Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2013 September 25; 135(38): . doi:10.1021/ja4064958.

Total Synthesis, Relay Synthesis, and Structural Confirmation of the C18-Norditerpenoid Alkaloid Neofinaconitine

Yuan Shi^{†,*,§}, Jeremy T. Wilmot^{†,£}, Lars Ulrik Nordstrøm^{‡,¶}, Derek S. Tan^{†,‡,*}, and David Y. Gin^{†,‡,⊥}

[†]Tri-Institutional PhD Program in Chemical Biology, Memorial Sloan–Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, Box 422, New York, New York 10065, United States

[‡]Molecular Pharmacology & Chemistry Program, and Tri-Institutional Research Program, Memorial Sloan–Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, Box 422, New York, New York 10065, United States

Abstract

The first total synthesis of the C18-norditerpenoid aconitine alkaloid neofinaconitine and relay syntheses of neofinaconitine and 9-deoxylappaconitine from condelphine are reported. A modular, convergent synthetic approach involves initial Diels–Alder cycloaddition between two unstable components, cyclopropene **10** and cyclopentadiene **11**. A second Diels–Alder reaction features the first use of an azepinone dienophile **8**, with high diastereofacial selectivity achieved via rational design of the siloxydiene component **36** with a sterically-demanding bromine substituent. Subsequent Mannich-type *N*-acyliminium and radical cyclizations provide the complete hexacyclic skeleton **33** of the aconitine alkaloids. Key endgame transformations include installation of the C8-hydroxyl group via conjugate addition of water to a putative strained bridhead enone intermediate **45**, and one-carbon oxidative truncation of the C4 sidechain to afford racemic neofinaconitine. Complete structural confirmation was provided by a concise relay synthesis of (+)-neofinaconitine and (+)-9-deoxylappaconitine from condelphine, with X-ray crystallographic analysis of the former clarifying the NMR spectral discrepancy between neofinaconitine and delphicrispuline, which were previously assigned identical structures.

INTRODUCTION

Plants from the genera *Aconitum* and *Delphinium* have been used for centuries in traditional Chinese and Japanese folk medicines as antiarrhythmics and analgesics.¹ Although the raw leaves and roots are quite toxic, high-temperature preparations have been applied to reduce their toxicity and render them clinically useful. The long history of these plants as medicines has piqued the interest of natural product chemists, leading to the isolation and characterization of a number of aconitum and delphinium alkaloids.² Some of the most biologically active isolates are in the norditerpenoid alkaloid class, which is further subdivided based on the presence [C19-norditerpenoid, *e.g.*, aconitine (**1**)] or absence [C18-

Corresponding Authors: shi_yuan@lilly.com; tand@mskcc.org.

[§]Y.S.: Eli Lilly and Company, Lilly Corporate Center, Indianapolis, IN 46285.

[£]J.T.W.: Dow AgroSciences LLC, 9330 Zionsville Road, Indianapolis, IN 46268.

[¶]L.U.N.: Chemical Biology Core Facility, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Yeshiva University, 1300 Morris Park Avenue, Bronx, NY 10461.

[⊥]Deceased March 22, 2011.

Supporting Information. Complete experimental procedures and analytical data for key new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

norditerpenoid, *e.g.*, lappaconitine (**2**)] of the C18 carbon (Figure 1). The isolation³ and identification⁴ of these alkaloids enabled pharmacological studies that have revealed their roles as ion-channel modulators.⁵ Notably, one of these alkaloids, lappaconitine (Allapinin), has been commercialized as an antiarrhythmic drug.⁶ The structure of the C19-norditerpenoid alkaloids was first elucidated by Wiesner and coworkers, who also completed the first total synthesis of a member of this group, talatisamine (**4**).⁷ They subsequently also achieved the total syntheses of chasmanine (**5**)⁸ and 13-deoxydelphonine (**6**)^{8b,9} via an alternative strategy that provided improved chemoselectivity at key steps. Despite numerous synthetic attempts,¹⁰ these remain the only published total syntheses of norditerpenoid alkaloids to date. The potent biological activity and absence of a convergent approach to these alkaloids make them attractive targets for synthesis.

Neofinaconitine (**1**) was chosen as our initial target for total synthesis based on two considerations. First, two groups independently reported the isolation of natural products with the same proposed structure, neofinaconitine (Jiang) and delphicrispuline (Ulubelen).¹¹ Examination of the reported spectral data revealed a discrepancy in the chemical shift of the C14 proton (neofinaconitine δ 3.68, delphicrispuline δ 3.45 ppm, CDCl₃). Therefore, total synthesis of the proposed structure would help elucidate the identity of the natural product (referred to herein as neofinaconitine). Second, biological studies of neofinaconitine have not been reported, primarily due to its scarcity. However, due to its close structural similarity to the natural product lappaconitine, which exhibits intriguing biological activities, such studies are of considerable interest and would be enabled by efficient synthetic access.

