Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2013 December 6; 15(23): 6030–6033. doi:10.1021/ol402928p.

Synthesis of β -Hydroxy- α -haloesters through Super Silyl Ester Directed *SYN*-Selective Aldol Reaction

Susumu Oda[†] and Hisashi Yamamoto^{†,‡}

Department of Chemistry, The University of Chicago, 5735 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States and Molecular Catalyst Research Center, Chubu University, 1200 Matsumoto, Kasugai, Aichi 487-8501, Japan

Hisashi Yamamoto: yamamoto@uchicago.edu

Abstract

Super silyl haloesters including chloro- and bromoacetate were synthesized and utilized for aldol reactions to give *SYN*- β -hydroxy- α -haloacetates in good yields with high diastereoselectivities. β -Hydroxy- α -fluoroacetate was obtained by lithiation of super silyl bromofluoroacetate. Sequential Darzens reactions provided *CIS*-glycidic esters in moderate yields.

Halogenated compounds are of great interest in medicinal chemistry and material science due to their biological properties.¹ In particular, fluorine and chlorine atoms are often found in bioactive natural products and pharmaceuticals. Furthermore, their synthetic significance is proven by recent development of transition metal catalyzed reactions which enable facile transformation of halogen atoms into a variety of functional groups. In this context, catalytic stereoselective halogenation is a valuable synthetic approach to α -halocarbonyl compounds, which are useful chiral building blocks, and has been explored over the years.²

In our continuous studies on tris(trialkylsilyl)silyl (super silyl) chemistry,³ we developed a Mukaiyama aldol reaction using super silyl halogenated enol ethers to construct β -siloxy- α -halocarbonyls in good yields with high diastereoselectivities.⁴ It successfully installs a series of halogen atoms into a polyketide-structure⁵ and can be an alternative for direct halogenation. Moreover, we found that super silyl ester, a new class of protected carboxylic acids, demonstrated high stability and stereocontrol to achieve diastereoselective aldol and Mannich reactions.⁶ Encouraged by these results, we decided to utilize this protocol to provide β -hydroxy- α -haloesters, which is complementary to our reported method⁴ for the synthesis of β -siloxy- α -haloaldehydes and ketones, leading to the design diversity of halogenated polyketide motifs.

Correspondence to: Hisashi Yamamoto, yamamoto@uchicago.edu.

[†]The University of Chicago

[‡]Chubu University

Supporting Information Available Complete experimental procedures, characterization data of the prepared compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Herein, we report aldol reactions with super silyl haloacetate to give β -hydroxy- α -haloacetate in good yield with high *syn*-selectivity. While there are many examples of aldol reactions with α -halocarbonyls,^{7–9} the application of haloester is limited⁷ and a versatile method for *syn*-selective reaction is desirable. The resulting products are attractive precursors for glycidic esters.

Given the optimal conditions from our previous study,^{6a} we first examined an aldol reaction of super silyl chloroacetate with benzaldehyde using *n*-butyllithium as a base in THF. Unfortunately, it resulted in poor yield with moderate *syn*-diastereoselectivity owing to the dehalogenated side reaction through Li/Cl exchange (Table 1, entry 1). The stereochemistry of the product was confirmed by the ¹H NMR after deprotection.¹⁰ The use of LiHMDS suppressed the side reaction to improve the yield (entry 2). Among the screening of solvent, toluene was found most suitable to achieve highest yield (73%) and diastereoselectivity (96:4) (entry 4). In order to survey the size effect of the base, NaHMDS and KHMDS were used to give slightly lower diastereoselectivity (entry 5, 6). Triisopropylsilyl chloroacetate was employed to furnish trace amount of aldol adduct, indicating unusual stability and stereodirecting property of super silyl ester (entry 7).

The substrate scope of aldehydes was then investigated under the optimized conditions (Scheme 1). Both electron donating and withdrawing groups on aryl aldehydes were tolerant and gave *syn*-aldol products (**3–7**) in good yields. While substituents on *para*- and *meta*-positions gave comparable results, the addition of HMPA was required for *ortho*-substituted aldehydes such as *ortho*-tolualdehyde and 1-naphthaldehyde to afford the satisfying *syn/anti* ratio (**10**, **11**). To our delight, aliphatic aldehydes including sterically bulky pivalaldehyde worked as well to provide **12–14** with good to excellent *syn*-selectivities. Cinnamaldehyde reacted with lithium enolate to give **15** without causing conjugate addition. The reaction was also applicable for heteroaromatic aldehydes to give *syn*-aldol products **16** and **17** in good yields.

