Published in final edited form as:

J Phys Chem B. 2013 October 31; 117(43): 13631–13638. doi:10.1021/jp4075493.

Quantum Process Tomography Quantifies Coherence Transfer Dynamics in Vibrational Exciton

Lev Chuntonov^{*‡} and Jianqiang Ma[‡]

Ultrafast Optical Processes Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA 19104, USA

Abstract

Quantum coherence has been a subject of great interest in many scientific disciplines. However, detailed characterization of the quantum coherence in molecular systems, especially its transfer and relaxation mechanisms, still remains a major challenge. The difficulties arise in part because the spectroscopic signatures of the coherence transfer are typically overwhelmed by other excitation relaxation processes. We use quantum process tomography (QPT) via two-dimensional infrared spectroscopy to quantify the rate of the elusive coherence transfer between two vibrational exciton states. QPT retrieves the dynamics of the dissipative quantum system directly from the experimental observables. It thus serves as an experimental alternative to theoretical models of the system-bath interaction, and can be used to validate these theories. Our results for coupled carbonyl groups of a diketone molecule in chloroform, used as a benchmark system, reveal the non-secular nature of the interaction between the exciton and the Markovian bath and open the door for the systematic studies of the dissipative quantum systems dynamics in detail.

Keywords

quantum process tomography; vibrational exciton dynamics; quantum coherence transfer; two-dimensional infrared vibrational spectroscopy; non-secular solvation dynamics

Introduction

Quantum coherence was found to play an important role in natural and artificial molecular systems.^{1–6} Manipulation and control of the coherence is of high interest in many different fields of science.⁷ Ultrafast two-dimensional infrared vibrational spectroscopy (2D-IR) explores coherent excitations of molecular quantum states and tracks their time-dependent relaxation.^{8–14} It has been proved a powerful tool to study molecular structure and dynamics in a broad range of problems.^{15–25} Strong coupling between the nearly-degenerate local states of the molecule leads to new delocalized quantum states known as molecular excitons.^{26,27} Coherent dynamics and relaxation of excitons is highly sensitive to the way the interaction with the environment perturbs the system.^{1–3,5,11,16,28–30} For the case of molecules in solution, such perturbations are caused by the fluctuating bath of solvent molecules.³¹

As opposed to the well-known relaxation processes such as vibrational lifetime, rotational diffusion, and pure dephasing,^{29,32–35} the mechanisms of the relaxation by the excitation

^{*}Corresponding author: chuntl@sas.upenn.edu, Phone: 215-898-8247, Fax: 215-898-0590.

[‡]The authors contributed equally to the work.

Supporting Information Available: Parallel polarization absorptive spectrum and its projection. Measurements of vibrational lifetime and orientational diffusion of CHD in chloroform. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

transfer has not been fully explored.^{28,31–34} When the quantum states of the vibrational exciton are represented in the Liouville space by elements of the reduced density matrix ρ_{ij} , where indices i and j are the vibrational one-exciton transitions, the corresponding relaxation channels are the transfer between the populations and coherences of ρ . The transfer between the different populations and between the coherences may occur for the coupled vibrational modes at room temperature if the thermal fluctuations of the bath provide the energy required for the transfer.^{32,33,36–41}

Detailed characterization of all relaxation pathways is highly important for the better understanding of the dissipative quantum system dynamics in the condensed phase and further exploring (and even control) it with novel spectroscopic methods. The transfer of the population and coherence within the one-exciton vibrational manifold cannot be readily characterized by the standard methods of multidimensional spectroscopy, especially when the transfer states are nearly degenerated.^{32,33,40–43} The transfer between the populations $|i\rangle\langle i|$ and $|j\rangle\langle j|$ is manifested in the monotonous growth of the cross-peaks amplitude with respect to the amplitude of the diagonal peaks observed in the waiting time series of 2D-IR spectra.^{23,37–39,44,45} In the case of nearly-degenerate vibrational modes the population transfer can be challenging to characterize because the cross-peaks cannot be easily separated.^{40,41} The characterization of the transfer between the coherences $|i\rangle\langle j|$ and $|j\rangle\langle i|$ is even more challenging since such transfer neither generates new peaks in the 2D spectrum, nor beating at new frequencies during the waiting time.^{32,33}

In the multidimensional spectroscopy, interpretation of the experimental results strongly relies on the models used to describe the molecular system and its interaction with the bath. Such description can be either phenomenological, or based on stochastic models, molecular dynamics simulations, or quantum-mechanical methods.^{8,46–48} Among different approaches, response functions method derived with the time-dependent perturbation theory is the most intuitive one and it is widely used to understand experimental 2D-IR spectra.⁸ However, strict derivation of the response functions does not involve excitation transfer effects including the transfer of the vibrational coherence. An alternative to modeling a system-bath interaction observed through the excited states relaxation in a non-linear spectroscopic experiment is the approach of quantum process tomography^{49–51} (QPT) adapted from the field of quantum information by Aspuru-Guzik and co-workers.^{52–54} QPT has a unique ability of a model-free characterization of the evolution of dissipative quantum system and it can be used to validate proposed theoretical models and phenomenological constants.

In the present study we applied QPT via 2D-IR spectroscopy to quantify excitation transfer dynamics in the vibrational exciton in 1,3-cyclohexanedione (CHD) molecule solvated in chloroform. Here, in addition to the transfer of the vibrational population, QPT allowed us to reveal dynamics of the coherence transfer, which is masked by the contributions from the photoinduced pathways that do not involve transfer. Note, however, that present QPT implementation is partial, since the processes of transfer between the vibrational population and coherence and vice versa cannot be observed because the corresponding ensemble-averaged signal vanishes, as discussed below.^{49,50} We found that in the regime of fast transfer and slow dephasing rates, which are dictated by the dynamics of the solvation shell, the system evolution fully agrees with the solution of the equation of motion for the exciton coupled to the Markovian bath. The corresponding equation of motion includes both secular and non-secular terms describing the transfer of the vibrational population and coherence respectively.²⁹ We anticipate that these findings are of high importance in the general context of multidimensional spectroscopy, which is frequently used to study systems where the transfer phenomena play significant roles.