Herein, we report the first total synthesis of neofinaconitine, featuring sequential, convergent cyclopropene/cyclopentadiene and azepinone/siloxydiene Diels–Alder cycloadditions to assemble a key cyclization substrate, followed by successive Mannich-type *N*-acyliminium (Speckamp) and radical cyclizations. Structural insights were used to reengineer the siloxydiene substrate in the azepinone Diels–Alder cyclo-addition to achieve improved diastereofacial selectivity. An expedient relay synthesis from commercially available condelphine was also developed to access (+)-neofinaconitine and (+)-9-deoxylappaconitine (**2**), confirming stereochemical assignments in the totally synthetic material. X-ray crystallographic analysis of the relay material unambiguously confirmed the structure of neofinaconitine, thus resolving the NMR spectral discrepancy and indicating that the structure of delphicrispuline requires reconsideration.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthetic Strategy

Our overall approach to the synthesis of neofinaconitine (**3**) is outlined in Figure 2. The hexacyclic scaffold of **3** is simplified retrosynthetically by late-stage formation of the C11–C17 and C7–C8 bonds. The [5.4.0]-bicycloazepine ring system in **7** arises from a Diels–Alder cycloaddition¹² between dihydroazepinone **8** and siloxydiene **9**. Although use of an azepinone such as **8** as a dienophile has not, to our knowledge, been reported in the literature, the convergence of this approach made it an attractive avenue for investigation. The fused cyclopropane **9** is prepared by another unusual Diels–Alder cycloaddition between cyclopentadiene **10** and cyclopropene **11**.¹³ While this approach rapidly builds complexity, it is not without significant challenges. A contrasteric facial approach of dienophile **11** would be required to give the desired stereochemistry at C14. Furthermore, cyclopentadienes are known to dimerize readily and to undergo 1,5-hydrogen shifts,¹⁴ resulting in scrambled substitution patterns. However, a recent example by Gleason and coworkers¹⁵ demonstrated that the presence of a silyl ether significantly retards these hydrogen shifts. Although the dienophiles approach exclusively from the sterically more-

accessible face of the diene in that report, contrasteric cycloadditions of heteroatom-substituted cyclopentadienes have been reported elsewhere,¹⁶ and selectivity has been shown to be substrate dependent.^{16c}

Cyclopropene/Cyclopentadiene Diels–Alder Cycloaddition

Synthesis of the cyclopropene component commenced with known tribromocyclopropane **12**, available in 3 steps from methyl acrylate¹⁷ (Figure 3). Ester reduction with DIBAL followed by silyl protection provided cyclopropene precursor **13**. Lithium–halogen exchange of **13** with 2 equiv MeLi followed by aqueous quench of the resulting vinyl anion gave cyclopropene **11**, which could be isolated and was used immediately due to rapid Alder-ene dimerization. The cyclopentadiene component was prepared from the known cyclopentenone **14**,¹⁸ available in one step from furfuryl alcohol. Methylation of the allylic alcohol¹⁹ (Ag₂O, MeI) provided ether **15**. Enolization–silylation¹⁹ (TBSOTf, Et₃N) of **15** with aqueous workup provided an intractable mixture of cyclopentadiene dimers. However, when cyclopropene **11** was introduced directly into the cyclopentadiene reaction mixture prior to workup, the desired cycloadduct **17** was obtained as an inseparable 1.6:1 mixture with the undesired regioisomer **16**, along with small amounts of other isomers.^{20,21} Notably, this reaction favored contrasteric approach of the cyclopropene dienophile to the cyclopentadiene (5.6:1).^{21,22} Attempts to improve the regioisomeric ratio through the use of a methyl enoate derived directly from ester **12** provided only a complex mixture of products with no indication of successful cycloaddition. Therefore, the mixture of **16** and **17** was taken on via a 4-step route involving NaOH hydrolysis of the silyl ether to form ketone **18**, Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons olefination,²³ Pd-catalyzed stereoselective hydrogenation, and AlMe₃-mediated Weinreb amide formation.²⁴ At this point, the desired Weinreb amide **19** could be separated from the regioisomeric mixture obtained from the cyclopropene/cyclopentadiene Diels–Alder reaction. Treatment with vinylmagnesium bromide²⁵ then KHMDS, TBSOTf²⁶ provided Z-siloxydiene **9**, the 4 π -component for the second key Diels–Alder cycloaddition below.

Azepinone/Siloxydiene Diels–Alder Cycloaddition

Synthesis of the azepinone 2 π -component began with commercially available ϵ -caprolactone **20** (Figure 4). Lactone aminolysis²⁷ (BnNH₂, neat, 120 °C) was followed by Parikh–Doering oxidation²⁸ of the resulting primary alcohol and acid-catalyzed intramolecular enamine formation to afford **21**. Halogenation with bromine then provided vinyl bromide **22** (Br₂, Et₃N). Sequential treatment of the lithium enolate of **22** with ClCO₂Me and PhSeCl, followed by selenide oxidation/elimination (H₂O₂)²⁹ then furnished azepinone dienophile **8a**.