Next, an aldol reaction with super silyl bromoacetate was tested (Scheme 2). Gratifyingly, the reaction gave β -hydroxy- α -bromoacetate in moderate to good yields with high *syn*-selectivities, leaving the reactive bromo-group intact. Although the yields are lower than chloroacetate, the substrate scope of aryl aldehydes was broad as well. The addition of HMPA was necessary for *ortho*-substituted aryl aldehydes to achieve high diastereoselectivity (**26**, **27**). The reaction with aliphatic aldehydes proceeded to furnish *syn*-aldol products (**28–30**). 2-Thienyl aldehyde was applied to give **32** in 57% yield with excellent diastereoselectivity (97:3).

Synthesis of super silyl fluoroacetate was avoided due to high toxicity of fluoroacetic acid. Instead, super silyl bromofluoroacetate was used to provide β -hydroxy- α -fluoroacetate (Scheme 3). *n*-Butyllithium was added to form lithium fluoroacetate enolate intermediate through Br/Li exchange and sequential reaction with benzaldehyde accomplished β -hydroxy- α -fluoroacetate (**34**). Unfortunately, the reaction resulted in low yield (16%) because of instability of the starting material, but promising *syn*-selectivity (87:13) was observed.

Although detailed mechanistic studies are required, the reaction is thought to proceed through the same manner as an aldol reaction of super silyl propionate^{6a}, anti-clinal open transition state with *Z*-enolate, to give high *syn*-selectivity. However, the Zimmerman-Traxler-type cyclic transition state cannot be ruled out because the coordination of THF plays a crucial role to stabilize *Z*-enolate in case of super silyl propionate and the use of non-coordinating solvent may change the preferred enolate.

Finally, a one-pot ring closing reaction from aldol adduct, known as the Darzens reaction¹¹, was examined (Scheme 4). The resulting glycidic ester is the prevalent intermediate for total synthesis. While *trans*-glycidic esters are easily accessible by Darzens reaction or transition metal catalyzed epoxidation, *cis*-selective Darzens reaction has been less explored.¹² By switching from LiHMDS to KHMDS and warming up to 0 °C after the addition of benzaldehyde, the desired *cis*-glycidic ester **35** was obtained in 43% yield with 87:13 dr. The reaction proceeded smoothly with aryl aldehydes bearing electron donating and withdrawing groups and aliphatic aldehyde. Sterically hindered 2-naphthaldehyde gave **39** in 41% yield with 91:9 *syn*-selectivity.

In summary, an aldol reaction of super silyl haloacetates was developed to give β -hydroxy- α -haloacetates in good yields with high *syn*-diastereoselectivities. Furthermore, a Darzens reaction provides a concise synthetic route for *cis*-glycidic esters. Combined with a Mukaiyama aldol reaction of super silyl halogenated enol ethers,⁴ these reactions realized stereoselective installation of halogen atoms (Cl, Br, F) into the α -position of carbonyl compounds such as aldehyde, ketone and ester, which serve as useful tools for screening of complex molecules including polyketide analogues to investigate their potential bioactivity.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NIH (P50 GM086 145-01). We would like to thank Dr. Antoni Jurkiewicz (The University of Chicago) and Dr. Jin Qin (The University of Chicago) for their expertise in NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry, respectively.

