Gender Analysis of Moxifloxacin Clinical Trials

Elisa Chilet-Rosell, PhD,¹ Ma Teresa Ruiz-Cantero,^{1,2} and Ma Angeles Pardo, MSc¹

Abstract

Purpose: To determine the inclusion of women and the sex-stratification of results in moxifloxacin Clinical Trials (CTs), and to establish whether these CTs considered issues that specifically affect women, such as pregnancy and use of hormonal therapies. Previous publications about women's inclusion in CTs have not specifically studied therapeutic drugs. Although this type of drug is taken by men and women at a similar rate, adverse effects occur more frequently in the latter.

Methods: We reviewed 158 published moxifloxacin trials on humans, retrieved from MedLine and the Cochrane Library (1998–2010), to determine whether they complied with the gender recommendations published by U.S. Food and Drug Administration Guideline.

Results: Of a total of 80,417 subjects included in the moxifloxacin CTs, only 33.7% were women in phase I, in contrast to phase II, where women accounted for 45%, phase III, where they represented 38.3% and phase IV, where 51.3% were women. About 40.9% ($n=52$) of trials were stratified by sex and 15.3% ($n=13$) and 9% ($n=7$) provided data by sex on efficacy and adverse effects, respectively. We found little information about the influence of issues that specifically affect women. Only 3 of the 59 journals that published the moxifloxacin CTs stated that authors should stratify their results by sex.

Conclusions: Women are under-represented in the published moxifloxacin trials, and this trend is more marked in phase I, as they comprise a higher proportion in the other phases. Data by sex on efficacy and adverse effects are scarce in moxifloxacin trials. These facts, together with the lack of data on women-specific issues, suggest that the therapeutic drug moxifloxacin is only a partially evidence-based medicine.

Introduction

FLUOROQUINOLONE ANTIBIOTICS are surrounded by controversy due to their adverse effects, some of which occur more frequently in women, such as QT-interval prolongation, which can lead to torsades de pointes¹ or cutaneous photosensitization.^{2,3} In addition, moxifloxacin has been the center of extensive debate since its authorization, mainly due to the alleged advantages it has over other drugs in its class and also due to the increased risk of cardiac disorders.⁴ Interestingly, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved moxifloxacin despite the objections raised by some members of the FDA advisory committee and the medical review officer.⁵ Lastly, the FDA and European Medicines Agency (EMA) have approved its use in uncomplicated gynecological infections; a decision that has been criticized since authorization was based on a single randomized, double-blind controlled trial with 741 patients over 6 weeks. Thus, the potential for long-term complications such as infertility or extra-uterine pregnancy are unknown.⁴

Some fluoroquinolones have been withdrawn from the market in certain countries due to serious adverse events and safety concerns. These include temafloxacin (in 1992), which has been shown to cause hemolytic anemia, often accompanied by renal or hepatic dysfunction and/or coagulopathy⁶; trovafloxacin and alatrofloxacin (2001), which cause fatal liver damage⁷; grepafloxacin (2003), which produces adverse effects related to prolongation of the QT interval on the electrocardiogram, leading to cardiac events and sudden death—more frequently in women than men⁸; and gatifloxacin (2006), since it increases risk of diabetes, hallucinations, liver damage, and purpura.⁹ Other quinolones, including moxifloxacin, have had their licensed indications restricted due to toxicity issues.¹⁰ In 2008, alerted by the serious risks involved in the use of oral moxifloxacin, the EMA and FDA analyzed pharmacovigilance data.^{7,11} The EMA decided that moxifloxacin should only be prescribed in the treatment of acute bacterial sinusitis, acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis, and community-acquired pneumonia when other antibiotics cannot be used or have failed. Furthermore, the FDA has stated that

¹Public Health Research Group, University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain.

²CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain.

moxifloxacin shows no advantages over other antibiotics and moreover has been observed to entail a higher cardiovascular risk in women than in men.

Despite the problems associated with taking fluor-quinolones, they are now the most commonly prescribed class of antibiotics in adults.¹² Nearly half of these prescriptions are to treat non-approved conditions.¹² Despite the restrictions on its use, moxifloxacin has become a bestseller for Bayer—accounting for 497 million Euros (\$697.3 million) worldwide in 2010.¹³

In 1993, the FDA published its Guideline for the Study and Evaluation of Gender Differences in the Clinical Evaluation of Drugs, aimed at promoting not only the inclusion of women in clinical trials (CTs) but also the analysis of gender differences.¹⁴ There has been an increase in the number of studies which have since examined the inclusion of women in CTs and conducted analyses by sex. These studies found that women only represent around 20% of subjects included in CTs of drugs for specific diseases,¹⁵ published in high impact factor journals,^{16–18} or funded by public institutions.¹⁹ Lastly it has been demonstrated that CTs of some drugs, such as antiretrovirals²⁰ and aripiprazol²¹ have included fewer women than men. In contrast, CTs of other drugs have included more women than men, particularly in the case of anti-inflammatory drugs,^{22,23} which were withdrawn from the market following fatalities. However, women were significantly under-represented in the crucial first phase,^{22,23} where the objective is to evaluate the safety of the drug. It has been explained on the basis of the potential risk of fetal harm should women become pregnant during the CT.²⁴ Other explanations for the exclusion of women in the CTs reported in the literature include the confounding effects related to the hormonal cycle, the higher withdrawal rate of women and interactions with other hormonal treatments.²⁴

Due to pressure from the U.S. FDA and National Institutes of Health (NIH), feminist movements, and other lobby groups, women are now better represented in sample sizes. Nevertheless, one form of measurement bias persists as 75% of CTs that receive federal funding from NIH,²⁵ and up to 95% of CT reports to the Spanish Medical Agency²⁶ do not include sex stratification. If analyses by sex is not considered in the design phase, it is possible that, in the subsequent analysis phase, the overall sample sizes are too small to produce valid results by sex.

The aims of this study were to determine compliance of moxifloxacin CTs with published good practice guidelines for sex and clinical trials¹⁴ and to explore editorial policies on sex differences and women-specific issues in the journal of publication. The rationale for choosing moxifloxacin was that previous publications have not specifically studied therapeutic drugs, such as antibiotics, where the benefit–risk profile differs from that of symptomatic medications. Furthermore, this drug belongs to a group of antibiotics that is consumed by both sexes to the same degree although the adverse effects occur more frequently in women.^{27,28}

Methods

We conducted a review of moxifloxacin clinical trials, using as keywords “moxifloxacin” and “avelox,” and as limits “humans,” in Medline and the Cochrane Library. We identified a total of 173 trials published between January 1998 and

December 2010 described in 172 papers of moxifloxacin on adults, published in English (171) and Spanish (1) and excluded those that gave no information about the number or frequency of women and men in the sample (15). Consequently, we retrieved and analyzed a total of 158 CTs (Fig. 1).

In order to conduct a sex analysis of the CTs reviewed, we designed a protocol in accordance with the recommendations of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Guideline for the Study and Evaluation of Gender Differences in the Clinical Evaluation of Drugs,¹⁴ which included the following variables:

1. Demographic characteristics: inclusion frequencies for women and men, age (range, or failing that, mean), type of patient (healthy or type of infection).
2. CT phase
 - Phase I: to test an experimental drug or treatment in a small group of people for the first time, to gather preliminary data on the agent's pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics, and to evaluate its safety, determine a safe dosage range, and identify side effects;
 - Phase II: the experimental treatment is given to a larger group of people to see if it is effective and to further evaluate its safety;
 - Phase III: treatment is given to large groups of people to confirm its effectiveness, monitor side effects, compare it to commonly used treatments, and collect information that will allow it to be used safely; and
 - Phase IV: post-marketing studies delineate additional information, including the treatment's risks, benefits, and optimal use.
3. Objective/s of the clinical trials.
4. Limiting omissions (from the gender perspective interest).
5. Sex differences: discussion of the results by sex. Data stratified in order to enable gender analysis of the results. Analysis by sex of efficacy, adverse effects, dose–response, blood concentration–response.
6. Women-specific issues: pregnancy as an exclusion criterion, use of contraceptive methods, use of hormonal contraceptives, and use of hormone replacement therapy (HRT).
7. Excluded from the analysis: editorial policies on sex differences and women-specific issues in the journal of publication.

We analyzed the frequencies and percentages of the variables described above. The main criterion for considering whether a clinical trial fulfilled a sex-related recommendation was any mention of the sex variable in the text. The level of inter-observer agreement (authors E.C. and M.T.R.) was calculated by means of the Kappa index, and a high level of agreement was obtained (Kappa index: 95%). Any lack of agreement (5%) was resolved by a third researcher (A.P.).

The criteria used for the inclusion of clinical trials under each analysis variables were not mutually exclusive (Fig. 1):

- Sex stratified data and discussion of results by sex: CTs that include both sexes (127 CTs), excluding those that only included one sex or the other.
- Efficacy by sex: CTs that included both sexes and gave efficacy as an objective (85 CTs).
- Adverse effects by sex: CTs that included both sexes and gave information about adverse effects (78 CTs).

FIG. 1. Diagram illustrating the review process. CTs, clinical trials; HRT, hormone replacement therapy.

- Effect of moxifloxacin on QT interval: CTs that included both sexes and studied the QT interval after moxifloxacin administration (53 CTs).
- Dose response relationship: CTs that included both sexes and analyzed the dose-response relationship (10 CTs).
- Blood concentration by sex: CTs that included both sexes and gave an analysis of the dose-response relationship among their objectives (46 CTs).

For the following variables, only CTs that included women of childbearing age were considered in the analysis: Pregnancy as an exclusion criterion, recruitment of women using a contraceptive method or hormonal contraception, interaction between moxifloxacin and hormonal contraception.

- CTs that included women at menopausal age were included in the analysis of recruitment of women taking HRT, evaluation of interaction of the drug and HRT.
- CTs including women were considered in the analysis of the influence of ovarian cycle status on drug pharmacokinetics.

To determine whether a CT included women of childbearing age or women of menopausal age, we used the study population age data provided by the CTs.

Results

Tables 1 to 4 show the number of subjects (% women) and characteristics of study subjects for 69 phase I CTs^{29–95} (Table 1), 30 phase II CTs^{96–125} (Table 2), 49 phase III CTs^{126–174} (Table 3), and 10 phase IV CTs^{175–184} (Table 4). The titles of the journals that published the 158 CTs reviewed also appear. A total of 80,417 individuals participated in the CTs studied, 46.6% (37,474) of whom were women. The frequency of healthy subjects was 1,021 (31% women), while 68,289 had some kind of respiratory infection (46.8% women), 2,082 had eye infections (51.2% women), 1,964 had gastrointestinal infections (47.9% women) and 6,803 suffered other diseases (13.8% women).

Thirty-one CTs were conducted on one sex alone: 23 phase I CTs were performed with 436 men and 3 CTs with 99 women; 3 phase II CTs were conducted with 52 men; and 2 phase III CTs with 1,427 women.

Figure 2 shows that women made up 33.7% of the enrollment in the 69 phase I CTs, 45% of the 30 phase II CTs, 38.3% of the 49 phase III CTs, and in 51.3% of the 10 phase IV CTs.

Fifty-two (40.9%) of CTs stratified randomization by sex, accounting for 42.7% of the women ($n=15,361$ women) included as study subjects in the CTs (Table 5). Only 8 CTs (5.5%) discussed the results by sex (Table 5).

Of the 85 CTs studying efficacy, 15.3% ($n=13$) conducted an analysis by sex (Table 5). Specifically, 11% of women and 10.8% of men were included. The results of these CTs showed that 11 CTs did not detect any differences (Table 5), 1 CT detected sex differences at the time of peak serum bactericidal activity, and 1 CT found the drug to be more effective in men than in women. Nine percent ($n=7$) of the 78 CTs that studied adverse effects conducted an analysis by sex (Table 5). These CTs included 42.7% of women, compared with 28.2% of men. However, only 3 CTs (7.4%) analyzed the QT interval by sex, accounting for 1.7% of study subjects of both sexes (269 women and 308 men): 1

CT did not detect significant differences between men and women, but 2 reported increased QT intervals in women (Table 5).

No sex differences were detected in the 3 CTs (8.7%) that analyzed blood concentration by sex (Table 5) and included 1.7% of study subjects of both sexes (25 women and 77 men). None of the CTs studied dose response by sex.

Table 5 shows the FDA recommendations related to women-specific issues. The most frequently observed recommendation was to consider pregnancy as an exclusion criterion in women of childbearing age, used in 52.1% of CTs ($n=60$). Regarding the recommendations related to contraception, 18.3% of CTs ($n=21$) included the recommendation to take measures to avoid pregnancy during the trial (either barrier or hormonal contraceptive methods). These CTs only included 13.5% ($n=4,907$) of women participating in CTs with women of childbearing age.

Only 3 CTs mentioned the inclusion of women using hormonal contraceptives (64 women) (Table 5). Furthermore, only 2 CTs conducted a comparison of women taking hormonal contraception and those who were not and analyzed the possible interactions between hormonal contraceptives and moxifloxacin (59 women) (Table 5). None of the CTs involving women of menopausal age specified whether the women were taking HRT. One CT studied the influence of menstrual status, including a comparison between pre- and postmenopausal women (Table 5).

