METHODOLOGY

Open Access

High throughput screening of CO₂-tolerating microalgae using GasPak bags

Zheng Liu, Fan Zhang and Feng Chen*

Abstract

Background: Microalgae are diverse in terms of their speciation and function. More than 35,000 algal strains have been described, and thousands of algal cultures are maintained in different culture collection centers. The ability of CO₂ uptake by microalgae varies dramatically among algal species. It becomes challenging to select suitable algal candidates that can proliferate under high CO₂ concentration from a large collection of algal cultures.

Results: Here, we described a high throughput screening method to rapidly identify high CO₂ affinity microalgae. The system integrates a CO₂ mixer, GasPak bags and microplates. Microalgae on the microplates will be cultivated in GasPak bags charged with different CO₂ concentrations. Using this method, we identified 17 algal strains whose growth rates were not influenced when the concentration of CO₂ was increased from 2 to 20% (v/v). Most CO₂ tolerant strains identified in this study were closely related to the species *Scenedesmus* and *Chlorococcum*. One of *Scenedesmus* strains (E7A) has been successfully tested in the scale up photo bioreactors (500 L) bubbled with flue gas which contains 10-12% CO₂.

Conclusion: Our high throughput CO₂ testing system provides a rapid and reliable way for identifying microalgal candidate strains that can grow under high CO₂ condition from a large pool of culture collection species. This high throughput system can also be modified for selecting algal strains that can tolerate other gases, such as NO_x, SO_x, or flue gas.

Keywords: CO₂ sequestration, Microalgae, High through-put selection

Background

Increasing atmospheric greenhouse gas emission by human activities has been regarded as a major challenge of global sustainability. CO₂ is a primary greenhouse gas, which makes up approximately 83.6% of the total greenhouse gas emission [1].

Increasing level of CO₂ causes global warming and the subsequent environmental issues such as the rising sea level and snow or ice melting [2,3].

Biological fixation carried out by photosynthetic plants and microalgae has attracted increasing attention as an environmentally friendly CO₂ mitigation strategy [4,5]. Photosynthesis renews oxygen in the atmosphere while fixing CO₂ into potentially useful biomass. Microalgae are emerging as a promising biological fixation system; each acre of microalgae is able to fix three to five times more CO₂ than the same area of terrestrial plants [6].

Meanwhile, microalgae are also able to remove nitrogen, phosphorus, and heavy metals from wastewater, and algal biomass can be converted into useful products, such as biofuels, nutraceutical products, animal feed and fodder for aquaculture [7-9].

Exhaust gases from power plants attribute to ca. 40% of the U.S. annual CO₂ emission in 2010 [10]. Earlier studies have reported that microalgae can be used to sequester CO₂ in power plant flue gases [11-13]. The concentration of CO₂ in power plant exhausts varies from 10-15% depending on the source of fuels [10]. Therefore, the ideal microalgal candidates for sequestering CO₂ in flue gases should be able to grow under CO₂ concentration above 10%. It is known that different species of microalgae can tolerate different levels of CO₂. For examples, it has been reported that *Chlorella* sp. and *Euglena gracilis* can tolerate up to 40% CO₂ [14], *Chlorococcum littorale* could endure 60% CO₂ [15], *Scenedesmus* sp. could grow under 80% CO₂ [14], and *Cyandium caldarium* were successfully grown under 100% CO₂ [16]. However, it is difficult to

* Correspondence: chenf@umces.edu
Institute of Marine and Environmental Technology, University of Maryland
Center for Environmental Science, 701 E Pratt St, Baltimore, MD 21202, USA

evaluate and compare the actual growth rates of these algae under high levels of CO₂ because some strains just tolerate but do not grow under high CO₂ condition. Moreover, a comparison within the same species in different studies can also be challenging due to the different experimental setup. It has been reported that *Scenedesmus obliquus* can tolerate up to 18% CO₂, but the optimal growth was observed with 6% CO₂ [17]. It is also reported

that *S. obliquus* grew successfully under 70% CO₂, however, the highest growth rate occurred below 10% CO₂ [18]. Nevertheless, in a separate study, the optimal growth of *S. obliquus* at 15% CO₂ was observed [19]. The inconsistency of these results may be caused by the difference in the experimental setup. Many earlier studies conducted the CO₂ tolerance tests using flasks bubbled with certain levels of CO₂. In this case, the actual concentration of CO₂ that algae are exposed to is hard to monitor because a certain amount of CO₂ can be lost to air due to bubbling [20]. Difference in light, temperature, culture media and containments, bubbling rates, and other factors may all contribute to variable CO₂ tolerance within the same species [21,22].

