Published in final edited form as:

Clin Investig (Lond). 2013 November ; 3(11): 1057–1069. doi:10.4155/cli.13.97.

Novel treatment options for ulcerative colitis

Byron P Vaughn and Alan C Moss*

Center for Inflammatory Bowel Disease, Rose, 1/East, 330 Brookline Avenue, Boston, MA, 02215, USA

Abstract

The approved treatment options for patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) are currently limited to mesalamine or immunosuppressants. Patients who do not respond to mesalamine-based therapy can be treated with immunomodulators or anti-TNF antibody therapy. Failure or adverse reactions to these medications leaves the patient with little choice other than colectomy. However, novel insights into the pathogenic drivers of UC have led to new developments in drugs that promise clinical efficacy via modulation of targeted pathways. Given the impending expansion of therapeutic options for patients with UC, clinicians and researchers should be familiar with these mechanisms of action. In addition, the typical ‘step-up’ treatment paradigm for UC will likely need to be reshaped to allow for a more personalized approach to treating UC.

Keywords

anti-integrins; chemokine inhibitors; clinical drug trials; inflammatory bowel disease therapy; novel therapies; ulcerative colitis

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is an umbrella term that encompasses chronic, idiopathic inflammatory conditions of the small bowel and colon. While the etiology of IBD is unknown, it is presumed to be the result of a complex interaction of intestinal flora, mucosal immune response, environmental factors and genetic makeup [1,2]. The predominant IBDs are Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis (UC). UC is distinct from Crohn’s disease in that the inflammation is isolated to the colon, and typically restricted to the mucosal layer in a uniform fashion starting at the anorectal verge and extending proximally [3,4]. Extra-intestinal manifestations of IBD can occur in UC including erythema nodosum, pyoderma gangrenosum, uveitis, arthritis and primary sclerosing cholangitis. The natural history of UC is episodes of flares interspersed with periods of quiescence. During a flare, the goal of therapy is to induce clinical and endoscopic remission, followed by continuation of therapy to maintain remission. The hallmark of a UC flare is bloody diarrhea, often associated with tenesmus and urgency. Initial therapy is dependent on severity and extent of disease. Moderate disease is characterized by more than four stools in a day with minimal signs of toxicity, while severe is more than six bloody stools a day with signs of toxicity

© 2013 Future Science Ltd

*Author for correspondence: Tel.: +1 617 667 3197, Fax: +1 617 667 1171, amoss@bidmc.harvard.edu.

Financial & competing interests disclosure

AC Moss has served on the advisory board Janssen, Abbott and Pfizer. AC Moss is supported by NIH grant K23DK084338, BPV is supported by the NIH training grant 5T32DK007760–14. The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

For reprint orders, please contact reprints@future-science.com

(tachycardia, fever, anemia or elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate) [5,6]. For mild-to-moderate disease, 5-aminosalicylic medications, either oral or topical, are the mainstay of treatment. If needed, topical steroids can be added. Occasionally, mild-to-moderate disease will require oral corticosteroids to induce remission [3,6].

Patients with severe colitis or patients who do not respond to, or are intolerant of, treatment for mild-to-moderate colitis will require escalation of therapy. In the case of severe colitis, patients require hospitalization for intravenous steroid management. If there is a response to intravenous steroids, conversion to oral steroids with initiation of an immunomodulator as a steroid-sparing agent is a reasonable course. If patients do not improve with intravenous corticosteroids then salvage therapy with cyclosporine or infliximab are the only medical therapies available with apparent similar short-term efficacy [7]. If salvage therapy is unsuccessful, colectomy is the remaining step. While steroids are an effective anti-inflammatory agent, their side-effect profile remains high, especially in the long term [8,9]. Thus, while often used for moderate and severe disease, steroid-sparing therapies are needed.

The natural history of UC is difficult to predict at onset. Examining all patients with UC, the estimated 10-year colectomy rate is approximately 10%, however it is significantly higher in patients with extensive disease or who require steroids [10–14]. In such patients, early and aggressive therapy could alter the natural history of the disease, although evidence to support this in UC is lacking. Ideally, early intervention would lead to mucosal healing, which would lead to a decrease in the frequency of flares as well as potentially a lower risk of colorectal cancer [15–17]. This review will focus on some novel and emerging therapies from the perspective of their pharmacological targets in the UC inflammatory cascade (Table 1). This review is not exhaustive, but focuses on a number of prevalent targets.

Pharmacological targets in UC

UC develops due to an unchecked inflammatory response to antigenic triggers in genetically susceptible individuals. The etiology is unknown, but the inflammatory response is characterized as an atypical type-2 helper T (Th2) cell response. Th2 inflammatory responses are characterized by production of TGF- β and IL-5, but not IL-4 [18–20]. In addition, cytokines such as TNF and IL-1 induce the expression of adhesion molecules on the endothelium of the intestinal vasculature to attract additional lymphocytes to the sites of inflammation. A relative overexpression of Type-17 helper T (Th17) cells compared with T regulatory (Treg) cells has also been implicated in IBD [2]. Th17 cells secrete IL-17, which may be an instrumental pathway in the development of intestinal inflammation [4,21,22]. Recently it has been noted that Th17 cells may act differently in Crohn's disease versus UC, suggesting that therapies that do not work in Crohn's disease may work in UC [23]. A summary of key targets in IBD is shown in Figure 1.

Anti-TNF antibodies

TNF is a proinflammatory cytokine produced predominantly by macrophages and monocytes, although to some degree it is produced by neutrophils, macrophages and fibroblasts. It can be released by almost any type of cellular stress including endotoxins, proinflammatory cytokines, various antigens and even osmotic stress [24]. Early studies in children showed significant elevation of TNF levels in relapsed UC compared with remission [25]. Immunohistochemistry of surgical specimens from patients with UC revealed an abundance of high-density TNF producing cells in the lamina propria; this finding correlated well with elevated stool TNF found during relapse of colitis [26,27]. Beyond just a marker of active disease, TNF was soon identified as having a role in producing chronic inflammation in the intestine [28,29]. TNF can affect cells as a

transcription factor to induce the production of other proinflammatory cytokines, as well as act on the tissue level, increasing the production of endothelial adhesion molecules to recruit inflammatory cells [24].

A chimeric mouse–human monoclonal IgG1 antibody to TNF was developed and led to the approval of infliximab (Remicade®, Janssen Pharmaceutica, [PA, USA]) for UC in 2005 [30]. Two randomized controlled trials demonstrated the efficacy of infliximab for the induction and maintenance of remission in UC [31]. Notably, patients treated with infliximab (5 and 10 mg/kg) in these trials had a lower cumulative incidence of colectomy (10%) when compared with patients treated with placebo (17%), although this was not statistically significant [32]. In 2012, the US FDA approved the fully human anti-TNF antibody adalimumab (Humira®, AbbVie Inc. [IL, USA]) for the treatment of UC. It was shown to be effective in induction and remission of UC with a short and long term remission rate of approximately 20%, with a similar adverse events profile to placebo [33,34]. A small portion (~10%) of patients who had previously been exposed to infliximab were able to have a sustained response to adalimumab at 52 weeks [34]. Unfortunately, over time, infliximab loses efficacy with approximately half of patients losing effect at 30 weeks, requiring a change to another anti-TNF, a different medication or prompting surgery [31]. Most recently, in 2013, another fully humanized IgG1 anti-TNF antibody, golimumab (Simponi®, Janssen Biotech, [PA, USA]), was FDA approved for treatment of patients with moderate-to-severe UC. In the PURSUIT-SC study, rates of clinical response were higher in patients who received the high dose of golimumab compared with placebo (55 vs 30%, respectively) at 6 weeks [35,36].