The 158 CTs were published in 59 journals. Of these, only 4^{66,73,104,167} CTs were published in 3 journals that recommended analyzing data by sex in their instructions for authors: *Current Medical Research Review*, *Ophthalmology*, and *PLoS One*. One of the 4 provided efficacy results by sex,¹⁶⁷ while the other 3 stratified the study sample by sex.^{66,73,104}

Discussion

The main findings of this review of published CTs on moxifloxacin were that fewer women participated in phase I trials. This is significant, as results from this initial phase are used to determine dosage in subsequent phases. The study also revealed that CTs that examined adverse effects included more women than men, and that although a considerable number of CTs stratified by sex in the design phase, only a very small proportion analyzed the results by sex. Moreover, very little attention was paid to the influence of hormones on the action of moxifloxacin. Although an increasing number of policies exist aimed at ensuring the inclusion of women in CTs and the analysis of sex differences, and organizations such as the FDA, NIH,¹⁸⁵ or the General Accounting Office¹⁸⁶ have made considerable efforts in this respect, in practice implementation of such policies is still insufficient according to the information published on CTs of therapeutic drugs such as moxifloxacin.

Since the CT is the paradigm of clinical research, and the fundamental tool for evaluating drugs, the distribution of CT patients by sex should reflect the population of patients that will use the drug once it is on the market.¹⁴ The moxifloxacin CTs included equal numbers of men and women, coinciding with the number of users according to phase IV or post-marketing data.^{147,178–186} However, women were underrepresented in phase I, which implies the loss of information necessary for the design of subsequent CT phases.¹⁸

TABLE 1. CHARACTERISTICS OF PUBLISHED PHASE-1 CLINICAL TRIALS OF MOXIFLOXACIN^a

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal (year of publication)</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omission</i>
Ober et al. ²⁹ Germany	J Antimicrob Chemother (2003)	16 (50.0)	24–76	Acute cholecystitis	To determine the penetration of MXF into gallbladder tissue to evaluate its antibiotic potential in acute cholecystitis	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Czock et al. ³⁰ Germany	Clin J Am Soc Nephrol (2006)	15 (13.3)	25–76	Acute renal failure	To determine the PK of two quinolone antibiotics in patients who had anuric acute renal failure and were being treated with extended daily dialysis	Sex not considered in the design Not enough women
Fuhrmann et al. ³¹ Austria	J Antimicrob Chemother (2004)	9 (22.2)	56 ± 17	Acute renal failure	To investigate the PK of intravenous MXF in anuric critically ill patients undergoing continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration	Sex not considered in the design Not enough women
Metadillis et al. ³²	J Chemother (2007)	14 (57.1)	61.1 ± 8.8	Arthroplasty	To study levofloxacin and MXF into cancellous and cortical bone in patients who underwent routine total hip arthroplasty	No sex analysis performed Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Breilh et al. ³³ Greece USA	J Chemother (2003)	49 (10.2)	42–75	Bronchial cancer	To examine the lung tissue diffusion of MXF at a dose of 400 mg administered intravenously or orally once daily, and the results were correlated to microbiological data to estimate the clinical efficacy of MXF in lower community-acquired respiratory infections	Sex not considered in the design Not enough women
Simon et al. ³⁴ France	Clin Pharmacol Ther (2003)	16 (18.8)	27–68	Bronchopneumonia	To construct a population pharmacokinetic model for MXF disposition in plasma and bronchial secretions in patients with severe bronchopneumonia who were ventilated	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Metadillis et al. ³⁵ USA	Int J Antimicrob Agents (2006)	8 (25.0)	57.1 ± 9.1	Cardiopulmonary bypass surgery	To investigate plasma and bone concentrations of MXF following a single intravenous dose of 400 mg to consider its potential role in the treatment of osteomyelitis	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Garcia Saenz et al. ³⁶ Spain	J Cataract Refract Surg (2001)	42 (66.7)	76	Cataract surgery	To study the aqueous penetration of ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and MXF in patients having cataract surgery	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Kampougeris et al. ³⁷ Greece	Br J Ophthalmol (2005)	21 (60.0)	50–87	Cataract surgery	To determine the PK of MXF in the anterior chamber of the human uninfamed eye	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or analysis
Solomon et al. ³⁸ USA	Ophthalmology (2005)	52 (59.6)	64	Cataract surgery	To investigate the aqueous penetration of the topical application of three commercially available ophthalmic fluoroquinolones: gatifloxacin 0.3%, MXF 0.5%, and ciprofloxacin 0.3%, administered before cataract surgery	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Gehanno et al. ³⁹ France	J Antimicrob Chemother (2002)	48 (21.7)	41.7 ± 13	Chronic sinusitis	To determine MXF concentrations in sinus tissue, after oral MXF 400 mg once daily for 5 days to patients with chronic sinusitis, undergoing elective sinus surgery	Sex not included in the design Not enough women

(continued)

TABLE 1. (CONTINUED)

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal (year of publication)</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omission</i>
Capitano et al. ⁴⁰ USA	Chest (2004)	47 (29.8)	62 ± 13	Diagnostic bronchoscopy	To determine the steady-state, extracellular, and intracellular pulmonary disposition of MXF, levofloxacin, and azithromycin relative to that of the plasma over a 24-hour dosing interval	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Skalioti et al. ⁴¹ Greece	Perit Dial Int (2009)	8 (0)	67.59 ± 15.94	Dialysis	To investigate the effect of CAPD on plasma and peritoneal fluid concentration and PK of MXF after administration of one 400 mg dose orally to end-stage renal failure patients undergoing CAPD	Did not include women
Wirtz et al. ⁴² Germany	J Antimicrob Chemother (2004)	22 (27.3)	53.5 ± 15.6	Gastrointestinal tract surgery	To assess the penetration of MXF into GI mucosal tissues to evaluate its potential role as an antimicrobial drug in bacterial infections of the GI tract	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Wise et al. ⁴³ United Kingdom	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (1999)	8 (0)	26–41	Healthy	To examine the PK and penetration of MXF into an inflammatory exudate were examined following a single 400-mg dose given by the oral or i.v. route	Did not include women
Stass et al. ⁴⁴ Germany	Br J Clin Pharmacol (2005)	9 (0)	23–45	Healthy	To evaluate the extent to which enterohepatic recycling circulation contributes to MXF bioavailability in healthy males by administration of activated charcoal and to evaluate the efficacy of activated charcoal administration in decreasing systemic concentrations of MXF in the event of overdose	Did not include women
Ballow et al. ⁴⁵ USA	Clin Ther (1999)	10 (0)	19–39	Healthy	To compare the pharmacokinetic characteristics of 100 mg of MXF given orally with those of 100 mg of MXF given i.v. (60-minute infusion) to determine the drug's absolute bioavailability	Did not include women
Burkhardt et al. ⁴⁶ USA	Scand J Infect Dis (2002)	12 (0)	24–40	Healthy	To investigate the single- and multiple-dose PK of MXF and its penetration into ascitic fluid in patients with severe liver insufficiency	Did not include women
Edlund et al. ⁴⁷ USA	Scand J Infect Dis (2000)	12 (0)	24–40	Healthy	To compare effects of MXF and clarithromycin on the normal intestinal microflora.	Did not include women
Müller et al. ⁴⁸ Austria	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (1999)	12 (0)	24–36	Healthy	To assess the potential of MXF to penetrate peripheral target sites	Did not include women
Stass et al. ⁴⁹ Germany	Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther (2004)	12 (0)	18–39	Healthy	To confirm preclinical data suggesting that MXF is not metabolized by cytochrome p450 isozymes	Did not include women
Stass et al. ⁵⁰ Germany	Clin Pharmacokinetic (2001)	12 (0)	24–45	Healthy	To investigate the effect of concomitant calcium administration on the PK and tolerability of MXF	Did not include women

(continued)

TABLE 1. (CONTINUED)

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal (year of publication)</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omission</i>
Stass et al. ⁵¹ Germany	Clin Pharmacokinetic (2001)	12 (0)	21–41	Healthy	To investigate the effect of concomitant AI3+(sucralfate) administration on the PK and tolerability of MXF	Did not include women
Stass et al. ⁵² Germany	Clin Pharmacokinetic (2001)	12 (0)	33.7±5.9	Healthy	To investigate the effect of probenecid administration on the PK and tolerability of MXF	Did not include women
Stass et al. ⁵³ Germany	Clin Pharmacokinetic (2001)	12 (0)	19–41	Healthy	To investigate the effect of concomitant iron supplements administration on the PK and tolerability of MXF	Did not include women
Stass et al. ⁵⁴ Germany	Clin Pharmacokinetic (2001)	12 (0)	25–46	Healthy	To investigate the effect of concomitant administration of dairy products on the PK and tolerability of MXF	Did not include women
Stass et al. ⁵⁵ Germany	Clin Pharmacokinetic (2001)	12 (0)	21–30	Healthy	To investigate the plasma and urinary PK, safety and tolerability of theophylline and MXF after single and repeated doses of either compound administered alone or concomitantly with the other	Did not include women
Stass et al. ⁵⁶ Germany	J Antimicrob Chemother (1999)	12 (0)	23–41	Healthy	To describe the single-dose PK following oral administration of ascending doses of 50–800 mg as part of the clinical evaluation of MXF	Did not include women
Wagenlehner et al. ⁵⁷ USA	Int J Antimicrob Agents (2008)	12 (0)	18–44	Healthy	To investigate plasma concentrations and the penetration of MXF into prostatic fluid and ejaculate were investigated	Did not include women Sex-specific
Stass et al. ⁵⁸ Germany	Clin Pharmacokinetic (2001)	24 (0)	22–39	Healthy	To determine the effect of concomitant administration of the antacid Maalox 70 or the histamine H receptor antagonist ranitidine on the bioavailability of MXF	Did not include women
Modi et al. ⁵⁹ USA	J Clin Pharmacol (2009)	44 (0)	18–45	Healthy	To evaluate the PK and electrocardiographic pharmacodynamics	Did not include women
Stass et al. ⁶⁰ Germany	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (1998)	45 (0)	23–45	Healthy	To describe the single-dose PK following oral administration of ascending doses of 50–800 mg as part of the clinical evaluation of MXF	Did not include women
Extramiana et al. ⁶¹ France	Clin Pharmacol Ther (2005)	48 (0)	19–45	Healthy	To assess drug-induced QT interval changes using Holter-monitoring method	Did not include women
Morganroth et al. ⁶² USA	Am J Cardiol (2004)	58 (0)	45–60	Healthy	To evaluate the effects of vardenafil and sildenafil on QT and corrected QT	Did not include women

(continued)

TABLE 1. (CONTINUED)

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal (year of publication)</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omission</i>
Sullivan et al. ⁶³ USA	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (1999)	15 (25.0)	18–45	Healthy	To investigate the PK, safety, and tolerability of 400 mg of MXF given once daily for a prolonged duration (10 days) in healthy male and female volunteers. A second goal was to predict the effectiveness of MXF with PK/pharmacodynamic ratios for potential efficacy	With the small number of subjects, limited conclusions can be made about gender effects in this study
Weiner et al. ⁶⁴ USA	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (2007)	16 (25.0)	30.5–53.0	Healthy	To compare the PK of daily MXF without and with coadministration of rifampin. A secondary objective was to characterize the effects of MDR1 C3435T polymorphisms on MXF's PK	Sex not included in the design Not enough women Sex as confounding factor
Sullivan et al. ⁶⁵ USA	Clin Pharmacokinetic (2001)	36 (33.3)	Men: 18–45 Women: >65	Healthy	To determine the effects of age and gender on PK, surrogate pharmacodynamics, safety, and tolerability of a single dose of MXF	NA
Barriere et al. ⁶⁶ USA	J Clin Pharmacol (2004)	160 (41.3)	18–40	Healthy	To specifically assess the effect of telavancin on the QTc interval of two dose levels of telavancin compared to negative- and positive-control agents in healthy volunteers	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Joukhadar et al. ⁶⁷ Austria	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (2003)	12 (41.7)	23–89	Healthy	To determine the penetration characteristics of MXF in patients with soft tissue infections	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Demolis et al. ⁶⁸ USA	Clin Pharmacol Ther (2000)	23 (47.8)	19–32	Healthy	To measure the actual effect of single oral doses of MXF on QT interval duration in healthy volunteers	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Torkildsen et al. ⁶⁹ USA	Clin Ther (2008)	48 (48.0)	40	Healthy	To compare the pharmacokinetic parameters of zithromycin ophthalmic solution 1% and MXF ophthalmic solution 0.5%-in the conjunctiva of healthy volunteers after a single topical administration	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Hart et al. ⁷⁰ USA	Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis (2007)	12 (50.0)	18–40	Healthy	To compare the serum bactericidal activity of MXF and levofloxacin against penicillin-susceptible and penicillin-resistant <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	Even sex analysis was performed, sex was not considered in the design
Lubasch et al. ⁷¹ Germany	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (2000)	12 (50.0)	21–35	Healthy	Evaluated and compared the PK of six fluoroquinolones after a single oral dose in the same volunteers	Even sex analysis was performed, sex was not considered in the design
Stass et al. ^{72a} Germany	Clin Infect Dis (2001)	24 (50.0)	18–45	Healthy	To address pharmacokinetic interactions with other medications that were selected on the basis of either their known interactions with fluoroquinolones or the adverse event risks associated with their narrow therapeutic range	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis

(continued)

TABLE 1. (CONTINUED)