As opposed to electronic excitons,^{1–3,55} where the QPT by 2D spectroscopy was originally proposed,^{49,50} vibrational excitons typically show lifetime and orientational relaxation on the timescale of the excitation transfer and their reliable characterization in such situation provides additional experimental challenge.⁴³ Here we used full spectroscopic characterization of the CHD in chloroform to extract the molecular dynamics constants essential for QPT. The effectiveness of our implementation of the QPT protocol is confirmed by test reconstructions of the dynamics from numerically simulated series of 2D-IR spectra.

Experimental methods

The 2D-IR experiment was carried with four linearly-polarized ca. 75 fs mid-IR pulses in the BOXCARS geometry. Polarization was rotated by true zero-order waveplates. High-extinction wire-grid polarizers (Specac Ltd., extinction ratio ca. 1:700) were placed right before the focusing lens to avoid depolarization upon reflections. Additional polarizer on the signal beam was placed immediately after the sample cell. The heterodyne pulse preceding the excitation pulses by 1 ps was overlapped with the signal dispersed onto the liquid nitrogen-cooled array detector coupled to the spectrograph.

A solution of ca. 0.1 M of 1,3-cyclohexanedione (97%, Aldrich) in chloroform was placed between CaF₂ windows with 25 micron spacer. In all experiments the optical density of the sample was kept below 0.2.

Quantification of the excitation transfer dynamics of the vibrational exciton demonstrated in the present work requires characterization of its vibrational lifetime and orientational relaxation. This information is consistently obtained by the established procedures from the waiting-time series of the 2D-IR spectra. Vibrational lifetime is obtained from the relaxation of the polarization-isotropic signals corresponding to the amplitudes of the diagonal peaks, while the orientational relaxation - from their polarization anisotropy. The corresponding experimental data and its analysis are shown in the Supporting Information. The resulted values of the vibrational lifetime $T_1=3$ ps and orientational relaxation time $\tau_D=30$ ps were used in the QPT analysis.

QPT by 2D-IR spectroscopy

In a 2D-IR experiment initial state of the system $\rho_{ij}(0)$ is prepared by the interaction with first two femtosecond pulses delayed by the time interval τ . The system evolves while interacts with the solvent bath during the waiting time interval T into a final state $\rho_{ij}(T)$, which is probed by the second pair of pulses separated by time interval t . Note that in addition to the excited state populations $|i\rangle\langle i|$ and coherences $|i\rangle\langle j|$ the ground state population $|0\rangle\langle 0|$ is also prepared by the first two pulses. However, $|0\rangle\langle 0|$ is not involved in the population transfer because of the high energy separation between the quantum states of the molecule $|0\rangle$ and $|i\rangle, |j\rangle$.

The goal of the QPT discussed in the present work is to characterize the dynamics of one-exciton quantum states of CHD, which evolve during the waiting time T of the experiment by means of the process matrix $\chi(T)$

$$\rho_{ij}(T) = \sum_{kl} \chi_{ij,kl}(T) \rho_{kl}(0). \quad (1)$$

The process matrix is, therefore, a linear transformation known to fully describe the system evolution independently of its initial state. Here we reconstruct the process matrix by

analysis of the spectroscopic observables measured by polarization-selective 2D-IR spectroscopy.⁵⁶

The heterodyned photon echo signal measured in the 2D-IR experiment is generated by the third order non-linear polarization of the sample $P_{ABCD}^{(3)}$, which is the expectation value of the transition dipole moment operator $\mu(\hat{t})$ given by $P(t) = \langle \mu(\hat{t}) \rho(\hat{t}) \rangle$. The signal field is emitted in the direction satisfying the phase-matching conditions $\mathbf{k}_S = -\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3$, where the subscripts enumerate the wave vectors of the femtosecond pulses. In the 2D spectroscopy, the corresponding signal is referred to as rephrasing.^{9,10} Assuming that the pulses are infinitely short and linearly polarized along the directions A,B,C,D in the lab frame, the photon echo signal is given by

$$S^{ABCD}(\omega_\tau, T, \omega_t) \propto -i \int_0^\infty e^{-i\omega_\tau \tau} d\tau \int_0^\infty e^{i\omega_t t} dt P_{ABCD}^{(3)}(\tau, T, t). \quad (2)$$

Depending on exciton's transition frequencies, 2D-IR spectrum $S^{ABCD}(\omega_\tau, T, \omega_t)$ exhibits diagonal peaks corresponding to the case where the same exciton state is pumped and probed, and cross-peaks, where one of the exciton states is pumped, and different (coupled) state is probed.

Time-dependent third-order perturbation theory description of the system includes density matrix evolution during the time intervals τ and t reflected in the lineshapes of the 2D spectral peaks.⁸⁻¹⁰ Unlike in the perturbative approach, within the QPT formalism only the system dynamics during the waiting time is of interest such that the spectral lineshapes are of less importance and $\rho(T)$ is given by equation (1).^{49,50} When only the peak amplitudes are considered, the spectrum is given by

$$S^{ABCD}(\omega_\tau, T, \omega_t) = \sum_{ij} S_{ij}^{ABCD}(T), \quad (3)$$

where $S_{ij}^{ABCD}(T) = S^{ABCD}(\omega_i, T, \omega_j)$, and ω_i is the resonance frequency of the one-exciton mode i . In the following we assume that there is no significant spectral diffusion in the system, such that the lineshapes do not change during the waiting time. We also assume that the combination mode of the exciton does not interfere with one-exciton transitions.

