer disorder than DSPD and is characterized by 3- to 4-h advanced sleep onsets and wake times relative to desired, normal times.^{152,158} It may be associated with a shorter-than-normal tau (e.g., <24 h).¹⁵⁹ In one study, ASPD was shown to be associated with a mutation in *PER2*,¹⁶⁰ although a later study failed to replicate this finding.¹⁶¹ Another report implicated mutations in casein kinase I delta in ASPD.¹⁶² Future studies on additional core clock genes are needed to determine other mutations that may underlie this disorder.

Morningness–eveningness and differences in circadian phase preference are reflected in the diurnal time course of neurobehavioral variables¹⁶³—some people perform consistently better in the morning, whereas others are more alert and perform better in the evening.

How genetic variants underlying morningness–eveningness and chronotype disorders affect performance and alertness under normal and sleep-deprived conditions remains an emerging and important field of investigation. Two studies have shown that the longer, 5-repeat allele of the VNTR polymorphism in *PER3*, a clock gene linked to diurnal preference and DSPD, may be associated with higher sleep propensity both at baseline and after total sleep deprivation, and worse cognitive performance following sleep loss.^{143,144} However, a study from our laboratory found that this polymorphism related to individual differences in sleep homeostatic responses, but not performance responses to chronic sleep restriction.¹⁴⁸ The role of other core clock gene polymorphisms in response to total sleep deprivation or chronic sleep restriction remains unknown.

A number of core clock genes have been associated with interindividual differences in diurnal preference or its extreme variants. This area of research has promising implications for objectively detecting differential vulnerability to circadian disorders and lifestyles that adversely affect alertness, performance and sleep duration, and homeostasis.

6. SLEEP DEPRIVATION AND PERFORMANCE

Sleep deprivation induces a variety of physiological and neurobehavioral changes.¹⁶⁴ Both objective and subjective measures of sleep propensity increase with sleep deprivation. Sleep deprivation affects a wide range of cognitive domains (including attention, working memory, abstraction, and decision making) and results in decreases in both the encoding of new information and memory consolidation.¹⁶⁵ Vigilant attention performance and psychomotor speed, as assessed with the PVT, are affected early and progressively more severely by sleep deprivation.^{86,166} Although sustained attention seems a prerequisite for high levels of performance on more complex cognitive tasks, several studies have shown that the latter are less affected by sleep loss than attention, probably because they are more challenging and engaging than sustained attention tasks that unmask fatigue by their limited evocation of additional neural processing areas.^{39,167} In addition, some of the differences among tasks in sensitivity to sleep deprivation may be explained by practice effects confounding the effects of sleep deprivation on more complex tasks. At the same time, the ability of stimulants to counteract the effects of sleep deprivation seems to depend on the cognitive domain studied.¹⁶⁸

The neurobehavioral effects of chronic sleep restriction are less severe than those observed after acute total sleep deprivation, but the former can reach levels of deficit equivalent to

total sleep loss when the sleep restriction is severe enough (i.e., the consecutive days of restricted sleep continue long enough).^{10,32} Chronic sleep-restriction experiments suggest that the neurobiology underlying the neurobehavioral deficits can continue to undergo long-term changes. This is supported by a study investigating recovery after a period of chronic sleep restriction that suggests a single recovery night of up to 10 h time in bed is insufficient for some behavioral functions to return to prerestriction levels.³³ Evidence of longer time constants in homeostatic sleep pressure manifesting in waking neurobehavioral functions was reported by Rupp *et al.*¹⁶⁹ who varied the amount of baseline nightly sleep prior to chronic sleep restriction and found that it affected both the rate at which alertness was degraded and the rate at which deficits were reversed by repeated nights of recovery sleep.

6.1. Phenotypic and genotypic differences in response to sleep deprivation

We have repeatedly demonstrated that there are large and highly replicable, trait-like individual differences in the magnitude of fatigue, sleepiness, sleep homeostatic, and cognitive performance vulnerability to acute total sleep deprivation^{170,171} and to chronic sleep restriction.^{32,148,172,173} Some individuals are highly vulnerable to neurobehavioral performance deficits when sleep deprived, others demonstrate remarkable levels of neurobehavioral resistance to sleep loss, and others show intermediate responses.^{171,174} Thus far, studies from our laboratory and others indicate these phenotypic responses occur as a normal distribution,^{170,175} which suggests the phenotype, like chronotype, may be polygenetic.

It remains unclear, however, whether the same individuals vulnerable to the adverse neurobehavioral effects of chronic sleep restriction are also vulnerable to acute total sleep deprivation. Some studies have reported differences in behavioral, sleep homeostatic and/or physiological responses to both types of deprivation.^{32,176,177} Moreover, only a few experiments have systematically examined the same subjects in both types of deprivation.^{167,175,178–180} These studies reported inconsistent results, likely due to small sample sizes, different populations, varying doses of sleep restriction, and different outcome measures.

The reasons for differential neurobehavioral vulnerabilities to sleep loss are unknown, and thus far have not been accounted for by demographic factors, IQ, or sleep need. Moreover, psychometric scales have not reliably identified cognitively vulnerable individuals.¹⁸¹ The stable, trait-like inter-individual differences observed in response to acute total sleep deprivation—with intraclass correlation coefficients accounting for 50–90% of the variance in neurobehavioral measures^{170,171}—point to underlying genetic components. In support of this statement, a recent study by Kuna *et al.*¹⁸² conducted in monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs, found substantial differences in individual neurobehavioral responses to total sleep deprivation—56.2% of the total variance in the monozygotic twins was due to variance between pairs whereas only 14.5% of the total variance in dizygotic twins was due to variance between pairs (Fig. 7.3), indicating that the response to acute total sleep deprivation is a highly stable, genetically determined trait. Indeed, data from unrelated individuals further indicate that common genetic polymorphisms involved in sleep–wake, circadian, and cognitive regulation may underlie these large interindividual differences in neurobehavioral vulnerability to sleep deprivation in healthy adults.^{164,181,183}

Because of reported differences in behavioral, sleep homeostatic, and physiological responses to chronic sleep restriction and acute total sleep deprivation, specific candidate genes may play different roles in the degree of vulnerability and/or resilience to the neurobehavioral and homeostatic effects of acute total sleep deprivation and chronic sleep restriction. Two examples—one from a genetic variation involved in circadian regulation and one from a genetic variation involved in a cognitive regulation—illustrate this point. As

mentioned previously, the *PER3* VNTR polymorphism has been associated with individual differences in sleep homeostatic and executive performance responses to acute total sleep deprivation.^{143,144} We showed that this polymorphism related to individual differences in sleep homeostatic responses, but not cognitive performance responses to chronic sleep restriction.¹⁴⁸ By contrast, two recent studies,^{167,184} which used different sleep-restriction paradigms than that of Goel *et al.*,¹⁴⁸ claimed that the *PER3* VNTR polymorphism was related to individual differences in neurobehavioral responses to chronic sleep restriction. Notably, one of these¹⁸⁴ failed to include subjects from the critical *PER3*^{5/5} putatively vulnerable genotype, and thus its findings must be interpreted cautiously and replicated in the appropriately inclusive genotypes. As another example, we found that the *catechol-O-methyltransferase* Val158Met polymorphism predicted individual differences in sleep homeostatic responses to chronic sleep restriction,¹⁷³ but such prediction has not been shown to acute total sleep deprivation.¹⁸⁵ Clearly, more studies are warranted to investigate potential genotypic markers of phenotypic vulnerability to sleep loss and the differential role they might play in response to different types of sleep loss.

6.2. Neuroimaging of sleep deprivation and circadian variations in brain metabolism and neural activity

With few exceptions, the influences of sleep deprivation and circadian variations on brain metabolism and neural activity have been studied separately in the past two decades using various neuroimaging methods, particularly positron emission tomography (PET) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI).

PET studies of sleep deprivation have consistently reported significant reductions in metabolic rates in the thalamic, parietal, and prefrontal regions after sleep loss, which correlated with declines of cognitive performance and alertness.^{186–189} An early PET study examined the effects of time of day (a surrogate for circadian phase) on the cerebral metabolic rate of glucose and observed a trend toward increased whole brain glucose metabolism from the morning to the afternoon scans.¹⁹⁰ A more recent PET study found increased relative glucose metabolism in the brainstem and hypothalamic arousal systems and decreased relative metabolism in the posterior cortical regions during evening wakefulness compared with morning wakefulness.¹⁹¹ Moreover, variations in regional brain glucose metabolism have been reported to differ across morning and evening scans in depressed and healthy adult subjects.¹⁹² New PET studies on neurotransmitter receptors have shown downregulation of striatal dopamine receptors¹⁹³ but increases in cerebral serotonin receptor binding with sleep loss,¹⁹⁴ which may reflect a complex adaptive brain response to sleep deprivation. However, due to its invasiveness and the rapid decay of radioactive tracers, further utility of PET in imaging human brain metabolism variations associated with sleep deprivation and time-of-day effects is limited.