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal (year of publication)</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omission</i>
Noel et al. ⁷³ USA	Clin Pharmacol Ther (2003)	48 (50.0)	19–84	Healthy	To assess the effect of levofloxacin, MXF, and ciprofloxacin on the QT and QTc interval	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Donnenfeld et al. ⁷⁴ USA	Curr Med Res Opin (2004)	30 (56.7)	34.4	Healthy	To compare the ocular tolerability of the commercially available ophthalmic solutions of gatifloxacin and MXF	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Price et al. ⁷⁵ USA	J Cataract Refract Surg (2005)	20 (70.0)	24–59	Healthy	To determine whether gatifloxacin 0.3% ophthalmic solution or MXF 0.5% ophthalmic solutions are toxic to the corneal epithelium when used with 1 of 2 dosing regimens in healthy human eyes	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Shain et al. ⁷⁶ USA	Clin Drug Invest (2002)	30 (100)	31.1 ± 8.6	Healthy	To characterize the potential for combination oral contraceptives to negatively impact the PK of oral MXF due to some similarities in metabolic pathways	NA
Stass et al. ^{72b} Germany	Clin Infect Dis (2001)	29 (100)	18–60	Healthy	To address pharmacokinetic interactions with other medications that were selected on the basis of the frequency of their coadministration (e.g., oral contraceptives)	NA
Stass et al. ⁷⁷ Germany	Clin Pharmacokinet (2001)	45 (0)	23–45	Healthy and impaired renal function	To evaluate the influence of impaired renal function on the plasma and urinary PK of MXF	Did not include women
Barth et al. ⁷⁸	J Antimicrob Chemother (2008)	9 (11.1)	40–78	Hepatic impairment	To investigate the single- and multiple-dose PK of MXF and its penetration into ascitic fluid in patients with severe liver insufficiency	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Stass et al. ⁷⁹ Germany	Br J Clin Pharmacol (2007)	32 (62.5)	22–44	Impaired renal function	To investigate single dose and steady-state PK of MXF in eight venovenous hemodialysis patients	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Rink et al. ⁸⁰ Germany	Clin Drug Invest (2008)	8 (62.5)	36–83	Intra-abdominal abscess	To examine the penetration of MXF into abdominal abscess fluid in patients with an intra-abdominal abscess	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Malincarne et al. ⁸¹ Italy	J Antimicrob Chemother (2006)	30 (76.7)	ND	Osteomyelitis	To determine plasma and bone MXF concentrations following intravenous administration of a single 400-mg dose to evaluate the potential role of MXF in the treatment of bone infections	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Wacke et al. ⁸² USA	J Antimicrob Chemother (2006)	60 (21.7)	25–80	Pancreas carcinoma or chronic pancreatitis	To study the penetration of MXF into pancreatic tissue in patients	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Stass et al. ⁸³ Germany	Int J Gynaecol Obstet (2008)	40 (100)	28–60	Pelvic inflammatory disease	To determine whether MXF penetrates the uterine tissue and accumulates at levels sufficient to eradicate the major pathogens causing pelvic inflammatory disease	NA

(continued)

TABLE 1. (CONTINUED)

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal (year of publication)</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omission</i>
Pea et al. ⁸⁴ USA	Clin Pharmacokinetic (2003)	14 (57.1)	68–86	Pneumonia	PK and pharmacodynamics of intravenous levofloxacin in patients with early-onset ventilator-associated pneumonia	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Stass et al. ⁸⁵ Germany	J Antimicrob Chemother (2006)	10 (30.0)	20–78	Peritonitis	To investigate the penetration of MXF into peritoneal exudate in patients with complicated intra-abdominal infections	Sex variable not included in the design
Leone et al. ⁸⁶ France	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (2004)	17 (17.6)	46±10	Pneumonia	To determine the MXF concentrations in bronchial secretions, compared with those in plasma, up to 24 hours after multiple 400-mg i.v. administrations of the drug to mechanically ventilated patients with pneumonia	Not enough women Sex variable not included in the design Not enough women
Dinis et al. ⁸⁷ Portugal	Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol (2004)	20 (50.0)	51.8	Recalcitrant chronic sinusitis	To assess MXF distribution pattern into the paranasal sinuses	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Esposito et al. ⁸⁸ Italia	J Antimicrob Chemother (2006)	29 (44.8)	18–58	Tonsillectomy	To determine the MXF concentrations in plasma and tonsillar tissue after the administration of three doses of MXF 400 mg to adult patients with chronic or recurrent tonsillitis undergoing tonsillectomy	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Alffenaar et al. ⁸⁹ Netherlands	Clin Infect Dis (2009)	4 (0)	30–65	Tuberculosis	To measure plasma and cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of MXF over time to establish the optimal dose for treatment of tuberculosis	Did not include women
Johnson et al. ⁹⁰ USA	Int J Tuberc Lung Dis (2006)	45 (5.0)	18–65	Tuberculosis	To evaluate the early bactericidal activity of the new fluoroquinolones levofloxacin, gatifloxacin and MXF in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Nijland et al. ⁹¹ Indonesia	Clin Infect Dis (2007)	19 (31.6)	18–55	Tuberculosis	To assess the interaction between rifampicin and MXF	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Valerio et al. ⁹² Italy	J Chemother (2003)	20 (40.0)	21–81	Tuberculosis	To observe in compliant patients, the effect of 6 months of therapy with MXF, isoniazid, and rifampin	Enough women, sex not considered in the design or data analysis
Fuller et al. ⁹³ USA	Am J Ophthalmol (2007)	24 (54.2)	27–83	Vitrectomy	To assess the vitreal penetration of topical, oral, and combined topical and oral MXF	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Lott et al. ⁹⁴ USA	Retina (2008)	24 (58.3)	37–88	Vitrectomy	To investigate the vitreal penetration of MXF after oral administration	Even sex analysis was performed, sex was not considered in the design
Vedantham et al. ⁹⁵ India	Eye (2006)	27 (11.1)	20–76	Vitrectomy	To investigate intraocular penetration of MXF hydrochloride after oral administration	Even sex analysis was performed, sex was not included in the design Not enough women

^aOrdered by research focus and percentage of women participating in the clinical trials.^{72a}First of two clinical trials.^{72b}Second of two clinical trials.

CAPD, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; GI, gastrointestinal; i.v., intravenous; MXF, moxifloxacin; NA, not applicable; ND, no data; PK, pharmacokinetics.

TABLE 2. CHARACTERISTICS OF PUBLISHED PHASE-2 CLINICAL TRIALS OF MOXIFLOXACIN^a

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal</i>	<i>No subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omission</i>
Bozkurt et al. ⁹⁶ Turkey	Allergol Immunopathol (2005)	20 (85.0)	38.05±14.1	Antibiotic hypersensitivity	To investigate whether MXF can be among the safe alternative antibiotics determined by oral provocation tests for antibiotic intolerant patients	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Constantinou et al. ⁹⁷ Australia	Ophthalmology (2007)	229 (41.5)	12.5–98.8	Bacterial keratitis	To determine the clinical efficacy and safety of MXF (1.0%) in patients with bacterial keratitis compared with patients treated with ofloxacin (0.3%) or fortified tobramycin (1.33%)/cephazolin (5%)	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Vasavada et al. ⁹⁸ India	J Cataract Refract Surg (2008)	145 (40.7)	55–85	Cataract surgery	To evaluate the aqueous concentration of MXF following two dosing regimens of topically administered MXF hydrochloride ophthalmic solution 0.5%	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Katz et al. ⁹⁹ USA	Cornea (2005)	61 (50)	48–85	Cataract surgery	To investigate the absorption of MXF into human aqueous humor after administration of MXF hydrochloride ophthalmic solution, 0.5% as base	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Lane et al. ¹⁰⁰ USA	J Cataract Refract Surg	47 (59.6)	51–88	Cataract surgery	To evaluate posterior and anterior segment safety of an intracameral injection of MXF 0.5% ophthalmic solution as prophylaxis for endophthalmitis in patients having cataract surgery	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Ong-Tone et al. ¹⁰¹ Canada	J Cataract Refract Surg (2007)	100 (65)	49–90	Cataract surgery	To determine whether the penetration into the aqueous humor of gatifloxacin and MXF eye drops was affected by altering their concentrations in the dilating mixture in which the wick used to dilate the pupil before cataract surgery was soaked	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Diz Dios et al. ¹⁰² Spain	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (2006)	221 (43)	18–57	Dental extraction	To investigate the efficacies of the prophylactic administration of amoxicillin, clindamycin, and MXF for the prevention of bacteremia following dental extractions	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
DeRamo et al. ¹⁰³ USA	Am J Ophthalmol (2006)	42 (57)	49–93	Endophthalmitis	To study the use of gatifloxacin and MXF, and bacterial sensitivity in cases of acute postoperative endophthalmitis following cataract surgery	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Beyer et al. ¹⁰⁴ USA	Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis (2000)	12 (0)	24–40	Healthy	To investigate the effect of a 7-day treatment with MXF (400 mg orally, once daily) versus clarithromycin (500 mg orally, twice daily) on the normal oropharyngeal microflora	Did not include women
Man et al. ¹⁰⁵ United Kingdom	J Antimicrob Chemother (1999)	32 (0)	18–45	Healthy	To assess the potential of MXF to induce phototoxicity when compared with lomefloxacin and placebo	Did not include women

(continued)

TABLE 2. (CONTINUED)

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal</i>	<i>No subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omission</i>
He et al. ¹⁰⁶ USA	J Cataract Refract Surg (2009)	120 (50)	24–93	Healthy	To compare selection for fluoroquinolone-resistant bacteria between 1-day and 3-day application of topical MXF 0.5%	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Sebban et al. ¹⁰⁷ France	Support Care Cancer (2008)	90 (68.9)	21–80	Healthy	To evaluate MXF use in cancer patients with febrile neutropenia	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Cheon et al. ¹⁰⁸ Korea	Helicobacter (2006)	85 (44.7)	54.3 ± 11.7	<i>H. pylori</i> infection	To evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of MXF-based triple therapy as an alternative second-line treatment for <i>H. pylori</i> infection	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Kilic et al. ¹⁰⁹ Turkey	Dig Dis Sci (2008)	120 (47.5)	> 18	<i>H. pylori</i> infection	To evaluate the efficacy of MXF-containing regimens in the first-line treatment of <i>H. pylori</i>	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Bago et al. ¹¹⁰ Croatia	Wien Klin Wochenschr (2007)	276 (48.2)	48 ± 13	<i>H. pylori</i> infection	To prove the efficacy and tolerability of MXF-based treatment of <i>H. pylori</i> infection and to compare it with standard clarithromycin-based treatments	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Miehlike et al. ¹¹¹ Germany	Helicobacter (2008)	103 (65)	21–79	<i>H. pylori</i> infection	To investigate a 1-week once-daily triple therapy with esomeprazole, MXF, and rifabutin for rescue therapy of <i>H. pylori</i> infection	Even though there are enough women, sex was not included in the design or analysis
Ta et al. ¹¹² USA	J Ocul Pharmacol (2008)	60 (31.7)	69.3	Intraocular surgery	To compare the efficacy of a 1-hour versus 1-day application of topical MXF in eliminating conjunctival bacterial flora	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Pardillo et al. ¹¹³ Philippines	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (2008)	8 (0)	22–49	Leprosy	To evaluate bactericidal activity of MXF in human leprosy	Did not include women
Schwab et al. ¹¹⁴ Germany	Aliment Pharmacol Ther (2005)	20 (25.0)	53.9 ± 12.5	Obstructive cholangitis and the non-obstructed biliary tract	To establish the secretion of MXF into obstructed and non-obstructed bile	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Hollan et al. ¹¹⁵ USA	Cornea (2008)	50 (56.0)	20–87	Penetrating keratoplasty	To examine the ocular penetration of MXF	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Guentisch et al. ¹¹⁶ Germany	J Periodontol (2008)	92 (52.2)	49.6 ± 9.4	Periodontitis	To evaluate the impact of adjunctive systemic MXF compared to the use of adjunctive systemic doxycycline, well-established regimen, and scaling and root planning alone on the success of periodontitis treatment	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Burka et al. ¹¹⁷ USA	Am J Ophthalmol (2005)	40 (45.0)	21–47	Photorefractive keratectomy	To compare the rate of epithelial healing following photorefractive keratectomy with gatifloxacin and MXF	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis

(continued)

TABLE 2. (CONTINUED)

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal</i>	<i>No subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omission</i>
Moshirfar et al. ¹¹⁸ USA	Cornea (2005)	46 (50.0)	12–86	Photorefractive keratectomy	To compare the effects of topical MXF and gatifloxacin on corneal reepithelialization after penetrating keratoplasty	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Jardim et al. ¹¹⁹ Mexico, Chile, Brazil, Argentina, Uruguay	Arch Bronconeumol (2003)	84 (48.8)	> 18	Pneumonia	To evaluate the efficacy and safety of treatment with either MXF or amoxicillin administered for 10 days to patients suspected of having CAP caused by a pneumococcal infection	Even though there are enough women, sex was not included in the design or analysis
Ott et al. ¹²⁰ Germany	Infection (2008)	96 (27.1)	37 ± 14	Pneumonia and primary lung abscess	To compare MXF and ampicillin/sulbactam concerning efficacy and safety in the treatment of aspiration pneumonia and primary lung abscess	Sex variable not included in the design Not enough women
Campos et al. ¹²¹ Brazil	Clin Ophthalmol (2008)	61 (72.1)	29.1	Prophylaxis after LASIK	To compare the efficacy and tolerability of a fixed-dose combination of 0.5% MXF and 0.1% dexamethasone formulation versus conventional dosing with both agents dosed separately for prophylaxis after laser-assisted <i>in situ</i> keratomileusis	Women are majority, sex was not included in the design and the analysis
Gosling et al. ¹²² Tanzania	J Respir Crit Care Med (2003)	43 (14.0)	18–70	Tuberculosis	To compare the relative activity of the drugs with control regimens examined at the same time and with historic controls. In this paper, the results of a trial comparing MXF with rifampin and isoniazid are reported	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Pletz et al. ¹²³ Germany	Antimicrob Agents Chemother (2004)	17 (29.4)	50.4 ± 8.7	Tuberculosis	To assess the potency of new antituberculous drugs in clinical studies	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Burman et al. ¹²⁴ USA	Am J Respir Crit Care Med (2006)	277 (32.9)	24–40	Tuberculosis	To compare the impact of MXF versus ethambutol, both in combination with isoniazid, rifampin, and pyrazinamide, on sputum culture conversion at 2 months as a measure of the potential sterilizing activity of alternate induction regimens	Even sex analysis was performed, sex not included in the design
Conde et al. ¹²⁵ Brazil	Lancet (2009)	146 (38.4)	32.5 (11.7)	Tuberculosis	To assess the activity and safety of MXF in the initial stage of tuberculosis treatment	Even sex analysis was performed, sex not included in the design

^aOrdered by research focus and percentage of women participating in the clinical trials.