Microalgae are diverse in the natural environment. It has been estimated that about 200,000-800,000 algal species exist in nature, of which about 35,000 species have been described [23,24]. Thousands of algal strains have been isolated, characterized and maintained in different laboratories and culture collection centers. To select the candidates that have a high CO₂ affinity from this large pool of algal collections can be very time-consuming and technical challenging, particularly when the growth of algae need to be measured. A high throughput method for evaluating the capability of these algal strains for CO₂ tolerance could facilitate the research in algal sequestration of CO₂ pollutions.

In this study, we designed a high throughput system to select microalgae based on their CO₂ tolerating capability.

Figure 2 Top view of algal cultures in microplates after exposing to different concentrations of CO₂ (2, 5, 10 and 20% v/v respectively), (a) strains grown in BG11 medium and (b) strains grown in SN15 medium.

The system we described here includes a CO₂-air mixture device that provide a desired CO₂ level, and a DB GasPak™ EZ bags to hold the CO₂ gas. Microalgal cultures can be dispensed into a 24, 48, or 96-well plate that will be incubated inside the GasPak™ bag. This high throughput system can also be charged with flue gas. It provides a high-throughput, uniform and repeatable method for CO₂ tolerant strains selection and comparison. Using this system, we identified 17 strains of microalgae from our culture collection that can grow under 20% CO₂ condition.

Results and discussion

The pH value of the medium is used as a direct indicator of the ambient CO₂. To test the stability of GasPak bags for holding desired CO₂ concentrations during the incubation time, we monitored pH in the wells of microplates over a two-day period. We monitored pH for 2 days

because the GasPak bags will be opened for OD reading every 48 hours and recharged with CO₂. The pH values in the medium dropped sharply and reached equilibriums within 2 hours after the bags were filled with CO₂ (Figure 1). The value of pH reached different equilibriums depending on the percentage of CO₂ charged to the bags, suggesting that the system is sensitive to a small difference in CO₂ input. In all the treatments, CO₂ levels remained relatively constant in 48 hrs, suggesting that the GasPak bag can provide a stable environment to test the effect of different concentrations of CO₂ on the algal growth. When charged with same amount of CO₂, the marine medium (SN15) was able to maintain higher pH values compared to the freshwater medium (BG11). For example, under 20% CO₂, pH in the BG11 medium is 5.2, while pH in the SN15 medium is 5.7. It has been known that seawater is a good

Figure 3 Time course of OD600 reading for algal strains under 2 (Blue), 5 (Red), 10 (Green) and 20% (Purple) CO₂. Panel **A** *Scenedesmus* sp. E2A/D5A/A3A, Panel **B**: *Nannochloris* sp. D2B/E7B/E4B Panel **C**: *Cyanobacterium* sp. B6B, C7B and *Synechocystis* sp. F3A. The strains were named due to their location on microplates.

buffering system, and the solubility of CO₂ decreases when the salinity increases [25].

The GasPak bag system provides a uniform environment for testing many algal strains at the same time. After 14 days, distinct growth performance of different algal strains can be visualized (Figure 2). At the lower CO₂ level (2%), nearly all the algal strains grew well and showed healthy green or blue-green color at day 14. The inhibition of growth was visible on many algal strains when the CO₂ level increased to 10 or 20%.

Growing multiple algal strains in a 48-well or 96-well plate allows a quick measurement and direct comparison of algal cell density using a plate reader. Using the system we developed here, growth performance of all tested strains at different CO₂ concentrations were monitored. The growth curves allowed us to compare and evaluate the tolerance capacity of selected algae under different CO₂ conditions. For example, three *Scenedesmus* strains showed a rapid growth under 4 different CO₂ concentrations (2, 5, 10 and 20%) (Figure 3, panel A). The growths of these algal strains were not affected by increasing CO₂ level (up to 20%), suggesting that they may tolerate

even higher level of CO₂. In contrast, the growths of three cyanobacterial strains were inhibited when the CO₂ level was increased to 10 or 20% (Figure 3, panel C). The degree of growth inhibition increased with increasing concentration of CO₂ suggesting that this system provides sufficient sensitivity for distinguishing algal strains capable of tolerating different levels of CO₂.

