Published in final edited form as:

Int J Mass Spectrom. 2013 November 15; 354-355: . doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2013.06.019.

Native electrospray ionization and electron-capture dissociation for comparison of protein structure in solution and the gas phase

Hao Zhang, Weidong Cui, and Michael L. Gross

Department of Chemistry, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, 63130 USA

Abstract

The importance of protein and protein-complex structure motivates improvements in speed and sensitivity of structure determination in the gas phase and comparison with that in solution or solid state. An opportunity for the gas phase measurement is mass spectrometry (MS) combined with native electrospray ionization (ESI), which delivers large proteins and protein complexes in their near-native states to the gas phase. In this communication, we describe the combination of native ESI, electron-capture dissociation (ECD), and top-down MS for exploring the structures of ubiquitin and cytochrome *c* in the gas phase and their relation to those in the solid-state and solution. We probe structure by comparing the protein's flexible regions, as predicted by the B-factor in X-ray crystallography, with the ECD fragments. The underlying hypothesis is that maintenance of structure gives fragments that can be predicted from B-factors. This strategy may be applicable in general when X-ray structures are available and extendable to the study of intrinsically disordered proteins.

Keywords

protein structure; gas-phase vs. solution structure; native ESI; electron-capture dissociation (ECD); top-down mass spectrometry

1. Introduction

Mass spectrometry (MS) has undergone dramatic evolution in two decades ranging from routine analysis of small molecules to challenging measurements of mega-dalton protein assemblies [1, 2]. To keep large protein assemblies or complexes nearly intact, native ESI can be employed to introduce them to the gas phase. This is done by spraying the protein from an aqueous solution of ammonium acetate or other volatile salts [3]. Native ESI provides a quick and relatively simple approach for measuring protein assemblies or protein-ligand complexes [4] and determining their stoichiometry and topology [5]. Thus, MS-based protein characterization is now being extended from single proteins to large protein complexes. Some recent review articles provide appropriate references for native ESI [1, 6-9].

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Correspondence to: Michael L. Gross.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ECD, as a new dissociation approach, was introduced by the McLafferty group [10, 11] in 1998 to fragment intact proteins in top-down sequencing [12]. The electrons used in the ECD experiment can also be viewed as a probe for protein structure in the gas phase. The positively charged residues (e.g., K and R) serve as focal points or destinations for the electrons. Thus, fragment ions from a native structure should be principally from the surface of the protein and serve as readout for locating those surface regions. The McLafferty group [13] first demonstrated this ECD application in structural studies of unfolded proteins in the gas phase. Although many previous studies [14-16] used organic or acidic solutions in their ESI experiment to increase the charging and improve signal intensity to obtain excellent coverage and intermediate state information, we are using native spray to maintain the media as close as possible to the native environment.

We expect that native ESI and ECD top-down MS will now evolve into an approach in structural MS [17]. Although a deficit of native spray is that the limited charging makes fragment generation difficult, as illustrated by early experiments [18], we recently found that top-down ECD of protein assemblies introduced by native ESI is effective and produces results quite different than those from collisional activation [19]. For example, the tetrameric yeast alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) complex, when introduced to the gas phase by native ESI and submitted to ECD, affords small m/z fragment ions in addition to the expected charge-reduced ions. These small fragments reveal subunit sequence and post-translational modifications (PTMs) [20].

More importantly, the cleavage sites for their formation correlate with the B-factor from X-ray crystallography. The B-factor is a parameter that represents atomic displacement and is large for protein regions having flexibility and high dynamics [21, 22]. We hypothesized that those regions of a protein that have large B-factors should fragment readily by ECD provided solid-state structure is maintained in the gas phase. We previously investigated three large protein complexes, ADH complex (147 kDa), concanavalin A (ConA, 103 kDa) and photosynthetic Fenna-Matthews-Olson antenna protein complex (FMO, 140 kDa), as was reported previously [20]. ECD fragmentation occurs in flexible regions (high B-factors) of the complexes: N-terminus for ADH and ConA and the loop close to C-terminus for FMO. The data show that top-down ECD can probe the near native state of large protein complexes. If the gas-phase protein finds a new conformation to expose new flexible regions, the top-down ECD will show fragmentation that does *not* correlate with B-factors.

