Published in final edited form as:

Z Anorg Allg Chem. 2013 July 1; 639(8-9): 1351–1355. doi:10.1002/zaac.201300163.

A Sulfide-Bridged Diiron(II) Complex with a *cis*-N₂H₄ Ligand

Bryan D. Stubbert^[a], Javier Vela^[a], William W. Brennessel^[a], and Patrick L. Holland^{[a],*}

^[a]Department of Chemistry University of Rochester Rochester, NY, USA 14627

Abstract

A sulfide-bridged diiron(II) complex bearing a *cis*-N₂H₄ (hydrazine) ligand has been prepared by reaction of LFe^{II}(μ-S)Fe^{II}L (1; L = sterically encumbered βdiketiminato ligand) with 2 molar equivalents of N₂H₄. The metastable diiron(II) hydrazine complex LFe^{II}(μ-S)(μH N–NH₂)Fe^{II} (3) is formed, as shown by crystallography, and NMR, vibrational, and electronic absorption spectroscopies. Compound 3 has been crystallographically characterized as its DBU (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene) adduct, which exhibits weak N–H⋯DBU hydrogen bonding. The synthetic process evolves roughly 2 equivalents of NH₃. The *cis*-N₂H₄ bridge in 3 may be relevant to the structure and function of intermediates on the FeMoco of nitrogenase.

Keywords

Nitrogenase; FeMoco; Hydrazine; Iron sulfide; Werner complex; Coordination chemistry

Introduction

Coordination chemistry has its roots in the work of Werner, which was recognized by a Nobel Prize 100 years ago in 1913.¹ Coordination chemistry relevant to biological systems now represents a dynamic subdiscipline of coordination chemistry.² One challenge in bioinorganic chemistry is biological dinitrogen reduction (eq 1),

which is best characterized at the iron-molybdenum cofactor (FeMoco, Figure 1) of nitrogenase enzymes.³ Likely intermediates include hydrazine (H₂N–NH₂) and its short-lived partner diazene (HN=NH), which are also substrates for nitrogenase.^{3,4} Recent studies have trapped adducts (or products) from N₂, HN=NCH₃, and N₂H₄ on the FeMoco.⁵

Progress in understanding the structure and reactive states of FeMoco has been facilitated through spectroscopic studies on model complexes and enzymes.^{3,6} The FeMoco in its resting state has an intriguing six-coordinate carbide ion at its center (Figure 1),⁷ and ¹⁴C tracer studies indicate that the carbide does not exchange during catalytic turnover.⁸ This suggests that the central carbide may function as a hemilabile ligand, in which some bonds are reversibly broken upon reductive activation of the cofactor.^{4,6a,9} It is therefore reasonable to hypothesize that substrate binding occurs at one or more low-coordinate Fe atoms bonded directly to a bridging sulfide ligand. This idea could be supported by understanding of the binding modes and behavior of N₂H_x fragments on well-characterized multi-iron sulfide complexes. Work by Sellmann established the complexation of hydrazine

*Corresponding Author holland@chem.rochester.edu.

Supporting Information: NMR spectra of 3; details on X-ray crystal structure of 3•DBU.

and diazene in iron complexes,^{9a} and more recent progress has come from study of additional mononuclear and dinuclear iron complexes with parent N₂H₄ ligands.^{10,11}

We previously reported the reactivity of a sulfide-bridged diiron(II) complex (**1**) with ammonia and with substituted hydrazines.¹² Addition of H₂NNR₂ (R = alkyl) gave isolable Lewis base adducts, while addition of phenylhydrazine afforded a mixed-valence Fe^{II}Fe^{III} complex (**2**).¹² Formation of **2** required two equivalents of **1** for every three PhNHNH₂ consumed, generating one equivalent each of NH₃ and PhNH₂ (Scheme 1). Herein, we report the reaction of **1** with the parent N₂H₄, which releases NH₃ and forms a DBU-stabilized diiron(II) complex of *cis*-coordinated hydrazine (**3**•DBU). To our knowledge, **3** is the first sulfide-bridged diiron complex of *cis*-N₂H₄.¹³ Because **3** has a low-coordinate iron-sulfide core that resembles a part of the FeMoco, study of its structure and spectroscopic features is an important step in defining the possible geometries of iron-hydrazine adducts during N₂ reduction.