Within all the 96 strains tested, 17 strains were able to maintain similar growth rates with CO₂ concentration ranging from 2 to 20%, and these algal strains were considered to be high CO₂ tolerant strains (Figure 2). The algal strains that only grew under 5% CO₂ concentration were considered as CO₂ sensitive strains and may not be suitable for the CO₂ mitigation purpose. In general, the seawater strains tend to show better performance under elevated CO₂ stress compared with freshwater strains. One explanation would be that seawater medium (SN15) is a better buffering system than freshwater medium (BG11), therefore smaller decrease in pH in the seawater medium poses less acidification stress to microalgae when both media are exposed to the same ambient CO₂.

The algal strains that can tolerate 10 and 20% CO₂ were identified by sequencing the partial 18S *rRNA* gene or 16S *rRNA* gene. In this study, 5 strains of *Scenedesmus* and 3 strains of *Chlorococcum* can tolerate 20% CO₂, and 10 strains of *Nannochloris* can tolerate 10% CO₂. The majority of CO₂-tolerating strains are closely related to *Scenedesmus* sp., *Nannochloris* sp. and *Chlorococcum* sp. (Figure 4). Three closely related *Scenedesmus* strains (E2A, D5A, and A3A) showed little effect on their growth when exposed to 2, 5, 10 and 20% CO₂ (Figure 3, panel A). Growths of three *Nannochloris* strains (D28, E7B, and E4B) were slightly inhibited at 20% CO₂, but were similar at 2, 5, and 10% CO₂ (Figure 3, panel B). These results suggest that many microalgae in genera *Scenedesmus* and *Nannochloris* can grow under high levels of CO₂. Other studies have also reported that many algal species from these two genera can tolerate high concentration of CO₂ [5,18,21]. In contrast, tested cyanobacterial strains (B6B, C7B, and F3A) grew poorly under high concentrations of CO₂ (Figure 3, panel C). Among the limited number of algal strains we tested, it appears that algal species from *Scenedesmus*, *Nannochloris* and *Chlorococcum* are good potential candidates for sequestering CO₂ in power plant flue gas.

The majority of the 20% CO₂ tolerant strains formed several separate branches, represented by genera *Scenedesmus*, *Chlorococcum* and *Ankistrodesmus* (Figure 4), suggesting that certain groups or genotypes of algae tend to perform better under high CO₂ level compare with other algal groups. When more algal strains from diverse taxa were tested for CO₂ tolerance, the phylogenetic information may provide a useful link to the potential of CO₂ tolerance of algal strains in the future.

One of *Scenedesmus* strains (E7A) has been tested in large photo-bioreactors (500 L) charged with flue gas (10-12% CO₂), and it was able to maintain vigorous growth and consume the vast majority of influx CO₂ (data not published). This test suggests that the algal strains selected using our high throughput system may be suitable for large scale cultivation.

The GasPak system we demonstrated here is designed for high throughput selection of CO₂ tolerant algal strains. Ideally, it would be useful to integrate a CO₂ sensor into the system so that the actual concentration of CO₂ in the GasPak chamber can be monitored. It is possible that the CO₂ concentration in the chamber could decrease significantly as algae continue to grow over a longer period. Given the fact that algae showed consistent growth trends under different CO₂ concentrations, we believe that the GasPak system is able to maintain desirable CO₂ levels during the 2-week experiment.

Conclusion

We introduced a high throughput system that can be used to quickly select microalgae or other microorganisms that

can grow under different concentrations of CO₂ or other type of gases. Our system provides an adjustable gas input and yields reproducible growth measurements. The growth performance of hundreds of algal strains can be compared at the uniform and sustainable condition using this system. In addition, the system can be used for high throughput screening for algal strains that can tolerate other gases, such as NO_x, SO_x, or flue gas.

Methods

Organisms and culture conditions

The algal strains used in this study were isolated from waters collected from different parts of the Chesapeake Bay including the Baltimore Inner Harbor and the Back River (Baltimore, Maryland), using agar plates made of BG11 [26] as a freshwater medium and SN medium [27] as a seawater medium. Single algal colonies were picked and transferred to 96-well plates, and scaled up to large culture flasks. The algal cultures were illuminated continually using the plant light (Agro-Lite R20, 50 W, PHILIPS) at 25μE/ m²/s. This light level was carefully selected for growing algae in the small volume of 48 well Costar plates (Coring, NY, USA). Considering the low starting algal density and the amount of photon received

Figure 5 System used for charging specific concentration of CO₂ to the GasPak bags. For instance, to generate 10% (v/v) CO₂, the flow of pure CO₂ was set at the speed of 1 L/min using the controller on the right hand side and the flow of air was set at a velocity of 9 L/min using the controller on the left hand site. The CO₂/air mixture was then used to inflate the GasPak bag as shown.

by individual cells, the light intensity in this setting is within the appropriate range.