The vast majority of sleep deprivation and time-of-day or circadian neuroimaging studies are based on the blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) fMRI. Compared with PET, BOLD fMRI is noninvasive, more cost effective, and easier to apply, thus making it the most widely used imaging method for localizing regional brain function. BOLD studies typically compare fMRI signals during a specific cognitive task with those during a control or baseline condition to obtain task-related brain activation. A large number of BOLD studies have investigated the effects of acute total or partial sleep deprivation on brain activation during performance on a broad range of neurocognitive tasks, including arithmetic calculation,¹⁹⁵ attention,^{196–208} decision making,^{209–211} emotional processing,²¹² episodic memory,^{213–215} inhibition control,²¹⁶ logical reasoning,²¹⁷ spatial navigation,²¹⁸ verbal learning,^{219,220} visuomotor adaptation memory,²²¹ and working memory tasks.^{222–230} Many BOLD fMRI studies have found changes in neural activity after sleep

deprivation. For example, a reproducibility study showed that brain activation patterns were highly correlated across test–retest sessions and the magnitude of decreased activation in parietal regions was preserved and reproducibly correlated with behavioral decline after acute total sleep deprivation.²²⁸ Reduced frontoparietal activation was found during lapses on a visual, selective attention task in addition to decreased overall activation after total sleep deprivation.¹⁹⁹ However, robust interindividual differences in brain responses to sleep loss have also been reported. Individuals cognitively vulnerable to sleep deprivation showed reduced frontoparietal activation, while resilient individuals showed increased parietal activation associated with lapses of attention during total sleep deprivation²⁰¹ suggesting a potential neurobiological compensatory mechanism in some individuals.

Far fewer neuroimaging studies have been conducted to examine either time-of-day or circadian phase effects on brain activation. One study used functional near-infrared spectroscopy to examine circadian variability of the hemodynamic response in visual cortex throughout the day from 0800–1800 h, reporting no significant time-of-day influences on visual activation.²³¹ However, BOLD fMRI studies have shown significant time-of-day effects on brain activation when subjects performed various neurocognitive tasks. For example, Gorfine and Zisapel²³² found that left hippocampal activation was reduced during an autobiographic memory task at 2200 h compared with 1600 h, indicative of diurnal variation. Vimal and colleagues²³³ showed significantly increased BOLD activation in response to light stimuli in the suprachiasmatic nucleus at night compared with midday, while Peres and colleagues²³⁴ demonstrated systematic BOLD signal differences across the day in the motor areas during a self-paced finger-tapping task. Significant time-of-day effects were also observed in the brain orienting attentional system including the inferior parietal and frontal eye field regions during a Stroop-like task, suggesting that bottom-up attention orientation may be vulnerable to circadian factors.²³⁵

Importantly, a few recent BOLD fMRI studies have demonstrated significant interindividual differences in circadian variation of brain activation and the complex interactions between sleep homeostasis, circadian phase, and genotype. For example, using an auditory 3-back working memory task, Vandewalle and colleagues²³⁶ showed no changes in brain responses during the normal sleep–wake cycle for the putatively less-vulnerable *PER3*^{4/4} genotype, while reduced activation in the posterior prefrontal area was found in the putatively vulnerable *PER3*^{5/5} genotype when comparing evening and morning activation during a normal sleep–wake cycle. These authors also reported that blue light increased brain responses in the frontoparietal regions only in *PER3*^{4/4} individuals in the morning after one night of normal sleep, while blue light increased brain responses in the thalamic and frontoparietal regions only in *PER3*^{5/5} individuals in the morning after one night of total sleep deprivation.²³⁷ In addition, Schmidt and colleagues²³⁸ showed that morning and evening chronotypes differed in brain activation in the suprachiasmatic area at night during PVT performance. They further found that brain activation associated with conflict processing and inhibition function were maintained or increased in evening chronotypes from the subjective morning to the subjective evening but decreased in morning chronotypes under the same conditions.²³⁹

While the above findings are informative, one major limitation of task-related BOLD fMRI is that it can only measure *relative* signal changes and lacks absolute quantification of brain activity. Therefore, it is difficult to determine whether the observed BOLD activation changes are due to changes at baseline or changes during performance of specific tasks, or both.

It is also difficult for task-related BOLD fMRI to dissociate the effects of sleep loss, time-of-day, or circadian phase on brain function *per se* and on behavioral performance that

subsequently confounds brain activation. In contrast to BOLD, arterial spin-labeled (ASL) perfusion fMRI—a relatively new imaging technique—can noninvasively measure absolute cerebral blood flow (CBF) that is tightly coupled to regional brain function,^{240,241} providing a method for imaging variations of brain function at different time of day or circadian phases or after sleep loss. ASL has been increasingly used to assess waking brain function at task-free resting states as well as during different cognitive tasks.^{242,243}

We successfully used ASL to quantify CBF changes after prolonged cognitive workload without sleep loss.²⁴⁴ Currently, only one published study has used ASL and measured resting CBF changes after one night of sleep restriction.²⁴⁵ This study reported significantly reduced frontoparietal CBF following sleep loss, but only in participants with significant signs of drowsiness, while nondrowsy participants maintained CBF in the frontoparietal regions and increased CBF in basal forebrain and cingulate regions. These findings also suggest a potential neurobiological mechanism to compensate for drowsiness after sleep loss. Ongoing studies in our group as well as others are using ASL to quantify regional neural activity changes associated with time-of-day variation and sleep deprivation.²⁴⁶ Our preliminary data from scans during PVT performance in the morning and afternoon in two independent groups also showed significant time-of-day effects. Both morning and afternoon scans showed similar sensorimotor, cingulate, and frontoparietal activation while subjects performed the PVT. However, thalamic activation was observed only in the morning PVT scan, while increased activation in the right frontal eye field was observed in the afternoon PVT scan (Fig. 7.4).

Another emerging imaging method for studying sleep deprivation and time-of-day or circadian phase effects on brain activity is resting-state functional connectivity fMRI (FC-fMRI), which usually uses low frequency fluctuations of resting-state BOLD signal to examine intrinsic and spontaneous neural activity in the absence of external stimuli or tasks.^{247,248} Converging evidence from resting-state fMRI studies has indicated an organized mode of resting brain function and identified a number of brain networks associated with different domains of neurocognitive functioning.^{249–252} Two recent studies have used FC-fMRI to investigate the effect of one night of either total or partial sleep deprivation on functional connectivity.^{253,254} Both studies found that sleep deprivation reduced resting functional connectivity within the default mode network (DMN) and between DMN and its anticorrelated network, suggesting that reduced brain functional connectivity may be a precursor to behavioral impairments from sleep loss. In addition, one recent study used FC-fMRI to examine daily variations in resting brain functional connectivity and found that the DMN and sensorimotor network showed highly rhythmic connectivity patterns while the executive control network was most stable across the day.²⁵⁵

Almost all published neuroimaging studies to date have focused on acute total sleep deprivation or time-of-day effects—very few studies have examined the dynamic effects of chronic partial sleep loss and recovery on brain function and their interactions with circadian timing. Findings from the few available ASL and resting-state FC-fMRI studies already provide some important new insights. However, application of these new methods to sleep deprivation and circadian research is still in the early stages and studies are needed to further elucidate the dynamic effects of both acute and chronic sleep loss as well as circadian timing on neural activity.

7. CONCLUSIONS

The circadian drive for wakefulness, the homeostatic drive for sleep, and masking factors simultaneously interact to affect neurobehavioral functioning. Moreover, interindividual differences in circadian parameters, especially phase, and differential vulnerability to sleep

loss also markedly affect neurobehavioral responses, suggesting genetic underpinnings. The sleep homeostat and neurobehavioral performance are affected by acute total sleep deprivation and chronic sleep restriction, although the two forms of sleep loss likely differentially affect neural and behavioral responses. Identification of biomarkers that accurately predict alertness and performance via the complex interactions of the sleep homeostatic and circadian systems is of high priority and will aid in predicting performance deficits and implementing countermeasures in a variety of situations in which these two processes are dynamically covarying, such as shift work, jet lag, and imposed acute, chronic, or intermittent sleep loss.

Acknowledgments

Preparation of this chapter was supported by ONR N00014-11-1-0361 (NG), National Space Biomedical Research Institute through NASA NCC 9-58 (MB, DFD), NIH HL102119 (HR), and NIH NR004281 (DFD).

