Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2013 November 15; 15(22): . doi:10.1021/ol402798z.

Synthesis of Solid 2-Pyridylzinc Reagents and their Application in Negishi Reactions

James R. Colombe, Sebastian Bernhardt, Christos Stathakis, Stephen L. Buchwald, and Paul Knochel

Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 and Department Chemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstr. 5-13, 81377 München, Germany

Stephen L. Buchwald: sbuchwal@mit.edu; Paul Knochel: Paul.Knochel@cup.uni-muenchen.de

Abstract

In search of alternatives to unstable or unreliable 2-pyridylboron reagents, we have explored two new varieties of solid, moderately air-stable 2-pyridylzinc reagents. Both reagents can be manipulated in air and are competent nucleophiles in Negishi cross-coupling reactions.

The 2-pyridyl group is a structural component of a variety of biologically active compounds,¹ functional materials,² and ligands in metal-mediated reactions.³ While Suzuki-Miyaura coupling with heteroaryl boronates is a convenient and popular method for the installation of heteroaryls,⁴ coupling of 2-pyridyl boronates⁵ is plagued by reagent instability⁶ and has been slow to develop. The best strategy for this problem has been the employment of 2-pyridyl MIDA^{5d} and pinacol^{5e-g} boronates, but a method with milder conditions and higher generality with respect to 2-pyridyl nucleophiles and electrophilic coupling partners remains highly desirable.

In contrast, 2-pyridylzinc reagents are excellent nucleophiles in cross-coupling processes and their reactions often proceed at room temperature.⁷ Although these reagents are more basic than the corresponding boronates, their use avoids the troublesome protodeboronation issues commonly observed with 2-heteroarylboronates. We have concurrently pursued two strategies to obtain solid, air-stable 2-pyridylzinc reagents, with the goal of uniting the operational simplicity of boronates and the reliability of 2-pyridylzinc halides.

First, we have applied the organozinc pivalate approach⁸ that provides reagents that are free-flowing solids, indefinitely stable when stored under an inert atmosphere, and comparable in reactivity to organozinc halides in Negishi reactions. A second, newer approach is based on the hypothesis that the use of additional ligands could provide an air-stabilized, solid organozinc halide. This is in many ways analogous to Burke's MIDA boronate method. These two conceptually different approaches have both resulted in solid reagents that are stable in air for roughly one day and are competent nucleophiles in cross-coupling reactions.

Correspondence to: Stephen L. Buchwald, sbuchwal@mit.edu; Paul Knochel, Paul.Knochel@cup.uni-muenchen.de.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures, characterizations, and spectral data for all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Minimal optimization was required for the synthesis of 2-pyridylzinc pivalates.^{8a,b} Lithium- or magnesium-halogen exchange followed by transmetalation to $\text{Zn}(\text{OPiv})_2$ and evaporation of solvent gave compounds **1–5** in 69–97% yields (Scheme 1).⁹ Both metal-halogen exchange methods gave reagents with air stability comparable to that of the most stable organozinc pivalates known (see Table 1).^{8b,c} Notably, **5a** and **5b** had virtually identical air-stabilities, even though **5b**, synthesized by magnesium-halogen exchange, is presumably complexed with an extra equivalent of hygroscopic lithium chloride (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). While the reagents cannot be stored under ambient atmosphere for long periods of time without significant decomposition, compounds **1–5** can be easily weighed in air with minimal loss of the active zinc reagent.

The solid 2-pyridylzinc pivalate reagents prepared as above exhibited excellent functional group compatibility in Negishi reactions with aryl chlorides and bromides (Scheme 2), tolerating ketones (**6b**, **6c**, **6g**), esters (**6a**, **6f**, **6i**, **6j**, **6k**), and free N-H groups (**6d**, **6h**, **6i**, **6k**). Of note, 2-chloroisonicotinonitrile was coupled to give the unsymmetrical 2,2'-bipyridyl (**6l**) in good yield. The pivalate reagents are relatively stable to trace water and oxygen under cross coupling conditions, and could be coupled under air in either technical grade ethyl acetate or THF as solvent in excellent yields (**6n**, **6m**).

Looking for an alternative means to produce air-stable and solid 2-pyridylzinc reagents, it was hypothesized that the addition of a ligand for zinc could provide a 2-pyridylzinc halide complex that was protected from ambient moisture and/or less basic or hygroscopic. There is significant precedent for this strategy. Charette recently prepared a series of bipyridyl-ligated zinc carbenoids that showed improved stability toward ambient atmosphere and reactive for up to eight months.¹⁰ An early example is from Sheverdina, who crystallized a variety of alkyl- and arylorganozinc compounds as the corresponding 1,4-dioxane complexes.¹¹ Subsequently, Noltes prepared a variety of ligated organozinc compounds which “seem[ed] to be less sensitive towards hydrolysis” than the unligated compounds.¹²

Potential ligands were added to a solution of 2-pyridylzinc chloride prepared by sequential magnesium-halogen exchange and transmetalation with zinc chloride (see Table 2).^{7c} The resulting mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure. The material was aged and then titrated⁹ to determine air stability. In the absence of ligand, a sticky orange solid was obtained¹³ that was titrated to give a reference point for the complexes prepared with additives (Table 2, entry 1). All of the ligated compounds were obtained as non-deliquescent solids and were easily manipulated. A variety of potentially chelating ligands were studied (Table 2, entries 2–7), but only the complexes formed with 2.3 equivalents 1,4-dioxane (**12**)¹⁴ and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (**13**) were markedly more stable than the “ligandless” case (Table 2, entries 8 and 11), with the dimethoxyethane complex roughly half as stable as the dioxanate.

