Dessert Formulation Using Sucralose and Dextrin Affects Favorably Postprandial Response to Glucose, Insulin, and C-Peptide in Type 2 Diabetic Patients

Konstantina Argyri¹, Alexios Sotiropoulos², Eirini Psarou¹, Athanasia Papazafiropoulou², Antonios Zampelas¹, and Maria Kapsokefalou¹

¹ Unit of Human Nutrition, Department of Food Science and Technology, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos Str. 75, Athens 118 55, Greece. ² 3rd Department of Internal Medicine and Diabetes Center, Ag. Panteleimon General Hospital of Nikaea, D. Mantouvalou Str. 3-5, Piraeus 184 54, Greece. Address correspondence to: Maria Kapsokefalou, e-mail: kapsok@aia.gr

Manuscript submitted January 11, 2013; resubmitted February 19, 2013; accepted March 1, 2013

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Dessert compositions may conform to diabetic diet when it contains low sugar or artificial sweetener to replace sugar. However, it is still questionable whether glycemic control in type 2 diabetes patients is improved by the use of diet-conforming dessert compositions. **OBJECTIVE:** To compare, in type 2 diabetes patients, the glycemic, insulin, and C-peptide responses to seven modified dessert compositions for diabetics (D-dessert) with the response to seven similar desserts of non-modified composition, used as control desserts (C-dessert). **METHODS:** Seventy type 2 diabetes patients were allocated to seven groups of ten. On three occasions, each patient received either the meal which consisted of bread and cheese, or the meal and D-dessert, or the meal and the respective C-dessert. Differences in postprandial glucose, insulin, and C-peptide were evaluated using analysis of repeated measures at 0, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after consumption. **RESULTS:** D-cake and

D-pastry cream resulted in lower glucose levels (8.81 ± 0.32 mmol/l and 8.67 ± 0.36 mmol/l, respectively) and D-strawberry jelly in lower insulin levels (16.46 ± 2.66 μ U/ml) than the respective C-desserts (9.99 ± 0.32 mmol/l for C-cake, 9.28 ± 0.36 mmol/l for C-pastry cream, and 27.42 ± 2.66 μ U/ml for C-strawberry jelly) ($p < 0.05$). Compared with the meal, D-cake did not increase glucose or insulin levels ($p > 0.05$), while C-cake did ($p < 0.05$). D-pastry cream increased glucose to a lesser extent than C-pastry cream ($p < 0.05$). Similar effects were reported for D-milk dessert, D-millefeuille, and D-chocolate on glucose, insulin, and C-peptide at specific timepoints. D-crème caramel showed no effect. **CONCLUSIONS:** Some desserts formulated with sugar substitutes and soluble fiber may have a favorable effect on postprandial levels of glucose, insulin, and C-peptide in type 2 diabetic patients.

Keywords: type 2 diabetes · sugar substitutes · glycemic control · insulin · C-peptide · fiber · sucralose · dessert

Introduction

Desserts that produce a low glycemic response may be appropriate for type 2 diabetic patients, help them to adhere to dietary guidelines and improve their quality of life. Most desserts available to the general public have high sucrose content and thus are expected to produce a high glycemic response. Modifying composition, while preserving the hedonistic features of taste,

texture and appearance, is the focus of current research on desserts for people with diabetes. One approach is to decrease sucrose content using replacements such as polyols (e.g. maltitol, sucralose and isomaltulose) [1], amylase-rich starch [2] and dextrins which are classified as soluble fiber [3, 4]. Apart from allowing the removal of sucrose, these replacements may reduce postprandial glycemia through a variety of mechanisms, one being digestibility or metabolic rate [5]. For example, su-

cralose, which is 600 times sweeter than sucrose, is a non-metabolizable sweetener and hence non-caloric [6]. Dietary fiber inhibits the enzymatic activity of α -amylase and increases the intraluminal viscosity of the digesta thus reducing the rate of digestion and absorption of available carbohydrate [7, 8].

The knowledge obtained on the effect of polyols and dietary fiber on glycemic control has not yet been widely applied to the development of desserts targeted to people with type 2 diabetes. A new series of desserts that mimic the organoleptic properties of others already on the market for the general public (e.g. crème caramel, cake, chocolate, fruit jelly, etc.) has been modified to replace sugar with sucralose and dextrins. Thus, these desserts are expected to have a low glycemic response in comparison with the non-modified desserts.

The objective of this study was to compare the glycemic, insulin, and C-peptide response to a meal (a slice of white bread and a slice of low-fat cheese) or to a meal consumed with a dessert of modified composition targeted to people with diabetes. The dessert was either a D-dessert containing sucralose and dextrins or a dessert of non-modified composition used as a control (C-dessert).

Abbreviations:

ADA - American Diabetes Association
BMI - body mass index
C-dessert - non-modified control dessert composition
CV - coefficient of variation
D-dessert - dessert composition for diabetics
DPP-4 - dipeptyl-peptidase-4
ELISA - enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
GLP-1 - glucagons-like ppeptide 1
HbA1c - glycosylated hemoglobin
HDL - high-density lipoprotein
HOMA - homeostasis model assessment
IDF - International Diabetes Federation
LDL - low-density lipoprotein
PGX - PolyGlycopleX
PYY - peptide YY
SD - standard deviation
SPSS - statistical package for social sciences

Materials and methods

Subjects

The study was carried out at the Diabetes Center of the General Hospital of Nikaea in Greater Athens, Greece. The study was approved by the hospital ethics committee and was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. Written consent

was obtained from each subject before participation.