In general, the anti-TNF medications as a class are well tolerated. Side effects include infusion reactions with infliximab and injection reactions with adalimumab and golimumab [37]. One concerning class effect from anti-TNFs are infections, especially the reactivation of tuberculosis or hepatitis B, thus all patients need to be tested for latent tuberculosis and assessed for hepatitis B status prior to anti-TNF therapy [38,39]. Aside from tuberculosis, infections in general are increased with anti-TNFs [40]. There may be an increase in lymphoma and skin cancer with anti-TNFs, although the absolute magnitude of the increased risk would be small [41]. There is a risk of exacerbation of congestive heart failure with anti-TNFs as a class as well. Specifically with golimumab, adverse events occur in similar frequencies to other anti-TNFs, although it has been suggested that injection site reactions are less than other injectable anti-TNFs [42].

Anti-integrin antibodies

In order for chronic inflammation to occur, inflamed tissue must ‘call for reinforcements’ from the blood to perpetuate the inflammatory process. Noninflamed endothelium acts as a barrier to leukocytes, preventing migration from the blood into the underlying tissue. However, when activated via a cascade of cytokines, tissue inflammation results in a change of the endothelium to allow leukocytes to adhere to and transmigrate through the endothelium. Specifically, in response to a proinflammatory signal, the endothelium will up-regulate selectins, VCAM-1, ICAM-1 along with other various adhesion molecules [43]. Pro-inflammatory cytokines released in the tissue such as IL-1 and TNF increase a cell’s surface adhesion molecules. Increased activity of the NF- κ B also results in increased expression of endothelial cell surface adhesions.

Integrins are a family of cell surface adhesion molecules that are responsible for cell–cell interactions, cell–pathogen interactions and cell–extracellular matrix (e.g., fibrin) interactions. They represent a key target for the movement of inflammatory cells into the tissue [44]. Each integrin is a heterodimer with an α and β subunit. Vertebrates have 18

different α subunits and eight different β subunits allowing at least 24 different heterodimeric combinations. For the most part, each subunit consists of a large extracellular domain, a transcellular domain and a small cytoplasmic tail [45]. Integrins are expressed constitutively on leukocytes and bind to MAdCAM-1 and VCAM-1 expressed on endothelial cells to facilitate rolling and adhesion and eventually migration [44]. The $\alpha 4$ subunit is particularly important as it is preferentially expressed on lymphocytes and monocytes. The $\beta 7$ subunit is important in homing leukocytes to the gut. As such, the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ heterodimer seems to be necessary for the migration of leukocytes into the gut epithelium. Activated or naive lymphocytes expressing $\alpha 4\beta 7$ will bind to MAdCAM-1 on the endothelium and preferentially home to the intestine [46,47]. Additional support for the gut-specificity is that the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin is expressed by >95% of intestinal epithelial lymphocytes and <2% of circulating lymphocytes [48]. Thus, while the $\alpha 4$ subunit is essential for lymphocyte migration through the endothelium, the $\beta 7$ subunit is a key regulator in homing of lymphocytes to the intestine.

Vedolizumab

Vedolizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that is specific for the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ heterodimer. It is distinct from prior integrin inhibitors (e.g., natalizumab) that were specific only to the $\alpha 4$ subunit. In theory, this allows intestinal specific inhibition of leukocyte migration, while not affecting leukocyte migration to other organs. In 2005 Feagan *et al.* reported the results of a randomized trial of vedolizumab (MLN02) versus placebo in patients with UC. While the study duration was short, there was a significant increase with patients achieving clinical remission at 6 weeks versus placebo (33 vs 14%; $p = 0.03$) [49]. An interesting component of this study was the observation that in patients who received the drug, over 90% of the circulating $CD4^+CD45RO^+$ T cells had saturation of $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin at 6 weeks. The level of saturation also correlated with antibody formation and clinical response. Further study into the mechanism of vedolizumab led to two interesting observations. First, while vedolizumab inhibited $\alpha 4\beta 7$ binding to MAdCAM-1 and fibronectin, it did not inhibit binding to VCAM-1, which typically binds to $\alpha 4\beta 1$ [50]. Thus there does not appear to be significant crossover inhibition of other integrins, reinforcing the gut-selectivity of vedolizumab inhibition. In addition, it was noted that type of T cell inhibited by vedolizumab were $CD4^+$ memory cells that were specifically thought to be pathogenic in IBD, as well as a subset of Th17 cells that express $\alpha 4\beta 7$ [50,51]. Th17 cells are postulated to contribute significantly to many autoimmune diseases including IBD [21,52]. Thus by inhibiting the binding of T cells that express high levels of $\alpha 4\beta 7$, vedolizumab seems to inhibit both memory and effector T cells from migrating to the intestine while allowing nonpathogenic immune cells to continue their path to the intestine.

Recently a Phase II trial was published for the treatment of active UC with vedolizumab [53]. Initial trials of vedolizumab in UC and Crohn's disease resulted in high levels of antibody formation (44%), which resulted in less drug binding to T cells and decreased clinical response [49,54]. Thus a new formulation of vedolizumab was undertaken using a Chinese hamster ovary cell based system (instead of a mouse myeloma cell line). This resulted in similar *in vitro* activity as the prior formulation; however, clinically, there was less antibody formation (11%) [53]. Recently the results of a large Phase III trial, the GEMINI trial, were released. This large trial examined patients with active UC and found that more patients were in clinical remission at week 6 compared with placebo (17 vs 5.4% respectively; $p = 0.001$) and at 1 year (45 vs 16% respectively; $p < 0.001$) [55]. Overall, the side effect profile was similar to placebo. However, in a parallel trial of vedolizumab for Crohn's disease, there were more serious adverse events in the vedolizumab arm including more serious infections, one case each of latent tuberculosis, carcinoid tumor and squamous and basal cell carcinoma [56]. The authors note that as of February 2013, approximately

3000 patients had been exposed to vedolizumab and there have been no reported cases of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. Despite these recent large Phase III trials, determining adverse events related to vedolizumab will require more patients and longer follow-up time to accurately quantify the risk of infection and malignancy.

Etrolizumab

Etrolizumab (rhuMAb $\beta 7$, or RG7413) is a humanized monoclonal antibody specific for the $\beta 7$ integrin heterodimer [1,4]. As stated above, the $\beta 7$ dimer provides the specificity to the gut, as blockade of $\alpha 4$ alone will result in inhibition of leukocytes to numerous tissues. There are only two integrins that utilize the $\beta 7$ subunit: $\alpha 4\beta 7$ and $\alpha E\beta 7$. $\alpha E\beta 7$ T lymphocytes are abundant in the gut; however, they are also present in other mucosal epithelial surfaces, such as the lungs. Animal studies indicate that blockade of $\beta 7$ predominantly inhibit lymphocyte tracking into the gut and do not inhibit homing of nonmucosal tissue [3,6]. In contrast to $\alpha 4\beta 7$, which is responsible for homing of lymphocytes to the gut, αE seems to be expressed after the lymphocyte is in the tissue and thus acts to retain lymphocytes in the intestine [5,6]. In addition, $\alpha E\beta 7$ binds to E-cadherin on endothelial cells as opposed to $\alpha 4\beta 7$, which binds MAdCAM-1. Inhibition of the $\beta 7$ heterodimer inhibits both the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ and $\alpha E\beta 7$ integrin function. It is unclear if this is useful; on one hand there is potentially more inhibition of T cells in the intestine, while on the other hand it may prevent T cell migration to other tissues resulting in infections or reduced tumor surveillance. Interestingly, animal models suggest there is no effect on $\beta 7$ inhibition in mouse models of encephalitis [3,9]. More human data will be needed to determine the effect on the CNS and other organs.

