Published in final edited form as:

Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2011 May ; 92(2): 261–264. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2011.01.007.

Greater hand-grip strength predicts a lower risk of developing type 2 diabetes over 10 years in leaner Japanese Americans

P.L. Wander¹, E.J. Boyko^{1,2}, D.L. Leonetti³, M.J. McNeely¹, S.E. Kahn^{1,2}, and W.Y. Fujimoto¹

¹Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

²VA Puget Sound Health Care System, Seattle, WA

³Department of Anthropology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Abstract

Aims—Much is known about body composition and type 2 diabetes risk but less about body function such as strength. We assessed whether hand-grip strength predicted incident diabetes.

Methods—We followed 394 nondiabetic Japanese-American subjects (mean age 51.9) for the development of diabetes. We fit a logistic regression model to examine the association between hand-grip strength at baseline and type 2 diabetes risk over 10 years, adjusted for age, sex, and family history.

Results—A statistically significant ($p = 0.008$) and negative (coefficient -0.208) association was observed between hand-grip strength and diabetes risk that diminished at higher BMI levels. Adjusted ORs for a 10-pound hand-grip strength increase with BMI set at the 25th, 50th or 75th percentiles were 0.68, 0.79, and 0.98, respectively.

Conclusions—Among leaner individuals, greater hand-grip strength was associated with lower risk of type 2 diabetes, suggesting it may be a useful marker of risk in this population.

Keywords

Epidemiology; muscle; hand strength; Japanese-American

Much is known about body composition and type 2 diabetes risk. The association between diabetes and obesity is well established[1]. They are national epidemics that seem to travel together, but evidence suggests that the association between abnormalities of body composition and performance and diabetes are complex. Both muscle mass and strength have been shown to differ in persons with type 2 diabetes compared to healthy controls. In cross-sectional studies, hand-grip strength (hand-grip strength) was lower in individuals with diabetes than in those without[2, 3], and type 2 diabetes has recently been shown to be associated with skeletal muscle loss over time[4]. Additionally, both strength and muscle quality, defined as muscle strength per unit regional muscle mass, were significantly lower in individuals with diabetes, with each being worse with longer duration and poorer control[5].

Corresponding author: P.L. Wander, M.D., Department of Medicine, 1959 NE Pacific Street, Box 356421, Seattle, WA 98195-6421, Ph: (206) 543-3605, Fax: (206) 685-8652, lwander@u.washington.edu.

Disclosure

The authors of this study have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Hand-grip strength (HGS) is an established marker for conditioning, and has specifically been shown to be associated with overall fitness in persons with type 1 diabetes [6]. Whether loss of skeletal muscle mass and strength precedes the development of diabetes is not known, although circumstantial evidence does suggest that even within the normal range of blood glucose, higher glucose level is associated with lower grip strength[3]. Therefore we tested whether baseline differences in hand-grip strength might serve as a marker for the subsequent development of type 2 diabetes in a nondiabetic population.

Methods

We followed 394 nondiabetic Japanese-American subjects for the development of diabetes at 10 years. Study subjects were taken from the Japanese-American Community Diabetes Study, a cohort of second- and third-generation Japanese Americans of 100% Japanese ancestry, and were representative of Japanese-American residents of King County, WA, in age, residential distribution, and parental immigration pattern. A comprehensive mailing list and telephone directory that included almost 95% of the Japanese-American population of King County, WA, was used to identify and contact potential study subjects. Subjects returned for follow-up 10–11 years after a baseline evaluation. Selection and recruitment have been described in greater detail previously[7].

Overall 80% of subjects eligible at baseline completed the 10-year follow-up assessment. Hand-grip strength was measured at baseline with a Harpenden R dynamometer (British Indicators Ltd, St Albans, England) in pounds three times on the dominant hand (reset to 0 each time). The value entered was the average of the two highest values. Presence of diabetes was assessed using the oral glucose tolerance test (75 g load) and defined as fasting glucose ≥ 126 mg/dl and/or 2-hr glucose ≥ 200 mg/dl [8], or use of diabetes medication. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as weight in kg divided by height in meters squared. Physical activity in leisure time was obtained from a modified Paffenbarger questionnaire and calculated as weekly kilocalories of energy expenditure.

Logistic regression was used to estimate the association between hand-grip strength and odds of incident diabetes while adjusting for covariates known to be associated with type 2 diabetes (age, family history of diabetes in a parent or sibling and BMI) and sex [9–11]. Interactions between hand-grip strength and model covariates were assessed using first-order interaction terms inserted in the logistic model. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for continuous variables are shown for a 10-pound increment in hand-grip strength. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed with Stata (version 9.2; StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Results

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics by diabetes status at 10-year follow-up. The study population consisted of 394 Japanese-American subjects (mean age 51.9 years, range 34–75). The subject population was made up slightly more of males (53%) and had a mean BMI of 24.1 (range 16.6 to 36.9) kg/m^2 with a mean hand-grip strength of 50.4 (range 21.5 to 86.5) kg. The 10-year cumulative incidence of diabetes was 18.5%.

