Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem. 2012 April 15; 20(8): 2603–2613. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2012.02.042.

Development of a new class of aromatase inhibitors: Design, synthesis and inhibitory activity of 3-phenylchroman-4-one (isoflavanone) derivatives

Kevin Bonfield, Erica Amato, Tony Bankemper, Hannah Agard, Jeffrey Steller, James M. Keeler, David Roy, Adam McCallum, Stefan Paula, and Lili Ma*

Department of Chemistry, Northern Kentucky University, Nunn Drive, Highland Heights, KY 41099, United States

Abstract

Aromatase (CYP19) catalyzes the aromatization reaction of androgen substrates to estrogens, the last and rate-limiting step in estrogen biosynthesis. Inhibition of aromatase is a new and promising approach to treat hormone-dependent breast cancer. We present here the design and development of isoflavanone derivatives as potential aromatase inhibitors. Structural modifications were performed on the A and B rings of isoflavanones via microwave-assisted, gold-catalyzed annulation reactions of hydroxyaldehydes and alkynes. The *in vitro* aromatase inhibition of these compounds was determined by fluorescence-based assays utilizing recombinant human aromatase (baculovirus/insect cell-expressed). The compounds 3-(4-phenoxyphenyl)chroman-4-one (**1h**), 6-methoxy-3-phenylchroman-4-one (**2a**) and 3-(pyridin-3-yl)chroman-4-one (**3b**) exhibited potent inhibitory effects against aromatase with IC₅₀ values of 2.4 μM, 0.26 μM and 5.8 μM, respectively. Docking simulations were employed to investigate crucial enzyme/inhibitor interactions such as hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonding and heme iron coordination. This report provides useful information on aromatase inhibition and serves as a starting point for the development of new flavonoid aromatase inhibitors.

Keywords

Breast cancer; Aromatase inhibitors; Isoflavanones

1. Introduction

Breast cancer is the leading cancer for women and is the second leading cause of the deaths after lung cancer. Women in the US have the highest incidence rate of developing breast cancer. Currently there are approximately 2.5 million women living with breast cancer in the US. The estimated number of new breast cancer cases for the year 2010 was over 200,000.¹ One out of eight women will develop breast cancer over the course of a lifetime, a significant increase compared to 1 in 35 in the 1930s.² The risk factors of breast cancer include age, personal health history, family health history, diet, exercise and obesity.³ Various forms of treatment are available for breast cancer, including surgery, radiation, chemotherapy and hormonal therapy.⁴ Hormonal therapy, unlike surgery, radiation and chemotherapy, is relatively facile and controllable, and is therefore embraced by a majority of patients with estrogen receptor positive breast cancer. The success of hormonal therapy is

largely dependent on effective medicines that are potent, selective, and have favorable pharmacologic and pharmacokinetic profiles such as suitable absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) properties.

Breast cancer tumor cell proliferation is stimulated by the signal released when estrogen binds to estrogen receptors.⁵ In biosynthetic pathways, estrogens (estrone, estradiol and estriol) are synthesized from cholesterol through progestagens and androgens (e.g., androstenedione Fig. 1a). Aromatase (CYP19) catalyzes the aromatization reaction, the last and rate-limiting step in estrogen biosynthesis. Therefore, aromatase is a new and promising pharmaceutical target for hormonal-dependent breast cancer.⁶ Aromatase inhibitors (AIs) have many advantages over the traditional hormonal breast cancer therapy using selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs). These advantages include reversibility, total blockage and possibly reduced side effects.⁷ AI medicines are often categorized as steroidal or non-steroidal aromatase inhibitors (NSAIs) based on their structural similarity with steroids, or as 1st, 2nd and 3rd generations based on their evolution time.⁸ Intensive ongoing studies are focused on the third generation non-steroidal aromatase inhibitors (NSAIs), which can be further divided into the following classes based on their scaffold. The first class of NSAIs consists of analogs of third generation triazole AI drug molecules such as letrozole,⁹ anastrozole¹⁰ (Fig. 1b), which were approved by FDA and are used as first-line therapy in the treatment of breast cancer in postmenopausal women. These AIs are characterized by the presence of diarylmethyl and imidazole or triazole functionalities. Representative examples include arylimidazole and azolylbenzyl compounds.¹¹ Since these aromatase inhibitors are structural modifications of synthetic NSAI drug molecules, they might have side effects similar to these of NSAI drugs when given on a long-term basis. The second class of NSAIs consists of natural products and their derivatives such as flavonoid, coumarin, resveratrol and lignan.¹² Despite the scarcity of knowledge as to their mechanism of action, natural product drugs are believed to exhibit reduced side effects, which might be the results of the combined action of a mixture of constituents, or the multi-target function of one constituent.¹³ As the people are becoming aware of side effects of synthetic AI drugs, natural product NSAIs are attracting more and more attention recently for their future clinical uses.¹⁴ Some representative examples of naturally occurring flavonoid aromatase inhibitors are shown in Figure 1c (5,7-dihydroxyflavone) and 1d (5,7-dihydroxy -4'-methoxyisoflavone). In addition to the NSAIs mentioned above, new NSAI entities such as morpholino ethanone have recently been discovered using computational high-throughput docking studies.¹⁵

Flavonoid compounds, which include flavones, isoflavones, flavanones, and isoflavanones, display diverse biological activities such as antioxidant, anticancer, antiviral and anti-inflammatory activities.¹⁸ Natural flavones or their synthetic analogs have been reported to show inhibitory effects against aromatase (Fig. 1c). A common strategy to increase the potency of flavones is to introduce appropriate functional groups. Flavones with functionalities such as halides, nitro and amino have been synthesized and tested for their aromatase inhibitory effects by different groups working in this field.¹⁹ Specifically, methylated/hydroxylated flavanones,²⁰ benzoflavanones,²¹ and imidazolyl flavones²² have been reported to be potent aromatase inhibitors. On the other hand, isoflavones, which differ from flavones in the phenyl substitution position on ring C, are not well studied probably due to their significantly poorer inhibitory effects against aromatase than flavones.²³ Naturally occurring isoflavones such as daidzein, glycitein and genistein are either inactive or weak inhibitors against aromatase. Even though conflicting results exist, the most potent known natural isoflavone inhibitor is biochanin A (Fig. 1d) with reported IC₅₀ values around 113 μ M.¹⁷ Significant information on isoflavone AIs was provided by Brueggemeier, including first examples of isoflavone-based pyridyl-modified aromatase inhibitors²⁴ in

2004 and subsequent improvements on isoflavone aromatase inhibitors with azoles, alkoxys, etc.²⁵

Isoflavanones (Scheme 1) are a rare class of flavonoids that differ from isoflavones by having the C2–C3 bond saturated. We noticed that the isoflavone scaffold is inactive against aromatase, whereas the isoflavanone scaffold showed weak inhibition.^{20b} A comparison of docking-predicted poses of isoflavones with isoflavanones revealed similar orientations in the enzyme pocket. However, due to the non-planarity of its scaffold, isoflavanone had an additional enzyme–ligand interaction with the highly hydrophobic enzyme pocket through its C2 methylene group. This CH₂/π interaction²⁶ might be responsible for the observed difference in the inhibitory activity between isoflavone and isoflavanone. We envisioned that with proper functional groups (see below) on this non-planar scaffold, isoflavanone could result in enhanced anti-aromatase activities. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one report that investigated aromatase inhibition by isoflavanone compounds such as 2-hydroxyisoflavanone (IC₅₀ = 170 μM), 4'-hydroxyisoflavanone (IC₅₀ = 160 μM) and 3',4'-dihydroxyisoflavanone (IC₅₀ = 200 μM).^{20b} Here we report the design and synthesis of a series of isoflavanone derivatives as aromatase inhibitors. A novel and convenient microwave-assisted gold-catalyzed annulation reaction was utilized to synthesize isoflavanone derivatives. Various substituents such as methyl, phenyl, methoxy and pyridyl were attached to the isoflavanone scaffold to explore hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonding and heme iron coordination. Computational docking of active isoflavanones into the aromatase active site was employed to detect crucial enzyme/inhibitor interactions. The calculated physicochemical properties of isoflavanone inhibitors are also discussed in order to obtain some preliminary insights on their long-term pharmacokinetic effects.