A Phase I randomized trial of etrolizumab versus placebo demonstrated that the drug was, overall, well tolerated. The pharmacokinetics of the drug was noted to be similar to typical human IgG1 monoclonal antibodies. While this was a Phase I trial, there was some indication that the drug had clinical efficacy with a trend towards clinical improvement compared with placebo [8]. Indeed, in a subset of patients treated monthly, 67% had a clinical improvement at 10 weeks and 20% were in remission. There are currently two Phase II trials underway to further assess the clinical effect in UC (Table 1). In the Phase I trial, there was a slightly higher rate of adverse events in the treatment arm; however, the majority of adverse events were mild [8]. Obtaining an accurate risk profile for this drug will likely require large Phase III trials to see a significant signal in any one adverse event.

PF-00547,659

As previously mentioned, $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrins bind to MAdCAM. MAdCAM is expressed on vascular endothelium in the intestinal lamina propria. In animals, blocking MAdCAM has been shown to decrease the number of lymphocytes entering the colon as well as decrease the severity of colitis [11,13,16,17]. Importantly, blocking MAdCAM seems to have minimal impact on VCAM, which is involved in leukocyte trafficking to other organs. PF-00547,659 is a fully humanized IgG2 monoclonal antibody to MAdCAM. While inhibiting leukocyte migration, it differs from natalizumab and vedolizumab in that it is blocking the endothelial cell receptor and not the integrin. The potential advantage of this is selective blockage of leukocyte migration to the gut. Specifically, MAd-CAM does not seem to be expressed in the CNS and thus there should be no inhibition of leukocyte movement to the CNS [10,19]. In 2011, the first-in-human trial of PF00547,659 was undertaken. This small study did not show any serious adverse events related to the drug [2,15]. The trial was not powered to detect clinical end points; however, there was a trend towards clinical improvement and improved fecal calprotectin, both at week 4. Two Phase II trials are currently underway [201,202].

Chemokine inhibitors

Chemokines, a subset of cytokines, are a group of small polypeptides that are involved in trafficking of lymphocytes from the blood to areas of inflammation. Approximately 40 different chemokines have been described in humans acting on neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes and eosinophils [4,18,20–22]. There are two main subfamilies of chemokines, CXC and CC, which are defined by the arrangement of the N-terminal cysteine residue [57]. Chemokine receptor 9 (CCR9) is a chemokine receptor that is induced through dendritic cell activation of a T cell or other proinflammatory signal. Its role is in homing of lymphocytes to the gut. Specifically, CCR9 binds solely to CCL25, which is expressed in the small intestine (and thymus), although not in the noninflamed cecum or colon [58]. CCL25 is expressed at higher concentrations in the proximal intestine compared with distal and is significantly upregulated in inflamed tissue. CCR9 regulation is not specific to T cells but also includes dendritic cells and plasma cells. Specifically, plasmacytic dendritic cells express CCR9 and may play a role in the pathogenesis of IBD via increased secretion of TNF- α [59]. While CCL25 is expressed in the small intestine, CXCL10 (also known as IFN- γ -inducible peptide [IP10]) is expressed in the colon and is a receptor for CXCR3⁺ immune cells [47]. More so, IP-10 has been shown to be expressed at high levels in colonic tissue from patients with active UC [51]. In fact, mice in response to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug injury, or in IL10^{-/-} mice, anti-IP-10 antibody decreases naive T-cell priming and blocks Th1 cell recruitment to the colon [52,53]. It has also been reported that this pathway is significant in modulating Th17 inflammatory cell recruitment [54]. Another difference from CCR9 is the binding specificity of its ligand. CCR9–CCL25 is unique in that it is a nonpromiscuous chemokine receptor pair, whereas IP-10 modulates effects that are unrelated to CXCR3 binding [50].

Traficet

Traficet-EN (CCX282) is the first of a new class of drugs targeted to CCR9. Specifically it is a chemokine that acts as an antagonist to CCR9 [60]. It has shown promise in the treatment of Crohn's disease in a Phase II study with a significant reduction in both Crohn's disease activity index and Crohn's disease endoscopic index of severity versus placebo at 12 weeks and additionally maintenance of remission at 36 weeks [61,62]. As stated above, CCR9 binds to CCL25, which is expressed in the small intestine and not the colon. However, murine models of acute DSS colitis, which mimics UC in mice, have shown a benefit with interference of the CCR9–CCL25 pathway. The role may be related to dendritic cell and peritoneal macrophage trafficking to inflamed areas of the colon [63]. Thus a Phase II trial of Traficet is currently underway for patients with active UC [203]. Thus far, there is not enough information to comment on any specific adverse events related to this drug.

BMS-936557/anti-IP-10 antibody

BMS-936557 (previously MDX-1100) is a fully human anti-IP-10 antibody. Blockade of IP-10 results in inhibition of IP-10-dependent chemotaxis of activated T cells to the target tissue. BMS-936557 is specific for the IP-10–CXCR3 interaction and does not interfere with other receptor interactions for CXCR3 such as CXCL9 and CXCL11 which are involved in trafficking of lymphocytes to other organs [64]. A Phase II randomized trial was recently completed for BMS-936557 in which the pre-specified primary and secondary end points of clinical response and clinical remission at day 57 were not met [65]. The trial was underpowered to detect a statistical difference in the primary outcome. When the data were re-examined in a *post hoc* analysis, the study drug had a significantly higher rate of clinical response versus placebo [65]. In addition, there was histologic improvement in patients with elevated trough levels of BMS-936557 even if there was no significant improvement in clinical scores. In this particular study there was an increase in the number of adverse

events, including significant adverse events and serious infections, in the study drug arm. However, prior studies in rheumatoid arthritis have not detected any significant difference in adverse events with BMS-936557 compared with placebo [66]. Thus, this pathway is promising, but more information is needed regarding efficacy and safety. A second Phase II trial for induction and maintenance is currently underway for patients with moderate-to-severe UC [204].

Anti-IL-13 antibodies

Natural Killer (NK) T cells are a subset of T cells that have a controversial role in the pathogenesis of IBD. Mouse models have demonstrated some conflicting data regarding a protective or pathogenic role for NK cells, which ultimately may be related to pleiotropic effects of NK cells [67]. In humans, an increase in NK cells has been found in the lamina propria of patients with UC. These NK cells were shown to produce high levels of IL-13 when simulated in culture [68]. In oxalazone induced colitis, NK cells have been shown to play a role in the inflammatory response. Specifically, after an initial IL-4 increase (typical of a Th2 response), there is a large increase in IL-13. This excess of IL-13 is produced from NK T cells in the lamina propria [69]. Inhibition of IL-13, as well as depleting mice of NK T cells, attenuates colitis in this model [70,71]. IL-13 is a potent stimulator of B cells to secrete IgE. It is also involved in the chemotaxis of eosinophils and other immune responses to environmental antigens in other organs such as the lungs [72].

Anrukinzumab

Anrukinzumab is a fully humanized IgG1 antibody to IL-13. In April 2013 a Phase II, randomized, placebo-controlled trial evaluating three doses of anrukinzumab was completed in patients with active UC [205]. The primary outcome of the trial is change in baseline fecal calprotectin level at week 14. At the time of this review's preparation, results had not yet been published.

Tralokinumab

Tralokinumab is a fully humanized IgG4 antibody to IL-13 is currently in a Phase II clinical trial. This trial is examining tralokinumab versus placebo as an adjunct therapy to a current, stable medical regimen (5-aminosalicylic, low dose steroids or purine analogs) for patients with moderate-to-severe UC [206]. The primary outcome is clinical response at 8 weeks. The trial was completed in June 2013 and the results have not been published at the time of this writing.

Other novel immunomodulators

Vidofludimus

Vidofludimus (4SC-101, SC12267) is a novel oral treatment for inflammatory diseases. It is a small molecule that inhibits dihydroorotate dehydrogenase. Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase is a key step in the *de novo* synthesis of pyrimidines. Lymphocytes are distinct in that they rely on *de novo* synthesis of pyrimidines and do not utilize salvage pathways. Vidofludimus has been shown to decrease activated lymphocyte proliferation, decrease IL-17 release and attenuate DSS colitis in mice [73]. Interestingly, it seems to selectively inhibit IL-17 production without an effect on TNF, IL-1 or IL-6.