At this juncture, both the 4 π - and 2 π -components (**9** and **8a**) were in hand for the convergent Diels–Alder cycloaddition to produce the [5.4.0]-bicycloazepine ring system. Notably, the use of a dihydroazepine as a dienophile was without literature precedent, and so the expected regio-, *endo/exo*-, and facial selectivity of this transformation was unclear, especially in the setting of a complex polycyclic diene such as **9**. After extensive experimentation with thermal and Lewis-acid catalysis in a variety of solvents, we found that, when a solution of dienophile **8** and diene **9** in toluene was treated with Sc(OTf)₃, the Diels–Alder cycloaddition provided complete regio- and *endo*-selectivity. However, the product was obtained as an inseparable 1.8:1 mixture of undesired stereofacial cycloadduct **23** and desired cycloadduct **7** in 69% combined yield.²¹ Silyl deprotection (TBAF, THF) followed by oxidation (IBX, CH₃CN, sonication) and separation of the diastereomers provided aldehyde **24** in 31% yield, along with 56% of the undesired diastereomer (not shown). Although this sequence favored the undesired distereomer, the rapid increase in

complexity provided by this approach enabled exploration of the installation of the remaining C11–C17 and C7–C8 bonds.

C11–C17 Bond Formation by Mannich-type N-Acyliminium Cyclization

Next, the C11–C17 bond was formed via Mannich-type acid-catalyzed nucleophilic attack of an enol at C11 onto an *N*-acyliminium at C17 (Figure 5). When cyclopropane carboxaldehyde **24** was treated with any of a number of Lewis or Brønsted acids, cyclic enol ether **27** formed quickly and could be recovered from the reaction mixture. Presumably, this product is formed via activation of the aldehyde as cyclopropylcarbinyloxocarbenium **25** and its resonance form **26**. Regioselective intramolecular attack by the ketone oxygen upon this cation then forms the observed cyclic enol ether **27**.²¹ Ultimately, use of the much stronger acid Tf₂NH not only effected this cyclopropane rupture, but also catalyzed formation of the key C11–C17 bond through protonation of the enamide in **27** to give putative *N*-acyliminium intermediate **28**, which then underwent nucleophilic attack by the C11 enol to provide enol ether **29**, whose structure was confirmed based on extensive 1D NOE and 2D NMR analyses.²¹

C7–C8 Bond Formation by Radical Cyclization

To complete the synthesis of the hexacyclic skeleton of the norditerpenoid alkaloids, the cyclic enol ether in **29** needed to be cleaved. However, this enol ether proved to be quite robust, withstanding standard aqueous acidic conditions even at elevated temperature. More extreme conditions (12 *N* HCl, 60 °C) resulted in hydrolysis of both the methyl ester and methyl ether, but no products were detected in which the enol ether had been cleaved. Interestingly, the vinyl proton in **29** appeared at 5.4 ppm in the ¹H-NMR spectrum. This unusually downfield vinyl ether signal could arise from poor orbital overlap of the oxygen lone pair and the π system of the alkene, and suggested that hydrolysis would continue to be a challenge.

Thus, alternative methods to cleave the enol ether were investigated and oxidative elimination of the enol ether ultimately proved to be an effective route (Figure 6). The C16 aldehyde sidechain in **29** was subjected to a two-step, one-carbon truncation³⁰ (TBSOTf, Et₃N; then OsO₄, PhI(OAc)₂, 2,6-lutidine) followed by C3 allylic oxidation adjacent to the enol ether (CAN, CH₃CN/H₂O) to provide keto-alcohol **30**. Activation of the allylic alcohol as a mesylate (MsCl, Et₃N) then generated bis-enone **32**, presumably by elimination of the enol-ether oxygen via allyl cation **31**. Site-selective intramolecular radical conjugate addition of a bromide-derived radical at C7 into the enone at C8 (Bu₃SnH, AIBN) provided the desired hexacycle **33**.

Completion of the Hexacyclic Skeleton of the C19-Norditerpenoid Alkaloids

Finally, we sought to install the requisite C8-hydroxyl group found in the aconitine alkaloids. The paucity of precedents for this formal C–H oxidation led us to pursue an unconventional strategy that exploited the inherent reactivity embedded within the molecular framework. A 3-step sequence involving α -selenylation of the C16-ketone (LiHMDS, PhSeCl), selenoxide elimination (H₂O₂) to give the highly-strained bridhead enone **34**, and immediate trapping with water (aq THF, AcOH) provided the tertiary alcohol **35**, comprising the complete hexacyclic skeleton of the C19-norditerpenoid alkaloids. The structure was confirmed by extensive 2D NMR analysis.²¹

Completion of the hexacyclic skeleton of the norditerpenoid alkaloids was a welcome achievement. However, exploration of the installation of the remaining functional groups was hampered by low material throughput resulting primarily from the poor diastereoselectivity of the azepinone/siloxydiene Diels–Alder cycloaddition between **8** and **9**

(Figure 4). Therefore we embarked upon a second-generation synthesis to enable the total synthesis of neofinaconitine.