The CO₂ mixing and incubation system

To set up different concentrations of CO₂, pure CO₂ and air were blended using a device with two gas flow meters (Figure 5).

CO₂ equilibrium experiment

In order to test the stability of CO₂ concentration inside GasPak™ bag (Becton Dickinson, NJ, USA), one milliliter of BG11 or SN15 medium was added to individual wells on a 48-well microtiter plate. Four identical plates were prepared and placed into 4 GasPak™ bags which were charged with 2, 5, 10 and 20% CO₂, respectively. The pH values in the culture media were measured at 2, 4, 24 and 48 hours, using an Accumet Basic pH meter (Fisher Scientific). Only culture media (no algal inoculation) were used in this test, and the same experiment was repeated 3 times.

High throughput CO₂ tolerant strain screening

A 48-well-microtiter plate (without lid) that contains multiple algal strains was placed inside a GasPak™ bag and the bag was aerated with desired concentration of CO₂. The sealed bags were incubated with light at 25 μE/ m²/s.

In this experiment, different algal strains were dispensed into 48-well plates and charged with 2, 5, 10 and 20% of CO₂ respectively. The growth of algae was monitored by cell density (OD600) every other day using a multi-mode microplate reader (Molecular devices, SpectraMax M5). After reading, the culture plates were placed back into the bags, and the system was re-charged with desired concentration of CO₂.

Identification of algal strains

Genomic DNA of selected strains were extracted and 18S or 16S ribosomal RNA gene was amplified using the universal primers for eukaryotes and prokaryotes, respectively [28]. Phylogenetic trees were constructed based on partial 18S or 16S *rRNA* gene sequences using ARB Neighbor-joining algorithms with 100 bootstrap [29]. Comparison was carried out between selected strains from this work and high CO₂ tolerant species reported from other studies.

Competing interests

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Authors' contribution

Experimental design: FC, LZ. Performing the experiments: LZ FZ. Data analysis: FC LZ FZ. Manuscript preparation: LZ FC FZ. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Robert Mroz and Jack French for providing the unpublished data on the scale up cultivation of *Scenedesmus* sp. We also thank Odi Zmora and Mathew Moore for helping the design of the CO₂ mixer. The funding from Maryland Industrial Partnership supports this work.