References

1. (a) Naumann K. J Prakt Chem. 1999; 341:417–435.(b) Isanbor C, O'Hagan D. J Fluorine Chem. 2006; 127:1013–1029.(c) Kirk KL. J Fluorine Chem. 2006; 127:1013–1029.(d) Jeschke P. Pest Manage Sci. 2010; 66:10–27.(e) Hernandez MZ, Cavalcanti SMT, Moreira DRM, Filgueira de Azevedo W Jr, Leite ACL. Curr Drug Targets. 2010; 11:303–314. [PubMed: 20210755]
2. (a) Oestrich M. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2005; 44:2324–2327.(b) France S, Weatherwax A, Lectka T. Eur J Org Chem. 2005:475–479.(c) Ueda M, Kano T, Maruoka K. Org Bio Chem. 2009; 7:2005–2012.(d) Shibatomi K, Narayama A. Asian J Org Chem. 2013; 2:812–823.(e) Britton R, Kang B. Nat Prod Rep. 2013; 30:227–236. [PubMed: 23258610]
3. (a) Boxer MB, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:48–49. [PubMed: 16390115] (b) Boxer MB, Yamamoto H. Nat Protoc. 2006; 1:2434–2438. [PubMed: 17406488] (c) Boxer MB, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:2762–2763. [PubMed: 17309266] (d) Boxer MB, Yamamoto H. Org Lett. 2008; 10:453–455. [PubMed: 18181637] (e) Boxer MB, Akakura M, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:1580–1582. [PubMed: 18193878] (f) Boxer MB, Albert BJ, Yamamoto H. Aldrichim Acta. 2009; 42:3–15.(g) Albert BJ, Yamamoto H. Angew Chem. 2010; 122:2807–2809.Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2010; 49:2747–2749.(h) Yamaoka Y, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:5354–5356. [PubMed: 20349994] (i) Albert BJ, Yamaoka Y, Yamamoto H. Angew Chem. 2011; 123:2658–2660.Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2011; 50:2610–2612.(j) Brady PB, Yamamoto H. Angew Chem. 2012; 124:1978–1982.Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2012; 51:1942–1946.
4. (a) Saadi J, Akakura M, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:14248–14251. [PubMed: 21815682] (b) Saadi J, Yamamoto H. Chem Eur J. 2013; 19:3842–3845. [PubMed: 23424059]
5. (a) Nilewski C, Geisser RW, Carreira EM. Nature. 2009; 457:573–577. [PubMed: 19177127] (b) Nilewski C, Geisser RW, Carreira EM. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:15866–15876. [PubMed: 19817365] (c) Bedke DK, Shibuya GM, Pereira A, Gerwick WH, Haines TH, Vanderwal CD. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:7570–7572. [PubMed: 19445461] (d) Bedke DK, Shibuya GM, Pereira AR, Gerwick WH, Vanderwal CD. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:2542–2543. [PubMed: 20141139] (e)