Seventy subjects with type 2 diabetes (mean age: 64 ± 8.7 years, men/women: 42/28, duration of diabetes: 11.6 ± 5.2 years) were recruited to the study. Subjects were receiving metformin, sulfonylureas, and dipeptyl-peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors as oral hypoglycemic agents, distributed as follows among the different treatment groups (the number of subjects receiving metformin, sulfonylureas, and/or DPP-4 inhibitors respectively in each treatment group is shown in parenthesis):

1. Milk dessert group (8, 3, 3)
2. Chocolate group (9, 3, 4)
3. Cake group (10, 5, 5)
4. Strawberry jelly group (10, 3, 2)
5. Millefeuille group (10, 2, 2)
6. Pastry cream group (10, 1, 2)
7. Crème caramel group (10, 5, 4)

All subjects were advised to refrain from taking their medicine in the morning of the test days. Basic demographic and clinical characteristics as well as recent lipid profile were also recorded (**Table 1**). Diet history was also obtained using 24-h recalls and subjects were asked to follow the same diet for one day before each of the subsequent experimental visits. All study participants had good glycemic control in terms of HbA1c (**Table 1**).

Meals

The bread and cheese meal consisted of a slice of white bread (Kris-Kris, Elbisco, Greece) (24 g) and a slice of low-fat cheese (10% fat) (Fina, Milko Sverige, Sweden) (20 g). Seven D-desserts targeted to diabetic patients (Sweet and Balance series, Jotis SA, Greece) and the respective C-desserts intended for the general public (Jotis SA, Greece) were purchased from the local market. These were D- or C-milk dessert, cake, strawberry jelly, pastry cream, millefeuille, chocolate, and crème caramel (**Table A1**). Desserts were used in the meal formulation on the basis of recommended portion size and meals were standardized for weight for each pair of D- or C-desserts (**Table A1**).

Study design

Participants were allocated to 7 groups of 10 subjects each. Following a 12-h fast, subjects from each group were assigned to receive the meal only, or the meal with the D-dessert, or the meal with

Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics, biochemical parameters, and HOMA index of the participants

Parameter	Total	Group 1 (milk dessert)	Group 2 (chocolate)	Group 3 (cake)	Group 4 (strawb. jelly)	Group 5 (millefeuille)	Group 6 (pastry cream)	Group 7 (crème caram.)
<i>Anthropometric characteristics</i>								
Age (yr)	64.0 ± 8.7	63.3 ± 8.8	63.3 ± 9.3	64.6 ± 10.8	63.3 ± 10.0	63.0 ± 5.8	67.8 ± 10.6	62.8 ± 7.5
Men/women (n)	42/28	6/4	4/6	6/4	6/4	8/2	7/3	5/5
Height (cm)	162.0 ± 8.8	164.5 ± 9.2	156.3 ± 7.8	161.7 ± 9.8	163.0 ± 9.1	166.0 ± 7.7	163.6 ± 7.9	158.9 ± 9.1
Weight (kg)	80.4 ± 16.0	89.8 ± 25.7	73.5 ± 14.8	82.3 ± 13.5	80.9 ± 20.4	79.4 ± 11.6	78.6 ± 6.8	78.9 ± 25.4
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.4 ± 5.2	33.1 ± 8.2	28.6 ± 4.3	31.1 ± 2.8	30.4 ± 6.8	28.7 ± 2.9	29.5 ± 3.6	31.4 ± 5.3
<i>Biochemical parameters</i>								
Total C (mg/dl)	171.0 ± 39.3	162.1 ± 16.6	164.7 ± 30.2	161.4 ± 24.3	212.2 ± 52.1	166.6 ± 32.6	167.9 ± 40.4	175.4 ± 59.1
HDL-C (mg/dl)	46.6 ± 12.5	41.1 ± 8.8	50.7 ± 7.0	54.0 ± 10.5	55.4 ± 16.0	47.0 ± 10.9	43.3 ± 16.8	40.8 ± 12.1
LDL-C (mg/dl)	92.8 ± 28.2	85.1 ± 18.7	89.6 ± 26.5	90.1 ± 14.9	142.0 ± 40.4	92.9 ± 26.6	87.5 ± 27.3	83.1 ± 17.4
TG (mg/dl)	144.5 ± 70.3	154.7 ± 47.8	138.0 ± 38.6	111.6 ± 39.0	126.3 ± 74.8	116.5 ± 50.1	174.2 ± 106.7	173.8 ± 95.1
HbA1c*	52.0 ± 11.0	49.0 ± 6.0	51.0 ± 8.0	57.0 ± 8.0	51.0 ± 7.0	50.0 ± 9.0	45.0 ± 7.0	65.0 ± 18.0
Hypertension (n)	45	6	6	8	6	6	5	8
Hyperchol. (n)	54	7	9	8	7	8	8	7
HOMA index	4.1 ± 4.3	2.8 ± 1.2	3.0 ± 1.3	3.9 ± 1.7	4.2 ± 2.4	2.6 ± 1.7	3.2 ± 1.5	6.1 ± 5.7

Legend: Data are means ± SD or numbers. * In mmol/mol. *Abbreviations:* BMI – body mass index, C – cholesterol, caram. – caramel, HDL – high-density lipoprotein, LDL – low-density lipoprotein, hyperchol. – hypercholesterolemia, strawb. – strawberry, TG – triglycerides.

the respective C-dessert. All meals were given out between 7:30 to 8:30 am and participants were asked to consume them within 10 minutes. Concomitant fluid intake was not allowed. Subjects returned to the hospital on a weekly basis until each subject had received each of the 3 treatments. Blood was drawn at 0 (fasting), 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after the consumption of each treatment. Serum samples were stored at -80°C until analysis.

