Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2013 April 5; 78(7): 3342–3348. doi:10.1021/jo302683t.

Chiral Cyclopropenyl Ketones— Reactive and Selective Diels-Alder Dienophiles

Laural A. Fisher, Natalee J. Smith, and Joseph M. Fox*

Brown Laboratories, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware, 19716, USA

Abstract

The synthesis and Diels-Alder reactions of cyclopropenyl ketones are described. Cyclopropenyl ketones are highly reactive dienophiles that can engage a range of cyclic dienes and 2,3-dimethylbutadiene. The strategy of using cyclopropenyl ketones to facilitate Diels-Alder reactions is not limited to products that contain three-membered rings, as reductive opening by SmI_2 can be used to produce a product that lacks a cyclopropane but retains a quaternary stereogenic center.

The Diels-Alder reaction serves as a benchmark for efficiency and selectivity for the generation of molecular complexity.¹ Despite the impressive advances in the development of the Diels-Alder reaction, challenges still remain for engaging highly substituted dienophiles in selective cycloadditions. For many years, cyclopropenes have been recognized as reactive dienophiles in the Diels-Alder reaction,² and high diastereoselectivity has been observed in the Diels-Alder reactions of cyclopropenes with C-3 hydrogens.³ The high diastereoselectivity in such cyclopropene Diels-Alder reactions has been attributed to an attractive C-H π interaction between the allylic C-H and the diene via an *endo*, C-H *syn* transition state,^{3b,c} although the origin of stereocontrol is debated.^{3d,e} Given this strong preference for *endo*-selectivity, we hypothesized that cyclopropenes should be able to dominate over other stereochemical directors that may be present in cycloaddition reactions. In particular, we hoped to develop chiral cyclopropenyl ketones (**A**) as tools for setting relative stereochemistry in cycloadditions (Scheme 1), in which the cyclopropene can override the carbonyl as a directing group, and thereby control the stereochemical fate of Diels-Alder cycloadditions. As cyclopropanes can readily be cleaved, such strategies would not be limited to products that contain three-membered rings.

The Diels-Alder chemistry of cyclopropenes dates back to classic experiments by Wiberg in 1960.² Boger has elegantly described the reactions of cyclopropenone ketals with electron-deficient dienes, electron-rich dienes, and neutral dienes,⁴ and thereby established the ability of cyclopropenone ketals to cross the traditional boundaries of normal and inverse-electron demand Diels-Alder reactivity.^{5,6} However, there were relatively few studies of Diels-Alder

*jmfox@udel.edu.

Supporting Information Available Copies of ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra file are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Among chiral cyclopropene derivatives, cycloprop-2-ene carboxylates are attractive synthetic building blocks that can be directly accessed via reactions of diazoesters with alkynes.⁹ Through asymmetric catalysis or resolution, cycloprop-2-ene carboxylates can be prepared in enantiomerically enriched form with excellent substrate scope.⁹ Although Diels-Alder reactions of cycloprop-2-ene carboxylic esters were first described in 1961,^{8g} the scope of reactivity for such dienophiles had been limited.⁸ One limitation had been the poor scope of reactivity for derivatives with 2,3-disubstitution. Cyclopentadiene had been shown to combine with methyl 2,3-diphenylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylate^{8g} and methyl 2,3-dipropylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylate.^{8j} Methyl 2,3-diphenylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylate also reacts with the cyclone from 1,3-diphenylacetone and acenaphthylene.^{8h,j} However, the reactions of 2,3-dimethylbutadiene with either methyl 2,3-diphenylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylate or 2,3-diphenylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylic acid were reported to be sluggish, producing only low yields after prolonged reflux (Eq 1).^{8g,10}

A second limitation was that the vast majority of Diels-Alder reactions with derivatives of cycloprop-2-ene carboxylates involved combination with cyclopentadiene,⁸ and few studies have engaged less reactive dienes. Corey and coworkers have demonstrated that the reaction of enantiomerically enriched ethyl 2-pentylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylate with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene gives a single diastereomer in 89% yield.^{8a} In 2008, our group described *endo*-selective Diels-Alder reactions in which 3-(cycloprop-2-en-1-yl)-3H-benzoxazol-2-one combined with cyclopentadiene, 1,3-cyclohexadiene, and 1,3-octadiene in 64–95% yield.^{8f}

One approach to enhancing the reactivity of cyclopropenes in Diels-Alder reactions has been to activate the alkene through conjugation to an ester.^{11,12} Most studied have been methyl 3,3-dimethylcycloprop-1-ene carboxylate and related derivatives, which can engage a range of cyclic and acyclic dienes.¹² This reactive dienophile can be produced by photochemical nitrogen extrusion of methyl 3,3-dimethyl-3*H*-pyrazole-5-carboxylate (Eq 2), as first shown by Franck-Neumann.^{12a} Elegant studies by Rigby and coworkers have shown that the pyrazole precursor can also function in Diels-Alder reactions, and that photochemical nitrogen extrusion yields the complementary stereoisomer relative to direct Diels-Alder reaction of 3,3-dimethylcycloprop-1-ene carboxylate.^{12d,e} Together, these ester-conjugated cyclopropenes have enhanced Diels-Alder reactivity, but the dienophile scope has been restricted to a narrow set of achiral cyclopropenes with *gem*-dimethyl substitution at C-3.

We hypothesized that Diels-Alder reactions of cyclopropenyl ketones **B** would be powerful tools for carbocyclic ring construction (Scheme 2). Because **B** is doubly activated (by strain, and as an α,β -unsaturated ketone), it was expected to be a highly reactive dienophile. Diels-Alder reactions of **B** were also expected to be regioselective (controlled by the polarity of the enone) and stereoselective (controlled by the allylic hydrogen of the cyclopropene). We hypothesized that chiral dianions **A**¹² could be used to prepare cyclopropenyl ketones **B** (Scheme 2). 1-Lithiocyclopropenes have been used to stage intramolecular Diels-Alder reactions in elegant studies by Magnus¹³ and Boger.¹⁴

In prior studies, it was shown that dianions **A** are much more stable than the monoanions of the corresponding esters.¹⁵ It was also established that aldehydes react with lithio cyclopropenes of structure **A**.¹⁶ We sought to learn if the resulting alcohols could be oxidized to create α,β -unsaturated cyclopropenyl ketones. It was unknown if the cyclopropene or the acyl group would direct the *endo*-selectivity of the reaction, or if a mixture of products would result.¹⁶

Studies were initiated by adding propanal to the dianion of 2-methylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylic acid (Scheme 3). After workup, the crude hydroxyl acid was directly esterified with diazomethane to provide **1**. Compound **1** was then oxidized using Dess-Martin periodinate in a solution of freshly distilled cyclopentadiene and CH_2Cl_2 at 0 °C. The reaction proceeded with warming to r.t., and within 15 minutes **1** was completely consumed and the product **3** was formed in 93% yield. The cyclopropene dominates the *endo*-selectivity¹⁸ in the cycloaddition to give **3** as only one detectable diastereomer (>95:5 d.r.). While cyclopropenyl ketones can be generated and reacted *in situ*, they can also be isolated. Thus, compounds **6** and **8** (see Scheme 4) could be formed by Dess-Martin oxidation of the corresponding alcohols in 86% and 89%, respectively, and were found to stable over a period of weeks when stored neat in the freezer.

The reactivity of tetrasubstituted alkene **2** toward cyclopentadiene is a striking contrast to the reactivity of precursor **1**. Without oxidation, alcohol **1** reacts only sluggishly with cyclopentadiene. After 14 hours at r.t. in CH_2Cl_2 , adduct **4** was formed in only 37% yield as a 4:1 mixture of diastereomers (Eq 3). Both diastereomers were shown to be *endo* by oxidizing the mixture with Dess-Martin periodinane to give **3** as the sole convergent product, and by characterizing of the 3,5-dinitrophenylester of the major diastereomer of **4** by x-ray diffraction.

(3)

The scope of the Diels-Alder reactions of cyclopropenyl ketones is further elaborated in Scheme 4. The reaction of **1** with 1,3-cyclohexadiene in the presence of Dess-Martin reagent produced a 90% yield of adduct **5** as a single diastereomer (>95:5 d.r.). The reaction of ketone **6** with 1-methoxy-1,3-cyclohexadiene was found to be sluggish at r.t. and in non-polar solvents. The ideal conditions for this reaction, and several of the subsequent reactions, were to combine the purified enone in ethanol at 75 °C for four hours. Under these

conditions the reaction proceeded to give **7** in 82% yield. The reaction of **8** with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene when subjected to identical reaction conditions produced **9** in 78% yield. The reaction of **8** with furan resulted in an 81% yield of **10** as a 2:1 mixture of diastereomers.¹⁸ The low stereoselectivity with furan is preceded by the Diels-Alder reaction between furan and cyclopropene.¹⁷

While 2,3-disubstituted cyclopropene carboxylates were constructed using dianion chemistry, cyclopropene **11** could be prepared in one pot from 3-triethylsiloxy-1-decyne. Diels-Alder reaction with *in situ* oxidation by Dess-Martin periodinane gave an 83% yield of adduct **12** (Scheme 4, bottom). Unfortunately, our attempts to use 1,3-octadiene, 1,3-cycloheptadiene were unsuccessful, and led only to decomposition products under forcing conditions. Danishefsky's Diene does react with the enone **2**, but the isolated product is not a simple 4+2 adduct. Exploration of the reactivity of silyloxydienes with cyclopropenyl ketones is ongoing.

As the Diels-Alder adducts in Schemes 3 and 4 are 1,2-diacylcyclopropanes, it was anticipated that the cyclopropane rings could be opened under reductive conditions, and thereby translate the chirality of cyclopropene carboxylates to compounds that lack 3-membered rings. Indeed, compound **3** readily opens upon treatment with SmI_2 to provide **13** in 75% yield as an 88:12 mixture of diastereomers (Eq 4). The major diastereomer was assigned through an NOE experiment.

(4)

In summary, the synthesis and Diels-Alder reactivity of cyclopropenyl ketones are described. These dienophiles can readily be prepared via additions of aldehydes with dianions of chiral cycloprop-2-ene carboxylic acids. Cyclopropenyl ketones are significantly more reactive than their allylic alcohol precursors, and can engage a range of cyclic dienes and 2,3-dimethylbutadiene. This strategy of using cyclopropenyl ketones to facilitate Diels-Alder reactions is not limited to the synthesis of products that contain 3-membered rings. Reductive opening by SmI_2 provides a method for synthesizing products that lack a cyclopropane ring but still retain a quaternary stereogenic center.

Experimental Section

Diastereomers of methyl 2-(1-hydroxypropyl)-3-methylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylate (**1**)

To a dried 25 mL flask was added 2-methylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylic acid¹⁹ (120 mg, 1.23 mmol). THF (7.7 mL) was added via syringe, and the solution was cooled (-78°C). MeLi (1.70 mL of a 1.6 M solution in Et_2O , 2.70 mmol) was added via syringe. After the 10 min, the cold bath was removed and stirring continued until an internal temperature of $\sim 0 - 5^\circ\text{C}$ was reached. To the yellow solution was added propionaldehyde (185 mg, 232 μL , 3.19 mmol) via syringe. After stirring at r.t. for 10 min, the reaction was quenched with 15 mL of water, and aq. 3 M HCl was added to render the solution acidic (pH 1-2). NaCl was added to saturate the aqueous layer. The aqueous phase was extracted eight times with ethyl acetate.

The combined organics were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was then taken up in 75 mL of diethyl ether and transferred to a 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask. Diazald (789 mg, 3.68 mmol) was taken up in 75 mL of absolute ethanol in a Lombardi flask.¹⁵ Behind a blast shield, NaOH (1.13 g, 28.2 mmol) in 2.8 mL of water was added dropwise and the resulting diazomethane was bubbled into the flask containing the cyclopropene using a stream of nitrogen. After the diazomethane solution had changed from yellow to colorless, nitrogen was bubbled for an additional 15 min. The solution was concentrated and the residue chromatographed (5-30% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to provide 175 mg (84%) of a 53:47 (NMR) mixture of diastereomers as a clear oil. An 85% yield was obtained in a repetition on 289 mg scale. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 4.45-4.68 (m, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 1H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 1.70-1.80 (m, 2H), 1.01 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, minor diastereomer), 0.96 (t, J = 7.54 Hz, 3H, major diastereomer); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): 177.12 (C), 177.06 (C), 107.4 (C), 107.2 (C), 105.0 (C), 104.9 (C), 68.2 (CH), 67.6 (CH), 51.6 (CH₃, two overlapping peaks), 28.9 (CH₂), 28.3 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₃), 22.7 (CH₃), 9.93 (CH₃), 9.92 (CH₃), 9.48 (CH₃), 9.45 (CH₃); IR(neat, cm⁻¹): 3440, 2964, 2879, 1727, 1437, 1249, 1198, 974; HRMS-ESI m/z : [M+Na], calcd for C₉H₁₄O₃Na, 193.0841; found, 193.0837.

(*rel*-1S, 2S, 4S, 5R) methyl 2-methyl-4-propionyltricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]oct-6-ene-3-carboxylate (3)

A 4-dram vial equipped with a stirbar was charged with **1** (35 mg, 0.20 mmol). CH₂Cl₂ (0.4 mL) was added followed by cyclopentadiene (135 mg, 168 μ L, 2.04 mmol) and Dess-Martin periodinate (DMP, 130 mg, 0.307 mmol). After 5 min, the solution was filtered through celite using diethyl ether. Chromatography (5 – 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) gave 43 mg (90%) of the title compound. The yield was 95% for a repetition on 175 mg scale. For larger scale preparations, it was necessary to cool the reaction mixture during the addition of DMP: inseparable diastereomers were obtained if this precaution was not taken (plausibly due to the exothermicity of DMP solvation). Thus: A 25 mL flask was charged with **1** (831 mg, 4.89 mmol). CH₂Cl₂ was added (9.8 mL) followed by cyclopentadiene (3.2 g, 4.0 mL, 49 mmol). The solution was cooled in an ice bath for 5 minutes, and DMP (3.1 g, 7.3 mmol) was added. The solution was allowed to stir for an additional 5 minutes before removing the ice bath and allowing it to warm to rt with stirring for 20 min. Purification gave 1.05 g (91%) of the title compound as a clear oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 6.06-6.09 (m, 1H), 6.01-6.04 (m, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.27-3.33 (m, 1H), 2.76-2.79 (m, 1H), 2.53-2.71 (m, 2H), 2.08 (s, 1H), 1.97-2.01 (m, 1H), 1.75-1.80 (m, 1H), 1.50 (s, 1H), 1.07 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): 207.6 (C), 169.8 (C), 134.5 (CH), 133.4 (CH), 60.6 (C), 51.6 (CH₃), 51.5 (CH), 47.8 (CH), 43.1 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH), 38.2 (C), 34.1 (CH₂), 13.3 (CH₃), 7.7 (CH₃); IR(neat, cm⁻¹): 2974, 2874, 1729, 1680, 1436, 1219, 1042, 753; HRMS-ESI m/z : [M+Na], calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₃Na, 257.1154; found, 257.1161.

***rel*-(1S, 2S, 4S, 5S) Methyl 2-methyl-4-propionyltricyclo[3.2.2.0^{2,4}]non-6-ene-3-carboxylate (5)**

A 4-dram vial equipped with a stirbar was placed **1** (37 mg, 0.22 mmol). CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added followed by 1,3-cyclohexadiene (52 mg, 62 μ L, 0.65 mmol) and Dess-Martin periodinate (138 mg, 0.326 mmol). After 16 h at rt, the solution was filtered through celite using diethyl ether. Chromatography (5 – 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) gave 47 mg (87%) of the title compound as a clear oil. The yield was 93% for a repetition on 44 mg scale. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 5.98-6.05 (m, 1H), 5.91-5.98 (m, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 2.84-2.94 (m, 1H), 2.38-2.65 (m, 3H), 1.86-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.77 (s, 1H), 1.60-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.05-1.16 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): 208.2 (C), 171.8 (C), 131.7 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 51.7 (CH₃), 40.1 (C), 38.4 (CH), 34.5 (CH₂), 34.0 (CH), 28.1 (C), 27.3 (CH), 21.8 (CH₂), 21.1 (CH₂), 11.4 (CH₃), 7.9 (CH₃); IR(neat, cm⁻¹): 2947, 2875, 1726, 1437,

1325, 1201, 1148, 863; HRMS-ESI m/z : $[M+Na]$, calcd for $C_{15}H_{20}O_3Na$, 271.1310; found, 271.1317.

Diastereomers of methyl 2-butyl-3-(1-hydroxypropyl)cycloprop-2-ene carboxylate

The procedure was identical to that used to prepare **1**, using 2-butylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylic acid²⁰ (427 mg, 3.05 mmol), THF (19 mL), MeLi (4.19 mL of a 1.6 M solution in Et₂O, 6.7 mmol) and propionaldehyde (460 mg, 576 μ L, 7.93 mmol) for the first step; Diazald (1.96 g, 9.15 mmol), ethanol (175 mL), NaOH (2.81 g, 70.2 mmol) and water (7 mL) in the second step. The procedure gave 471 mg (73%) of a 56:44 (NMR) mixture of diastereomers as a clear oil. When repeated on 223 mg scale, the yield was 72%.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 4.57-4.66 (m, 1H, major diastereomer), 4.47-4.57 (m, 1H, minor diastereomer), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.46 (t, J = 7.57 Hz, 2H), 2.22 (s, 1H), 2.04-2.16 (m, 1H), 1.66-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.50-1.60 (m, 2H), 1.32-1.43 (m, 2H), 0.89-1.02 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): 177.2 (C, 2 overlapping peaks), 109.2 (C), 108.9 (C), 106.7 (C), 106.4 (C), 68.3 (CH), 67.7 (CH), 51.63 (CH₃), 51.59 (CH₃), 29.03 (CH₂), 29.01 (CH₂), 28.97 (CH₂), 28.3 (CH₂), 24.29 (CH₂), 24.28 (CH₂), 22.4 (CH₂, 2 overlapping peaks), 21.8 (CH, 2 overlapping peaks), 13.8 (CH₃, 2 overlapping peaks), 9.51 (CH₃), 9.49 (CH₃); IR(neat, cm⁻¹): 3439, 2960, 2875, 1726, 1705, 1436, 1201, 992; HRMS-ESI m/z : $[M-OH]$, calcd for $C_{12}H_{19}O_2$, 195.1385; found, 195.1382.

Methyl 2-butyl-3-propionylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylate (**6**)

A 10 mL flask was charged with methyl 2-butyl-3-(1-hydroxypropyl)cycloprop-2-ene carboxylate (187 mg, 0.880 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL). Dess-Martin periodinate (DMP, 560 mg, 1.32 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at r.t. for 15 minutes. Excess DMP was filtered on celite. Solvent removal and chromatography (5% ethyl acetate in hexanes) gave 163 mg (88%) of the title compound as a yellow oil. When repeated on 603 mg scale, the yield was 83%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.64-2.84 (m, 4H), 2.47 (s, 1H), 1.62-1.72 (m, 2H), 1.35-1.47 (m, 2H), 1.16 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): 191.7 (C), 174.0 (C), 124.9 (C), 104.3 (C), 51.8 (CH₃), 36.7 (CH₂), 28.6 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 23.5 (CH), 22.4 (CH₂), 13.7 (CH₃), 7.8 (CH₃); IR(neat, cm⁻¹): 2958, 2875, 1853, 1729, 1685, 1436, 1343, 1256, 1176, 1027, 802; HRMS-ESI m/z : $[M+H]$, calcd for $C_{12}H_{19}O_3$, 211.1334; found, 211.1330.

rel-(1*S*,2*S*,4*S*,5*S*) methyl 4-butyl-1-methoxy-2-propionyltricyclo[3.2.2.0^{2,4}]non-6-ene-3-carboxylate (**7**)

To a 4-dram vial equipped with a stirbar was added **6** (50.4 mg, 0.240 mmol), 1-methoxy-1,3-cyclohexadiene (technical grade, 65% pure, 107 mg, 115 μ L, 0.720 mmol), and ethanol (0.5 mL). The cap was replaced and the vial was heated in a 75 °C oil bath for 4 hours. The solvent was stripped and the residue chromatographed on silica (5 – 15% ether in hexanes) to provide 61 mg (80%) of the title compound as a clear oil. When repeated on 185 mg scale the yield was 81%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 6.14 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 5.93 (dd, J = 8.5, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 2.66-2.73 (m, 1H), 2.38-2.58 (m, 2H), 2.02-2.12 (m, 1H), 1.86-1.93 (m, 1H), 1.75-1.85 (m, 2H), 1.61-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.17-1.46 (m, 5H), 1.03 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 0.90-0.80 (m, 1H), 0.83 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): 207.2 (C), 171.9 (C), 129.9 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 82.2 (C), 52.3 (CH₃), 51.7 (CH₃), 44.2 (C), 37.5 (CH₂), 34.1 (CH), 33.1 (C), 29.6 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂), 25.3 (CH), 24.0 (CH₂), 23.6 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃), 8.0 (CH₃); IR(neat, cm⁻¹): 3054, 2932, 2833, 1731, 1617, 1437, 1344, 1193, 932, 705; HRMS-ESI m/z : $[M+H]$, calcd for $C_{19}H_{29}O_4$, 321.2066; found, 321.2057.

Diastereomers of methyl 2-hexyl-3-(1-hydroxypropyl)cycloprop-2-ene carboxylate

The procedure was identical to that used to prepare **1**, using 2-hexylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylic acid¹⁹ (1.32 g, 7.86 mmol), THF (49 mL), MeLi (10.8 mL of a 1.6 M solution in Et₂O, 17.3 mmol) and propionaldehyde (1.19 g, 1.49 mL, 20.4 mmol) for the first step; Diazald (5.05 g, 23.6 mmol), ethanol (150 mL), NaOH (7.23 g, 181 mmol) and water (18 mL) in the second step. The procedure gave 1.73 g (91%) of a 65:35 (NMR) mixture of diastereomers as a clear oil. The yield was 90% for a repetition on 611 mg scale. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 4.55–4.64 (m, 1H, major diastereomer), 4.46–4.55 (m, 1H, minor diastereomer), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.44 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.26–2.34 (bs, 1H), 2.20 (app s, 1H), 1.65–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.51–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.25–1.35 (m, 6H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H minor diastereomer), 0.93 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, major diastereomer), 0.87 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): 177.2 (C, two overlapping peaks), 109.1 (C), 108.9 (C), 106.7 (C), 106.4 (C), 68.3 (CH), 67.6 (CH), 51.6 (CH₃), 51.6 (CH₃), 31.5 (CH₂, 2 overlapping peaks), 29.0 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 28.3 (CH₂, 2 overlapping peaks), 26.89 (CH₂), 26.86 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₂), 24.5 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂, 2 overlapping peaks), 22.4 (CH), 21.8 (CH), 14.1 (CH₃, 2 overlapping peaks), 9.6 (CH₃), 9.5 (CH₃); IR(neat, cm⁻¹): 3432, 2926, 1897, 1723, 1436, 1344, 1202, 976, 734; HRMS-ESI m/z : [M+Na], calcd for C₁₄H₂₄O₃Na, 263.1623; found, 263.1622.

Methyl 3-hexyl-2-propionylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylate (**8**)

To a 25 mL flask, methyl 2-hexyl-3-(1-hydroxypropyl)cycloprop-2-ene carboxylate (1.57 g, 6.55 mmol) was added and dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL). Dess-Martin periodinate (DMP, 4.17 g, 9.83 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at r.t. for 15 minutes. Excess DMP was filtered on celite. Solvents removal and chromatography (5% ethyl acetate in hexanes) gave 1.43 g (92%) of the title compound as a yellow oil. The yield was 85% for a repetition on 339 mg scale. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.60–2.81 (m, 4H), 2.45 (s, 1H), 1.59–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.24–1.41 (m, 6H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): 191.6 (C), 174.0 (C), 124.9 (C), 104.2 (C), 51.8 (CH₃), 36.7 (CH₂), 31.3 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 26.5 (CH₂), 26.2 (CH₂), 23.4 (CH), 22.5 (CH₂), 14.0 (CH₃), 7.7 (CH₃); IR(neat, cm⁻¹): 3449, 2934, 2860, 1853, 1731, 1685, 1436, 1343, 1176, 1028, 802; HRMS-ESI m/z : [M+H], calcd for C₁₄H₂₃O₃, 239.1647; found, 239.1638.

rel-(1*R*,6*R*) Methyl 1-hexyl-2,5-dimethyl-6-propionylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-ene-7-carboxylate (**9**)

To a 4-dram vial equipped with a stirbar was added **8** (20 mg, 0.84 mmol), 2,3-dimethylbutadiene (20.7 mg, 29.0 μ L, 0.252 mmol), and ethanol (0.25 mL). The cap was replaced and the vial was heated in a 75 °C oil bath for 3 hours. The solvent was stripped and the residue chromatographed (0 – 10% ether in hexanes) to produce 22 mg (81%) of the title compound as a clear oil. The yield was 76% for a repetition on 34 mg scale. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 3.63 (s, 3H), 2.43–2.58 (m, 2H), 2.18–2.38 (m, 4H), 1.92 (s, 1H), 1.67–1.82 (m, 2H), 1.58 (s, 6H), 1.12–1.35–1.51 (m, 1H), 1.19–1.28 (m, 6H), 1.00–1.10 (m, 1H), 1.05 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): 208.2 (C), 171.7 (C), 122.7 (CH), 120.8 (CH), 51.5 (CH₃), 44.4 (C), 36.5 (CH₂), 34.9 (C), 34.7 (CH₂), 33.9 (CH₂), 31.8 (CH₂), 30.2 (CH₂), 29.7 (C), 29.6 (CH), 26.8 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 19.0 (CH₃), 18.8 (CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃), 8.0 (CH₃); IR(neat, cm⁻¹): 3430, 2928, 1725, 1437, 1178, 988; HRMS-ESI m/z : [M+Na], calcd for C₂₀H₃₂O₃Na, 343.2249; found, 343.2246.

***rel*-(1*R*,2*R*,3*R*,4*R*,5*S*) Methyl 2-hexyl-4-propionyl-8-oxatricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]oct-6-ene-3-carboxylate (10a) and *rel*-(1*S*,2*R*,3*R*,4*R*,5*R*) methyl 2-hexyl-2-propionyl-8-oxatricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]oct-6-ene-3-carboxylate (10b)**

To a 4-dram vial equipped with a stirbar was added **8** (40.0 mg, 0.168 mmol), furan (34.3 mg, 37.0 μ L, 0.504 mmol), and ethanol (0.5 mL). The cap was replaced and the vial was heated in a 75 °C oil bath for 4 hours. The solvent was stripped and the residue was chromatographed on silica (5 – 15% ether in hexanes) to produce 27 mg (53%) of the major diastereomer and 14 mg (26%) of the minor diastereomer of the title compound as clear oils. A repetition on 32 mg scale gave 60% and 21% of the two diastereomers. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): Major diastereomer (**10a**): 6.74 (dd, *J* = 1.6, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (dd, *J* = 1.6, 4.1, 1H), 4.96 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.02 (s, 1H), 2.29-2.55 (m, 2H), 1.94-2.06 (m, 1H), 1.24-1.50 (m, 9H), 1.03 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H); Minor diastereomer: 6.35 (dd, *J* = 1.7, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.26 (dd, *J* = 1.7, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (app t, *J* = 1.7 Hz), 4.90 (app t, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.65-2.86 (m, 2H), 2.24 (s, 1H), 1.90-2.07 (m, 1H), 1.70-1.84 (m, 1H), 1.24-1.60 (m, 8H), 1.08 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): Major diastereomer: 205.8 (C), 169.3 (C), 138.8 (CH), 137.8 (CH), 80.5 (CH), 79.4 (CH), 51.8 (CH₃), 49.0 (C), 47.3 (C), 36.29 (CH₂), 36.27 (CH), 31.7 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 28.4 (CH₂), 24.1 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃), 7.4 (CH₃); Minor diastereomer (**10b**): 206.1 (C), 167.9 (C), 133.4 (CH), 133.1 (CH), 83.7 (CH), 81.8 (CH), 51.8 (CH₃), 45.6 (CH), 45.5 (C), 44.7 (C), 33.4 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 29.6 (CH₂), 28.1 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₃), 14.1 (CH₃), 7.6 (CH₃); IR (neat, cm⁻¹): Major diastereomer: 2929, 2858, 1729, 1438, 1171, 908; Minor diastereomer: 2930, 2857, 1742, 1689, 1437, 1176, 864; HRMS-ESI *m/z*: [M+Na], calcd for C₁₈H₂₆O₄Na, 329.1729; found, 329.1743.

3-Triethylsiloxydecyne

A flask was charged with dec-1-yn-3-ol²¹ and THF (54 mL) and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. After stirring for 10 minutes, DBU (1.97 g, 1.94 mL, 13.0 mmol) and TESCO (1.63 g, 1.81 mL, 10.8 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred overnight while warming to rt. The solution was diluted with 50 mL diethyl ether and washed twice with an equal amount of water and once with brine. The combined organics were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed (0-5% ether in hexanes) to provide 2.31 g (80%) of the title compound as a clear oil. A repetition on 765 mg scale gave 90%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 4.33 (td, *J* = 4.5, 2.1, 1H), 2.36 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.61-1.72 (m, 2H), 1.36-1.49 (m, 2H), 1.22-1.34 (m, 8H), 0.97 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 9H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.59-0.71 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz, δ): 85.6 (C), 71.8 (CH), 62.5 (CH), 38.7 (CH₂), 31.8 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂, 2 overlapping peaks), 25.1 (CH₂), 22.7 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃), 6.8 (CH₃), 4.7 (CH₂); IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3312, 2877, 1460, 1240, 1095, 1006, 745; HRMS-ESI *m/z*: [M-C₂H₅], calcd for C₁₄H₂₇OSi, 239.1831; found, 239.1827.

Diastereomers of ethyl 2-(1-hydroxyoctyl)cycloprop-2-ene carboxylate (11)

To a dried 10 mL flask was added 3-triethylsiloxydec-1-yne (0.520 g, 1.94 mmol), Rh₂(OAc)₄ (1.4 mg, 0.0032 mmol) and 0.25 mL of CH₂Cl₂. Ethyl diazoacetate (84.7 mg, 77.0 μ L, 0.646 mmol) in 0.25 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added at a rate of 1 mL/hour. After the addition was complete, the mixture was stirred for 5 minutes before being concentrated. TBAF (0.74 mL of a 1.0 M solution in THF) was added and the mixture stirred for 5 minutes. The solvents were stripped and the residue chromatographed (5-15% ether in hexanes) to produce 74.8 mg (48%) of the title compounds as a clear oil. The yield was 41% for a repetition on 177 mg scale. Small peaks attributed to impurities were observed at 2.4 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum, and at 68, 53, and 28 and 20.8 ppm in the ¹³C NMR. Compound **11** is only moderately stable and was immediately carried forward in the

subsequent oxidation/Diels-Alder reaction. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz, δ): 6.51 (s, 1H), 4.57–4.67 (m, 1H), 4.05–4.15 (m, 2H), 3.37 (bs, 1H), 2.25 (app s, 1H), 1.59–1.76 (m, 2H), 1.19–1.42 (m, 13H), 0.81–0.86 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 90 MHz, δ): 176.48 (C), 176.46 (C), 117.0 (C), 116.8 (C), 96.1 (CH), 96.0 (CH), 66.9 (CH), 66.8 (CH), 60.6 (CH₂, 2 overlapping peaks), 35.2 (CH₂), 35.0 (CH₂), 31.8 (CH₂, 2 overlapping peaks), 29.38 (CH₂), 29.35 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂, 2 overlapping peaks), 25.10 (CH₂), 25.05 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂, 2 overlapping peaks), 21.0 (CH₂), 20.2 (CH), 14.3 (CH₃), 14.1 (CH₃); IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 3428, 2927, 2856, 1726, 1465, 1185, 724.

***rel*-(1*S*,2*R*,3*S*,5*R*) ethyl 2-octanoyltricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]oct-6-ene-3-carboxylate (12)**

A 4-dram vial was charged with a small stirbar, **11** (66 mg, 0.28 mmol), CH_2Cl_2 (1.1 mL) and freshly distilled cyclopentadiene (183 mg, 233 μL , 2.77 mmol). To this stirred solution was added Dess-Martin periodinate (176 mg, 0.416 mmol). After 5 min, the solution was filtered through celite using diethyl ether. Chromatography (5 – 10% ethyl ether in hexanes) gave 72 mg (85%) of the title compound. The yield was 81% for a repetition on 75 mg scale. Small peaks attributed to impurities were observed at 8.03, 7.97, 7.42, 7.19, and 1.42 ppm in the ^1H NMR spectrum. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz, δ): 5.98–6.09 (m, 1H), 5.89–5.98 (m, 1H), 4.01–4.19 (m, 2H), 3.27 (m, 1H), 3.06 (m, 1H), 2.54–2.68 (m, 3H), 1.88–2.02 (m, 2H), 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.52–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.20–1.30 (m, 11H), 0.86 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 90 MHz, δ): 208.1 (C), 169.6 (C), 134.0 (CH), 132.7 (CH), 63.0 (CH₂), 60.9 (CH₂), 46.9 (CH), 43.3 (CH), 42.3 (C), 41.1 (CH₂), 40.0 (CH), 31.7 (CH₂), 30.9 (CH), 29.18 (CH₂), 29.16 (CH₂), 23.7 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 14.14 (CH₃), 14.11 (CH₃); IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2930, 2857, 1729, 1690, 1384, 1184, 1046, 745; HRMS-ESI m/z : $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]$, calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_3\text{Na}$, 327.1936; found, 327.1932.

Methyl 2-((*rel*-1*R*,2*R*,3*R*,4*R*)-2-methyl-3-propionylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-yl)acetate (13)

Into a 250 mL flask was placed **3** (643 mg, 2.75 mmol). The flask was evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen. To this was added THF (63.7 mL) and methanol (18.2 mL). A 0.1 M solution of samarium iodide in THF (Aldrich; 7 – 10 equiv.) was added dropwise until the reaction was judged complete (TLC). The reaction was quenched (3 M HCl) and diluted with 100 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic layer was separated and sequentially washed with aqueous sodium thiosulfate and brine. The organics were dried (Na_2SO_4), concentrated, and chromatographed on silica (5 – 7.5% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give 489 mg (75%) of the title compound as a clear oil as an 88:12 (NMR) mixture of diastereomers. The yield was 74% for a repetition on 152 mg scale. ^1H NMR of (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz, δ): 6.16–6.27 (m, 2H), 3.66 (s, 3H, major diastereomer), 3.58 (s, 3H, minor diastereomer), 2.91 (m, 1H, minor diastereomer), 2.77 (m, 1H, major diastereomer), 2.58 (m, 1H), 2.35–2.55 (m, 2H), 2.10–2.34 (m, 3H), 2.05 (d, $J = 9.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.58–1.65 (m, 1H, minor diastereomer), 1.43–1.48 (m, 1H, major diastereomer), 1.41 (s, 3H, minor diastereomer), 1.08 (s, 3H, major diastereomer), 1.02 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H, major diastereomer), 0.98 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H, minor diastereomer); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 90 MHz, δ): 213.8 (C), 172.5 (C), 138.1 (CH), 137.1 (CH), 58.2 (CH₃), 53.1 (CH), 51.4 (CH), 47.5 (CH), 46.8 (C), 46.4 (CH₂), 46.2 (CH₂), 38.6 (CH₂), 23.0 (CH₃), 7.6 (CH₃); resonances attributed to the minor diastereomer were observed at: 135.7 (CH), 135.4 (CH), 61.2 (CH₃), 53.5 (CH), 51.1 (CH), 46.5 (CH), 45.2 (C), 41.1 (CH₂), 28.2 (CH₃); IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2954, 1734, 1459, 1353, 1171, 1013, 714; HRMS-ESI m/z : $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]$, calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_3\text{Na}$, 259.1310; found, 259.1308.

(*rel*-(1*R*,2*S*,4*S*,5*S*)-methyl 2-(1-hydroxypropyl)-4-methyltricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]oct-6-ene-3-carboxylate

A dry 1 dram vial was charged with **1** (0.29 mmol, 50 mg) in dichloromethane (0.58 mL). Cyclopentadiene (2.9 mmol, 194 mg) was then added and the mixture allowed to stir at r.t.

for 14 h. Chromatography (hexanes to 50% diethyl ether in hexanes) furnished 26 mg (0.11 mmol, 37%) of the title compound, a clear oil, as a 4:1 mixture of diastereomers.

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 6.04–6.03 (m, 1H), 5.98–5.96 (m, 1H), 4.35–4.33 (dd, $J = 8.9$, 4.4 Hz, 1H, minor diastereomer) 4.00–3.97 (dd, $J = 10.5$, 2.8 Hz, 1H, major diastereomer), 3.67 (s, 3H, major diastereomer), 3.60 (s, 3H, minor diastereomer), 3.05 (s, 1H), 2.66 (s, 1H, minor diastereomer), 2.64 (s, 1H, major diastereomer), 2.08–2.07 (m, 1H, minor diastereomer), 1.86–1.84 (m, 1H, major diastereomer), 1.69–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.50 (m, 3H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.09–1.07 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H, major diastereomer), 0.96–0.94 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H, minor diastereomer); δ Major Diastereomer: 171.9 (C), 134.3 (CH), 133.5 (CH), 71.1 (CH), 59.6 (CH_2), 51.7 (CH_3), 51.3 (CH), 46.7 (CH), 37.1 (C), 36.9 (CH), 31.3 (C), 27.2 (CH_2), 13.0 (CH_3), 11.37 (CH_3); Minor Diastereomer: 171.9 (C), 134.4 (CH), 133.3 (CH), 68.4 (CH), 60.8 (CH_2), 51.6 (CH_3), 51.3 (CH), 46.0 (CH), 37.1 (C), 36.5 (CH), 31.3 (C), 29.4 (CH_2), 12.2 (CH_3), 10.5 (CH_3); IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 3446, 2966, 2875, 1727, 1456, 1436, 1329, 1199, 1173; HRMS-EI ($\text{M} - \text{H}_2\text{O}$) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_2$ 218.1307, found 218.1307.

(*rel*-1*R*,2*S*,4*S*,5*S*)-methyl 2-(1-((3,5-dinitrobenzoyl)oxy)propyl)-4-methyltricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]oct-6-ene-3-carboxylate

A 10 mL round bottom flask was charged with (*rel*-(1*R*,2*S*,4*S*,5*S*)-methyl 2-(1-hydroxypropyl)-4-methyltricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]oct-6-ene-3-carboxylate (50 mg, 0.21 mmol), and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (32 mg, 0.26 mmol) in 2.2 mL of dichloromethane and cooled to 0 °C. To this solution was added 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl chloride (54 mg, 0.23 mmol). The reaction was allowed to warm to r.t. and stir overnight. The reaction was quenched with aq. HCl (1 M). The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (20 mL $\times$ 3), and the combined organics were then dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated onto silica gel. Chromatography (hexanes to 30% diethyl ether in hexanes) yielded 45 mg (0.10 mmol, 48%) of the title compound as a solid as a 3:1 mixture of diastereomers. The major diastereomer crystallized from ethyl acetate/hexane and was analyzed by x-ray crystallography.

^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ : Major diastereomer: 9.26–9.24 (m, 1H), 9.15 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 2H), 6.15–5.96 (m, 2H), 5.81–5.77 (dd, $J = 11.0$, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.31–3.28 (m, 1H), 2.70 (s, 1H), 2.15–2.05 (m, 2H), 1.79–1.65 (m, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.05 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H); Minor diastereomer: 9.26–9.24 (m, 1H), 9.19 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 2H), 6.15–5.96 (m, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.33–3.30 (m, 1H), 2.65 (s, 1H), 2.15–2.05 (m, 2H), 1.79–1.65 (m, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 0.95–0.92 (t, $J = 7.4$, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) Major Diastereomer: 169.9 (C), 161.75 (C), 148.6 (C), 134.7 (CH), 134.4 (C), 133.2 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 122.2 (CH), 77.2 (CH), 59.8 (CH_2), 51.8 (CH_3), 51.5 (CH), 47.0 (CH), 36.8 (CH), 36.4 (C), 31.9 (C), 26.1 (CH_2), 13.0 (CH_3), 11.0 (CH_3); Minor Diastereomer: 170.0 (C), 161.4 (C), 148.7 (C), 134.8 (CH), 134.3 (C), 132.8 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 122.3 (CH), 74.8 (CH), 60.4 (CH_2), 51.6 (CH_3), 51.2 (CH), 46.6 (CH), 37.5 (CH), 35.8 (C), 29.7 (C), 27.4 (CH_2), 12.0 (CH_3), 10.2 (CH_3); IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 2926, 1733, 1545, 1344, 1275, 1171, 730, 721; HRMS-EI (M^+) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{22}\text{N}_2\text{O}_8$ 430.1376, found 430.1371.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

For financial support we thank NIGMS (NIH R01 GM068650). Data were obtained with instrumentation supported by NIH P20 RR017716, and NSF CRIF:MU grants: CHE 0840401 and CHE-0541775. For x-ray crystallography we thank Glenn Yap.

REFERENCES

- 1). Nicolaou KC, Snyder SA, Montagnon T, Vassilikogiannakis G. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2002; 41:1668.
- 2). Wiberg KB, Bartley WJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1960; 82:6375.
- 3) (a). Baldwin JE, Reddy P. *J. Org. Chem.* 1989; 54:5264. b) Sodupe M, Rios R, Branchadell V, Nicholas T, Oliva A, Dannenberg JJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:4232. c) Jursic BS. *J. Org. Chem.* 1997; 62:3046. [PubMed: 11671683] d) Garcia JJ, Mayoral JA, Salvatella L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2000; 33:658. [PubMed: 11041829] e) Xidos JD, Gosse TL, Burke ED, Poirier RA, Burnell DJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001; 123:5482. [PubMed: 11389630]
- 4). Boger DL, Brotherton CE. *Tetrahedron.* 1986; 42:2777.
- 5). For inverse electron demand Diels-Alder reactions of cyclopropenes: Thalhammer F, Wallfahner U, Sauer J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990; 31:6851.
- 6). For Diels-Alder reactions of tetrahalocyclopropenes: Orugunty RS, Ghiviriga I, Abboud KA, Battiste MA, Wright DL. *J. Org. Chem.* 2004; 69:570. [PubMed: 14725477] , and references therein.
- 7) (a). For Diels-Alder reactions of racemic chiral cyclopropenes, see ref 8 and Morandi B, Carreria EM. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* 2010; 49:4294. O'Bannon PE, Dailey WP. *J. Org. Chem.* 1991; 56:2258. Ng W, Wege D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1996; 37:6797. Ko CC, Wen CW, Chen M-Y, Chen W-G, Her M-C, Lee G-A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2007; 953 Billups WE, Lee G-A, Arney BE, Whitmire KH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991; 113:7980. Sheshenev AE, Baird MS, Croft AK, Starikova ZA, Shashkov AS, Zhuze AL, Bolesov IG. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006; 47:2839. Alnes KFS, Sydnos LK. *Monatsh. Chem.* 2006; 137:483. Sheshenev AE, Baird MS, Croft AK, Bolesov IG. *Mendeleev Commun.* 2004; 299 For Diels-Alder reaction of an enantiomerically enriched chiral cyclopropene, see refs 8a,b and Baird MS, Fitton HL, Clegg W, McCamley A. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1.* 1993; 321
- 8) (a). Diels-Alder reactions of cycloprop-2-ene carboxylates and derivatives: Lou Y, Horikawa M, Kloster RA, Hawryluk NA, Corey EJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:8916. [PubMed: 15264821] Paulini K, Reissig H-U. *J. Prakt. Chem., Chem. Zeit.* 1995; 337:55. Tomilov YV, Shapiro EA, Protopopova MN, Ioffe AI, Dolgii IE, Nefedov OM. *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci. (Engl. Transl.).* 1985; 34:576. Shapiro EA, Lun'kova GV, Nefedov AO, Dolgii IE, Nefedov OM. *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci. (Engl. Transl.).* 1981; 30:2097. Wyatt EE, Fergus S, Galloway WRJD, Bender A, Fox DJ, Plowright AT, Jessiman AS, Welch M, Spring DR. *Chem. Commun.* 2006; 3296 Yan N, Liu X, Pallerla MK, Fox JM. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:4283. [PubMed: 18452335] Battiste MA. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1964; 5:3795. Battiste MA. *Chem. Ind.* 1961; 550 Battiste MA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1963; 85:2175. Lind H, Deutschman AJ Jr. *J. Org. Chem.* 1967; 32:326.
- 9) (a). Lead references: ref 8a and Briones JF, Davies HML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012; 134:11916. [PubMed: 22770434] Goto K, Takeda K, Shimada N, Nambu H, Anada M, Shiro M, Ando K, Hashimoto S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2011; 50:6803. Uehara M, Suematsu H, Yasutomi Y, Katsuki T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:170. [PubMed: 21033711] Cui X, Xu X, Lu H, Zhu S, Wojtas L, Zhang XP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:3304. [PubMed: 21332140]
- 10). As described in ref 8g, The neat reaction of 2,3-dimethylbutadiene with triphenylcyclopropene proceeds in 43% yield after 24 h at reflux. Similar reactions with methyl 2,3-diphenylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylate or 2,3-diphenylcycloprop-2-ene carboxylic acid "required longer reflux periods to obtain somewhat lower yields of the corresponding products."
- 11). Sims CG, Wege D. *Aust. J. Chem.* 1995; 48:469.
- 12) (a). Dietrich-Buchecker C, Martina D, Franck-Neumann M. *J. Chem. Res. (S).* 1978; 78(b) Galloway N, Dent BR, Halton B. *Aust. J. Chem.* 1983; 36:593. (c) Baird MS, Hussain HH. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1.* 1987; 1609 (d) Rigby JH, Kierkus PC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1989; 111:4125. (e) Payne AD, Skelton BW, Wege D, White AH. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2007; 1184
- 13). Magnus P, Littich R. *Org. Lett.* 2009; 11:3938. [PubMed: 19655736]
- 14). Patel PR, Boger DL. *Org. Lett.* 2010; 12:3540. [PubMed: 20614867]
- 15). Fisher LA, Fox JM. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:8474. [PubMed: 18850746]

- 16). Diels-Alder reactions of cyclopentadiene with α -alkyl- α,β -unsaturated ketones are poorly stereoselective: Mellor JM, Webb CF. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II. 1974; 17
- 17). LaRochelle RW, Trost BM, Krepski L. J. Org. Chem. 1971; 36:1126.
- 18) (a). For the *endo*-adducts prepared in this paper, the chemical shifts of the methoxycarbonyl cyclopropyl methines occur at high field (1.77 –2.24 ppm). For the only *exo*-compound prepared in this paper, compound **10a**, the chemical shift is at much lower field (3.02 ppm). The upfield chemical shift for the cyclopropanes of *endo* tricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]oct-6-enes has been described previously, and attributed to the ring current of the alkene. For example, the methoxycarbonyl cyclopropyl methine of the known *exo*- and *endo*- isomers of ethyl tricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]oct-6-ene-3-carboxylate resonates at 2.5 ppm^{18a} and 1.32 ppm^{18b}, respectively. Sauers RR, Sonnet PE. Tetrahedron. 1964; 20:1132. Lam J, Johnson BL. Aust. J. Chem. 1972; 24:2269.
- 19). Liao L, Zhang F, Yan N, Golen JA, Fox JM. Tetrahedron. 2004; 60:1803.
- 20). Donaldson WA, Hughes RP. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982; 104:4846.
- 21). Cheng X, Jiang X, Yu Y, Ma S. J. Org. Chem. 2008; 73:8960. [PubMed: 18939873]

Scheme 1.

Chiral cyclopropenyl ketones—highly reactive dienophiles in which the cyclopropene directs the stereochemical outcome

Scheme 2.

Dianions of cyclopropene carboxylates as precursors to dienophiles

Scheme 3.

Dianion approach to cyclopropane functionalization followed by oxidation and cycloaddition with cyclopentadiene.

^a MeLi; propanal. ^b CH₂N₂. ^c Dess-Martin periodinane. ^d Rh₂(OAc)₄ (0.5 mol%), then TBAF.

Scheme 4.
Diels-Alder reactions of cyclopropenyl ketones