Adapting the protocol of Ref.^{49,50} to 2D-IR spectroscopy, the amplitudes $S_{ij}(T)$ are expressed in terms of the elements of the process matrix as

$$S_{ii}^{ABCD}(T) = 2\mu_i^4 \langle i_A i_B i_C i_D \rangle \chi_{ii,ii}(T) e^{-T/T_1^{(i)}} + \mu_i^4 \langle j_A j_B j_C j_D \rangle \chi_{jj,ii}(T) e^{-T/T_1^{(i)}} + \mu_i^2 \mu_j^2 \langle i_A j_B j_C i_D \rangle \chi_{ij,ji}(T) e^{-T/2T_1^{(i)} - T/2T_1^{(j)}}, \quad (4)$$

$$S_{ij}^{ABCD}(T) = \mu_i^2 \mu_j^2 \langle i_A i_B j_C j_D \rangle \chi_{ii,ii}(T) e^{-T/T_1^{(i)}} + \mu_i^2 \mu_j^2 \langle i_A i_B j_C j_D \rangle \chi_{jj,ii}(T) \left(e^{-T/2T_1^{(i)}} + e^{-T/2T_1^{(j)}} \right) + \mu_i^2 \mu_j^2 \langle i_A j_B i_C j_D \rangle \chi_{ij,ij}(T) e^{-T/2T_1^{(i)} - T/2T_1^{(j)}}, \quad (5)$$

where $T_1^{(i)}$ is the vibrational lifetime of the state i with the transition dipole moment μ_i , and $\langle i_A j_B k_C l_D \rangle$ are the orientational factors⁵⁷ corresponding to the Liouville pathway $ijkl$. In the

derivation we assumed the detailed balance of elements of $\chi(T)$ and stationarity of the ground state population $|0\rangle\langle 0|$ prepared by the first two pulses: $\chi_{00,00}(T) = \chi_{ii,ii}(T) + \chi_{jj,ii}(T) = 1$.^{49,50} Note that only one-exciton manifold is included in the discussion and that the coupling between the populations and coherences of ρ is neglected as it is not observed in the isotropic-averaged signal, i.e. ensemble-averaged factors $\langle i_A i_B i_C i_D \rangle = \langle i'_A i'_B i'_C i'_D \rangle = \langle i''_A i''_B i''_C i''_D \rangle = \langle i'''_A i'''_B i'''_C i'''_D \rangle = 0$ for the polarization conditions considered in this work.^{49,57} QPT is performed by solving a linear system of equations (4)–(5) for waiting time series of 2D-IR spectra carried out under different polarization conditions A,B,C,D. At least two polarization conditions from the set $\langle XXXX \rangle = \langle YYXX \rangle + \langle XYXX \rangle + \langle YXXY \rangle$ are required for the system (4)–(5) to be determined.⁵⁷

Results and discussion

Vibrational exciton in CHD

Carbonyl groups in 1,3-cyclohexanedione molecule constitute a homo-dimer, which forms a vibrational exciton. The corresponding quantum states are manifested by two resonance peaks at $\omega_i = 1710 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (mode i) and $\omega_j = 1734 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (mode j) as shown in the linear absorption spectrum in Figure 1a. The ratio of the associated transition dipole moments is found from the peak area to be $\mu_i^2/\mu_j^2 = 3$. This ratio corresponds to the relative magnitudes of the diagonal peaks, which appear at $(\omega_\tau = \omega_i, \omega_t = \omega_i)$ and $(\omega_\tau = \omega_i, \omega_t = \omega_j)$ in the 2D-IR spectrum of $S_{ii}^{YYXX}(0)/S_{jj}^{YYXX}(0) \propto \mu_i^4/\mu_j^4 = 9$ as seen in Figures 1b,c, where the absorptive and photon-echo 2D-IR spectra are shown for polarization conditions $\langle YYXX \rangle$. We choose to show here the spectrum corresponding to this particular polarization since it better illustrates the cross-peaks, which appear at $(\omega_\tau = \omega_i, \omega_t = \omega_j)$ and $(\omega_\tau = \omega_j, \omega_t = \omega_i)$.⁵⁷ The absorptive spectrum for polarization conditions of $\langle XXXX \rangle$ is also shown in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information. Positive peaks in the 2D-IR absorptive spectrum correspond to the one-exciton transitions, while negative peaks involve excited state absorption to either the second excited state or the combination state. In addition, in the photon-echo (rephasing) spectrum each positive peak is accompanied by the negative dispersive contribution.¹⁰ In the present work only the positive peaks are considered. Since the anharmonicity of the exciton modes in CHD of $\Delta = 15 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is sufficiently large as compared to the linewidth (less than 10 cm^{-1}), the overlap between the negative and positive signal components does not affect the peak value of the positive peak significantly. In Figure S1 of the Supporting Information we show a fit of the projection of 2D-IR spectrum on the ω_t -axis to two Gaussian lineshapes with positive and negative amplitudes. The peak value of the positive Gaussian component differs by only ca. 2% from the corresponding value of the projection spectrum.

For the case of the homo-dimer assumed here the local modes of the carbonyl vibrations have similar energies and magnitudes of their transition dipole moments, the exciton mixing angle is $\pi/4$, such that the corresponding transition dipole moments are orthogonal. Orthogonality is confirmed by the amplitude ratio between the cross-peaks and diagonal peaks in the 2D-IR spectrum measured at $T=0$, which is free from the waiting time dynamics.^{14,58} In particular, for the spectrum in Figure 1c $S_{ii}^{YYXX}(0)/S_{ij}^{YYXX}(0) = 6\mu_i^2/\mu_j^2(P_2 + 5)$, where $P_2 = 1/2(3\cos^2 \theta - 1)$ and θ is the angle between the transition dipole moments. The experimental value is $S_{ii}^{YYXX}(0)/S_{ij}^{YYXX}(0) \approx 4$ corresponding to $\theta = \pi/2$, which confirms the excitonic nature of the system.

QPT of vibrational exciton dynamics: Experiment

The system of linear equations (4)–(5), which relates the unknown elements of the process matrix $\chi_{ij,kl}(T)$ to the peak amplitudes $S_{ij}^{\text{ABCD}}(T)$, was constructed for the waiting-time series of photon-echo spectra measured from $T=0$ to $T=3.5$ ps with 100 fs steps. For each value of T a matrix equation $\underline{S}(T) = \underline{A}(T)\underline{\chi}(T)$ was solved numerically using procedure based on Gaussian elimination. Here $\underline{S}(T)$ is a column vector of peak amplitudes $S_{ij}^{\text{ABCD}}(T)$, $\underline{A}(T)$ is a coefficient matrix including transition dipole moments, orientational factors, rotational diffusion, and vibrational lifetime as defined by equations (4)–(5), and $\underline{\chi}(T)$ is a column vector of the process matrix elements $\chi_{ij,kl}(T)$. The values of $S_{ij}^{\text{ABCD}}(T)$ were calculated numerically by averaging over the 1 cm^{-1} region around the resonance frequencies $\{\omega_v, \omega_t\} = \{\omega_i, \omega_j\}$ in the 2D-IR spectra. The waiting time dependence of these signals for polarization conditions $\langle \text{XXXX} \rangle$ and $\langle \text{YYXX} \rangle$ are shown in Figure 2, while the waiting time dependence of the elements of coefficient matrix $\underline{A}(T)$ follows, for example, from Ref.⁵⁷ with the corresponding constants that we determined experimentally (see Experimental methods section and Supporting Information. In the present study we focus on the real part of $\chi_{ij,kl}(T)$, since it carries sufficient information to characterize the exciton dynamics in the present case. Extension of the QPT procedure to include also imaginary parts of $\chi_{ij,kl}(T)$ can readily be done, where necessary, as for example in electronic 2D spectroscopy.⁵⁵ Note that in the QPT analysis errors in the experimental signal, for example due to the fluctuation in the laser power, do not propagate to the subsequent time data points, since the linear system (4)–(5) is constructed for each waiting time independently.