2. Results

2.1. Inhibitor design and synthesis

To explore the feasibility of isoflavanone compounds as aromatase inhibitors, a design strategy was adopted in which the isoflavanone core could be modified to yield diverse compounds with enhanced inhibitory potency. As revealed by the crystal structure of aromatase, there are three unique structural features of the aromatase active site.^{6b} First, the enzyme binding pocket is highly hydrophobic. Therefore hydrophobic groups such as alkyl groups and aromatic rings may be favorable for enhanced binding. Second, hydrogen bonding plays an important role in enzyme–substrate interaction, and the 17-keto oxygen in the androstenedione substrate (Fig. 1a) forms a hydrogen bond with the Met 374 backbone amide. The hydroxyl of Ser 478 was also reported to participate in hydrogen bonding with carbonyl or cyano groups of the aromatase inhibitors.²⁷ Third, the Fe²⁺ in the heme group of aromatase is capable of chelating to hetero atoms in inhibitors, especially N atoms. Many recent studies on aromatase inhibitors utilized pyridine,^{24,28} triazole²⁹ or imidazole^{29,30} N-heterocyclic groups to enhance the coordination between the heme iron atom of the enzyme and the heterocyclic nitrogen lone pair. Based on these structural features, the design of isoflavanone aromatase inhibitors was centered on enhancement of hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonding and heme iron coordination (Scheme 1).

Isoflavanone compounds are normally synthesized in three steps from commercially available materials. A typical procedure involves a Lewis acid catalyzed Friedel–Crafts acylation of phenols, followed by an annulation of 2-hydroxyphenylketone and aldehyde to form isoflavone (3-phenylbenzopyrone), and finally hydrogenation of C2–C3 double bond to produce isoflavanone compounds.³¹ However, these synthetic routes are laborious, and harmful or sensitive reagents are utilized. Considering the commercial availability of various hydroxyaldehydes and phenylacetylenes, we employed a AuCN/ⁿBu₃P(1:25)-catalyzed annulation reaction of salicylaldehyde and phenylacetylene in toluene at 150 °C for 36 h to

assemble the isoflavanone scaffold in one step.³² However, the long reaction time and the large amount of ⁿBu₃P ligand required motivated us to search for new reaction conditions. Therefore we investigated this reaction under microwave conditions and found that the reaction time was greatly reduced from 36 h to only 10 min when subjected to microwave irradiation at high temperatures. The reaction solvent, toluene, poorly absorbs microwave irradiation, hence the amount of AuCN catalyst was increased from 1% to 5% to facilitate temperature increase. The final optimized reaction conditions to synthesize isoflavanone derivatives under microwave irradiation were 5% AuCN, 25% ⁿBu₃P, 200 °C for 10 min (Scheme 2). Utilizing these new reaction conditions, twenty three isoflavanone derivatives were synthesized and evaluated for their inhibitory effects against aromatase (Table 1). These compounds were fully characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and mass spectroscopy. Three proton signals in the range of 3.90–4.70 ppm were observed in the ¹H NMR spectra, indicative of the aliphatic protons attached to C2 and C3 in the product isoflavanone scaffold.

2.2. Aromatase inhibition

The evaluation of the synthesized isoflavanones for aromatase inhibitory activity was performed using a fluorometric substrate (7-methoxy-trifluoromethylcoumarin) and human CYP19 aromatase with ketoconazole as a positive control.³³ All experiments were performed at least in triplicate to determine the IC₅₀ value (Fig. 2). Since racemic compounds were synthesized, the activities reported in this paper represent the lower limits of inhibitory potency. Interestingly, the parent molecule **1a** showed moderate inhibition against aromatase with an IC₅₀ value of 29 μM (Table 1). Under the same conditions, no significant inhibition was found with compounds **1i** and **1j**, in which the B ring was attached to a large aromatic group. All other compounds showed moderate to good inhibitory effects, with **2a** being the most potent compound in this investigation (Table 1). Both enantiomers of synthesized isoflavanones were docked into the aromatase active site, and the enantiomer with the higher docking score was used to identify crucial enzyme/inhibitor interactions (Fig. 3).

3. Discussion

3.1. Hydrophobic interactions

The aromatase (CYP19) active site is highly hydrophobic and is dominated by aliphatic amino acid residues such as Met 374, Val 373, Val 370, Ile 305, Ala 306, Ile 133, Trp 224, Leu 372, Leu 477, Phe 134 and Thr 310. Hence inhibitors with alkyl or aromatic groups are expected to bind with high affinity.^{6b} A methyl group was introduced to the A or B rings of isoflavanones with **1c** and **1e** being the most potent with IC₅₀ values of 18 μM and 20 μM. There was no significant difference in activities between the methylated analogs and the model compound **1a**. Overall, the presence and the position of the methyl substituent on the isoflavanone scaffold did not influence the inhibitory activity significantly. In addition to the methyl group, benzo and phenoxy groups were also installed for possible π–π stacking interactions. The extension of the isoflavanone A ring by a benzo group at positions 5 and 6 (compound **1g**) increased the inhibitory potency slightly in comparison to **1a** (IC₅₀ = 20 μM). The docking pose of 5,6-benzoisoflavanone **1g** in the aromatase active site (Fig. 3a) indicated that the primary interactions between **1g** and the enzyme active site were the coordination between the carbonyl O atom in **1g** and the iron in the heme group (distance = 2.5 Å). The benzo group attached to the isoflavanone A ring was predicted to have π–π stacking interactions with aromatase residue Trp 224.

Compounds 4'-phenoxyisoflavanone **1h** and 4'-phenylisoflavanone **1i** have some structural similarity by bearing an additional aromatic group on ring B, while their inhibitory potencies

are surprisingly different. The inhibition potency of **1h** increased 12-fold compared to the model compound **1a** with an IC_{50} of 2.4 μ M, while **1i** was inactive. The differences in their activities may be attributed to the flexibilities of these two molecules. The presence of the oxygen bridge in compound **1h** gives the molecule more flexibility so that it can bend and undergo π - π stacking interactions. On the other hand, compound **1i** with a biphenyl group is rigid and cannot fit into the pocket. The same reason might also apply for compound 3-(phenanthren-9-yl)chroman-4-one **1j**. Therefore, there were no anti-aromatase activities observed for **1h** and **1j**.

As mentioned above, the key interactions identified from computer modeling of **1h** into the enzyme active site were π - π stacking interactions (Fig. 3b). The distance between the PhO benzene ring of **1h** and aromatase residue Phe 221 was 2.7 Å, indicating strong π - π stacking interactions. The Asp 309 side chain was in close proximity with the oxygen atom of the 4'-phenoxide group. However, no H-bonds between **1h** and Asp 309 were predicted by the docking results. The π - π stacking interactions between the A ring of inhibitor **1h** and the heme group were also predicted (distance = 3.0 Å), as can be seen from the docking pose. Additionally, the carbonyl O atom in **1h** was positioned to coordinate to the heme iron, similar to the heme coordination predicted for compound **1g** (Fig. 3a).

3.2. Hydrogen bonds

In order to increase hydrogen bonding between inhibitor and enzyme, isoflavanone derivatives with methoxy groups capable of acting as H-bond acceptors at various positions were synthesized (compounds **2a–2f**). The most potent inhibitor was 6-methoxyisoflavanone **2a** which showed an about 100-fold increase in inhibition potency (IC_{50} = 0.26 μ M). The Met 374 backbone NH and the 6-methoxy group in **2a** is in close proximity (Fig. 3c), which might have favorable hydrogen bonding interactions. In addition, the π - π stacking interactions (distance = 3.2–4.1 Å) between the B ring of **2a** and the heme porphyrin may also contribute to the observed high affinity. One should point out that both compounds **2a** and **2b** have increased electron density on ring A due to the presence of the electron-donating methoxy group. Since ring A was not involved in π - π stacking interactions with the enzyme active site for both compounds, there was no benefit from increasing the electron density on ring A.