References

1. Siegel JM. Sleep viewed as a state of adaptive inactivity. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2009; 10:747–753. [PubMed: 19654581]
2. Buxton OM, Cain SW, O'Connor SP, et al. Adverse metabolic consequences in humans of prolonged sleep restriction combined with circadian disruption. *Sci Transl Med.* 2012; 4:129ra143.
3. Arble DM, Bass J, Laposky AD, Vitaterna MH, Turek FW. Circadian timing of food intake contributes to weight gain. *Obesity.* 2009; 17:2100–2102. [PubMed: 19730426]
4. Goel N, Stunkard AJ, Rogers NL, et al. Circadian rhythm profiles in women with night eating syndrome. *J Biol Rhythms.* 2009; 24:85–94. [PubMed: 19150931]
5. Kobayashi D, Takahashi O, Deshpande GA, Shimbo T, Fukui T. Association between weight gain, obesity, and sleep duration: a large-scale 3-year cohort study. *Sleep Breath.* 2012; 16:829–833. [PubMed: 21892668]
6. Chao CY, Wu JS, Yang YC, et al. Sleep duration is a potential risk factor for newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism.* 2011; 60:799–804. [PubMed: 20846701]
7. Wang Q, Xi B, Liu M, Zhang Y, Fu M. Short sleep duration is associated with hypertension risk among adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hypertens Res.* 2012; 35:1012–1018. [PubMed: 22763475]
8. Cappuccio FP, D'Elia L, Strazzullo P, Miller MA. Sleep duration and all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Sleep.* 2010; 33:585–592. [PubMed: 20469800]
9. Centers for Disease Control, Prevention. Effect of short sleep duration on daily activities—United States, 2005–2008. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011; 60:239–242. [PubMed: 21368739]
10. Belenky G, Wesensten NJ, Thorne DR, et al. Patterns of performance degradation and restoration during sleep restriction and subsequent recovery: a sleep dose–response study. *J Sleep Res.* 2003; 12:1–12. [PubMed: 12603781]
11. Basner M. Sleep duration and chronic sleep debt: are 6 hours enough? *Biol Psychol.* 2011; 87:15–16. [PubMed: 21354261]
12. Foster RG, Wulff K. The rhythm of rest and excess. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2005; 6:407–414. [PubMed: 15861183]
13. Biddle JE, Hamermesh DS. Sleep and the allocation of time. *J Polit Econ.* 1990; 98:922–943.
14. Basner M, Fomberstein KM, Razavi FM, et al. American time use survey: sleep time and its relationship to waking activities. *Sleep.* 2007; 30:1085–1095. [PubMed: 17910380]
15. Basner M, Dinges DF. Dubious bargain: trading sleep for Leno and Letterman. *Sleep.* 2009; 32:747–752. [PubMed: 19544750]
16. Wittmann M, Dinich J, Mellow M, Roenneberg T. Social jetlag: misalignment of biological and social time. *Chronobiol Int.* 2006; 23:497–509. [PubMed: 16687322]
17. Costa G, Haus E, Stevens R. Shift work and cancer—considerations on rationale, mechanisms, and epidemiology. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2010; 36:163–179. [PubMed: 20126969]

18. Driscoll TR, Grunstein RR, Rogers NL. A systematic review of the neurobehavioural and physiological effects of shiftwork systems. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2007; 11:179–194. [PubMed: 17418596]
19. Straif K, Baan R, Grosse Y, et al. Carcinogenicity of shift-work, painting, and firefighting. *Lancet Oncol.* 2007; 8:1065–1066. [PubMed: 19271347]
20. Borbély AA. A two-process model of sleep regulation. *Hum Neurobiol.* 1982; 1:195–204. [PubMed: 7185792]
21. Daan S, Beersma DGM, Borbély AA. Timing of human sleep: recovery process gated by a circadian pacemaker. *Am J Physiol.* 1984; 246:R161–R178. [PubMed: 6696142]
22. Achermann P, Borbély AA. Simulation of daytime vigilance by the additive interaction of a homeostatic and a circadian process. *Biol Cybern.* 1994; 71:115–121. [PubMed: 8068773]
23. Edgar DM, Dement WC, Fuller CA. Effect of SCN lesions on sleep in squirrel monkeys: evidence for opponent processes in sleep-wake regulation. *J Neurosci.* 1993; 13:1065–1079. [PubMed: 8441003]
24. Doran SM, Van Dongen HP, Dinges DF. Sustained attention performance during sleep deprivation: evidence of state instability. *Arch Ital Biol.* 2001; 139:253–267. [PubMed: 11330205]
25. Lim J, Dinges DF. Sleep deprivation and vigilant attention. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2008; 1129:305–322. [PubMed: 18591490]
26. Cohen DA, Wang W, Wyatt JK, et al. Uncovering residual effects of chronic sleep loss on human performance. *Sci Transl Med.* 2010; 2:14ra13.
27. Zhou X, Ferguson SA, Matthews RW, et al. Sleep, wake and phase dependent changes in neurobehavioral function under forced desynchrony. *Sleep.* 2011; 34:931–941. [PubMed: 21731143]
28. Mollicone DJ, Van Dongen HPA, Rogers NL, Dinges DF. Response surface mapping of neurobehavioral performance: testing the feasibility of split sleep schedules for space operations. *Acta Astronaut.* 2008; 63:833–840. [PubMed: 19194521]
29. Mallis MM, Mejdal S, Nguyen TT, Dinges DF. Summary of the key features of seven biomathematical models of human fatigue and performance. *Aviat Space Environ Med.* 2004; 75:A4–A14. [PubMed: 15018262]
30. Van Dongen HPA. Comparison of mathematical model predictions to experimental data of fatigue and performance. *Aviat Space Environ Med.* 2004; 75:A15–A36. [PubMed: 15018263]
31. McCauley P, Kalachev LV, Smith AD, Belenky G, Dinges DF, Van Dongen HPA. A new mathematical model for the homeostatic effects of sleep loss on neurobehavioral performance. *J Theor Biol.* 2009; 256:227–239. [PubMed: 18938181]
32. Van Dongen HP, Maislin G, Mullington JM, Dinges DF. The cumulative cost of additional wakefulness: dose–response effects on neurobehavioral functions and sleep physiology from chronic sleep restriction and total sleep deprivation. *Sleep.* 2003; 26:117–126. [PubMed: 12683469]
33. Banks S, Van Dongen HP, Maislin G, Dinges DF. Neurobehavioral dynamics following chronic sleep restriction: dose–response effects of one night of recovery. *Sleep.* 2010; 33:1013–1026. [PubMed: 20815182]
34. Monk TH. A visual analogue scale technique to measure global vigor and affect. *Psychiatry Res.* 1989; 27:89–99. [PubMed: 2922449]
35. Hoddes E, Zarcone V, Smythe H, Phillips R, Dement WC. Quantification of sleepiness: a new approach. *Psychophysiology.* 1973; 10:431–436. [PubMed: 4719486]
36. Åkerstedt T, Gillberg M. Subjective and objective sleepiness in the active individual. *Int J Neurosci.* 1990; 52:29–37. [PubMed: 2265922]
37. Thayer RE. Factor analytic and reliability studies on the Activation-Deactivation Adjective Check List. *Psychol Rep.* 1978; 42:747–756. [PubMed: 674499]
38. McNair, DM.; Lorr, M.; Druppleman, LF. EITS Manual for the Profile of Mood States. San Diego, CA: Educational and Industrial Test Services; 1971.
39. Lim J, Dinges DF. A meta-analysis of the impact of short-term sleep deprivation on cognitive variables. *Psychol Bull.* 2010; 136:375–389. [PubMed: 20438143]

40. Colquhoun, WP. Circadian variations in mental efficiency. In: Colquhoun, WP., editor. *Biological Rhythms in Human Performance*. London: Academic Press; 1971. p. 39-107.
41. Kleitman, N. *Sleep and Wakefulness*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 1963.
42. Kleitman N. Diurnal variation in performance. *Am J Physiol*. 1933; 104:449–456.
43. Folkard S. Diurnal variation in logical reasoning. *Br J Psychol*. 1975; 66:1–8. [PubMed: 1131476]
44. Folkard S, Monk TH. Circadian rhythms in human memory. *Br J Psychol*. 1980; 71:295–307.
45. Bjerner B, Holm A, Swensson A. Diurnal variation in mental performance: a study of three-shift workers. *Br J Ind Med*. 1955; 12:103–110. [PubMed: 14363590]
46. Laird DA. Relative performance of college students as conditioned by time of day and day of week. *J Exp Psychol*. 1925; 8:50–63.
47. Osman A, Lou L, Muller-Gethmann H, Rinkenauer G, Mattes S, Ulrich R. Mechanisms of speed-accuracy tradeoff: evidence from covert motor processes. *Biol Psychol*. 2000; 51:173–199. [PubMed: 10686365]
48. Dorrian, J.; Rogers, NL.; Dinges, DF. Psychomotor vigilance performance: a neurocognitive assay sensitive to sleep loss. In: Kushida, C., editor. *Sleep Deprivation: Clinical Issues, Pharmacology and Sleep Loss Effects*. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker Inc; 2005. p. 39-70.
49. Hockey, GRJ.; Colquhoun, WP. Diurnal variation in human performance: a review. In: Colquhoun, WP., editor. *Aspects of Human Efficiency: Diurnal Rhythm and Loss of Sleep*. London: English Universities Press; 1972. p. 1-23.
50. Gillooly PB, Smolensky MH, Albright DL, Hsi B, Thorne DR. Circadian variation in human performance evaluated by the Walter Reed performance assessment battery. *Chronobiol Int*. 1990; 7:143–153. [PubMed: 2242508]
51. Monk TH, Leng VC. Time of day effects in simple repetitive tasks: some possible mechanisms. *Acta Psychol*. 1982; 51:207–221.
52. Kirkcaldy BD. Performance and circadian rhythms. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*. 1984; 52:375–379. [PubMed: 6540664]
53. Folkard S, Wever RA, Wildgruber CM. Multi-oscillatory control of circadian rhythms in human performance. *Nature*. 1983; 305:223–226. [PubMed: 6888559]
54. Monk TH, Weitzman ED, Fookson JE, Moline ML, Kronauer RE, Gander PH. Task variables determine which biological clock controls circadian rhythms in human performance. *Nature*. 1983; 304:543–545. [PubMed: 6877375]
55. Johnson MP, Duffy JF, Dijk DJ, Ronda JM, Dyal CM, Czeisler CA. Short-term memory, alertness and performance: a reappraisal of their relationship to body temperature. *J Sleep Res*. 1992; 1:24–29. [PubMed: 10607021]
56. Monk TH, Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF III, et al. Circadian rhythms in human performance and mood under constant conditions. *J Sleep Res*. 1997; 6:9–18. [PubMed: 9125694]
57. Kerkhof GA, Van Dongen HPA. Morning-type and evening-type individuals differ in the phase position of their endogenous circadian oscillator. *Neurosci Lett*. 1996; 218:153–156. [PubMed: 8945751]
58. Baehr EK, Revelle W, Eastman CI. Individual differences in the phase and amplitude of the human circadian temperature rhythm: with an emphasis on morningness-eveningness. *J Sleep Res*. 2000; 9:117–127. [PubMed: 10849238]
59. Kleitman N, Jackson DP. Body temperature and performance under different routines. *J Appl Physiol*. 1950; 51:309–328. [PubMed: 14794593]
60. Blake MJF. Time of day effects on performance in a range of tasks. *Psychon Sci*. 1967; 9:349–350.
61. Orne MT. On the social psychology of the psychological experiment: with particular reference to demand characteristics and their implications. *Am Psychol*. 1962; 17:776–783.
62. Landström U, Englund K, Nordström B, Åström A. Laboratory studies of a sound system that maintains wakefulness. *Percept Mot Skills*. 1998; 86:147–161. [PubMed: 9530725]
63. Minors DS, Waterhouse JM. Circadian rhythm amplitude: is it related to rhythm adjustment and/or worker motivation? *Ergonomics*. 1983; 26:229–241. [PubMed: 6851996]