Results and Discussion

In an improved synthesis, complex **1** was synthesized from Me₃P=S and 2 equiv of a three-coordinate iron(II) β-diketiminate isobutyl complex in 89% yield (Ar = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl), as described in the Experimental Section.¹⁴ When **1** is treated with at least 2 equiv of hydrazine in THF-*d*₈ at 0 to 30 °C, a red solution instantly forms with new ¹H NMR signals consistent with **3** (Scheme 2). Addition of excess N₂H₄ has no observable effect on product formation (leading only to more rapid decomposition of **3**), and addition of less than 2 equiv of N₂H₄ to **1** gives partial conversion to **3**. The lifetime of **3** in solution is relatively short (*t*_{1/2} ~ 30 min at 25 °C in C₆D₆, toluene, THF, or Et₂O), with ¹H NMR and UV-vis spectroscopic data showing decomposition to unidentified, insoluble products. This rapid decomposition prevented full characterization of **3** by microanalysis.

A single crystal of **3**•DBU was obtained by allowing an Et₂O solution of **1**, hydrazine, and DBU (1,8-diazabicycloundec-7-ene) to stand at -38 °C (Scheme 2). The X-ray crystallographic structure is shown in Figure 2. Complex **3**•DBU is a rare example of a crystallographically characterized sulfide-bridged *cis*-N₂H₄ complex,¹⁵ and the only such diiron complex.¹⁶ The solid-state structure displays an Fe...Fe distance of 3.5960(7) Å, and the Fe-S-Fe angle of 105.68(4)° is similar to those in other sulfide-bridged diiron complexes.^{12, 17} Previous diiron hydrazine complexes typically feature *trans* binding, including Sellman's low-spin iron(II) complexes with a sulfur-rich coordination environment¹⁸ that exhibit Fe-N_{hydrazine} distances of 1.867(4)-1.913(2) Å and N-N distances in the range 1.234(7)-1.300(7) Å. In **3**•DBU the Fe-N_{hydrazine} distances of 2.231(13) and 2.163(6) Å are longer, which is attributable to the expected high-spin electronic configuration of the iron atoms in **3**, which differs from the low-spin electronic configuration in the literature compounds. The asymmetry in the Fe-N_{hydrazine} bond lengths is typical, however.¹⁴

The X-ray structural data for **3**•DBU fit well to a superposition of two conformers, which could be modeled adequately. In order to model this disorder, the N-N distances in each conformer were restrained to be similar and therefore should be viewed with some caution, though the average refined N-N bond distance of 1.434(13) Å is similar to those in the literature for bridging hydrazines.¹⁸ In the few known diiron hydrazine adducts, a *cis* coordination geometry is particularly rare,^{13,10b} and the solid-state structure of the tris(phosphino)borate-supported low-spin diiron(II) complex exhibits an N-N_{hydrazine} distance of 1.465(3) Å. The Fe-N-N angles in **3**•DBU are each roughly 112°, consistent with sp³ hybridization about N in the N₂H₄ ligand. Complex **3** also exhibits UV-vis (λ_{max}

497 nm; $\epsilon = 3700 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) and highly symmetrical ^1H NMR spectra at 25 °C, consistent with the assigned structure.

Infrared spectra of **3** showed a $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ band at 3361 cm^{-1} that shifted to 2490 cm^{-1} in **3-*d*₄** (formed in an analogous fashion from **1** and N_2D_4). This N-H stretching frequency are shifted from those in free N_2H_4 , which exhibits a single band centered at $\sim 3300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Related hydrazine complexes (and their isotopomers) display similar IR absorptions between 3398 and 3139 cm^{-1} .¹⁰

It is important to note that **3** was also formed in the absence of DBU, and formation of the characteristic ^1H NMR resonances of **3** was independent of the presence or absence of DBU. These experiments suggest that this base does not play a significant role in forming **3**, and does not bind strongly to **3** in solution. However, a hydrogen bond between hydrazine and DBU is clearly present in crystalline **3**·DBU, with an $\text{N}\cdots\text{N}$ distance of $2.91(2) \text{ \AA}$. Thus, we surmise that its role is limited to enabling the packing of **3**·DBU into single crystals.