Clinically, vidofludimus has been tested in a mix of Crohn's disease and UC patients unable to wean off steroids in the ENTRANCE study. The ENTRANCE study was a Phase IIa, prospective open-label cohort of patients in remission on steroids. The investigators found that for UC, a total of 91.7% of patients were able to decrease their steroid dose and remain

in remission, and 50% were in steroid-free remission at 12 weeks [74]. The drug has lost some momentum as a Phase IIb trial for rheumatoid arthritis failed to reach a significant improvement in the primary end point [75]. At the time of writing, no further trials in UC are registered at clinicaltrials.gov.

Tofacitinib

'Janus' is the Roman god of doors or gates, and is also the name of a specific subgroup of tyrosine kinases that are not associated with a receptor. The Janus kinases (Jaks) are fundamental for controlling numerous cytokines related to proliferation and other processes. Erythropoietin, thrombopoietin, growth hormone, prolactin and leptin all use the Jak signaling pathway and therefore they are truly gatekeepers in many cells [76]. While Jak3 is limited to lymphoid cells, Jak1, Jak2 and Tyk2 are found in all mammalian cells [77,78]. Proliferation of certain cytokines such as interferon, IL-2 and others are strongly related if not dependent on Jaks [79]. Thus, inhibition of the Jak pathway has the potential to decrease proinflammatory cytokines as well as decrease cellular proliferation.

An oral Jak inhibitor, tofacitinib (CP690,550 and PF-00547659), inhibits the production of cytokines such as IL-2, -4, -7, -9, -15 and -21 though inhibition of Jak3, Jak1 and to a lesser extent Jak2 [80]. *In vivo*, tofacitinib has been shown to decrease IL-2 dependent differentiation of Type 2 and Th17 cells. It also seems to interfere with the induced immune response to lipopolysaccharide [77,80].

A double blind, placebo controlled trial by Sand-born *et al.* assessed the efficacy of tofacitinib in moderate-severely active UC. The primary outcome of a clinical response at 8 weeks occurred in 78% of patients on 15 mg (highest dose used) compared with placebo ($p < 0.001$). While other doses were not significantly different than placebo, there was a dose response effect seen with increasing dose. The secondary end point of clinical remission was seen in 33% of patients on 3 mg, 48% on 10 mg, and 41% on 15 mg all of which were significantly higher than the 10% on placebo. Similar results were seen with endoscopic remission and response [81]. Infection was the most common adverse event. However, also noted was an increase in both LDL and HDL. The increases in LDL and HDL were dose dependent and reversed by 4 weeks after discontinuation of the drug. Both LDL and HDL increased by about 12 mg/dl in the 15-mg arm, although the variations of LDL increase were greater than HDL. The dyslipidemia effect of tofacitinib is postulated to be related to inhibition of IL-6 [76]. It is unclear what clinical effects this will have, but it will require further evaluation. Another Phase II trial [207] and three Phase III trials [208–210] are currently underway in UC.

Novel nonimmunosuppressive therapies

Stem cells

While predominantly pharmacologic therapies are on the horizon for UC, other therapies are being explored as well. In the early 1990s, it was observed that patients with autoimmune diseases including Crohn's disease and UC who underwent hematopoietic stem cell transplant for other indications would have improvement and occasionally remission of their disease [82,83]. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation carries a significant morbidity and even mortality limiting its use. On the other hand, mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) can be harvested from virtually any connective tissue and are multipotent stromal cells that have potential for tissue repair and immune modulation [84].

The exact mechanism of action for the beneficial effect of MSC is unknown. In fact, 95% of MSC are trapped in the lung after systemic infusion and <5% are present in distal tissue at 24 h [85]. Proposed mechanisms of effect include secretion of soluble factors to target tissue

or release of a small number of MSC from lung to target tissue is enough to cause effect [86]. In mouse models of DSS colitis, systemic infusion of bone marrow-derived MSC improved clinical histological outcomes compared with controls and downregulated proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF [87]. Similarly preclinical animal studies have shown benefit in a wide range of inflammatory conditions such as graft versus host disease, ischemic limb injury, myocardial infarction and traumatic brain injury [88]. In Crohn's disease, early Phase I trials in humans demonstrated safety of infusions and injections of MSC. Clinical efficacy and safety are difficult to assess given small numbers of patients in early trials, although there appears to be some benefit for luminal and fistulizing disease [89–91]. A Phase II randomized placebo-controlled trial for MSC infusion in UC is currently underway [211].

Fecal microbiota transplant

Targeting the microbiota is a logical choice given the evidence of a strong association for dysbiosis in UC. First, in essentially all mouse models of colitis, enteric bacteria are required for colitis to develop [92]. Second, probiotics (such as VSL#3) have been shown to have a small but clinically significant improvement in UC [93]. While there does appear to be an association with probiotics and UC, it is not clear if the role is for induction or maintenance of remission [94]. Finally, analysis of the microbiota of inflamed areas in patients with IBD have shown decreased floral diversity and bacterial count [95]. While not causal, the association is strong and warrants study.

Fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) is a novel area of therapy first explored as a treatment for recurrent *Clostridium difficile* with excellent effect [96]. Early case series in UC demonstrated a good response with clinical remission occurring for up to 13 years after transplant in one patient [97]. An interesting observation from a large trial of FMT for *C. difficile* demonstrated that patients with IBD did well with FMT and no enhanced colitis activity was seen after FMT [98].

Currently two Phase II trials and one Phase II/III trial are underway to assess the impact of FMT on UC. Trials range in the mechanism of donation and include infusion into duodenal bulb or mid-gut [212,213] and fecal retention enema [214].

New formulations of standard therapies

Novel formulations of old drugs allow delivery directly to the colon. This provides new therapeutic options in UC for drugs that previously carried systemic toxicities limiting their use.

Budesonide

Controlled release budesonide (Entocort[®], Astra-Zeneca, DE, USA) is a potent corticosteroid that has minimal systemic effect owing to approximately 90% first pass metabolism to inactive metabolites in the liver. Its primary target is ileal and right colon, which limits its use in UC [99]. Budesonide MMX[®] (Cosmo Pharmaceuticals Spa, Lainate, Italy) is a new formulation allowing dispersion of budesonide throughout the colon. The CORE I and CORE II trials found budesonide MMX to be safe and effective compared with placebo in inducing remission and more effective than placebo in the combined outcome of clinical and endoscopic remission in patients with mild-to-moderately active UC [100,101].

Cyclosporine

Traditionally, cyclosporine has been used for UC as rescue therapy when steroid refractory. This process involves hospitalization and cyclosporine via intravenous line [102]. In the

1990s, cyclosporine retention enemas were tried in patients with UC and found to be safe with low systemic levels of cyclosporine [103,104]. However, in a placebo-controlled trial, the retention enemas failed to show a benefit for patients with mild-to-moderately active UC [105]. A novel formulation of oral, controlled minicapsule formulation of cyclosporine (CyCol, Sigmoid Pharma, Dublin, Ireland) was tested against placebo in patients with active UC [215]. The primary outcome was efficacy at induction of remission at 4 weeks. Notably the drug was safe; no cyclosporine was detected in the blood of patients. While not statistically significant, more patients achieved the primary outcome of remission on oral cyclosporine than placebo (13.6 vs 6.3%) [106]. Given the prior failure of topical cyclosporine via retention enema, it is not clear if cyclosporine must be systemic for its effect, or if more proximal colonic release will provide a benefit. Trials for CyCol in moderate-to-severe UC are planned but not yet underway.