Objective of phase-2 clinical trials: the experimental treatment is given to a larger group of people to see if it is effective and to further evaluate its safety. CAP, community acquired pneumonia.

TABLE 3. CHARACTERISTICS OF PUBLISHED PHASE-3 CLINICAL TRIALS OF MOXIFLOXACIN^a

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal (year)</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omissions</i>
Ferguson et al. ¹²⁶ USA	Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg (2004)	322 (61.8)	19–84	Acute bacterial rhinosinusitis	To compare the clinical and bacteriologic efficacy and safety of short-duration treatment with tetracycline given for 5 days with MXF given for 10 days in adults with acute bacterial rhinosinusitis	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Siebert et al. ¹²⁷ Finland, France, Spain, Sweden Germany, Greece, Israel	Respir Med (2000)	493 (55.4)	40.4 ± 14.6	Acute bacterial sinusitis	To compare the efficacy and safety of MXF with that of cefuroxime axetil for the treatment of acute bacterial sinusitis in adults	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Rakkar et al. ¹²⁸ USA	Int J Clin Pract (2001)	475 (48.8)	18–67	Acute maxillary sinusitis	To evaluate MXF versus amoxicillin clavulanate in the treatment of acute maxillary sinusitis	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Gehanno et al. ¹²⁹ France	J Int Med Research (2003)	216 (60.6)	18–80	Acute maxillary sinusitis	To evaluate the efficacy and safety of 7-day oral MXF (400 mg/day) for treatment of acute maxillary sinusitis after first-line treatment failure, and acute sinusitis with high risk of complications	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Burke et al. ¹³⁰ USA	Clin Ther (1999)	457 (61.1)	18–78	Acute maxillary sinusitis	To compare the efficacy and safety of MXF with those of cefuroxime axetil for the treatment of community acquired acute sinusitis	Even sex analysis was performed, sex not included in the design
Klossek et al. ¹³¹ France, Spain, Greece, United Kingdom Germany, Sweden, Belgium, Lithuania, ¹³²	J Laryngol Otol (2003)	347 (66.6)	38.8 ± 13.9	Acute maxillary sinusitis	To compare the efficacy and safety of MXF and trovafloxacin for the treatment of acute, bacterial maxillary sinusitis in adults	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Miratvilles et al. ¹³² USA	Respir Med (2005)	1147 (19.0)	68.7 ± 9.4	AECB	To identify risk factors for late recovery and failure after ambulatory treatment of exacerbations of chronic bronchitis and COPD	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Chodosh et al. ¹³³ USA	Respir Med (2000)	936 (22.0)	18–90	AECB	To compare the safety and efficacy of moxifloxacin with clarithromycin for the treatment of patients with AECB	Even sex analysis was performed, sex not considered in the design
Wilson et al. ¹³⁴ Austria, Spain, France, Germany, Greece, United Kingdom, Switzerland, ¹³⁵	Chest (2004)	1935 (36.0)	63.2 ± 9.8	AECB	To compare the effectiveness of oral MXF with standard antibiotic therapy in AECB	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Starakis et al. ¹³⁵ USA	Int J Antimicrob Agents (2004)	162 (36.4)	61.3 ± 13.5	AECB	To compare the efficacy and safety of a 5 day course of MXF 400 mg orally with that of a 7-day course of clarithromycin 500 mg orally in 750 patients with AECB	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Niederman et al. ¹³⁶ USA	Respir Med (2006)	441 (37.2)	19–90	AECB	To determine the rate of bacterial eradication of <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> during AECB treated with either macrolides or MXF	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis

(continued)

TABLE 3. (CONTINUED)

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal (year)</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omissions</i>
Shaberg et al. ¹³⁷ USA	J Int Med Res (2001)	575 (39.3)	61.3±13.5	AECB	To compare the efficacy and safety of once daily dosing with MXF with that of co-amoxiclav given three times daily for the treatment of AECB	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Wilson et al. ¹³⁸ USA	Chest (1999)	649 (41.1)	60±14	AECB	To compare the efficacy and safety of MXF and levofloxacin for the treatment of patients with AECB	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
DeAbate et al. ¹³⁹ USA	Respir Med (2000)	464 (45.0)	19–88	AECB	To compare the safety and efficacy of MXF to azithromycin for the treatment of patients with AECB of suspected bacterial origin	Even sex analysis was performed, sex not considered in the design
Uruea-Robledo et al. ¹⁴⁰ Argentina, Peru, Brazil, Mexico, Colombia	Respir Med (2006)	437 (49.7)	59±15	AECB	To compare the efficacy and safety of MXF and levofloxacin for the treatment of patients with AECB	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Portier et al. ¹⁴¹ France	Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis (2005)	346 (27.5)	59.3±17.9	CAP	To assess the efficacy and safety of MXF versus amoxicillin/clavulanate plus roxithromycin in adult CAP patients with risk factors.	Sex not include in the design Not enough women
Lode et al. ¹⁴² Europe, Israel South Africa, USA	Respir Med (2003)	479 (33.8)	18–93	CAP	To evaluate IV/PO MXF in the treatment of hospitalized patients with severe CAP	Sex not include in the design Not enough women
Petitprez et al. ¹⁴³ Argentina, Brazil, Czech Republic, Spain, Estonia, France, Hong Kong, Hungary, Lithuania, Mexico, Portugal, Chile, Russia, Slovenia, South Africa, Turkey, Ukraine United Kingdom, Uruguay.	Chest (2001)	200 (38.0)	52.0±20.5	CAP	To compare of the efficacy and safety of MXF vs amoxicillin for treatment of mild-to-moderate, suspected pneumococcal CAP in adult patients	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Welte et al. ¹⁴⁴ Germany	Clin Infect Dis (2005)	397 (37.5)	≥18	CAP	To compare the efficacy, safety, and speed and quality of defervescence of sequential intravenous or oral MXF and high-dose ceftriaxone with or without erythromycin for patients with CAP requiring parenteral therapy	Sex analysis performed sex not considered in the design
Hoefken et al. ¹⁴⁵ Austria, Australia, Germany, Great Britain, Greece, Hong Kong, Israel, Indonesia, New Zealand, Norway, Philippines, Taiwan, South Africa, Sweden, Switzerland	Respir Med (2001)	675 (38.2)	48.4±20.6	CAP	To compare oral MXF (200 mg or 400 mg once daily for 10 days) with oral clarithromycin (500 mg, twice daily for 10 days) in the treatment of CAP	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis

(continued)

TABLE 3. (CONTINUED)

First author of study	Journal (year)	No. subjects (% women)	Age	Research focus	Objective	Limiting omissions
Patel et al. ¹⁴⁶ USA	Respir Med (2000)	196 (42.3)	18–85	CAP	To evaluate the safety and efficacy of MXF in the treatment of patients with CAP	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Katz et al. ¹⁴⁷ Germany	J Emerg Med (2004)	235 (47.2)	18–89	CAP	To evaluate in the treatment of hospitalized patients with severe CAP	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Marrie et al. ¹⁴⁸ Canada	J Infect (2004)	399 (48.0)	45.7±15.8	CAP	To describe the resolution of five symptoms commonly associated with CAP	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Morganroth et al. ¹⁴⁹ USA	Chest (2005)	394 (49.0)	78	CAP	To assess the cardiac rhythm safety of MXF versus levofloxacin in elderly patients hospitalized with CAP	Sex analysis performed Sex not considered in the design
Anzueto et al. ¹⁵⁰ USA	Clin Infect Dis (2006)	281 (50.2)	77.4±7.7	CAP	To determine the efficacy and safety of MXF versus that of levofloxacin for the treatment of CAP in hospitalized elderly patients	Sex was included in the design as confounding factor
Torres et al. ¹⁵¹ Spain	Eur Respir J (2003)	553 (51.2)	52.7±18.7	CAP	To evaluate the effectiveness of a MXF compared with standard antimicrobial regimens, in conditions relating as closely as possible to the real world setting	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Marrie et al. ¹⁵² Canada	Can Respir J (2004)	76 (60.5)	38.1±14.4	CAP	To determine the time course of the resolution of symptoms in <i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i> pneumonia	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
McDonald et al. ¹⁵³ USA	Ophthalmology (2009)	533 (53.3)	1–100	Conjunctivitis	To compare the clinical and antimicrobial efficacy of besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension 0.6% with that of MXF ophthalmic solution 0.5% for the treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Lipsky et al. ¹⁵⁴ USA	J Antimicrob (2007)	127 (28.4)	57.0±11.8	Diabetic foot infections	To assess the efficacy of MXF for treating diabetic foot infections	Sex variable not included in the design Not enough women
Malangoni et al. ¹⁵⁵ USA, Canada, Israel	Ann Surg (2006)	379 (35.4)	47.4±16.7	Intraabdominal infections	To compare the safety and efficacy of sequential i.v. to p.o. MXF against a standard antimicrobial regimen of intravenous piperacillin–tazobactam followed by oral amoxicillin–clavulanate for the treatment of adults with complicated intra-abdominal infections	Sex variable not included in the design Not enough women
Kang et al. ¹⁵⁶ Korea	Helicobacter (2007)	192 (45.3)	22–80	<i>H. pylori</i> infection	To test the efficacy of 10-day MXF-based triple therapy versus 2-week quadruple therapy for the second-line treatment of <i>H. pylori</i> infection	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Nista et al. ¹⁵⁷ Italy	Aliment Pharmacol Ther (2005)	320 (45.6)	18–65	<i>H. pylori</i> infection	To compare the efficacy of two 1-week MXF-based <i>H. pylori</i> eradication regimens with two standard treatments	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Höfken et al. ¹⁵⁸ USA	Respir Med (2007)	161 (46)	18–95	Hospital-acquired pneumonia	To evaluate the efficacy and safety of MXF versus ceftriaxone in patients with HAP without risk of infections with <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> and other nonfermentative gram-negative bacteria.	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis

(continued)

TABLE 3. (CONTINUED)

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal (year)</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omissions</i>
Bago et al. ¹⁵⁹ Croatia	Wien Klin Wochenschr (2009)	160 (48.1)	48±15	<i>H. pylori</i> infection	To test the efficacy of 10-day MXF-based triple therapy versus 2-week quadruple therapy for the second-line treatment of <i>H. pylori</i> infection	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Yoo et al. ¹⁶⁰ Korea	Helicobacter (2009)	361 (49.0)	55.3	<i>H. pylori</i> infection	To evaluate the efficacy of a MXF-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment for <i>H. pylori</i> infection. We also investigated the effect of treatment duration and antibiotic resistance on the eradication rate of this therapy	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Di Caro et al. ¹⁶¹ Italy	Aliment Pharmacol Ther (2002)	120 (58.3)	18–65	<i>H. Pylori</i> infection	To compare the efficacy of different 1-week MXF-based <i>H. pylori</i> eradication regimens	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Sezguin et al. ¹⁶² Turkey	Helicobacter (2007)	71 (62.0)	17–65	<i>H. pylori</i> infection	To investigate the effectiveness of a new second-generation fluoroquinolone, MXF-containing triple therapy in <i>H. pylori</i> eradication	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Arrieta et al. ¹⁶³ Argentina, Brazil, Chile, México	Am J Otolaryngol (2007)	459 (62.5)	37±14	<i>H. pylori</i> infection	To compare the efficacy and safety of MXF with that of amoxicillin/ clavulanate for the treatment of acute bacterial sinusitis in adult	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Solomkin et al. ¹⁶⁴ China, Indonesia, South Korea, Malaysia, Hong Kong	Int J Antimicrob Agents (2009)	361 (30.7)	40.3±16.0	Intraabdominal infections	To compare the efficacy and safety of MXF monotherapy and ceftriaxone/metronidazole combination therapy in adults with confirmed or suspected complicated intra-abdominal infections. Patients received surgical intervention and either i.v. MXF 400mg once daily or i.v. ceftriaxone 2 grams once daily plus i.v. metronidazole 500 mg twice daily	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Weiss et al. ¹⁶⁵ Germany	J Chemother (2009)	511 (40.3)	17–94	Intraabdominal infections	To compare the efficacy and safety of sequential i.v. to p.o. MXF 400 mg once daily, with that of i.v. ceftriaxone 2 g once daily, plus metronidazole 500 mg three times daily, followed by p.o. amoxicillin/clavulanate 625 mg three times daily	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Halachimi-Eyal et al. ¹⁶⁶ Israel	J Cataract Refract Surg (2009)	464 (51.1)	18–91	Intraocular surgery	To assess the effectiveness of adding topical MXF 0.5% to topical povidone-iodine 5.0% for preoperative reduction of bacterial recovery from the conjunctiva	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Bradshaw et al. ¹⁶⁷ Australia	Plos One (2008)	313 (16.9)	ND	Mycoplasma genitalium	To determine clinical outcomes and cure rates for <i>Mycoplasma genitalium</i> genital infection in men and women following azithromycin 1 gram	Sex analysis was performed, sex was not considered in the design
Ross et al. ¹⁶⁸ Denmark, Finland, France, Sweden Russia Germany, Greece, Hungary, Lithuania, Poland, South Africa, United Kingdom, Italy,	Sex Transm Infect (2006)	741 (100)	30.1±8.4	Pelvic Inflammatory disease	To compare the efficacy and safety of MXF monotherapy with ofloxacin plus metronidazole in women with uncomplicated pelvic inflammatory disease	Sex specific