Enhanced Azepinone/Siloxydiene Diels–Alder Diastereo-selectivity through Rational Substrate Reengineering

To improve the diastereofacial selectivity of the azepinone/siloxydiene Diels–Alder cycloaddition, we envisioned the alternative siloxydiene **36** (Figure 7). Based on molecular modeling studies, we hypothesized that a sterically demanding bromine atom would restrict rotation and block the “back” face of the diene, thus favoring the desired facial approach of the dienophile from the “front” face. It was unclear, however, whether such reengineering of the diene would erode the excellent regio- and *endo*-selectivity observed previously.

To test this hypothesis, siloxydiene **36** was prepared from Weinreb amide **19** (Figure 8). Silyl deprotection (TBAF) was followed by acid-catalyzed nucleophilic cyclopropane fragmentation of the resulting cyclopropyl carbinyl alcohol to provide bromide **37**. This transformation proved to be nontrivial, as competing intramolecular trapping of the putative cyclopropylcarbinyl carbocation by the amide led to various side products. Ultimately, we found that treatment with HBr/AcOH in fluorobenzene promoted the desired fragmentation with minimal side reactions and concomitant installation of the equatorial bromide. Siloxydiene **36** was then prepared through a two-step procedure (vinylmagnesium bromide, THF, then TBSOTf, KHMDS, $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$).²⁶ The requisite *N*-ethyl azepinone dienophile **8b** was synthesized from ϵ -caprolactone (*cf.* Figure 4).²¹ We were then in position to test whether the equatorial bromide in siloxydiene **36** would provide the desired facial control in the key Diels–Alder cycloaddition.

Extensive experimentation revealed that the Diels–Alder cycloaddition between siloxydiene **36** and dienophile **8b** could be executed under SnCl_4 catalysis to provide cycloadduct **38** as a single isomer with the desired stereochemical configuration, as confirmed by X-ray crystallography. All other Lewis acids tested gave lower yields or selectivities [*e.g.*, $\text{Yb}(\text{OTf})_3$, $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$, ZnCl_2]. The excellent stereoselectivity is thought to arise from effective blocking of the undesired “back” face by the bulky bromine atom, favoring dienophile approach from the desired “front” face (Figure 7). We then attempted Mannich-type *N*-acyliminium cyclization to install the C11–C17 bond as in Figure 5. However, while treatment of **38** with Tf_2NH did effect the desired cyclization, isomerization of the exocyclic double bond also occurred (**39**). Attempted activation with $\text{Ag}(\text{TFA})$ resulted in skeletal rearrangement of the bicyclo[3.2.1] ring system (**40**), whose structure was assigned based on 2D NMR analysis.²¹

Completion of the Total Synthesis of Neofinaconitine

To overcome the above undesired reactions, we reasoned that an alternative enone substrate **41** (Figure 9) would allow the orchestration of the desired Mannich-type *N*-acyliminium cyclization by obviating the olefin migration in **39** and by precluding the skeletal rearrangement in **40** due to poor stereoelectronic overlap between the C9–C14 bond and the enone π -system. Thus, chemoselective oxidative cleavage of the C16 exocyclic olefin in **38** (OsO_4 , NMO then $\text{Pb}(\text{OAc})_4$) followed by bromine elimination with DBU provided enone **41**. As predicted, treatment of **41** with Tf_2NH then provided the desired cyclization product **42**, presumably via acid-catalyzed conjugate addition of the C1 ketone oxygen into the enone, followed by Mannich-type *N*-acyliminium cyclization to furnish the C11–C17 bond.

Construction of the aconitine skeleton was then completed via a series of transformations similar to those in Figure 6. Allylic oxidation at C3 of enol ether **42** (CAN)³¹ followed by activation of the resulting allylic alcohol as the mesylate with ensuing elimination (MsCl ,

Et₃N) provided bis-enone **43**. Conjugate addition of the radical generated from the secondary C7 bromide (Bu₃SnH, AIBN) into the enone at C8 then afforded the hexacyclic skeleton **44**. Installation of the C8-hydroxyl was achieved by a 3-step sequence involving silylenolation of the C16 ketone (TMSOTf, Et₃N), α -selenylation (PhSeCl), and selenoxide elimination with concomitant chemoselective conjugate addition of water at C8 of the putative highly strained bridgehead olefin intermediate **45** (NaIO₄) to afford the tertiary alcohol **46**.