The B ring methoxylated isoflavanone did not show much promise at first (compounds **2d–2f**). When a dimethoxylated compound 3',5'-dimethoxyisoflavanone **2g** was synthesized, however, the corresponding IC_{50} value was 11 μ M, about a threefold increase compared to the parent molecule **1a**. Docking indicated that the aromatase heme iron might coordinate to the carbonyl oxygen atom in **2g** (Fig. 3d). A careful examination of the enzyme pocket around the heme group revealed that this was mostly a hydrophobic area which was composed of non-polar amino acids such as Ile 133, Leu 301, Ala 306, Ile 305, Phe 221, Trp 224 and Val 370. Therefore, the hydrophobic interactions between 3',5'-dimethoxyisoflavanone **2g** and the enzyme active site also contributed to the enhanced inhibitory potency. It should be pointed out that hydrogen bonding interactions were predicted between the other enantiomer of **2g** and aromatase. Specifically, the NH in aromatase residue Arg 192 formed a hydrogen bond (2.0 Å) with the oxygen in 3'-methoxy group, and the -OH of the Ser 478 side chain formed a second hydrogen bond (2.1 Å) with the 5'-methoxy group. However, this enantiomer did not fit into the enzyme active site very well due to reduced hydrophobic interactions and received a lower docking score. Even though enhanced inhibitory potency was observed for the B-ring methoxylated compound **2g**, one should keep in mind that the introduction of polar groups (e.g., -OH, -OCH₃) on ring B of isoflavanone might also decrease activity, as it seems to be the case for 2'-methoxyisoflavanone **2d** (IC_{50} = 97 μ M), 3'-methoxyisoflavanone **2e** (IC_{50} = 99 μ M), 4'-

hydroxyisoflavanone ($IC_{50} = 160 \mu M$)^{20b} and 3',4'-dihydroxyisoflavanone ($IC_{50} = 200 \mu M$).^{20b}

3.3. Heme iron coordination

The coordination of the inhibitor with the iron atom of the heme moiety is an important feature of potent and selective inhibitors of aromatase. Functional groups such as imidazole, triazole and pyridine are able to form nitrogen heme iron coordination, and others such as phenol or thiophene may coordinate to the heme iron.³⁴ 3-(thiophen-3-yl)chroman-4-one **3a** and 3-(pyridin-3-yl)chroman-4-one **3b** were synthesized in order to probe the heme iron coordination. Compound **3a** with a thiophene group which might coordinate to heme iron did not exhibit a significant increase in potency in the inhibition assay (Table 1). Compound **3b** with a pyridine group showed fivefold increase in aromatase inhibitory potency ($IC_{50} = 5.8 \mu M$), likely due to the coordination to the heme iron. This observation was supported by our docking study, which suggested that the pyridine ring was about 2.4 Å away from heme group, and the pyridyl nitrogen coordinated to the iron in the heme group (Fig. 3e). Our attempts to synthesize 3-(pyridin-2-yl)chroman-4-one and 3-(1-methyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl)chroman-4-one were not successful, probably due to the reduced the activities of gold(I) catalysts upon their coordination to the N-heteroaromatic alkynes.³⁵ Information on heme iron coordination with other N-heterocyclic rings such as pyridine and imidazole could not be provided for the current study. Since the enzyme pocket surrounding the heterocyclic ring of chroman-4-one inhibitors are hydrophobic and sterically restricted, further optimization on this series of molecules has to take these factors into consideration by avoiding large substituents (e.g., -OPh, biphenyl) or polar substituents (e.g., -OH, -OCH₃).

3.4. Further exploration of 6-substituted isoflavanones

Prompted by the inhibitory effect of 6-methoxyisoflavanone, we examined the effects of different substituents at the C6 position of the parent molecule. Comparison of compounds **1b**, **1g** and **2a** revealed that a relatively big polar group at this position might enhance the interactions between isoflavanone and the aromatase pocket. Specifically, introduction of an electron-donating substituent such as a methoxy group or electron-withdrawing substituent such as a benzo at the C5–C6 position on the A ring increased the aromatase inhibitory effect. Following these results, isoflavanones with F, Cl, Br and ^tbutyl at C6 position were prepared and tested for their aromatase inhibition (Table 1 **4a–4d**). Enhanced inhibitory effects were observed for compounds **4b** which had a chlorine group. The presence of a ^tbutyl group at C6 (compound **4d**) reduced the binding affinity. These observations could be explained from the electronic and steric properties of the amino acid residues around C6 position of isoflavanone. As mentioned previously, this region is spacious and polar due to the presence of Ser 478 and Arg 115 lining the wall of the pocket. A polar functional group with reasonable size might fit into this region and interact favorably by steric and electronic effects. Therefore, the modulation at C6 of isoflavanone with chlorine improved the binding affinity while that with the non-polar ^tbutyl decreased the binding interactions.

3.5. Calculated physicochemical properties

In order to explore the bioavailability of isoflavanone derivatives, theoretical calculations were carried out to predict some physicochemical properties of synthesized compounds. Lipinski's rule of five³⁶ and the later addition of other parameters such as polar surface area (PSA),³⁷ well known in pharmaceutical industry, outline the basic principles for orally active small molecules: molecular weight (MW) should be under 500, lipophilicity which is expressed as logarithm of partition coefficient between *n*-octanol and water (*c*Log*P*) should be less than 5, the number of hydrogen bond donors (Hd) should be no more than 5, the number of hydrogen bond acceptors (Ha) should be no more than 10, and the topological

polar surface area (TPSA) should be smaller than 90 Å². Among these parameters, Log*P*, the measure of the compound's solubility and permeability, is believed to be very important. Very high lipophilicity and the resulted large Log*P* values cause poor absorption or permeation and should be avoided.

The calculated physicochemical properties³⁸ of some of the most active isoflavanone inhibitors together with those for anastrozole are listed in Table 2. Based on these calculations, compounds 5,6-benzisoflavanone **1g** and 4'-phenoxyisoflavanone **1h** had very large *cLogP* values, 4.55 and 5.15, respectively, which might be disadvantageous with regard to the pharmacokinetic properties of these molecules in biological systems. Compounds **2a**, **2g** and **3b**, exhibited favorable *cLogP* values. The polar surface areas of these molecules were relatively small ranging from 26.3 to 44.8 Å² in comparison with the average value (90 Å²) for approved drug molecules. This provides an excellent opportunity to modify these isoflavanone derivatives with additional functional groups.

Figure 4 summarizes our current understanding as to how isoflavanones bind to the active site of aromatase. These compounds bind to the active site in an orientation such that their A, C and B rings mimic D, C and A rings of the steroids, respectively. The amino acids responsible for important interactions with isoflavanone derivatives are Phe 221, Met 374, Arg 192, Ser 478 and Asp 309. The modification on the A and B rings of isoflavanone compounds has resulted in aromatase inhibitors exhibiting stronger binding affinity and enhanced activities.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have demonstrated in this work that isoflavanones can be used as aromatase inhibitors through appropriate structural modulation. For the first time, a small set of isoflavanones with various functionalities was synthesized via a one-step annulation reaction and tested for their aromatase inhibitory effects. Compared to other flavanoid aromatase inhibitors, our synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives was straightforward utilizing microwave irradiation and readily available hydroxyaldehydes and aromatic alkynes as starting materials. In addition, the most active compounds identified from this study showed favorable physicochemical properties which are promising for further development of this series of compounds.

In comparison to isoflavones, isoflavanone derivatives with functional groups such as methoxy, phenoxy, chloride, pyridyl, etc. demonstrated good inhibitory potencies against aromatase, revealing that the non-planarity configuration of the isoflavanone scaffold might play an important role in enzyme–ligand binding. Specifically, compound **2a** bearing a methoxy group at C6 position stood out as the most potent inhibitor with a 100-fold enhancement in potency compared to model compound **1a**. Our data also showed that the key structural features that enable isoflavanones bind to the aromatase active site with high affinity are as follows: (1) Isoflavanone rings A or B may participate in π – π stacking interactions with aromatase's porphyrin ring or amino acid residues, such as Phe 221, Trp224 and Phe 134. (2) Methoxy groups could increase binding affinity by forming hydrogen bonds with aromatase residues, such as Met 374, Arg 192 and Ser 478. (3) Heterocyclic nitrogen or carbonyl oxygen atom of the inhibitors can coordinate to the heme iron atom and thereby enhance the binding interactions. The calculated physicochemical properties of these compounds indicated that it is possible to obtain more potent and selective aromatase inhibitors by appropriate modification on the isoflavanone scaffold. Compounds **2a**, **2g** and **3b** showed not only high potency against aromatase but also favorable physicochemical properties, demonstrating that the isoflavanone scaffold can be

further optimized for the development of new therapeutic agents for hormone-dependent breast cancer.