(continued)

TABLE 3. (CONTINUED)

<i>First author of study</i>	<i>Journal (year)</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omissions</i>
Heystek et al. ¹⁶⁹ USA	Int J STD AIDS (2009)	686 (100)	29.0 ± 7.3	Pelvis inflammatory disease	To demonstrate non-inferiority of once-daily oral MXF compared with combination therapy in the management of acute, uncomplicated pelvic inflammatory disease	Sex specific
Wenisch et al. ¹⁷⁰ USA	Infection (2006)	63 (69.8)	69 ± 15	Pneumonia	To compare standard therapy with MXF regarding clinical cure/failure rates after start of intrahospital therapy and cure/failure rates of intrahospital therapy 28 days after initiation of intrahospital therapy	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Morovic et al. ¹⁷¹ Croatia	Am J Trop Med Hyg (2005)	77 (14.3)	11–66	Q fever pneumonia	To compare efficacy of clarithromycin, MXF, and doxycycline in the treatment of Q fever pneumonia	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Giordano et al. ¹⁷² USA	Int J Antimicrob Agents (2005)	617 (34.6)	18–90	Skin infection	To evaluate the clinical and bacteriological efficacy and tolerability of sequential i.v./p.o. MXF compared with a control regimen of i.v. piperacillin-tazobactam followed by p.o. amoxicillin/clavulanate for the treatment of hospitalized patients with complicated skin and skin structure infections	Sex analysis performed Sex was not considered in the design
Vick- Fragoso et al. ¹⁷³ Philippines, Taiwan, Germany, Hungary, Spain, Chile, Israel, Argentina,	Infection (2009)	804 (39.4)	51.8	Skin infection	To compare sequential intravenous/oral (i.v./p.o.) MXF, 400 mg once daily, and i.v. amoxicillin/clavulanate, 1,000 mg/200 mg three times daily followed by p.o. amoxicillin/clavulanate, 500 mg/125 mg three times daily, for 7–21 days in hospitalized patients	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Parish et al. ¹⁷⁴ USA	Int J Clin Pract (2000)	351 (51.6)	44	Skin infections	To compare the efficacy and safety of oral MXF (400 mg once daily, 7 days) versus cephalexin (500 mg three times daily, 7 days) in uncomplicated skin infections	Sex analysis performed Sex not considered in the design

^aOrdered by research focus and percentage of women participating in the clinical trials.

Objective of phase 3: treatment is given to large groups of people to confirm its effectiveness, monitor side effects, compare it with commonly used treatments, and collect information that will allow it to be used safely.

AECB, Acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HAP, hospital acquired pneumonia; i.v., intravenous; p.o., oral.

TABLE 4. CHARACTERISTICS OF PUBLISHED PHASE-4 CLINICAL TRIALS OF MOXIFLOXACIN^a

<i>First author</i>	<i>Journal</i>	<i>No. subjects (% women)</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Research focus</i>	<i>Objective</i>	<i>Limiting omission</i>
Grassi et al. ¹⁷⁵ USA	J Chemother (2002)	423 (21.3)	69.3 ± 8.4	AE CB	To compare the efficacy and tolerability of a 5-day treatment course with oral MXF vs a 7-day course with intramuscular ceftriaxone in 476 patients with acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis, and to conduct a cost minimization analysis of the two treatments from the perspectives of both the Italian National Health Service and society	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Miratvilles et al. ¹⁷⁶ Spain	Int J Clin Pract (2001)	5,736 (35.4)	66	AE CB	To examine the clinical effect of oral MXF on patients' signs and symptoms of AE CB	Sex not included in the design Not enough women
Lorenz et al. ¹⁷⁷ USA	J Int Med Res (2001)	332 (46.1)	16–93	AE CB	To compare with the macrolides azithromycin, clarithromycin and roxithromycin in a cohort study to assess clinical, safety, and health-related outcomes of these antimicrobials in general practice settings	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Koch et al. ¹⁷⁸ USA	Clin Drug Investig (2004)	1,146 (47.3)	54.3 ± 18.2	CAP	To assess the efficacy, safety and tolerability of oral MXF in outpatients with respiratory tract infections treated in general practices in Germany with the focus on CAP	Sex analysis was performed Sex not considered in the design
Landen et al. ¹⁷⁹ Germany	J Int Med Res (2001)	15,959 (48.7)	14–60	CAP	To study of the speed, efficacy and tolerability of MXF when used in clinical practice for the treatment of CAP or AE CB	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Barth et al. ¹⁸⁰ Germany	Clinical Drug Investigation (2005)	1,749 (43.5)	43.4 ± 14.3	Pneumonia	To investigate the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of sequential i.v./o.p. therapy with MXF in pneumonia under general hospital treatment conditions.	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Liu et al. ¹⁸¹ China	Int J Clin Pract (2007)	3,184 (41.0)	20–79	Respiratory tract infections	To assess the efficacy and tolerability of MXF post marketing	Sex analysis performed Sex not considered in the design.
Chen et al. ¹⁸² China	Clin Drug Investig (2006)	855 (41.1)	50.4 ± 18.5	Respiratory tract infections	To assess the efficacy, safety and tolerability of oral MXF in patients with respiratory tract infections treated by attending physicians in routine clinical practice in China	Sex analysis performed Sex not considered in the design
Faich et al. ¹⁸³ USA	Ann Pharmacother (2004)	18,299 (61.9)	6–97	Respiratory tract infections	To further investigate MXF's general and cardiac safety and evaluate its efficacy in the community practice setting in a large surveillance study	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis
Elies et al. ¹⁸⁴ Germany	Clin Drug Investig (2004)	2,405 (56.8)	43.4 ± 14.3	Sinusitis	To assess the efficacy, safety and tolerability of MXF in patients with respiratory tract infections treated in general practice in Germany	Enough women, sex was not considered in the design or data analysis

^aOrdered by research focus and percentage of women participating in the clinical trials.

Phase 4: postmarketing studies delineate additional information, including the treatment's risks, benefits, and optimal use.

FIG. 2. Participation of men and women in published moxifloxacin CTs.

Few CTs conducted an analysis of efficacy by sex, and these included only a small proportion of men and women with respect to the total number of efficacy CTs. This raises the question of whether failure to take sex into account in the design of the sample size reduces a CT's power to detect differences between the two sexes in the subsequent sex-stratified analysis. The case of the adverse effects of moxifloxacin is different. Although few CTs were aimed at identifying adverse effects by sex, these did include a high proportion of both men and women. The results of these CTs were heterogeneous and thus no specific conclusions can be drawn. The variability of results for the occurrence of adverse effects is important, since women have been reported to experience adverse effects with greater frequency.¹⁸⁷ Specifically, four CTs found no sex differences.^{47, 65, 181, 183} In contrast, one CT identified being male as a risk factor,¹⁴² whereas another reported better tolerance in men.¹⁸² One CT¹⁷⁸ reported the possibility of paralytic ileus in one woman, and six women and three men presented mild-to-moderate effects on their central nervous system in another.²⁷ The Summary of Product Characteristics (SPC)³ for moxifloxacin indicates that women may be more sensitive to drugs that prolong the QT interval; however, only a few trials have studied differences by sex for this effect. This may be because the effect is already known.¹⁸⁸ The SPC for moxifloxacin indicates that plasma concentration may be higher in women,³ although the published moxifloxacin CTs did not give pharmacokinetic data by sex.

As regards women-related issues, the use of moxifloxacin is contraindicated in pregnancy, since reversible joint damage has been described in children who had absorbed quinolones.³ Furthermore, although the reproductive toxicity of moxifloxacin in humans is unknown, other fluoroquinolones have been associated with an increased frequency of miscarriage in pregnant women.¹⁸⁹ According to this information, all CTs that include women of childbearing age should specify pregnancy as an exclusion criterion. However, nearly half did not provide information on whether pregnancy was used as an exclusion criterion, let alone whether subjects were required to take steps to avoid pregnancy during the CT.

The possible influence of hormones on the results of the published moxifloxacin CTs is barely addressed, and thus there is a clear lack of information on the concomitant use of this drug and HRT. When reporting data about interactions between hormone levels and moxifloxacin, the information was sometimes incomplete. This was the case with one CT, which indicated that the use of oral contraceptives was permitted but did not report whether any of the women subjects were taking them nor analyzed the possible effect.⁶³ According to the study by Stass, moxifloxacin does not interfere with hormonal contraception⁷²; however, another study found that hormonal contraceptives lowered the plasma concentration of moxifloxacin, which is an important consideration when treating for pathogens with "borderline susceptibility" to moxifloxacin.⁷⁶ Interestingly, the SPC does not mention the interaction between moxifloxacin and hormones.³

The pharmaceutical industry has made valuable contributions to the effective treatment of certain diseases and should therefore be an authority on the subject. Consequently, it is difficult to understand why it would risk losing credibility by not complying with recommendations such as considering an analysis by sex in the discussion sections of related articles. This information is easy to include but was only provided in a handful of articles on moxifloxacin. Two of these articles acknowledged that although sex-related differences had been detected, the results were of limited value due to the insufficient number of women included in the trial.⁶⁸ In one CT, the authors reported being unable to explain the significance of the sex difference detected in time of peak serum bactericidal activity.⁷⁰ Another reported an already well-known difference, namely that being male is a risk factor for mortality in community-acquired pneumonia.¹⁴² A further three CTs mentioned in their discussion sections that no sex-related differences had been detected.^{72, 65, 144}

Regulatory bodies and funding agencies should devise new programs or strategies to improve representation of both sexes in CTs and should oblige researchers to consider sex differences during data analysis. Together with public health authorities, the pharmaceutical industry is facing the new challenge of protecting health by implementing actions that comply with the codes of good scientific practice. As the Canadian Medical Research Council's Advisory Committee on Women in Clinical Trials has suggested, if information is provided by sex for each clinical trial, it would be possible to conduct meta-analyses to determine how women respond to a particular drug.¹⁹⁰ Furthermore, Paula A. Rochon has proposed the publication of subgroup analyses of men and women to facilitate meta-analysis.¹⁵ The recently published CONSORT statement¹⁹¹ has missed the opportunity to include recommendations from a gender perspective, which would have been decisive in preventing methodological biases in study designs and analyses that limit the accuracy and extrapolation of the findings.¹⁹² In the case of moxifloxacin CTs, only three journals (*Current Medical Research Review*, *Ophthalmology*, *PLoS One*) mentioned this requirement. Although it is possible that many CTs are well designed, based on an adequate number of patients, and include an analysis by sex of the data, this information is not published, perhaps because the researchers did not consider the information to be relevant when they detected no differences. We could improve this situation if scientific journals were to recommend (or oblige) authors to present such data

TABLE 5. MOXIFLOXACIN CLINICAL TRIAL COMPLIANCE WITH VARIABLES RELATED TO SEX ANALYSIS AND WOMEN-SPECIFIC ISSUES

<i>Moxifloxacin CT</i>	<i>CT with sex analysis n (%)</i>	<i>Women/men in the CT with sex analysis n (%)</i>	<i>Bibliographic reference</i>
Stratification 127 CTs 42,471 men 35,948 women	52 (40.9)	15,361 (36.2)/ 18507 (43.6)	40,66,69,73,79,87,88,93,94,97,98,100–102,108–110,120,121,124,125,132, 133,135,136,142–145,150,151,153–156,159,160,164–166,168,170, 172,173,177,179,182,184
Discussion 127 CTs 42,471 men 35,948 women	8	356 (1.0)/ 627 (1.5)	63,65,68,70,72,84,142,144
Analysis of efficacy 85 CTs 41,703 men 35,344 women	13 (15.3)	2,304 (11.0)/ 4,501 (10.8)	11 CTs did not detect any differences ^{70,124,125,128,130,133,139,144,147,167,172,174,182} 1 CT detected sex differences at the time of peak serum bactericidal activity ⁷⁰ 1 CT found the drug to be more effective in men than in women ¹⁸²
Analysis of adverse effects 78 CTs 37,785 men 33,651 women	7 (9.0)	14,055 (42.7)/ 10,648 (28.2)	4 CTs found no sex differences ^{47,65,181,183} 1 CT identified being male as a risk factor ¹⁴² 1 CT reported better tolerance in men ¹⁸² 1 CT reported the possibility of paralytic ileus in 1 woman ¹⁷⁸ 1 CT and 6 women and 3 men presented mild-to- moderate effects on their central nervous system in another ²⁷
Analysis of moxifloxacin effect on QT interval 53 CTs 17,774 men 15,697 women	3 (7.4)	269 (1.7)/ 308 (1.7)	No sex difference ^{94,29,65}
Analysis of dose-response 10 CTs 5,964 men 2,808 women	0	0	NA
Analysis of blood concentration response 46 CTs 4,528 men 2,762 women	3 (8.7)	25 (1.7)/ 77 (1.7)	29,65,94
Pregnancy as exclusion criterion^a 115 CTs 35,828 subjects	60 (52.1)	21,298 (59.4)/ NA	38,40,63,64,66,67,69,70,72,76,78,82,83,86,91,94,95,97,108,110,114– 116,118–121,124,125,129,130,131,134,135,138–141,144–148, 151,152,155,157–159,161,164,172,173,178,180–184
Recruitment of women using a contraceptive method^{*a} 115 CTs 35,828 subjects	21 (18.3)	4,907 (13.7)/ NA	39,63,64,66,68,71,72,76,127,128,130,131,137,143,145,169,174,175,177, 182
Recruitment of women using hormonal contraception^a 115 CTs 35,828 subjects	3 (2.6)	64 (0.2)/ NA	63,72,76
Drug and hormonal contraception interaction^a 115 CTs 35,828 subjects	2 (1.7)	59 (0.2)/ NA	1 CT Hormonal contraceptives lowered the plasma concentration of MXF ⁷⁶ 1 CT MXF does not interfere with hormonal contraception ⁷²
Recruitment of women taking HRT^b 112 CTs 35,327 subjects	0	0/ NA	NA
Interaction of the drug and HRT is evaluated^b 112 CTs 35,327 subjects	0	0/ NA	NA
Influence of ovarian cycle status on pharmacokinetics of the drug^{†c} 132 CTs 36,651 subjects	1 (1.5)	30 (0.1)/ NA	NA

Adopted from the FDA Guideline for the study and evaluation of gender differences in the clinical evaluation of drugs.¹⁴

^{*}Either oral or barrier contraception.