The C1 enone in **46** was then transformed into a C1 methyl ether in **47** by enone hydrogenation (H₂, Pd/C), NaBH₄ reduction of both the C1 and C16 ketones, and selective methylation of the C1 and C16 secondary alcohols in the presence of the C8 tertiary alcohol (MeI, *t*-BuOK). The remainder of the material consisted of a mixture of C1-*O* and C16-*O* monomethylated products. An alternative, improved approach involving selective demethylation of a tris(methyl ether) was developed subsequently (*vide infra*). Notably, the NaBH₄ reduction step gave a single diastereomer, with two newly generated stereocenters at C1 and C16. Although the stereochemical configurations at C1 and C16 remained formally unassigned at this stage, axial attack by borohydride was presumed and the material was carried forward for later verification of the configurations at these centers.

The endgame was then advanced by one-carbon oxidative truncation of the C4 methyl ester in **47** to the tertiary alcohol in **48** (LiBH₄ then CrO₃).³² Amide reduction at C19 in **48** (LiAlH₄) then revealed the requisite tertiary amine. The remaining formidable task was selective acylation of the C4 tertiary alcohol in the presence of the C8 tertiary alcohol. The scarcity of literature precedents for such a hindered acylation with anthranilic acid³³ prompted us to develop a 2-step procedure via the corresponding *o*-nitrobenzoate (*o*-NO₂BzCl, then Zn, HCl) to provide racemic neofinaconitine, ($\pm$)-**3**. The observed site selectivity for C4 presumably arises from steric shielding of the C8 alcohol by the C14- and C16-methoxy groups.

The ¹H-NMR spectrum of this synthetic material was consistent with the reported spectral data for neofinaconitine.^{11a,21} Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain an authentic sample for direct comparison, and efforts to prepare an X-ray quality crystal with this material were unsuccessful.

Relay Synthesis of Neofinaconitine and 9-Deoxy-lappaconitine from Condelphine and Structural Confirmation

Although the spectral agreement above confirmed that our synthetic material was identical to the natural product, the lack of stereochemical confirmation at C1 and C16 in our total synthesis and the spectral discrepancy between neofinaconitine and delphicrispuline¹¹ at the C14 proton prompted us to develop a relay synthesis of neofinaconitine starting from a commercially available aconitine alkaloid that provided well-established stereochemistry and bond connectivity. Convergence of spectral data for the relay synthetic material, our fully synthetic material, and the natural product would then further corroborate the assigned structure of neofinaconitine.

After careful evaluation of commercially available alkaloids from the aconitine family, condelphine (**49**) was chosen as the starting point for relay synthesis, since it possesses all the required substitutions and stereochemistry at C1, C8, C14 and C16 (Figure 10). However, a method needed to be developed for truncation of the C4-methoxymethyl group to the requisite C4-hydroxyl.

The relay synthesis began with basic hydrolysis of the C14 acetate of condelphine, **49**, (aq NaOH),³⁴ followed by permethylation (NaH, MeI) to give a pentamethyl ether that was

subjected directly to KMnO_4 oxidation of the tertiary amine to afford C19 amide **50**.³² This seemingly superfluous C19-oxidation served two vital purposes. First, it enabled selective demethylation of the C18-methoxy group (BBr_3), presumably due to boron precoordination to the C19 carbonyl enabling selective activation of C18 oxygen. Second, it promoted oxidative cleavage of the C18 alcohol (CrO_3) to deliver the requisite C4 tertiary alcohol (–)-**48**, in which the C8-methoxy group had also been cleaved (H_2SO_4 , 80 °C). This formal C8-*O*-demethylation may proceed through the intermediacy of C8 tertiary carbocation, which is trapped with water. Finally, (–)-**48** was advanced to enantiomerically pure neofinaconitine, (+)-**3**, as in the total synthesis route (*cf.* Figure 9).

The spectroscopic data of the relay synthetic neofinaconitine matched those of the total synthesis material, confirming the identity of the latter and the stereochemical configurations at C1 and C16. In addition, acetylation of neofinaconitine (Ac_2O , pyridine) afforded 9-deoxylappaconitine, (+)-**51**, the spectral data of which was also consistent with literature data.^{11a,21} Further, X-ray crystallographic analysis of the relay synthetic neofinaconitine provided unambiguous confirmation of the structure of the relay synthetic material and, by extension, the structures of the fully synthetic and natural neofinaconitine. Variation of concentration did not modulate the ^1H -NMR chemical shift of the C14 proton and addition of 1 equiv HCl resulted in precipitation from CDCl_3 . Accordingly, because of the significant discrepancy in the reported chemical shift of the C14 proton of delphicrispuline (Figure 9), its structural assignment as identical to neofinaconitine merits reevaluation.