Conclusion

Currently, the treatment for patients with moderate-to-severe UC failing mesalamine is limited to thiopurines, antimetabolites and TNF inhibitors. Up to half of these patients have required colectomy over time, which can be associated with significant morbidity. The advent of novel targeted therapies for UC may allow clinicians to reduce colectomy rates in this patient population with more severe disease. Additionally, targeted therapy ideally comes with less systemic side effects, although novel mechanisms of immune modulation will likely have some unanticipated consequences that will need to be borne out in larger cohorts of patients. Further observational studies will be required to identify those at higher risk of complicated UC, including those who fail to achieve mucosal healing on current therapy, and those who develop refractory disease. For these patients, novel therapies that influence specific steps in the pathogenesis of UC hold the promise of improved outcomes and quality of life.

Future perspective

The lessons from Crohn's disease suggest that stratification of individuals using pathway-specific biomarkers would permit targeting of agents to prevalent inflammatory mechanisms, rather than our traditional 'blanket immunosuppression' approach. With the evolving landscape of treatment for UC, it is likely that we will be able to think of UC as an umbrella term for the clinical end point of a number of different pathway-driven processes. Thus the common phenotype of UC will start to be understood in terms of disease mechanisms, rather than manifestations. With this shift, we will aspire to identify certain patients who will respond to a specific class of therapy based on mechanism of action. Changing the paradigm to pathway-targeted treatment will involve reshaping the classic step-up pyramid of treatment, and away from a 'one size fits all' approach. In the long-term, the goal will be to alter the natural history of this disease, and prevent colectomy in many more patients.

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as:

- of interest
- of considerable interest

1. Katzka DA, Loftus EV, Camilleri M. Evolving molecular targets in the treatment of nonmalignant gastrointestinal diseases. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2009; 92(3):306–320. [PubMed: 22828717]

2. Abraham C, Cho JH. Inflammatory bowel disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2009; 361(21):2066–2078. [PubMed: 19923578]
3. Stefanich EG, Danilenko DM, Wang H, et al. A humanized monoclonal antibody targeting the $\beta 7$ integrin selectively blocks intestinal homing of T lymphocytes. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2011; 162(8):1855–1870. [PubMed: 21232034]
4. Danese S, Fiocchi C. Ulcerative colitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2011; 365(18):1713–1725. [PubMed: 22047562]
5. Kilshaw PJ. Alpha E beta 7. *Mol Pathol*. 1999; 52(4):203–207. [PubMed: 10694940]
6. Kornbluth A, Sachar D. Ulcerative colitis practice guidelines in adults: American College of Gastroenterology, Practice Parameters Committee. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2010; 105(3):501–523. [PubMed: 20068560]
7. Laharie D, Bourreille A, Branche J, et al. Ciclosporin versus infliximab in patients with severe ulcerative colitis refractory to intravenous steroids: a parallel, open-label randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2012; 380(9857):1909–1915. [PubMed: 23063316]
8. Rutgeerts PJ, Fedorak RN, Hommes DW, et al. A randomised Phase I study of etrolizumab (rhuMAb $\beta 7$) in moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. *Gut*. 2013; 62(8):1122–1130. [PubMed: 22717454]
9. Lichtenstein GR, Abreu MT, Cohen R, Tremaine W. American Gastroenterological Association. American Gastroenterological Association Institute technical review on corticosteroids, immunomodulators, and infliximab in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2006; 130(3):940–987. [PubMed: 16530532]
10. Allavena R, Noy S, Andrews M, Pullen N. CNS Elevation of vascular and not mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecules in patients with Multiple Sclerosis. *Am J Pathol*. 2010; 176(2):556–562. [PubMed: 20035048]
11. Picarella D, Hurlbut P, Rottman J, Shi X, Butcher E, Ringler DJ. Monoclonal antibodies specific for beta 7 integrin and mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule-1 (MAdCAM-1) reduce inflammation in the colon of scid mice reconstituted with CD45RB^{high} CD4⁺ T cells. *J Immunol*. 1997; 158(5):2099–2106. [PubMed: 9036954]
12. Solberg IC, Lygren I, Jahnsen J, et al. Clinical course during the first 10 years of ulcerative colitis: results from a population-based inception cohort (IBSEN Study). *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2009; 44(4):431–440. [PubMed: 19101844]
13. Kato S, Hokari R, Matsuzaki K, et al. Amelioration of murine experimental colitis by inhibition of mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule-1. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2000; 295(1):183–189. [PubMed: 10991977]
14. Molnar T, Farkas K, Nyari T, Szepes Z, Nagy F, Wittmann T. Response to first intravenous steroid therapy determines the subsequent risk of colectomy in ulcerative colitis patients. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis*. 2011; 20(4):359–363. [PubMed: 22187700]
15. Vermeire S, Ghosh S, Panés J, et al. 861 Safety and efficacy of PF-00547,659, a fully human Anti-MAdCAM antibody, in ulcerative colitis. Results of a First in Human Study. Presented at. Digestive Diseases Week; Chicago, IL, USA. 30 May–4 June 2009; 2009. 000000000000
16. Eaden JA, Abrams KR, Mayberry JF. The risk of colorectal cancer in ulcerative colitis: a meta-analysis. *Gut*. 2001; 48(4):526–535. [PubMed: 11247898]
17. Rutter M, Saunders B, Wilkinson K, et al. Severity of inflammation is a risk factor for colorectal neoplasia in ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2004; 126(2):451–459. [PubMed: 14762782]
18. Campbell JJ, Butcher EC. Chemokines in tissue-specific and microenvironment-specific lymphocyte homing. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2000; 12(3):336–341. [PubMed: 10781407]
19. Podolsky DK. Inflammatory bowel disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2002; 347(6):417–429. [PubMed: 12167685]
20. Stein JV, Nombela-Arrieta C. Chemokine control of lymphocyte trafficking: a general overview. *Immunology*. 2005; 116(1):1–12. [PubMed: 16108812]
21. Sarra M, Pallone F, MacDonald TT, Monteleone G. IL-23/IL-17 axis in IBD. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2010; 16(10):1808–1813. [PubMed: 20222127]
22. Kobayashi T, Okamoto S, Hisamatsu T, et al. IL23 differentially regulates the Th1/Th17 balance in ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. *Gut*. 2008; 57(12):1682–1689. [PubMed: 18653729]