64. Mavjee V, Horne JA. Boredom effects on sleepiness/alertness in the early afternoon vs. early evening and interactions with warm ambient temperature. *Br J Psychol.* 1994; 85:317–333. [PubMed: 7921744]
65. Hull JT, Wright KP Jr, Czeisler CA. The influence of subjective alertness and motivation on human performance independent of circadian and homeostatic regulation. *J Biol Rhythms.* 2003; 18:329–338. [PubMed: 12932085]
66. Orr WC, Hoffman HJ, Hegge FW. The assessment of time-dependent changes in human performance. *Chronobiologia.* 1976; 3:293–305. [PubMed: 1024807]
67. Paz A, Berry EM. Effect of meal composition on alertness and performance of hospital night-shift workers. *Ann Nutr Metab.* 1997; 41:291–298. [PubMed: 9429691]
68. Wells AS, Read NW, Idzikowski C, Jones J. Effects of meals on objective and subjective measures of daytime sleepiness. *J Appl Physiol.* 1998; 84:507–515. [PubMed: 9475860]
69. Kräuchi K, Cajochen C, Wirz-Justice A. A relationship between heat loss and sleepiness: effects of postural change and melatonin administration. *J Appl Physiol.* 1997; 83:134–139. [PubMed: 9216955]
70. Johns MW. Sleep propensity varies with behaviour and the situation in which it is measured: the concept of somnificity. *J Sleep Res.* 2002; 11:61–67. [PubMed: 11869428]
71. Cajochen C, Zeitzer JM, Czeisler CA, Dijk DJ. Dose–response relationship for light intensity and ocular and electroencephalographic correlates of human alertness. *Behav Brain Res.* 2000; 115:75–83. [PubMed: 10996410]
72. Phipps-Nelson J, Redman JR, Dijk DJ, Rajaratnam SMW. Daytime exposure to bright light, as compared to dim light, decreases sleepiness and improves psychomotor vigilance performance. *Sleep.* 2003; 26:695–700. [PubMed: 14572122]
73. Åkerstedt T, Ficca G. Alertness-enhancing drugs as a countermeasure to fatigue in irregular work hours. *Chronobiol Int.* 1997; 14:145–158. [PubMed: 9095375]
74. Makris AP, Rush CR, Frederich RC, Taylor AC, Kelly TH. Behavioral and subjective effects of d-amphetamine and modafinil in healthy adults. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2007; 15:123–133. [PubMed: 17469936]
75. Dagan Y, Doljansky JT. Cognitive performance during sustained wakefulness: a low dose of caffeine is equally effective as modafinil in alleviating the nocturnal decline. *Chronobiol Int.* 2006; 23:973–983. [PubMed: 17050212]
76. Mills JN, Minors DS, Waterhouse JM. Adaptation to abrupt time shifts of the oscillator(s) controlling human circadian rhythms. *J Physiol.* 1978; 285:455–470. [PubMed: 745108]
77. Monk TH, Carrier J. Speed of mental processing in the middle of the night. *Sleep.* 1997; 20:399–401. [PubMed: 9302723]
78. Ang JE, Revell V, Mann A, et al. Identification of human plasma metabolites exhibiting time-of-day variation using an untargeted liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry metabolomic approach. *Chronobiol Int.* 2012; 29:868–881. [PubMed: 22823870]
79. Dallmann R, Viola AU, Tarokh L, Cajochen C, Brown SA. The human circadian metabolome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2012; 109:2625–2629. [PubMed: 22308371]
80. Kasukawa T, Sugimoto M, Hida A, et al. Human blood metabolite timetable indicates internal body time. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2012; 109:15036–15041. [PubMed: 22927403]
81. Möller-Levet CS, Archer SN, Bucca G, et al. Effects of insufficient sleep on circadian rhythmicity and expression amplitude of the human blood transcriptome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2013; 110:E1132–E1141. [PubMed: 23440187]
82. Åkerstedt T, Gillberg M, Wetterberg L. The circadian covariation of fatigue and urinary melatonin. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1982; 17:547–554. [PubMed: 7093391]
83. Van Dongen HPA, Dinges DF. Sleep, circadian rhythms, and psychomotor vigilance performance. *Clin Sports Med.* 2005; 24:237–249. [PubMed: 15892921]
84. Babkoff H, Mikulincer M, Caspy T, Carasso RL, Sing HC. The implications of sleep loss for circadian performance accuracy. *Work Stress.* 1989; 3:3–14.
85. Bohlin G, Kjellberg A. Self-reported arousal during sleep deprivation and its relation to performance and physiological variables. *Scand J Psychol.* 1973; 14:78–86. [PubMed: 4705860]

86. Basner M, Dinges DF. Maximizing sensitivity of the Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT) to sleep loss. *Sleep*. 2011; 34:581–591. [PubMed: 21532951]
87. Williams HL, Lubin A, Goodnow JJ. Impaired performance with acute sleep loss. *Psychol Monogr Gen Appl*. 1959; 73:1–26.
88. Kleitman N, Kleitman E. Effect of non-twenty-four-hour routines of living on oral temperature and heart rate. *J Appl Physiol*. 1953; 6:283–291. [PubMed: 13108824]
89. Dijk DJ, Czeisler CA. Paradoxical timing of the circadian rhythm of sleep propensity serves to consolidate sleep and wakefulness in humans. *Neurosci Lett*. 1994; 166:63–68. [PubMed: 8190360]
90. Dijk DJ, Duffy JF, Czeisler CA. Circadian and sleep/wake dependent aspects of subjective alertness and cognitive performance. *J Sleep Res*. 1992; 1:112–117. [PubMed: 10607036]
91. Monk TH, Moline ML, Fookson JE, Peetz SM. Circadian determinants of subjective alertness. *J Biol Rhythms*. 1989; 4:393–404. [PubMed: 2519602]
92. Van Dongen HPA, Dinges DF. Investigating the interaction between the homeostatic and circadian processes of sleep-wake regulation for the prediction of waking neurobehavioural performance. *J Sleep Res*. 2003; 12:181–187. [PubMed: 12941057]
93. Lenné MG, Triggs TJ, Redman JR. Interactive effects of sleep deprivation, time of day, and driving experience on a driving task. *Sleep*. 1998; 21:38–44. [PubMed: 9485531]
94. Czeisler CA, Duffy JF, Shanahan TL, et al. Stability, precision, and near-24-hour period of the human circadian pacemaker. *Science*. 1999; 284:2177–2181. [PubMed: 10381883]
95. Smith MR, Burgess HJ, Fogg LF, Eastman CI. Racial differences in the human endogenous circadian period. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4:e6014. [PubMed: 19564915]
96. Lázár AS, Santhi N, Hasan S, et al. Circadian period and the timing of melatonin onset in men and women: predictors of sleep during the weekend and in the laboratory. *J Sleep Res*. 2013; 22:155–159. [PubMed: 23216995]
97. Hasan S, Santhi N, Lázár AS, et al. Assessment of circadian rhythms in humans: comparison of real-time fibroblast reporter imaging with plasma melatonin. *FASEB J*. 2012; 26:2414–2423. [PubMed: 22371527]
98. Chang AM, Buch AM, Bradstreet DS, Klements DJ, Duffy JF. Human diurnal preference and circadian rhythmicity are not associated with the CLOCK 3111C/T gene polymorphism. *J Biol Rhythms*. 2011; 26:276–279. [PubMed: 21628555]
99. Burgess HJ, Fogg LF. Individual differences in the amount and timing of salivary melatonin secretion. *PLoS One*. 2008; 3:e3055. [PubMed: 18725972]
100. Brown SA, Fleury-Olela F, Nagoshi E, et al. The period length of fibroblast circadian gene expression varies widely among human individuals. *PLoS Biol*. 2005; 3:e338. [PubMed: 16167846]
101. Brown SA, Kunz D, Dumas A, et al. Molecular insights into human daily behavior. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008; 105:1602–1607. [PubMed: 18227513]
102. Yang S, Van Dongen HPA, Wang K, Berrettini W, Bu an M. Assessment of circadian function in fibroblasts of patients with bipolar disorder. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2009; 14:143–155. [PubMed: 18301395]
103. Lewy AJ, Sack RL. The dim light melatonin onset as a marker for circadian phase position. *Chronobiol Int*. 1989; 6:93–102. [PubMed: 2706705]
104. Horne JA, Östberg O. A self-assessment questionnaire to determine morningness–eveningness in human circadian rhythms. *Int J Chronobiol*. 1976; 4:97–110. [PubMed: 1027738]
105. Smith CS, Reilly D, Midkiff K. Evaluation of three circadian rhythm questionnaires with suggestions for an improved measure of morningness. *J Appl Psychol*. 1989; 74:728–738. [PubMed: 2793773]
106. Roenneberg T, Wirz-Justice A, Mrosovsky M. Life between clocks: daily temporal patterns of human chronotypes. *J Biol Rhythms*. 2003; 18:80–90. [PubMed: 12568247]
107. Roenneberg T, Kuehnle T, Juda M, et al. Epidemiology of the human circadian clock. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2007; 11:429–438. [PubMed: 17936039]