CONCLUSION

In summary, a novel, modular, and convergent synthetic strategy toward the norditerpenoid alkaloids has been developed, culminating in the first total synthesis of neofinaconitine. An unusual Diels–Alder cycloaddition between the unstable cyclopentadiene **10** and cyclopropene **11** proved successful with no scrambling of cyclopentadiene substituents observed. Azepinones **8** were also established as a competent Diels–Alder dienophiles that allowed rapid construction of the [5.4.0]-bicycloazepine ring system in the key cyclization precursors **7** and **38**. Although the Mannich-type *N*-acyliminium cyclization of **24** afforded a cyclic enol ether **29** that proved recalcitrant to hydrolysis, this obstacle was overcome using an oxidative elimination approach (**30** → **32**). The poor diastereoselectivity of the key azepinone/siloxidiene Diels–Alder cycloaddition was improved by substrate reengineering with a sterically demanding bromine substituent. Moreover, a concise, 10-step relay synthesis of neofinaconitine and 9-deoxylappaconitine from condelphine was developed, confirming the structure of the neofinaconitine natural product by x-ray crystallographic analysis. Determination of the true structure of delphicrispuline will require further studies. Synthetic access to neofinaconitine analogues will enable full biological evaluation and elucidation of mechanisms of ion channel modulation by the norditerpenoid alkaloids.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Dedicated to the memory of our colleague and mentor, Prof. David Y. Gin (1967–2011). We thank Prof. Samuel Danishefsky (MSKCC) for critical reading of this manuscript, Dr. George Sukenick, Dr. Hui Liu, Hui Fang, and Dr. Sylvia Rusli (MSKCC Analytical Core Facility) for expert NMR and mass spectral support and Dr. Aaron Sattler and Prof. Gerard Parkin (Columbia University) and Dr. Louis Todaro (Hunter College) for X-ray crystallographic analyses. Financial support from the NIH (GM067659 to D.Y.G.) and The Carlsberg Foundation (postdoctoral fellowship to L.U.N.) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. (a) Amiya, T.; Bando, H. *Aconitum* Alkaloids. In: Brossi, A., editor. *The Alkaloids: Chemistry and Pharmacology*. Vol. 34. Academic Press; San Diego: 1988. p. 95-179. (b) Wang, F-P.; Chen, Q-H. The C₁₉-Diterpenoid Alkaloids. In: Cordell, G.A., editor. *The Alkaloids: Chemistry and Biology*. Vol. 69. Elsevier; Amsterdam: 2010. p. 1 (c) Wang, F-P.; Chen, Q-H.; Liang, X-T. The C₁₈-Diterpene Alkaloids. In: Cordell, G.A., editor. *The Alkaloids: Chemistry and Biology*. Vol. 67. Elsevier; Amsterdam: 2009. p. 1 (d) Huang, TK. *Handbook of Composition and Pharmacological Action of Commonly-used Traditional Chinese Medicine*. Yi-Yao Science & Technology Press; Beijing: 1994. p. 923-926. p. 1367-1372.
2. Wang FP, Chen QH, Liu XY. *Nat Prod Rep*. 2010; 27:529–570. [PubMed: 20336236]
3. (a) Schmidt E, Schulze H. *Arch Pharm*. 1906; 244:136–159. (b) Schulze H, Ulfert F. *Arch Pharm*. 1922; 260:230–243.
4. (a) Wiesner K, Götz M, Simmons DL, Fowler LR, Bachelor FW, Brown RFC, Büchi G. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1959; 1(2):15–24. (b) Wiesner K, Bickelhaupt F, Babin DR, Götz M. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1959; 1(3):11–14.
5. Ameri A. *Prog Neurobiol*. 1998; 56:211–235. [PubMed: 9760702]
6. Sadikov AZ, Shakirov TT. *Khim Priir Soedin*. 1988; 24:91–94.
7. Synthesis: Wiesner K, Tsai TYR, Huber K, Bolton SE, Vlahov R. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1974; 96:4990–4992. Structure determination: Khaimova MA, Palamereva MD, Mollov NM, Kretev VP. *Tetrahedron*. 1971; 27:819–822.
8. (a) Tsai TYR, Tsai CSJ, Sy WW, Shanbhag MN, Liu WC, Lee SF, Wiesner K. *Heterocycles*. 1977; 7:217–226. (b) Wiesner K, Tsai TYR, Nambiar KP. *Can J Chem*. 1978; 56:1451–1454. (c) Lee SF, Sathe GM, Wy WW, Ho PT, Wiesner K. *Can J Chem*. 1976; 54:1039–1051.
9. (a) Wiesner K. *Pure Appl Chem*. 1979; 51:689–703. (b) Wiesner K. *Tetrahedron*. 1985; 41:485–497.
10. For selected model studies: Shishido K, Hiroya K, Fukumoto K, Tetsuji K. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1986; 27:1167–1170. Taber DF, Liang JL, Chen B, Cai L. *J Org Chem*. 2005; 70:8739–8742. [PubMed: 16238304] Conrad RM, Du Bois J. *Org Lett*. 2007; 9:5465–5468. [PubMed: 18052072] Yang ZK, Chen QH, Wang FP. *Tetrahedron*. 2011; 67:4192–4195.
11. Neofinaconitine: Jiang S, Hong S, Song B, Zhu Y, Zhou B. *Acta Chimica Sinica*. 1988; 46:26–29. Delphicrispuline: Ulubelen A, Meriçli AH, Meriçli F, Kolak U, Ilarslan R, Voelter W. *Phytochemistry*. 1999; 50:513–516.
12. Diels O, Alder K. *Liebigs Ann Chem*. 1928; 460:98–122.
13. (a) Wiberg KB, Bartley WJ. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1960; 82:6375–6380. (b) Kagi RI, Johnson BL. *Aust J Chem*. 1975; 28:2175–2187.
14. McLean S, Haynes P. *Tetrahedron*. 1965; 21:2329–2342.
15. Hudon J, Cernak TA, Ashenhurst JA, Gleason JL. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2008; 47:8885–8888.
16. (a) Jones DW. *J Chem Soc, Chem Commun*. 1980:739–740. (b) McClinton MA, Sic V. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1*. 1992:1891–1895. (c) Wellman MA, Burry LC, Letourneau JE, Bridson JN, Miller DO, Burnell DJ. *J Org Chem*. 1997; 62:939–946.
17. Al Dulayymi AR, Al Dulayymi JR, Baird MS, Gerrard ME, Koza G, Harkins SD, Roberts E. *Tetrahedron*. 1996; 52:3409–3424.
18. Curran TT, Hay DA, Koegel CP, Evans JC. *Tetrahedron*. 1997; 53:1983–2004.
19. Forsyth CJ, Clardy J. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1990; 112:3497–3505.
20. Regiochemistry in **17** was assigned by COSY analysis of the subsequent ketone **18**, while stereochemical configurations were assigned based on 1D-NOE analysis of the subsequent Weinreb amide **19**.
21. See Supporting Information for complete details.
22. Diels–Alder cycloaddition of 2,5-bis(*t*-butyldimethylsiloxy)-cyclopentadiene with cyclopropene **11** also favored contrasteric approach (10:1). In contrast, reaction with benzophenone as the dienophile proceeded almost exclusively from the sterically less-hindered face of this diene (1:15).
23. Wadsworth W, Emmons WD. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1961; 83:1733–1738.

