Published in final edited form as:

Mod Rheumatol. 2010 February ; 20(1): 1–10. doi:10.1007/s10165-009-0213-x.

A perspective on B-cell-targeting therapy for SLE

R. John Looney, Jennifer Anolik, and Inaki Sanz

Division of Allergy Immunology Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center, 601 Elmwood Ave, Room G-6427C, Rochester, NY 14642, USA

Abstract

In recent years, large controlled trials have tested several new agents for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). Unfortunately, none of these trials has met its primary outcome. This does not mean progress has not been made. In fact, a great deal has been learned about doing clinical trials in lupus and about the biological and clinical effects of the drugs being tested. Many of these drugs were designed to target B cells directly, e.g., rituximab, belimumab, epratuzumab, and transmembrane activator and calcium modulator and cyclophilin ligand interactor–immunoglobulin (TACI-Ig). The enthusiasm for targeting B cells derives from substantial evidence showing the critical role of B cells in murine models of SLE, as well promising results from multiple open trials with rituximab, a chimeric anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody that specifically depletes B cells (Martin and Chan in *Immunity* 20(5):517–527, 2004; Sobel et al. in *J Exp Med* 173:1441–1449, 1991; Silverman and Weisman in *Arthritis Rheum* 48:1484–1492, 2003; Silverman in *Arthritis Rheum* 52(4):1342, 2005; Shlomchik et al. in *Nat Rev Immunol* 1:147–153, 2001; Looney et al. in *Arthritis Rheum* 50:2580–2589, 2004; Lu et al. in *Arthritis Rheum* 61(4):482–487, 2009; Saito et al. in *Lupus* 12(10):798–800, 2003; van Vollenhoven et al. in *Scand J Rheumatol* 33(6):423–427, 2004; Sfrikakis et al. *Arthritis Rheum* 52(2):501–513, 2005). Why have the controlled trials of B-cell-targeting therapies failed to demonstrate efficacy? Were there flaws in design or execution of these trials? Or, were promising animal studies and open trials misleading, as so often happens? This perspective discusses the current state of B-cell-targeting therapies for human lupus and the future development of these therapies.

Keywords

Atacicept; Belimumab; B lymphocytes; Bortezomib; Rituximab; Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)

Background

Autoantibodies are of major importance in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). Autoantibodies are usually present for many years before the diagnosis of SLE [11]. Over time, autoantibodies in an individual developing lupus evolve to have higher affinity, undergo isotype switching, and spread to recognize new epitopes. In SLE, autoantibodies are not passive bystanders but critical effectors responsible for many manifestations, including cytopenias, thromboembolic disease, neuronal damage, skin rashes, arthritis, and renal disease.

© Japan College of Rheumatology 2009

John_Looney@URMC.Rochester.Edu.

Conflict of interest statement R. John Looney—consultant for Genentech, Amgen, Roche, Proteolix; grant for preclinical studies from Proteolix. Jennifer H. Anolik—consultant for Genentech, Roche; grant for preclinical studies from Proteolix. Inaki Sanz—no conflicts.

Plasma cells, the source of circulating autoantibodies, present a difficult challenge for B-cell-targeting therapies (Fig. 1). Although some plasma cells are susceptible to conventional therapies, most are not. Furthermore, biologic agents with one exception (atacept) have had relatively little effects on plasma cells. Anti-double-stranded-DNA (anti-dsDNA)-producing plasma cells are unusual in that these plasma cells are often (but not always) susceptible to conventional therapies. Thus, the titer of anti-dsDNA antibodies can plummet precipitously with high-dose steroids while at the same time total immunoglobulin and the levels of most autoantibodies, e.g., anti-Ro or anti-Sm, are unaffected. This rapid response of anti-dsDNA antibodies may be explained by short-lived plasma cells whose generation is blocked by therapy. Alternatively anti-dsDNA-specific plasma cells could preferentially home to sites of inflammation, and treatments decreasing inflammation could disrupt this microenvironment, inducing plasma-cell apoptosis [12].

In the 1980s, interferon- α levels were found to be increased in patients with active SLE [13]. Production of interferon- α is important for constitutional and organ-specific symptoms, and in addition, interferon- α can induce hyperactivity of the immune system, as seen with active SLE [14]. It is now clear that autoantibodies binding RNA- or DNA-containing autoantigens induce the production of interferon- α in SLE [15–19]. These immune complexes are internalized by Fc-gamma-receptor IIa (Fc γ RIIa) on plasmacytoid dendritic cells then bind to intracellular toll-like receptor (TLR)-7, 8, or 9, triggering massive interferon- α production. Similarly, by binding to cell-surface autoantibodies then to TLR-7 or 9 within B cells, RNA- or DNA-containing autoantigens selectively trigger activation and proliferation of autoantibody-producing B cells [20–23].

B cells also influence autoimmune disease through multiple antibody-independent mechanisms [24, 25]. For example, through antigen presentation and production of cytokines and costimulatory factors, B cells regulate T-cell activation and polarization. Moreover, B cells induce lymphoid neogenesis through surface-bound lymphotoxin, which recruits and activates follicular dendritic cells, thus generating lymphoid follicles. B cells can also affect myeloid dendritic cells and regulatory T cells.

The relative importance of autoantibody production vs. autoantibody-independent effects of B cells is usually difficult to assess but was clearly demonstrated in a clever animal model from Mark Shlomchik's lab, where B cells were engineered to express only membrane immunoglobulin-M (mIgM). Mice with only mIgM have mature B cells, but these B cells are incapable of secreting immunoglobulin. The development of lupus in MRL/*lpr* mice with mIgM-only B cells was compared with the development of lupus in MRL/*lpr* mice with no B cells and MRL/*lpr* mice with normal B cells [26–28]. There was a significant difference in survival between mice in each of the three groups. In the group with normal B cells, 50% survival was reached at 32 weeks, whereas in the group with mIgM-only B cells, 50% of animals survived until 56 weeks; *p* value <0.0007. In contrast, 90% of MRL/*lpr* mice with no B cells were still alive at 56 weeks: *p* value <0.0001 for this group compared with either of the other two groups. Thus, even without secreting immunoglobulin B cells significantly influenced the course of lupus in this particular animal model. These results raise the possibility that therapies targeting B cells but not affecting plasma cells, immunoglobulins, or autoantibodies, e.g., anti-CD20 antibodies, such as rituximab, might nevertheless be effective for lupus.

Of significant relevance to the concept of B-cell targeting, not all of the effects of B cells promote autoimmunity. Indeed, B cells suppress disease in some models of autoimmunity, such as in experimental allergic encephalitis [29–35]. In many of these models, interleukin-10 (IL-10) produced by B cells suppresses dendritic-cell production of IL-12, thus blocking T-helper-1 (Th1) cell responses. OX40L expression by B cells may also

suppress disease by inducing immune deviation toward Th2 cells. Furthermore, natural autoantibodies, germ-line IgM autoantibodies, may also play an important role in suppressing autoimmunity by clearing immune complexes and promoting tolerance. A recent clinical trial using rituximab in alloantigen-poly-sensitized patients awaiting transplantation emphasized the potential dangers from depleting B cells. This trial was halted because of concerns about worsening rejection after B-cell depletion [36].

