Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2013 October 8; 52(40): . doi:10.1021/bi400803v.

Kinetic Mechanism of DNA Polymerization Catalyzed by Human DNA Polymerase ϵ

Walter J. Zahurancik^{‡,§}, Seth J. Klein^{||}, and Zucui Suo^{*,‡,§}

[‡]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA.

[§]The Ohio State Biochemistry Program, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA.

^{||}Department of Molecular Genetics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA.

Abstract

Eukaryotes require highly accurate and processive DNA polymerases to ensure faithful and efficient replication of their genomes. DNA polymerase ϵ (Pol ϵ) has been shown to catalyze leading-strand DNA synthesis during replication *in vivo*, but little is known about the kinetic mechanism of polymerization catalyzed by this replicative enzyme. To elucidate this mechanism, we have generated a truncated, exonuclease-deficient mutant of the catalytic subunit of human Pol ϵ (Pol ϵ exo-) and carried out pre-steady-state kinetic analysis of this enzyme. Our results show that Pol ϵ exo-, as other DNA polymerases, follows an induced-fit mechanism when catalyzing correct nucleotide incorporation. Pol ϵ exo- binds DNA with a K_d^{DNA} of 79 nM and dissociates from the E•DNA binary complex with a rate constant of 0.021 s^{-1} . Although Pol ϵ exo- binds a correct incoming nucleotide weakly with a K_d^{dTTP} of 31 μM , it catalyzes correct nucleotide incorporation at a fast rate constant of 248 s^{-1} at 20°C . Both a large reaction amplitude difference (42%) between pulse-chase and pulse-quench assays and a small elemental effect (0.9) for correct dTTP incorporation suggest that a slow conformational change preceding the chemistry step limits the rate of correct nucleotide incorporation. In addition, our kinetic analysis shows that Pol ϵ exo- exhibits low processivity during polymerization. To catalyze leading-strand synthesis *in vivo*, Pol ϵ likely interacts with its three smaller subunits and additional replication factors in order to assemble a replication complex and significantly enhance its polymerization processivity.

INTRODUCTION

Three DNA polymerases (Pols), α , δ , and ϵ , efficiently and accurately replicate the majority of eukaryotic genomes (1). Specifically, the Pol α ¹-primase complex primes the leading- and lagging-strand synthesis during DNA replication (2). Studies involving mutagenic derivatives of Pol ϵ and Pol δ have demonstrated that Pol ϵ is primarily responsible for leading-strand synthesis while Pol δ plays a major role in lagging-strand replication (2–4).

The Pol ϵ holoenzyme is a heterotetramer comprised of a single catalytic subunit (p261) and three smaller subunits (p59, p12, and p17). The N-terminal half of p261 contains the

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: 880 Biological Sciences Building, 484 W. 12 Ave., Columbus, OH 43210, USA, Telephone: (614) 688-3706; Fax: (614) 292-6773; suo.3@osu.edu.

¹**Abbreviations:** Pol α , DNA polymerase α ; Pol δ , DNA polymerase δ ; Pol ϵ , DNA polymerase ϵ ; PolB1, *Sulfolobus solfataricus* P2 DNA polymerase B1; dNTP, 3'- deoxynucleotide 5'-triphosphate; GST, glutathione S-transferase; TEV, tobacco etch virus; IPTG, isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; DTT, dithiothreitol; BSA, bovine serum albumin; PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; HIV-1 RT, human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase.

catalytic domain, while mapping studies have shown that the C-terminal half is necessary for interaction with the three smaller subunits (5). Furthermore, *in vitro* DNA replication assays have shown that the catalytic domain fragment of p261 is as active as the Pol ϵ holoenzyme (5). As with most replicative DNA polymerases, Pol ϵ possesses both DNA polymerase and 3'→5' exonuclease proofreading activities, with the latter increasing the accuracy of DNA replication beyond the intrinsic fidelity of the former (6). Among six families (A, B, C, D, X, and Y) of DNA polymerases, Pol ϵ belongs to the B-family. Due to a combination of high intrinsic fidelity and 3'→5' proofreading activity, most B-family replicative DNA polymerases have fidelities in the range of 10⁻⁶ to 10⁻⁸, or one error per 10⁶ to 10⁸ nucleotide incorporations (7). In addition to synthesizing the leading-strand during genomic replication, Pol ϵ is also involved in long-patch base excision repair *in vivo* (8).