23. Raza A, Shata MT. Letter: pathogenicity of Th17 cells may differ in ulcerative colitis compared with Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2012; 36(2):204. [PubMed: 22703461]
24. Owczarek D, Cibor D, Szczepanek M, Mach T. Biological therapy of inflammatory bowel disease. *Pol Arch Med Wewn.* 2009; 119(1–2):84–88. [PubMed: 19341184]
25. Murch SH, Lamkin VA, Savage MO, Walker-Smith JA, MacDonald TT. Serum concentrations of tumour necrosis factor alpha in childhood chronic inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut.* 1991; 32(8): 913–917. [PubMed: 1885073]
26. Murch SH, Braegger CP, Walker-Smith JA, MacDonald TT. Location of tumour necrosis factor alpha by immunohistochemistry in chronic inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut.* 1993; 34(12):1705–1709. [PubMed: 8031350]
27. Braegger CP, Nicholls S, Murch SH, Stephens S, MacDonald TT. Tumour necrosis factor alpha in stool as a marker of intestinal inflammation. *The Lancet.* 1992; 339(8785):89–91.
28. Mizoguchi E, Mizoguchi A, Takedatsu H, et al. Role of tumor necrosis factor receptor 2 (TNFR2) in colonic epithelial hyperplasia and chronic intestinal inflammation in mice. *Gastroenterology.* 2002; 122(1):134–144. [PubMed: 11781288]
29. Baumgart DC, Carding SR. Inflammatory bowel disease: cause and immunobiology. *Lancet.* 2007; 369(9573):1627–1640. [PubMed: 17499605]
30. Knight DM, Trinh H, Le J, et al. Construction and initial characterization of a mouse-human chimeric anti-TNF antibody. *Mol Immunol.* 1993; 30(16):1443–1453. [PubMed: 8232330]
31. Rutgeerts P, Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, et al. Infliximab for induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. *N Engl J Med.* 2005; 353(23):2462–2476. [PubMed: 16339095]
32. Sandborn WJ, Rutgeerts P, Feagan BG, et al. Colectomy rate comparison after treatment of ulcerative colitis with placebo or infliximab. *Gastroenterology.* 2009; 137(4):1250–1260. [PubMed: 19596014]
33. Reinisch W, Sandborn WJ, Hommes DW, et al. Adalimumab for induction of clinical remission in moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis: results of a randomised controlled trial. *Gut.* 2011; 60(6):780–787. [PubMed: 21209123]
34. Sandborn WJ, van Assche G, Reinisch W, et al. Adalimumab induces and maintains clinical remission in patients with moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology.* 2012; 142(2): 257–265. [PubMed: 22062358]
35. Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Marano C, et al. Subcutaneous golimumab induces clinical response and remission in patients with moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology.* 2013 pii: S0016-5085(13)00846-9. This double-blind combined Phase II and III trial demonstrated that golimumab was effective compared with placebo in over 1000 patients with ulcerative colitis for inducing a clinical response, remission, mucosal healing and improving quality of life.
36. Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Marano C, et al. Subcutaneous golimumab maintains clinical response in patients with moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology.* 2013 Epub ahead of print. 10.1053/j.gastro.2013.06.010
37. Hanauer SB, Feagan BG, Lichtenstein GR, et al. Maintenance infliximab for Crohn's disease: the ACCENT I randomised trial. *Lancet.* 2005; 359(9317):1541–1549. [PubMed: 12047962]
38. Wallis RS, Broder M, Wong J, Lee A, Hoq L. Reactivation of latent granulomatous infections by infliximab. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2005; 41(Suppl 3):S194–S198. [PubMed: 15983899]
39. Vaughn BP, Doherty GA, Gautam S, Moss AC, Cheifetz AS. Screening for tuberculosis and hepatitis B prior to the initiation of anti-tumor necrosis therapy. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2011; 18(6): 1057–1063. [PubMed: 21953829]
40. Singh JA, Wells GA, Christensen R, et al. Adverse effects of biologics: a network meta-analysis and Cochrane overview. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;CD008794. [PubMed: 21328309]
41. Bucher C, Degen L, Dirnhofer S, et al. Biologics in inflammatory disease: infliximab associated risk of lymphoma development. *Gut.* 2005; 54(5):732–733. [PubMed: 15831931]
42. Kay J, Rahman MU. Golimumab: a novel human anti-TNF- α monoclonal antibody for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, and psoriatic arthritis. *Core Evid.* 2009; 4:159. [PubMed: 20694072]
43. Kaneider NC, Leger AJ, Kuliopulos A. Therapeutic targeting of molecules involved in leukocyte/endothelial cell interactions. *FEBS J.* 2006; 273(19):4416–4424. [PubMed: 16956369]

44. Ulbrich H, Eriksson EE, Lindbom L. Leukocyte and endothelial cell adhesion molecules as targets for therapeutic interventions in inflammatory disease. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2003; 24(12):640–647. [PubMed: 14654305]
45. Shimaoka M, Springer TA. Therapeutic antagonists and conformational regulation of integrin function. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2003; 2(9):703–716. [PubMed: 12951577]
46. Berlin C, Berg EL, Briskin MJ, et al. $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin mediates lymphocyte binding to the mucosal vascular addressin MAdCAM-1. *Cell.* 1993; 74(1):185–195. [PubMed: 7687523]
47. Gorfu G, Rivera-Nieves J, Ley K. Role of $\beta 7$ integrins in intestinal lymphocyte homing and retention. *Curr Mol Med.* 2009; 9(7):836–850. [PubMed: 19860663]
48. Cepek KL, Shaw SK, Parker CM, et al. Adhesion between epithelial cells and T lymphocytes mediated by E-cadherin and the $\alpha E\beta 7$ integrin. *Nature.* 1994; 372(6502):190–193. [PubMed: 7969453]
49. Feagan BG, Greenberg GR, Wild G, et al. Treatment of ulcerative colitis with a humanized antibody to the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin. *N Engl J Med.* 2005; 352(24):2499–2507. A prospective randomized trial demonstrating that blockade of $\alpha 4\beta 7$ is safe and effective in ulcerative colitis. [PubMed: 15958805]
50. Soler D, Chapman T, Yang LL, Wyant T, Egan R, Fedyk ER. The binding specificity and selective antagonism of vedolizumab, an anti- $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin therapeutic antibody in development for inflammatory bowel diseases. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2009; 330(3):864–875. [PubMed: 19509315]
51. García de Tena J, Manzano L, Leal JC, et al. Active Crohn's disease patients show a distinctive expansion of circulating memory $CD4^+CD45RO^+CD28$ null T cells. *J Clin Immunol.* 2004; 24(2):185–196. [PubMed: 15024186]
52. Iwakura Y, Ishigame H. The IL-23/IL-17 axis in inflammation. *J Clin Invest.* 2006; 116(5):1218–1222. [PubMed: 16670765]
53. Parikh A, Leach T, Wyant T, et al. Vedolizumab for the treatment of active ulcerative colitis: a randomized controlled Phase II dose-ranging study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2012; 18(8):1470–1479. [PubMed: 22147460]
54. Feagan BG, Greenberg GR, Wild G, et al. Treatment of active Crohn's disease with MLN0002, a humanized antibody to the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin. *YJCGH.* 2008; 6(12):1370–1377.
55. Feagan BG, Rutgeerts P, Sands BE, et al. Vedolizumab as induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 369(8):699–710. A large, randomized trial demonstrating that vedolizumab is effective in inducing and maintaining remission compared with placebo over 1 year. [PubMed: 23964932]
56. Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Rutgeerts P, et al. Vedolizumab as induction and maintenance therapy for Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 369(8):711–721. [PubMed: 23964933]
57. Zlotnik A, Yoshie O. Chemokines: a new classification review system and their role in immunity. *Immunity.* 2000; 12:121–127. [PubMed: 10714678]
58. Koenecke C, Förster R. CCR9 and inflammatory bowel disease. *Expert Opin Ther Targets.* 2009; 13(3):297–306. [PubMed: 19236152]
59. Yrlid U, Milling SWF, Miller JL, Cartland S, Jenkins CD, MacPherson GG. Regulation of intestinal dendritic cell migration and activation by plasmacytoid dendritic cells, TNF- α and type 1 IFNs after feeding a TLR7/8 ligand. *J Immunol.* 2006; 176(9):5205–5212. [PubMed: 16621985]
60. Nishimura M, Kuboi Y, Muramoto K, Kawano T, Imai T. Chemokines as novel therapeutic targets for inflammatory bowel disease. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* 2009; 1173(1):350–356. [PubMed: 19758172]
61. Keshav, S.; Johnson, D.; Bekker, P.; Schall, TJ. PROTECT-1 Study demonstrated efficacy of the intestine-specific chemokine receptor antagonist CCX282-B (Traficet-EN) in treatment of patients with moderate to severe Crohn's disease. Presented at: Digestive Diseases Week; Chicago, IL, USA. 30 May–4 June 2009;
62. Keshav, S.; Johnson, D.; Schall, T.; Bekker, P. Chemokine receptor antagonist CCX282-B (Traficet-EnTM) maintained remission of Crohn's disease in PROTECT-1 Study. Presented at: Digestive Diseases Week; Chicago, IL, USA. 30 May–4 June 2009;
63. Wurbel MA, McIntire MG, Dwyer P, Fiebiger E. CCL25/CCR9 Interactions regulate large intestinal inflammation in a murine model of acute colitis. *PLoS ONE.* 2011; 6(1):e16442. [PubMed: 21283540]