24. (a) Levin JI, Turos E, Weinreb SM. *Synth Commun.* 1982; 12:989–993.(b) Nahm S, Weinreb SM. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981; 22:3815–3818.(c) Luke GP, Morris J. *J Org Chem.* 1995; 60:3013–3019.
25. Hiyamizu H, Ooi H, Inomoto Y, Esumi T, Iwabuchi Y, Hatakeyama S. *Org Lett.* 2001; 3:473–475. [PubMed: 11428042]
26. Zhou G, Hu Q, Corey EJ. *Org Lett.* 2003; 5:3979–3982. [PubMed: 14535758]
27. Marzorati M, Hult K, Riva S, Danieli B. *Adv Synth Catal.* 2007; 349:1963–1968.
28. Parikh JR, Doering WVE. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1967; 89:5505–5507.
29. Grieco PA, Miyashita M. *J Org Chem.* 1974; 39:120–122.
30. Nicolaou KC, Adsool VA, Hale CRH. *Org Lett.* 2010; 12:1552–1555. [PubMed: 20192259]
31. For selected examples, see: Renzo R, Yuji N, Manfred S. *Tetrahedron.* 1991; 47:4603–4610. Breytenbach JC, Zyl JJ, Merwe PJ, Rall GJH, Roux DG. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1.* 1981:2684–2691.
32. Abdelrahman D, Benn M, Hellyer R, Parvez M, Edwards OE. *Can J Chem.* 2006; 84:1167–1173.
33. (a) Schepartz A, Breslow R. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1987; 109:1814–1826.(b) Barker D, Brimble MA, McLeod MD. *Tetrahedron.* 2004; 60:5953–5963.(c) DiBiase G. *J Org Chem.* 1978; 43:447–452.
34. Hanuman JB, Katz A. *Phytochemistry.* 1994; 35:1527–1543. [PubMed: 7764836]

Figure 1.

Structures of selected C19- and C18-norditerpenoid alkaloids. Neofinaconitine and delphicrispuline have both been assigned the same structure (**3**), but have distinct chemical shifts reported for the C14 proton (neofinaconitine δ 3.68, delphicrispuline δ 3.45 ppm, CDCl₃).¹¹

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 September 25.

Figure 3.

Synthesis of fused tricyclic cyclopropane **9** via cyclopropene Diels–Alder cycloaddition. a) DIBAL, CH_2Cl_2 , -78°C to 20°C ; b) TIPSCl, imidazole, CH_2Cl_2 , 72% over 2 steps; c) MeLi, THF, -78°C ; d) MeI, Ag_2O , CH_2Cl_2 , 75%; e) **11**, TBSOTf, Et_3N , 0°C ; f) NaOH, THF/ H_2O ; g) methyl diethylphosphonoacetate, KHMDS 0°C to 23°C , reflux; h) H_2 , Pd/C, EtOAc; i) *N,N*-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride, AlMe_3 , THF, 39% over 6 steps from **13** (single isomer); j) vinylmagnesium bromide, 0°C ; k) TBSOTf, KHMDS, THF, -78°C , 77% over 2 steps. DIBAL = diisobutylaluminum hydride; KHMDS = potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide; TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl; Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl; TIPS = triisopropylsilyl.