108. Duffy JF, Dijk DJ, Klerman EB, Czeisler CA. Later endogenous circadian temperature nadir relative to an earlier wake time in older people. *Am J Physiol.* 1998; 275:R1478–R1487. [PubMed: 9791064]
109. Foster RG, Roenneberg T. Human responses to the geophysical daily, annual and lunar cycles. *Curr Biol.* 2008; 18:R784–R794. [PubMed: 18786384]
110. Adan A, Natale V. Gender differences in morningness–eveningness preference. *Chronobiol Int.* 2002; 19:709–720. [PubMed: 12182498]
111. Randler C. Gender differences in morningness–eveningness assessed by self-report questionnaires: a meta-analysis. *Pers Individ Dif.* 2007; 43:1667–1675.
112. Mongrain V, Paquet J, Dumont M. Contribution of the photoperiod at birth to the association between season of birth and diurnal preference. *Neurosci Lett.* 2006; 406:113–116. [PubMed: 16889896]
113. Duffy JF, Cain SW, Chang AM, et al. Sex difference in the near-24-hour intrinsic period of the human circadian timing system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2001; 108:15602–15608. [PubMed: 21536890]
114. Eastman CI, Molina TA, Dziepak ME, Smith MR. Blacks (African Americans) have shorter free-running circadian periods than whites (Caucasian Americans). *Chronobiol Int.* 2012; 29:1072–1077. [PubMed: 22894720]
115. Hur YM. Stability of genetic influence on morningness-eveningness: a cross-sectional examination of South Korean twins from preadolescence to young adulthood. *J Sleep Res.* 2007; 16:17–23. [PubMed: 17309759]
116. Hur YM, Bouchard TJ Jr, Lykken DT. Genetic and environmental influence on morningness–eveningness. *Pers Individ Dif.* 1998; 25:917–925.
117. Vink JM, Groot AS, Kerkhof GA, Boomsma DI. Genetic analysis of morningness and eveningness. *Chronobiol Int.* 2001; 18:809–822. [PubMed: 11763988]
118. Barclay NL, Eley TC, Parsons MJ, Willis TA, Gregory AM. Monozygotic twin differences in non-shared environmental factors associated with chronotype. *J Biol Rhythms.* 2013; 28:51–61. [PubMed: 23382591]
119. Klei L, Reitz P, Miller M, et al. Heritability of morningness-eveningness and self-report sleep measures in a family-based sample of 521utterites. *Chronobiol Int.* 2005; 22:1041–1054. [PubMed: 16393707]
120. Watson NF, Buchwald D, Noonan C, Vitiello MV, Pack AI, Goldberg J. Is circadian type associated with sleep duration in twins? *Sleep Biol Rhythms.* 2012; 10:61–68.
121. Van Dongen, HPA.; Kerkhof, GA.; Dinges, DF. Human circadian rhythms. In: Sehgal, A., editor. *Molecular Biology of Circadian Rhythms.* New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons; 2004. p. 255-269.
122. Mongrain V, Carrier J, Dumont M. Chronotype and sex effects on sleep architecture and quantitative sleep EEG in healthy young adults. *Sleep.* 2005; 28:819–827. [PubMed: 16124660]
123. Mongrain V, Carrier J, Dumont M. Difference in sleep regulation between morning and evening circadian types as indexed by antero–posterior analyses of the sleep EEG. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2006; 23:497–504. [PubMed: 16420456]
124. Mongrain V, Carrier J, Paquet J, Bélanger-Nelson E, Dumont M. Morning and evening-type differences in slow waves during NREM sleep reveal both trait and state-dependent phenotypes. *PLoS One.* 2011; 6:e22679. [PubMed: 21829643]
125. Mongrain V, Dumont M. Increased homeostatic response to behavioral sleep fragmentation in morning types compared to evening types. *Sleep.* 2007; 30:773–780. [PubMed: 17580599]
126. Mongrain V, Noujaim J, Blais H, Dumont M. Daytime vigilance in chronotypes: diurnal variations and effects of behavioral sleep fragmentation. *Behav Brain Res.* 2008; 190:105–111. [PubMed: 18359098]
127. Taillard J, Philip P, Claustat B, et al. Time course of neurobehavioral alertness during extended wakefulness in morning- and evening-type healthy sleepers. *Chronobiol Int.* 2011; 28:520–527. [PubMed: 21797780]
128. Killgore WD. Effects of sleep deprivation and morningness-eveningness traits on risk-taking. *Psychol Rep.* 2007; 100:613–626. [PubMed: 17564238]

129. Barclay NL, Gregory AM. Quantitative genetic research on sleep: a review of normal sleep, sleep disturbances and associated emotional, behavioural, and health-related difficulties. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2013; 17:29–40. [PubMed: 22560641]
130. Takahashi JS, Hong HK, Ko CH, McDearmon EL. The genetics of mammalian circadian order and disorder: implications for physiology and disease. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2008; 9:764–775. [PubMed: 18802415]
131. Katzenberg D, Young T, Finn L, Lin L, King DP, Takahashi JS. A CLOCK polymorphism associated with human diurnal preference. *Sleep.* 1998; 21:569–576. [PubMed: 9779516]
132. Mishima K, Tozawa T, Satoh K, Saitoh H, Mishima Y. The 3111T/C polymorphism of hClock is associated with evening preference and delayed sleep timing in a Japanese population sample. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet.* 2005; 133:101–104. [PubMed: 15578592]
133. Garaulet M, Sánchez-Moreno C, Smith CE, Lee YC, Nicolás F, Ordovás JM. Ghrelin, sleep reduction and evening preference: relationships to CLOCK 3111T/C SNP and weight loss. *PLoS One.* 2011; 6:e17435. [PubMed: 21386998]
134. Choub A, Mancuso M, Coppedè F, et al. Clock T3111C and Per2 C111G SNPs do not influence circadian rhythmicity in healthy Italian population. *Neurol Sci.* 2011; 32:89–93. [PubMed: 20886252]
135. Barclay NL, Eley TC, Mill J, et al. Sleep quality and diurnal preference in a sample of young adults: associations with 5HTTLPR, PER3, and CLOCK 3111. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet.* 2011; 156B:681–690. [PubMed: 21714069]
136. Robilliard DL, Archer SN, Arendt J, et al. The 3111 Clock gene polymorphism is not associated with sleep and circadian rhythmicity in phenotypically characterized human subjects. *J Sleep Res.* 2002; 11:305–312. [PubMed: 12464098]
137. Iwase T, Kajimura N, Uchiyama M, et al. Mutation screening of the human Clock gene in circadian rhythm sleep disorders. *Psychiatry Res.* 2002; 109:121–128. [PubMed: 11927136]
138. Pedrazzoli M, Louzada FM, Pereira DS, et al. Clock polymorphisms and circadian rhythms phenotypes in a sample of the Brazilian population. *Chronobiol Int.* 2007; 24:1–8. [PubMed: 17364575]
139. Archer SN, Robilliard DL, Skene DJ, et al. A length polymorphism in the circadian clock gene PER3 is linked to delayed sleep phase syndrome and extreme diurnal preference. *Sleep.* 2003; 26:413–415. [PubMed: 12841365]
140. Pereira DS, Tufik S, Louzada FM, et al. Association of the length polymorphism in the human PER3 gene with the delayed sleep-phase syndrome: does latitude have an influence upon it? *Sleep.* 2005; 28:29–32. [PubMed: 15700718]
141. Jones KH, Ellis J, von Schantz M, Skene DJ, Dijk DJ, Archer SN. Age-related change in the association between a polymorphism in the PER3 gene and preferred timing of sleep and waking activities. *J Sleep Res.* 2007; 16:12–16. [PubMed: 17309758]
142. Ebisawa T, Uchiyama M, Kajimura N, et al. Association of structural polymorphisms in the human period3 gene with delayed sleep phase syndrome. *EMBO Rep.* 2001; 2:342–346. [PubMed: 11306557]
143. Viola AU, Archer SN, James LM, et al. PER3 polymorphism predicts sleep structure and waking performance. *Curr Biol.* 2007; 17:613–618. [PubMed: 17346965]
144. Groeger JA, Viola AU, Lo JC, von Schantz M, Archer SN, Dijk DJ. Early morning executive functioning during sleep deprivation is compromised by a PERIOD3 polymorphism. *Sleep.* 2008; 31:1159–1167. [PubMed: 18714788]
145. Lázár AS, Slak A, Lo JC, et al. Sleep, diurnal preference, health, and psychological well-being: a prospective single-allelic-variation study. *Chronobiol Int.* 2012; 29:131–146. [PubMed: 22324552]
146. Osland TM, Bjorvatn BR, Steen VM, Pallesen S. Association study of a variable-number tandem repeat polymorphism in the clock gene PERIOD3 and chronotype in Norwegian university students. *Chronobiol Int.* 2011; 28:764–770. [PubMed: 21919721]
147. Voinescu BI, Coogan AN. A variable-number tandem repeat polymorphism in PER3 is not associated with chronotype in a population with self-reported sleep problems. *Sleep Biol Rhythms.* 2012; 10:23–26.