The requirement for more than 1 equiv of hydrazine during the formation of **3** suggested that some of the hydrazine might be reduced to ammonia, as previously observed during the formation of **2**.¹² Thus, a toluene solution of **1** was treated with > 2 equivalents of N_2H_4 (with or without DBU) at 25 °C in a sealed vessel, followed by vacuum distillation of volatile materials onto a degassed solution of 1.0 M HCl in Et_2O at -78 or -196 °C. The NH_4Cl formed was weighed to determine the yield of NH_3 . In smaller scale reactions, ^1H NMR spectra of NH_4Cl solutions in $\text{DMSO-}d_6$ were used to integrate the amount of recovered ammonia vs. an internal mesitylene integration standard.¹⁹ Each method indicated the presence of 1.7 ± 0.3 equiv of NH_4Cl per mole of **1**. Because we were unable to isolate significant quantities of **3** or **3**·DBU in pure form, we were unable to determine whether the ~ 2 equiv of ammonia arose during the formation of **3** or from subsequent degradation of **3**. Therefore, the full stoichiometry of the formation of **3**, its decomposition pathway, and the source of electrons for the hydrazine reduction remain unknown.

The poor solubility of hydrazine in suitable solvents and extremely rapid formation of **3** hindered studies to determine the mechanism through which complex **3** is formed. However, we note that neither 1,4-cyclohexadiene (up to 1 M) nor BHT (up to 50 mM) has a discernible effect on the formation of **2** or **3**, suggesting the absence of free radicals. Also, the presence or absence of DBU gave no appreciable differences in the lifetime of **3**, as measured by UV-vis and ^1H NMR spectroscopy.

Conclusions

A sulfide-bridged *cis*-hydrazine complex **3** has been generated by addition of N_2H_4 to a low-coordinate, sulfide-bridged diiron(II) complex and characterized by X-ray crystallography, as well as by ^1H NMR and FT-IR spectroscopies. This is relevant to biological N_2 reduction, by showing that N_2H_4 can be cooperatively bound by two nearby Fe atoms with a sulfide bridge, and providing metrical and spectroscopic details on this type of compound.

Experimental Section

General Considerations

All manipulations were performed under N_2 or Ar atmosphere via standard Schlenk techniques or in an inert atmosphere glovebox maintained at $< 2 \text{ ppm O}_2$ and $< 2 \text{ ppm H}_2\text{O}$. Unless otherwise noted, NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz Bruker Avance spectrometer at 20 °C, and are referenced to residual solvent peaks. Infrared data were recorded using a Shimadzu FT-IR 8400S spectrometer. Electronic absorption spectra were

recorded using a Cary 50 UV-vis spectrophotometer using quartz cuvettes with a screw cap. Low-temperature ($T > -60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) and in situ-added N_2H_4 experiments were conducted in quartz cuvettes fused to a resealable glass extension bearing a sidearm valve and a ground glass joint sealed with a rubber septum or glass stopper. Excess N_2H_4 was added via cannula syringe (loaded in the glovebox) as a neat reagent. FT-IR spectroscopic studies were conducted using thin films cast onto NaCl plates from concentrated Et_2O solutions (or the aforementioned reaction media) immediately prior to data collection. Anhydrous hydrazine (Aldrich) was degassed using three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and then dried by passage through a plug of activated alumina in the glove box. Solvents were dried over Na/benzophenone and/or by passage through a column (Glass Contour Co., Laguna Beach, CA) or plug of activated alumina under N_2 atmosphere and stored in the glovebox. Celite was dried at $200\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ under vacuum overnight. Trimethylphosphine sulfide was prepared in quantitative yield by adding a slight excess of a 1.0 M toluene solution of trimethylphosphine to a rapidly stirred solution of elemental sulfur dissolved in toluene under an N_2 atmosphere while cooling in a $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ bath, then allowing the solution to warm to $20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ overnight. $^{20}\text{L}^{\text{Me}}\text{Fe}^i\text{Bu}$, $^{21}\text{HL}^{\text{Me}}$, $^{22}[\text{L}^{\text{Me}}\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$, $^{23}\text{FeCl}_2(\text{THF})_{1.5}$ 24 were prepared according to literature procedures. L^{Me} refers to the 2,4-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenylimido)-pentyl anion.

$\text{L}^{\text{Me}}\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-S})\text{FeL}^{\text{Me}}(\mathbf{1})$

A 100 mL resealable flask was charged with a magnetic stir bar, $\text{L}^{\text{Me}}\text{Fe}^i\text{Bu}$ (480 mg, 0.905 mmol), and toluene (15 mL). To this solution was slowly added a toluene solution (15 mL) of Me_3PS (213 mg, 1.97 mmol) over 2-3 min while stirring at $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The dark red-brown solution was heated in a $100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ oil bath for 24 h. Volatile materials were removed *in vacuo* at $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, leaving a brown residue. The solids were taken up in pentane (20 mL), and the resulting brown solution was filtered through a Celite pad and evacuated to dryness. Crystallization from pentane or 4:1 pentane:(Me_3Si) $_2\text{O}$ mixture (ca. 15 mL) affords **1** as a crystalline burgundy solid in 89.2% yield (395 g, 0.403 mmol). The spectroscopic properties agree with literature values.¹²