The waiting time dependence of the process matrix elements $\chi_{ij,kl}(T)$ reconstructed from the experimental data is shown in Figure 3. Since the vibrational lifetime and the orientational relaxation of the molecule are removed from the waiting time dependence of $\chi_{ij,kl}(T)$ (see equations (4)–(5)), the exciton dynamics represented by the process matrix is entirely due to the transfer processes and dephasing. The transfer of the population is manifested in the exponential decay of $\chi_{ii,ii}(T)$ and $\chi_{jj,jj}(T)$, and exponential rise of $\chi_{jj,ii}(T)$ and $\chi_{ii,jj}(T)$ elements, as seen in Figure 3a,b. On the other hand, the elements $\chi_{ij,ij}(T)$ and $\chi_{ji,ji}(T)$ describing the coherences oscillate with period of approximately 1.5 ps, which nearly matches the frequency difference between the exciton modes $\omega_{ij} = \omega_i - \omega_j = 24 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, as seen from the absorptive spectrum in Figure 1. The oscillations decay exponentially with characteristic time of 1.0 ± 0.1 ps. In addition, the QPT reveals non-zero values of the coherence transfer matrix elements $\chi_{ji,ij}(T)$ and $\chi_{ij,ji}(T)$ as seen in Figure 3e–f, which also show damped oscillations (decay constant is 1.0 ± 0.1 ps) out-of phase with respect to $\chi_{ij,ij}(T)$ and $\chi_{ji,ji}(T)$. Below, we interpret these observations based on the kinetic model derived from the Liouville – von Neumann equation of motion for exciton coupled to Markovian bath.

Equations of motion for reduced density matrix

The waiting time dependent evolution of the reduced density matrix elements $\rho_{ij}(T)$ coupled to the Markovian solvent bath is described within the Liouville – von Neumann equation of motion

$$\dot{\rho}_{ij}(T) = -i\omega_{ij}\rho_{ij}(T) - \sum_{kl} R_{ij,kl}\rho_{kl}(T), \quad (6)$$

where $R_{ij,kl}$ are the elements of the Redfield's relaxation matrix.^{29,31} Here we assume that the population and coherence parts of the equation (6) are decoupled and can be treated separately.^{37,40,41} Since we are particularly interested in the kinetics of the transfer

processes, for simplicity we do not account for the vibrational lifetime relaxation and rotational diffusion contributions. We also express the relaxation matrix elements with rate

coefficients $k_{et} = 1/\hbar^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle V_{ji}(t) V_{ij}(0) \rangle e^{i\omega_{ij}t} dt$, where the brackets represent the trace over the bath degrees of freedom and $V_{ij}(t)$ is the matrix element of the system – bath interaction between the states $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$.^{29,31} Detailed balance requires that the population transfer rates $k_{ii,jj}$ and $k_{jj,ii}$ are related through the Arrhenius factor $k_{ii,jj} = A k_{jj,ii} = A k_{et}$ where $A = e^{\hbar\omega_{ij}/k_B T} \approx 0.9$ for CHD at room temperature, and the coherence transfer rate constants are equal to $k_{ij,ji} = k_{ji,ij} = (1 + A)k_{et}/2$.²⁹ The master equation for the population and coherence parts of $\rho(T)$ is given now by

$$\frac{d}{dT} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{ii} \\ \rho_{jj} \\ \rho_{ij} \\ \rho_{ji} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -k_{ii,jj} & k_{jj,ii} & 0 & 0 \\ k_{ii,jj} & -k_{jj,ii} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -k_{ij,ji} - \gamma - i\omega_{12} & k_{ij,ji} \\ 0 & 0 & k_{ij,ji} & -k_{ij,ji} - \gamma + i\omega_{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{ii} \\ \rho_{jj} \\ \rho_{ij} \\ \rho_{ji} \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

where γ is the coherence dephasing rate. The solutions of the equation (7) are

$$\rho_{ii}(T) = \frac{1}{k_{ii,jj} + k_{jj,ii}} \left[k_{jj,ii} + (k_{ii,jj} \rho_{ii}(0) - k_{jj,ii} \rho_{jj}(0)) e^{-(k_{ii,jj} + k_{jj,ii})T} \right] \quad (8)$$

and

$$\rho_{ij}(T) = e^{-(k_{ij,ji} + \gamma)T} \left[\rho_{ij}(0) \left(\cos(\Omega T) - \frac{i\omega_{ij}}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega T) \right) + \rho_{ji}(0) \frac{k_{ij,ji}}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega T) \right], \quad (9)$$

where $\Omega = \sqrt{\omega_{ij}^2 - k_{ij,ji}^2}$. The expressions for ρ_{ij} and ρ_{ji} are obtained by interchanging the indices i and j .