5. Experimental

5.1. Chemistry

Reagents and solvents were obtained from Aldrich and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Toluene was freshly distilled from CaH_2 prior to use. The microwave-assisted reactions were conducted on a single-mode Discover System from CEM Corporation. Power cooling was turned off manually during the reaction to ensure that the reaction temperature reached 200 °C. Thin-layer chromatography was performed using pre-coated silica gel F254 plates (Whatman). Column chromatography was performed using pre-packed RediSep Rf Silica columns on a CombiFlash Rf Flash Chromatography system (Teledyne Isco). NMR spectra were obtained on a Joel 500 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts were reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to the tetramethylsilane (TMS) signal at 0.00 ppm. Coupling constants, J, were reported in Hertz (Hz). The peak patterns were indicated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; dt, doublet of triplet; dd, doublet of doublet; m, multiplet; q, quartet. Analytical reverse-phase HPLC was carried out using a system consisting of a 1525 binary HPLC pump and 2996 photodiode array detector (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA). A Nova-Pak C18 column (4 μm , 3.9 $\times$ 150 mm), also from Waters, was used with a mobile phase of methanol and water (60:40, vol/vol) plus 0.25% acetic acid, flow rate 1.2 mL/min and UV detection wavelength at 250 nm. Control and data acquisition was done using the Empower 2 software (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA). Synthesized compounds were prepared in methanol to make 1.0 mg/mL stock solution and 10 μL solution was injected for HPLC test. The purity of all the compounds was assessed by HPLC under 254 nm. All final compounds were confirmed to be 95% purity by analysis of their peak area. Mass spectra were obtained on a Water TQD Tandem Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer, and data was collected in electrospray positive mode (ESI^+). High resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass Q-TOF 2 or a Thermo Scientific LTQ-FTTM mass spectrometer operating in electrospray (ES) mode. Calculation of important Physicochemical properties (logP, number of hydrogen bond donors and acceptors and polar surface area) was performed using Molinspiration Cheminformatics Software at URL <http://www.molinspiration.com>.

5.1.1. General procedure for isoflavanone formation

5.1.1.1. Method A (Thermal Process): To a 5-mL micro reaction vial equipped with a stirring bar was added AuCN (0.03 mmol, 0.03 equiv, 6.6 mg), Bu_3P (0.75 mmol, 0.75 equiv, 185.1 μL), aldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv), alkyne (3 mmol, 3 equiv) and 4 mL of freshly distilled toluene. The reaction mixture was stirred at 150 °C for 36 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the crude mixture was loaded directly on silica gel and was purified by Medium Performance Liquid Chromatography, eluting with an ethyl acetate/hexanes gradient to afford the desired products.

5.1.1.2. Method B (Microwave Irradiation Process): The microwave-assisted reactions were conducted on a single-mode Discover System from CEM Corporation. To an oven-dried standard microwave reaction vial (capacity 10 mL) equipped with a stirring bar was added AuCN (0.05 mmol, 0.05 equiv, 11.0 mg), Bu_3P (0.25 mmol, 0.25 equiv, 61.7 μL), aldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv), alkyne (3 mmol, 3 equiv) and 1 mL of freshly distilled toluene. The reaction vial was then sealed with a Teflon septum cap, and the sample was subjected to microwave irradiation at a power of 200 W for 10 min (hold time) at 200 °C. After being cooled down, the vial was opened, and the crude mixture was loaded directly on silica gel

and was purified by Medium Performance Liquid Chromatography eluting with an ethyl acetate/hexanes gradient to afford the desired products.

5.1.1.3. 6-Methyl-3-phenylchroman-4-one (1b): Synthesized from 2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 136.15 mg) and phenylacetylene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 330 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Light yellow solid. R_f = 0.39 (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 26.4%. Purity, 96.5%. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.76 (s, 1H, 5-H), 7.28 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 6.91 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, 8-H), 4.64 (m, 2H, 2-H), 3.96 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 2.32 (s, 3H, CH_3). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.5, 159.8, 137.3, 135.3, 131.2, 129.0, 128.71, 127.8, 127.4, 120.7, 117.8, 71.6, 52.5, 20.5. HRMS Calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_2\text{Na}$ [M+Na] 261.0891. Found: 261.0890.

5.1.1.4. 7-Methyl-3-phenylchroman-4-one (1c): Synthesized from 2-hydroxy-4-methylbenzaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 138.9 mg) and phenylacetylene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 330 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method B (Microwave Irradiation Process) described above. Light yellow solid. R_f = 0.41 (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 31.6%. Purity, 98.3%. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.85 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.25–7.31 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, 6-H), 6.82 (s, 1H, 8-H), 4.64 (d, J = 9.2, 2H, 2-H), 3.96 (t, J = 7.8, 1H, 3-H), 2.37 (s, 3H, CH_3). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 125 MHz, ppm): δ 191.9, 161.7, 147.7, 135.4, 128.9, 128.7, 127.8, 127.7, 123.1, 118.9, 117.9, 71.6, 52.3, 22.0. HRMS Calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_2\text{Na}^+$ [M+Na] 261.0891. Found: 261.0892.

5.1.1.5. 8-Methyl-3-phenylchroman-4-one (1d): Synthesized from 2-hydroxy-3-methylbenzaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 120.0 μ L) and phenylacetylene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 330 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Yellow solid. R_f = 0.51 (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 31.4%. Purity 98.8%. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.84 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.28–7.40 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 6.96, (t, J = 7.5, 1H, 6-H), 4.68 (m, 2H, 2-H), 4.00 (dd, J = 9.1, 5.5 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 2.29 (s, 3H, CH_3). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.9, 159.8, 137.0, 135.3, 129.0, 128.8, 127.8, 127.2, 125.4, 121.1, 71.5, 52.3, 15.8. HRMS Calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_2\text{Na}$ [M+Na] 261.0891. Found: 261.0882.

5.1.1.6. 3-*m*-Tolylchroman-4-one (1e): Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 105.0 μ L) and 1-ethynyl-3-methylbenzene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 387 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Light yellow solid. R_f = 0.42 (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 38.0%. Purity, 99.2%. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.97 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.24 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, 6-H), 7.01–7.13 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 4.66 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, 2-H), 3.97 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 2.34 (s, 3H, CH_3). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.7, 161.7, 138.6, 136.1, 135.0, 129.5, 128.9, 128.7, 127.9, 125.7, 121.7, 121.2, 117.7, 71.6, 52.4, 21.5. HRMS Calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_2\text{Na}$ [M+Na] 261.0891. Found: 261.0879.

5.1.1.7. 3-*p*-Tolylchroman-4-one (1f)³⁹: Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 104.7 μ L), and 4-ethynyltoluene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 380.5 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Light yellow. Light yellow solid. R_f = 0.26 (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 28.7%. Purity, 99.4%. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.98 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.18 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.02–7.08 (m, 2H, 6-H, 8-H), 4.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, 2-H), 3.97 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 2.35 (s, 3H, CH_3). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 125

MHz, ppm): δ 192.5, 179.7, 161.7, 137.6, 136.1, 132.0, 129.7, 128.5, 127.8, 121.6, 117.9, 71.6, 52.0, 21.2. HRMS Calcd for $C_{16}H_{14}O_2Na$ [M+Na] 261.0891. Found: 261.0889.

5.1.1.8. 2-Phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzof[chromen]-1-one (1g)³⁹: Synthesized from 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 175.4 mg) and phenylacetylene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 330 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method B (Microwave Irradiation Process) described above. Light yellow solid. R_f = 0.42 (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 17.1%. Purity, 96.1%. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 9.48 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 9.2, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 7.8, 1H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.8, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.25 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.13 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, 2-H), 4.07 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, 3-H). ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 193.3, 163.6, 137.7, 135.7, 131.9, 129.8, 129.4, 128.9, 128.6, 128.5, 127.8, 126.1, 125.0, 118.7, 112.6, 71.3, 52.9. HRMS Calcd for $C_{19}H_{14}O_2Na^+$ [M+Na] 297.0891. Found: 297.0888.