$\text{L}^{\text{Me}}\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-})\mu\text{-N}_2\text{H}_4\text{FeL}^{\text{Me}}(\mathbf{3})$

This complex was prepared in situ or immediately prior to spectroscopic studies, because of its decomposition within minutes at room temperature. In NMR scale experiments, the purity of up to 1.0 mL of a 5-20 mM solution of **3** in benzene- d_6 , toluene- d_8 , THF- d_8 was verified by ^1H NMR spectroscopy in a J. Young NMR tube containing a sealed capillary tube holding an internal standard solution (typically $\text{L}^{\text{tBu}}\text{FeCl}$ in toluene- d_8). In the glovebox, neat N_2H_4 (ca. 1-100 mL) was added by microsyringe to the wall of the NMR tube, taking care to prevent mixing with the solution of **3**. The sample was then shaken immediately before freezing or ^1H NMR spectroscopic observations. ^1H NMR spectra at $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ were similar in C_6D_6 (Figure S1) and THF- d_8 (Figure S2), and are most consistently assigned as δ 10 (6H, backbone CH_3), 7.2 (4H, isopropyl $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ or *m*-Ar), -1.4 (2H, *p*-Ar), -2.3 (12H, isopropyl $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), -7 (4H, isopropyl $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ or *m*-Ar), -14 (1H, backbone CH), -24 (12H, isopropyl $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm (all broad singlets). The assignments are not definitive, due to overlap with peaks from decomposition products (identified in Figures S1 and S2). FT-IR (thin film on NaCl plates): $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ 3361 cm^{-1} ; $\nu_{\text{N-D}}$ 2490 cm^{-1} ; see Figure S3. UV-vis (toluene): λ 497 nm; ϵ $3700\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}$. Elemental analysis was not feasible due to instability. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained using a similar procedure, quickly combining Et_2O solutions of **1**, DBU, and N_2H_4 in a 1:2:2 molar ratio and immediately cooling to $-40\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$.

X-ray Crystallography

A crystal was placed onto the tip of a 0.1 mm diameter glass fiber and mounted on a Siemens SMART CCD platform diffractometer for data collection at 193(2) K.²⁵ The full data collection was carried out using MoK α radiation (graphite monochromator) with a frame time of 10 seconds and a detector distance of 5.09 cm. A randomly oriented region of reciprocal space was surveyed: four major sections of frames were collected with 0.25° steps in ω at four different ϕ settings and a detector position of -28° in 2θ . The intensity data were corrected for absorption.²⁶ Final cell constants were calculated from the xyz centroids of 4012 strong reflections from the actual data collection after integration.²⁷

The two independent iron-diketimate moieties are related by a pseudo-inversion center located at the midpoint of the iron atoms. The bridging sulfide and hydrazine ligands, however, are not related by the center, nor are there any significant unassigned electron density peaks to suggest disorder. Likewise the cocrystallized DBU molecule does not possess inversion symmetry and no electron density peaks suggested disorder. Initially, space group *P1* was selected because the E^2-1 value was 0.754. Afterwards the Flack parameter refined to 0.227(14), which confirmed the choice of the chiral space group. As a further test, the structure was also refined in space group *P*-1 with the bridging ligands modeled as disordered with each other over a crystallographic inversion center and with the DBU molecule modeled as disordered over another center: the *R1* value increased by over 3%. Thus the structure is best represented in chiral space group *P1* as an inversion twin with a component mass ratio of 73:27.

Although one cocrystallized pentane solvent molecule was identified and located in the difference Fourier map, it was disordered over multiple positions. Reflection contributions from this solvent molecule were removed using the Squeeze function of program Platon,²⁸ which determined there to be 44 electrons in 182 Å³ removed per unit cell. Because the amount and identity of the solvent were known, the solvent was included in the molecular formula. The bridging hydrazine ligand is modeled as disordered over two positions (53:47). Due to the proximity of atom N2' to atom N1 (atoms from different orientations of the hydrazine ligand disorder), the two N—N bond lengths (N1—N2 and N1'—N2') were restrained to be similar. Additionally, the anisotropic displacement parameters for atoms N1 and N2' were constrained to be equivalent. There is one cocrystallized DBU molecule per diiron molecule that is hydrogen-bonded via the hydrazine ligand. A full ORTEP diagram including the DBU is in Figure S4.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the NIH (GM-065313) for funding, and Christine Flaschenriem for crystallographic contributions.