148. Goel N, Banks S, Mignot E, Dinges DF. *PER3* polymorphism predicts cumulative sleep homeostatic but not neurobehavioral changes to chronic partial sleep deprivation. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4:e5874. [PubMed: 19516903]
149. Carpen JD, Archer SN, Skene DJ, Smits M, von Schantz M. A single-nucleotide polymorphism in the 5'-untranslated region of the hPER2 gene is associated with diurnal preference. *J Sleep Res*. 2005; 14:293–297. [PubMed: 16120104]
150. Carpen JD, von Schantz M, Smits M, Skene DJ, Archer SN. A silent polymorphism in the PER1 gene associates with extreme diurnal preference in humans. *J Hum Genet*. 2006; 51:1122–1125. [PubMed: 17051316]
151. The International Classification of Sleep Disorders. Diagnostic and Coding Manual. 2. Westchester, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2005.
152. Sack RL, Auckley D, Auger RR, et al. Circadian rhythm sleep disorders: part II, advanced sleep phase disorder, delayed sleep phase disorder, free-running disorder, and irregular sleep-wake rhythm. An American Academy of Sleep Medicine review. *Sleep*. 2007; 30:1484–1501. [PubMed: 18041481]
153. Albrecht U. Circadian clocks in mood-related behaviors. *Ann Med*. 2010; 42:241–251. [PubMed: 20350255]
154. Lamont EW, James FO, Boivin DB, Cermakian N. From circadian clock gene expression to pathologies. *Sleep Med*. 2007; 8:547–556. [PubMed: 17395534]
155. Campbell SS, Murphy PJ. Delayed sleep phase disorder in temporal isolation. *Sleep*. 2007; 30:1225–1228. [PubMed: 17910395]
156. Takano A, Uchiyama M, Kajimura N, et al. A missense variation in human casein kinase I epsilon gene that induces functional alteration and shows an inverse association with circadian rhythm sleep disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004; 29:1901–1909. [PubMed: 15187983]
157. Castro RM, Barbosa AA, Pedrazzoli M, Tufik S. Casein kinase I epsilon (CKIepsilon) N408 allele is very rare in the Brazilian population and is not involved in susceptibility to circadian rhythm sleep disorders. *Behav Brain Res*. 2008; 193:156–157. [PubMed: 18571740]
158. Reid KJ, Chang AM, Dubocovich ML, Turek FW, Takahashi JS, Zee PC. Familial advanced sleep phase syndrome. *Arch Neurol*. 2001; 58:1089–1094. [PubMed: 11448298]
159. Jones CR, Campbell SS, Zee SE, et al. Familial advanced sleep-phase syndrome: a short-period circadian rhythm variant in humans. *Nat Med*. 1999; 5:1062–1065. [PubMed: 10470086]
160. Toh KL, Jones CR, He Y, et al. An hPer2 phosphorylation site mutation in familial advanced sleep phase syndrome. *Science*. 2001; 291:1040–1043. [PubMed: 11232563]
161. Satoh K, Mishima K, Inoue Y, Ebisawa T, Shimizu T. Two pedigrees of familial advanced sleep phase syndrome in Japan. *Sleep*. 2003; 26:416–417. [PubMed: 12841366]
162. Xu Y, Padiath QS, Shapiro RE, et al. Functional consequences of a CKIdelta mutation causing familial advanced sleep phase syndrome. *Nature*. 2005; 434:640–644. [PubMed: 15800623]
163. Kerkhof GA. Inter-individual differences in the human circadian system: a review. *Biol Psychol*. 1985; 20:83–112. [PubMed: 3888298]
164. Goel N, Rao H, Durmer JS, Dinges DF. Neurocognitive consequences of sleep deprivation. *Semin Neurol*. 2009; 29:320–339. [PubMed: 19742409]
165. Diekelmann S, Born J. The memory function of sleep. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2010; 11:114–126. [PubMed: 20046194]
166. Basner M, Mollicone DJ, Dinges DF. Validity and sensitivity of a brief Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT-B) to total and partial sleep deprivation. *Acta Astronaut*. 2011; 69:949–959. [PubMed: 22025811]
167. Lo JC, Groeger JA, Santhi N, et al. Effects of partial and acute total sleep deprivation on performance across cognitive domains, individuals and circadian phase. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:e45987. [PubMed: 23029352]
168. Killgore WDS, Grugle NL, Balkin TJ. Gambling when sleep deprived: don't bet on stimulants. *Chronobiol Int*. 2012; 29:43–54. [PubMed: 22217100]
169. Rupp TL, Wesensten NJ, Bliese PD, Balkin TJ. Banking sleep: realization of benefits during subsequent sleep restriction and recovery. *Sleep*. 2009; 32:311–321. [PubMed: 19294951]

170. Van Dongen HPA, Baynard MD, Maislin G, Dinges DF. Systematic interindividual differences in neurobehavioral impairment from sleep loss: evidence of trait-like differential vulnerability. *Sleep*. 2004; 27:423–433. [PubMed: 15164894]
171. Van Dongen HP, Maislin G, Dinges DF. Dealing with interindividual differences in the temporal dynamics of fatigue and performance: importance and techniques. *Aviat Space Environ Med*. 2004; 75:A147–A154. [PubMed: 15018277]
172. Goel N, Banks S, Mignot E, Dinges DF. *DQB1*0602* predicts interindividual differences in physiologic sleep, sleepiness and fatigue. *Neurology*. 2010; 75:1509–1519. [PubMed: 20975052]
173. Goel N, Banks S, Lin L, Mignot E, Dinges DF. Catechol-*O*-methyltransferase Val158Met polymorphism associates with individual differences in sleep physiologic responses to chronic sleep loss. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6:e29283. [PubMed: 22216231]
174. Goel N, Dinges DF. Behavioral and genetic markers of sleepiness. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2011; 7:S19–S21. [PubMed: 22003324]
175. Rupp TL, Wesensten NJ, Balkin TJ. Trait-like vulnerability to total and partial sleep loss. *Sleep*. 2012; 35:1163–1172. [PubMed: 22851812]
176. Rowland LM, Thomas ML, Thorne DR, et al. Oculomotor responses during partial and total sleep deprivation. *Aviat Space Environ Med*. 2005; 76:C104–C113. [PubMed: 16018336]
177. Drummond SP, Anderson DE, Straus LD, Vogel EK, Perez VB. The effects of two types of sleep deprivation on visual working memory capacity and filtering efficiency. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:e35653. [PubMed: 22530064]
178. Tassi P, Schimchowitsch S, Rohmer O, et al. Effects of acute and chronic sleep deprivation on daytime alertness and cognitive performance of healthy snorers and non-snorers. *Sleep Med*. 2012; 13:29–35. [PubMed: 22177345]
179. Philip P, Sagaspe P, Prague M, et al. Acute versus chronic partial sleep deprivation in middle-aged people: differential effect on performance and sleepiness. *Sleep*. 2012; 35:997–1002. [PubMed: 22754046]
180. Drake CL, Roehrs TA, Burduvali E, Bonahoom A, Rosekind M, Roth T. Effects of rapid versus slow accumulation of eight hours of sleep loss. *Psychophysiology*. 2001; 38:979–987. [PubMed: 12240674]
181. Goel N. Genetics of sleep timing, duration and homeostasis in humans. *Sleep Med Clin*. 2011; 6:171–182. [PubMed: 23450791]
182. Kuna ST, Maislin G, Pack FM, et al. Heritability of performance deficit accumulation during acute sleep deprivation in twins. *Sleep*. 2012; 35:1223–1233. [PubMed: 22942500]
183. Goel N, Dinges DF. Predicting risk in space: genetic markers for differential vulnerability to sleep restriction. *Acta Astronaut*. 2012; 77:207–213. [PubMed: 23524958]
184. Rupp TL, Wesensten NJ, Newman R, Balkin TJ. PER3 and ADORA2A polymorphisms impact neurobehavioral performance during sleep restriction. *J Sleep Res*. 2013; 22:160–165. [PubMed: 23171222]
185. Bodenmann S, Xu S, Luhmann U, et al. Pharmacogenetics of modafinil after sleep loss: catechol-*O*-methyltransferase genotype modulates waking functions but not recovery sleep. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2009; 85:296–304. [PubMed: 19037200]
186. Wu JC, Gillin JC, Buchsbaum MS, et al. The effect of sleep deprivation on cerebral glucose metabolic rate in normal humans assessed with positron emission tomography. *Sleep*. 1991; 14:155–162. [PubMed: 1866529]
187. Wu JC, Gillin JC, Buchsbaum MS, et al. Frontal lobe metabolic decreases with sleep deprivation not totally reversed by recovery sleep. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2006; 31:2783–2792. [PubMed: 16880772]
188. Thomas M, Sing H, Belenky G, et al. Neural basis of alertness and cognitive performance impairments during sleepiness. I. Effects of 24 h of sleep deprivation on waking human regional brain activity. *J Sleep Res*. 2000; 9:335–352. [PubMed: 11123521]
189. Thomas M, Sing H, Belenky G, et al. Neural basis of alertness and cognitive performance impairments during sleepiness II. Effects of 48 and 72 h of sleep deprivation on waking human regional brain activity. *Thalamus Relat Syst*. 2003; 2:199–229.