Rituximab

Rituximab is a chimeric monoclonal antibody with variable regions derived from a mouse anti-human CD20 antibody and constant regions from human IgG1 κ . CD20 is expressed on immature, naïve, and memory B cells but is not expressed on mature plasma cells or B-cell precursors. Thus, when used in patients with lymphomas, rituximab depleted normal and malignant B cells but had little effect on serum IgG. A decade ago when several of the open trials using rituximab for SLE were began, the rationale for using rituximab was based the studies from Mark Shlomchik's lab showing the importance of the antibody-independent effects of B cells in murine lupus, plus the observation that anti-dsDNA could respond rapidly to steroids, suggesting a dependence on short-lived plasma cells [27]. Since that time, there has been considerable success using rituximab in human autoimmune diseases, including several large phase II/III trials in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and a phase II trial in relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis [37, 38]. The success in RA and in multiple open clinical trials for SLE led to considerable enthusiasm for randomized trials in nonrenal and renal SLE [7].

EXPLORER was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of rituximab for nonrenal SLE. Preliminary results were presented at the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 2008 meeting [39]. A total of 257 patients with active SLE were randomized to rituximab vs. placebo (2:1 rituximab:placebo). Patients had to fulfill at least one British Isles Lupus Assessment Group (BILAG) A (severe activity in an organ system) or two BILAG B (moderate activity in two organ systems) and also had to be on a stable dose of an immunosuppressive drug (azathioprine, mycophenolate, or methotrexate). Patients continued their baseline immunosuppressive drug and received a 10-week course of increased glucocorticoids (0.5–1.0 mg/kg). Patients received either placebo or 1,000 mg of rituximab on days 1 and 15. Rituximab treatments were repeated at 6 months. The BILAG was used to define flares (severe flare = one new BILAG A or two new BILAG B, and moderate flare = a new BILAG B). This flare definition was used to create criteria for major or partial clinical response (MCR or PCR). It was expected that patients would respond to the course of steroids, so differences might only become apparent as steroids were tapered. As expected, both groups responded similarly with a significant improvement in clinical activity. However, even after the steroid taper, there was no difference between groups, i.e., at week 52, MCR = 15.9 vs. 12.4% for placebo vs. rituximab; PCR = 12.5 vs. 17.2%; and neither MCR nor PCR = 71.6 vs. 70.4%. There were also no differences between the groups in any of the secondary endpoints. An ethnicity analysis did find a benefit of rituximab in African Americans and Hispanics compared with other subjects: 33.8% of African Americans and Hispanics had an MCR or a PCR vs. 15.7% of non-African Americans and non-Hispanics. Moreover, patients entering the study on methotrexate also appeared to benefit from rituximab. Interestingly, and perhaps importantly, the rituximab-treated group had much better serological response, i.e., anti-dsDNA, C3, and C4 were better in the rituximab group, with *p* values = 0.005, 0.0029, and 0.0045, respectively, at 1 year. Thus, despite promising results in many open trials, rituximab failed when fairly tested as an add-on therapy to standard of care in moderate to severe nonrenal lupus.

Although EXPLORER is clearly the best trial so far on the use of rituximab for SLE, it does have problems. It was designed to enter patients who were very active and therefore treated aggressively with moderate- to high-dose glucocorticoids. This makes detecting a benefit difficult over the short term, and one could argue that for lupus, a year is short term when looking for flares. Thus, a longer time of follow-up would have been very helpful. The serological response in the rituximab-treated group is one hint that some benefit might have accrued in the long run, as serologically active but clinically quiescent lupus patients are at high risk of flares [40]. A second problem is the outcome measures used. Although the BILAG has many virtues, it is still unproven as an outcome measure for clinical trials. In fact, there are no generally accepted outcome measures for nonrenal lupus. At this point, the SLE responder index (SRI) developed in the belimumab trials is probably the best-supported outcome measure for nonrenal lupus. Another potential problem is that all patients were systematically retreated with rituximab or placebo at 6 months, irrespective of response. If one accepts the idea that one important benefit is to repopulate with regulatory/protective cells, then patients doing so and then retreated would be deprived of that benefit, and a long-term response would be delayed, thus compounding the problem with short-term follow-up [41]. A final problem is the heterogeneous nature of SLE itself. Different manifestations of SLE have different mechanisms. Therefore, one therapy, especially one targeted therapy, is unlikely to be effective for all patients. Limiting entry to a few different manifestations that are felt to have the same pathophysiology might be necessary.

The LUNAR trial was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of rituximab for proliferative lupus nephritis. Rituximab or placebo was added on to standard-of-care therapy using mycophenolate and high-dose steroids. The results for this trial are only available from a press release, but again, the results were disappointing: neither the primary outcome nor any of the secondary outcomes were achieved. However, the trial had some of the same problems seen in EXPLORER. The primary outcome was at 1 year, which is very unlikely to be long enough, especially in a nephritis trial and when all the patients are treated with high-dose steroids and mycophenolate. Moreover, as in EXPLORER, all patients were re-treated at 6 months, irrespective of response. On the other hand, the outcome measure for the LUNAR trial, renal function, is a very good outcome that almost everyone would accept. Another problem with the LUNAR trial and also with EXPLORER is the use of immunosuppressive agents other than cyclophosphamide. The most impressive results with rituximab for SLE have been in refractory patients treated with one or two low doses of cyclophosphamide at the same time rituximab was given [7]. As both rituximab and cyclophosphamide target B cells, there should be synergy, and this may be crucial in determining response. The BELONG trial, a phase III trial of the humanized anti-CD20 ocrelizumab for lupus nephritis, is still recruiting patients, and both mycophenolate and cyclophosphamide are being used in that trial. Thus, the BELONG trial may determine whether cyclophosphamide rather than mycophenolate should be used with anti-CD20.

Belimumab

Belimumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody against B-lymphocyte stimulator/B-cell-activating factor (BLyS/BAFF). An initial phase I trial demonstrated tolerability, safety, and an effect on B-cell numbers [42]. Subsequently, belimumab was evaluated in a phase II, dose-escalating, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial enrolling 449 subjects with a Safety of Estrogens in Lupus Erythematosus National Assessment–Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index (SELENA–SLEDAI) ≥ 4 . The results from this study were presented at the ACR meetings in 2006, 2007, and 2008 [43–45]. The primary endpoint was improvement in the SELENA–SLEDAI at week 52. The trial did not meet this endpoint. Unfortunately, 28% of patients were not serologically active, i.e., not positive for antinuclear antibodies (ANA) or anti-dsDNA at study entry. When only the serologically active

subgroup was included in the analysis, there was a statistically significant but modest benefit in terms of the primary outcome, i.e., a 28% greater reduction in the SELENA/SLEDAI in the belimumab-treated compared with the control group; $p = 0.044$. In addition, secondary endpoints were also better in the belimumab-treated group, e.g. the physician global assessment and physical component of the 36-question short-form health survey (SF-36) both improved in the belimumab group; $p = 0.001$ and 0.02 , respectively. The data from this trial were used to develop a SLE responder index (SRI = improvement of SELENA–SLEDAI > 4 plus no worsening of physician global assessment plus no BILAG flares). In the belimumab-treated group, 46% of patients met the SRI at 1 year vs. 29% in the placebo group; $p = 0.0056$. During long-term, open-label follow-up, the SRI increased to 55% by week 76 [44]. In the first year of the study, 62% of belimumab-treated, seropositive subjects had SELENA–SLEDAI flares, but this declined dramatically so that during the third year of the study, only 7% of subject had a SELENA–SLEDAI flare. These results suggest that long-term therapy with belimumab may have substantial benefits [43]. Thus, the results of the phase II trial and follow-up open trial of belimumab are certainly encouraging. Two phase III trials of belimumab are ongoing and have completed recruitment.