[†]Including comparison between pre and postmenopausal patients.

^aCTs including women of childbearing age.

^bCTs including women of menopause age.

^cCTs including women.

CT, clinical trial; HRT, hormone replacement therapy.

in their editorial instructions, or if the authors were at least to state whether they had conducted (or not) an analysis by sex, and if so, that the results would be made available on request. Knowing that CT results reveal no sex-related differences is also important. Nevertheless, it would be useful to provide incentives to researchers to publish these results separately, and to train junior researchers and students in the skills necessary to analyze CT results, identify the omissions that have occurred (or can occur) and recognize exemplary studies.

The study did have some limitations. As the study was based on the sex differences and gender analyses reported in published clinical trials of moxifloxacin indexed in Medline and the Cochrane Library, it has not covered other relevant characteristics that are worthy of investigation, such as age and ethnicity. In addition, we cannot be totally sure that data by sex is not held by the regulatory authorities or pharmaceutical companies concerned. However, previous studies on reports submitted by pharmaceutical companies to regulatory authorities have indicated that this information does not exist,^{26,193} and the main regulatory agencies have no authority (legal means) to compel disclosure of drug effects by gender.

Disclosure Statement

No financial conflicts exist.

References

- Mandell LA, Ball P, Tillotson G. Antimicrobial safety and tolerability: Differences and dilemmas. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001;32:S72–79.
- Rademaker M. Do women have more adverse drug reactions? *Am J Clin Dermatol* 2001;2:349–351.
- Agencia Española de Medicamentos y Productos Sanitarios. [Summary of Product Characteristics] 2010.
- Moxifloxacin: New indication. Do not use in gynaecological infections or other conditions. *Prescrire Int* 2009;18:207.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Anti-infective drugs advisory committee, 67th meeting. Silver Spring, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, 1999.
- Wysowski DK, Swartz L. Adverse drug event surveillance and drug withdrawals in the United States, 1969–2002: The importance of reporting suspected reactions. *Arch Intern Med* 2005;165:1363–1369.
- European Medicines Agency. European Medicines Agency recommends restricting the use of oral moxifloxacin-containing medicines. London: EMEA/CHMP/382927, 2008.
- Food and Drug Administration, Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Withdrawal of Approval of a New Drug Application [Docket No. 2007N-0221]. 2007. Available at: www.fda.gov/OHRMS/DOCKETS/98fr/E7-11496.pdf Accessed November 28, 2012.
- Mehlhorn AJ, Brown DA. Safety concerns with fluoroquinolones. *Ann Pharmacother* 2007;41:1859–1866.
- Moxifloxacin: New preparation. A me-too with more cardiac risks. *Prescrire Int* 2002;11:168–169.
- Food and Drug Administration. FDA request boxed warnings on fluoroquinolone antimicrobial drugs 08-07-2008. Available at: www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2011/021085s047,021277s041lbl.pdf Accessed November 28, 2012.
- Linder JA, Huang ES, Steinman MA, Gonzales R, Stafford RS. Fluoroquinolone prescribing in the United States: 1995 to 2002. *Am J Med* 2005;118:259–268.
- Bayer. Bayer Annual Report 2010. Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany. Available at: www.annualreport2010.bayer.com/ Accessed November 28, 2012.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Center for Drug Evaluation and research. Guidance for Industry. Guideline for the study and evaluation of gender differences in the clinical evaluation of drugs. Department of Health and Human Services. FDA. Federal Register; 1993;58:39409–39411. Available at: www.fda.gov/downloads/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM126835.pdf Accessed November 28, 2012.
- Rochon PA, Clark JP, Binns MA, Patel V, Gurwitz JH. Reporting of gender-related information in clinical trials of drug therapy for myocardial infarction. *Can Med Assoc J* 1998;159:321–327.
- Ramasubbu K, Gurm H, Litaker D. Gender bias in clinical trials: do double standards still apply? *J Womens Health Gend Based Med* 2001;10:757–764.
- Vidaver RM, Lafleur B, Tong C, Bradshaw R, Marts SA. Women subjects in NIH-funded clinical research literature: lack of progress in both representation and analysis by sex. *J Womens Health Gend Based Med* 2000;9:495–504.
- Fleisch J, Fleisch MC, Thurmann PA. Women in early-phase clinical drug trials: have things changed over the past 20 years? *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2005;78:445–452.
- Harris DJ, Douglas PS. Enrollment of women in cardiovascular clinical trials funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:475–480.
- Ruiz Cantero MT, Angeles Pardo M. European Medicines Agency policies for clinical trials leave women unprotected. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2006;60:911–913.
- Montero I, Talavera M, Ruiz I. Clinical trials with a new atypical antipsychotic (Aripiprazole): Gender specific information analysis. *Women Health* 2008;47:39–51.
- Chilet-Rosell E, Ruiz-Cantero MT, Horga JF. Women's health and gender-based clinical trials on etoricoxib: Methodological gender bias. *J Public Health* 2009;31:434–445.
- Cascales Perez S, Ruiz Cantero MT, Pardo MA. [Clinical trials with rofecoxib: Analysis of the information from the gender perspective]. *Medicina Clinica (Barc)* 2003;120:207–212.
- Bartlett C, Doyal L, Ebrahim S, et al. The causes and effects of socio-demographic exclusions from clinical trials. *Health Technol Assess* 2005;9:iii-iv, ix-x, 1–152.
- Geller SE, Koch A, Pellettieri B, Carnes M. Inclusion, analysis, and reporting of sex and race/ethnicity in clinical trials: Have we made progress? *J Womens Health* 2009;20:315–320.
- Laguna Goya N, Andrés Rodríguez-Trelles FD. Participación de las mujeres en los ensayos clínicos según los informes de la Agencia Española de Medicamentos y Productos Sanitarios: 2007. *Revista Española de Salud Pública* 2008;82:343–350.
- Pfaller MA, Jones RN. Gatifloxacin Phase IV surveillance trial (TeqCES Study) utilizing 5000 primary care physician practices: report of pathogens isolated and susceptibility patterns in community-acquired respiratory tract infections. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2002;44:77–84.
- George AP. Multicenter, phase IV evaluation of intravenous ciprofloxacin as initial therapy in patients with lower respiratory tract, urinary tract, and skin/skin structure infections. *Clin Ther* 1995;17:353–365.

29. Ober MC, Hoppe-Tichy T, Königer J, et al. Tissue penetration of moxifloxacin into human gallbladder wall in patients with biliary tract infections. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009;64:1091–1095.
30. Czock D, Hüsfig-Linde C, Langhoff A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin and levofloxacin in intensive care unit patients who have acute renal failure and undergo extended daily dialysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006;1:1263–1268.
31. Fuhrmann V, Schenk P, Jaeger W, Ahmed S, Thalhammer F. Pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin in patients undergoing continuous venovenous haemodiafiltration. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004;54:780–784.
32. Metallidis S, Topsis D, Nikolaidis J, et al. Penetration of moxifloxacin and levofloxacin into cancellous and cortical bone in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty. *J Chemother* 2007;19:682–687.
33. Breilh D, Jougon J, Djabarouti S, et al. Diffusion of oral and intravenous 400 mg once-daily moxifloxacin into lung tissue at pharmacokinetic steady-state. *J Chemother* 2003;15:558–562.
34. Simon N, Sampol E, Albanese J, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin in plasma and bronchial secretions in patients with severe bronchopneumonia. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2003;74:353–363.
35. Metallidis S, Charokopos N, Nikolaidis J, et al. Penetration of moxifloxacin into sternal bone of patients undergoing routine cardiopulmonary bypass surgery. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2006;28:428–432.
36. Garcia-Saenz MC, Arias-Puente A, Fresnadillo-Martinez MJ, Carrasco-Font C. Human aqueous humor levels of oral ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and moxifloxacin. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2001;27:1969–1974.
37. Kampougeris G, Antoniadou A, Kavouklis E, Chrysosouli Z, Giamarellou H. Penetration of moxifloxacin into the human aqueous humor after oral administration. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2005;89:628–631.
38. Solomon R, Donnenfeld ED, Perry HD, et al. Penetration of topically applied gatifloxacin 0.3%, moxifloxacin 0.5%, and ciprofloxacin 0.3% into the aqueous humor. *Ophthalmology* 2005;112:466–469.
39. Gehanno P, Darantiere S, Dubreuil C, et al. A prospective, multicentre study of moxifloxacin concentrations in the sinus mucosa tissue of patients undergoing elective surgery of the sinus. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2002;49:821–826.
40. Capitano B, Mattoes HM, Shore E, et al. Steady-state intrapulmonary concentrations of moxifloxacin, levofloxacin, and azithromycin in older adults. *Chest* 2004;125:965–973.
41. Skalioti C, Tsaganos T, Stamatiadis D, et al. Pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin in patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int* 2009;29:575–579.
42. Wirtz M, Kleff J, Swoboda S, et al. Moxifloxacin penetration into human gastrointestinal tissues. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004;53:875–877.
43. Wise R, Andrews JM, Marshall G, Hartman G. Pharmacokinetics and inflammatory-fluid penetration of moxifloxacin following oral or intravenous administration. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999;43:1508–1510.
44. Stass H, Kubitz D, Moller JG, Delesen H. Influence of activated charcoal on the pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin following intravenous and oral administration of a 400 mg single dose to healthy males. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2005;59:536–541.
45. Ballow C, Lettieri J, Agarwal V, Liu P, Stass H, Sullivan JT. Absolute bioavailability of moxifloxacin. *Clin Ther* 1999;21:513–522.
46. Burkhardt O, Borner K, Stass H, et al. Single- and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of oral moxifloxacin and clarithromycin, and concentrations in serum, saliva and faeces. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2002;34:898–903.
47. Edlund C, Beyer G, Hiemer-Bau M, Ziege S, Lode H, Nord CE. Comparative effects of moxifloxacin and clarithromycin on the normal intestinal microflora. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2000;32:81–85.
48. Müller M, Stass H, Brunner M, Moller JG, Lackner E, Eichler HG. Penetration of moxifloxacin into peripheral compartments in humans. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999;43:2345–2349.
49. Stass H, Nagelschmitz J, Moeller JG, Delesen H. Pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin are not influenced by a 7-day pretreatment with 200 mg oral itraconazole given once a day in healthy subjects. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2004;42:23–29.
50. Stass H, Wandel C, Delesen H, Moller JG. Effect of calcium supplements on the oral bioavailability of moxifloxacin in healthy male volunteers. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2001;1:27–32.
51. Stass H, Schuhly U, Moller JG, Delesen H. Effects of sucralose on the oral bioavailability of moxifloxacin, a novel 8-methoxyfluoroquinolone, in healthy volunteers. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2001;1:49–55.
52. Stass H, Sachse R. Effect of probenecid on the kinetics of a single oral 400mg dose of moxifloxacin in healthy male volunteers. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2001;1:71–76.
53. Stass H, Kubitz D. Effects of iron supplements on the oral bioavailability of moxifloxacin, a novel 8-methoxyfluoroquinolone, in humans. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2001;1:57–62.
54. Stass H, Kubitz D. Effects of dairy products on the oral bioavailability of moxifloxacin, a novel 8-methoxyfluoroquinolone, in healthy volunteers. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2001;1:33–38.
55. Stass H, Kubitz D. Lack of pharmacokinetic interaction between moxifloxacin, a novel 8-methoxyfluoroquinolone, and theophylline. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2001;1:63–70.
56. Stass H, Kubitz D. Pharmacokinetics and elimination of moxifloxacin after oral and intravenous administration in man. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1999;43:83–90.
57. Wagenlehner FM, Kees F, Weidner W, Wagenlehner C, Naber KG, Wagenlehner FME. Concentrations of moxifloxacin in plasma and urine, and penetration into prostatic fluid and ejaculate, following single oral administration of 400 mg to healthy volunteers. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2008;31:21–26.
58. Stass H, Bottcher MF, Ochmann K. Evaluation of the influence of antacids and H2 antagonists on the absorption of moxifloxacin after oral administration of a 400mg dose to healthy volunteers. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2001;1:39–48.
59. Modi NB, Nath R, Staehr P, et al. Pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and electrocardiographic effects of dapoxetine and moxifloxacin compared with placebo in healthy adult male subjects. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2009;49:634–642.
60. Stass H, Dalhoff A, Kubitz D, Schuhly U. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability of ascending single doses of moxifloxacin, a new 8-methoxy quinolone, administered to healthy subjects. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998;42:2060–2065.
61. Extramiana F, Maison-Blanche P, Cabanis M-J, Ortemann-Renon C, Beaufile P, Leenhardt A. Clinical assessment of