64. Mayer L, Sandborn WJ, Stepanov Y, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of MDX-1100, an anti-IP-10 antibody, for moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2010; 139(1):e17–e18. [PubMed: 20800161]
65. Mayer L, Sandborn WJ, Stepanov Y, et al. Anti-IP-10 antibody (BMS-936557) for ulcerative colitis: a Phase II randomised study. *Gut*. 2013 Epub ahead of print. 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-303424
66. Yellin M, Paliienko I, Balanescu A, et al. A Phase II, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study evaluating the efficacy and safety of MDX-1100, a fully human anti-CXCL10 monoclonal antibody, in combination with methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2012; 64(6):1730–1739. [PubMed: 22147649]
67. Liao C-M, Zimmer MI, Wang C-R. The functions of type I and type II natural killer T Cells in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2013; 19(6):1330–1338. [PubMed: 23518808]
68. Fuss IJ, Heller F, Boirivant M, et al. Nonclassical CD1d-restricted NK T cells that produce IL-13 characterize an atypical Th2 response in ulcerative colitis. *J Clin Invest*. 2004; 113(10):1490–1497. [PubMed: 15146247]
69. Heller F, Fuss IJ, Nieuwenhuis EE, Blumberg RS, Strober W. Oxazolone colitis, a Th2 colitis model resembling ulcerative colitis, is mediated by IL-13-producing NK-T cells. *Immunity*. 2002; 17(5):629–638. [PubMed: 12433369]
70. Maul J, Zeitz M. Ulcerative colitis: immune function, tissue fibrosis and current therapeutic considerations. *Langenbecks Arch Surg*. 2011; 397(1):1–10. [PubMed: 21479621]
71. Mannon P, Reinisch W. Interleukin 13 and its role in gut defence and inflammation. *Gut*. 2012; 61(12):1765–1773. [PubMed: 22942239]
72. Gauvreau GM, Boulet LP, Cockcroft DW, et al. Effects of interleukin-13 blockade on allergen-induced airway responses in mild atopic asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2011; 183(8):1007–1014. [PubMed: 21057005]
73. Fitzpatrick LR, Deml L, Hofmann C, et al. 4SC-101, a novel immunosuppressive drug, inhibits IL-17 and attenuates colitis in two murine models of inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2010; 16(10):1763–1777. [PubMed: 20310011]
74. Herrlinger KR, Diculescu M, Fellermann K, et al. Efficacy, safety and tolerability of vidofludimus in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: The ENTRANCE study. *J Crohn's Colitis*. 2013; 7(8):636–643. [PubMed: 23078909]
75. Sierakowski, S.; Dietrich, B.; Hentsch, B.; Ammendola, A. Efficacy, safety and pharmacokinetics of vidofludimus, a novel oral immunomodulator, in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis on methotrexate background therapy: The COMPONENT Study. Presented at. Annual Scientific Meeting of the American College of Rheumatology.; Chicago, IL, USA. 6–7 November 2011;
76. O'Shea JJ, Holland SM, Staudt LM. JAKs and STATs in immunity, immunodeficiency, and cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2013; 368(2):161–170. [PubMed: 23301733]
77. Flanagan ME, Blumenkopf TA, Brissette WH, et al. Discovery of CP-690,550: a potent and selective Janus Kinase (JAK) Inhibitor for the treatment of autoimmune diseases and organ transplant rejection. *J Med Chem*. 2010; 53(24):8468–8484. [PubMed: 21105711]
78. Ghoreschi K, Laurence A, O'Shea JJ. Janus kinases in immune cell signaling. *Immunol Rev*. 2009; 228(1):273–287. [PubMed: 19290934]
79. Johnston JA, Bacon CM, Riedy MC, O'Shea JJ. Signaling by IL-2 and related cytokines: JAKs, STATs, and relationship to immunodeficiency. *J Leukocyte Biol*. 1996; 60(4):441–452. [PubMed: 8864127]
80. Ghoreschi K, Jesson MI, Li X, et al. Modulation of innate and adaptive immune responses by tofacitinib (CP-690,550). *J Immunol*. 2011; 186(7):4234–4243. [PubMed: 21383241]
81. Sandborn WJ, Ghosh S, Panés J, et al. Tofacitinib, an oral Janus kinase inhibitor, in active ulcerative colitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2012; 367(7):616–624. [PubMed: 22894574]
82. Drakos PE, Nagler A, Or R. Case of Crohn's disease in bone marrow transplantation. *Am J Hematol*. 1993; 43(2):157–158. [PubMed: 8342550]
83. Snowden JA, Brooks PM, Biggs JC. Haemopoietic stem cell transplantation for autoimmune diseases. *Br J Haematol*. 1997; 99(1):9–22. [PubMed: 9359496]
84. Uccelli A, Moretta L, Pistoia V. Mesenchymal stem cells in health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2008; 8(9):726–736. [PubMed: 19172693]

85. Lee RH, Seo MJ, Pulin AA, Gregory CA, Ylostalo J, Prockop DJ. The CD34-like protein PODXL and 6-integrin (CD49f) identify early progenitor MSCs with increased clonogenicity and migration to infarcted heart in mice. *Blood*. 2009; 113(4):816–826. [PubMed: 18818395]
86. Prockop DJ. Repair of tissues by adult stem/progenitor cells (MSCs): controversies, myths, and changing paradigms. *Nature*. 2009; 17(6):939–946.
87. He X-W, He X-S, Lian L, Wu X-J, Lan P. Systemic infusion of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of experimental colitis in mice. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2012; 57(12): 3136–3144. [PubMed: 22752635]
88. Vaes B, Van't Hof W, Deans R, Pinxteren J. Application of MultiStem® allogeneic cells for immunomodulatory therapy: clinical progress and pre-clinical challenges in prophylaxis for graft versus host disease. *Front Immunol*. 2012; 3:345. [PubMed: 23205020]
89. García-Olmo D, García-Arranz M, Herreros D, Pascual I, Peiro C, Rodríguez-Montes JA. A Phase I clinical trial of the treatment of Crohn's fistula by adipose mesenchymal stem cell transplantation. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2005; 48(7):1416–1423. [PubMed: 15933795]
90. García-Olmo D, Herreros D, Pascual I, et al. Expanded adipose-derived stem cells for the treatment of complex perianal fistula. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2009; 52(1):79–86. [PubMed: 19273960]
91. Ricart E. Current status of mesenchymal stem cell therapy and bone marrow transplantation in IBD. *Dig Dis*. 2012; 30(4):387–391. [PubMed: 22796802]
92. Nell S, Suerbaum S, Josenhans C. The impact of the microbiota on the pathogenesis of IBD: lessons from mouse infection models. *Nature*. 2010; 8(8):564–577.
93. Bibiloni R, Fedorak RN, Tannock GW, et al. VSL#3 Probiotic-mixture induces remission in patients with active ulcerative colitis. *Am J gastroenterol*. 2005; 100(7):1539–1546. [PubMed: 15984978]
94. Jonkers D, Penders J, Masclee A, Pierik M. Probiotics in the management of inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review of intervention studies in adult patients. *Drugs*. 2012; 72(6):803–823. [PubMed: 22512365]
95. Damman CJ, Miller SI, Surawicz CM, Zisman TL. The microbiome and inflammatory bowel disease: is there a therapeutic role for fecal microbiota transplantation? *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2012; 107(10):1452–1459. [PubMed: 23034604]
96. Kassam Z, Lee CH, Yuan Y, Hunt RH. Fecal microbiota transplantation for *Clostridium difficile* infection: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2013; 108(4):500–508. [PubMed: 23511459]
97. Borody TJ, Warren EF, Leis S, Surace R, Ashman O. Treatment of ulcerative colitis using fecal bacteriotherapy. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2003; 37(1):42–47. [PubMed: 12811208]
98. Hamilton MJ, Weingarden AR, Sadowsky MJ, Khoruts A. Standardized frozen preparation for transplantation of fecal microbiota for recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2012; 107(5):761–767. [PubMed: 22290405]
99. Edsbacker S, Bengtsson B, Larsson P, et al. A pharmacoscintigraphic evaluation of oral budesonide given as controlled-release (Entocort) capsules. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2003; 17(4): 525–536. [PubMed: 12622761]
100. Sandborn WJ, Travis S, Moro L, et al. Once-daily budesonide MMX® extended-release tablets induce remission in patients with mild to moderate ulcerative colitis: results from the CORE I study. *Gastroenterology*. 2012; 143(5):1218–1226. [PubMed: 22892337]
101. Travis SPL, Danese S, Kupcinkas L, et al. Once-daily budesonide MMX in active, mild-to-moderate ulcerative colitis: results from the randomised CORE II study. *Gut*. 2013 (Epub ahead of print). 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-304258
102. Lichtiger S, Present DH, Kornbluth A, et al. Cyclosporine in severe ulcerative colitis refractory to steroid therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 1994; 330(26):1841–1845. [PubMed: 8196726]
103. Winter TA, Dalton HR, Merrett MN, Campbell A, Jewell DP. Cyclosporin A retention enemas in refractory distal ulcerative colitis and 'pouchitis'. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 1993; 28(8):701–704. [PubMed: 8210986]
104. Sandborn WJ, Tremaine WJ, Schroeder KW, Steiner BL, Batts KP, Lawson GM. Cyclosporine enemas for treatment-resistant, mildly to moderately active, left-sided ulcerative colitis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 1993; 88(5):640–645. [PubMed: 8480724]