5.1.1.9. 3-(4-Phenoxyphenyl)chroman-4-one (1h): Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv, 52.4 μ L) and 1-ethynyl-4-phenoxybenzene (1.5 mmol, 3 equiv, 271 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Yellow solid. R_f = 0.38 (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 22.0%. Purity, 98.2%. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.97 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.34 (t, J = 8.0, 2H, Ar-H), 7.24 (d, J = 10.5, 2H, Ar-H), 6.98–7.06 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 4.65 (m, 2H, 2-H), 3.99 (dd, J = 8.7, 5.5 Hz, 1H, 3-H). ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.3, 161.7, 157.1, 157.0, 136.2, 130.0, 129.9, 129.7, 127.9, 123.6, 121.7, 121.1, 119.3, 119.1, 118.0, 71.6, 51.7. HRMS Calcd for $C_{21}H_{16}O_3Na$ [M+Na] 339.0997, found 339.1002.

5.1.1.10. 3-(Biphenyl-4-yl)chroman-4-one (1i)³⁹: Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (1 mmol, 0.5 equiv, 52.4 μ L) and 4-ethynylbiphenyl (3 mmol, 1.5 equiv, 267.3 mg) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Light yellow solid. R_f = 0.40 (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 26.2%. Purity 97.0%. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 8.00 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.25–7.60 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 7.03–7.09 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 4.71 (d, J = 6.4, 2H, 2-H), 4.06 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, 3-H). ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.4, 180.0, 161.7, 140.9, 140.8, 136.2, 134.1, 129.1, 128.9, 127.9, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, 121.8, 118.0, 71.6, 52.1. HRMS Calcd for $C_{21}H_{16}O_2Na$ [M+Na] 323.1048. Found: 323.1038.

5.1.1.11. 3-(Phenanthren-9-yl)chroman-4-one (1j): Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv, 52.4 μ L) and 9-ethynylphenanthrene (1.5 mmol, 3 equiv, 303.4 mg) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method B (Microwave Irradiation Process) described above. Light yellow solid. R_f = 0.36 (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield 16.2%. Purity, 98.2%. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 8.77 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.67 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.05–8.10 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.3, 1H, Ar-H), 7.63–7.71 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.55–7.59 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.08–7.13 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 4.82–4.93 (m, 3H, 2-H and 3-H). ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.3, 161.7, 136.2, 131.3, 131.1, 130.6, 130.3, 129.9, 128.7, 128.0, 127.6, 127.1, 127.0, 126.9, 127.7, 124.1, 126.6, 122.6, 121.9, 124.5, 118.1, 71.5, 49.0. HRMS Calcd for $C_{23}H_{16}O_2Na$ [M+Na] 347.1048, found 347.1047.

5.1.1.12. 6-Methoxy-3-phenylchroman-4-one (2a): Synthesized from 2-hydroxy-5-methoxy-benzaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 123.7 μ L) and phenylacetylene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 330 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Light yellow solid. R_f = 0.28 (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 30.4%. Purity, 98.2%. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.26–7.38 (m, 6H,

Ar-H), 7.11 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 6.95 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 1H, 8-H), 4.64 (m, 2H, 2-H), 3.97 (d, $J = 4.1$ Hz, 1H, 3-H), 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.2, 156.4, 154.3, 135.4, 129.0, 128.7, 127.9, 125.4, 120.9, 119.3, 108.1, 71.8, 55.9, 52.4. HRMS Calcd for C₁₆H₁₄O₃Na [M+Na] 277.0841. Found: 277.0828.

5.1.1.13. 7-Methoxy-3-phenylchroman-4-one (2b)³⁹: Synthesized from 2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 159.2 mg) and phenylacetylene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 330 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Light yellow solid. $R_f = 0.22$ (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 37.1%. Purity, 97.7%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.90 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.25–7.34 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.61 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H, 6-H), 6.45 (s, 1H, 8-H), 4.65 (m, 2H, 2-H), 3.92 (dd, $J = 7.8, 5.5$ Hz, 1H, 3-H), 3.85 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 190.9, 166.2, 163.6, 135.5, 129.6, 128.9, 128.7, 127.8, 115.0, 110.3, 100.8, 72.0, 55.7, 52.0. HRMS Calcd for C₁₆H₁₄O₃Na [M+Na] 277.0841. Found: 277.0830.

5.1.1.14. 8-Methoxy-3-phenylchroman-4-one (2c): Synthesized from *o*-vanillin (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 166 mg), and phenylacetylene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 330 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Yellow solid. $R_f = 0.51$ (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 43.7%. Purity, 95.5%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.82 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.55 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.23–7.36 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 4.74–4.79 (m, 2H, 2-H), 4.00–4.03 (m, 1H, 3-H), 3.92 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 190.3, 155.8, 135.6, 133.9, 129.1, 128.6, 128.2, 126.9, 125.8, 12.0, 60.5, 51.7, 14.3. MS Calcd for C₁₆H₁₅O₃ [M+H] 255.29. Found: 255.04.

5.1.1.15. 3-(2-Methoxyphenyl)chroman-4-one (2d)⁴⁰: Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (1.5 mmol, 3 equiv, 59.3 μ L) and 2-ethynylanisole (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv, 64.66 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method B (Microwave Irradiation Process) described above. Yellow solid. Yield, 35.5%. Purity, 95.5%. $R_f = 0.38$ (10% EtOAc/Hex). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.80 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.48–7.54 (m, 1H, 7-H), 7.29 (t, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H, 6-H), 6.92–7.12 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 4.66 (t, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 2-H), 4.53 (dd, $J = 11.0, 5.5$ Hz, 1H, 3-H), 4.35–4.40 (m, 1H, 3-H), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.6, 161.9, 157.5, 135.7, 130.4, 129.2, 127.8, 123.6, 121.7, 121.5, 121.0, 117.9, 111.3, 70.8, 55.6, 48.6. HRMS Calcd for C₁₆H₁₄O₃Na [M+Na] 277.0835. Found: 277.0835.

5.1.1.16. 3-(3-Methoxyphenyl)chroman-4-one (2e): Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 104.7 μ L) and 3-ethynylanisole (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 387.95 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method B (Microwave Irradiation Process) described above. Yellow solid. Yield, 51.4%. Purity, 97.7%. $R_f = 0.34$ (10% EtOAc/Hex). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.96 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.49 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.27 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H, 6-H), 7.00–7.06 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.85 (t, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 3H, Ar-H), 4.67 (d, $J = 6.87$ Hz, 2H, 2-H), 3.96 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H, 3-H), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.0, 161.7, 160.0, 136.5, 136.1, 130.0, 127.9, 121.7, 120.9, 118.0, 114.6, 113.2, 71.5, 55.3, 52.4. HRMS Calcd for C₁₆H₁₄O₃Na [M+Na] 277.0835. Found: 277.0835.

5.1.1.17. 3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)chroman-4-one (2f)³⁹: Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 104.7 μ L), and 4-ethynylanisole (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 389 μ L) according to the general procedure described above. Yellow solid. $R_f = 0.14$ (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 36.5%. Purity, 99.5%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.95 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, 5-H),

7.49 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.20 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.87–7.04 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 4.62–4.65 (m, 2H, 2-H), 3.95 (dd, $J = 8.7$, 6.0 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.3, 161.6, 159.2, 136.0, 129.7, 127.8, 127.0, 121.6, 121.1, 117.9, 114.4, 71.6, 55.3, 51.6. HRMS Calcd for C₁₆H₁₄O₃Na [M+Na] 277.0841. Found: 277.0826.

5.1.1.18. 3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)chroman-4-one (2g): Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv, 52.4 μ L), and 1-ethynyl-3,5-dimethoxybenzene (1.5 mmol, 3 equiv, 243.5 mg) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Yellow solid. $R_f = 0.21$ (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield 24.6%. Purity, 99.3%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.95 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.49 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 6.99–7.05 (m, 2H, 6-H and 8-H), 6.44 (d, $J = 1.85$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.40 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.66 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H, 2-H), 3.91 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H, 3-H), 3.76 (s, 6H, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 197.2, 161.7, 161.1, 137.3, 136.0, 127.8, 121.6, 121.0, 117.9, 106.8, 99.76, 71.3, 55.3, 52.5. HRMS Calcd for C₁₇H₁₆O₄Na [M+Na] 307.0946. Found: 307.0939.

5.1.1.19. 3-(Thiophen-3-yl)chroman-4-one (3a): Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 104.7 μ L) and 3-ethynylthiophene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 295.5 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Light yellow oil. $R_f = 0.26$ (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 28.7%. Purity, 99.8%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.98 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.50 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.01–7.18 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 4.65 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H, 2-H), 3.96 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H, 3-H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.5, 161.7, 137.5, 136.1, 132.0, 129.7, 128.5, 127.8, 121.6, 121.1, 117.9, 71.6, 60.5, 52.0, 21.2. HRMS Calcd for C₁₃H₁₀O₂SNa [M+Na] 253.0299. Found: 253.0291.