- drug-induced QT prolongation in association with heart rate changes. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2005;77:247–258.
62. Morganroth J, Ilson BE, Shaddinger BC, et al. Evaluation of vardenafil and sildenafil on cardiac repolarization. *Am J Cardiol* 2004;93:1378–1383.
 63. Sullivan JT, Woodruff M, Lettieri J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of a once-daily oral dose of moxifloxacin (Bay 12-8039), a new enantiomerically pure 8-methoxy quinolone. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999;43:2793–2797.
 64. Weiner M, Burman W, Luo C-C, et al. Effects of rifampin and multidrug resistance gene polymorphism on concentrations of moxifloxacin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007;51:2861–2866.
 65. Sullivan JT, Lettieri JT, Liu P, Heller AH. The influence of age and gender on the pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2001;1:11–18.
 66. Barriere S, Genter F, Spencer E, et al. Effects of a new antibacterial, telavancin, on cardiac repolarization (QTc interval duration) in healthy subjects. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2004;44:689–695.
 67. Joukhadar C, Stass H, Muller-Zellenberg U, et al. Penetration of moxifloxacin into healthy and inflamed subcutaneous adipose tissues in humans. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003;47:3099–3103.
 68. Demolis JL, Kubitz D, Tenneze L, Funck-Brentano C. Effect of a single oral dose of moxifloxacin (400 mg and 800 mg) on ventricular repolarization in healthy subjects. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2000;68:658–666.
 69. Torkildsen G, O'Brien TP, Torkildsen G, O'Brien TP. Conjunctival tissue pharmacokinetic properties of topical azithromycin 1% and moxifloxacin 0.5% ophthalmic solutions: a single-dose, randomized, open-label, active-controlled trial in healthy adult volunteers. *Clin Ther* 2008;30:2005–2014.
 70. Hart D, Weinstein MP, Hart D, Weinstein MP. Cross-over assessment of serum bactericidal activity of moxifloxacin and levofloxacin versus penicillin-susceptible and penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in healthy volunteers. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007;58:375–378.
 71. Lubasch A, Keller I, Borner K, Koeppe P, Lode H. Comparative pharmacokinetics of ciprofloxacin, gatifloxacin, grepafloxacin, levofloxacin, trovafloxacin, and moxifloxacin after single oral administration in healthy volunteers. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000;44:2600–2603.
 72. Stass H, Kubitz D. Profile of moxifloxacin drug interactions. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001;32:15.
 73. Noel GJ, Natarajan J, Chien S, et al. Effects of three fluoroquinolones on QT interval in healthy adults after single doses. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2003;73:292–303.
 74. Donnenfeld E, Perry HD, Chruscicki DA, Bitterman A, Cohn S, Solomon R. A comparison of the fourth-generation fluoroquinolones gatifloxacin 0.3% and moxifloxacin 0.5% in terms of ocular tolerability. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2004;20:1753–1758.
 75. Price MO, Price FW, Jr., Maclellan D, Price MO, Price FW, Jr., Maclellan D. Effect of gatifloxacin 0.3% and moxifloxacin 0.5% ophthalmic solutions on human corneal epithelium following 2 dosing regimens. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2005;31:2137–2141.
 76. Shain CS, Whitaker AM, Amsden G. Effects of oral contraceptives on the pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin in premenopausal women. *Clin Drug Investig* 2002;22:429–434.
 77. Stass H, Kubitz D, Schuhly U. Pharmacokinetics, safety and tolerability of moxifloxacin, a novel 8-methoxy-fluoroquinolone, after repeated oral administration. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2001;1:1–9.
 78. Barth J, Jäger D, Mundkowski R, Drewelow B, Welte T, Burkhardt O. Single- and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of intravenous moxifloxacin in patients with severe hepatic impairment. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;62:575–578.
 79. Stass H, Bührmann S, Mitchell A, et al. The influence of continuous venovenous haemodialysis on the pharmacokinetics of multiple oral moxifloxacin administration to patients with severe renal dysfunction. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2007;64:745–749.
 80. Rink AD, Stass H, Delesen H, et al. Pharmacokinetics and tissue penetration of moxifloxacin in intervention therapy for intra-abdominal abscess. *Clin Drug Investig* 2008;28:71–79.
 81. Malincarne L, Ghebregzabher M, Moretti MV, et al. Penetration of moxifloxacin into bone in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006;57:950–954.
 82. Wacke R, Forster S, Adam U, et al. Penetration of moxifloxacin into the human pancreas following a single intravenous or oral dose. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006;58:994–999.
 83. Stass H, Kubitz D, Aydeniz B, et al. Penetration and accumulation of moxifloxacin in uterine tissue. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2008;102:132–136.
 84. Pea F, Qual ED, Cusenza A, Brollo L, Baldassarre M, Furlanut M. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of intravenous levofloxacin in patients with early-onset ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2003;42:589–598.
 85. Stass H, Rink AD, Delesen H, Kubitz D, Vestweber KH. Pharmacokinetics and peritoneal penetration of moxifloxacin in peritonitis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006;58:693–696.
 86. Leone M, Albanese J, Sampol-Manos E, et al. Moxifloxacin penetration in bronchial secretions of mechanically ventilated patients with pneumonia. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;48:638–640.
 87. Dinis PB, Monteiro MC, Martins ML, et al. Sinus tissue concentration of moxifloxacin after a single oral dose. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2004;113:142–146.
 88. Esposito S, Noviello S, D'Errico G, et al. Concentration of moxifloxacin in plasma and tonsillar tissue after multiple administration in adult patients. [Erratum appears in *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006 May;57:1022]. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006;57:789–792.
 89. Alffenaar JWC, van Altena R, Bökkerink HJ, et al. Pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin in cerebrospinal fluid and plasma in patients with tuberculous meningitis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49:1080–1082.
 90. Johnson JL, Hadad DJ, Boom WH, et al. Early and extended early bactericidal activity of levofloxacin, gatifloxacin and moxifloxacin in pulmonary tuberculosis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2006;10:605–612.
 91. Nijland HMJ, Ruslami R, Suroto AJ, et al. Rifampicin reduces plasma concentrations of moxifloxacin in patients with tuberculosis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;45:1001–1007.
 92. Valerio G, Bracciale P, Manisco V, Quitadamo M, Legari G, Bellanova S. Long-term tolerance and effectiveness of moxifloxacin therapy for tuberculosis: preliminary results. *J Chemother*. 2003;15:66–70.

93. Fuller JJ, Lott MN, Henson NM, et al. Vitreal Penetration of Oral and Topical Moxifloxacin in Humans. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2007;143:338–340.
94. Lott MN, Fuller JJ, Hancock HA, et al. Vitreal penetration of oral moxifloxacin in humans. *Retina* 2008;28:473–476.
95. Vedantham V, Lalitha P, Velpandian T, Ghose S, Mahalakshmi R, Ramasamy K. Vitreous and aqueous penetration of orally administered moxifloxacin in humans. *Eye* 2006;20:1273–1278.
96. Bozkurt B, Karakaya G, Kalyoncu AF. Tolerability of moxifloxacin in patients with antibiotic hypersensitivity. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)* 2005;33:38–41.
97. Constantinou M, Daniell M, Snibson GR, et al. Clinical efficacy of moxifloxacin in the treatment of bacterial keratitis: a randomized clinical trial. *Ophthalmology* 2007;114:1622–1629.
98. Vasavada AR, Gajjar D, Raj SM, et al. Comparison of 2 moxifloxacin regimens for preoperative prophylaxis: prospective randomized triple-masked trial. Part 2: Residual conjunctival flora. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2008;34:1383–1388.
99. Katz HR, Masket S, Lane SS, et al. Absorption of topical moxifloxacin ophthalmic solution into human aqueous humor. *Cornea* 2005;24:955–958.
100. Lane SS, Osher RH, Masket S, et al. Evaluation of the safety of prophylactic intracameral moxifloxacin in cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2008;34:1451–1459.
101. Ong-Tone L. Aqueous humor penetration of gatifloxacin and moxifloxacin eyedrops given by different methods before cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2007;33:59–62.
102. Diz Dios P, Tomas Carmona I, Limeres Posse J, Medina Henriquez J, Fernandez Feijoo J, Alvarez Fernandez M. Comparative efficacies of amoxicillin, clindamycin, and moxifloxacin in prevention of bacteremia following dental extractions. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2006;50:2996–3002.
103. Deramo VA, Lai JC, Fastenberg DM, Udell JJ. Acute endophthalmitis in eyes treated prophylactically with gatifloxacin and moxifloxacin. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006;142:721–725.e721.
104. Beyer G, Hiemer-Bau M, Ziege S, Edlund C, Lode H, Nord CE. Impact of moxifloxacin versus clarithromycin on normal oropharyngeal microflora. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2000;19:548–550.
105. Man I, Murphy J, Ferguson J. Fluoroquinolone phototoxicity: A comparison of moxifloxacin and lomefloxacin in normal volunteers. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1999;43:77–82.
106. He L, Ta CN, MiÅ±o de Kaspar H. One-day application of topical moxifloxacin 0.5% to select for fluoroquinolone-resistant coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2009;35:1715–1718.
107. Sebban C, Dussart S, Fuhrmann C, et al. Oral moxifloxacin or intravenous ceftriaxone for the treatment of low-risk neutropenic fever in cancer patients suitable for early hospital discharge. *Support Care Cancer* 2008;16:1017–1023.
108. Cheon JH, Kim N, Lee DH, et al. Efficacy of moxifloxacin-based triple therapy as second-line treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Helicobacter* 2006;11:46–51.
109. Kilic ZM, Koksas AS, Cakal B, et al. Moxifloxacin plus amoxicillin and ranitidine bismuth citrate or esomeprazole triple therapies for *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Dig Dis Sci* 2008;53:3133–3137.
110. Bago P, Vcev A, Tomic M, et al. High eradication rate of *H. pylori* with moxifloxacin-based treatment: a randomized controlled trial. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2007;119:372–378.
111. Miehke S, Schneider-Brachert W, Kirsch C, et al. One-week once-daily triple therapy with esomeprazole, moxifloxacin, and rifabutin for eradication of persistent *Helicobacter pylori* resistant to both metronidazole and clarithromycin. *Helicobacter*. 2008;13:69–74.
112. Ta CN, Chan I, Dhath HS, et al. Prospective comparison of topical moxifloxacin in eliminating conjunctival bacterial flora following a one-day or one-hour application. *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther* 2008;24:427–431.
113. Pardillo FEF, Burgos J, Fajardo TT, et al. Powerful bactericidal activity of moxifloxacin in human leprosy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008;52:3113–3117.
114. Schwab D, Grauer M, Hahn EG, Muhldorfer S. Biliary secretion of moxifloxacin in obstructive cholangitis and the non-obstructed biliary tract. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;22:417–422.
115. Holland EJ, Lane SS, Kim T, et al. Ocular penetration and pharmacokinetics of topical gatifloxacin 0.3% and moxifloxacin 0.5% ophthalmic solutions after keratoplasty. *Cornea* 2008;27:314–319.
116. Guentsch A, Jentsch H, Pfister W, et al. Moxifloxacin as an adjunctive antibiotic in the treatment of severe chronic periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 2008;79:1894–1903.
117. Burka JM, Bower KS, Vanroekel RC, et al. The effect of fourth-generation fluoroquinolones gatifloxacin and moxifloxacin on epithelial healing following photorefractive keratectomy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2005;140:83–87.
118. Moshirfar M, Marx DP, Kumar R. The effect of the fourth-generation fluoroquinolones on corneal reepithelialization after penetrating keratoplasty. *Cornea* 2005;24:833–836.
119. Jardim JR, Rico G, de la Roza C, et al. [A comparison of moxifloxacin and amoxicillin in the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia in Latin America: results of a multicenter clinical trial]. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2003;39:387–393.
120. Ott S, Allewelt M, Lorenz J, Reimnitz P, Lode H; The German Lung Abscess Study Group. Moxifloxacin vs ampicillin/sulbactam in aspiration pneumonia and primary lung abscess. *Infection* 2008;36:23–30.
121. Campos M, Avila M, Wallau A, Muccioli C, Hofling-Lima AL, Belfort R. Efficacy and tolerability of a fixed-dose moxifloxacin - dexamethasone formulation for topical prophylaxis in LASIK: a comparative, double-masked clinical trial. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2008;2:331–338.
122. Gosling RD, Uiso LO, Sam NE, et al. The bactericidal activity of moxifloxacin in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;168:1342–1345.
123. Pletz MWR, De Roux A, Roth A, Neumann K-H, Mauch H, Lode H. Early bactericidal activity of moxifloxacin in treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis: a prospective, randomized study. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;48:780–782.
124. Burman WJ, Goldberg S, Johnson JL, et al. Moxifloxacin versus ethambutol in the first 2 months of treatment for pulmonary tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;174:331–338.
125. Conde MB, Efron A, Loredi C, et al. Moxifloxacin versus ethambutol in the initial treatment of tuberculosis: A double-blind, randomised, controlled phase II trial. *Lancet* 2009;373:1183–1189.
126. Ferguson BJ, Guzzetta RV, Spector SL, et al. Efficacy and safety of oral telithromycin once daily for 5 days versus moxifloxacin once daily for 10 days in the treatment of acute bacterial rhinosinusitis. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2004;131:207–214.