The kinetic mechanism of DNA polymerization catalyzed by the B-family replicative DNA polymerases such as bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase (9) and *Sulfolobus solfataricus* PolB1 (10) have been characterized by extensive pre-steady-state kinetic analysis. These polymerases catalyze nucleotide incorporation via an induced-fit mechanism which is outlined in Scheme 1. Within this mechanism, the polymerase first binds DNA and then an incoming nucleotide to form the ground-state ternary complex (E•DNA•dNTP). Next, the enzyme undergoes a conformational change (E'•DNA•dNTP) prior to phosphodiester bond formation and product releasing steps.

Recently, pre-steady-state kinetics has been applied to investigate correct and incorrect nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by yeast Pol δ (11). However, the mechanism of nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by either Pol δ or Pol ϵ of humans has not yet been established. Here, we report a minimal kinetic mechanism for correct nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by human Pol ϵ .

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials

These chemicals were purchased from the following companies: [α -³²P]dTTP and [γ -³²P]ATP from Perkin-Elmer Life Sciences (Boston, MA), dNTPs from Bioline (Taunton, MA), S_p-dTTP α S and S_p-dATP α S from Biolog-Life Science Institute (Bremen, Germany). The DNA substrate (D-1) in Figure 1A was prepared as previously described (12).

Expression and Purification of Human Pol ϵ

An orf encoding residues 1–1189 of the p261 subunit of human Pol ϵ was inserted into a modified pGEX4T3 vector C-terminal to a GST tag and a TEV cleavage site to generate vector pGEX4T3(TEV)/Pol ϵ (1–1189)-His₆. To generate an exonuclease-deficient mutant, two rounds of site-directed mutagenesis were used to make three amino acid substitutions (D275A/E277A/D368A). The TEV protease expression vector pRK603 was described previously (13). Vectors pGEX4T3(TEV)/Pol ϵ exo-(1–1189)-His₆ and pRK603 were co-transformed into *Escherichia coli* expression strain BL21(DE3) Rosetta cells. The LB cultures were grown in the presence of 25 μ g/mL kanamycin and 50 μ g/mL carbenicillin at 37 °C until the OD₆₀₀ of the cells reached 0.6. The protein expression was then induced with 70 μ M IPTG. The cells were harvested and lysed by French press. The cell lysate was then clarified by ultracentrifugation (160,000 g at 4 °C for 40 minutes). Pol ϵ exo- was isolated from the cleared lysate by nickel ion affinity chromatography, followed by a MonoQ anion-exchange column, and finally by a Sephacryl S-200 HR size-exclusion column. Pol ϵ exo- was determined to be ~95% homogeneous by SDS-PAGE analysis. The concentration of

purified Polε exo- was measured by UV spectrometry at 280 nm using a calculated extinction coefficient of $156760 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Pre-Steady-State Kinetic Assays

All assays using Polε exo- were performed at 20 °C using reaction buffer E (50 mM Tris-OAc, pH 7.4 at 20 °C, 8 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, 0.1 mg/mL BSA, and 0.1 mM EDTA). Fast reactions were carried out using a rapid chemical quench flow apparatus (KinTek). Notably, to prevent any residual cleavage of DNA by Polε exo-, Polε exo- was pre-incubated with DNA in the absence of Mg²⁺, the catalytic metal ion (14). All reported concentrations are final.

Measurement of the Rate Constant of DNA Dissociation from the Binary Complex

A pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (50 nM) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (100 nM) was mixed with an unlabeled D-1 trap (2.5 μM) for 10 s to 20 min. After the mixing period, dTTP (133 μM) was added to the reaction mixture to initiate nucleotide incorporation. The reaction mixture was then allowed to incubate for an additional 15 s prior to be quenched by 0.37 M EDTA. Products were analyzed by sequencing gel analysis. The product concentrations were plotted against mixing times and the data were fit to the following equation: $[\text{product}] = A\exp(-kt) + C$, where A is the product concentration in the absence of the DNA trap and k is the DNA dissociation rate constant (k_{-1}), and C is the ³²P-labeled product concentration in the presence of a trap for unlimited time.

Active Site Titration Assay

The equilibrium dissociation constant (K_d^{DNA}) of the Polε exo-•DNA binary complex was determined by an active site titration. A pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (64 nM, UV concentration) and increasing concentrations of 5'-radiolabeled D-1 DNA substrate (5 to 175 nM) was mixed rapidly with a solution containing dTTP (100 μM). Each time point was quenched at 50 ms to ensure maximum product formation. All reactions were repeated in triplicate. The products were quantified via sequencing gel analysis.

