Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2010 February 19; 12(4): 892–895. doi:10.1021/ol902969j.

Benzotetramisole-Catalyzed Dynamic Kinetic Resolution of Azlactones

Xing Yang, Guojian Lu, and Vladimir B. Birman

Department of Chemistry, Washington University, Campus Box 1134, One Brookings Drive, St. Louis, Missouri 63130

Vladimir B. Birman: birman@wustl.edu

Abstract

Enantioselective acyl transfer catalyst benzotetramisole (BTM) has been found to promote dynamic kinetic resolution of azlactones providing di(1-naphthyl)methyl esters of α -amino acids with up to 96% ee.

Dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR)¹ of azlactones² by way of their enantioselective alcoholysis (Figure 1) provides an attractive approach to the asymmetric synthesis of α -amino acid derivatives. Enzymatic variant of this transformation,³ while often successful, suffers from one inherent drawback: since enzymes are available in only one enantiomeric form, the reversal of enantioselectivity in a given reaction requires identification of a different enzyme, which is not always a trivial matter. Therefore, the development of nonenzymatic alternatives is of significant practical interest. Several mechanistically different approaches to activating azlactones toward alcoholysis have been employed. In 1997, Seebach et al. reported a Lewis acid-catalyzed version of this reaction using Ti(IV) TADDOLate **3** producing moderate ee's (up to 68%).⁴ Promising levels of enantioselectivity (up to 78% ee) were achieved by Fu et al. in 1998 using enantioselective acyl transfer catalyst **4** (Figure 2). However, the reaction was extremely slow (50% conversion/1 week).⁵ Low enantioselectivities (<39% ee) were reported by Hua et al. in 1999 using a combination of a chiral diketopiperazine, *cyclo*-[(S)-His-(S)-Phe] **5**, with diisopropyl L-tartrate, presumed to activate azlactones via hydrogen bonding.⁶ More recently (2005–2008), encouraging results have been obtained by Berkessel et al.⁷ and Connon et al.⁸ using bifunctional catalysts **6** (72–95% ee) and **7** (78–88% ee), respectively.

Surprisingly, apart from Fu's seminal study, there have been no other reported attempts to achieve DKR of azlactones using enantioselective acyl transfer catalysis. Over the past several years, our group has developed amidine-based catalysts **8–11** (Figure 3), which

display high enantioselectivity in the acylation of several classes of alcohols and oxazolidinones.⁹ Recently, Shiina et al.¹⁰ have demonstrated the utility of BTM **10** in the kinetic resolution (KR) of α -arylpropionic acids via enantioselective alcoholysis of their mixed anhydrides. We have found that HBTM **11** is also effective in the KR of α -aryloxy- and arylthioalkanoic acids via their symmetrical anhydrides.¹¹ These results have encouraged us to re-examine the possibility of DKR of azlactones via the acyl transfer mechanism.

An equimolar combination of HBTM and benzoic acid was found to promote the methanolysis of substrate ($\pm$)-**1a** without any appreciable enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 1). Switching to benzyl alcohol resulted in a modest *ee* (entry 2). The reaction with diarylcarbinols was extremely slow (entries 3 and 4). After these disappointing first results, we were pleased to discover that BTM is much more effective in this reaction. Encouraging results were obtained even using methanol (entry 5); however, the bulky di(1-naphthyl)methanol^{10,11} was required to bring the enantiomeric excess to a respectable 85% (entry 11). A single recrystallization from ethyl acetate produced completely enantiopure material (>99.5% *ee*). The earlier imidazoline-based catalysts, **8** and **9**, proved to be competent but less active and less enantioselective than BTM (entries 12–14).

Changing the amount of benzoic acid relative to the catalyst did not have a significant effect on the reaction rate or the enantioselectivity, although in the absence of the acid promoter the reaction did not proceed at all, consistent with Fu's original report⁵ (entries 1–4, Table 2). Lower catalyst loadings, down to 2 mol %, were still effective, although required prolonged reaction times (entries 5 and 6). Decreased temperatures proved to be detrimental to the enantioselectivity, while higher temperatures resulted in a higher rates, but the same *ee* (entries 7–9). Solvents other than chloroform were less effective, in line with our earlier experience with the KR of alcohols^{9c} (entries 10–13).

DKR of other azlactones bearing primary alkyl substituents **1b–e** produced uniformly good yields and *ee*'s in the 80–90% range (Table 3, entries 1–5). Isopropyl-substituted substrate **1f** proved resistant to alcoholysis under the same conditions (entry 6). On the other hand, excellent enantioselectivity (94% *ee*) was obtained in the case of 2,4-diphenylazlactone **1g** (entry 7). This result was all the more remarkable given the fact that the highest *ee* previously reported for either enzymatic^{3a} or nonenzymatic^{7a} DKR of this substrate (or any other 4-aryl-substituted azlactones) has been 75%. Variation of electronic properties of the C4-aryl substituent had only a slight effect on the enantioselectivity or reaction rate (entries 8–11). DKR of the 1-naphthyl-substituted substrate **1l**, however, proceeded rather slowly and with lower enantioselectivity (entry 12). Substrate **1m** was completely unreactive, presumably due to the presence of an *ortho*-substituent (entry 13).