In this study design, comparisons can be made only for each pair of D- or C- desserts and the meal and not between all the desserts used. This is because the desserts were employed in meal formulation according to the portion size recommended by the manufacturer and meals were standardized for weight for each pair of D- or C-desserts (**Table A1**). If meals were standardized for energy or macronutrient content, a comparison between all the desserts would be feasible. However, this was not possible because the amount of dessert required for some meals would represent an unrealistic food consumption pattern, deviating substantially from the recommended or expected portion size.

Analytical techniques

Serum samples were thawed at room temperature just before measurement. Serum glucose was

measured with a Cobas Integra 400 plus biochemical analyzer (Roche, Switzerland).

Insulin was measured using a human insulin ELISA kit (EZHI-14K, Millipore, USA). Sensitivity was 2 µU/ml, intra-assay coefficients of variation (CVs) were 4.6-7.0%, and interassay CVs were 9.1-11.4%.

C-peptide values were measured using a human C-peptide ELISA kit (EZHCP-20K, Millipore, USA). Sensitivity for C-peptide was 0.2 ng/ml, the intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was 4.7% at 1 ng/ml and 2.9% at 3 ng/ml, and the interassay CV was 8.7% at 1 ng/ml and 5.0% at 3 ng/ml.

The HOMA index of the participants was calculated using the following formula [9]: $HOMA = \text{fasting glucose (mmol/l)} \times \text{fasting insulin (µU/ml)} / 22.5$.

Statistical analysis

The sample was considered adequate to achieve 99% power at a 5% significance level for a difference of one standard deviation (1 SD) between the groups for each biochemical marker. Power analysis was conducted with the statistical program G*Power version 3.0.10. For the statistical analysis of the results, mean values with standard deviation for glucose, insulin, and C-peptide were compared at each timepoint for the three treat-

Figure 1. Mean postprandial glucose, insulin, and C-peptide levels (mean $\pm$ standard error) in type 2 diabetic patients after consumption of the three treatments (meal, meal+C-dessert, or meal+D-dessert) for each of the seven desserts. Means were calculated using analysis of repeated measures. Means that do not share the same letter are significantly different for the three treatments and for each dessert (comparisons only between the three treatments for each of the seven desserts) (a, b: $p < 0.05$).

ments for the seven food items. Differences were evaluated by analysis of repeated measures using Bonferroni correction at a significance level of 0.05. Data analysis was performed with the statistical program SPSS, version 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

The anthropometric and biochemical characteristics and the HOMA index for the participants are presented in **Table 1**. The nutrient compositions of the meals are shown in **Table A1**.

In this study, differences in glucose, insulin, or C-peptide levels were evaluated separately for each of the seven desserts after comparing the three treatments. The comparisons involved a) means for overall postprandial responses (**Figure 1**, p-values provided in the text below) and b) means at specific postprandial timepoints (results shown under the “timepoint” column with the respective p-values in **Tables A2-A8**).

Milk dessert

No differences in glucose, insulin, or C-peptide levels were reported after comparing the means for the three treatments ($p > 0.05$) (**Figure 1**). At specific timepoints, consumption of D-milk dessert with meal did not increase levels of insulin at 90 min and at 120 min or of C-peptide at 60 and at 120 min in comparison with meal consumption alone, but C-milk dessert with meal had an increasing effect on the respective insulin or C-peptide levels at the same timepoints (**Table A2**).

Chocolate

No differences in glucose, insulin, or C-peptide levels were seen after comparing the means for the three treatments ($p > 0.05$) (**Figure 1**). At specific timepoints, consumption of D-chocolate with meal increased glucose levels at 90 min and 120 min and C-peptide levels at 90 min in comparison with meal consumption alone, while C-chocolate with meal did not increase glucose or c-peptide levels at 90 min or 120 min. In contrast, C-chocolate with meal increased insulin levels at 30 min and 120 min in comparison with meal consumption alone, but D-chocolate with meal did not affect insulin levels at the same timepoints (**Table A3**).

Cake

D-cake consumption with meal resulted in lower glucose levels in comparison with C-cake con-

sumption with meal ($p = 0.047$, **Figure 1**). Compared with meal consumption alone, C-cake with meal increased glucose levels ($p = 0.003$), but D-cake with meal did not affect glucose levels (**Figure 1**). C-cake with meal also increased insulin levels in comparison with meal consumption alone ($p = 0.020$), while D-cake with meal did not affect insulin levels ($p > 0.05$) compared with meal consumption alone (**Figure 1**). At specific timepoints, D-cake with meal did not increase glucose and insulin levels at 60 min, 90 min and 120 min and C-peptide levels at 120 min in comparison with meal consumption alone, but C-cake with meal had an increasing effect on glucose or insulin or C-peptide at the same timepoints (**Table A4**).

Strawberry jelly

C-strawberry jelly consumption with meal increased insulin levels in comparison with D-strawberry jelly consumption with meal ($p = 0.022$, **Figure 1**). After comparing the effect of the three treatments on the postprandial response of glucose, insulin, and C-peptide at specific timepoints, it was found that D-strawberry jelly with meal did not increase glucose levels at 30 min and insulin levels at 30 min and 60 min compared with meal alone, but C-strawberry jelly with meal increased both glucose and insulin levels at the same timepoints (**Table A5**).

Millefeuille

No differences in glucose, insulin, or C-peptide levels were reported after comparing the means for the three treatments ($p > 0.05$, **Figure 1**). At specific timepoints, D-millefeuille with meal caused a smaller increase in glucose levels at 90 min than C-millefeuille with meal in comparison with meal alone. D-millefeuille with meal did not increase levels of glucose at 120 min, of insulin at 90 min and 120 min and of C-peptide at 90 min and 120 min, while consumption of C-millefeuille with meal had an increasing effect on the respective levels of glucose, insulin, or C-peptide at the same timepoints compared with meal alone (**Table A6**).

Pastry cream

Consumption of D-pastry cream with meal caused a smaller increase on glucose levels ($p = 0.049$) than C-pastry cream ($p = 0.003$) when compared with meal consumption alone (**Figure 1**). At specific postprandial timepoints and in comparison with meal consumption alone, consumption of D-

pastry cream with meal caused a smaller increase in glucose levels at 30 min and at 60 min than the consumption of C-pastry cream with meal. On the other hand, C-pastry cream with meal increased glucose levels at 90 and 120 min and insulin and C-peptide levels at 60 min in comparison to meal consumption alone, but D-pastry cream with meal did not affect glucose, insulin, or C-peptide levels at the same timepoints (**Table A7**).

Crème caramel

No differences in glucose, insulin or C-peptide levels were reported after comparing the means for the three treatments or for the specific postprandial timepoints ($p > 0.05$, **Figure 1**).

Discussion

This study aims to provide data that would assist with the choice of dessert for type 2 diabetic patients. The first important finding of the study was that the consumption of three D-desserts (cake, strawberry jelly, and pastry cream) may conform to type 2 diabetic diets. These D-desserts, when consumed with meal, exerted favorable effects on postprandial levels of glucose and/or insulin in type 2 diabetic patients. In particular, the consumption of D-cake and D-strawberry jelly with meal resulted in lower postprandial glucose (cake) and insulin (strawberry jelly) levels than consumption of the respective C-dessert with meal. When compared to meal, D-cake with meal did not increase glucose or insulin, while C-cake increased both biochemical markers. D-pastry cream increased glucose to a lesser degree than C-pastry cream (**Figure 1**).

The second finding of the study was that the consumption of D-milk dessert, D-millefeuille, D-chocolate, D-pastry cream, D-cake, and D-strawberry jelly with meal did not increase postprandial levels of glucose, insulin or C-peptide in type 2 diabetic patients at specific timepoints compared with meal alone. However, consumption of the respective C-desserts with meal did increase the levels in question (**Tables A2-A8**).

The third finding of the study was that postprandial glucose levels after consumption of D-cake, D-millefeuille, D-pastry cream, and D-strawberry jelly with meal were within the therapeutic goals of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) or International Diabetes Federation (IDF). IDF sets glycemic objectives that correspond to glycosylated hemoglobin HbA1c $< 6.5\%$ (chronic glycemia), namely preprandial capillary glucose $<$

110 mg/dl (or 6.1 mmol/l) and postprandial (1-2 h after meals) < 145 mg/dl (or 8 mmol/l) [10]. The ADA guidelines propose as therapeutic target HbA1c $< 7\%$ (chronic glycemia), preprandial levels of glycemia of 70-130 mg/dl (or 3.9-7.2 mmol/l), and postprandial < 180 mg/dl (or 10 mmol/l) [11]. According to these targets, postprandial (60, 90, and 120 min) glucose levels after consumption of four D-desserts with meal were within the therapeutic goals set by ADA (cake, millefeuille, pastry cream) or IDF (strawberry jelly). In contrast, the consumption of respective C-desserts with meal resulted in postprandial glucose levels that did not conform to these goals (namely cake at 90 min, strawberry jelly at 90 min, millefeuille at 90 min, pastry cream at 60 min) (**Tables A4-A8**).

The positive effects of some D-desserts on postprandial glucose, insulin, or C-peptide control may be attributed to their modified composition. Literature reports support the choice of sucralose, polyols, and dextrins in the formulation of desserts that may be directed to people with diabetes. Sucralose and the polyols xylitol and maltitol, used as sweeteners, can be beneficial for controlling glucose uptake in clinical trials in people with diabetes [12, 13].

Current scientific research also focuses on the potential effects of artificial sweeteners like sucralose on appetite and the secretion of gastrointestinal satiety peptides. In previous studies, sucralose ingestion did not affect the secretion of gut hormones GLP-1, PYY, or ghrelin. These results imply that sucralose may not affect appetite and hunger when used in foods [14, 15]. Dextrin, a soluble fiber, reduces postprandial glucose and insulin levels [16]. It is likely that dextrins reduce glucose absorption through inhibition of the transfer of disaccharidases [4]. Other researchers also reported postprandial glucose reductions with fiber-fortified snack foods. In this study, the addition of a soluble viscous fiber blend consisting of a proprietary fiber blend and the commercially available viscous fiber blend called PGX (PolyGlycopleX, InovoBiologic Inc., Calgary, Canada) reduced the glycemic response in both healthy individuals and participants with type 2 diabetes [17].

In conclusion, the consumption of D-desserts with meal by people with type 2 diabetes either did not increase postprandial levels of glucose, insulin, or C-peptide in comparison with meal consumption or the increase was lower than the increase observed after consumption of the meal with the respective C-dessert. In view of the fact that this was an acute study rather than a long-term trial, these

results are only indicative. Further research is needed to demonstrate that these products could constitute an appropriate complement to the diet of type 2 diabetes patients.

Acknowledgments: The study was supported by a research grant from the nutritional products company Jotis SA.

Disclosure: The authors declare no conflict of interests.

■ Appendix

Table A1. Nutrient values of dessert products consumed by the seven groups of participants (g/portion)

Nutrients	Bread and cheese		Group 1		Group 2		Group 3	
	White bread (24 g)	Cheese (20 g)	D-milk dessert (160 g)	C-milk dessert (160 g)	D-chocolate (30 g)	C-chocolate (30 g)	D-cake (55 g)	C-cake (55 g)
Fat	1.2	1.7	2.3	7.3	5.3	9.0	8.0	8.7
Carbohydrates	14.9	0.3	22.9	32.3	7.3	11.9	20.0	32.5
Sugar	0.8	nr	7.4	25.9	0.1	10.9	0.2	18.4
Polyol	0.0	0.0	8.4	0.0	6.7	0.0	6.7	0.0
Starch	nr	nr	6.4	6.4	0.8	1.0	12.3	14.1
Fiber	1.3	0.0	5.1	0.0	2.7	2.0	3.7	0.4
Protein	2.5	5.6	5.2	5.3	0.8	1.3	3.4	3.6
	Group 4		Group 5		Group 6		Group 7	
	D-strawb. (165 g)	C-strawb. (165 g)	D-millef. (90 g)	C-millef. (90 g)	D-pastry cream (65 g)	C-pastry cream (65 g)	D-crème caramel (120 g)	C-crème caramel (120 g)
Fat	0.0	0.0	4.0	11.2	1.5	3.2	1.2	4.6
Carbohydrates	8.4	27.1	17.9	28.1	9.9	15.7	7.8	24.4
Sugar	0.1	26.7	0.2	15.5	0.2	12.7	0.3	24.4
Polyol	7.8	0.0	5.1	0.0	4.7	0.0	6.4	0.0
Starch	0.0	0.0	11.5	12.1	3.8	2.5	0.0	0.0
Fiber	2.6	0.3	3.7	0.8	2.7	0.1	4.0	0.2
Protein	3.4	2.7	2.5	3.1	1.6	1.7	0.9	3.8

Legend: The g per portion is shown in parenthesis under each product. *Abbreviations:* nr – not reported.

Table A2. Glucose, insulin, and C-peptide levels in type 2 diabetic patients evaluated at 0 (fasting), 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after consumption of meal (bread and cheese), meal & D-milk dessert (diabetic dessert), or meal & C-milk dessert (control dessert)

Parameter	Treatment	0 min	p	30 min	p	60 min	p	90 min	p	120 min	p
Glucose (mmol/l)	Meal & C-milk	6.79 ± 1.52	0.592	8.82 ± 1.82	0.174	9.82 ± 2.68	0.258	9.54 ± 2.98	0.123	8.53 ± 2.77	0.156
	Meal & D-milk	6.82 ± 1.25	0.629	8.31 ± 1.59	0.530	9.35 ± 2.28	0.546	8.96 ± 2.44	0.370	8.07 ± 2.39	0.413
	Meal	7.11 ± 1.35	-	7.88 ± 1.50	-	8.82 ± 2.07	-	8.17 ± 2.03	-	7.46 ± 1.76	-
Insulin (μU/ml)	Meal & C-milk	14.95 ± 18.16	0.895	31.90 ± 24.15	0.246	48.91 ± 32.66	0.063	46.56 ± 44.17	0.037	38.05 ± 39.22	0.035
	Meal & D-milk	17.46 ± 26.46	0.908	31.63 ± 30.27	0.256	38.11 ± 24.10	0.325	39.50 ± 27.70	0.105	27.30 ± 24.85	0.208
	Meal	16.29 ± 21.25	-	18.99 ± 15.18	-	26.61 ± 15.99	-	16.69 ± 11.89	-	12.42 ± 9.17	-
C-peptide (ng/ml)	Meal & C-milk	3.31 ± 1.77	0.955	5.51 ± 2.24	0.79	7.13 ± 2.61	0.036	6.49 ± 2.05	0.051	6.94 ± 3.01	0.023
	Meal & D-milk	3.51 ± 2.15	0.868	4.69 ± 2.35	0.351	5.61 ± 1.73	0.553	6.35 ± 1.64	0.074	5.41 ± 1.80	0.436
	Meal	3.36 ± 1.97	-	3.81 ± 1.58	-	5.05 ± 1.83	-	4.83 ± 1.80	-	4.67 ± 1.74	-

Legend: Data are mean ± SD. Analysis of repeated measures was applied to determine p-values using Bonferroni correction at a significance level of 0.05. Comparisons were made between meal plus C-milk dessert and meal only or between meal plus D-milk dessert and meal only at the specified timepoints.

Table A3. Glucose, insulin, and C-peptide levels in type 2 diabetic patients evaluated at 0 (fasting), 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after consumption of meal (bread and cheese), meal & D-chocolate (diabetic dessert), or meal & C-chocolate (control dessert)

Parameter	Treatment	0 min	p	30 min	p	60 min	p	90 min	p	120 min	p
Glucose (mmol/l)	Meal & C-choc	7.06 ± 0.95	0.493	8.87 ± 1.55	0.077	9.16 ± 1.37	0.115	8.03 ± 0.89	0.159	7.26 ± 1.01	0.163
	Meal & D-choc	7.47 ± 1.58	0.150	8.01 ± 1.54	0.573	8.95 ± 1.22	0.223	8.55 ± 0.79	0.009	7.52 ± 0.95	0.049
	Meal	6.69 ± 1.06	-	7.62 ± 1.38	-	8.25 ± 1.12	-	7.50 ± 0.76	-	6.66 ± 0.86	-
Insulin (μU/ml)	Meal & C-choc	9.39 ± 4.32	0.959	18.16 ± 11.54	0.026	7.01 ± 11.25	0.387	1.03 ± 9.20	0.883	6.45 ± 8.11	0.017
	Meal & D-choc	9.33 ± 4.98	0.982	12.14 ± 7.66	0.363	16.18 ± 8.68	0.499	16.16 ± 11.99	0.291	14.46 ± 9.76	0.059
	Meal	9.29 ± 2.96	-	8.25 ± 9.37	-	13.22 ± 10.26	-	11.65 ± 8.75	-	7.53 ± 7.79	-
C-peptide (ng/ml)	Meal & C-choc	2.24 ± 0.94	0.211	3.27 ± 1.21	0.22	4.13 ± 1.41	0.316	4.09 ± 1.54	0.233	3.85 ± 1.65	0.262
	Meal & D-choc	2.25 ± 1.23	0.227	2.90 ± 1.66	0.574	3.86 ± 1.98	0.575	4.77 ± 2.42	0.032	4.19 ± 1.96	0.112
	Meal	2.70 ± 1.33	-	2.60 ± 1.05	-	3.53 ± 1.67	-	3.28 ± 0.93	-	3.06 ± 1.38	-

Legend: Data are mean ± SD. Analysis of repeated measures was applied to determine p-values using Bonferroni correction at a significance level of 0.05. Comparisons are made between meal plus C-chocolate and meal only or between meal plus D-chocolate and meal only at the specified timepoints.

Table A4. Glucose, insulin, and C-peptide levels in type 2 diabetic patients evaluated at 0 (fasting), 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after consumption of meal (bread and cheese), meal & D-cake (diabetic dessert), or meal & C-cake (control dessert)

Parameter	Treatment	0 min	p	30 min	p	60 min	p	90 min	p	120 min	p
Glucose (mmol/l)	Meal & C-cake	7.82 ± 0.35	0.920	9.63 ± 1.69	0.125	1.53 ± 1.98	0.001	11.10 ± 1.76	0.000	9.88 ± 1.43	0.001
	Meal & D-cake	7.67 ± 1.13	0.704	8.91 ± 1.73	0.576	9.70 ± 1.17	0.247	9.32 ± 1.06	0.149	8.48 ± 1.74	0.236
	Meal	7.88 ± 1.38	-	8.51 ± 1.46	-	8.88 ± 1.28	-	8.45 ± 1.16	-	7.80 ± 1.07	-
Insulin (μU/ml)	Meal & C-cake	13.20 ± 7.51	0.163	24.53 ± 17.47	0.214	5.98 ± 28.47	0.005	41.09 ± 21.83	0.006	33.20 ± 18.55	0.012
	Meal & D-cake	12.18 ± 8.46	0.273	26.83 ± 20.43	0.108	9.11 ± 12.15	0.385	28.33 ± 16.23	0.199	19.74 ± 10.39	0.638
	Meal	8.56 ± 4.89	-	17.02 ± 9.07	-	2.18 ± 8.58	-	18.34 ± 9.66	-	16.85 ± 8.87	-
C-peptide (ng/ml)	Meal & C-cake	6.02 ± 0.44	0.029	7.83 ± 1.51	0.546	9.85 ± 1.75	0.085	10.24 ± 1.69	0.002	8.85 ± 1.72	0.018
	Meal & D-cake	6.45 ± 0.67	0.422	8.11 ± 1.41	0.288	9.67 ± 1.83	0.137	9.34 ± 1.54	0.039	8.23 ± 1.61	0.119
	Meal	6.68 ± 0.76	-	7.46 ± 0.95	-	8.56 ± 0.93	-	7.90 ± 1.10	-	7.15 ± 1.06	-

Legend: Data are mean ± SD. Analysis of repeated measures was applied to determine p-values using Bonferroni correction at a significance level of 0.05. Comparisons are made between meal plus C-cake and meal only or between meal plus D-cake and meal only at the specified timepoints.

Table A5. Glucose, insulin, and C-peptide levels in type 2 diabetic patients evaluated at 0 (fasting), 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after consumption of meal (bread and cheese), meal & D-strawberry jelly (diabetic dessert), or meal & C-strawberry jelly (control dessert)

Parameter	Treatment	0 min	p	30 min	p	60 min	p	90 min	p	120 min	p
Glucose (mmol/l)	Meal & C-str.	7.03 ± 1.17	0.195	10.32 ± 1.86	0.004	9.91 ± 1.88	0.096	8.51 ± 2.24	0.876	7.53 ± 2.50	0.780
	Meal & D-str.	6.99 ± 1.46	0.174	8.01 ± 1.06	0.704	8.63 ± 1.02	0.869	7.93 ± 1.14	0.572	7.43 ± 1.15	0.689
	Meal	7.93 ± 1.81	-	8.27 ± 1.42	-	8.74 ± 1.55	-	8.39 ± 1.86	-	7.76 ± 1.74	-
Insulin (μU/ml)	Meal & C-str.	11.78 ± 6.96	0.612	33.99 ± 18.18	0.005	38.35 ± 7.27	0.009	30.87 ± 12.27	0.061	22.12 ± 10.90	0.206
	Meal & D-str.	11.32 ± 5.99	0.520	20.34 ± 9.87	0.535	21.25 ± 8.04	0.771	14.95 ± 7.69	0.146	14.46 ± 5.08	0.572
	Meal	13.49 ± 8.72	-	16.80 ± 8.00	-	22.86 ± 8.53	-	21.86 ± 9.84	-	16.80 ± 10.16	-
C-peptide (ng/ml)	Meal & C-str.	3.85 ± 2.62	0.928	5.70 ± 2.20	0.145	6.85 ± 1.97	0.073	6.84 ± 2.35	0.149	6.25 ± 2.31	0.202
	Meal & D-str.	3.48 ± 2.19	0.796	4.19 ± 2.03	0.933	5.01 ± 1.82	0.784	4.90 ± 1.90	0.693	4.89 ± 1.78	0.859
	Meal	3.76 ± 2.14	-	4.27 ± 2.03	-	5.24 ± 1.86	-	5.31 ± 2.47	-	5.05 ± 1.88	-

Legend: Data are mean ± SD. Analysis of repeated measures was applied to determine p-values using Bonferroni correction at a significance level of 0.05. Comparisons are made between meal plus C-strawberry jelly and meal only or between meal plus D-strawberry jelly and meal only at the specified timepoints.

Table A6. Glucose, insulin, and C-peptide levels in type 2 diabetic patients evaluated at 0 (fasting), 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after consumption of meal (bread and cheese), meal & D-millefeuille (diabetic dessert), or meal & C-millefeuille (control dessert)

Parameter	Treatment	0 min	p	30 min	p	60 min	p	90 min	p	120 min	p
Glucose (mmol/l)	Meal & C- mil.	6.02 ± 0.44	0.029	7.83 ± 1.51	0.546	9.85 ± 1.75	0.085	10.24 ± 1.69	0.002	8.85 ± 1.72	0.018
	Meal & D- mil.	6.45 ± 0.67	0.422	8.11 ± 1.41	0.288	9.67 ± 1.83	0.137	9.34 ± 1.54	0.039	8.23 ± 1.61	0.119
	Meal	6.68 ± 0.76	-	7.46 ± 0.95	-	8.56 ± 0.93	-	7.90 ± 1.10	-	7.15 ± 1.06	-
Insulin (μU/ml)	Meal & C- mil.	6.93 ± 4.13	0.238	18.20 ± 8.06	0.653	36.23 ± 23.69	0.128	35.19 ± 15.39	0.019	29.54 ± 20.88	0.038
	Meal & D- mil.	9.45 ± 7.96	0.670	19.20 ± 7.70	0.476	29.92 ± 17.83	0.409	29.57 ± 16.68	0.116	25.32 ± 18.23	0.122
	Meal	10.85 ± 8.86	-	16.52 ± 10.11	-	22.70 ± 14.39	-	19.07 ± 15.61	-	13.91 ± 10.13	-
C-peptide (ng/ml)	Meal & C- mil.	2.55 ± 1.34	0.713	3.38 ± 1.38	0.993	4.85 ± 2.00	0.297	6.17 ± 2.00	0.031	6.22 ± 2.18	0.014
	Meal & D- mil.	2.86 ± 1.51	0.889	3.42 ± 1.53	0.948	4.67 ± 2.12	0.396	5.47 ± 2.70	0.131	5.31 ± 2.17	0.116
	Meal	2.77 ± 1.13	-	3.38 ± 1.15	-	3.94 ± 1.44	-	3.95 ± 1.56	-	3.84 ± 1.59	-

Legend: Data are mean ± SD. Analysis of repeated measures was applied to determine p-values using Bonferroni correction at a significance level of 0.05. Comparisons are made between meal plus C-millefeuille and meal only or between meal plus D-millefeuille and meal only at the specified timepoints.

Table A7. Glucose, insulin, and C-peptide levels in type 2 diabetic patients evaluated at 0 (fasting), 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after consumption of meal (bread and cheese), meal & D-pastry cream (diabetic dessert), or meal & C-pastry cream (control dessert)

Parameter	Treatment	0 min	p	30 min	p	60 min	p	90 min	p	120 min	p
Glucose (mmol/l)	Meal & C-pas.	7.69 ± 1.71	0.211	9.77 ± 1.48	0.001	10.96 ± 1.73	0.001	9.56 ± 1.66	0.001	8.44 ± 1.81	0.014
	Meal & D-pas.	7.98 ± 1.75	0.099	9.36 ± 1.58	0.002	9.88 ± 1.45	0.011	8.63 ± 0.94	0.075	7.53 ± 1.03	0.334
	meal	6.82 ± 0.84	-	7.25 ± 1.11	-	8.11 ± 0.99	-	7.62 ± 0.93	-	6.99 ± 0.93	-
Insulin (μU/ml)	Meal & C-pas.	8.58 ± 4.77	0.804	36.41 ± 24.69	0.139	50.49 ± 34.19	0.041	40.45 ± 33.86	0.222	27.02 ± 17.54	0.318
	Meal & D-pas.	11.55 ± 5.10	0.117	34.79 ± 27.80	0.182	39.68 ± 25.38	0.223	28.71 ± 24.38	0.796	22.05 ± 25.84	0.665
	Meal	8.04 ± 4.39	-	20.30 ± 17.16	-	24.78 ± 16.05	-	25.63 ± 24.34	-	18.29 ± 18.47	-
C-peptide (ng/ml)	Meal & C-pas.	3.17 ± 1.44	0.503	4.82 ± 2.13	0.342	6.67 ± 2.82	0.045	6.65 ± 2.39	0.230	6.31 ± 2.76	0.213
	Meal & D-pas.	3.14 ± 1.05	0.539	4.83 ± 2.08	0.336	5.72 ± 2.17	0.263	6.42 ± 2.83	0.317	5.50 ± 2.74	0.609
	Meal	2.85 ± 1.01	-	3.93 ± 1.85	-	4.59 ± 1.38	-	5.28 ± 2.44	-	4.94 ± 2.87	-

Legend: Data are mean ± SD. Analysis of repeated measures was applied to determine p-values using Bonferroni correction at a significance level of 0.05. Comparisons are made between meal plus C-pastry cream and meal only or between meal plus D-pastry cream and meal only at the specified timepoints.

Table A8. Glucose, insulin, and C-peptide levels in type 2 diabetic patients evaluated at 0 (fasting), 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after consumption of meal (bread and cheese), meal & D-crème caramel (diabetic dessert), or meal & C-crème caramel (control dessert)

Parameter	Treatment	0 min	p	30 min	p	60 min	p	90 min	p	120 min	p
Glucose (mmol/l)	meal & C-crème	8.64 ± 2.91	0.918	10.32 ± 3.45	0.658	11.54 ± 2.27	0.340	10.72 ± 1.44	0.305	9.43 ± 1.55	0.522
	meal & D-crème	8.28 ± 2.40	0.886	9.72 ± 2.73	0.951	10.24 ± 2.43	0.996	9.63 ± 2.29	0.900	8.83 ± 2.28	0.883
	meal	8.49 ± 4.14	-	9.62 ± 4.09	-	10.25 ± 3.82	-	9.47 ± 3.64	-	8.65 ± 3.70	-
Insulin (μU/ml)	meal & C-crème	14.13 ± 10.59	0.433	29.51 ± 38.01	0.424	49.20 ± 62.19	0.482	46.45 ± 55.72	0.257	44.51 ± 70.02	0.352
	meal & D-crème	22.24 ± 23.86	0.055	25.70 ± 29.43	0.603	35.39 ± 44.33	0.900	36.49 ± 46.65	0.511	36.58 ± 59.62	0.534
	meal	8.80 ± 5.48	-	18.69 ± 17.19	-	32.41 ± 47.31	-	23.00 ± 26.56	-	20.81 ± 24.68	-
C-peptide (ng/ml)	meal & C-crème	3.16 ± 1.75	0.609	3.87 ± 2.44	0.399	5.13 ± 2.64	0.341	5.77 ± 2.85	0.108	5.63 ± 3.11	0.261
	meal & D-crème	3.62 ± 2.56	0.274	4.04 ± 2.54	0.307	4.72 ± 2.90	0.548	4.86 ± 2.41	0.415	5.01 ± 3.07	0.518
	meal	2.76 ± 1.40	-	3.09 ± 1.59	-	4.01 ± 2.48	-	3.96 ± 2.26	-	4.19 ± 2.58	-

Legend: Data are mean ± SD. Analysis of repeated measures was applied to determine p-values using Bonferroni correction at a significance level of 0.05. Comparisons are made between meal plus C-crème caramel and meal only or between meal plus D-crème caramel and meal only at the specified timepoints.

References

- Holub I, Gostner A, Theis S, Nosek L, Kudlich T, Melcher R, Scheppach W. Novel findings on the metabolic effects of the low glycemic carbohydrate isomaltulose (Palatinose). *Br J Nutr* 2010. 103:1730-1737.
- Quilez J, Bullo M, Salas-Salvado J. Improved postprandial response and feeling of satiety after consumption of low-calorie muffins with maltitol and high-amylose corn starch. *J Food Sci* 2007. 72:S407-S411.
- Knapp BK, Parsons CM, Bauer LL, Swanson KS, Fahey GC. Soluble fiber dextrins and pullulans vary in extent of hydrolytic digestion in vitro and in energy value and attenuate glycemic and insulinemic responses in dogs. *J Agric Food Chem* 2010. 58:11355-11363.
- Wakabayashi S. The effects of indigestible dextrin on sugar tolerance: I. Studies on digestion-absorption and sugar tolerance. *Nihon Naibunpi Gakkai Zasshi* 1992. 68:623-635.
- Livesey G. Health potential of polyols as sugar replacers, with emphasis on low glycaemic properties. *Nutr Res Rev* 2003. 16:163-191.
- Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics: Use of nutritive and nonnutritive sweeteners. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2012. 112:739-758.
- Kumar V, Sinha AK, Makkar HP, de Boeck G, Becker K. Dietary roles of non-starch polysaccharides in human nutrition: a review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2012. 52:899-935.
- Chandalia M, Garg A, Lutchjohann D, von Bergmann K, Grundy SM, Brinkley LJ. Beneficial effects of high dietary fiber intake in patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 2000. 342:1392-1398.
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentration in man. *Diabetologia* 1985. 28:412-419.
- International Diabetes Federation. Clinical Guidelines Task Force. Glucose control levels. In: Global guideline for Type 2 diabetes. 2005. Accessed on November 18, 2011. <http://www.idf.org/webdata/docs/IDF%20GGT2D.pdf>
- American Diabetes Association. Executive summary: standards of medical care in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2013. 36(Suppl 1):S11.
- Grotz VL, Henry RR, McGill JB, Prince MJ, Shammoun H, Trout JR, Pi-Sunyer FX. Lack of effect of sucralose on glucose homeostasis in subjects with type 2 diabetes. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2003. 103:1607-1612.
- Mezitis NH, Maggio CA, Koch P, Quddoos A, Allison DB, Pi-Sunyer FX. Glycemic effect of a single high oral dose of the novel sweetener sucralose in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 1996. 19:1004-1005.
- Ford HE, Peters V, Martin NM, Sleeth ML, Ghatei MA, Frost GS, Bloom SR. Effects of oral ingestion of sucralose on gut hormone response and appetite in healthy normal-weight subjects. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2011. 65:508-513.
- Brown AW, Bohan Brown MM, Onken KL, Beitz DC. Short-term consumption of sucralose, a nonnutritive sweetener, is similar to water with regard to select markers of hunger signaling and short-term glucose homeostasis in women. *Nutr Res* 2011. 31:882-888.
- Slavin JL, Savarino V, Paredes-Diaz A, Fotopoulos G. A review of the role of soluble fiber in health with specific reference to wheat dextrin. *J Int Med Res* 2009. 37:1-17.
- Jenkins AL, Jenkins DJ, Wolever TM, Rogovik AL, Jovanovski E, Bozиков V, Rahelic D, Vuksan V. Comparable postprandial glucose reductions with viscous fiber blend enriched biscuits in healthy subjects and patients with diabetes mellitus: acute randomized controlled clinical trial. *Croat Med J* 2008. 49:772-782.