190. Bartlett EJ, Brodie JD, Wolf AP, Christman DR, Laska E, Meissner M. Reproducibility of cerebral glucose metabolic measurements in resting human subjects. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 1988; 8:502–512. [PubMed: 3260593]
191. Buysse DJ, Nofzinger EA, Germain A, et al. Regional brain glucose metabolism during morning and evening wakefulness in humans: preliminary findings. *Sleep.* 2004; 27:1245–1254. [PubMed: 15586778]
192. Germain A, Nofzinger EA, Meltzer CC, et al. Diurnal variation in regional brain glucose metabolism in depression. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2007; 62:438–445. [PubMed: 17217926]
193. Volkow ND, Tomasi D, Wang GJ, et al. Evidence that sleep deprivation downregulates dopamine D2R in ventral striatum in the human brain. *J Neurosci.* 2002; 32:6711–6717. [PubMed: 22573693]
194. Elmenhorst D, Kroll T, Matusch A, Bauer A. Sleep deprivation increases cerebral serotonin 2A receptor binding in humans. *Sleep.* 2012; 35:1615–1623. [PubMed: 23204604]
195. Drummond SPA, Brown GG, Stricker JL, Buxton RB, Wong EC, Gillin JC. Sleep deprivation-induced reduction in cortical functional response to serial subtraction. *Neuroreport.* 1999; 10:3745–3748. [PubMed: 10716202]
196. Drummond SPA, Gillin JC, Brown GG. Increased cerebral response during a divided attention task following sleep deprivation. *J Sleep Res.* 2001; 10:85–92. [PubMed: 11422722]
197. Mander BA, Reid KJ, Davuluri VK, et al. Sleep deprivation alters functioning within the neural network underlying the covert orienting of attention. *Brain Res.* 2008; 1217:148–156. [PubMed: 18511023]
198. Tomasi D, Wang RL, Telang F, et al. Impairment of attentional networks after 1 night of sleep deprivation. *Cereb Cortex.* 2009; 19:233–240. [PubMed: 18483003]
199. Chee MW, Tan JC, Zheng H, et al. Lapsing during sleep deprivation is associated with distributed changes in brain activation. *J Neurosci.* 2008; 28:5519–5528. [PubMed: 18495886]
200. Chee MW, Tan JC, Parimal S, Zagorodnov V. Sleep deprivation and its effects on object-selective attention. *Neuroimage.* 2010; 49:1903–1910. [PubMed: 19761853]
201. Chee MW, Tan JC. Lapsing when sleep deprived: neural activation characteristics of resistant and vulnerable individuals. *Neuroimage.* 2010; 51:835–843. [PubMed: 20171288]
202. Chee MW, Goh CS, Namburi P, Parimal S, Seidl KN, Kastner S. Effects of sleep deprivation on cortical activation during directed attention in the absence and presence of visual stimuli. *Neuroimage.* 2011; 58:595–604. [PubMed: 21745579]
203. Lim J, Tan JC, Parimal S, Dinges DF, Chee MW. Sleep deprivation impairs object-selective attention: a view from the ventral visual cortex. *PLoS One.* 2010; 5:e9087. [PubMed: 20140099]
204. Jackson ML, Hughes ME, Croft RJ, et al. The effect of sleep deprivation on BOLD activity elicited by a divided attention task. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 2011; 5:97–108. [PubMed: 21271311]
205. Kong D, Soon CS, Chee MW. Reduced visual processing capacity in sleep deprived persons. *Neuroimage.* 2011; 55:629–634. [PubMed: 21195190]
206. Kong D, Soon CS, Chee MW. Functional imaging correlates of impaired distractor suppression following sleep deprivation. *Neuroimage.* 2012; 61:50–55. [PubMed: 22426349]
207. Czisch M, Wehrle R, Harsay HA, et al. On the need of objective vigilance monitoring: effects of sleep loss on target detection and task-negative activity using combined EEG/ fMRI. *Front Neurol.* 2012; 3:67. [PubMed: 22557992]
208. Muto V, Shaffiile Bourdieu A, Matarazzo L, et al. Influence of acute sleep loss on the neural correlates of alerting, orientating and executive attention components. *J Sleep Res.* 2012; 21:648–658. [PubMed: 22594455]
209. Venkatraman V, Chuah YM, Huettel SA, Chee MW. Sleep deprivation elevates expectation of gains and attenuates response to losses following risky decisions. *Sleep.* 2007; 30:603–609. [PubMed: 17552375]
210. Venkatraman V, Huettel SA, Chuah LY, Payne JW, Chee MW. Sleep deprivation biases the neural mechanisms underlying economic preferences. *J Neurosci.* 2011; 31:3712–3718. [PubMed: 21389226]
211. Libedinsky C, Smith DV, Teng CS, et al. Sleep deprivation alters valuation signals in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex. *Front Behav Neurosci.* 2011; 5:70. [PubMed: 22028686]

212. Yoo SS, Gujar N, Hu P, Jolesz FA, Walker MP. The human emotional brain without sleep—a prefrontal amygdale disconnect. *Curr Biol*. 2007; 17:R877–R878. [PubMed: 17956744]
213. Yoo SS, Hu PT, Gujar N, Jolesz FA, Walker MP. A deficit in the ability to form new human memories without sleep. *Nat Neurosci*. 2007; 10:385–392. [PubMed: 17293859]
214. Chuah LY, Chong DL, Chen AK, et al. Donepezil improves episodic memory in young individuals vulnerable to the effects of sleep deprivation. *Sleep*. 2009; 32:999–1010. [PubMed: 19725251]
215. Darsaud A, Dehon H, Lahl O, et al. Does sleep promote false memories? *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2011; 23:26–40. [PubMed: 20146605]
216. Chuah YM, Venkatraman V, Dinges DF, Chee MW. The neural basis of inter-individual variability in inhibitory efficiency after sleep deprivation. *J Neurosci*. 2006; 26:7156–7162. [PubMed: 16822972]
217. Drummond SP, Brown GG, Salamat JS, Gillin JC. Increasing task difficulty facilitates the cerebral compensatory response to total sleep deprivation. *Sleep*. 2004; 27:445–451. [PubMed: 15164897]
218. Strangman G, Thompson JH, Strauss MM, Marshburn TH, Sutton JP. Functional brain imaging of a complex navigation task following one night of total sleep deprivation: a preliminary study. *J Sleep Res*. 2005; 14:369–375. [PubMed: 16364137]
219. Drummond SPA, Brown GG, Gillin JC, Stricker JL, Wong EC, Buxton RB. Altered brain response to verbal learning following sleep deprivation. *Nature*. 2000; 403:655–657. [PubMed: 10688201]
220. Drummond SP, Meloy MJ, Yanagi MA, Orff HJ, Brown GG. Compensatory recruitment after sleep deprivation and the relationship with performance. *Psychiatry Res*. 2005; 140:211–223. [PubMed: 16263248]
221. Albouy G, Vandewalle G, Sterpenich V, et al. Sleep stabilizes visuomotor adaptation memory: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Sleep Res*. 2013; 22:144–154. [PubMed: 23121320]
222. Chee MW, Choo WC. Functional imaging of working memory after 24 hr of total sleep deprivation. *J Neurosci*. 2004; 24:4560–4567. [PubMed: 15140927]
223. Chee MW, Chuah LY, Venkatraman V, Chan WY, Philip P, Dinges DF. Functional imaging of working memory following normal sleep and after 24 and 35 h of sleep deprivation: correlations of frontoparietal activation with performance. *Neuroimage*. 2006; 31:419–428. [PubMed: 16427321]
224. Chee MW, Chuah YM. Functional neuroimaging and behavioral correlates of capacity decline in visual short-term memory after sleep deprivation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007; 104:9487–9492. [PubMed: 17517619]
225. Choo WC, Lee WW, Venkatraman V, Sheu FS, Chee MW. Dissociation of cortical regions modulated by both working memory load and sleep deprivation and by sleep deprivation alone. *Neuroimage*. 2005; 25:579–587. [PubMed: 15784437]
226. Mu Q, Nahas Z, Johnson KA, et al. Decreased cortical response to verbal working memory following sleep deprivation. *Sleep*. 2005; 28:55–67. [PubMed: 15700721]
227. Mu Q, Mishory A, Johnson KA, et al. Decreased brain activation during a working memory task at rested baseline is associated with vulnerability to sleep deprivation. *Sleep*. 2005; 28:433–446. [PubMed: 16171288]
228. Lim J, Choo WC, Chee MW. Reproducibility of changes in behaviour and f MRI activation associated with sleep deprivation in a working memory task. *Sleep*. 2007; 30:61–70. [PubMed: 17310866]
229. Chuah LY, Dolcos F, Chen AK, Zheng H, Parimal S, Chee MW. Sleep deprivation and interference by emotional distracters. *Sleep*. 2010; 33:1305–1313. [PubMed: 21061852]
230. Lythe KE, Williams SC, Anderson C, Libri V, Mehta MA. Frontal and parietal activity after sleep deprivation is dependent on task difficulty and can be predicted by the f MRI response after normal sleep. *Behav Brain Res*. 2012; 233:62–70. [PubMed: 22565029]
231. Schroeter ML, Bücheler MM, Scheid R. Circadian variability is negligible in primary visual cortices as measured by fNIRS. *Int J Psychophysiol*. 2006; 62:9–13. [PubMed: 16343672]