Initially, azlactones **1a–m** used in this study were synthesized in a separate step and purified by recrystallization before subjecting them to the DKR conditions. Later, however, we found that *in situ* cyclization of *N*-benzoyl- α -amino acids with DCC followed by addition of di(1-naphthyl)methanol and the catalyst works just as well as the earlier, more time-consuming protocol (cf. entries 14–16 vs 8 and 9, Table 3). Coupled with the one-step synthesis of starting materials via the Ben-Ishai amidoalkylation¹² of simple arenes, this procedure provides an attractive route to enantioenriched arylglycine derivatives¹³ illustrated in Scheme 1. Hydrogenolysis of dinaphthylmethyl ester **2i** proceeded without appreciable erosion of enantiomeric purity.

It is of interest to analyze the mechanism of enantiodifferentiation in the DKR of azlactones. Berkessel et al.^{7a} proposed that the thiourea-catalyzed version of this process occurs via irreversible, hydrogen bonding-assisted attack of the alcohol on the less hindered face of the

substrate, which results in the highest enantioselectivity being observed in the case of the bulkiest C4-substituents (cf. general structure **1**, R¹ = isobutyl (**1b**), isopropyl (**1f**), or *tert*-butyl). The situation is clearly different in our case. The strong dependence of the enantioselectivity on the alcohol nucleophile indicates that enantiodifferentiation occurs in the second step of the catalytic cycle (Figure 4). The absolute sense of asymmetric induction and structure-selectivity trends can be explained by transition state model **15**, which invokes hydrogen bonding between the benzamide group and the reacting carbonyl. The involvement of π - π interactions with the C2-phenyl group on the catalyst and/or lower steric repulsion may explain the higher enantioselectivity generally observed in the DKR of the aryl-substituted azlactones **1g-k** compared to the alkyl-substituted ones **1a-e**. The low reactivity of substrate bearing bulky C4 substituents (cf. **1f**, **1l**, and **1m**) is also consistent with this proposal.

In conclusion, we have developed a new, highly enantioselective method for the DKR of azlactones. It is especially suited for the C4-aryl-substituted substrates, thus complementing the previously available enzymatic and nonenzymatic protocols. Further exploration of its substrate scope is under active investigation in our laboratory.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Washington University for the financial support of this study. Mass spectrometry was provided by the Wash. U. Mass Spectrometry Resource, an NIH Research Resource (Grant No. P41RR0954).

References

1. For a recent review of dynamic kinetic resolution, see: Pellissier H. *Tetrahedron*. 2008; 64:1563.
2. Fisk JS, Mosey RA, Tepe JJ. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2007; 36:1432. [PubMed: 17660876]
3. See, e.g., Crich JZ, Brieva R, Marquart P, Gu RL, Flemming S, Sih CJ. *J Org Chem*. 1993; 58:3252. Brown SA, Parker MC, Turner NJ. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 2000; 11:1687. and references cited therein.
4. (a) Seebach D, Jaeschke G, Gottwald K, Mastuda K, Formisano R, Chaplin DA, Breuning M, Bringmann G. *Tetrahedron*. 1997; 53:7539. (b) Gottwald K, Seebach D. *Tetrahedron*. 1999; 55:723.
5. Liang J, Ruble JC, Fu GC. *J Org Chem*. 1998; 63:3154.
6. Xie L, Hua W, Chan ASC, Leung YC. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 1999; 10:4715.
7. (a) Berkessel A, Cleeman F, Mukherjee S, Müller TN, Lex J. *Angew Chem*. 2005; 44:807. [PubMed: 15657971] (b) Berkessel A, Mukherjee S, Cleemann F, Müller TN, Lex J. *Chem Commun*. 2005:1898. (c) Berkessel A, Mukherjee S, Müller TN, Cleeman F, Roland K, Brandenburg M, Neudörfl JM, Lex J. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2006; 4:4319. [PubMed: 17102877]
8. Peschiulli A, Quigley C, Tallon S, Gun'ko YuK, Cannon SJ. *J Org Chem*. 2008; 73:6409. [PubMed: 18646859]
9. (a) Birman VB, Uffman EW, Jiang H, Li X, Kilbane CJ. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2004; 126:12226. [PubMed: 15453730] (b) Birman VB, Jiang H. *Org Lett*. 2005; 7:3445. [PubMed: 16048313] (c) Birman VB, Li X. *Org Lett*. 2006; 8:1351. [PubMed: 16562889] (d) Birman VB, Jiang H, Li X, Guo L, Uffman EW. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128:6536. [PubMed: 16704235] (e) Birman VB, Guo L. *Org Lett*. 2006; 8:4859. [PubMed: 17020321] (f) Birman VB, Li X. *Org Lett*. 2008; 10:1115. [PubMed: 18278928] Zhang Y, Birman VB. *Adv Synth Catal*. 2009; 351:2525. [PubMed: 23807875]
10. Shiina I, Nakata K, Onda Y. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2008:5887.
11. Yang X, Birman VB. *Adv Synth Catal*. 2009; 351:2301. [PubMed: 20179782]
12. Ben-Ishai D, Satati I, Bernstein Z. *Tetrahedron*. 1976; 32:1571.