127. Siegert R, Gehanno P, Nikolaidis P, et al.; The Sinusitis Study Group. A comparison of the safety and efficacy of moxifloxacin (BAY 12-8039) and cefuroxime axetil in the treatment of acute bacterial sinusitis in adults. *Respir Med* 2000;94:337-344.
128. Rakkar S, Roberts K, Towe BF, Flores SM, Heyd A, Warner J. Moxifloxacin versus amoxicillin clavulanate in the treatment of acute maxillary sinusitis: a primary care experience. *Int J Clin Pract* 2001;55:309-315.
129. Gehanno P, Berche P, Perrin A. Moxifloxacin in the treatment of acute maxillary sinusitis after first-line treatment failure and acute sinusitis with high risk of complications. *J Int Med Res* 2003;31:434-447.
130. Burke T, Villanueva C, Mariano H, Jr., et al.; Sinusitis Infection Study Group. Comparison of moxifloxacin and cefuroxime axetil in the treatment of acute maxillary sinusitis. *Clin Ther* 1999;21:1664-1677.
131. Klossek JM, Siegert R, Nikolaidis P, Arvis P, Leberre MA; Sinusitis Study Group. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of moxifloxacin and trovafloxacin for the treatment of acute, bacterial maxillary sinusitis in adults. *J Laryngol Otol* 2003;117:43-51.
132. Miravittles M, Llor C, Naberan K, Cots JMA, Molina JS. Variables associated with recovery from acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med* 2005;99:955-965.
133. Chodosh S, DeAbate CA, Haverstock D, Aneiro L, Church D.; Short-course moxifloxacin therapy for treatment of acute bacterial exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Respir Med* 2000;94:18-27.
134. Wilson R, Allegra L, Huchon G, et al. Short-term and long-term outcomes of moxifloxacin compared to standard antibiotic treatment in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Chest* 2004;125:953-964.
135. Starakis I, Gogos CA, Bassaris H. Five-day moxifloxacin therapy compared with 7-day co-amoxiclav therapy for the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2004;23:129-137.
136. Niederman MS, Anzueto A, Sethi S, et al. Eradication of *H. influenzae* in AECB: A pooled analysis of moxifloxacin phase III trials compared with macrolide agents. *Respir Med* 2006;100:1781-1790.
137. Schaberg T, Ballin I, Huchon G, et al. A multinational, multicentre, non-blinded, randomized study of moxifloxacin oral tablets compared with co-amoxiclav oral tablets in the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. *J Int Med Res* 2001;29:314-328.
138. Wilson R, Kubin R, Ballin I, et al. Five day moxifloxacin therapy compared with 7 day clarithromycin therapy for the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1999;44:501-513.
139. DeAbate CA, Mathew CP, Warner JH, Heyd A, Church D.; The Bronchitis Study Group. The safety and efficacy of short course (5-day) moxifloxacin vs. azithromycin in the treatment of patients with acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. *Respir Med* 2000;94:1029-1037.
140. Urueta-Robledo J, Ariza H, Jardim JR, et al. Moxifloxacin versus levofloxacin against acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: the Latin American Cohort. *Respir Med* 2006;100:1504-1511.
141. Portier H, Brambilla C, Garre M, Paganin F, Poubeau P, Zuck P. Moxifloxacin monotherapy compared to amoxicillin-clavulanate plus roxithromycin for nonsevere community-acquired pneumonia in adults with risk factors. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005;24:367-376.
142. Lode H, Grossman C, Choudhri S, et al. Sequential IV/PO moxifloxacin treatment of patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Respir Med* 2003;97:1134-1142.
143. Petitpretz P, Arvis P, Marel M, Moita J, Urueta J; CAP5 Moxifloxacin Study Group. Oral moxifloxacin vs high-dosage amoxicillin in the treatment of mild-to-moderate, community-acquired, suspected pneumococcal pneumonia in adults. *Chest* 2001;119:185-195.
144. Welte T, Petermann W, Schurmann D, et al. Treatment with sequential intravenous or oral moxifloxacin was associated with faster clinical improvement than was standard therapy for hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia who received initial parenteral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;41:1697-1705.
145. Hoeffken G, Meyer HP, Winter J, Verhoeve L; CAP1 Study Group. The efficacy and safety of two oral moxifloxacin regimens compared to oral clarithromycin in the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia. *Respir Med* 2001;95:553-564.
146. Patel T, Pearl J, Williams J, Haverstock D, Church D; Community Acquired Pneumonia Study Group. Efficacy and safety of ten day moxifloxacin 400 mg once daily in the treatment of patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Respir Med* 2000;94:97-105.
147. Katz E, Larsen LS, Fogarty CM, Hamed K, Song J, Choudhri S. Safety and efficacy of sequential i.v. to p.o. moxifloxacin versus conventional combination therapies for the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia in patients requiring initial i.v. therapy. *J Emerg Med* 2004;27:395-405.
148. Marrie TJ, Beecroft MD, Herman-Gnjidic Z. Resolution of symptoms in patients with community-acquired pneumonia treated on an ambulatory basis. *J Infect* 2004;49:302-309.
149. Morganroth J, Dimarco JP, Anzueto A, et al. A randomized trial comparing the cardiac rhythm safety of moxifloxacin vs levofloxacin in elderly patients hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 2005;128:3398-3406.
150. Anzueto A, Niederman MS, Pearle J, et al. Community-acquired pneumonia recovery in the elderly (CAPRIE): Efficacy and safety of moxifloxacin therapy versus that of levofloxacin therapy. [Erratum appears in *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006 May 1;42(9):1350]. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006;42:73-81.
151. Torres A, Muir JF, Corris P, et al. Effectiveness of oral moxifloxacin in standard first-line therapy in community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2003;21:135-143.
152. Marrie T, Beecroft M, Herman-Gnjidic Z, Poulin-Costello M. Symptom resolution in patients with Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia. *Can Respir J* 2004;11:573-577.
153. McDonald MB, Protzko EE, Brunner LS, et al. Efficacy and safety of besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension 0.6% compared with moxifloxacin ophthalmic solution 0.5% for treating bacterial conjunctivitis. *Ophthalmology* 2009;116:1615-1623.e1611.
154. Lipsky BA, Giordano P, Choudhri S, et al. Treating diabetic foot infections with sequential intravenous to oral moxifloxacin compared with piperacillin-tazobactam/amoxicillin-clavulanate. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007;60:370-376.
155. Malangoni MA, Song J, Herrington J, Choudhri S, Pertel P. Randomized controlled trial of moxifloxacin compared with piperacillin-tazobactam and amoxicillin-clavulanate for the treatment of complicated intra-abdominal infections. *Ann Surg* 2006;244:204-211.

156. Kang JM, Kim N, Lee DH, et al. Second-line treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* infection: 10-day moxifloxacin-based triple therapy versus 2-week quadruple therapy. *Helicobacter* 2007;12:623–628.
157. Nista EC, Candelli M, Zocco MA, et al. Moxifloxacin-based strategies for first-line treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;21:1241–1247.
158. Höffken G, Barth J, Rubinstein E, Beckmann H; HAP Study Group. A randomized study of sequential intravenous/oral moxifloxacin in comparison to sequential intravenous ceftriaxone/oral cefuroxime axetil in patients with hospital-acquired pneumonia. *Infection* 2007;35:414–420.
159. Bago J, Pevac B, Tomic M, et al. Second-line treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* infection based on moxifloxacin triple therapy: A randomized controlled trial. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2009;121:47–52.
160. Yoon H, Kim N, Lee BH, et al. Moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* infection: Effect of treatment duration and antibiotic resistance on the eradication rate. *Helicobacter* 2009;14:429–437.
161. Di Caro S, Ojetti V, Zocco MA, et al. Mono, dual, and triple moxifloxacin-based therapies for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2002;16:527–532.
162. Sezgin O, Altintas E, Ucbilek E, Tombak A, Tellioglu B. Low efficacy rate of moxifloxacin-containing *Helicobacter pylori* eradication treatment: In an observational study in a Turkish population. *Helicobacter* 2007;12:518–522.
163. Arrieta JR, Galgano AS, Sakano E, et al. Moxifloxacin vs amoxicillin/clavulanate in the treatment of acute sinusitis. *Am J Otolaryngol* 2007;28:78–82.
164. Solomkin J, Zhao YP, Ma EL, et al. Moxifloxacin is non-inferior to combination therapy with ceftriaxone plus metronidazole in patients with community-origin complicated intra-abdominal infections. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2009;34:439–445.
165. Weiss G, Reimnitz P, Hampel B, Muehlhofer E, Lippert H; AIDA Study Group. Moxifloxacin for the treatment of patients with complicated intra-abdominal infections (the AIDA Study). *J Chemother* 2009;21:170–180.
166. Halachmi-Eyal O, Lang Y, Keness Y, et al. Preoperative topical moxifloxacin 0.5% and povidone-iodine 5.0% versus povidone-iodine 5.0% alone to reduce bacterial colonization in the conjunctival sac. [Erratum appears in *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2010;36:535. Halachmi-Eyal, Orly (corrected to Halachmi-Eyal, Orly)]. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2009;35:2109–2114.
167. Bradshaw CS, Chen MY, Fairley CK, Bradshaw CS, Chen MY, Fairley CK. Persistence of *Mycoplasma genitalium* following azithromycin therapy. *PLoS One*. 2008;3:e3618.
168. Ross JD, Cronje HS, Paszkowski T, et al. Moxifloxacin versus ofloxacin plus metronidazole in uncomplicated pelvic inflammatory disease: results of a multicentre, double blind, randomised trial. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006;82:446–451.
169. Heystek M, Ross JD; PID Study Group. A randomized double-blind comparison of moxifloxacin and doxycycline/metronidazole/ciprofloxacin in the treatment of acute, uncomplicated pelvic inflammatory disease. *Int J STD AIDS* 2009;20:690–695.
170. Wenisch C, Krause R, Szell M, Laferl H. Moxifloxacin versus standard therapy in patients with pneumonia hospitalized after failure of preclinical anti-infective treatment. *Infection* 2006;34:190–195.
171. Morovic M. Q Fever pneumonia: Are clarithromycin and moxifloxacin alternative treatments only? *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2005;73:947–948.
172. Giordano P, Song J, Pertel P, et al. Sequential intravenous/oral moxifloxacin versus intravenous piperacillin-tazobactam followed by oral amoxicillin-clavulanate for the treatment of complicated skin and skin structure infection. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2005;26:357–365.
173. Vick-Fragoso R, Hernandez-Oliva G, Cruz-Alcazar J, et al. Efficacy and safety of sequential intravenous/oral moxifloxacin vs intravenous/oral amoxicillin/clavulanate for complicated skin and skin structure infections. *Infection* 2009;37:407–417.
174. Parish LC, Routh HB, Miskin B, et al. Moxifloxacin versus cephalexin in the treatment of uncomplicated skin infections. *Int J Clin Pract* 2000;54:497–503.
175. [T4]Grassi C, Casali L, Curti E, et al. Efficacy and safety of short course (5-day) moxifloxacin vs 7-day ceftriaxone in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis (AECB). *J Chemother* 2002;14:597–608.
176. Miravittles M, Ros F, Cobos A, Kubin R, Tillotson G. The efficacy of moxifloxacin in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: A Spanish physician and patient experience. *Int J Clin Pract* 2001;55:437–441.
177. Lorenz J, Thate-Waschke IM, Mast O, et al. Treatment outcomes in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: comparison of macrolides and moxifloxacin from the patient perspective. *J Int Med Res* 2001;29:74–86.
178. Koch H, Landen H, Stauch K. Once-daily moxifloxacin therapy for community-acquired pneumonia in general practice: Evidence from a post-marketing surveillance study of 1467 patients. *Clin Drug Investig* 2004;24:441–448.
179. Landen H, M M, Tillotson G, Kubin R, Höffken G. Clinical experience in Germany of treating community-acquired respiratory infections with the new 8-methoxyfluoroquinolone, moxifloxacin. *J Int Med Res* 2001;29:51–60.
180. Barth J, Stauch K, Landen H. Efficacy and tolerability of sequential intravenous/oral moxifloxacin therapy in pneumonia: Results of the first post-marketing surveillance study with intravenous moxifloxacin in hospital practice. *Clin Drug Investig* 2005;25:691–700.
181. Liu LY, Landen H. Treatment of respiratory tract infections with moxifloxacin: Results of postmarketing surveillance in China. *Int J Clin Pract* 2007;61:1509–1515.
182. Chen W, Wu C, Li Z, Bai C. Efficacy and tolerability of moxifloxacin in patients with respiratory tract infections treated in general practice: Results of a post-marketing surveillance study. *Clin Drug Investig* 2006;26:501–509.
183. Faich GA, Morganroth J, Whitehouse AB, et al. Clinical experience with moxifloxacin in patients with respiratory tract infections. *Ann Pharmacother* 2004;38:749–754.
184. Elies W, Landen H, Stauch K. Efficacy and tolerability of moxifloxacin in patients with sinusitis treated in general practice: Results of a post-marketing surveillance study. *Clin Drug Investig* 2004;24:431–439.
185. National Institutes of Health (NIH). Revitalization Act, Subtitle B, Part 1, Sec1993:131–133.
186. General Accounting Office. Problems in implementing policy on women in study populations. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, 1990. GAO/HRD-90-38.
187. Rodenburg EM, Stricker B, Visser L. Sex-related differences in hospital admissions attributed to adverse drug reactions

- in the Netherlands. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 71:95–104. doi: 110.1111/j.1365-2125.2010.03811.x.
188. Cubeddu LX. Sex-related differences in hospital admissions attributed to adverse drug reactions in the Netherlands. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2003;71:95–104.
 189. Loebstein R, Addis A, Ho E, et al. Pregnancy outcome following gestational exposure to fluoroquinolones: A multicenter prospective controlled study. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998;42:1336–1339.
 190. Medical Research Council of Canada. Advisory Committee on Women in Clinical Trials final report. Ottawa: The Council, 1997.
 191. Schulz K, Altman D, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. *Ann Intern Med* 2010;726–732.
 192. Chilet Rosell E, Ruiz Cantero MT. CONSORT statement 2010: What about the gender perspective? *Ann Int Med* 2010. Available at: www.annals.org/content/152/11/726.full/reply#annintmed_el_124620 Accessed November 28, 2012.
 193. Pinnow E, Sharma P, Parekh A, Gevorkian N, Uhl K. Increasing participation of women in early phase clinical trials approved by the FDA. *Womens Health Issues* 2009;19:89–93.

*Elisa Chilet Rosell, PhD
Public Health Research Group
Universidad de Alicante
Ap 99
Alicante 03080
Spain*

E-mail: elisa.chilet@ua.es