5.1.1.20. 3-(Pyridin-3-yl)chroman-4-one (3b): Synthesized from salicylaldehyde (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv, 52.4 μ L) and 3-ethynylpyridine (3 mmol, 1.5 equiv, 267.3 mg) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Light yellow solid. $R_f = 0.22$ (40% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 28.0%. Purity 99.7%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 8.55 (s, 2H, Pyr-H), 7.93 (d, $J = 2.4$, 1H, 5-H), 7.58 (d, $J = 7.8$, 1H, Pyr-H), 7.51 (t, $J = 7.3$, 1H, 7-H), 7.25–7.28 (m, 1H, 6-H), 6.99–7.06 (m, 2H, Ar-H and Pyr-H), 4.62–4.68 (m, 2H, 2-H), 4.02 (dd, $J = 9.1$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, 3-H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 191.1, 161.6, 150.0, 149.2, 136.5, 136.2, 130.9, 127.8, 123.8, 122.0, 120.8, 118.0, 71.0, 50.0. HRMS Calcd for C₁₄H₁₁NO₂Na [M+Na] 248.0687. Found: 248.0682.

5.1.1.21. 6-Fluoro-3-phenylchroman-4-one (4a): Synthesized from 5-fluorosalicylaldehyde (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv, 70.1 mg) and phenylacetylene (1.5 mmol, 3 equiv, 164.7 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method B described above. Light yellow solid. $R_f = 0.44$ (10% EtOAc/Hex). Yield, 21.7%. Purity, 99.5%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.60 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.38–7.20 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.00 (dd, $J = 9.2$, 4.1 Hz, 1H, 8-H), 4.65 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2H, 2-H), 3.99 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H, 3-H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz, ppm): δ 191.5, 158.2, 156.4, 134.7, 129.0, 128.6, 128.0, 123.7, 121.5, 119.7, 112.7, 71.7, 52.2. HRMS Calcd for C₁₅H₁₁FO₂ [M+Na] 265.0641. Found: 265.0631.

5.1.1.22. 6-Chloro-3-phenylchroman-4-one (4b)³⁹: Synthesized from 5-chlorosalicylaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 156.6 mg) and phenylacetylene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 330 μ L) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method A (Thermal Process) described above. Light yellow solid. $R_f = 0.44$ (10% EtOAc/

Hex). Yield 32.8%. Purity, 98.8%. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.91 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H, 5-H), δ 7.43 (dd, J = 9.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.30–7.38 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.26 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.98 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, 8-H), 4.67 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H, 2-H), 3.99 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H, 3-H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 125 MHz, ppm): δ 190.9, 160.0, 135.9, 134.5, 129.0, 128.6, 128.0, 127.3, 127.1, 121.9, 119.7, 71.6, 52.0. HRMS Calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{11}\text{O}_2\text{ClNa}$ [$\text{M} + \text{Na}$] 281.0345. Found: 281.0349.

5.1.1.23. 6-Bromo-3-phenylchroman-4-one (4c): Synthesized from 5-bromosalicylaldehyde (1 mmol, 1 equiv, 201 mg) and phenylacetylene (3 mmol, 3 equiv, 330 μL) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method B (Microwave Irradiation Process) described above. Light yellow solid. Yield, 31.8%. Purity, 97.4%. R_f = 0.46 (10% EtOAc/Hex). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz, ppm): δ 8.06 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.56 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.3 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.25–7.44 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, 8-H), 4.66 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, 2-H), 3.99 (t, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 3-H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 125 MHz, ppm): δ 191.1, 160.6, 138.8, 134.5, 130.2, 129.1, 128.6, 128.1, 122.3, 120.1, 114.4, 71.6, 52.0. HRMS Calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{11}\text{O}_2\text{BrNa}^+$ [$\text{M} + \text{Na}$] 324.9840. Found: 324.9833.

5.1.1.24. 6-tert-Butyl-3-phenylchroman-4-one (4d): Synthesized from 5-tert-butyl-2-hydroxy-benzaldehyde (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv, 85.8 μL), and phenylacetylene (1.5 mmol, 3 equiv, 164.7 μL) according to the general procedure for the synthesis of isoflavanone derivatives Method B (Microwave Irradiation Process) described above. A yellow oil product was formed. Light yellow solid. Yield, 14.4%. Purity, 94.4%. R_f = 0.49 (10% EtOAc/Hex). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz, ppm): δ 7.95 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.35–7.28 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.96 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, 8-H), 4.65 (d, J = 5.95 Hz, 2H, 2-H), 3.97 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 1.31 (s, 9H, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 125 MHz, ppm): δ 192.1, 159.7, 144.6, 135.4, 133.9, 128.9, 128.7, 127.8, 123.7, 117.6, 71.6, 52.5, 34.5, 31.4, 22.7, 14.2. HRMS Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_2\text{Na}^+$ [$\text{M} + \text{Na}$] 303.13555. Found: 303.13560.

5.2. Aromatase activity assay

Inhibitory potencies of compounds were determined according to an established procedure using a commercially available aromatase test kit from BD Gentest.³³ This fluorescence-based assay measures the rate at which recombinant human aromatase (baculovirus/insect cell-expressed) converts the substrate 7-methoxy-trifluoromethylcoumarin (MFC) into a fluorescent product (λ_{ex} = 409 nm, λ_{em} = 530 nm) in a NADPH regenerating system. Briefly, concentrated stock solutions of test compounds were prepared in acetonitrile. 100 μL samples containing serial dilutions of test compounds (dilution factor of 3 between samples) and cofactor mixture (0.4 U/mL glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; 16.2 μM NADP^+ ; 825 μM MgCl_2 ; 825 μM glucose-6-phosphate; 50 μM citrate buffer, pH 7.5) were prepared in a 96 well plate. After incubating the plate for 10 min at 37 $^\circ\text{C}$, 100 μL of an aromatase/P450 reductase/substrate solution (105 μg protein/mL enzyme; 50 μM MFC; 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4) were added to each well. The plate was covered and incubated for 30 min at 37 $^\circ\text{C}$. 75 μL of 0.5 M Tris base were then added to stop the reaction and the fluorescence of the formed de-methylated MFC was measured with a plate reader (SpectraMax Gemini, Molecular Devices).

Fluorescence intensities, which were proportional to the amount of reaction product generated by aromatase, were graphed as a function of inhibitor concentration and then fit to a 3-parameter logistic function. Inhibitory potencies were expressed in terms of the IC_{50} value, the inhibitor concentration necessary to reduce the enzyme activity by half. Each experiment was performed at least in triplicate.

In cases in which the intrinsic fluorescence of a test compound overlapped with the fluorescence of the reaction product, the substrate MFC was substituted by dibenzylfluorescein (DBF) which was converted by aromatase to fluorescein ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 485 \text{ nm}$; $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 530 \text{ nm}$).⁴¹ The protocol was the same as the one above, except for a longer incubation time (2 h) and the use of a different stop reagent (2 M NaOH).

5.3. Molecular modeling

The coordinates of the X-ray crystal structure of the aromatase/androstenedione complex (PDB code 3EQM) were downloaded from the Protein Databank (<http://www.rcsb.org>) and imported into the modeling program SYBYL (version 8.0; Tripos, St. Louis, MO). All non-protein components were deleted and hydrogen atoms were added to the protein structure. Partial charges were assigned according to the Amber library and the positions of the added hydrogen atoms were optimized by molecular mechanics minimization that kept the positions of the heavy atoms static.⁴² Energy minimization was performed with the Powell method in combination with the Amber7 FF99 force field, a distance-dependent dielectric constant of 4, and a convergence criterion of 0.05 kcal/(mol Å). The molecular structures of inhibitors were also prepared in SYBYL and the conformational energy of each structure was minimized by molecular mechanics (MMFF94s force field, MMFF94 charges, and distance-dependent dielectric constant of 4) using the conjugate gradient method and a termination criterion of 0.01 kcal/(mol Å).