Processive Polymerization Assay

The processivity of Polε exo-, calculated as the average rate constant of nucleotide incorporation divided by the average rate constant of dissociation of the binary complex (E•DNA), was measured via a processive polymerization assay. A pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (60 nM, active site concentration) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (100 nM) was rapidly mixed with a solution containing dTTP, dGTP, and dCTP (100 μM each). At various time points, the reaction was quenched with EDTA to a final concentration of 0.37 M. The products were separated by sequencing gel electrophoresis and quantified by computer simulation.

Elemental Effect of Nucleotide Incorporation

To measure the elemental effect of correct nucleotide incorporation, a pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (40 nM, active site concentration) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (20 nM) was rapidly mixed with dTTP or S_p -dTTPαS (1.25 μM) in buffer E and quenched with 0.37 M EDTA at various time points. To measure the elemental effect of incorrect nucleotide incorporation, the same pre-incubated Polε exo- and D-1 solution was rapidly mixed with dATP or S_p -dATPαS (600 μM). The S_p isomers were used due to previously observed stereoselectivity (15). All products were quantified by sequencing gel analysis.

Pulse-Chase and Pulse-Quench Experiments

To observe an enzyme conformational change preceding the chemistry step, a pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (50 nM, active site concentration) and unlabeled D-1 (50 nM) was rapidly mixed with [α - 32 P]dTTP (2.5 μ M) for time points ranging from 4 to 650 ms. In the pulse-quench assay, reactions were quenched immediately with 1 M HCl. In the pulse-chase assay, reactions were chased with 1 mM unlabeled dTTP and were subsequently quenched with 1 M HCl after 30 s. For both the pulse-chase and the pulse-quench assays, acid-quenched mixtures were treated with chloroform and then neutralized in 1 M NaOH prior to analysis by PAGE.

Product Analysis

Reaction products were separated by denaturing PAGE (17% acrylamide, 8 M urea, and 1x TBE running buffer) and quantified by using a Typhoon TRIO (GE Healthcare) and ImageQuant (Molecular Dynamics). For product analysis of the pulse-chase and pulse-quench assays, reaction products were separated using a 20% highly cross-linked polyacrylamide gel matrix as described previously (16).

Data Analysis

Data were fit by nonlinear regression using Kaleidagraph (Synergy Software).

Data from the burst assay was fit to eq 1

$$[\text{product}] = A[1 - \exp(-k_1 t) + k_2 t] \quad (1)$$

where A is the amplitude of active enzyme, k_1 is the observed burst rate constant, and k_2 is the observed steady-state rate constant.

Data from the experiments performed under steady-state conditions were fit to eq 2

$$[\text{product}] = k_{ss} E_0 t + E_0 \quad (2)$$

where k_{ss} is the steady-state rate constant of dNTP incorporation at the initial active enzyme concentration of E_0

For the active site titration experiment, the resulting product concentration (equivalent to burst amplitude) was plotted versus the concentration of D-1 and the data were fit to eq 3

$$[E \bullet \text{DNA}] = 0.5(K_d^{\text{DNA}} + E_0 + D_0) - 0.5[(K_d^{\text{DNA}} + E_0 + D_0)^2 - 4E_0 D_0]^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

where K_d^{DNA} represents the equilibrium dissociation constant for the binary complex ($E \bullet \text{DNA}$), E_0 is the active enzyme concentration, and D_0 is the DNA concentration.

Data from the experiments performed under single-turnover conditions were fit to eq 4

$$[\text{product}] = A[1 - \exp(-k_{\text{obs}} t)] \quad (4)$$

where A is the amplitude of product formation and k_{obs} is the observed single-turnover rate constant. The k_{obs} values were plotted against dTTP concentrations and the data were fit to eq 5

$$k_{\text{obs}} = k_p [\text{dTTP}] / (K_d^{\text{dTTP}} + [\text{dTTP}]) \quad (5)$$

where k_p is the maximum rate constant of dTTP incorporation and K_d^{dTTP} is the equilibrium dissociation constant of dTTP.

Data from the processive elongation of 21/41-mer to 27/41-mer were modeled by using KinTek Explorer (KinTek) (17).