Protein complexes in the gas phase seem to retain major structural features seen in the crystal form for at least the timescale of the ECD experiment. Ultimately, as McLafferty and coworkers [23] pointed out, gas-phase proteins collapse into new structures via several unfolding and folding steps. To enable further testing of this hypothesis, we introduce in this communication another structural approach that employs correlations between fragment cleavage sites and B-factors. We apply the approach to ubiquitin (8.6 kDa) and cytochrome *c* (12 kDa) and test whether it can be used to compare gas-phase and solution-phase structures.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals, proteins and sample preparation

Ammonium acetate, water, ubiquitin (bovine 8.6 kDa), cytochrome *c* (horse, 12 kDa) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Lyophilized protein powders were dissolved in 100 mM ammonium acetate (pH = 6.5-7) to afford a final concentration of 1.0 μ M.

2.2. Native ESI and top-down MS

The protein sample was delivered by syringe pump (Harvard PHD Ultra syringe pump, Instech Laboratories, Inc., Plymouth Meeting, PA) at flow rate 5-300 nL/min to a nano spray source, which was comprised of a custom-pulled nano spray tip (Sutter Instrument Co., Novato, CA) of silica capillary tubing (360 μm od, 150 μm id, Polymicro Technologies, Phoenix, AZ). Mass spectra were acquired with a Bruker Solarix 12 T FTICR mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). The detailed front-end instrument parameters were previously reported [20, 24].

Mass spectra were recorded at 60,000 mass resolving power (at $m/z = 1000$) with ion accumulation times of 0.001 s in source, 0.5 s in the collision/accumulation cell for full spectra, and 2 s in the collision/accumulation cell prior to ECD of isolated ions. The time-of-flight was ~ 1.2 ms for the protein ions. The trapping voltages of the infinity ICR cell were 0.7 V (front plate) and 0.8 V (back plate). Excitation was 25% full power. Electrons were generated by passing 1.6 A through the hollow-cathode dispenser, with 10 V on the grid, -0.6 V for electron extraction, 60 ms of extraction time, as well as slight adjustments of the latter two to optimize the ECD fragmentation of each protein. Up to 200 scans were averaged with 1 M data points for each scan. External calibration was done by using the ECD fragments from all the charge states of ubiquitin.

2.3. Data Analysis

Peak picking and spectra deconvolution were performed with Bruker Data Analysis software (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) and checked manually. Bruker Biotools software was used for mapping the measured peak mass list with calculated fragment mass list from the protein sequences.

3. Results and discussion

Nano native ESI of ubiquitin from 100 mM ammonium-acetate aqueous solution produces mainly 6+ and 5+ charge states (Fig. 1 top). The narrow charge-state distribution with relatively fewer protons compared to a conventional ESI spectrum is consistent with the folded ubiquitin structure [25]. Previously, McLafferty and coworkers [13, 25] reported that only the 6+ to 9+ ubiquitin ions show tertiary noncovalent bonding. Bowers and coworkers [14] also measured the native-structure size of this protein on the 0.1 s time scale by using ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS). These results show that folded ubiquitin can be transferred to the gas phase. To test further whether this folded ubiquitin structure is consistent with the solid-state phase structure, we introduced the protein by native spray and submitted it to the ECD top-down experiment. ECD of these two charge states (6+ and 5+) generated both charge-reduced and sequence ions (Fig. 2 bottom). Unlike the result from large protein assemblies [19], the charge-reduced ions are overlapped with sequence ions in the range from m/z 1000 to 2000. Nevertheless, successful assignment of the sequence ions can be achieved (Fig. 2). In the traditional ECD top-down experiment of unfolded ubiquitin (data not shown), full sequence coverage (except for the three proline sites not cleavable by ECD) from both c and z ions can be obtained. In the native ESI ECD top-down experiment, ECD fragments also arise from cleavages on both termini, indicating that both termini are flexible and available for fragmentation in the gas phase. Both solution NMR (pdb id: 1D3Z) [26] and X-ray crystallography (pdb id: 1UBQ)[27] structural models of ubiquitin show that it has an extremely flexible C-terminus whereas the N-terminus is among the most compact regions. This is reflected by the B-factor scale: the C-terminus has the highest B-factors whereas the N-terminus has the lowest. The N-terminus must be less accessible to electrons in native folded state, which is clearly not the case from our experimental results.