Inhibitor structures were computationally docked into the enzyme's binding site using the program GOLD (version 5.0.1.; CCDC, Cambridge, UK). GOLD operates with a genetic search algorithm and allows for complete ligand and partial binding site flexibility.⁴³ All four scoring functions (GoldScore, ChemScore, ASP, and Chem-PLP) were tested and various sizes and centers of the docking sphere were evaluated. The settings for the genetic algorithm runs were kept at their default values (population size: 100, selection pressure: 1.1; number of operations: 100,000, the number of islands: 5, niche size: 2, probability for migration, mutation, and crossover: 10%, 95%, and 95%, respectively). For each ligand, 30 runs were performed under identical conditions.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by Grants from the National Center for Research Resources (5P20RR016481-12) and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (8 P20 GM103436-12) from the National Institutes of Health, the Center for Integrated Science and Mathematics (CINSAM) and Northern Kentucky University Office of Research, Grants and Contracts Seed Grant. We thank Dr. Stuart Ohrle for the assistance with LC/MS, Dr. Grant Edwards and Dr. Heather Bullen for their help with HPLC and Dr. Isabelle Lagadic for the assistance with Microwave Reactor. We also thank Dr. Peter Crooks for his advice regarding the preparation of the manuscript.

Abbreviations

Phe 134	phenylalanine 134
Arg 192	arginine
Trp	tryptophan 224 phenylalanine 224
Asp 309	aspartic acid 309
Met 374	methionine 374
Ser 478	serine 478
CYP19	cytochrome P450 aromatase
AIs	aromatase inhibitors

SERMs	selective estrogen receptor modulators
NSAIs	non-steroidal aromatase inhibitors
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
MS	mass spectrometry
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography

References and notes

1. American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts & Figures 2010. American Cancer Society; Atlanta: 2010. p. 3-9.
2. Brueggemeier RW, Hackett JC, Diaz-Cruz ES. *Endocr. Rev.* 2005; 26:331. [PubMed: 15814851]
3. Margolese RG, Fisher B, Hortobagyi GN, Bloomer WD. *Cancer Med.* 2000:1.
4. Maughan Karen L, Lutterbie Mark A, Ham Peter S. *Am. Fam. Physician.* 2010; 81:1339. [PubMed: 20521754]
5. Buzdar AU. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer.* 1999; 6:219. [PubMed: 10731112]
6. (a) Muftuoglu Y, Mustata G. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2010; 20:3050. [PubMed: 20413308] (b) Ghosh D, Griswold J, Erman M, Pangborn W. *Nature.* 2009; 457:219. [PubMed: 19129847] (c) Gobbi S, Cavalli A, Bisi A, Recanatini M. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem. (Sharjah, United Arab Emirates).* 2008; 8:869. (d) Recanatini M, Cavalli A, Valenti P. *Med. Res. Rev.* 2002; 22:282. [PubMed: 11933021] (e) Benson JR, Ravisekar O. *Curr. Cancer Ther. Rev.* 2007; 3:67. (f) Wang M, Lacy G, Gao M, Miller KD, Sledge GW, Zheng QH. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2007; 17:332. [PubMed: 17095221]
7. (a) Furr, BJA. *Milestones in Drug Therapy. Aromatase Inhibitors.* 2nd. Birkhauser; 2006. p. 182. (b) Herold CI, Blackwell KL. *Clin. Breast Cancer.* 2008; 8:50. [PubMed: 18501059] (c) Nabholz JM, Buzdar A, Pollak M, Harwin W, Burton G, Mangalik A, Steinberg M, Webster A, Von Euler M. J. *Clin. Oncol.* 2000; 18:3758. [PubMed: 11078488]
8. (a) Barker S. *Curr. Opin. Investig. Drugs (Thomson Sci.).* 2006; 7:1085. (b) Miller WR, Bartlett J, Brodie AM, Brueggemeier RW, di Salle E, Lonning PE, Llombart A, Maass N, Maudelonde T, Sasano H, Goss PE. *Oncologist.* 2008; 13:829. [PubMed: 18695261]
9. Bhatnagar AS. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2008; 112:385.
10. Plourde PV, Dyroff M, Dukes M. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 1994; 30:103. [PubMed: 7949201]
11. (a) Le Borgne M, Marchand P, Delevoye-Seiller B, Robert J-M, Le Baut G, Hartmann RW, Palzer M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 1999; 9:333. [PubMed: 10091679] (b) Marchand P. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2003; 13:1553. [PubMed: 12699753] (c) Leze MP, Le Borgne M, Pinson P, Paluszczak A, Duflos M, Le Baut G, Hartmann RW. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2006; 16:1134. [PubMed: 16380254] (d) Wood PM, Woo LWL, Labrosse J-R, Trusselle MN, Abbate S, Longhi G, Castiglioni E, Lebon F, Purohit A, Reed MJ, Potter BVL. *J. Med. Chem.* 2008; 51:4226. [PubMed: 18590272]
12. (a) Paoletta S, Steventon GB, Wildeboer D, Ehrman TM, Hylands PJ, Barlow DJ. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2008; 16:8466. [PubMed: 18778944] (b) Brueggemeier RW, Richards JA, Joomprabutra S, Bhat AS, Whetstone JL. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 2001; 79:75. [PubMed: 11850210] (c) Sun B, Hoshino J, Jermihov K, Marler L, Pezzuto JM, Mesecar AD, Cushman M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2010; 18:5352. [PubMed: 20558073] (d) Karkola S, Waehaelae K. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 2009; 301:235. [PubMed: 19000737] (e) Adlercreutz H, Bannwart C, Wahala K, Makela T, Brunow G, Hase T, Arosemena PJ, Kellis JT Jr. *Vickery LE. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 1993; 44:147. [PubMed: 8382517]
13. (a) Efferth T, Koch E. *Curr. Drug Targets.* 2011; 12:122. [PubMed: 20735354] (b) Balunas MJ, Su B, Brueggemeier RW, Kinghorn AD. *Anticancer Agents Med. Chem.* 2008; 8:646. [PubMed: 18690828]
14. (a) Yuan Q, Liu Z, Xiong C, Wu L, Wang J, Ruan J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2011; 21:3427. [PubMed: 21515048] (b) Sakamoto T, Horiguchi H, Oguma E, Kayama F. *J. Nutr. Biochem.*