RESULTS

Expression and Purification of an Exonuclease-Deficient Mutant of Human Polε

Due to the difficulty of preparing the four subunit Polε holoenzyme for pre-steady-state kinetic analysis, we chose to express and purify a 140-kDa N-terminal fragment of p261, the catalytic subunit of Polε, which has been characterized previously (13). The truncation fragment spans from residues 1–1189 and contains all conserved polymerase and exonuclease motifs (18). Previously, the polymerase function of this N-terminal fragment has been shown to be as active as that of the full-length catalytic subunit (5). It is also known that the 140-kDa fragment possesses a very active 3'→5' exonuclease function (6). This exonuclease activity is expected to compete with the polymerization activity of the 140-kDa fragment and complicate the interpretation of kinetic data. To circumvent this issue, we prepared an exonuclease-deficient mutant of the 140-kDa fragment by substituting three highly conserved carboxylates D275, E277, and D368 with alanine (Figure 2). These residues are located within the Exo I and II sequence motifs, which are highly conserved among replicative, B-family DNA polymerases (19). Substitution of these particular residues for alanine has resulted in successful generation of exonuclease-deficient B-family DNA polymerases (10, 20). The purified triple mutant of the 140-kDa fragment, denoted as Polε exo-, was then tested for its polymerase and exonuclease activities. Polε exo- possessed the wild-type level of polymerase function but lacked any noticeable exonuclease activity even after an hour of its incubation with DNA and Mg^{2+} at 20 °C (data not shown). Thus, this triple mutant is suitable for pre-steady-state kinetic investigation of polymerization mechanism of Polε.

Burst Kinetics

Replicative DNA polymerases typically exhibit biphasic kinetics during single nucleotide incorporation (9, 10, 21). A burst assay was used to observe whether Polε exo- also followed a similar biphasic pattern. Specifically, a pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (200 nM, UV concentration) and 5'-radiolabeled DNA substrate D-1 (120 nM, Figure 1A) was rapidly mixed with correct dTTP (1.25 μM) in buffer E (see Experimental Procedures) for various times at 20 °C. Notably, 37 °C was not used because the rate constant of product formation catalyzed by Polε exo- ($k_p > 500 \text{ s}^{-1}$) was too fast to be accurately measured by using a rapid chemical quench apparatus. The time course for product formation reveals biphasic kinetics characterized by a burst of product formation followed by a slower linear phase (Figure 1B). This suggests that Polε exo-, as other kinetically characterized DNA polymerases (9, 10, 21–23), likely follows the same minimal kinetic mechanism (Scheme 1) when catalyzing correct nucleotide incorporation (24). The burst rate constant of nucleotide incorporation was determined to be $12 \pm 2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ while the linear phase occurred with a rate constant of $0.023 \pm 0.006 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure 1B). To evaluate if the linear phase rate constant was the steady-state rate constant of nucleotide incorporation, we measured the steady-state rate constant directly. Polε exo- (1 nM, active site concentration) was pre-incubated with a large excess of D-1 (250 nM) and then mixed with dTTP (100 μM) for various time intervals. The observed steady-state rate constant was 0.026 s^{-1} (Figure 1C), which is nearly identical to the rate constant of the linear phase in Figure 1B, suggesting that the linear phase was indeed the steady-state phase of product formation. The steady-state rate of polymerase-catalyzed nucleotide incorporation is usually representative of the slow dissociation rate of

the E•DNA binary complex, which limits the rate of multiple turnovers (10). To confirm that Polε_{exo}- exhibited the same pattern, we directly measured the rate of DNA dissociation. A pre-incubated solution of Polε_{exo}- (50 nM) and D-1 (100 nM) were mixed with unlabeled trap D-1 (2.5 μM) for various times. Then dTTP (133 μM) was added for 15 s to allow extension of any labeled D-1 bound by Polε_{exo}- (E•DNA). The data were fit to a single-exponential equation (see Experimental Procedures) to yield an E•DNA dissociation rate constant (k_{-1}) of $0.021 \pm 0.007 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure 3, Table 1), which is similar to the measured steady-state rate constant of $0.023 \pm 0.006 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Thus, we conclude that the aforementioned steady-state or linear phase (Figure 1) was limited by DNA dissociation from the E•DNA binary complex.