The inconsistency provides evidence that the gas-phase structure of ubiquitin under the conditions of our experiment is *not* the same as in solution. The unfolding of ubiquitin happens during the transfer from solution phase to the gas phase. This result is in agreement with previous studies of gas-phase ubiquitin. Both IM and ECD top-down studies confirm the unfolding event of the ubiquitin ions [14, 25]. In a recent study, the extent of unfolding was calculated for different region of ubiquitin. Both the N- and C-termini half unfold within 0.2 s, making both termini available for ECD fragmentation [28]. This outcome suggests that native ESI introduction and top-down ECD are a sensitive probe of protein conformation and its changes.

If protein size plays a role in whether a solution structure remains intact in the gas phase, a suitable test would be a larger protein than ubiquitin. We chose cytochrome *c* for this experiment, and we submitted it to native spray and ECD as for ubiquitin. Native ESI generates 8+, 7+, and 6+ charge states (Fig. 2 top) of cytochrome *c*. We isolated the most abundant 7+ ion (Fig. 2 middle) and submitted it to activated ion-ECD by in-source collisions prompted by 30 V in source activation (Fig. 2 bottom). Without this prior “heating”, ECD generates few fragments, indicating that the gas-phase structure of cytochrome *c* remains compact. (This contrasts with the outcome of ECD on this protein introduced by denaturing ESI and having higher charge states. In that case, we obtain nearly complete coverage.) To “activate” further the native-spray protein ions and induce a more flexible region ready for ECD fragmentation, we increased the energy in the collision cell. Under both ECD conditions, sequence ions appear from both termini of the protein, indicating these regions have become flexible. Unlike ubiquitin, cytochrome *c* has a relatively compact structure [29]. We suggest that this compact structure persists upon introduction to the gas phase, in agreement with the minimal ECD fragmentation observed in the top-down experiment without ion activation. With increased “activation”, both termini become available for fragmentation. And larger fragments, for example, from cleavage at sites 28 and 69, indicate folding of some population of the protein ions. This is consistent with the results of ion mobility [30] and native ECD [31]. The crystal structure of cytochrome *c* crystal structure (pdb id: 1HRC) [32] shows that the B-factors for the N-terminus are only slightly lower than those of the C-terminus but higher than other regions of the protein. Those relatively higher B-factor values forecast higher flexibility and consequent ECD fragmentation in the activated-ion ECD top-down experiment. The above evidence suggests that the gas-phase structure of cytochrome *c* in the absence of activation is similar to the solution structure.

A complementary approach to this structural study is ion mobility [33], and its readout can be interpreted to show structural variation of protein gas-phase ions. Unfortunately, the location of flexible regions and those regions involved in structural changes cannot be identified from the IM-MS experiment. Top-down ECD, however, can pinpoint regions that change, as seen from the fragments that are produced. Combining those two approaches should further improve our understanding of protein structures. We also propose to compare our top-down data with hydrogen/deuterium exchange (HDX) results to find direct structural correlations between solution and the gas phase, following the work of Englander [34] who showed the stability of the cooperative structural units (foldons) by HDX.

4. Conclusions

Although large proteins and protein complexes in the gas phase appear to adopt near-native structures, the question remains “how large is large?” That is, for what molecular size does a protein undergo conformational change? In our experiment, we suggest that ubiquitin rapidly assumes an intermediate form because both the less flexible N-terminus (small B-factors) and the highly flexible C-terminus (large B-factors) afford significant ECD

fragments. ECD-generated fragments from both cytochrome C's N- and C-termini, which have the similar flexibility and comparable B-factor values, indicate the gas-phase structure of this protein does not change significantly from that in solution. Obviously more small proteins must be analyzed to test this top-down approach [35], and their size, sequence, properties (e.g., acidic vs. basic), and bonding (e.g., salt-bridging) are of interest. The findings would provide further insights into this interesting field of structural mass spectrometry. Moreover, this top-down approach may be advantageous to probe the unstructured parts of intrinsically disordered proteins.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the grants from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (8 P41 GM103422-35) of the NIH and by the NSF (IBDR 0964199) to M.L.G. The FT ICR was purchased with funds from the High-End Instrument program of the NCRR (Grant 1S10 025101).