232. Gorfine T, Zisapel N. Melatonin and the human hippocampus, a time dependent interplay. *J Pineal Res.* 2007; 43:80–86. [PubMed: 17614839]
233. Vimal RL, Pandey-Vimal MU, Vimal LS, et al. Activation of suprachiasmatic nuclei and primary visual cortex depends upon time of day. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2009; 29:399–410. [PubMed: 19200242]
234. Peres I, Vetter C, Blautzik J, et al. Chronotype predicts activity patterns in the neural underpinnings of the motor system during the day. *Chronobiol Int.* 2011; 28:883–889. [PubMed: 22080733]
235. Marek T, Fafrowicz M, Golonka K, et al. Diurnal patterns of activity of the orienting and executive attention neuronal networks in subjects performing a Stroop-like task: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Chronobiol Int.* 2010; 27:945–958. [PubMed: 20636208]
236. Vandewalle G, Archer SN, Wuillaume C, et al. Functional magnetic resonance imaging-assessed brain responses during an executive task depend on interaction of sleep homeostasis, circadian phase, and PER3 genotype. *J Neurosci.* 2009; 29:7948–7956. [PubMed: 19553435]
237. Vandewalle G, Archer SN, Wuillaume C, et al. Effects of light on cognitive brain responses depend on circadian phase and sleep homeostasis. *J Biol Rhythms.* 2011; 26:249–259. [PubMed: 21628552]
238. Schmidt C, Collette F, Leclercq Y, et al. Homeostatic sleep pressure and responses to sustained attention in the suprachiasmatic area. *Science.* 2009; 324:516–519. [PubMed: 19390047]
239. Schmidt C, Peigneux P, Leclercq Y, et al. Circadian preference modulates the neural substrate of conflict processing across the day. *PLoS One.* 2012; 7:e29658. [PubMed: 22238632]
240. Detre JA, Leigh JS, Williams DS, Koretsky AP. Perfusion imaging. *Magn Reson Med.* 1992; 23:37–45. [PubMed: 1734182]
241. Detre JA, Alsop DC. Perfusion magnetic resonance imaging with continuous arterial spin labeling: methods and clinical applications in the central nervous system. *Eur J Radiol.* 1999; 30:115–124. [PubMed: 10401592]
242. Detre JA, Wang J, Wang Z, Rao H. ASL perfusion MRI in basic and clinical neuroscience. *Curr Opin Neurol.* 2009; 22:348–355. [PubMed: 19491678]
243. Detre JA, Rao H, Wang DJ, Chen YF, Wang Z. Applications of arterial spin labeled MRI in the brain. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2012; 35:1026–1037. [PubMed: 22246782]
244. Lim J, Wu WC, Wang J, Detre JA, Dinges DF, Rao H. Imaging brain fatigue from sustained mental workload: an ASL perfusion study of the time-on-task effect. *Neuroimage.* 2010; 49:3426–3435. [PubMed: 19925871]
245. Poudel GR, Innes CRH, Jones RD. Cerebral perfusion differences between drowsy and non-drowsy individuals following acute sleep restriction. *Sleep.* 2012; 35:1085–1096. [PubMed: 22851804]
246. Rao H, Lim J, Zhu S, Hu S, Detre JA, Dinges DF. Differential effects of total sleep deprivation on regional cortical activity at rest and during Psychomotor Vigilance Test performance. *Sleep.* 2011; 34:A97.
247. Biswal B, Yetkin FZ, Haughton VM, Hyde JS. Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echoplanar MRI. *Magn Reson Med.* 1995; 34:537–541. [PubMed: 8524021]
248. Fox MD, Raichle ME. Spontaneous fluctuations in brain activity observed with functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2007; 8:700–711. [PubMed: 17704812]
249. Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Corbetta M, Van Essen DC, Raichle ME. The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2005; 102:9673–9678. [PubMed: 15976020]
250. Damoiseaux JS, Rombouts SA, Barkhof F, et al. Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2006; 103:13848–13853. [PubMed: 16945915]
251. Laird AR, Fox PM, Eickhoff SB, et al. Behavioral interpretations of intrinsic connectivity networks. *J Cogn Neurosci.* 2011; 23:4022–4037. [PubMed: 21671731]
252. Raichle ME. The restless brain. *Brain Connect.* 2011; 1:3–12. [PubMed: 22432951]
253. Sämann PG, Tully C, Spoormaker VI, et al. Increased sleep pressure reduces resting state functional connectivity. *MAGMA.* 2010; 23:375–389. [PubMed: 20473549]

254. De Havas JA, Parimal S, Soon CS, Chee MW. Sleep deprivation reduces default mode network connectivity and anti-correlation during rest and task performance. *Neuroimage*. 2012; 59:1745–1751. [PubMed: 21872664]
255. Blautzik J, Vetter C, Peres I, et al. Classifying f MRI-derived resting-state connectivity patterns according to their daily rhythmicity. *Neuroimage*. 2012; 71C:298–306. [PubMed: 22906784]
256. Goel, N.; Van Dongen, HPA.; Dinges, DF. Circadian rhythms in sleepiness, alertness, and performance. In: Kryger, MH.; Roth, T.; Dement, WC., editors. *Principles and Practice of Sleep Medicine*. 5. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2011. p. 445-455.

Figure 7.1.

Circadian variation across a 40-h period of wakefulness in measures of subjective sleepiness as assessed by visual analogue scale (VAS, note reversed scale direction); in cognitive performance speed as assessed by the digit symbol substitution task (DSST); in psychomotor speed as reflected in the 10% fastest reaction times (RT) assessed by the Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT); and in core body temperature (CBT) as assessed by a rectal thermistor. Data shown are the mean values from five subjects who remained awake in dim light, in bed, in a constant routine protocol, for 36 h consecutively (a distance-weighted least-squares function was fitted to each variable). The circadian trough is evident in each variable (marked by vertical broken lines). A phase difference is also apparent such that all three neurobehavioral variables had their average minimum between 3.0 and 4.5 h after the time of the body temperature minimum. This phase delay in neurobehavioral

functions relative to CBT has been consistently observed. Although body temperature reflects predominantly the endogenous circadian clock, neurobehavioral functions are also affected by the homeostatic pressure for sleep, which escalates with time awake and which may contribute to the phase delay through interaction with the circadian clock. Neurobehavioral functions usually show a circadian decline at night as is observed in CBT, but they continue their decline after CBT begins to rise, making the subsequent 2–6 h period (clock time approximately 0600–1000 h) a zone of maximum vulnerability to loss of alertness and to performance failure. *Reprinted with permission from Ref. 256.*

Figure 7.2.

Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT) performance parameters of healthy adults during an 88 h period of limited to no sleep in the laboratory. The open circles represent 13 subjects undergoing 88 h of total sleep deprivation, and the filled squares represent 15 control subjects given a 2-h time in bed nap opportunity once every 12 h (0245–0445 h and 1445–1645 h) throughout the 88 h period (nap times are not shown in the figure). Graph A: mean (SEM) PVT reaction times (RT), which as RTs >500 ms are frank errors of omission and referred to as lapses of attention (i.e., responding too slowly). Graph B: mean (SEM) PVT errors of commission, which result from premature responses and reflect impulsiveness (i.e., responding too fast). Graph C: mean (SEM) of PVT RT standard deviations for each test bout, reflecting the magnitude of interindividual differences in performance. The subjects who underwent 88 h without sleep showed clear circadian variation in both lapses of attention (A) and premature responses (B), as well as interindividual differences in these effects (C). *Figure adapted and modified with permission from Ref. 24.*

Figure 7.3.

The individual linear slopes of the change in Psychomotor Vigilance Task (PVT) transformed lapses during 38 h of total sleep deprivation in monozygotic (MZ; A) and dizygotic (DZ; B) twin pairs. Data for each MZ and DZ twin pair are plotted together on the abscissa. In each panel, the pairs are ordered by the magnitude of their impairment (averaged over each pair), with the most resistant twin pair on the left and the most vulnerable twin pair on the right. The panels reveal substantial differences in individual responses to sleep deprivation. The intraclass correlation (ICC) revealed greater similarity within MZ twin pairs than within DZ twin pairs. There was 56.2% of the total variance in the MZ twins due to variance between pairs whereas only 14.5% of the total variance in DZ twins was due to variance between pairs. *Reprinted with permission from Ref. 182.*

A Morning PVT

B Afternoon PVT

Figure 7.4.

Time-of-day effects on absolute cerebral blood flow (CBF) activation during the Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT). Twenty healthy adults performed the PVT in the morning (between 0700– and 0900 h) and a separate group of 15 healthy adults performed the PVT in the afternoon (between 1400– and 1700 h)—both groups did so during ASL perfusion fMRI scanning. Brain scans at both times of day showed significant activation in the sensorimotor, cingulate, and frontoparietal regions. However, thalamic activation (indicated by the arrows in A) was only observed in the morning scan while increased activation in the right frontal eye field (indicated by the arrow in B) was observed in the afternoon scan (Hengyi Rao, unpublished data).