Higher age (OR 1.06, 95% CI 1.02–1.09), BMI (OR 1.11, 95% CI 1.01–1.21), and positive family history of diabetes (OR 2.76, 95% CI 1.60–4.77) were all significantly associated with an increased risk of diabetes. Sex was not associated with diabetes (OR 0.78, 95% CI 0.28–2.15), and there was no significant association between hand-grip strength and incident diabetes. However, when we modeled interaction, there was a significant positive interaction between BMI and hand-grip strength (interaction term coefficient 0.008, 95% CI 0.002–

0.0135, p-value 0.007), such that among the lean individuals in the study population, greater hand-grip strength was associated with lower risk of type 2 diabetes (Figure). The diminution in risk however was attenuated at higher BMI levels. The coefficient for hand-grip strength was negative (-0.208) and statistically significant ($p=0.008$) (Table 2).

Adjusted ORs for a 10-pound increase in hand-grip strength when BMI was set to the 25th, 50th or 75th percentiles were 0.68 (95% CI 0.43–1.09), 0.79 (95% CI 0.52–1.20), and 0.98 (95% CI 0.67–1.43) respectively (Table 3). Similar results were seen with adjustment for baseline fasting plasma glucose and physical activity measured as weekly kilocalories of energy expenditure (data not shown). No other significant interactions between hand-grip strength and other model covariates were present.

Discussion

These data suggest that among leaner individuals higher muscle strength was associated with lower risk of type 2 diabetes, an interesting finding in light of current understanding of muscle physiology and protein metabolism in diabetes. It is known that muscle strength and quality are affected by the natural course of diabetes[5]. However, recent data demonstrate that individuals with undiagnosed diabetes show greater declines in appendicular lean mass than those with longstanding disease, suggesting that the effect of type 2 diabetes on skeletal muscle mass seems to be manifested in the early stages of the disease or may precede disease onset. It has been suggested that these changes may be due to associated abnormalities in protein metabolism that may negatively affect muscle mass[12].

If these abnormalities are linked to a higher risk of diabetes then this might explain the association that we observed. Also, hand-grip strength may reflect physical fitness and it has been repeatedly shown that higher physical activity is associated with a lower diabetes risk[13]. Therefore, HGS may serve as a cumulative marker of exercise over time, although in this analysis adjustment for physical activity measured in total kilocalories per week did not change the association between HGS and diabetes risk. HGS may relate more directly to the performance of resistance exercise, which is associated with improved levels of glycemia in persons at higher risk for the development of type 2 diabetes who were randomized to a resistance training program [14]. The association between hand-grip strength and diabetes risk was diminished at higher levels of BMI. The reasons for this are not clear, but suggest that if the association is causal then the known effects of adiposity on diabetes risk may override any potential benefit associated with greater grip strength and its correlates.

This study has several potential limitations. Although we did adjust for known covariates, the potential exists for confounding given the observational design. Hand-grip strength was measured only at baseline and diabetes status determined with a single measurement at baseline and 10-year follow-up. Variability in the performance of these tests over time may have introduced error in these analyses, although the error is probably random and therefore any bias created would probably have been towards the null value. Therefore the associations we report may be underestimates. Additionally, this study protocol used an older dynamometer model. More recent studies of grip strength have used the Jamar dynamometer, and whether our results can be generalized to this device remains to be proven.

The 20% loss to follow-up could have introduced bias if such loss was related to both diabetes risk and hand-grip strength. Nevertheless, this rate is low for a prospective study of this type conducted over a prolonged period of time, and which demanded a good deal of subject commitment to complete study measurements. While the exclusively Japanese-

American cohort limits generalizability, it also decreases the chance of confounding due to genetic admixture. Although the definition of diabetes status by a single glucose measurement is not adequate for clinical diagnosis of diabetes, the World Health Organization has consistently supported using a single measurement of hyperglycemia to classify diabetes status for epidemiologic purposes[15]. Lastly, given that the effect of the interaction between HGS and BMI is diminished at higher BMI levels, this finding is of less use in diabetes prediction in heavier individuals who are a higher risk for diabetes.

In summary, this analysis suggests a potential novel method to assist in the estimation of diabetes risk in leaner persons, but additional research will be needed to confirm its value and exclude a chance association.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants DK-31170, HL-49293, and DK-02654; by facilities and services provided by the Diabetes and Endocrinology Research Center (DK-17047), Clinical Nutrition Research Unit (DK-35816), and the General Clinical Research Center (RR-00037) at the University of Washington. VA Puget Sound Health Care System provides support for Drs. Boyko and Kahn's involvement in this research. We are grateful to the King County Japanese-American Community for support and cooperation.