References

1. Benesch JL, Ruotolo BT. Mass spectrometry: come of age for structural and dynamical biology. *Current opinion in structural biology*. 2011; 21:641–649. [PubMed: 21880480]
2. McLafferty FW. Mass spectrometry across the sciences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2008; 105:18088–18089. [PubMed: 18922771]
3. Hilton GR, Benesch JL. Two decades of studying non-covalent biomolecular assemblies by means of electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *Journal of the Royal Society, Interface / the Royal Society*. 2012; 9:801–816.
4. Hyung SJ, Ruotolo BT. Integrating mass spectrometry of intact protein complexes into structural proteomics. *Proteomics*. 2012; 12:1547–1564. [PubMed: 22611037]
5. Benesch JL, Ruotolo BT, Simmons DA, Robinson CV. Protein complexes in the gas phase: technology for structural genomics and proteomics. *Chemical reviews*. 2007; 107:3544–3567. [PubMed: 17649985]
6. Morgner N, Montenegro F, Barrera NP, Robinson CV. Mass spectrometry--from peripheral proteins to membrane motors. *Journal of molecular biology*. 2012; 423:1–13. [PubMed: 22750574]
7. Zhou M, Robinson CV. When proteomics meets structural biology. *Trends in biochemical sciences*. 2010; 35:522–529. [PubMed: 20627589]
8. Robinson CV. Mass spectrometry characterization of multiprotein complexes. *Cold Spring Harbor protocols*. 2009; 2009:prot5180.
9. Thompson NJ, Rosati S, Rose RJ, Heck AJ. The impact of mass spectrometry on the study of intact antibodies: from post-translational modifications to structural analysis. *Chemical communications (Cambridge, England)*. 2013; 49:538–548.
10. Zubarev RA, Kelleher NL, McLafferty FW. Electron Capture Dissociation of Multiply Charged Protein Cations. A Nonergodic Process. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998; 120:3265–3266.
11. Zubarev RA, Horn DM, Fridriksson EK, Kelleher NL, Kruger NA, Lewis MA, Carpenter BK, McLafferty FW. Electron capture dissociation for structural characterization of multiply charged protein cations. *Analytical chemistry*. 2000; 72:563–573. [PubMed: 10695143]
12. Kelleher NL, Lin HY, Valaskovic GA, Aaserud DJ, Fridriksson EK, McLafferty FW. Top Down versus Bottom Up Protein Characterization by Tandem High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999; 121:806–812.
13. Oh H, Breuker K, Sze SK, Ge Y, Carpenter BK, McLafferty FW. Secondary and tertiary structures of gaseous protein ions characterized by electron capture dissociation mass spectrometry and photofragment spectroscopy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2002; 99:15863–15868. [PubMed: 12444260]
14. Wyttenbach T, Bowers MT. Structural stability from solution to the gas phase: native solution structure of ubiquitin serves analysis in a solvent-free ion mobility-mass spectrometry environment. *J. Phys. Chem. B*. 2011; 115:12266–12275. [PubMed: 21905704]