- Yoshimitsu T, Fukumoto N, Nakatani R, Kojima N, Tanaka T. *J Org Chem.* 2010; 75:5425–5437. [PubMed: 20441229] (f) Umezawa T, Shibata M, Kaneko K, Okino T, Matsuda F. *Org Lett.* 2011; 13:904–907. [PubMed: 21291262] (g) Yoshimitsu T, Nakatani R, Kobayashi A, Tanaka T. *Org Lett.* 2011; 13:908–911. [PubMed: 21288044] (h) Bedke DK, Vanderwal CD. *Nat Prod Rep.* 2011; 28:15–25. [PubMed: 21125121] (i) Nicolaou KC, Simmons NL, Ying Y, Heretsch PM, Chen JS. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2011; 133:8134–8137. [PubMed: 21542622] (j) Nilewski C, Deprez NR, Fessard TC, Li DB, Geisser RW, Carreira EM. *Angew Chem.* 2011; 123:8087–8089. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2011; 50:7940–7943. (k) Nilewski C, Carreira EM. *Eur J Org Chem.* 2012:1685–1698.
6. (a) Tan J, Akakura M, Yamamoto H. *Angew Chem.* 2013; 125:7339–7343. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2013; 52:7198–7202. (b) Oda S, Yamamoto H. *Angew Chem.* 2013; 125:8323–8326. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2013; 52:8165–8168.
7. (a) Corey EJ, Choi S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991; 32:2857–2860. (b) Kiyooka S, Shahid KA. *Tetrahedron Asymmetry.* 2000; 11:1537–1542. (c) Ghosh AK, Kim JH. *Org Lett.* 2004; 6:2725–2728. [PubMed: 15281754] (d) Concellón JM, Solla HR, Concellón C, Pardo AD, Llavona R. *Synlett.* 2011; 2:262–264.
8. (a) Evans DA, Sjorgen EB, Weber AE, Conn RE. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1987; 28:39–42. (b) Pridgen LN, Magid AFA, Lantos I, Shilcrat S, Eggleston DS. *J Org Chem.* 1993; 58:5107–5117. (c) Wang YC, Su DW, Lin CM, Tseng HL, Li CL, Yan TH. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999; 40:3577–3580. (d) Palomo C, Oiarbide M, Sharma AK, Rego MCG, Linden A, Garcia JM, Gonzalez A. *J Org Chem.* 2000; 65:9007–9012. [PubMed: 11149844] (e) Hoover TR, Groeper JA, Parrot RW, Chandrashekar SP, Finefield JM, Dominguez A, Hitchcock SR. *Tetrahedron Asymmetry.* 2006; 17:1831–1841. (f) Yost JM, Alfie RJ, Tarsis EM, Chong I, Coltart DM. *Chem Commun.* 2011; 47:571–572.
9. (a) Kitazume T, Jiang Z, Kasai K, Mihara Y, Suzuki M. *J Fluorine Chem.* 2003; 121:205–212. (b) Zhong G, Fan J, Barbas CF. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004; 45:5681–5684. (c) He L, Tang Z, Cun LF, Mi AQ, Jiang YZ, Gon LZ. *Tetrahedron.* 2006; 62:346–351. (d) Peppe C, Chagas RP. *Synlett.* 2006; 4:605–609. (e) Guillena G, Hita MC, Najera C. *Tetrahedron Asymmetry.* 2007; 18:1272–1277. (f) Xu XY, Wang YZ, Gon LZ. *Org Lett.* 2007; 9:4247–4249. [PubMed: 17914888] (g) Nebot J, Romea P, Urpi F. *J Org Chem.* 2009; 74:7518–7521. [PubMed: 19731898] (h) Umehara A, Kanemitsu T, Nagata K, Itoh T. *Synlett.* 2012; 23:453–457. (i) Castaneda AM, Poladura B, Solla HB, Concellon C, Amo V. *Chem Eur J.* 2012; 18:5188–5190. [PubMed: 22434507]
10. See the Supporting Information for details.
11. For a review, see: Rosen T, Trost BM, Fleming I, Heathcock CH. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis.* Pergamon/Oxford 1991; 2:409–439.
12. (a) Tung CC, Speziale AJ, Frazier HW. *J Org Chem.* 1963; 28:1514–1521. (b) Mukaiyama T, Haga T, Iwasawa N. *Chem Lett.* 1982:1601–1604. (c) Arai S, Suzuki Y, Tokumaru K, Shioiri T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002; 43:833–836. (d) Liu WJ, Lv BD, Gong LZ. *Angew Chem.* 2009; 121:6625–6628. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2009; 48:6503–6506.

Scheme 1. Aldol Reaction with Super Silyl Chloroacetate^a

^a The yields of isolated products are shown. The dr is determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture. ^b 1.0 equivalent of HMPA was used as additive.

Scheme 2.**Aldol Reaction with Super Silyl Bromoacetate^a**

^a The yields of isolated products are shown. The dr is determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture. ^b 1.0 equivalent of HMPA was used as additive.

Scheme 3.
Aldol Reaction with Super Silyl Bromofluoroacetate

Scheme 4. Darzens reaction with super silyl bromoacetate^a

^a The yields of isolated products are shown. The dr is determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture.

Table 1

Screening of Reaction Conditions

entry	Base	solvent	yield (%) ^a	dr (<i>syn/anti</i>) ^b
1	<i>n</i> -BuLi	THF	32	74:26
2	LiHMDS	THF	60	72:28
3	LiHMDS	Et ₂ O	0	N.D.
4	LiHMDS	toluene	73	96:4
5	NaHMDS	toluene	71	92:8
6	KHMDS	toluene	67	89:11
7 ^c	LiHMDS	toluene	trace	74:26

^aYield of isolated product.

^bDetermined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture.

^cTriisopropylsilyl(TIPS) chloroacetate was used.