Figure 4.

Synthesis of cyclopropane carboxaldehyde **24** via azepinone Diels–Alder cycloaddition. a) BnNH_2 , 120 °C, 78%; b) $\text{SO}_3 \cdot \text{pyridine}$, Et_3N , $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{DMSO}$, 93%; c) TsOH , toluene, 110 °C, 77%; d) Br_2 , Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C, 86%; e) LiHMDS , ClCO_2Me , PhSeCl , –78 °C to 20 °C; f) H_2O_2 , CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C, 99% over 2 steps; g) **10**, $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$, PhMe , 69%; h) TBAF, THF, 77%; i) IBX, CH_3CN , sonication, 87% combined yield from **23** and **7**, 31% isolated yield of **24**. LiHMDS = lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide; Ts = *p*-toluenesulfonyl.

Figure 5.
Mannich-type *N*-acyliminium cyclization of **24** to form the key C11–C17 bond in **29**. a)
Tf₂NH, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 71%.

Figure 6.

Intramolecular radical conjugate addition of **32** to form the key C7–C8 bond in **33** and completion of the carbon skeleton of the C19-norditerpenoid alkaloids **35**. a) TBSOTf, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 86%; b) OsO₄, PhI(OAc)₂, 2,6-lutidine, THF/H₂O, 74%; c) Ce(NH₄)₂(NO₃)₆, CH₃CN/CH₂Cl₂/H₂O, 50 °C; d) MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 50 °C, 57% over 2 steps; e) Bu₃SnH, AIBN, PhH, 80 °C, 86%; f) LiHMDS, PhSeCl, THF, –78 °C, 75%; g) H₂O₂, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 90%; h) AcOH, THF, H₂O, 77%. AIBN = 2,2'-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile); Ms = methanesulfonyl.

Figure 7.

Three-dimensional models of the original cyclopropane-containing siloxydiene **9** and bromine-containing siloxydiene **36** suggesting improved diastereofacial selectivity in Diels–Alder cycloaddition of **36**. Dienes are shown in blue; bromine is shown as a green sphere; silyl groups are abbreviated as purple spheres for simplicity.

Figure 8.

Diastereoselective Diels–Alder cycloaddition of bromide-containing siloxydiene **36**. a) TBAF, THF, 99%; b) HBr/AcOH, C₆H₅F, 0 °C, 63%; c) vinylmagnesium bromide, THF, 0 °C; d) TBSOTf, KHMDS, THF, –78 °C, 80% over 2 steps; e) **8b**, SnCl₄, 4 Å molecular sieves, CH₃CN, 87%; f) Tf₂NH, CH₂Cl₂, 46%; g) AgO₂CCF₃, CH₂Cl₂, 60%.

Figure 9.

Completion of the total synthesis of neofinaconitine via C11–C17 Mannich-type *N*-acyliminium cyclization and C7–C8 radical cyclization; diagnostic ¹H-NMR peaks for neofinaconitine^{11a} and delphicrispuline^{11b} (CDCl₃). a) OsO₄, NMO, THF, H₂O, then Pb(OAc)₄, 65%; b) DBU, toluene, 87%; c) Tf₂NH, CH₂Cl₂, 75%; d) CAN, CH₃CN, H₂O, 60 °C; e) MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 50 °C, 66% over 2 steps; f) Bu₃SnH, AIBN, PhH, 80 °C, 99%; g) TMSOTf, Et₃N, THF, 0 °C; h) PhSeCl, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C 86% over 2 steps; i) NaIO₄, THF, H₂O, 59%; j) Pd/C, H₂, EtOAc; k) NaBH₄, MeOH, 0 °C, 89% over 2 steps; l) MeI, *t*-BuOK, THF, 0 °C, 34%; m) LiBH₄, THF; n) CrO₃, 0.5 *N* H₂SO₄, 40% over 2 steps; o) LiAlH₄, THF, 85 °C; p) *o*-NO₂BzCl, DMAP, Et₃N, C₆H₆, 80 °C; q) Zn, HCl, MeOH, H₂O, 13% over 3 steps.

Figure 10.

Relay synthesis of neofinaconitine and 9-deoxylappaconitine from condolphine. a) NaOH, H₂O, EtOH. b) NaH, MeI, THF, 100 °C; c) KMnO₄, H₂O, CH₂Cl₂, 54% over 3 steps; d) BBr₃·SMe₂, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C; e) CrO₃, 0.5 N H₂SO₄; f) 0.5 N H₂SO₄, 80 °C, 46% over 3 steps; g) LiAlH₄, THF, 80 °C; h) *o*-NO₂BzCl, DMAP, Et₃N, C₆H₆, 80 °C; i) Zn, HCl, MeOH, H₂O, 70% over 3 steps; j) Ac₂O, pyridine, 91%.