15. Marty MT, Zhang H, Cui W, Blankenship RE, Gross ML, Sligar SG. Native mass spectrometry characterization of intact nanodisc lipoprotein complexes. *Analytical chemistry*. 2012; 84:8957–8960. [PubMed: 23061736]
16. Skinner OS, McLafferty FW, Breuker K. How Ubiquitin unfolds after transfer into the gas phase. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* 2012; 23:101104.
17. Benesch JL. Collisional activation of protein complexes: picking up the pieces. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*. 2009; 20:341–348. [PubMed: 19110440]
18. Geels RB, van der Vies SM, Heck AJ, Heeren RM. Electron capture dissociation as structural probe for noncovalent gas-phase protein assemblies. *Analytical chemistry*. 2006; 78:7191–7196. [PubMed: 17037920]
19. Jones LM, Zhang H, Vidavsky I, Gross ML. Online, high-pressure digestion system for protein characterization by hydrogen/deuterium exchange and mass spectrometry. *Analytical chemistry*. 2010; 82:1171–1174. [PubMed: 20095571]
20. Zhang H, Cui W, Wen J, Blankenship RE, Gross ML. Native electrospray and electron-capture dissociation FTICR mass spectrometry for top-down studies of protein assemblies. *Analytical chemistry*. 2011; 83:5598–5606. [PubMed: 21612283]
21. Parthasarathy S, Murthy MR. Protein thermal stability: insights from atomic displacement parameters (B values). *Protein engineering*. 2000; 13:9–13. [PubMed: 10679524]
22. Daggett V, Levitt M. A model of the molten globule state from molecular dynamics simulations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1992; 89:5142–5146. [PubMed: 1594623]
23. Breuker K, McLafferty FW. Stepwise evolution of protein native structure with electrospray into the gas phase, 10(-12) to 10(2) s. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2008; 105:18145–18152. [PubMed: 19033474]
24. Chen J, Cui W, Giblin D, Gross ML. New Protein Footprinting: Fast Photochemical Iodination Combined with Top-Down and Bottom-Up Mass Spectrometry. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* 2012; 23:1303–1318.
25. Breuker K, Oh H, Horn DM, Cerda BA, McLafferty FW. Detailed unfolding and folding of gaseous ubiquitin ions characterized by electron capture dissociation. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2002; 124:6407–6420. [PubMed: 12033872]
26. Cornilescu G, Marquardt JL, Ottiger M, Bax A. Validation of protein structure from anisotropic carbonyl chemical shifts in a dilute liquid crystalline phase. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1998; 120:6836–6837.
27. Vijay-Kumar S, Bugg CE, Cook WJ. Structure of ubiquitin refined at 1.8 Å resolution. *Journal of molecular biology*. 1987; 194:531–544. [PubMed: 3041007]
28. Skinner OS, McLafferty FW, Breuker K. How ubiquitin unfolds after transfer into the gas phase. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*. 2012; 23:1011–1014. [PubMed: 22476890]
29. Dharmasiri K, Smith D. Regional stability changes in oxidized and reduced cytochrome c located by hydrogen exchange and mass spectrometry. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*. 1997; 8:1039–1045.
30. Badman ER, Hoaglund-Hyzer CS, Clemmer DE. Monitoring structural changes of proteins in an ion trap over ~10 -200 ms: unfolding transitions in cytochrome c ions. *Anal. Chem.* 2001; 73:6000–6007. [PubMed: 11791572]
31. Breuker K, McLafferty FW. The thermal unfolding of native cytochrome c in the transition from solution to gas phase probed by native electron capture dissociation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005; 44:4911–4914.
32. Bushnell GW, Louie GV, Brayer GD. High-resolution three-dimensional structure of horse heart cytochrome c. *Journal of molecular biology*. 1990; 214:585–595. [PubMed: 2166170]
33. Ruotolo BT, Robinson CV. Aspects of native proteins are retained in vacuum. *Current opinion in chemical biology*. 2006; 10:402–408. [PubMed: 16935553]
34. Englander SW, Mayne L, Krishna MMG. Protein folding and misfolding: mechanism and principles. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* 2007; 40:287–326. [PubMed: 18405419]

35. Cui W, Rohrs HW, Gross ML. Top-down mass spectrometry: Recent developments, applications and perspectives. *Analyst*. 2011; 136:3854–3864. [PubMed: 21826297]

Highlights

- Method to compare protein structure in gas phase and solution by mass spectrometry
- Method utilizes native ESI and electron-capture dissociation top-down MS
- ECD fragmentation correlates with high B-factor from X-ray crystallography

Figure 1. Native ESI spectrum of ubiquitin (top) and ECD product ion spectrum from both the 6⁺ and 5⁺ charge states (bottom).

Figure 2.
Crystal structure of ubiquitin (1UBQ) in B-factor scale and the assigned ECD cleavage sites from Figure 1 bottom.

Figure 3. Native ESI spectrum of cytochrome c (top), ECD product ion spectrum of the isolated 7⁺ charge state (middle), and collisional activation at 30 V of the 7⁺ charge state followed by ECD (bottom).

Figure 4.
Crystal structure of Cytochrome c (1HRC) in B-factor scale and the assigned ECD cleavage sites from Figure 3 bottom (ac: N-terminal acetylation).