Other agents

Anti-CD22

Epratuzumab is a monoclonal antibody against CD22, a B-cell-specific surface antigen. An initial open trial of 360 mg/m² every 2 weeks for four doses treated 14 subjects [46, 47]. The drug was well tolerated, and there was improvement in the BILAG. There was a modest decrease in B cells, i.e., a 35–44% decrease, and no change in immunoglobulin levels. SL0003 and SL0004 [48] were two randomized clinical trials that were prematurely discontinued due to problems with manufacturing. However, 90 patients were entered. Combined data from these two trials suggests that anti-CD22 was safe and might have been shown to be effective had the trials not been terminated.

TACI-Ig

Atacicept, a chimeric molecule with the extracellular domain of transmembrane activator and calcium modulator and cyclophilin ligand interactor (TACI), which binds both BLyS and a proliferation-inducing ligand (APRIL), fused to the Fc domains of human IgG1, is the one biologic therapy with dramatic effects on plasma cells [49]. After seven doses of 420 mg every 2 weeks in patients with RA, total IgG decreased by 21%, IgA by 37%, and IgM by 54%. IgG, IgA, and IgM rheumatoid factors all decreased between 41% and 44% and anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) antibodies decreased by 25% [50]. These rapid changes in immunoglobulin and autoantibody levels can only be explained by effects on plasma cells. Atacicept was well tolerated in an SLE phase I study [51]. However, a phase II study of atacicept in combination with mycophenolate for lupus nephritis was terminated because of infections. A phase II/III trial of atacicept for nonrenal lupus is ongoing and still recruiting. Because of its effects on plasma cells, TACI-Ig would appear to have great potential in autoantibody-mediated diseases. The challenge will be to eliminate autoantibody-producing plasma cells without increasing the risk of infection that we presume may be due to an effect on protective antibody.

New targets

Anti-CD19

CD19 is expressed on virtually all B cells and is lost at a later stage than CD20 as B cells differentiate into plasma cells. However, mature plasma cells are CD19 negative. In animal models of autoimmunity, anti-CD19 depletes a wider spectrum of B cells than anti-CD20,

e.g., peritoneal B cells, including B1 B cells, are depleted with anti-CD19 but spared with anti-CD20 [52]. Moreover, treatment with anti-CD19 in these models was associated with a decrease in total immunoglobulins, indicating an effect on plasma cells. One caveat with this interpretation is that the strain of mice used expresses human CD19, which induces autoimmunity and hypergammaglobulinemia. Whether similar effects on immunoglobulins would be seen in mouse strains using anti-murine CD19 or with anti-CD19 in humans is unclear.

Proteasome inhibitors

Bortezomib, a low-molecular-weight drug that inhibits proteasomes, has been approved for to treat multiple myeloma, a plasma-cell malignancy. In models of lupus, bortezomib improves survival, decreases renal disease, decreases titers of autoantibodies, and induces apoptosis of both short-lived and long-lived plasma cells [53]. Bortezomib has not yet been tested in human lupus. As bortezomib is associated with a painful peripheral neuropathy, such trials may be difficult to justify [54]. SLE-prone mice lacking TLR-9, which binds DNA complexes, fail to make anti-dsDNA antibodies, whereas SLE-prone mice lacking TLR-7, which binds RNA complexes, fail to make anti-Sm antibodies [22]. These results indicate TLRs are critical for determining the selection of autoantibodies. Thus, agents inhibiting TLR-7, 8, and 9 more effectively than currently available antimalarials are likely to be a major advance in treatment. Such inhibitors are currently in development.

B cell and homeostasis

B-cell-depleting therapies such as rituximab can cause dramatic shifts in B-cell populations. In most patients treated with rituximab, peripheral-blood B cells disappear completely for several months then reconstitute after 6–12 months. During reconstitution, most patients also have a dramatic shift in B-cell subsets, i.e., memory B cells (CD19+ CD27+) remain at very low levels, and conversely, levels of naïve and immature B cells (both CD19+ CD27–) increase [55–59]. Nonresponse and early relapse in RA patients treated with rituximab has been associated with increased proportion of memory B cells [57–59]. Moreover, in our own small series of patients with lupus treated with rituximab, long-term responders, patients who remained in remission for several years off therapy, had very low levels of memory B cells and very high levels of naïve and transitional B cells [56, 60]. At least under some conditions, stimulated naïve human B cells have been found to produce high levels of IL-10, whereas stimulated memory B cells produce high levels of lymphotoxin and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF α) [61–63]. Thus, we speculate that our long-term responders had both a decrease in the availability of autoantigen-specific memory B cells and an increase in anti-inflammatory naïve and transitional B cells. Improving depletion of memory B cells, decreasing production or proliferation of memory B cells, and increasing production of transitional and naïve B cells by bone marrow may all be important strategies for improving the outcome of B-cell-depletion therapy [41].

Anti-CD20 antibodies are usually effective in depleting B cells from peripheral blood, but their success at depleting B cells from other sites such as lymph nodes or tertiary lymphoid tissues may be highly variable [1, 64]. Failure to deplete in these tissue sites may lead to nonresponse or early relapse. Defects in effector mechanisms such as a low numbers of Fc γ R-bearing cells, the presence of Fc γ Rs with low affinity for IgG1 or blockade of Fc γ R may be responsible for failure to deplete. The development of new anti-CD20 antibodies with increased binding to Fc γ R might increase depletion [65]. Increased levels of survival factors may also be important for survival of memory B cells in tissue. Moreover, systemic levels of BLyS/BAFF often increase markedly after treatment with rituximab, which might also contribute to the survival of memory B cells [66]. In animal models, combining anti-CD20 with inhibition of BLyS/BAFF is synergistic and has been shown to improve

depletion in tissues [64]. Therefore, combining anti-CD20 or other B-cell-depleting autoantibodies with anti-BLyS or perhaps TACI-Ig may improve depletion of memory B cells and increase the likelihood of clinical response.

The rate of B-cell lymphopoiesis by bone marrow can have profound effects on B-cell populations. Resting memory B cells transferred to animals unable to produce B cells have increased proliferation, increased activation markers, and increased B-cell death [67, 68]. These animals remain B-cell lymphopenic. Mice chimeric for bone marrow competent to generate B cells (a mixture of B cells immunodeficient and wild-type bone marrow) are also lymphopenic and have B cells with increased proliferation and activation markers [69]. Thus, in animal models, failure to generate adequate numbers of B cells is associated with B cell lymphopenia and a shift to an activated phenotype (production of pro- vs. anti-inflammatory cytokines in these systems has not to our knowledge been studied). In human SLE B cells, lymphopenia is frequently seen. Some of this lymphopenia may be due to cytotoxic antibodies. Indeed, cytotoxic autoantibodies to B220 expressed on naïve B cells have been described [70]. In addition, interferon- α , which is a signature cytokine for lupus, profoundly inhibits B-cell lymphopoiesis [71, 72]. Anti-interferon- α monoclonal antibodies are now in clinical trials for lupus. Studies of B-cell subsets and B-cell lymphopoiesis as part of these trials would be extremely interesting.

Lymphopenia, particularly lymphopenia due to poor B-cell lymphopoiesis, can be associated with increased proliferation and activation of B cells, giving them a memory phenotype [67, 68]. In addition, germinal-center reactions also increase production and proliferation of memory B cells. Thus, increasing B-cell lymphopoiesis or decreasing germinal-center reactions may decrease the number of memory B cells. Indeed, etanercept was recently shown to decrease peripheral blood memory B cells by about 50% and to decreased germinal-center reactions in tonsils [73]. Other anti-TNF therapies do not have this effect on memory B cells presumably because, unlike etanercept, they do not also target lymphotoxin. BLyS is extremely important for transitional and naïve B-cell survival. Thus, in a trial of anti-BLyS, naïve and transitional B cells in peripheral blood are disproportionately affected compared with memory B cells [74, 75]. However, after 6 months, the number of effector memory B cells (CD19+IgD-CD27-) also declines, and after 1 year, memory B cells and plasmablasts modestly decline. These late effects on B cells may be important in the delayed therapeutic effects of anti-BLyS on lupus activity.

Plasma-cell homeostasis

As plasma cells are the source of circulating antibodies, they are critical for the autoantibody-dependent effects of B cells in SLE. Plasma-cell survival is dependent on residence in an appropriate microenvironment [76–78] (Fig. 2). Autoantibody-producing plasma cells established in an environment allowing long-term survival can be very difficult to eradicate [79, 80]. Depletion of B cells with rituximab or other agents will have no effect on autoantibodies produced by long-lived plasma cells. Moreover, when generation of both autoimmune and normal plasmablasts is blocked, there can be no new normal plasma cells to “knock” long-lived autoimmune plasma cells out of their niche [79].

There may be drugs that selectively decreased the production of autoantibody-producing but not normal plasmablasts. After a long period of time, this selective inhibition may result in decreased autoantibody titers as the long-lived plasma-cell compartment turns over. Certainly, drugs that inhibit TLR-7 and TLR-9 should selectively affect the generation of autoimmune plasmablasts. Obviously, normal homeostatic mechanisms also have this selective effect, which is why normal individuals make autoantibodies transiently, if at all. If production of autoantibodies in lupus is due to immune system hyperactivity, then a number

of immunosuppressive agents may inhibit generation of autoimmune plasmablasts, thus inhibiting immune system hyperactivity. The “right” level of immunosuppression would be when autoimmune but not normal plasmablasts are inhibited. These studies may also have to characterize plasmablasts to see whether they are capable of competing for the long-lived plasma-cell compartment [81].

An alternative approach for eradicating autoantibodies produced by long-lived plasma cells would be therapies that can directly kill long-lived plasma cells. Killing long-lived plasma cells would provide an opportunity and a need to repopulate their compartment with normal plasma cells. There is solid evidence from elegant experiments in murine lupus that proteasome inhibitors can kill long-lived plasma cells [53]. Similar studies using TACI-Ig would be of great interest. The vigorous application of therapies that kill long-lived plasma cells does have its downside, i.e., protective antibodies may also be eliminated. Thus, ideally, depletion of long-lived plasma cells will be combined with therapies that selectively block the generation of autoimmune plasmablasts, thereby preventing repopulation of the long-lived compartment with autoimmune plasma cells.

Overview

So far, the results from controlled clinical trials of B-cell-targeting agents in SLE have been disappointing. One explanation for these negative results may have been trial design and outcome measures. Some potential lessons about trial design and outcomes from belimumab and rituximab trials include:

1. The importance of entry criteria. The belimumab trial would have been positive had it required patients to be serologically active at entry.
2. The potential for concomitant medications to obscure benefits. Moderate- to high-dose steroids and immunosuppressive medications were used as concomitant therapy in both EXPLORER and LUNAR trials. In contrast, the belimumab trial did not use a course of steroids at study entry.
3. Long-term follow-up is essential. The primary outcomes for the rituximab studies and the belimumab study were all at 1 year. Although there was no clinical benefit at 1 year in EXPLORER, serological response clearly favored rituximab. This serological response may have translated into clinical benefit with longer follow-up. In lupus nephritis, long-term follow-up is especially important, and thus it is not surprising that in the LUNAR trial no difference was found at 1 year [82–87]. The effects of belimumab on B-cell subsets took more than a year to fully develop, and the clinical benefits appeared to increase with time during the open follow-on trial.
4. Problems with outcome measures and the potential benefit of composite outcomes. There is a suspicion that using the BILAG definition of flares in EXPLORER may have overestimated the rate of clinically important flares; >70% of subjects flared, and yet the BILAG score improved rapidly in the first 4 weeks of treatment and continued to improve over the course of the year (mean BILAG was approximately 14 at baseline, 9 at 1 month, 6 at 1 year). Additional analysis of outcomes in this study may be helpful. Data from the belimumab trial has been used to develop an SLE responder index (SRI), which appears to be more robust than its individual components. However, the SRI still needs to be validated in a prospective trial.
5. Targeted therapies may work better for targeted manifestations. Given that immunopathogenesis is probably as heterogeneous as the manifestations of lupus, it is unrealistic to expect that a targeted therapy will work for all aspects of disease. Thus, targeting a limited number of manifestations (inflammatory arthritis, skin disease, immune thrombocytopenia, refractory central nervous system disease)

makes sense mechanistically and may make outcomes easier to measure. Of course, such a strategy did not work with proliferative lupus nephritis in the LUNAR trial, but this trial had other problems (see 2 and 4 above).

A second potential explanation for negative results of B-cell targeting clinical trials in SLE may be that the therapies being tested are inadequate and that new therapies are needed that can:

1. Reduce the number of long-lived plasma cells. Auto-antibody-producing plasma cells, especially long-lived plasma cells, present a major therapeutic challenge for B-cell-targeting therapies. Fortunately, drugs targeting plasma cells are in development. Bortezomib, a proteasome inhibitor, triggers plasma cells by inducing an unfolded protein response. In murine lupus, bortezomib has shown impressive efficacy. Additional proteasome inhibitors are now in clinical trials for hematologic malignancies. TACI-Ig targets plasma cells by inhibiting both APRIL and BLyS. In human trials for RA and SLE, the effects of TACI-Ig on plasma cells has been demonstrated by a rapid drop in serum immunoglobulins and autoantibodies.
2. Selectively block activation of autoimmune B cells and generation of autoimmune plasmablasts. Therapies that selectively block activation of autoimmune B cells and the generation of autoimmune plasmablasts would be very attractive, as normal B cells would be relatively unaffected. One approach would be to target TLRs. Since TLR-7 and TLR-9 promote activation and proliferation of B cells binding autoantigens that contain RNA or DNA, inhibitors of these TLRs should have a selective effect on autoantibody-specific B cells in SLE. Antimalarials such as hydroxychloroquine and quinacrine are weak inhibitors of these TLRs. Using antimalarials has been associated with increased renal responses and reduced renal disease, and optimal management of these medications has been associated with decreased exacerbations [88–91]. Potent inhibitors for TLR-7, 8, and 9 are in development [92]. Combining a brief course of agents that kill long-lived plasma cells with chronic administration of TLR-7 and TLR-9 inhibitors may help assure the long-lived plasma-cell compartment is not repopulated with autoimmune plasma cells. Measuring the number of circulating autoantibody-producing plasmablasts (antibody-secreting cells) vs. the total number of plasmablasts may provide early evidence on whether TLR inhibition has been appropriately selective. A similar strategy could be use of biologic agents and conventional immunosuppressives [93].
3. Promote the number and activity of regulatory B cells. Regulatory B cells capable of preventing disease or promoting recovery have been demonstrated in animal models of autoimmunity [31, 32]. B-cell production of IL-10 appears to be particularly important in these models and is also produced by human B cells [63]. Identification of the different regulatory B-cell subsets in human autoimmune disease, determining the effects of B-cell-targeting therapies on these cells, and discovering methods to promote regulatory B-cell activity are all areas of active investigation.
4. Regulate memory B cells. Characterizing memory B-cell subsets and the factors that determine homeostasis and activation of these subsets will also be important for future studies [24]. Interferon- α is likely to be one such factor. By activating resting B cells and preventing B-cell lymphopoiesis in the bone marrow interferon- α may induce generation and proliferation of memory B cells and at the same time prevent expansion of transitional and naïve B cells that might otherwise complete

for space of growth factors with memory B cells, and by producing IL-10, suppress immune activation and inflammation.

In summary, the controlled trials of B-cell-targeting agents for SLE have so far been unsuccessful, but these trials have contributed to our understanding of how to do trials in lupus and of basic human B-cell biology. The lessons learned now need to be applied to ongoing and future trials. New therapies, especially agents capable of affecting long-lived plasma cells, are also needed. Improved understanding of B-cell and plasma-cell homeostasis—and in future trials, careful studies of B-cell subsets, plasma cells, autoantibodies, and protective antibodies—will all be needed to optimize the use of B-cell- and plasma-cell-targeting therapies.

Acknowledgments

The authors were supported by several grants, including a NIH grant for the Rochester Autoimmunity Center of Excellence U19 AI056390 (IS), a grant from the Lupus Clinical Trials Consortium (RJL), NIH R01AI077674-01A1 (JHA) and the Lupus Research Institute (JHA).

References

1. Martin F, Chan AC. Pathogenic roles of B cells in human autoimmunity; insights from the clinic. *Immunity*. 2004; 20(5):517–27. [PubMed: 15142521]
2. Sobel E, Katagiri T, Katagiri K, Morris S, Cohen P, Eisenberg R. An intrinsic B cell defect is required for the production of autoantibodies in the *lpr* model of murine systemic autoimmunity. *J Exp Med*. 1991; 173:1441–9. [PubMed: 2033370]
3. Silverman G, Weisman S. Rituximab therapy and autoimmune disorders: prospects for anti-B cell therapy. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2003; 48:1484–92. [PubMed: 12794814]
4. Silverman GJ. Anti-CD20 therapy in systemic lupus erythematosus: a step closer to the clinic. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2005; 52(2):371–7. (comment) (erratum appears in *Arthritis Rheum*. 2005 Apr; 52(4):1342). [PubMed: 15692969]
5. Shlomchik MC, Craft JE, Mamula MJ. From T to B and back again: positive feedback in systemic autoimmune disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2001; 1:147–53. [PubMed: 11905822]
6. Looney RJ, Anolik JH, Campbell D, Felgar RE, Young F, Arend LJ, et al. B cell depletion as a novel treatment for systemic lupus erythematosus: a phase I/II dose-escalation trial of rituximab. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2004; 50(8):2580–9. [PubMed: 15334472]
7. Lu TYT, Ng KP, Cambridge G, Leandro MJ, Edwards JCW, Ehrenstein M, et al. A retrospective seven-year analysis of the use of B cell depletion therapy in systemic lupus erythematosus at University College London Hospital: the first fifty patients. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2009; 61(4):482–7. [PubMed: 19333973]
8. Saito K, Nawata M, Nakayamada S, Tokunaga M, Tsukada J, Tanaka Y. Successful treatment with anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody (rituximab) of life-threatening refractory systemic lupus erythematosus with renal and central nervous system involvement. *Lupus*. 2003; 12(10):798–800. [PubMed: 14596432]
9. van Vollenhoven RF, Gunnarsson I, Welin-Henriksson E, Sundelin B, Osterborg A, Jacobson SH, et al. Biopsy-verified response of severe lupus nephritis to treatment with rituximab (anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody) plus cyclophosphamide after biopsy-documented failure to respond to cyclophosphamide alone. *Scand J Rheumatol*. 2004; 33(6):423–7. [PubMed: 15794203]
10. Sfrikakis PP, Boletis JN, Lionaki S, Vigklis V, Fragiadaki KG, Iniotaki A, et al. Remission of proliferative lupus nephritis following B cell depletion therapy is preceded by down-regulation of the T cell costimulatory molecule CD40 ligand: an open-label trial (see comment). *Arthritis Rheum*. 2005; 52(2):501–13. [PubMed: 15693003]
11. Arbuckle MR, McClain MT, Rubertone MV, Scofield RH, Dennis GJ, James JA, et al. Development of autoantibodies before the clinical onset of systemic lupus erythematosus (see comment). *N Eng J Med*. 2003; 349(16):1526–33.

12. Cassese G, Lindenau S, de Boer B, Arce S, Hauser A, Riemekasten G, et al. Inflamed kidneys of NZB/W mice are a major site for the homeostasis of plasma cells. *Eur J Immunol.* 2001; 31(9): 2726–32. [PubMed: 11536171]
13. Ytterberg SR, Schnitzer TJ. Serum interferon levels in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1982; 25(4):401–6. [PubMed: 6176248]
14. Blanco P, Palucka AK, Gill M, Pascual V, Banchereau J. Induction of dendritic cell differentiation by IFN- α in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Science.* 2001; 294(5546):1540–3. [PubMed: 11711679]
15. Vallin H, Blomberg S, Alm GV, Cederblad B, Ronnblom L. Patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) have a circulating inducer of interferon- α (IFN- α) production acting on leucocytes resembling immature dendritic cells. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 1999; 115(1):196–202. [PubMed: 9933442]
16. Ronnblom L, Alm GV. An etiopathogenic role for the type I IFN system in SLE. *Trends Immunol.* 2001; 22(8):427–31. [PubMed: 11473831]
17. Ronnblom L, Alm GV. The natural interferon- α producing cells in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Hum Immunol.* 2002; 63(12):1181–93. [PubMed: 12480262]
18. Ronnblom L, Alm GV. Systemic lupus erythematosus and the type I interferon system. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2003; 5(2):68–75. [PubMed: 12718746]
19. Ronnblom L, Pascual V. The innate immune system in SLE: type I interferons and dendritic cells. *Lupus.* 2008; 17(5):394–9. [PubMed: 18490415]
20. Leadbetter EA, Rifkin IR, Hohlbaum AM, Beaudette BC, Shlomchik MJ, Marshak-Rothstein A. Chromatin-IgG complexes activate B cells by dual engagement of IgM and Toll-like receptors (see comment). *Nature.* 2002; 416(6881):603–7. [PubMed: 11948342]
21. Christensen SR, Shlomchik MJ. Regulation of lupus-related autoantibody production and clinical disease by Toll-like receptors. *Semin Immunol.* 2007; 19(1):11–23. [PubMed: 17276080]
22. Christensen SR, Shupe J, Nickerson K, Kashgarian M, Flavell RA, Shlomchik MJ. Toll-like receptor 7 and TLR9 dictate autoantibody specificity and have opposing inflammatory and regulatory roles in a murine model of lupus (see comment). *Immunity.* 2006; 25(3):417–28. [PubMed: 16973389]
23. Herlinds RA, Christensen SR, Sweet RA, Hershberg U, Shlomchik MJ. T cell-independent and toll-like receptor-dependent antigen-driven activation of autoreactive B cells. *Immunity.* 2008; 29(2):249–60. [PubMed: 18691914]
24. Sanz I, Wei C, Lee FE-H, Anolik J. Phenotypic and functional heterogeneity of human memory B cells. *Semin Immunol.* 2008; 20(1):67–82. [PubMed: 18258454]
25. Manjarrez-Orduno N, Quach TD, Sanz I. B cells and immuno-logical tolerance. *J Investig Dermatol.* 2009; 129(2):278–88. [PubMed: 19148217]
26. Chan O, Shlomchik MJ. A new role for B cells in systemic autoimmunity: B cells promote spontaneous T cell activation in MRL-lpr/lpr mice. *J Immunol.* 1998; 160(1):51–9. [PubMed: 9551955]
27. Chan OT, Hannum LG, Haberman AM, Madaio MP, Shlomchik MJ. A novel mouse with B cells but lacking serum antibody reveals an antibody-independent role for B cells in murine lupus. *J Exp Med.* 1999; 189(10):1639–48. [PubMed: 10330443]
28. Chan OT, Madaio MP, Shlomchik MJ. The central and multiple roles of B cells in lupus pathogenesis. *Immunol Rev.* 1999; 169:107–21. [PubMed: 10450512]
29. Matsushita T, Yanaba K, Bouaziz J-D, Fujimoto M, Tedder TF. Regulatory B cells inhibit EAE initiation in mice while other B cells promote disease progression (see comment). *J Clin Investig.* 2008; 118(10):3420–30. [PubMed: 18802481]
30. Lampropoulou V, Hoehlig K, Roch T, Neves P, Calderon Gomez E, Sweenie CH, et al. TLR-activated B cells suppress T cell-mediated autoimmunity. *J Immunol.* 2008; 180(7):4763–73. [PubMed: 18354200]
31. Kurosaki T. Paradox of B cell-targeted therapies (comment). *J Clin Investig.* 2008; 118(10):3260–3. [PubMed: 18802484]
32. Fillatreau S, Gray D, Anderton SM. Not always the bad guys: B cells as regulators of autoimmune pathology. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2008; 8:391–7. [PubMed: 18437156]

33. Evans JG, Chavez-Rueda KA, Eddaoudi A, Meyer-Bahlburg A, Rawlings DJ, Ehrenstein MR, et al. Novel suppressive function of transitional 2 B cells in experimental arthritis. *J Immunol.* 2007; 178(12):7868–78. [PubMed: 17548625]
34. Mizoguchi A, Mizoguchi E, Takedatsu H, Blumberg RS, Bhan AK. Chronic intestinal inflammatory condition generates IL-10-producing regulatory B cell subset characterized by CD1d upregulation. *Immunity.* 2002; 16(2):219–30. [PubMed: 11869683]
35. Yanaba K, Bouaziz J-D, Haas KM, Poe JC, Fujimoto M, Tedder TF. A regulatory B cell subset with a unique CD1dhiCD5+ phenotype controls T cell-dependent inflammatory responses. *Immunity.* 2008; 28(5):639–50. [PubMed: 18482568]
36. Clatworthy MR, Watson CJE, Plotnek G, Bardsley V, Chaudhry AN, Bradley JA, et al. B-cell-depleting induction therapy and acute cellular rejection. *N Eng J Med.* 2009; 360(25):2683–5.
37. Edwards JCW, Szczepanski L, Szechinski J, Filipowicz-Sosnowska A, Emery P, Close DR, et al. Efficacy of B-cell-targeted therapy with rituximab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (see comment). *N Eng J Med.* 2004; 350(25):2572–81.
38. Hauser SL, Waubant E, Arnold DL, Vollmer T, Antel J, Fox RJ, et al. B-cell depletion with rituximab in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (see comment). *N Eng J Med.* 2008; 358(7): 676–88.
39. Merrill J, Neuwelt C, Wallace D, Shanahan J, Latinis K, Oates J, et al. Efficacy and safety of rituximab in patients with moderately to severely active systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE): results from the Randomized, Double-blind Phase II/III Study EXPLORER American College of Rheumatology National Meeting. 2008 Abstract L12.
40. Ng K, Mason J, Rahman A, Isenberg D. Association of antinucleosome antibodies with disease flare in serologically active clinically quiescent patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2006; 55:900–4.
41. Anolik J, Looney R, Lund F, Randall T, Sanz I. Insights into the heterogeneity of human B cells: diverse functions, roles in autoimmunity, and use as therapeutic targets. *Immunol Res.* 2009 (Epub ahead of print April 7).
42. Furie R, Stohl W, Ginzler EM, Becker M, Mishra N, Chatham W, et al. Biologic activity and safety of belimumab, a neutralizing anti-B-lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS) monoclonal antibody: a phase I trial in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2008; 10(5):R109. [PubMed: 18786258]
43. Chatham W, Furie R, Petri M, Ginzler E, Wallace D, Stohl W, et al. Belimumab (fully human monoclonal antibody to BLyS) improved or stabilized systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) disease activity over 3 years of therapy American College of Rheumatology National Meeting. 2008 Abstract 1094.
44. Petri M. Novel Combined Response endpoint and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) flare index (SFI) demonstrate belimumab (fully human monoclonal antibody to BLyS) improves or stabilizes SLE disease Activity and reduces flare rate over 2.5 years of Therapy American College of Rheumatology National Meeting. 2007 Abstract 1316.
45. Furie R, Lisse J, Merrill J, Petri M, Ginzler E, Aranow C, et al. Belimumab (fully human monoclonal antibody to B-Lymphocyte stimulator [BLyS]) improves or stabilizes SLE activity in a multicenter phase 2 trial. American College of Rheumatology National Meeting. 2006 Abstract 535.
46. Dörner T, Kaufmann J, Wegener WA, Teoh N, Goldenberg DM, Burmester GR. Initial clinical trial of epratuzumab. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2006; 8(3):R74. (humanized anti-CD22 antibody) for immunotherapy of systemic lupus erythematosus (see comment) (erratum appears in *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2008;10(5):406). [PubMed: 16630358]
47. Jacobi AM, Goldenberg DM, Hiepe F, Radbruch A, Burmester GR, Dörner T. Differential effects of epratuzumab on peripheral blood B cells of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus versus normal controls. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2008; 67(4):450–7. [PubMed: 17673490]
48. Petri M, Hobbs K, Gordon C, Strand V, Wallace D, Kelley L, et al. Clinically meaningful improvements with epratuzumab (Anti-CD22 mAb targeting B-cells) in patients (Pts) with moderate/severe SLE flares: results from 2 Randomized Controlled Trials American College of Rheumatology National Meeting. 2008 Abstract 1087.

49. Munafo A, Priestley A, Nestorov I, Visich J, Rogge M. Safety, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of atacicept in healthy volunteers. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2007; 63(7):647–56. [PubMed: 17473917]
50. Tak PP, Thurlings RM, Rossier C, Nestorov I, Dimic A, Mircetic V, et al. Atacicept in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results of a multicenter, phase Ib, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalating, single- and repeated-dose study. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008; 58(1):61–72. [PubMed: 18163485]
51. Dall'Era M, Chakravarty E, Wallace D, Genovese M, Weisman M, Kavanaugh A, et al. Reduced B lymphocyte and immuno-globulin levels after atacicept treatment in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: results of a multicenter, phase Ib, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalating trial. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007; 56(12):4142–50. [PubMed: 18050206]
52. Yazawa N, Hamaguchi Y, Poe JC, Tedder TF. Immunotherapy using unconjugated CD19 monoclonal antibodies in animal models for B lymphocyte malignancies and autoimmune disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2005; 102(42):15178–83. [PubMed: 16217038]
53. Neubert K, Meister S, Moser K, Weisel F, Maseda D, Amann K, et al. The proteasome inhibitor bortezomib depletes plasma cells and protects mice with lupus-like disease from nephritis. *Nat Med.* 2008; 14(7):748–55. [PubMed: 18542049]
54. Badros A, Goloubeva O, Dalal J, Can I, Thompson J, Rapoport A, et al. Neurotoxicity of bortezomib therapy in multiple myeloma: a single-center experience and review of the literature. *Cancer.* 2007; 110:1042–8. [PubMed: 17654660]
55. Anolik JH, Barnard J, Cappione A, Pugh-Bernard AE, Felgar RE, Looney RJ, et al. Rituximab improves peripheral B cell abnormalities in human systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2004; 50(11):3580–90. [PubMed: 15529346]
56. Anolik JH, Barnard J, Owen T, Zheng B, Kemsheiti S, Looney RJ, et al. Delayed memory B cell recovery in peripheral blood and lymphoid tissue in systemic lupus erythematosus after B cell depletion therapy. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007; 56(9):3044–56. [PubMed: 17763423]
57. Roll P, Dorner T, Tony H-P. Anti-CD20 therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: predictors of response and B cell subset regeneration after repeated treatment. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008; 58(6):1566–75. [PubMed: 18512772]
58. Roll P, Palanichamy A, Kneitz C, Dorner T, Tony H-P. Regeneration of B cell subsets after transient B cell depletion using anti-CD20 antibodies in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2006; 54(8):2377–86. [PubMed: 16869000]
59. Leandro MJ, Cambridge G, Ehrenstein MR, Edwards JCW. Reconstitution of peripheral blood B cells after depletion with rituximab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (see comment). *Arthritis Rheum.* 2006; 54(2):613–20. [PubMed: 16447239]
60. Palanichamy A, Barnard J, Zheng B, Owen T, Quach T, Wei C, et al. Novel human transitional B cell populations revealed by B cell depletion therapy. *Journal Immunol.* 2009; 182(10):5982–93. [PubMed: 19414749]
61. Duddy M, Niino M, Adatia F, Hebert S, Freedman M, Atkins H, et al. Distinct effector cytokine profiles of memory and naive human B cell subsets and implication in multiple sclerosis. *J Immunol.* 2007; 178(10):6092–9. [PubMed: 17475834]
62. Duddy ME, Alter A, Bar-Or A. Distinct profiles of human B cell effector cytokines: a role in immune regulation? *J Immunol.* 2004; 172(6):3422–7. [PubMed: 15004141]
63. Rieger A, Bar-Or A. B-cell-derived interleukin-10 in autoimmune disease: regulating the regulators (comment). *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2008; 8(6):486–7. [PubMed: 18500232]
64. Gong Q, Ou Q, Ye S, Lee WP, Cornelius J, Diehl L, et al. Importance of cellular microenvironment and circulatory dynamics in B cell immunotherapy. *J Immunol.* 2005; 174(2):817–26. [PubMed: 15634903]
65. Genovese M, Kaine J, Lowenstein M, Del Giudice J, Baldassare A, Schechtman J, et al. Ocrelizumab, a humanized anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody, in the treatment of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008; 58:2652–61. [PubMed: 18759293]
66. Cambridge G, Isenberg DA, Edwards JCW, Leandro MJ, Migone TS, Teodorescu M, et al. B cell depletion therapy in systemic lupus erythematosus: relationships among serum B lymphocyte

- stimulator levels, autoantibody profile and clinical response. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2008; 67(7):1011–6. [PubMed: 17962238]
67. Agenes F, Rosado MM, Freitas AA. Independent homeostatic regulation of B cell compartments. *Eur J Immunol.* 1997; 27(7):1801–7. [PubMed: 9247595]
 68. Agenes F, Freitas AA. Transfer of small resting B cells into immunodeficient hosts results in the selection of a self-renewing activated B cell population. *J Exp Med.* 1999; 189(2):319–30. [PubMed: 9892614]
 69. Gaudin E, Rosado M, Agenes F, McLean A, Freitas AA. B-cell homeostasis, competition, resources, and positive selection by self-antigens. *Immunol Rev.* 2004; 197:102–15. [PubMed: 14962190]
 70. Cappione A, Pugh A, Anolik J, Sanz I. Lymphocytotoxic auto-antibodies in SLE target a CD45 glycoform preferentially expressed in naive B cells. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2001; 44:S74.
 71. Lin Q, Dong C, Cooper MD. Impairment of T and B cell development by treatment with a type I interferon. *J Exp Med.* 1998; 187(1):79–87. [PubMed: 9419213]
 72. Barnard J, Palanichamy A, Bauer J, Koeuth T, Baechler E, Anolik J. Interferon activation in human SLE bone marrow inhibits b cell lymphopoiesis American College of Rheumatology National Meeting. 2008 Abstract 464.
 73. Anolik JH, Ravikumar R, Barnard J, Owen T, Almudevar A, Milner ECB, et al. Cutting edge: anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy in rheumatoid arthritis inhibits memory B lymphocytes via effects on lymphoid germinal centers and follicular dendritic cell networks. *J Immunol.* 2008; 180(2): 688–92. [PubMed: 18178805]
 74. Jacobi A, Huang W, Wang T, Freimuth W, Sanz I, Aranow C, et al. Effects of chronic selective baffle blockade on human B cells in SLE American College of Rheumatology National Meeting. 2008 Abstract 1080.
 75. Sabahi R, Owen T, Barnard J, Cushing E, Looney R, Sanz I, et al. Immunologic effects of BAFF antagonism in the treatment of human SLE American College of Rheumatology National Meeting. 2007 Abstract 1424.
 76. Manz RA, Thiel A, Radbruch A. Lifetime of plasma cells in the bone marrow. *Nature.* 1997; 388(6638):133–4. [PubMed: 9217150]
 77. Manz RA, Hauser AE, Hiepe F, Radbruch A. Maintenance of serum antibody levels. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2005; 23:367–86. [PubMed: 15771575]
 78. Cassese G, Arce S, Hauser AE, Lehnert K, Moewes B, Mostarac M, et al. Plasma cell survival is mediated by synergistic effects of cytokines and adhesion-dependent signals. *J Immunol.* 2003; 171(4):1684–90. [PubMed: 12902466]
 79. Hofer T, Muehlinghaus G, Moser K, Yoshida T, E Mei H, Hebel K, et al. Adaptation of humoral memory. *Immunol Rev.* 2006; 211:295–302. [PubMed: 16824136]
 80. Hoyer B, Moser K, Hauser A, Peddinghaus A, Voigt C, Eilat D, et al. Short-lived plasmablasts and long-lived plasma cells contribute to chronic humoral autoimmunity in NZB/W mice. *J Exp Med.* 2004; 199:1577. [PubMed: 15173206]
 81. Mei H, Yoshida T, Sime W, Hiepe F, Thiele K, Manz R, et al. Blood-borne human plasma cells in steady state are derived from mucosal immune responses. *Blood.* 2009; 113:2461–9. [PubMed: 18987362]
 82. Illei G, Austin H, Crane M, Collins L, Gourley M, Yarboro C, et al. Combination therapy with pulse cyclophosphamide plus pulse methylprednisolone improves long-term renal outcome without adding toxicity in patients with lupus nephritis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001; 135:246–57.
 83. Contreras G, Pardo V, Leclercq B, Lenz O, Tozman E, O’Nan P, et al. Sequential therapies for proliferative lupus nephritis. *N Eng J Med.* 2004; 350:971–80.
 84. Gourley M, Austin H, Scott D, Yarboro C, Vaughan E, Muir J, et al. Methylprednisolone and cyclophosphamide, alone or in combination, in patients with lupus nephritis. *Ann Intern Med.* 1996; 125:549–57. [PubMed: 8815753]
 85. Illei GG, Takada K, Parkin D, Austin HA, Crane M, Yarboro CH, et al. Renal flares are common in patients with severe proliferative lupus nephritis treated with pulse immunosuppressive therapy: long-term followup of a cohort of 145 patients participating in randomized controlled studies. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2002; 46(4):995–1002. [PubMed: 11953977]

86. Houssiau FA, Vasconcelos C, D'Cruz D, Sebastiani GD, de Ramon Garrido E, Danieli MG, et al. Early response to immunosuppressive therapy predicts good renal outcome in lupus nephritis: lessons from long-term followup of patients in the Euro-Lupus Nephritis Trial. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2004; 50(12):3934–40. [PubMed: 15593207]
87. Houssiau FA, Vasconcelos C, D'Cruz D, Sebastiani GD, EdR Garrido Ed, Danieli MG, et al. Immunosuppressive therapy in lupus nephritis: the Euro-Lupus Nephritis Trial, a randomized trial of low-dose versus high-dose intravenous cyclophosphamide (see comment). *Arthritis Rheum.* 2002; 46(8):2121–31. [PubMed: 12209517]
88. Toubi E, Kessel A, Rosner I, Rozenbaum M, Lorber M, Paran D, et al. Quinacrine added to ongoing therapeutic regimens attenuates anticardiolipin antibody production in SLE. *Lupus.* 2003; 12(4):297–301. [PubMed: 12729053]
89. Costedoat-Chalumeau N, Amoura Z, Hulot J-S, Hammoud HA, Aymard G, Cacoub P, et al. Low-blood concentration of hydroxychloroquine is a marker for and predictor of disease exacerbations in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (see comment). *Arthritis Rheum.* 2006; 54(10):3284–90. [PubMed: 17009263]
90. Fessler BJ, Alarcon GS, McGwin G Jr, Roseman J, Bastian HM, Friedman AW, et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus in three ethnic groups: XVI. Association of hydroxychloroquine use with reduced risk of damage accrual. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2005; 52(5):1473–80. [PubMed: 15880829]
91. Kasitanon N, Fine DM, Haas M, Magder LS, Petri M. Hydroxy-chloroquine use predicts complete renal remission within 12 months among patients treated with mycophenolate mofetil therapy for membranous lupus nephritis. *Lupus.* 2006; 15(6):366–70. [PubMed: 16830883]
92. Barrat FJ, Coffman RL. Development of TLR inhibitors for the treatment of autoimmune diseases. *Immunol Rev.* 2008; 223:271–83. [PubMed: 18613842]
93. Huang W, Sinha J, Newman J, Reddy B, Budhai L, Furie R, et al. The effect of anti-CD40 ligand antibody on B cells in human systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2002; 46(6):1554–62. [PubMed: 12115186]

Fig. 1.

Plasma-cell biology. Plasmablasts are generated in secondary lymphoid tissues where they differentiate into plasma cells or migrate into peripheral blood and home to various tissues based on their adhesion molecules and chemokine receptors. Bone marrow plasma cells tend to be long lived, whereas plasma cells in secondary lymphoid tissue tend to be short lived. Plasma cells that home to sites of inflammation are often dependant on continued inflammation to provide an appropriate microenvironment

Fig. 2.

Naïve and transitional vs. memory B cells. Activated naïve B cells secrete interleukin-10 (IL-10) or differentiate into plasma cells that produce natural autoantibodies. Both IL-10 and natural autoantibodies can suppress autoimmunity. In contrast, activated memory B cells secrete lymphotoxin and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) or differentiate into plasma cells producing pathogenic autoantibodies