The dioxanate complex **14** was an effective nucleophile under recently developed Negishi cross-coupling conditions (see Scheme 3).^{7g} Simple electron-poor and electron-rich haloaromatics (Scheme 3, **16a**, **16b**, and **16c**) as well as the triflate of estrone (**16i**) could be coupled in good yields. Moreover, the complex **14** could also be coupled with more challenging, heterocycle-containing aryl- (**16e**, **16g**, **16j**) and heteroaryl- (**16d**, **16f**, **16h**) bromides and chlorides in 61–95% yield.

The dioxanate **14** should be titrated to determine its concentration for use after long-term storage. However, decomposition products do not interfere with the reagent's efficacy. Three different samples – one stored in a glovebox for 30 days, another stored in the glovebox for 30 days and then in air for 24 hours, and a third stored in a vacuum desiccator for 40 days – all gave virtually identical yields of cross-coupled product (see Scheme 4).

While the aged samples have lower concentrations of active zinc nucleophile and are presumably admixed with unreactive material, these decomposition products have no effect on the cross-coupling reaction in terms of yield.

In summary, we have developed two methods for the preparation of solid 2-pyridylzinc nucleophiles that are sufficiently air-stable to be handled on the bench and viable alternatives to boronates in cross-coupling reactions. We have successfully applied the organozinc pivalate technology to the Negishi coupling of a new class of heterocycles, and developed a second, new approach to solid zinc reagents. Both strategies should be applicable to other organozinc compounds in the future and further developed as practical surrogates for other varieties of unstable or insufficiently reactive organoboron compounds.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institutes of Health under award number GM46059. J. R. C. would like to thank the National Institutes of Health for a supplement under awards number 3-R01-GM046059-20S. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Varian spectrometers were used in this work and were purchased with funds from the National Science Foundation (CHE-9808061). This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. 1122374. The MIT authors would like to thank Dr. Nathan Jui (MIT) for help in preparing this manuscript, and Nicholas C. Bruno (MIT) for preparation of methanesulfonate precatalyst **15**. The research performed in Munich has received funding from the European Research Council under the *European Community's* Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) ERC Grant Agreement No. 227763.

References

1. (a) Otori M, Kinoshita T, Okubo M, Sato K, Yamazaki A, Arakawa A, Nishimura S, Inamura N, Nakajima H, Neya M, Miyake H, Fujii T. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2005; 336:357–363. [PubMed: 16139248] (b) Rao KV, Cullen W. *Antibiot Annu*. 1959; 7:950–953. [PubMed: 14436228] (c) Perry NB, Ettouati L, Litaudon M, Blunt JW, Munro MHG, Parkin S, Hope H. *Tetrahedron*. 1994; 50:3987–3992. (d) Jakubec P, Hawkins A, Felzmann W, Dixon DJ. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134:17482–17485. [PubMed: 23039372]
2. (a) Whittell GR, Manners I. *Adv Mater*. 2007; 19:3439–3468. (b) Wild A, Winter A, Schlütter F, Schubert US. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2011; 40:1459–1511. [PubMed: 21157599] (c) Glasson CRK, Lindoy LF, Meehan GV. *Coord Chem Rev*. 2008; 252:940–963.
3. (a) Lee E, Hooker JM, Ritter T. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134:17456–17458. [PubMed: 23061667] (b) Prier CK, Rankic DA, MacMillan DWC. *Chem Rev*. 2013; 113:5322–5363. [PubMed: 23509883] (c) Izawa Y, Zheng C, Stahl SS. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2013; 52:3672–3675. (d) Chelucci G, Thummel RP. *Chem Rev*. 2002; 102:3129–3170. [PubMed: 12222984]
4. Kinzel T, Zhang Y, Buchwald SL. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:14073–14075. [PubMed: 20858009]
5. (a) Molander GA, Canturk B, Kennedy LE. *J Org Chem*. 2009; 74:973–980. [PubMed: 19105735] (b) Ren W, Li J, Zou D, Wu Y. *Tetrahedron*. 2012; 68:1351–1358. (c) Billingsley KL, Buchwald SL. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2008; 47:4695–4698. (d) Dick GR, Woerly EM, Burke MD. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2012; 51:2667–2672. (e) Deng JZ, Paone DV, Ginnetti AT, Kurihara H, Dreher SD, Weissman SA, Stauffer SR, Burgey CS. *Org Lett*. 2009; 11:345–347. [PubMed: 19093828] (f) Crowley BM, Potteiger CM, Deng JZ, Prier CK, Paone DV, Burgey CS. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2011; 52:5055–5059. (g) Yang DX, Colletti SL, Wu K, Song M, Li GY, Shen HC. *Org Lett*. 2009; 11:381–384. [PubMed: 19072215] (h) Yamamoto Y, Takizawa M, Yu XQ, Miyaura N. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2008; 47:928–931. (i) Sakashita S, Takizawa M, Sugai J, Ito H, Yamamoto Y. *Org Lett*. 2013; 15:4308–4311. [PubMed: 23952320]
6. Lennox AJJ, Lloyd-Jones GC. *Isr J Chem*. 2010; 50:664–674.