References

1. a Basolo, F. From Coello to Inorganic Chemistry: A Lifetime of Reactions. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2002. b Constable EC, Housecroft CE. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013 1429 and references therein.
2. Bertini, I.; Gray, HB.; Stiefel, EI.; Valentine, JS. Biological Inorganic Chemistry: Structure and Reactivity. University Science Books; Sausalito, CA: 2006.

3. a Howard JB, Rees DC. *Chem. Rev.* 1996; 96:2965. [PubMed: 11848848] b Burgess BK, Lowe DJ. *Chem. Rev.* 1996; 96:2983. [PubMed: 11848849] c Holland, PL. *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II*. McCleverty, J.; Meyer, TJ., editors. Vol. 8. Elsevier; Oxford: 2004. p. 569d Barney BM, Lee H-I, Dos Santos PC, Hoffman BM, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC. *Dalton Trans.* 2006:2277. [PubMed: 16688314]
4. a Barney BM, Laryukhin M, Igarashi RY, Lee H-I, Dos Santos PC, Yang T-C, Hoffman BM, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC. *Biochemistry.* 2005; 44:8030. [PubMed: 15924422] b Kästner J, Blöchl PEC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:2998. [PubMed: 17309262] c Barney BM, McClelland J, Lukoyanov D, Laryukhin M, Yang T-C, Dean DR, Hoffman BM, Seefeldt LC. *Biochemistry.* 2007; 46:6784. [PubMed: 17508723] d Danyal K, Inglet BS, Vincent KA, Barney BM, Hoffman BM, Armstrong FA, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:13197. [PubMed: 20812745] e Lukoyanov D, Yang Z-Y, Barney BM, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC, Hoffman BM. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2012; 109:5583. [PubMed: 22460797]
5. a Barney BM, Yang T-C, Igarashi RY, Dos Santos PC, Laryukhin M, Lee H-I, Hoffman BM, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:14960. [PubMed: 16248599] b Lukoyanov D, Dikanov SA, Yang Z-Y, Barney BM, Samoilova RI, Narasimhulu KV, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC, Hoffman BM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:11655. [PubMed: 21744838]
6. a Peters, JC.; Mehn, MP. *Activation of Small Molecules*. Tolman, WB., editor. VCH; Weinheim: 2006. p. 81b Hu Y, Ribbe MW. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2009; 43:475. [PubMed: 20030377] c Hoffman BM, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2009; 42:609. [PubMed: 19267458] d Hoffman BM, Lukoyanov D, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2013; 46:587. [PubMed: 23289741]
7. a Einsle O, Tezcan FA, Andrade SLA, Schmid B, Hida M, Yos, Howard JB, Rees DC. *Science.* 2002; 297:1696. [PubMed: 12215645] b Lancaster KM, Roemelt M, Ettenhuber P, Hu Y, Ribbe MW, Neese F, Bergmann U, DeBeer S. *Science.* 2011; 334:974. [PubMed: 22096198] c Spatzal T, Aksoyoglu M, Zhang L, Andrade SLA, Schleicher E, Weber S, Rees DC, Einsle O. *Science.* 2011; 334:940. [PubMed: 22096190] d Wiig JA, Hu Y, Lee CC, Ribbe MW. *Science.* 2012; 337:1672. [PubMed: 23019652]
8. Wiig JA, Lee CC, Hu Y, Ribbe MW. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013; 135:4982. [PubMed: 23514429]
9. a Sellmann D, Fursattel A. J. Sutter, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2000; 2008202:545. b Holland PL. *Can. J. Chem.* 2005; 83:296.
10. a Zdilla MJ, Verma AK, Lee SC. *Inorg. Chem.* 2008; 47:11382. [PubMed: 18975938] b Saouma CT, Muller P, Peters JC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:10358. [PubMed: 19722612] c Lee Y, Mankad NP, Peters JC. *Nat. Chem.* 2010; 2:558. [PubMed: 20571574] d Saouma CT, Moore CE, Rheingold AL, Peters JC. *Inorg. Chem.* 2011; 50:11285. [PubMed: 22004139]
11. a Rath SP, Olmstead MM, Balch AL. *Inorg. Chem.* 2004; 43:6357. [PubMed: 15446884] b Yu Y, Brennessel WW, Holland PL. *Organometallics.* 2007; 26:3217. [PubMed: 18725998] c Crossland JL, Zakharov LN, Tyler DR. *Inorg. Chem.* 2007; 46:10476. [PubMed: 17983220] d Field LD, Li HL, Magill AM. *Inorg. Chem.* 2009; 48:5. [PubMed: 19046077] e Crossland JL, Balesdent CG, Tyler DR. *Dalton Trans.* 2009:4420. [PubMed: 19488434] f Field LD, Li HL, Dalgarno SJ, Jensen P, McIntosh RD. *Inorg. Chem.* 2011; 50:5468. [PubMed: 21618998] g Crossland JL, Balesdent CG, Tyler DR. *Inorg. Chem.* 2012; 51:439. [PubMed: 22017491] h Saouma CT, Lu CC, Peters JC. *Inorg. Chem.* 2012; 51:10043. [PubMed: 22950847]
12. Vela J, Stoian S, Flaschenriem CJ, Münck E, Holland PL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:4522. [PubMed: 15070362]
13. Thiolate-bridged iron complexes have been reported with diazene ligands: Chen Y, Zhou Y, Chen P, Tao Y, Li Y, Qu J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:15250. [PubMed: 18954139] Yuki M, Miyake Y, Nishibayashi Y. *Organometallics.* 2012; 31:2953. Chen Y, Liu L, Peng Y, Chen P, Luo Y, Qu J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:1147. [PubMed: 21218814]
14. This approach was recently reported for a similar family of diiron sulfide complexes: Rodriguez MM, Stubbert BD, Scarborough CC, Brennessel WW, Bill E, Holland PL. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:8247.
15. a Matsumoto K, Koyama T, Koide Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999; 121:10913. b Liu Y, Kanhere PD, Wong CL, Tian Y, Feng Y, Boey F, Wu T, Chen H, White TJ, Chen Z, Zhang Q. *Dalton Trans.* 2010:2644. [PubMed: 20179859]
16. Cambridge Structural Database, Version 5.34. Feb 2.2013