References

1. Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Bowman BA, et al. Prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and obesity-related health risk factors, 2001. *Jama*. 2003; 289:76–79. [PubMed: 12503980]
2. Cetinus E, Buyukbese MA, Uzel M, Ekerbicer H, Karaoguz A. Hand grip strength in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2005; 70:278–286. [PubMed: 15878215]
3. Sayer AA, Dennison EM, Syddall HE, Gilbody HJ, Phillips DI, Cooper C. Type 2 diabetes, muscle strength, and impaired physical function: the tip of the iceberg? *Diabetes Care*. 2005; 28:2541–2542. [PubMed: 16186295]
4. Park SW, Goodpaster BH, Lee JS, et al. Excessive loss of skeletal muscle mass in older adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2009; 32:1993–1997. [PubMed: 19549734]
5. Park SW, Goodpaster BH, Strotmeyer ES, et al. Decreased muscle strength and quality in older adults with type 2 diabetes: the health, aging, and body composition study. *Diabetes*. 2006; 55:1813–1818. [PubMed: 16731847]
6. Wallymahmed ME, Morgan C, Gill GV, MacFarlane IA. Aerobic fitness and hand grip strength in Type 1 diabetes: relationship to glycaemic control and body composition. *Diabet Med*. 2007; 24:1296–1299. [PubMed: 17956456]
7. Fujimoto WY, Bergstrom RW, Boyko EJ, et al. Diabetes and diabetes risk factors in second- and third-generation Japanese Americans in Seattle, Washington. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 1994; 24(Suppl):S43–52. [PubMed: 7859632]
8. Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 1997; 20:1183–1197. [PubMed: 9203460]
9. Li H, Isomaa B, Taskinen MR, Groop L, Tuomi T. Consequences of a family history of type 1 and type 2 diabetes on the phenotype of patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2000; 23:589–594. [PubMed: 10834414]
10. Narayan KM, Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, Gregg EW, Williamson DF. Effect of BMI on lifetime risk for diabetes in the U.S. *Diabetes Care*. 2007; 30:1562–1566. [PubMed: 17372155]
11. Narayan KM, Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, Sorensen SW, Williamson DF. Lifetime risk for diabetes mellitus in the United States. *Jama*. 2003; 290:1884–1890. [PubMed: 14532317]
12. Gougeon R, Morais JA, Chevalier S, Pereira S, Lamarche M, Marliss EB. Determinants of whole-body protein metabolism in subjects with and without type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2008; 31:128–133. [PubMed: 17921356]
13. Jeon CY, Lokken RP, Hu FB, van Dam RM. Physical activity of moderate intensity and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *Diabetes Care*. 2007; 30:744–752. [PubMed: 17327354]

14. Payne WR, Walsh KJ, Harvey JT, et al. Effect of a low-resource-intensive lifestyle modification program incorporating gymnasium-based and home-based resistance training on type 2 diabetes risk in Australian adults. *Diabetes Care*. 2008; 31:2244–2250. [PubMed: 18796621]
15. Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus provisional report of a WHO consultation. *Diabet Med*. 1998; 15:539–553. [PubMed: 9686693]

Figure.

Probability of 10-year incident type 2 diabetes by baseline hand-grip strength (continuous measurement). Probabilities are shown for persons with BMI set to the 25th percentile (solid line), median (long-dashed line), or 75th percentile (short-dashed line) and average age, sex and family history for this cohort.

Table 1

Baseline characteristics of study subjects by diabetes status at 10-year follow-up

	Diabetes	No Diabetes	OR (95% CI)
Age	57.4 ± 0.6	50.6 ± 1.3	1.06 (1.02–1.09)
% male	60% ± 6	52% ± 3	0.78 (0.28–2.15)
Family history	58% ± 6	31% ± 3	2.76 (1.60–4.77)
BMI	25.2 ± 0.4	23.8 ± 0.2	1.11 (1.01–1.21)
HGS lbs	50.0 ± 1.6	50.5 ± 0.8	1.00 (0.96–1.04)

Table 2

Model coefficients of diabetes odds at 10-year follow-up adjusted for age, sex, family history, and BMI

	Coefficient (95% CI)	p-value
Age, in years	0.052 (0.022–0.082)	0.001
Sex	–0.523 (–1.578–0.531)	0.331
Family history	1.069 (0.513–1.623)	0.000
BMI, in kg/m ²	–0.286 (–0.581–0.009)	0.057
Grip strength, in lbs	–0.207 (–0.361– –0.054)	0.008
BMI x grip strength	0.008 (0.002–0.134)	0.007

Table 3

Odds ratios of developing diabetes stratified by BMI percentile

BMI percentile	BMI, in kg/m ²	Odds ratio	95% CI
25 th	21.7	0.68	0.43–1.09
50 th	23.6	0.79	0.52–1.20
75 th	26.2	0.98	0.67–1.43