The diagonal elements of the density matrix $\rho_{ii}(T)$ corresponding to the populations rise and decay exponentially depending on the initial conditions at the rate of $k_{ii,jj} + k_{jj,ii}$, while at sufficiently large waiting time $\rho_{ii}(T)$ reaches its equilibrium value. The off-diagonal elements $\rho_{ij}(T)$ corresponding to coherences have oscillatory terms, which are exponentially damped by dephasing and coherence transfer. In cases where the transfer rate constant $k_{ij,ji}$ is larger than ω_{ij} , the argument of the harmonic functions in equation (9) is purely imaginary, such that the solutions become combinations of hyperbolic functions. In the limiting case of degenerate vibrational modes (i.e. $\omega_{ij} = 0$ and $k_{ii,jj} + k_{jj,ii} = 2k_{et}$), the evolution of coherences follows exponential dynamics^{40,41}

$$\rho_{ij}(T) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\gamma T} \left[\rho_{ij}(0) (1 + e^{-2k_{et}T}) + \rho_{ji}(0) (1 - e^{-2k_{et}T}) \right]. \quad (10)$$

Rate constants of excitation transfer relaxation

Fits of the experimental results for $\chi_{ij,kl}(T)$ to the functions in equations (8)–(9) are shown in red lines in Figure 3. The decay and buildup rates of the process matrix elements $\chi_{ii,ii}(T)$, $\chi_{jj,ii}(T)$, $\chi_{ij,ij}(T)$, and $\chi_{ii,ij}(T)$ corresponding to the top-left block of the relaxation matrix in equation (7) were globally fit to the functional form in equation (8), as seen in Figures 3a,b. The population transfer rate of $k_{ii,jj} = 0.5 \pm 0.05 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ was found from the fit.

Fitting the time dependence of the coherence terms $\chi_{ij,ij}(T)$ and $\chi_{ji,ji}(T)$ in Figures 3c,d to the function in equation (9) results in the oscillation frequency of $\Omega=20 \pm 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which is smaller by 4 cm^{-1} than $\omega_{ij}=24 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ observed in the absorption spectrum in Figure 1. This difference between the values of ω_{ij} and Ω is an important manifestation of the coherence transfer as discussed below. The decay rate of these oscillations at $\Omega=20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the dephasing was found to be $k_{ij,ji} + \gamma=1.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ ps}^{-1}$. Similar decay rates were found for the oscillations of the coherence transfer components corresponding to the process matrix $\chi_{ji,ij}(T)$ and $\chi_{ij,ji}(T)$, as shown in Figures 3e,f. We, therefore, find from the definition of Ω that the pure dephasing rate is $\gamma \approx 0.6 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ and the coherence transfer rate is $k_{ij,ji} \approx 0.4 \text{ ps}^{-1}$. The model in equation (9) predicts the amplitude of the coherence transfer oscillatory term of $k_{ij,ji}/\Omega \approx 0.67$, which is fully consistent with the experimental results in Figures 3e,f, where the oscillation amplitude retrieved by QPT is 0.5 for the case of $\chi_{ji,ij}(T)$ and 0.75 for the case of $\chi_{ij,ji}(T)$ with the average value of $k_{ij,ji}/\Omega \approx 0.63$.

In the interaction picture equation of motion (6) can be re-written as

$$\dot{\rho}_{ij}^I(T) = \sum_{kl} R_{ij,kl} \rho_{kl}^I(T) e^{-i(\omega_{ij} - \omega_{kl})T}. \quad (11)$$

The population transfer elements $R_{ii,jj}$ of the relaxation matrix correspond to the secular terms of the equation, where $\omega_{ii} - \omega_{jj} = 0$ always holds. For the coherence transfer, secular approximation is valid if the inequality $R_{ji,ij} \ll |\omega_{ij} - \omega_{ji}|$ holds. In this case, upon the integration of equation (11), the contribution from the slow component corresponding to the coherence transfer rate is averaged out by fast oscillations at the frequency of $2\omega_{ij}$. The experimental rate constants obtained by QPT in the present study $k_{ij,ji} \approx 0.4 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ and $2\omega_{ij} \approx 0.7 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ clearly demonstrate the breakdown of the secular approximation, since for the present case the oscillations at the frequency of $2\omega_{ij}$ are not fast enough as compared to the rate of coherence transfer.⁵⁵

QPT of vibrational exciton dynamics: Simulation

In order to confirm that QPT procedure applied to the vibrational exciton dynamics correctly retrieves the parameters of the population and coherence transfer during the waiting time, we tested the QPT performance by applying it to numerically simulated series of 2D-IR spectra, where we either included or omitted the contributions from the transfer processes. The simulations are based on the third-order response functions, which are schematically described by the double-sided diagrams shown in Figure 4. Since formal derivation of the response functions for the Liouville pathways involving the population and coherence transfer (see panels f,c) is not available, these pathways were included rather phenomenologically through the exponential pre-factors derived in equations (8) and (10) for the fully degenerate vibrational states. It is important to further stress here, that although in the simulations we have used the parameters obtained from the experimental spectroscopic data of CHD, the response-function-simulated 2D-IR spectra do not rigorously represent dynamics driven by the master equation (7) because of the approximation involved in the transition between the equations (9) and (10). Nevertheless, since the validation of our QPT implementation performance is highly important, we used these simulations as a test of the QPT protocol.

In the simulations we used $\omega_i=1710 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\omega_j=1734 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\mu_i^2/\mu_j^2=3$, and assumed frequency fluctuation correlation function $\xi_{ij}(t') = \langle \delta\omega_i(t') \delta\omega_j(0) \rangle$ ($\delta\omega_i$ is the frequency fluctuation of mode i) corresponding to Bloch dynamics $\xi_{ij}(t') = \Gamma \delta(t') + \sigma^2$, where Γ - is the homogeneous, and σ - is the inhomogeneous broadening. The parameters of the

autocorrelation function $\xi_{ii}(t') = \xi_{jj}(t')$ were chosen as $\Gamma=0.5 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ and $\sigma = 0.5 \text{ ps}^{-1}$. For the cross-correlation function we used $\xi_{ij}(t') = 0.5 \xi_{ii}(t')$. These simulation parameters were chosen to best reproduce the experimental 2D-IR spectra.

The reconstructed values of the process matrix elements $\chi_{ij,kl}(T)$ for the case where no transfer processes were included ($k_{ii,jj} = k_{ij,ji} = 0$) are shown in open dots in panels 1a–f of Figure 5, while red lines show the fits to the model described in equation (7). Here, the elements $\chi_{ii,ii}(T)$ and $\chi_{jj,jj}(T)$ corresponding to the populations are stationary and equal to unity during the waiting time evolution, while the elements $\chi_{jj,ii}(T)$ and $\chi_{ii,jj}(T)$ corresponding to the population transfer are zero. The elements $\chi_{ij,ij}(T)$ and $\chi_{ji,ji}(T)$ corresponding to the coherences show oscillatory behavior with exponential damping due to dephasing, while the elements $\chi_{ji,ij}(T)$ and $\chi_{ij,ji}(T)$ corresponding to coherence transfer are zero. Results for the case where the transfer rates of $k_{ii,jj} = k_{ij,ji} = 0.5 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ were used are shown in panels 2a–f of Figure 5. In this case, fitting to the solution of equation (7) shows a very good agreement between the transfer rate constants used for the simulation and those retrieved by the QPT.

Conclusions

In conclusion, in the present study we have applied QPT to experimentally quantify dynamics of the dissipative quantum states of the single vibrational exciton, which in addition to vibrational lifetime relaxation and rotational diffusion involves also dephasing and transfer of the vibrational excitation. QPT is a model-free approach that maps the density matrix elements before and after the free evolution one into another by means of linear transformation, providing an alternative to the modeling of system-bath interactions.

Vibrational exciton formed by the coupled carbonyl groups in CHD molecule undergoes dynamical processes induced by the interaction between the exciton and the fluctuating bath of the solvent. Excitation transfer phenomena are usually discussed within the framework of the Redfield theory and secular approximation, which significantly simplifies description of the complex system dynamics.^{30,31,55} For example, secular approximation holds for the population transfer processes, while the process of the coherence transfer requires considering the non-secular elements of the Redfield's relaxation operator.^{31–33,42} Experimental quantification of the coherence transfer is highly challenging when approached by traditional ways of analyzing 2D spectra. Here, we find a good agreement between the results of the model-free QPT method and solution of the Liouville – von Neumann equation of motion for exciton coupled to Markovian bath. QPT, which is typically used in the field of quantum information, is experimentally demonstrated to be a powerful tool to separate and quantify individual contributions to the exciton dynamics and in particular non-secular process of the vibrational coherence transfer. The QPT conducted in the present work is partial in a sense that it does not include the processes of transfer between the populations and coherences and vice versa. Thus, in the corresponding non-secular Redfield's theory description that we have used the populations and coherences are not coupled and the density matrix equation of motion has an analytical solution where the population terms of the density matrix are always positive. For the experiments where the population-to-coherence transfer can be monitored recently developed Lindblad equations method can be used.⁵⁹

Along with the fundamental importance of our findings that reveal the solvation dynamics of the exciton in great detail, we expect that new kind of dynamical information made available by QPT will assist in solving many important questions by multidimensional spectroscopy, where the relaxation phenomena in complex systems are extensively investigated. The fields of QPT applications may span from biomedical, focusing on drug-protein binding dynamics,

to biophysical focusing on the coherent energy transfer in light harvesting antenna, to material science. In this regards, studying the transfer phenomena associated with vibrational exciton dynamics in aqueous environment is an important and challenging future direction.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the idea of conducting QPT via 2D-IR by Professor Robin M. Hochstrasser, who led the Ultrafast Optical Processes Laboratory in the University of Pennsylvania until he passed away on February 27, 2013. Instrumentation used in this work was developed with a Research Resource grant from NIH (P41GM104605) to Robin M. Hochstrasser.

References

1. Fleming G, Huelga S, Plenio M. Focus on Quantum Effects and Noise in Biomolecules. *New J Phys*. 2011; 13:115002.
2. Hayes D, Griffin GB, Engel GS. Engineering Coherence among Excited States in Synthetic Heterodimer Systems. *Science*. 2013
3. Scholes GD. Quantum-Coherent Electronic Energy Transfer: Did Nature Think of It First? *J Phys Chem Lett*. 2010; 1:2–8.
4. Collini E, Scholes GD. Coherent Intrachain Energy Migration in a Conjugated Polymer at Room Temperature. *Science*. 2009; 323:369–373. [PubMed: 19150843]
5. Fidler AF, Caram JR, Hayes D, Engel GS. Towards a Coherent Picture of Excitonic Coherence in the Fenna–Matthews–Olson Complex. *J Phys B*. 2012; 45:154013.
6. Hildner R, Brinks D, Nieder JB, Cogdell RJ, van Hulst NF. Quantum Coherent Energy Transfer over Varying Pathways in Single Light-Harvesting Complexes. *Science*. 2013; 340:1448–1451. [PubMed: 23788794]
7. Shapiro, M.; Brumer, P. *Principles of the Quantum Control of Molecular Processes*. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 2003.
8. Mukamel, S. *Principles of Nonlinear Optical Spectroscopy*. Oxford University Press; New York: 1999.
9. Cho, M. *Two-Dimensional Optical Spectroscopy*. Taylor & Francis; Boca Raton: 2010.
10. Hamm, P.; Zanni, MT. *Concepts and Methods of 2d Infrared Spectroscopy*. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge: 2011.
11. Mukamel S. Multidimensional Femtosecond Correlation Spectroscopies of Electronic and Vibrational Excitations. *Ann Rev Phys Chem*. 2000; 51:691–729. [PubMed: 11031297]
12. de Boeij WP, Pshenichnikov MS, Wiersma DA. Ultrafast Solvation Dynamics Explored by Femtosecond Photon Echo Spectroscopies. *Ann Rev Phys Chem*. 1998; 49:99–123. [PubMed: 15012426]
13. Cho M, Yu JY, Joo T, Nagasawa Y, Passino SA, Fleming GR. The Integrated Photon Echo and Solvation Dynamics. *J Phys Chem*. 1996; 100:11944–11953.
14. Khalil M, Demirdoven N, Tokmakoff A. Coherent 2d Ir Spectroscopy: Molecular Structure and Dynamics in Solution. *J Phys Chem A*. 2003; 107:5258–5279.
15. Kuroda DG, Bauman JD, Challa JR, Patel D, Troxler T, Das K, Arnold E, Hochstrasser RM. Snapshot of the Equilibrium Dynamics of a Drug Bound to Hiv-1 Reverse Transcriptase. *Nature Chem*. 2013; 5:1755–4330.
16. Kim YS, Liu L, Axelsen PH, Hochstrasser RM. 2d Ir Provides Evidence for Mobile Water Molecules in B-Amyloid Fibrils. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*. 2009; 106:17751–17756. [PubMed: 19815514]

17. Ghosh A, Qiu J, DeGrado WF, Hochstrasser RM. Tidal Surge in the M2 Proton Channel, Sensed by 2d Ir Spectroscopy. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*. 2011; 108:6115–6120. [PubMed: 21444789]
18. Kim YS, Hochstrasser RM. Chemical Exchange 2d Ir of Hydrogen-Bond Making and Breaking. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*. 2005; 102:11185–11190. [PubMed: 16040800]
19. Ishikawa H, Kwak K, Chung JK, Kim S, Fayer MD. Direct Observation of Fast Protein Conformational Switching. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*. 2008; 105:8619–8624. [PubMed: 18562286]
20. Maekawa H, Ge NH. Picosecond Rotational Interconversion Adjacent to a C=O Bond Studied by Two-Dimensional Infrared Spectroscopy. *J Phys Chem B*. 2012; 116:11292–11301. [PubMed: 22931373]
21. Kim YS, Hochstrasser RM. Applications of 2d Ir Spectroscopy to Peptides, Proteins, and Hydrogen-Bond Dynamics. *J Phys Chem B*. 2009; 113:8231–8251. [PubMed: 19351162]
22. Thielges MC, Fayer MD. Protein Dynamics Studied with Ultrafast Two-Dimensional Infrared Vibrational Echo Spectroscopy. *Acc Chem Res*. 2012; 45:1866–1874. [PubMed: 22433178]
23. Wong DB, Giammanco CH, Fenn EE, Fayer MD. The Dynamics of Isolated Water Molecules in a Sea of Ions in a Room Temperature Ionic Liquid. *J Phys Chem B*. 2012
24. Xiong W, Laaser JE, Mehlenbacher RD, Zanni MT. Adding a Dimension to the Infrared Spectra of Interfaces Using Heterodyne Detected 2d Sum-Frequency Generation (Hd 2d Sfg) Spectroscopy. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*. 2011; 108:20902–20907. [PubMed: 22143772]
25. Chuntonov L, Kuroda DG, Ghosh A, Ma J, Hochstrasser RM. Quantum Beats and Coherence Decay in Degenerate States Split by Solvation. *J Phys Chem Lett*. 2013; 4:1866–1871. [PubMed: 23956817]
26. Hochstrasser RM. Electronic Spectra of Organic Molecules. *Ann Rev Phys Chem*. 1966; 17:457–480.
27. Robinson GW. Electronic and Vibrational Excitons in Molecular Crystals. *Ann Rev Phys Chem*. 1970; 21:429–474.
28. Skinner JL, Andersen HC, Fayer M. Theory of Photon Echoes from a Pair of Coupled Two Level Systems: Impurity Dimers and Energy Transfer in Molecular Crystals. *J Chem Phys*. 1981; 75:3195.
29. Wertheimer R, Silbey R. On Excitation Transfer and Relaxation Models in Low-Temperature Systems. *Chem Phys Lett*. 1980; 75:243–248.
30. Redfield AG. On the Theory of Relaxation Processes. *IBM J Res Dev*. 1957; 1:19–31.
31. Nitzan, A. Chemical Dynamics in Condensed Phases: Relaxation, Transfer and Reactions in Condensed Molecular Systems. OUP Oxford; 2006.
32. Khalil M, Demirdoven N, Tokmakoff A. Vibrational Coherence Transfer Characterized with Fourier-Transform 2d Ir Spectroscopy. *J Chem Phys*. 2004; 121:362–373. [PubMed: 15260555]
33. Nee MJ, Baiz CR, Anna JM, McCanne R, Kubarych KJ. Multilevel Vibrational Coherence Transfer and Wavepacket Dynamics Probed with Multidimensional Ir Spectroscopy. *J Chem Phys*. 2008; 129:084503–084511. [PubMed: 19044831]
34. Pakoulev AV, Rickard MA, Kornau KM, Mathew NA, Yurs LA, Block SB, Wright JC. Mixed Frequency-/Time-Domain Coherent Multidimensional Spectroscopy: Research Tool or Potential Analytical Method? *Acc Chem Res*. 2009; 42:1310–1321. [PubMed: 19445479]
35. Wright JC. Multiresonant Coherent Multidimensional Spectroscopy. *Ann Rev Phys Chem*. 2011; 62:209–230. [PubMed: 21166542]
36. Baiz CR, Kubarych KJ, Geva E. Molecular Theory and Simulation of Coherence Transfer in Metal Carbonyls and Its Signature on Multidimensional Infrared Spectra. *J Phys Chem B*. 2011; 115:5322–5339. [PubMed: 21375310]
37. Ghosh A, Tucker MJ, Hochstrasser RM. Identification of Arginine Residues in Peptides by 2d-Ir Echo Spectroscopy. *J Phys Chem A*. 2011; 115:9731–9738. [PubMed: 21539337]
38. Park S, Ji M. Ultrafast Vibrational Population Transfer Dynamics in 2-Acetylcyclopentanone Studied by 2d Ir Spectroscopy. *Chem Phys Chem*. 2011; 12:799–805. [PubMed: 21302339]
39. Son H, Park K-H, Kwak K-W, Park S, Cho M. Ultrafast Intermolecular Vibrational Excitation Transfer from Solute to Solvent: Observation of Intermediate States. *Chem Phys*. 2013

40. Vorobyev DY, Kuo CH, Chen JX, Kuroda DG, Scott JN, Vanderkooi JM, Hochstrasser RM. Ultrafast Vibrational Spectroscopy of a Degenerate Mode of Guanidinium Chloride. *J Phys Chem B*. 2009; 113:15382–15391. [PubMed: 19905022]
41. Vorobyev DY, Kuo CH, Kuroda DG, Scott JN, Vanderkooi JM, Hochstrasser RM. Water-Induced Relaxation of a Degenerate Vibration of Guanidinium Using 2d Ir Echo Spectroscopy. *J Phys Chem B*. 2010; 114:2944–2953. [PubMed: 20143800]
42. Pakoulev AV, Rickard MA, Mathew NA, Kornau KM, Wright JC. Frequency-Domain Time-Resolved Four Wave Mixing Spectroscopy of Vibrational Coherence Transfer with Single-Color Excitation. 2008; 112:6320–6329.
43. King JT, Anna JM, Kubarych KJ. Solvent-Hindered Intramolecular Vibrational Redistribution. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2011; 13:5579–5583. [PubMed: 21359345]
44. Kuroda DG, Singh PK, Hochstrasser RM. Differential Hydration of Tricyanomethanide Observed by Time Resolved Vibrational Spectroscopy. *J Phys Chem B*. 2012; 117:4354–4364. [PubMed: 22934602]
45. Rubtsov IV. Relaxation-Assisted Two-Dimensional Infrared (Ra 2dir) Method: Accessing Distances over 10 Å and Measuring Bond Connectivity Patterns. *Acc Chem Res*. 2009; 42:1385–1394. [PubMed: 19462972]
46. Falvo, C.; Sanda, F.; Mukamel, S. Quasi-Particle Approach to 2d Ir Spectra of Vibrational Excitons in Biomolecules. In: Fayer, MD., editor. *Ultrafast Infrared Vibrational Spectroscopy*. CRC Press; Boca Raton: 2013. p. 405
47. Ge NH, Zanni MT, Hochstrasser RM. Effects of Vibrational Frequency Correlations on Two-Dimensional Infrared Spectra. *J Phys Chem A*. 2001; 106:962–972.
48. Sanda F, Mukamel S. Stochastic Liouville Equations for Coherent Multidimensional Spectroscopy of Excitons. *J Phys Chem B*. 2008; 112:14212–14220. [PubMed: 18925774]
49. Yuen-Zhou J, Aspuru-Guzik A. Quantum Process Tomography of Excitonic Dimers from Two-Dimensional Electronic Spectroscopy. I. General Theory and Application to Homodimers. *J Chem Phys*. 2011; 134:134505. [PubMed: 21476762]
50. Yuen-Zhou J, Krich JJ, Mohseni M, Aspuru-Guzik A. Quantum State and Process Tomography of Energy Transfer Systems Via Ultrafast Spectroscopy. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*. 2011; 108:17615–17620. [PubMed: 21997214]
51. Yuen-Zhou J, Arias DH, Eisele DM, Steiner CP, Krich JJ, Bawendi M, Nelson KA, Aspuru-Guzik A. Coherent Exciton Dynamics in Supramolecular Light-Harvesting Nanotubes Revealed by Ultrafast Quantum Process Tomography. *arXiv:13084566*. 2013
52. Chuang IL, Nielsen M. Prescription for Experimental Determination of the Dynamics of a Quantum Black Box. *J Mod Opt*. 1997; 44:2455–2467.
53. Nielsen, MA.; Chuang, IL. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge university press; 2010.
54. Ma XS, Herbst T, Scheidl T, Wang D, Kropatschek S, Naylor W, Wittmann B, Mech A, Kofler J, Anisimova E. Quantum Teleportation over 143 Kilometres Using Active Feed-Forward. *Nature*. 2012; 489:269–273. [PubMed: 22951967]
55. Ishizaki A, Fleming GR. On the Adequacy of the Redfield Equation and Related Approaches to the Study of Quantum Dynamics in Electronic Energy Transfer. *J Chem Phys*. 2009; 130:234110. [PubMed: 19548714]
56. Zanni MT, Ge NH, Kim YS, Hochstrasser RM. Two-Dimensional Ir Spectroscopy Can Be Designed to Eliminate the Diagonal Peaks and Expose Only the Crosspeaks Needed for Structure Determination. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*. 2001; 98:11265–11270. [PubMed: 11562493]
57. Hochstrasser RM. Two-Dimensional Ir-Spectroscopy: Polarization Anisotropy Effects. *Chem Phys*. 2001; 266:273–284.
58. Fang C, Wang J, Kim YS, Charnley AK, Barber-Armstrong W, Smith AB III, Decatur SM, Hochstrasser RM. Two-Dimensional Infrared Spectroscopy of Isotopomers of an Alanine Rich Alpha-Helix. 2004; 108:10415–10427.
59. Palmieri B, Abramavicius D, Mukamel S. Lindblad Equations for Strongly Coupled Populations and Coherences in Photosynthetic Complexes. *J Chem Phys*. 2009; 130:204512. [PubMed: 19485462]

Figure 1.

IR spectroscopy of CHD in chloroform. (a) Linear absorption spectrum: black line – experimental spectrum; red line – fit to the motional-narrowed lineshape with the vibrational lifetime and orientational relaxation contributions accounted for as determined by independent measurements. See text for the details. (b) Real part of the 2D-IR absorptive spectrum at $T=0$ fs for $\langle YYXX \rangle$ polarization conditions. Positive contours indicate one-exciton manifold transitions. Dashed line is the diagonal line. (c) Real part of the 2D-IR photon-echo (rephasing) spectrum $S^{YYXX}(\omega_e, T, \omega_t)$ at $T=0$ fs.

Figure 2.

Real peak amplitudes $S_{ij}^{ABCD}(T)$ extracted from the waiting time series of the 2D-IR spectra of CHD in chloroform. The amplitudes are normalized to the value of $S_{ii}^{XXXX}(0)$.

Figure 3.

QPT of CHD: Experiment. Open dots - reconstructed elements of the process matrix $\chi_{ij,kl}(T)$. Red lines show fits of the results to the kinetic model based on the Redfield's theory. See text for details.

Figure 4.

Double-sided diagrams illustrating Liouville pathways involved in the 2D-IR experiment that contribute to a photon-echo signal of two coupled oscillators at fundamental transitions.

(a)–(c) Pathways contributing to the diagonal peaks $S_{ii}^{ABCD}(T)$. (d)–(f) Pathways contributing to the cross-peaks $S_{ij}^{ABCD}(T)$. The corresponding rotational factors are indicated below each pathway.

Figure 5.

QPT of CHD: Simulation. Open dots - reconstructed elements of the process matrix $\chi_{ij,kl}(T)$. Red lines show fits of the results to the kinetic model based on the Redfield's equation of motion. Panels 1a–f – no transfer process included ($k_{ii,jj} = k_{ij,ji} = 0$); panels 2a–f – population and coherence transfer included ($k_{ii,jj} = k_{ij,ji} = 0.5 \text{ ps}^{-1}$). See text for details.