Received: 10 May 2013 Accepted: 11 December 2013

Published: 17 December 2013

References

1. EPA: *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emission and Sinks 1990–2010*. Washington, DC: Environmental Protection Agency; 2012.
2. Bernstein L, Bosch P, Canziani O, Chen Z, Christ R, Davidson O, Hare W, Huq S, Karoly D, Kattsov V, et al. *Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report: An Assessment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC; 2008:104.
3. Omer AM: **Energy, environment and sustainable development**. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2008, **12**(9):2265–2300.
4. Stewart C, Hessami M-A: **A study of methods of carbon dioxide capture and sequestration—the sustainability of a photosynthetic bioreactor approach**. *Energy Convers Manag* 2005, **46**:403–420.
5. Wang B, Li Y, Wu N, Lan C: **CO₂ bio-mitigation using microalgae**. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2008, **79**(5):707–718.
6. Hase R, Oikawa H, Sasao C, Morita M, Watanabe Y: **Photosynthetic production of microalgal biomass in a raceway system under greenhouse conditions in Sendai city**. *J Biosci Bioeng* 2000, **89**:157–163.
7. Chiu S-Y, Kao C-Y, Huang T-T, Lin C-J, Ong S-C, Chen C-D, Chang J-S, Lin C-S: **Microalgal biomass production and on-site bioremediation of carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxide and sulfur dioxide from flue gas using *Chlorella* sp. cultures**. *Bioresour Technol* 2011, **102**(19):9135–9142.
8. Brennan L, Owende P: **Biofuels from microalgae—a review of technologies for production, processing, and extractions of biofuels and co-products**. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2010, **14**:557–577.
9. Chen C-Y, Yeh K-L, Aisyah R, Lee D-J, Chang J-S: **Cultivation, photobioreactor design and harvesting of microalgae for biodiesel production: a critical review**. *Bioresour Technol* 2011, **102**(1):71–81.
10. EEA: *Air pollution from electricity-generating large combustion plants*. Copenhagen: European Environment Agency; 2008.
11. Douskova I, Doucha J, Livansky K, Machat J, Novak P, Umysova D, Zachleder V, Vitova M: **Simultaneous flue gas bioremediation and reduction of microalgal biomass production costs**. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2009, **82**(1):179–185.
12. Kadam KL: **Power plant flue gas as a source of CO₂ for microalgae cultivation: economic impact of different process options**. *Energy Convers Manag* 1997, **38**:S505–S510.
13. Maeda K, Owada M, Kimura N, Omata K, Karube I: **CO₂ fixation from the flue gas on coal-fired thermal power plant by microalgae**. *Energy Convers Manag* 1995, **36**(6–9):717–720.
14. Hanagata N, Takeuchi T, Fukujū Y, Barnes DJ, Karube I: **Tolerance of microalgae to high CO₂ and high temperature**. *Phytochemistry* 1992, **31**:3345–3348.
15. Kodama M, Ikemoto H, Miyachi S: **A new species of highly CO₂-tolerant fast-growing marine microalga suitable for high-density culture**. *J Mar Biotechnol* 1993, **1**(1):21–25.
16. Seckbach J, Baker FA, Shugarman PM: *Algae thrive under pure CO₂*; 1970.
17. de Moraes M, Costa J: **Carbon dioxide fixation by *Chlorella kessleri*, *C. vulgaris*, *Scenedesmus obliquus* and *Spirulina* sp. cultivated in flasks and vertical tubular photobioreactors**. *Biotechnol Lett* 2007, **29**:1349–1352.
18. Ho S-H, Chen W-M, Chang J-S: ***Scenedesmus obliquus* CNW-N as a potential candidate for CO₂ mitigation and biodiesel production**. *Bioresour Technol* 2010, **101**:8725–8730.
19. Kaewkannetra P, Enmak P, Chiu T: **The effect of CO₂ and salinity on the cultivation of *Scenedesmus obliquus* for biodiesel production**. *Biotechnol Bioproc E* 2012, **17**(3):591–597.
20. Rodriguez-Maroto JM, Jimenez C, Aguilera J, Niell FX: **Air bubbling results in carbon loss during microalgal cultivation in bicarbonate-enriched media: experimental data and process modeling**. *Aquac Eng* 2005, **32**(3):493–508.
21. Kumar K, Dasgupta CN, Nayak B, Lindblad P, Das D: **Development of suitable photobioreactors for CO₂ sequestration addressing global**

- warming using green algae and cyanobacteria. *Bioresour Technol* 2011, **102**(8):4945–4953.
22. Singh RN, Sharma S: Development of suitable photobioreactor for algae production – A review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2012, **16**(4):2347–2353.
 23. Díez B, Pedrós-Alió C, Massana R: Study of genetic diversity of eukaryotic picoplankton in different oceanic regions by small-subunit rRNA gene cloning and sequencing. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2001, **67**(7):2932–2941.
 24. Ebenezer V, Medlin L, Ki J-S: Molecular detection, quantification, and diversity evaluation of microalgae. *Marine Biotechnol* 2012, **14**:129–142.
 25. Colt J: Computation of dissolved gas concentrations in water as functions of temperature, salinity, and pressure. *Am Fish Soc Spec Publ* 1984, **14**:110–111.
 26. Stanier RY, Kunisawa R, Mandel M, Cohen-Bazire G: Purification and properties of unicellular blue-green algae (order Chroococcales). *Bacterial Rev* 1971, **35**:171–205.
 27. Waterbury JB, Watson SW, Valois FW, Franks DG: Biological and ecological characterization of the marine unicellular cyanobacterium *Synechococcus*. *Can Bull Fish Aquat Sci* 1986, **214**:71–120.
 28. Rasoul-Amini S, Ghasemi Y, Morowvat MH, Mohagheghzadeh A: PCR amplification of 18S rRNA, single cell protein production and fatty acid evaluation of some naturally isolated microalgae. *Food Chem* 2009, **116**(1):129–136.
 29. Ludwig W, Strunk O, Westram R, Richter L, Meier H, Yadhukumar, Buchner A, Lai T, Steppi S, Jöbb G, *et al*: ARB: a software environment for sequence data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, **32**:1363–1371.

doi:10.1186/2046-9063-9-23

Cite this article as: Liu *et al*: High throughput screening of CO₂-tolerating microalgae using GasPak bags. *Aquatic Biosystems* 2013 **9**:23.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit