Published in final edited form as:

J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2011 November ; 127(0): 382–389. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2011.06.008.

17 β -Estradiol-Mediated Increase in Cu/Zn Superoxide Dismutase Expression in the Brain: A Mechanism to Protect Neurons from Ischemia

Abhi K. Rao, Alicia K. Dietrich, Yvonne S. Ziegler, and Ann M. Nardulli

Departments of Cell and Developmental Biology (AKR) and Molecular and Integrative Physiology (AKD, YSZ, and AMN), University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801

Abstract

A number of studies have demonstrated that 17 β -estradiol (E₂) protects the brain from ischemia and yet, the mechanism by which this hormone brings about its protective effect is unclear. Interestingly, like E₂, overexpression of the oxidative stress response protein Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD1), which plays a critical role in regulating reactive oxygen species, also protects the brain from ischemia. Because we previously showed that E₂ treatment of cultured mammary cells increases SOD1 expression, we hypothesized that E₂ might increase SOD1 expression in the brain and that this E₂-mediated increase in SOD1 expression might help to protect the brain from ischemia. We now show that SOD1 is expressed in cortical neurons, that SOD1 expression is increased by exposure of brain slice cultures to E₂, and that the E₂-mediated increase in SOD1 expression is further augmented by exposure of brain slice cultures to increased superoxide levels or oxygen and glucose deprivation. Importantly, when cortical neurons are exposed to increased superoxide levels and markers of protein and DNA damage, nitrotyrosine and 8-oxoguanine, respectively, are measured, both protein and DNA damage is reduced. In fact, E₂ reduces nitrotyrosine and 8-oxoguanine levels in brain slice cultures regardless of whether they have or have not been exposed to increased superoxide levels. Likewise, when brain slice cultures are treated with E₂ and deprived of oxygen and glucose, 8-oxoguanine levels are reduced. Taken together, these studies provide a critical link between E₂ treatment, SOD1 expression, and neuroprotection and help to define a mechanism through which E₂-mediated neuroprotection may be conferred.

Keywords

estrogen; estrogen receptor; superoxide dismutase; reactive oxygen species; ischemia; neuroprotection

1. Introduction

As cells consume oxygen to produce the energy needed to sustain life, they generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are involved in a variety of molecular signaling pathways [1].

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author: Ann M. Nardulli, Dept of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 524 Burrill Hall, 407 South Goodwin Ave., Urbana, IL 61801, Tel: 217-244-5679; FAX: 217-333-1133, anardull@life.illinois.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

However, if ROS levels rise and are not effectively dissipated, accumulated ROS can damage resident proteins, lipids, and DNA. It has been suggested that the accumulation of ROS, which include superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radical (Fig. 1A), are involved in age-related degeneration and that ROS-mediated damage over time may, in fact, be the cause, not a side effect of aging [2–4].

The brain is the most metabolically active organ in the body. It utilizes 20% of the oxygen consumed and yet accounts for only 2% of the total body mass [5,6]. With this high consumption of oxygen comes increased production of superoxide. It has been suggested that this increased superoxide production, coupled with the relatively low concentration of antioxidant enzymes in the brain, plays a role in age-related neurodegeneration [6,7].

In order to avoid ROS-induced damage to cellular macromolecules, cells rely on a host of proteins to convert ROS to less harmful substances. Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD1) plays a key role in preventing ROS-induced damage by converting superoxide to hydrogen peroxide, which is then converted to water by catalase and glutathione and thioredoxin peroxidases (Fig. 1A). Dysregulation of any one of these antioxidant enzymes can cause an imbalance in ROS distribution. Thus, it is not surprising that alterations in SOD1 activity have been linked to a number of neurodegenerative diseases including familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and Alzheimer's disease [8,9].

An elegant series of studies using middle cerebral artery (MCA) occlusion in rodents as a model of stroke demonstrated that 17β -estradiol (E_2) protects the brain from ischemia [10–12] and that estrogen receptor alpha ($ER\alpha$), is responsible for mediating this protective effect [13,14]. Interestingly, damage to the cerebral cortex is also significantly reduced in transgenic mice that overexpress SOD1 following MCA occlusion compared to their wild type counterparts [15,16]. Thus, either E_2 or SOD1 overexpression significantly limits ischemia-induced damage in the cerebral cortex.

Previous work from our laboratory demonstrated that E_2 increases SOD1 expression in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells and protects these cells from superoxide-induced protein damage [17]. Thus, we hypothesized that if E_2 had a similar effect in the brain, an E_2 -induced increase in SOD1 expression might help to protect the brain from ROS-induced damage. Herein, we demonstrate that E_2 does indeed increase SOD1 expression in the cerebral cortex. Our findings suggest that this E_2 -induced increase in SOD1 expression helps to limit protein and DNA damage and thereby protects the brain from ischemia and ROS-induced damage.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Mice

C57BL/6J mouse colonies (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine) were maintained on a 12 h light/dark schedule with access to water and food ad libitum. Whole brains were obtained from 5–9 day old female pups in accordance with guidelines of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and Division of Animal Resources.

2.2 Brain slice cultures

Brain slice cultures were prepared essentially as described [18] with modifications. Pups were decapitated and whole brains were quickly removed, mounted, and sectioned with a Leica VT1200 microtome (Leica Microsystems, Nussloch, Germany). 300 μ m coronal sections were sliced into chilled slicing solution (1.25 mM NaH_2PO_4 , 2.5 mM KCL, 10 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.5 mM $CaCl_2$, 234 mM sucrose, 11 mM glucose and 26 mM $NaHCO_3$) that had

been oxygenated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. Slices were immediately placed on a Millicell sterilized culture plate insert (PICMO3050, Millipore, Billerica, MA) in each well of a chilled six-well plate containing 1 ml of Neurobasal-A medium, 0.5 mM GlutaMAX (12349 and 35050, respectively, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA), antibiotics (gentamicin, penicillin and streptomycin), and 10% charcoal dextran-treated fetal bovine serum (CDFBS) with ethanol or 20 nM E₂. Slices were maintained in a 36 C incubator in air with 5% CO₂ for 24 h.

2.3 Preparation of brain extracts and Western blot analysis

Each brain slice was combined with 250 µl of RIPA buffer (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and homogenized for 10 seconds at low speed with a Pro Homogenizer (ProScientific Inc, Oxford, CT). The buffer was adjusted to 400 mM NaCl with 5 M NaCl, placed on ice, and vortexed every 5 min for 15 min. The extract was spun 14,000 rpm in a 4 C microfuge. The supernatant was removed, a protein assay was performed, and 15 µg of protein was loaded onto each lane of a denaturing 4–20% gradient gel and fractionated. Proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and the blot was probed with the SOD1-specific antibody (sc11407 Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA).

2.4 Immunohistochemistry

Brain slices were rinsed with PBS, fixed in PBS with 4% formaldehyde for 1 h, washed 3X with PBS containing 0.1% Tween (PBS-T), and incubated in blocking solution (PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100, 3% bovine serum albumin, and 2% normal donkey serum) for 1–2 h. Slices were then incubated in blocking solution with an ERα-, γ-H2AX-, 8-oxoguanine- (1:600, ab31312, 1:400, ab2893, and 1:400, ab64548, respectively, Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA), SOD1- (1:100, sc11407, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA), nitrotyrosine- or NeuN- (1:200, 06–284 or 1:600, MAB377, Millipore, Billerica, MS) specific antibody overnight at 4 C. Slices were washed 3X with PBS-T and incubated with DyLight 488- or 649-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG, respectively (711-486-152 and 715-496-150, respectively, Jackson ImmunoResearch laboratories Inc., West Grove, PA) for 1 h in the dark, washed 3X with PBS-T, incubated with DAPI nucleic acid stain (1:4000, D1306, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), washed 3X with PBS-T, and mounted with ProLong Gold antifade reagent (P36930, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). DAPI co-staining was included for each treatment to identify nuclei and ensure that similar numbers of cells were present. Control slices, which had not been exposed to primary antibody, were processed in parallel. Images were obtained with a 40X oil-immersion or 10X objective using the Leica DM 4000 B confocal microscope and Leica TCS SPE system and Application Suite Advanced Fluorescence software (Leica Microsystems, Inc., Bannockburn, IL).

2.5 Oxidative stress induction

For superoxide production, brain slice cultures were prepared as described above. After 24 h, a fresh stock solution of potassium superoxide dissolved in DMSO was prepared as described [19,20]. One µl of this solution was added to 1 ml of antibiotic-free Neurobasal-A medium with 10% CDFBS and ethanol or E₂ yielding a final concentration of approximately 3.6 µM KO₂ in the experimental wells [21,22]. Control slices were treated with DMSO and processed in parallel. Slice cultures were placed in a 36 C incubator for 1 h, media was removed, and cultures were incubated with fresh antibiotic-free Neurobasal-A medium with GlutaMAX, antibiotics, 10% CDFBS, and ethanol or 20 nM E₂ for 24 h and then processed for immunohistochemical analysis as described above.

For oxygen glucose deprivation (OGD), brain slice cultures were prepared as described in 2.2. After 24 h, inserts containing brain slice cultures were transferred to new plates and incubated in Dulbeccos PBS with calcium and magnesium (DPBS) for 5 min and then the

PBS was removed. The washed experimental slices were incubated in DPBS, ethanol or E₂, and 16.7 mM mannose, which had been deoxygenated with 95% N₂ and 5% CO₂ for 45 min, and were placed in a 36 C closed anaerobic chamber (ProOx, BioSpherix, Redfield, NJ) with 95% N₂ and 5% CO₂. Control slices, which had not been exposed to OGD, were incubated in DPBS, ethanol or E₂, and 41.75 mM glucose and were maintained in a 36 C incubator with air and 5% CO₂. After 45 min, the buffer was removed and replaced with fresh Neurobasal-A medium with GlutaMAX, antibiotics, 10% CDFBS, and ethanol or 20 nM E₂ for 24 h and then processed for immunohistochemical analysis.

2.6 Image collection and quantitation

Z-stacks from 3 fields, which contained 50 images per field, were analyzed for each treatment in 3 independent experiments. Detector gain and offset, laser power, and bandwidth for emission collection were kept constant for each treatment in each experiment and adjusted so that images had a full range of pixel intensities (0–255) while minimizing saturation. Image Pro Plus software (Media Cybernetics, Bethesda, MD) was used for quantitative analyses of immunofluorescent images. The perimeter, area, and density were adjusted so that only specifically stained cells were detected and recorded in a script designed to analyze the individual images in an entire Z-stack. Data were exported into Excel and the mean intensity of specifically-stained cells in each Z-stack image was quantitated for each treatment. SAS 9.1 Basic Statistics (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used for statistical analysis. Data from 3 independent experiments were combined and are presented as the mean $\pm$ SEM. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used and *p*-values $\leq$ 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

While the actions of E₂ on the female reproductive tract have been described in detail [23–25], the mechanisms by which this hormone influences neural cell function are less well understood. A number of studies have demonstrated that occlusion of the MCA causes profound damage to the cerebral cortex [12,13,15,26–28]. However, if a rodent is pretreated with E₂ or if a mouse overexpresses SOD1, the area damaged by MCA occlusion is significantly diminished [12,13,15,26–28].

To understand how E₂ and SOD1 might mediate their neuroprotective effects, we utilized brain slice cultures, which retain many of the structural and organizational features of the intact tissue, allow for manipulation of the cellular environment, and avoid many of the confounding factors involved in using intact animals [18,20]. Brain slice cultures have been widely used to study electrophysiological properties, angiogenesis, dendritic growth, neural cell migration, and the responsiveness of neural cells to various drugs and treatments and provide a valuable in vitro model system that retains many in vivo characteristics [29–34].

3.1 Expression of estrogen receptor α (ER α) and SOD1 in the cerebral cortex

To assess whether our brain slice cultures might serve as an appropriate model system to study the neuroprotective effects of E₂ and SOD1 in the brain, we examined the expression of ER α and SOD1 in the cerebral cortex (Fig. 1B, boxed regions), which is adversely affected by MCA occlusion [12,13,15,26–28]. Since earlier studies had demonstrated that ER α , but not ER β , confers the neuroprotective effect of E₂ in the rodent brain [13,14], we focused our studies on ER α .

Immunohistochemical analysis of brain slices from wild type mice demonstrated that ER α was expressed in the mouse cerebral cortex and that it was present in the nuclei of neurons as shown by costaining with DAPI (data not shown) and the neuronal marker, NeuN (Fig.

1C). Although modest cytoplasmic staining was observed, SOD1 was highly expressed in the nuclei of neurons in the cerebral cortex. In contrast, no staining was observed when the SOD1 antibody was omitted (data not shown). Thus, both SOD1 and ER α were present in nuclei of the cerebral cortical neurons.

3.2 E₂-induced expression of SOD1 in the brain

Because previous studies have demonstrated that either E₂ treatment or SOD1 overexpression protects the cerebral cortex from ischemia [12,13,15,26–28] and we had shown that E₂ increased SOD1 expression in MCF-7 human mammary cells [17], we determined whether E₂ altered SOD1 expression in the brain. We hypothesized that if E₂ could increase SOD1 expression, this E₂-induced increase in SOD1 expression might help to protect the brain from ischemia.

Brain slice cultures were isolated and treated with ethanol or E₂ for 24h. As seen in Fig. 2A, SOD1 staining was observed in the absence of E₂, but when brain slice cultures were treated with E₂ for 24 h, SOD1 staining was increased. Compiled data from 3 independent experiments demonstrated that SOD1 expression was significantly increased when brain slice cultures had been treated with E₂.

To examine the effect of E₂ on SOD1 expression in the brain using another independent method, brain slice cultures from 3 individual mice were incubated with ethanol or E₂ for 24h, whole cell extracts were prepared, and SOD1 levels were monitored by Western blot analysis. E₂ treatment of brain slice cultures increased SOD1 expression in each of the 3 mice. These findings confirmed that E₂ increased expression of SOD1 and demonstrated that our brain slice cultures provided a unique model system to study estrogen responsiveness.

3.3 Superoxide and E₂-induced expression of SOD1 in the cerebral cortex

Because SOD1 is required to convert superoxide to hydrogen peroxide and plays such a critical role in regulating ROS levels (Fig. 1A), we determined whether increased substrate, superoxide, would influence SOD1 expression in the cerebral cortex. When brain slice cultures were exposed to increased superoxide levels, which were produced by addition of potassium superoxide (KO₂) to the medium, SOD1 expression was enhanced (Fig.2A). Exposure of the brain slice cultures to both E₂ and KO₂ further increased SOD1 expression. Quantitative analysis of data from 3 independent experiments demonstrated that there were significant increases in SOD1 expression in response to E₂ and KO₂ individually, but that combined E₂ and KO₂ treatment more effectively increased SOD1 expression. Thus, our brain slice cultures not only expressed ER α and SOD1, but responded to E₂ treatment and/or elevated superoxide levels with increased SOD1 expression.

3.4 E₂-mediated decrease in protein damage in the cerebral cortex

SOD1 is an essential free radical scavenger of superoxide and is the first line of defense in regulating ROS [35]. If SOD1 is ineffective in dissipating superoxide, accumulated superoxide anions can react with nitric oxide to produce peroxynitrite (Fig. 1A), which in turn causes nitration of tyrosine residues and alteration in protein structure and/or function [36]. Thus, measuring nitrotyrosine levels is a valuable indicator of SOD1 expression and activity. To determine whether the E₂-mediated increase in SOD1 expression helped to limit tyrosine nitration, brain slice cultures were treated with ethanol or E₂ in the absence or presence of KO₂. As seen in Fig. 2B, nitrotyrosine levels were significantly reduced when the brain slice cultures were exposed to E₂ and, although exposure to KO₂ increased protein nitration, E₂ significantly reduced the KO₂-mediated increase in nitrotyrosine levels. Because SOD1 is responsible for dismutating the majority of superoxide produced during

oxidative stress [37], the E₂-mediated increase in SOD1 expression could play a vital role in limiting ischemia-induced protein damage.

3.5 E₂-mediated reduction in DNA damage

In addition to damaging proteins, ROS such as peroxynitrite and hydroxyl radical can damage DNA [38]. Guanine is particularly susceptible to oxidation and is the most common target of oxidative DNA damage. 8-oxoguanine (8-oxoG) mispairs with adenine and results in a guanine to thymine transversion and perturbation in DNA conformation [39]. 8-oxoG levels were examined after exposure of brain slice cultures to ethanol or E₂ in the absence or in the presence of KO₂. No significant change was observed in 8-oxoG levels after brain slice cultures had been treated with E₂ for 24 h (Fig. 2C). Although a KO₂-induced increase in 8-oxoG levels was observed in the absence of hormone, damage was significantly attenuated when the brain slice cultures had been treated with E₂ and then exposed to KO₂. These findings provide evidence that E₂ limits damage in the cerebral cortex and identify a mechanism by which E₂ may mediate its protective effect.

Oxidative stress can also cause double-stranded DNA breaks and lead to genomic instability. The histone H2A variant, H2AX, recruits DNA repair proteins to these double-stranded breaks [40,41]. Although no significant changes were observed in γ -H2AX levels in the presence of E₂ and/or KO₂ (Fig. 2D), it seems plausible that the active recruitment of DNA repair proteins to H2AX and subsequent repair during the 24 h recovery period could mask changes in γ -H2AX levels that might have occurred in response to E₂ or KO₂. Such rapid repair of these lesions has been reported [42].

3.6 Oxygen glucose deprivation (OGD) and cellular damage

To more closely simulate ischemia, brain slice cultures were treated with ethanol or E₂ for 24 h, deprived of oxygen and glucose for 45 min, and allowed to recover under normoxic conditions for 24 h in the absence or presence of E₂. Immunohistochemical analysis indicated that ER α levels were significantly increased when the brain slice cultures were exposed to E₂ and OGD, but not when exposed to E₂ or OGD alone (Fig. 3A) suggesting that increased ER α levels may be particularly important in protecting the brain from ischemia when the cells are exposed to both E₂ and OGD.

When brain slice cultures were exposed to either E₂ or OGD, an increase in SOD1 expression was observed (Fig. 3B). SOD1 expression was further enhanced when cells were treated with E₂ and then subjected to OGD. These findings are consistent with those shown in Fig. 2A and demonstrate that two independent methods of generating oxidative stress have similar effects on SOD1 expression and that either elevated superoxide levels or OGD enhance SOD1 expression but that E₂ further augments its expression.

To assess whether DNA damage occurred when the brain slice cultures were exposed to OGD and whether E₂ influenced the extent of DNA damage, 8-oxoG levels were examined in brain slice cultures that had been treated with ethanol or E₂, subjected to OGD or maintained in glucose-containing medium and a normoxic environment, and allowed to recover for 24 h in the absence or presence of E₂. Interestingly, although OGD increased 8-oxoG levels, E₂ significantly reduced this OGD-mediated increase (Fig. 3C). These findings provide additional evidence that E₂ is protective in the cerebral cortex and help to define mechanisms by which ROS-induced DNA damage might be limited.

4. Discussion

A number of investigators have demonstrated that treatment of wild type rodents with E₂ or overexpression of SOD1 in transgenic mice protects the brain from an ischemic event

[12,13,15,26–28]. We now provide evidence for a link between E₂ treatment, SOD1 expression, and neuroprotection. Our studies demonstrate that E₂ increases SOD1 expression in the cerebral cortex of brain slice cultures and suggest that this E₂-induced increase in SOD1 expression helps to attenuate protein and DNA damage that occurs in response to increased superoxide levels and OGD treatment.

4.1 SOD1-induced neuroprotection

Compelling accumulated evidence has demonstrated that SOD1 protects the brain from ischemia-induced damage [15,16,43,44]. Transgenic mice that overexpress SOD1 have less extensive brain damage, decreased cytochrome C release into the cytoplasm, and diminished DNA fragmentation after ischemia than their wild type counterparts [15,16,43–45]. Furthermore, the fact that SOD1-deficient mice have more extensive brain injury after local and global artery occlusion than wild type mice confirms the critical role of SOD1 in protecting the brain from an ischemic insult [28]. While an earlier study showed that hippocampal injury is decreased in female mice or in mice that overexpress SOD1 [46], our studies are the first to show a direct link between E₂ treatment and increased SOD1 expression.

4.2 ROS-induced damage to cellular macromolecules

Regardless of whether brain slice cultures had or had not been exposed to increased superoxide levels, tyrosine nitration, which is directly related to SOD1 levels, was decreased by E₂ treatment. Even when tyrosine nitration was increased by exposure to elevated superoxide levels, E₂ was still effective in reducing this damage. Because nitration of tyrosines can have deleterious effects on protein structure and function, the E₂-mediated increase in SOD1 expression in the brain could limit peroxynitrite and nitrotyrosine formation and play a significant role in E₂-induced neuroprotection. In fact, SOD1 dysfunction has been noted in a number of neurological diseases and disorders [47,48]. The fact that nitrotyrosine residues were detected in control brain slice cultures that had not been exposed to E₂ or oxidative stress illustrates that these cells tolerate some residual protein damage and that oxidative stress proteins may be continually required to maintain protein structure and function.

ROS-induced DNA damage occurs most frequently at guanine residues and results in the production of 8-oxoG, the most common DNA lesion [49]. It has been estimated that 16,000 8-oxoG adducts are produced per cell each day [49]. If not repaired, these modified guanines can accumulate over time and increase the risk of age-related disease [4]. Because SOD1 is the first line of defense in regulating cellular ROS, the E₂-mediated increase in SOD1 expression could provide a mechanism by which ROS-induced DNA damage in the cerebral cortex may be attenuated. In fact, Inoue et al [50] have proposed that SOD1 is instrumental in limiting DNA damage and functions as a guardian of the genome. Our studies suggest that the E₂-induced increase in SOD1 expression could be instrumental in maintaining genomic integrity.

4.3 Effects of E₂ in the brain

Interestingly, when brain slice cultures were treated with E₂ and exposed to OGD, there was an increase in ER α expression in the cytoplasm as well as the nucleus (Fig. 3A). The localization of ER α in the cytoplasm after OGD treatment suggests that, in addition to its genomic effects, ER α may be involved in extranuclear functions when E₂-treated neurons are subjected to oxidative stress. A similar rise in ER α mRNA levels has been reported when mice are ovariectomized, immediately treated with E₂, and subjected to MCA occlusion, but not when E₂ treatment is delayed [11]. Likewise, when brain slice cultures were exposed to E₂ and OGD, cytoplasmic SOD1 staining was enhanced (Fig. 3B). Since

cytoplasmic SOD1 has been implicated in inhibiting the release of cytochrome c after ischemia/reperfusion injury [45], the increased cytoplasmic ER α and SOD1 levels we observed when brain slice cultures were treated with E₂ and exposed to OGD could help to sustain cell viability in the cerebral cortex.

4.4 Role of other proteins in E₂-induced neuroprotection

Although our studies focused on the E₂-induced expression of SOD1, it seems certain that other proteins are involved in the E₂-mediated decline in protein and DNA damage. SOD2, which is localized in the mitochondria, also dismutates superoxide and is responsible for reducing superoxide produced during the course of normal cellular metabolism [37]. However, since SOD1 is responsible for converting the majority (75%) of cellular superoxide to hydrogen peroxide and for decreasing superoxide levels during oxidative stress, it seems likely that SOD1 plays a more dominant role in decreasing protein and DNA damage caused by ischemia/reperfusion injury.

Previous studies have suggested that Bcl-2 is involved in E₂-mediated neuroprotection [10,51]. While increased Bcl-2 may be important in decreasing cell death, simply limiting apoptosis in cells with extensive protein and DNA damage would not be useful. However, if the increased expression of proteins involved in oxidative stress response and DNA repair was coupled with increased Bcl-2 expression, together, these proteins could help to reduce protein and DNA damage, maintain cell viability, and reduce stroke-induced cellular damage.

5. Conclusions

Our studies have provided a link between E₂ treatment and increased SOD1 expression in the cerebral cortex. Because overexpression of SOD1 protects the brain from ischemia [15,16,43,44] and decreased SOD1 expression is associated with increased ischemia-induced damage [28], we believe that the E₂-induced expression of SOD1 may help to protect the brain from ischemia-induced injury by decreasing nitration of cellular proteins and limiting ROS-induced DNA damage.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to E Hadley, BJ Slater, E Roy, and L Cox for providing technical expertise and G Humphreys for helpful discussions. This work was supported by NIH grant R01 DK 53884 (to AMN).

References

1. Rhee SG. Cell signaling. H₂O₂, a necessary evil for cell signaling. *Science*. 2006; 312:1882–1883. [PubMed: 16809515]
2. Harman D. Aging: a theory based on free radical and radiation chemistry. *J Gerontol*. 1956; 11:298–300. [PubMed: 13332224]
3. Harman D. Aging: overview. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2001; 928:1–21. [PubMed: 11795501]
4. Ames BN, Shigenaga MK. Oxidants are a major contributor to aging. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1992; 663:85–96. [PubMed: 1482105]
5. Tuma R. The two faces of oxygen. *Sci Aging Knowledge Environ*. 2001; 2001:oa5. [PubMed: 14602949]
6. Demopoulos, H.; Flamm, E.; Seligman, M.; Pietronigro, D. Oxygen free radicals in central nervous system ischaemia and trauma. In: Autor, A., editor. *Pathology of Oxygen*. Academic Press; New York: 1982. p. 127-155.
7. Atamna H, Cheung I, Ames BN. A method for detecting abasic sites in living cells: age-dependent changes in base excision repair. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2000; 97:686–691. [PubMed: 10639140]

8. Richter, C. Oxidative damage to mitochondrial DNA and its relationship to aging. In: Martin, G., editor. *Molecular Aspects of Aging*. John Wiley & Sons; Chichester, OK: 1995. p. 99-105.
9. Bajaj NP, Irving NG, Leigh PN, Miller CC. Alzheimer's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and transgenic mice. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr*. 1998; 64:711–715. [PubMed: 9647296]
10. Dubal DB, Shughrue PJ, Wilson ME, Merchenthaler I, Wise PM. Estradiol modulates bcl-2 in cerebral ischemia: a potential role for estrogen receptors. *J Neurosci*. 1999; 19:6385–6393. [PubMed: 10414967]
11. Wilson ME, Dubal DB, Wise PM. Estradiol protects against injury-induced cell death in cortical explant cultures: a role for estrogen receptors. *Brain Res*. 2000; 873:235–242. [PubMed: 10930549]
12. Suzuki S, Brown CM, Dela Cruz CD, Yang E, Bridwell DA, Wise PM. Timing of estrogen therapy after ovariectomy dictates the efficacy of its neuroprotective and antiinflammatory actions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007; 104:6013–6018. [PubMed: 17389368]
13. Wise PM, Dubal DB, Wilson ME, Rau SW, Bottner M, Rosewell KL. Estradiol is a protective factor in the adult and aging brain: understanding of mechanisms derived from in vivo and in vitro studies. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev*. 2001; 37:313–319. [PubMed: 11744096]
14. Dubal DB, Zhu H, Yu J, Rau SW, Shughrue PJ, Merchenthaler I, Kindy MS, Wise PM. Estrogen receptor alpha, not beta, is a critical link in estradiol-mediated protection against brain injury. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001; 98:1952–1957. [PubMed: 11172057]
15. Kinouchi H, Epstein CJ, Mizui T, Carlson E, Chen SF, Chan PH. Attenuation of focal cerebral ischemic injury in transgenic mice overexpressing CuZn superoxide dismutase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1991; 88:11158–11162. [PubMed: 1763030]
16. Noshita N, Sugawara T, Hayashi T, Lewen A, Omar G, Chan PH. Copper/zinc superoxide dismutase attenuates neuronal cell death by preventing extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation after transient focal cerebral ischemia in mice. *J Neurosci*. 2002; 22:7923–7930. [PubMed: 12223545]
17. Rao AK, Ziegler YS, McLeod IX, Yates JR, Nardulli AM. Effects of Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase on estrogen responsiveness and oxidative stress in human breast cancer cells. *Molecular Endocrinology*. 2008; 22:1113–1124.
18. Stoppini L, Buchs PA, Muller D. A simple method for organotypic cultures of nervous tissue. *J Neurosci Methods*. 1991; 37:173–182. [PubMed: 1715499]
19. Reiter CD, Teng RJ, Beckman JS. Superoxide reacts with nitric oxide to nitrate tyrosine at physiological pH via peroxynitrite. *J Biol Chem*. 2000; 275:32460–32466. [PubMed: 10906340]
20. Lossi L, Alasia S, Salio C, Merighi A. Cell death and proliferation in acute slices and organotypic cultures of mammalian CNS. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2009; 88:221–245. [PubMed: 19552996]
21. Lokesh BR, Cunningham ML. Further studies on the formation of oxygen radicals by potassium superoxide in aqueous medium for biochemical investigations. *Toxicol Lett*. 1986; 34:75–84. [PubMed: 3024362]
22. Cunningham ML, Lokesh BR. Superoxide anion generated by potassium superoxide is cytotoxic and mutagenic to chinese hamster ovary cells. *Mutat Res*. 1983; 121:299–304. [PubMed: 6312305]
23. Korach KS. Insights from the study of animals lacking functional estrogen receptor. *Science*. 1994; 266:1524–1527. [PubMed: 7985022]
24. Hewitt SC, Korach KS. Oestrogen receptor knockout mice: roles for oestrogen receptors alpha and beta in reproductive tissues. *Reproduction*. 2003; 125:143–19. [PubMed: 12578528]
25. Hewitt SC, Deroo BJ, Hansen K, Collins J, Grissom S, Afshari CA, Korach KS. Estrogen receptor-dependent genomic responses in the uterus mirror the biphasic physiological response to estrogen. *Mol Endocrinol*. 2003; 17:2070–2083. [PubMed: 12893882]
26. Wise PM, Dubal DB, Wilson ME, Rau SW. Estradiol is a neuroprotective factor in in vivo and in vitro models of brain injury. *J Neurocytol*. 2000; 29:401–410. [PubMed: 11424956]
27. Fujimura M, Morita-Fujimura Y, Kawase M, Chan PH. Early decrease of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease expression after transient focal cerebral ischemia in mice. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 1999; 19:495–501. [PubMed: 10326716]

28. Kondo T, Reaume AG, Huang TT, Carlson E, Murakami K, Chen SF, Hoffman EK, Scott RW, Epstein CJ, Chan PH. Reduction of CuZn-superoxide dismutase activity exacerbates neuronal cell injury and edema formation after transient focal cerebral ischemia. *J Neurosci.* 1997; 17:4180–4189. [PubMed: 9151735]
29. Sundstrom L, Morrison B 3, Bradley M, Pringle A. Organotypic cultures as tools for functional screening in the CNS. *Drug Discov Today.* 2005; 10:993–1000. [PubMed: 16023058]
30. Shima Y, Kengaku M, Hirano T, Takeichi M, Uemura T. Regulation of dendritic maintenance and growth by a mammalian 7-pass transmembrane cadherin. *Dev Cell.* 2004; 7:205–216. [PubMed: 15296717]
31. Moser KV, Schmidt-Kastner R, Hinterhuber H, Humpel C. Brain capillaries and cholinergic neurons persist in organotypic brain slices in the absence of blood flow. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2003; 18:85–94. [PubMed: 12859340]
32. Raval AP, Dave KR, Mochly-Rosen D, Sick TJ, Perez-Pinzon M. Epsilon PKC is required for the induction of tolerance by ischemic and NMDA-mediated preconditioning in the organotypic hippocampal slice. *J Neurosci.* 2003; 23:384–391. [PubMed: 12533598]
33. Nakayama AY, Harms MB, Luo L. Small GTPases Rac and Rho in the maintenance of dendritic spines and branches in hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *J Neurosci.* 2000; 20:5329–5338. [PubMed: 10884317]
34. Valster A, Tran NL, Nakada M, Berens ME, Chan AY, Symons M. Cell migration and invasion assays. *Methods.* 2005; 37:208–215. [PubMed: 16288884]
35. Fridovich I. Superoxide radical and superoxide dismutases. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 1995; 64:97–112. [PubMed: 7574505]
36. Beckman JS, Beckman TW, Chen J, Marshall PA, Freeman BA. Apparent hydroxyl radical production by peroxynitrite: implications for endothelial injury from nitric oxide and superoxide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1990; 87:1620–1624. [PubMed: 2154753]
37. Reaume AG, Elliott JL, Hoffman EK, Kowall NW, Ferrante RJ, Siwek DF, Wilcox HM, Flood DG, Beal MF, Brown RH, Scott JRW, Snider WD. Motor neurons in Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase-deficient mice develop normally but exhibit enhanced cell death after axonal injury. *Nat Genet.* 1996; 13:43–47. [PubMed: 8673102]
38. Inoue S, Kawanishi S. Oxidative DNA damage induced by simultaneous generation of nitric oxide and superoxide. *FEBS Lett.* 1995; 371:86–88. [PubMed: 7664890]
39. Sidorenko VS, Nevinsky GA, Zharkov DO. Mechanism of interaction between human 8-oxoguanine-DNA glycosylase and AP endonuclease. *DNA Repair (Amst).* 2007; 6:317–328. [PubMed: 17126083]
40. McManus KJ, Hendzel MJ. ATM-dependent DNA damage-independent mitotic phosphorylation of H2AX in normally growing mammalian cells. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2005; 16:5013–5025. [PubMed: 16030261]
41. Myung NH, Zhu X, Kruman I, Castellani RJ, Petersen RB, Siedlak SL, Perry G, Smith MA, Lee HG. Evidence of DNA damage in Alzheimer disease: phosphorylation of histone H2AX in astrocytes. *Age (Dordr).* 2008; 30:209–215. [PubMed: 19424844]
42. Paull TT, Rogakou EP, Yamazaki V, Kirchgessner CU, Gellert M, Bonner WM. A critical role for histone H2AX in recruitment of repair factors to nuclear foci after DNA damage. *Curr Biol.* 2000; 10:886–895. [PubMed: 10959836]
43. Fujimura M, Morita-Fujimura Y, Narasimhan P, Copin JC, Kawase M, Chan PH. Copper-zinc superoxide dismutase prevents the early decrease of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease and subsequent DNA fragmentation after transient focal cerebral ischemia in mice. *Stroke.* 1999; 30:2408–2415. [PubMed: 10548678]
44. Kawase M, Fujimura M, Morita-Fujimura Y, Chan PH. Reduction of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease expression after transient global cerebral ischemia in rats: implication of the failure of DNA repair in neuronal apoptosis. *Stroke.* 1999; 30:441–448. [PubMed: 9933285]
45. Fujimura M, Morita-Fujimura Y, Noshita N, Sugawara T, Kawase M, Chan PH. The cytosolic antioxidant copper/zinc-superoxide dismutase prevents the early release of mitochondrial cytochrome c in ischemic brain after transient focal cerebral ischemia in mice. *J Neurosci.* 2000; 20:2817–2824. [PubMed: 10751433]

46. Kofler J, Hurn PD, Traystman RJ. SOD1 overexpression and female sex exhibit region-specific neuroprotection after global cerebral ischemia due to cardiac arrest. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 2005; 25:1130–1137. [PubMed: 15843790]
47. Deng Y, Thompson BM, Gao X, Hall ED. Temporal relationship of peroxynitrite-induced oxidative damage, calpain-mediated cytoskeletal degradation and neurodegeneration after traumatic brain injury. *Exp Neurol.* 2007; 205:154–165. [PubMed: 17349624]
48. Good PF, Hsu A, Werner P, Perl DP, Olanow CW. Protein nitration in Parkinson's disease. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 1998; 57:338–342. [PubMed: 9600227]
49. Bruskov VI, Malakhova LV, Masalimov ZK, Chernikov AV. Heat-induced formation of reactive oxygen species and 8-oxoguanine, a biomarker of damage to DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2002; 30:1354–1363. [PubMed: 11884633]
50. Inoue E, Tano K, Yoshii H, Nakamura J, Tada S, Watanabe M, Seki M, Enomoto T. SOD1 Is essential for the viability of DT40 cells and nuclear SOD1 functions as a guardian of genomic DNA. *J Nucleic Acids.* 2010; 2010:795946. [PubMed: 20811569]
51. Nilsen J, Diaz Brinton R. Mechanism of estrogen-mediated neuroprotection: regulation of mitochondrial calcium and Bcl-2 expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2003; 100:2842–2847. [PubMed: 12604781]

Highlights

- Estrogen treatment increases expression of the oxidative response protein superoxide dismutase (SOD1) in cortical neurons.
- Estrogen treatment decreases superoxide-induced damage to cellular proteins and DNA in cortical neurons.
- Estrogen treatment also reduces ischemia-induced protein damage.
- These studies identify a critical link between estrogen treatment, SOD1 expression, and neuroprotection.
- We have uncovered a novel mechanism through which estrogen mediated neuroprotection may be conferred.

Fig. 1. Expression of ERα and SOD1

A. During normal cellular metabolism, SOD1 converts superoxide ($O_2^{\bullet-}$) to hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) which is then converted to water by catalase and peroxidases. If SOD1 is limiting, superoxide can accumulate and react with nitric oxide (NO) to produce peroxynitrite ($ONOO^-$), which in turn causes nitration of tyrosine residues. If hydrogen peroxide is not effectively eliminated, highly toxic hydroxyl radical ($OH^\bullet$) can form. B. Regions of the cerebral cortex examined in our studies by immunohistochemical analyses are indicated with a box. C. The expression of ERα, SOD1, and the neuronal marker, NeuN, were examined in brain slice cultures. Scale bars indicate 100 μm .

Fig. 2. Effect of E₂ and superoxide in the cerebral cortex

Brain slice cultures were treated with ethanol or 20 nM E₂ for 24 h, exposed to DMSO or KO₂ for 1 h to generate increased superoxide levels, allowed to recover for 24 h, and then subjected to immunohistochemical analysis with an (A) SOD1-, (B) nitrotyrosine-, (C) 8-oxoG-, or (D) γ-H2AX-specific antibody. Scale bars indicate 25 μm. Data from 3 independent experiments were combined and analyzed by ANOVA. A significant difference in response to E₂ (*, *p* 0.05) or KO₂ (#, *p* 0.05) is indicated. (A) SOD1 expression was also analyzed with Western blot analysis using brain slice cultures from 3 individual mice that had not (-) or had (+) been exposed to E₂.

Fig. 3. Effect of E₂ and OGD in the cerebral cortex

Brain slice cultures were treated with ethanol or 20 nM E₂ for 24 h with or without OGD for 45 min to mimic ischemia, and then allowed to recover for 24 h. Brain slice cultures were subjected to immunohistochemistry with an (A) ERα-, (B), SOD1- or (C), or 8-oxoG-specific antibody. Data from 3 independent experiments were combined and analyzed by ANOVA. A significant difference in response to E₂ (*, p 0.05) or OGD (#, p 0.05) is indicated. Scale bars indicate 25 μm.