105. Sandborn WJ, Tremaine WJ, Schroeder KW, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of cyclosporine enemas for mildly to moderately active left-sided ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. 1994; 106(6):1429–1435. [PubMed: 8194687]
106. O'Donoghue, DP.; Bloom, S.; Coulter, I. Colon targeted, low systemic absorption soluble ciclosporin in ulcerative colitis. Presented at: BIG Meeting; Belfast, UK. 11–12 April 2013;

Websites

201. A study of PF-00547659 in patients with moderate to severe ulcerative colitis (TUR ANDOT). www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01620255
202. A study to investigate the safety and efficacy properties of PF-00547659 in patients with active ulcerative colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00928681
203. A study to investigate the efficacy and safety of GSK1605786 for treatment of patients with active ulcerative colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01658605
204. Induction and maintenance study of BMS-936557 patients with moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01294410
205. Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics biomarker study in active ulcerative colitis patients. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01284062
206. Evaluation of efficacy and safety of tralokinumab in patients with active, moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01482884
207. A study Of PF-00547659 in patients with moderate to severe ulcerative colitis (TUR ANDOT). www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01620255
208. A study evaluating the efficacy and safety of CP-690,550 in patients with moderate to severe ulcerative colitis (OCTAVE). www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01465763
209. A study to evaluate both the efficacy and safety profile of CP-690,550 in patients with moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis (OCTAVE). www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01458951
210. A study of oral CP-690550 as a maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis (OCTAVE). www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01458574
211. A study to investigate the safety and possible clinical benefit of multistem(r) in patients with moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01240915
212. Standardized fecal microbiota transplantation for ulcerative colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01790061
213. Transplantation of faeces in ulcerative colitis; restoring nature's homeostasis (TURN). www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01650038
214. Fecal biotherapy for the induction of remission in active ulcerative colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01545908
215. Oral ciclosporin for colonic release in ulcerative colitis (CyCol™). www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01033305
216. A study of the safety and effectiveness of CNTO 148 (Golimumab) in patients with moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis (CR014179). www.clinicaltrials.gov/NCT00488631
217. A Study of the Safety and Effectiveness of CNTO 148 (Golimumab) in Patients With Moderately to Severely Active Ulcerative Colitis (CR014176). www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00487539
218. Long term safety of MLN0002 in patients with ulcerative colitis and crohn's disease. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00619489
219. Study of vedolizumab (MLN0002) in patients with moderate to severe ulcerative colitis (GEMINI D). www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00783718
220. Study of MLN0002 following multiple intravenous doses in patients with ulcerative colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01177228
221. An open-label study of vedolizumab (MLN0002) in patients with ulcerative colitis and crohn's disease (GEMINI LTS). www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00790933
222. Study to evaluate the long-term safety of rhuMAb Beta7 in patients with moderate to severe ulcerative colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01461317

223. Evaluate the efficacy and safety of rhuMAb Beta7 in patients with moderate to Severe ulcerative colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01336465
224. A Study to Assess the Safety, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics, and Immunogenicity of rhuMAb Beta7 in Patients With Ulcerative Colitis. www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00694980
225. SC12267 (4SC-101) for Treatment of Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease (ENTRANCE). www.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00820365

Executive summary

Anti-TNF antibodies

- Three anti-TNF therapies are now US FDA-approved for ulcerative colitis (UC). Optimization of pharmacokinetics in practice remains a challenge with this class.

Anti-integrin antibodies

- Vedolizumab is an antibody specific to $\alpha 4\beta 7$, which inhibits homing of lymphocytes to the intestine.
- Inhibiting $\alpha 4\beta 7$ does not appear to inhibit lymphocytes to other organs, notably the CNS.
- Other targets to inhibit lymphocyte homing to the intestine include $\beta 7$ and mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule.

Chemokine inhibitors

- Traficet-EN is an antagonist to CCR9, which may mediate proinflammatory cells migrating to inflamed areas of the colon.

Small molecule inhibitors

- Tofacitinib is a novel oral therapy for UC that inhibits Janus kinases, decreasing production of proinflammatory cytokines.
- Tofacitinib had a dose dependent increase of HDL and LDL that resolved after therapy in clinical trials.

Nonimmunosuppressive therapies

- Multipotent stromal stem cell infusion and manipulation of the microbiome through fecal microbiome transplantation are two novel therapies with promise in UC.

Figure 1. Select targets for novel therapies in ulcerative colitis
Adapted from [43].

Table 1

Novel drugs and mechanisms of disease for ulcerative colitis.

Drug	Route of administration	Mechanism	Target	Clinical trial number	Phase	Ref.
Golimumab [†]	sc.	Inhibits TNF-mediated inflammation	TNF	NCT00488631 NCT00487539	III	[216,217]
Vedolizumab	iv.	Inhibits leukocyte migration	$\alpha\beta7$	NCT00619489 NCT00783718 NCT01177228 NCT00790933	II, III	[218–221]
Traficet	p.o.	Inhibits leukocyte migration	CCR9	NCT01658605	II	[203]
Etolizumab	sc.	Inhibits leukocyte migration	$\beta7$	NCT01461317 NCT01336465 NCT00694980	I, II	[222–224]
Anrukizumab	iv.	Inhibits IL-13-mediated inflammation	IL-13	NCT01284062	II	[205]
Tralokinumab	sc.	Inhibits IL-13-mediated inflammation	IL-13	NCT01482884	II	[206]
Vidofludimus	p.o.	DHODH inhibitor	DHODH	NCT00820365	II	[225]
Tofacitinib	p.o.	Jak inhibitor	Jak (Jak3, Jak1>Jak2)	NCT01465763 NCT01458951 NCT01458574	III	[208–210]
PF-00547659	sc./iv.	Anti-MAdCAM antibody	MAdCAM	NCT01620255 NCT00928681	I, II	[201,202]
BMS-936557	iv.	Anti-IP-10 antibody	IP-10 (CXCL10)	NCT01294410	II	[204]

[†] Approved by the US FDA for ulcerative colitis.

DHODH: Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase; iv.: Intravenous; p.o.: Oral; sc.: Subcutaneous.