13. For a review of asymmetric routes to α -arylglycines, see: Williams RM, Hendrix JA. Chem Rev. 1992; 92:889. For more recent examples, see: Beenen MA, Weix DJ, Ellman JA. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:6304. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 16683780]

Figure 1.
Dynamic kinetic resolution of azlactones.

Figure 2.
Catalysts previously employed for the DKR of azlactones.

Figure 3.
Amidine-based catalysts used in this study.

Figure 4. Proposed catalytic cycle and transition state (benzoate anion omitted for clarity).

Scheme 1.
Asymmetric Synthesis of Arylglycines

Table 1

Catalyst and Alcohol Screening

entry	catalyst (mol %)	time, d	R ²	% convn ^a	% ee
1	11 (5)	1	Me	54	<3
2	11 (5)	1	PhCH ₂	47	-25
3	11 (5)	1	Ph ₂ CH	5	ND
4	11 (10)	1	1-Np ₂ CH	<5	ND
5	10 (5)	2	Me	91 ^b	34 ^b
6	10 (5)	2	PhCH ₂	94 ^b	48 ^b
7	10 (5)	2	1-NpCH ₂	97 ^b	51 ^b
8	10 (5)	2	2-NpCH ₂	96 ^b	47 ^b
9	10 (5)	2	Me ₂ CH	<5	ND
10	10 (5)	2	Ph ₂ CH	91 ^b	75 ^b
11	10 (5)	2	1-Np ₂ CH	96 ^b	85 ^b
12	10 (10)	0.4	1-Np ₂ CH	92 ^b	80 ^b
13	8 (10)	2	1-Np ₂ CH	47	59
14	9 (10)	2	1-Np ₂ CH	47	-52

^a Conversion was determined by ¹H NMR, unless indicated otherwise.^b Reported % isolated yields and % ee's are averages of two runs.

Table 2

Variation of Reaction Conditions^a

entry	mol % 10/mol % BzOH	time d	temp °C	solvent	% convn	% ee
1	10/0	1	23	CDCl ₃	<5	ND
2	10/5	0.4	23	CDCl ₃	90	83
3	10/10	0.4	23	CDCl ₃	92	80
4	10/20	0.4	23	CDCl ₃	93	82
5	5/5	2	23	CDCl ₃	96	85
6	2/2	4	23	CDCl ₃	94	84
7	5/5	2	0	CDCl ₃	95	72
8	10/5	2	-20	CDCl ₃	94	55
9	5/5	1	45	CDCl ₃	91	84
10	5/5	2	23	C ₆ D ₆	98	80
11	5/5	2	23	CH ₂ Cl ₂	95	74
12	5/5	2	23	CH ₃ CN	85	66
13	5/5	2	23	THF	<5	ND

^a Conversion in all cases was determined by ¹H NMR.

Table 3

Structural Variation of Azlactone Substrates^a

entry	substrate	time d	R ¹	% yield ^b	% ee ^b
1	1a	0.4	Me	90	83
2	1b	7	Me ₂ CHCH ₂	97	80
3	1c	3	MeSCH ₂ CH ₂	92	90
4	1d	4	PhCH ₂	94	83
5	1e	4	CH ₂ =CHCH ₂	88	83
6	1f	4	Me ₂ CH	<5	ND
7	1g	2	Ph	89	94
8	1h	2	<i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄	87	91
9	1i	2	<i>p</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	88	96
10	1j	2	<i>p</i> -BrC ₆ H ₄	90	95
11	1k	2	2-naphthyl	88	91
12	1l	7	1-naphthyl	46	76
13	1m	2	2,4-(MeO) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	0	ND
14 ^c	1h	2	<i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄	86	92
15 ^c	1i	2	<i>p</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	86	97
16 ^c	1n	2	<i>p</i> -FC ₆ H ₄	90	95

^a Na₂SO₄ was added to prevent loss of catalytic activity of BTM during prolonged reactions (see ref 9c).

^b Reported % isolated yields and % ee's are averages of two runs.

^c Azlactone was generated *in situ*. The yield is based on the N-benzoyl-α-aryl-glycine starting material (see Scheme 1).