17. See, for example: Mukherjee RN, Stack TDP, Holm RH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988; 110:1850. Corazza F, Floriani C, Zehnder M. *Dalton Trans.* 1987:709. Dorfman JR, Girerd JJ, Simhon ED, Stack TDP, Holm RH. *Inorg. Chem.* 1984; 23:4407.
18. a Sellmann D, Blum DCF, Heinemann FW. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* 2002; 337:1. b Sellmann D, Soglowek W, Knoch F, Moll M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1989; 28:1271.
19. a Brown SD, Peters JC, J. C. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:1913. [PubMed: 15701026] b Betley TA, Peters JC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:6252. [PubMed: 15149221] c Yandulov DV, Schrock RR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:6252. [PubMed: 12033849]
20. Jones WD, Selmecky AD. *Organometallics.* 1992; 11:889.
21. Vela J, Vaddadi S, Cundari TR, Smith JM, Gregory EA, Lachicotte RJ, Flaschenriem CJ, Holland PL. *Organometallics.* 2004; 23:5226.
22. Adhikari D, Tran BAL, Zuno-Cruz FJ, Cabrera GS, Mindiola DJ, Chiang KP, Cowley RE, Dugan TR, Holland PL. *Inorg. Synth.* 2010; 35:8.
23. Stubbert BD, Holland PL, Adhikari D, Mindiola DJ. *Inorg. Synth.* 2010; 35:38.
24. Winter G. *Inorg. Synth.* 1973; 14:101.
25. *SMART*, V5.629. Bruker AXS; Madison, WI: 2003.
26. Sheldrick, GM. *SADABS*, version 2008/1. University of Göttingen; Göttingen, Germany: 2008.
27. *SAINT*, version 7.06A. Bruker AXS; Madison, WI: 2003.
28. Spek AL. *Acta. Cryst.* 2009; D65:148.

Figure 1. Structural similarity between a portion of the FeMoco of nitrogenase (left) and complex **1** (right).

Scheme 1.

Previously reported reactions of **1** with substituted hydrazines, including the formation of complex **2**.¹²

Scheme 2.

Formation of **3**, which is crystallized as **3·DBU**. DBU forms a hydrogen bond to **3** in the solid-state structure, but does not bind strongly in solution.

Figure 2. Thermal-ellipsoid plot (50% probability) of *cis*-hydrazine diiron(II) complex **3**·DBU. Carbon-bound H atoms, isopropyl groups of ligand, and DBU omitted for clarity. There is disorder in the bridging N₂H₄ ligand, and the major conformation is shown.