- 2010; 21:856. [PubMed: 19800779] (c) Balunas Marcy J, Su B, Brueggemeier Robert W, Kinghorn AD. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2008; 71:1161. [PubMed: 18558747] (d) Balunas MJ, Kinghorn AD. *Planta Med.* 2010; 76:1087. [PubMed: 20635310]
15. Caporuscio F, Rastelli G, Imbriano C, Del Rio A. *J. Med. Chem.* 2011; 54:4006. [PubMed: 21604760]
 16. van Meeuwen JA, Korthagen N, de Jong PC, Piersma AH, van den Berg M. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2007; 221:372. [PubMed: 17482226]
 17. Campbell DR, Kurzer MS. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 1993; 46:381. [PubMed: 9831487]
 18. Yao N, Song A, Wang X, Dixon S, Lam KS. *J. Comb. Chem.* 2007; 9:668. [PubMed: 17487987]
 19. (a) Quintin J, Buisson D, Thoret S, Cresteil T, Lewin G. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2009; 19:3502. [PubMed: 19457664] (b) Blank VC, Poli C, Marder M, Roguin LP. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004; 14:133. [PubMed: 14684314]
 20. (a) Pouget C, Lauthier F, Simon A, Fagnere C, Basly J-P, Delage C, Chulia A-J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2001; 11:3095. [PubMed: 11720850] (b) Ibrahim AR, Abul-Hajj YJ. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 1990; 37:257. [PubMed: 2268557]
 21. Yahiaoui S, Fagnere C, Pouget C, Buxeraud J, Chulia AJ. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2008; 16:1474. [PubMed: 18042388]
 22. Gobbi S, Cavalli A, Rampa A, Belluti F, Piazzi L, Paluszczak A, Hartmann RW, Recanatini M, Bisi A. *J. Med. Chem.* 2006; 49:4777. [PubMed: 16854084]
 23. Kao Y-C, Zhou C, Sherman M, Laughton CA, Chen S. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 1998; 106:85. [PubMed: 9435150]
 24. Kim Y-W, Hackett JC, Brueggemeier RW. *J. Med. Chem.* 2004; 47:4032. [PubMed: 15267241]
 25. (a) Su B, Hackett JC, Diaz-Cruz ES, Kim YW, Brueggemeier RW. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2005; 13:6571. [PubMed: 16125392] (b) Hackett JC, Kim YW, Su B, Brueggemeier RW. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2005; 13:4063. [PubMed: 15911319]
 26. (a) Sugimoto T, Itagaki K, Irie K. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2008; 16:650. [PubMed: 17977729] (b) Endringer DC, Guimaraes KG, Kondratyuk TP, Pezzuto JM, Braga FC. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2008; 71:1082. [PubMed: 18462007]
 27. (a) Cavalli A, Recanatini M. *J. Med. Chem.* 2002; 45:251. [PubMed: 11784128] (b) Stefanachi A, Favia AD, Nicolotti O, Leonetti F, Pisani L, Catto M, Zimmer C, Hartmann RW, Carotti A. *J. Med. Chem.* 2011; 54:1613. [PubMed: 21341743]
 28. Auvray P, Sourdaire P, Moslemi S, Seralini GE, Sonnet P, Enguehard C, Guillon J, Dallemagne P, Bureau R, Rault S. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 1999; 70:59. [PubMed: 10529003]
 29. (a) Leonetti F, Favia A, Rao A, Aliano R, Paluszczak A, Hartmann RW, Carotti A. *J. Med. Chem.* 2004; 47:6792. [PubMed: 15615528] (b) Yahiaoui S, Pouget C, Fagnere C, Champavier Y, Habrioux G, Chulia AJ. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004; 14:5215. [PubMed: 15380230]
 30. (a) Gobbi S, Zimmer C, Belluti F, Rampa A, Hartmann RW, Recanatini M, Bisi A. *J. Med. Chem.* 2010; 53:5347. [PubMed: 20568782] (b) Gobbi S, Cavalli A, Negri M, Schewe KE, Belluti F, Piazzi L, Hartmann RW, Recanatini M, Bisi A. *J. Med. Chem.* 2007; 50:3420. [PubMed: 17585752] (c) Recanatini M, Bisi A, Cavalli A, Belluti F, Gobbi S, Rampa A, Valenti P, Palzer M, Paluszczak A, Hartmann RW. *J. Med. Chem.* 2001; 44:672. [PubMed: 11262078] (d) Cavalli A, Bisi A, Bertucci C, Rosini C, Paluszczak A, Gobbi S, Giorgio E, Rampa A, Belluti F, Piazzi L, Valenti P, Hartmann RW, Recanatini M. *J. Med. Chem.* 2005; 48:7282. [PubMed: 16279787] (e) Yao N, Chen CY, Wu CY, Motonishi K, Kung HJ, Lam KS. *J. Med. Chem.* 2011; 54:4339. [PubMed: 21599001]
 31. (a) Kumar S, Deshpande S, Chandra V, Kitchlu S, Dwivedi A, Nayak VL, Konwar R, Prabhakar YS, Sahu DP. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2009; 17:6832. [PubMed: 19740667] (b) Park JY, Ullapu PR, Choo H, Lee JK, Min S-J, Pae AN, Kim Y, Baek D-J, Cho YS. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 2008:5461. (c) Vasquez-Martinez Y, Ohri RV, Kenyon V, Holman TR, Sepulveda-Boza S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2007; 15:7408. [PubMed: 17869117] (d) Valenti P, Belluti F, Rampa A, Bisi A. *Synth. Commun.* 1999; 29:3895. (e) Goto H, Terao Y, Akai S. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2009; 57:346.
 32. (a) Skouta R, Li C-J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007; 48:8343. (b) Skouta R, Li C-J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007; 46:1117.

33. (a) Maiti A, Reddy PVN, Sturdy M, Marler L, Pegan SD, Mesecar AD, Pezzuto JM, Cushman M. *J. Med. Chem.* 2009; 52:1873. [PubMed: 19265439] (b) Stresser DM, Turner SD, McNamara J, Stocker P, Miller VP, Crespi CL, Patten CJ. *Anal. Biochem.* 2000; 284:427. [PubMed: 10964434]
34. Negrerie M, Kruglik SG, Lambry JC, Vos MH, Martin JL, Franzen S. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006; 281:10389. [PubMed: 16476730]
35. (a) Teles JH, Brode S, Chabanas M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1998; 37:1415. (b) Dash C, Shaikh MM, Butcher RJ, Ghosh P. *Inorg. Chem.* 2010; 49:4972. [PubMed: 20429537] (c) Chiou JYZ, Luo SC, You WC, Bhattacharyya A, Vasam CS, Huang CH, Lin IJB. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2009; 2009:1950.
36. (a) Ganesan A. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 2008; 12:306. [PubMed: 18423384] (b) Lipinski CA, Lombardo F, Dominy BW, Feeney PJ. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 2001; 46:3.
37. Ertl P, Rohde B, Selzer P. *J. Med. Chem.* 2000; 43:3714. [PubMed: 11020286]
38. Molinspiration Cheminformatics. Available from: <<http://www.molinspiration.com>> (accessed 11/8/2011)
39. Skouta R, Li CJ. *Angew. Chem.* 2007; 46:1117. [PubMed: 17177219]
40. Bellina F, Masini T, Rossi R. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 2010:1339.
41. Stresser DM, Blanchard AP, Turner SD, Erve JC, Dandeneau AA, Miller VP, Crespi CL. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2000; 28:1440. [PubMed: 11095581]
42. Paula S, Ball WJ Jr. *Proteins.* 2004; 56:595. [PubMed: 15229891]
43. (a) Jones G, Willett P, Glen RC. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1995; 245:43. [PubMed: 7823319] (b) Jones G, Willett P, Glen RC, Leach AR, Taylor R. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1997; 267:727. [PubMed: 9126849]

Figure 1.

Molecular structures of the aromatase natural substrate and selected inhibitors. (a) Androstenedione, the natural substrate; (b) anastrozole (Arimidex®),¹⁰ a representative example of third-generation aromatase inhibitors in clinical use; (c), chrysin (from blue passion flower),¹⁶ a representative example of a naturally occurring flavone aromatase inhibitor; (d), biochanin A (from red clover),¹⁷ the most potent naturally occurring isoflavone aromatase inhibitor.

Scheme 1.
Structure and design strategy of isoflavanone aromatase inhibitors.

Scheme 2.

Microwave-assisted annulation reactions of hydroxyaldehydes and alkynes.

Figure 2. Aromatase inhibition by selected isoflavanone compounds and ketoconazole. Relative fluorescence is proportional to enzyme activity. Logistic fit reveals the IC₅₀, a measure of potency (inhibitor concentration required to inhibit half of the enzyme's activity).

Figure 3.

Representations of molecular docking performed in this study. The inhibitor is depicted as green sticks. The heme group is shown in orange. Selected relevant amino acid residues in aromatase are shown as sticks (white, H; black, C; blue, N; red, O). Lines are drawn between the atoms likely involved in hydrogen bonding or heme coordination. (a) 5,6-Benzoisoflavanone **1g**; (b) 4'-phenoxyisoflavanone **1h**; (c) 6-methoxyisoflavanone **2a**; (d) 3',5'-dimethoxyisoflavanone **2g**; and (e) inhibitor 3-(pyridin-3-yl)chroman-4-one **3b**.

Figure 4.

(a) Structural features of isoflavanones required for effective inhibition of aromatase; (b) structural similarity between isoflavanone scaffold and aromatase natural substrate— androstenedione.

Chemical structures and aromatase inhibition of isoflavonone derivatives **1a–4d**

Bioorg Med Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 February 25.

IC_{50} values are average of at least three runs and standard deviations.

q N.A. = not available. Compounds exhibit fluorescence which interferes with the bioassay.

Table 2Calculated physicochemical properties of isoflavanone derivatives and anastrozole[®]

Compd Id.	cLogP ^a	MW ^b	Ha ^c	Hd ^d	TPSA ^e (Å ²)	IC ₅₀ (μM)
1g	4.55	274.3	2	0	26.3	20
1h	5.15	316.4	3	0	35.5	2.4
2a	3.43	254.3	3	0	35.5	0.26
2g	3.44	284.3	4	0	44.8	11
3b	1.69	225.3	3	0	39.2	5.8
Anastrozole [®]	2.85	293.4	5	0	78.3	0.010 ¹⁰

^aLog *P*, calculated logarithm of partition coefficient between *n*-octanol and water.^bMW, molecular weight.^cHa, hydrogen bond acceptor.^dHd, hydrogen bond donor.^eTPSA, topological polar surface area.