7. Coleridge BM, Bello CS, Ellenberger DH, Leitner A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2010; 51:357–359. Walla P, Kappe CO. *Chem Commun.* 2004:564–565. Luzung MR, Patel JS, Yin J. *J Org Chem.* 2010; 75:8330–8332. [PubMed: 21047088] and references therein. Kim SH, Rieke RD. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009; 50:5329–5331. Kim SH, Rieke RD. *Tetrahedron.* 2010; 66:3135–3146. Kim SH, Rieke RD. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2010; 51:2657–2659. Yang Y, Oldenhuis NJ, Buchwald SL. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2013; 52:615–619.
8. (a) Bernhardt S, Manolikakes G, Kunz T, Knochel P. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2011; 50:9205–9209. (b) Stathakis CI, Bernhardt S, Quint V, Knochel P. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2012; 51:9428–9432. (c) Stathakis CI, Manolikakes SM, Knochel P. *Org Lett.* 2013; 15:1302–1305. [PubMed: 23461805]
9. Yield of the reaction was determined by titration of a solution of the solid material with iodine. See: Krasovskiy A, Knochel P. *Synthesis.* 2006; 5:890–891.
10. Charette AB, Marcoux JF, Molinaro C, Beauchemin A, Brochu C, Isabel É. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2000; 122:4508–4509.
11. (a) Sheverdina NI, Abramova LV, Kocheskov KA. *Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR.* 1959; 124:602–605. (b) Sheverdina NI, Abramova LV, Kocheskov KA. *Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR.* 1959; 128:320–322. (c) Sheverdina NI, Abramova LV, Kocheskov KA. *Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR.* 1960; 134:853–855.
12. (a) Noltes JG, Van Den Hurk JWG. *J Organomet Chem.* 1964; 1:377–383. (b) Noltes JG, Van Den Hurk JWG. *J Organomet Chem.* 1965; 3:222–228.
13. The “ligandless” example could have been the tetrahydrofuran (solvent) complex of the 2-pyridylzinc species.
14. The optimized procedure for the preparation of the dioxanate reagent was performed with 30 millimoles of 2-bromopyridine. No larger scales were attempted. The average yield over seven preparations at 30 millimole scale was 58% (47%–70%).

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of Solid 2-Pyridylzinc Pivalates

^aComplexed LiOPiv and MgOPivCl omitted for clarity.

Scheme 2.

Negishi Coupling of 2-Pyridylzinc Pivalates

^aComplexed LiOPiv or MgOPivCl omitted for clarity.

Scheme 3.

Negishi Coupling with Dioxanate Reagent

Reaction conditions: **14** (1.3 mmol), Ar-X (1.0 mmol), **15** (2 mol %), THF (4 mL), temp, 16 h; isolated yields, average of two runs.

entry	aging	original concn (mol/g) ^a	aged concn (mol/g) ^b	yield ^c
1	glovebox, 30 days	0.93	0.80	98%
2	glovebox, 30 days then 1 day on bench	0.93	0.60	94%
3	40 days, vacuum desiccator	1.13	0.73	91%

Scheme 4.

Reactivity of Partially Decomposed Reagents

^aBy titration with iodine. ^bBy titration with iodine after aging as described. ^cIsolated yield based on 1 mmol aryl bromide (**17**) and 1.3 mmol aged solid organozinc reagent (**14**) – mass of solid organozinc reagent mixture used depended on concentration of active zinc reagent in mixture by titration with iodine.

Table 1

Air-stability of 2-Pyridylzinc Pivalates

entry	compound	1 hour ^a
1	1	90%
2	3	86%
3	4a	69%
4	5a	70%
5	5b	72%

^a Percentage of original titre by titration with iodine after aging for one hour in air (see Supporting Information for details).

Table 2

Evaluation of Potentially Stabilizing Ligands

entry	ligand	equiv	yield ^b	% remaining after 1 hour ^c	% remaining after 1 day ^c
1	no ligand	-	-	48%	<10%
2	8	1.2	42%	53%	~18%
3	8	2.3	92%	~17%	<10%
4	9	1.2	86%	51%	<10%
5	10	1.2	81%	67%	<10%
6	11	1.2	100%	69%	~16%
7	11	2.3	80%	22%	<10%
8	12	2.3	65%	96%	66%
9	12	1.2	66%	85%	~14%
10	12	5.0	62%	100%	63%
11	13	2.3	78%	87%	34%

^aComplexed MgCl₂ and ZnCl₂ omitted for clarity.^bBy titration with iodine.^cBy titration with iodine after aging in air. Percentage based on yield of pyridylzinc reagent.