Active Site Titration

The burst phase in Figure 1B suggests the formation of a stable binary complex (E•DNA) which bound and incorporated dTTP rapidly during the first turnover. Thus, the equilibrium dissociation constant (K_d^{DNA}) of the binary complex can be measured by titrating the active site of Polε_{exo}- with varying concentrations of DNA based on the fact that the amount of E•DNA complex formed is given by the amplitude of the first turnover (21). A pre-incubated solution of Polε_{exo}- (64 nM, UV concentration) and increasing concentrations of 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (5 to 175 nM) was rapidly mixed with dTTP (100 μM) and Mg^{2+} for 50 ms, allowing ample time for full product formation before being quenched by EDTA. Each reaction was repeated in triplicate and the mean product concentration (E•DNA) was plotted versus the DNA concentration. The data were then fit to a quadratic equation (eq 3) to yield a K_d^{DNA} of $79 \pm 14 \text{ nM}$ (Table 1) and an active enzyme concentration (E_0) of $10.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ nM}$ (Figure 4). Based on the UV concentration, only 15.8% of Polε_{exo}- was active although the protein was purified to greater than 95% homogeneity based on the result of SDS-PAGE (data not shown). Henceforth, all experiments described below were performed using the active site concentration of Polε_{exo}-. From the above measured E•DNA dissociation rate constant (k_{-1}) of 0.021 s^{-1} , the second-order rate constant of DNA binding ($k_1 = k_{-1}/K_d^{\text{DNA}}$) was calculated to be $2.7 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ (Table 1).

Pre-Steady-State Kinetics of Correct dTTP Incorporation by Polε_{exo}-

Following the DNA binding event, Polε_{exo}- binds an incoming nucleotide to form the ground-state E•DNA•dNTP ternary complex. To measure both the maximum rate constant of correct nucleotide incorporation (k_p) catalyzed by Polε_{exo}- as well as the equilibrium dissociation constant (K_d^{dTTP}) for a correct incoming nucleotide, we carried out a series of single-turnover experiments with increasing concentrations of correct dTTP. A pre-incubated solution of Polε_{exo}- (80 nM) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (20 nM) was mixed rapidly with dTTP (0.625 to 200 μM) for various times. For each dTTP concentration, the product concentration was plotted against reaction time and the data were fit to a single-exponential equation (eq 4) to yield k_{obs} (Figure 5A). The k_{obs} values were then plotted against the corresponding dTTP concentrations, and the data were fit to a hyperbolic equation (eq 5) to yield a k_p of $248 \pm 6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (k_3) and a K_d^{dTTP} of $31 \pm 2 \text{ μM}$ (Table 1, Figure 5B). Furthermore, if the association rate constant (k_2) of a small molecule dTTP approaches the diffusion limit of $1.0 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, the upper-limit of the dTTP dissociation rate constant ($k_{-2} = k_2 K_d^{\text{dTTP}}$) was calculated to be $3,100 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Table 1), which is too fast to be measured by current pre-steady-state techniques.

Processive Polymerization

The processivity of a DNA polymerase is defined as the number of nucleotides incorporated by the polymerase per DNA binding event, which can be quantitatively estimated as the ratio of the polymerization rate constant divided by the DNA dissociation rate constant. To

kinetically measure this ratio, a pre-incubated solution of Pol ϵ *exo*- (60 nM) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (100 nM) was mixed with three nucleotides (100 μ M each) for various times to allow the primer 21-mer to be elongated into a 27-mer product. The DNA substrate was used in molar excess over Pol ϵ *exo*-, which was to ensure that the measured kinetics of sequential elongation steps were a function of single enzyme binding events. The time courses of D-1 and products (Figure 6) were fit to a mechanism consisting of six consecutive nucleotide incorporation and dissociation events by the computer simulation program KinTek Explorer (17). The rate constants of each intermediate product formation was: $169 \pm 6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 22-mer, $20 \pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 23-mer, $14 \pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 24-mer, $79 \pm 20 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 25-mer, $30 \pm 5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 26-mer, and $36 \pm 4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 27-mer. Thus, the rate constant of polymerization is in the range of 14 to 169 s^{-1} with an average of 58 s^{-1} . The following DNA dissociation rate constants were also generated: $12 \pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 22/41-mer, $2.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 23/41-mer, $6.2 \pm 4.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 24/41-mer, $4.0 \pm 1.9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 25/41-mer, $2.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 26/41-mer, and $0.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 27/41-mer. These DNA dissociation rate constants (0.9 to 12 s^{-1}) are significantly larger than the above measured E•DNA dissociation rate constant (0.021 to 0.026 s^{-1}) and reflected the rates for the dissociation of various E•DNA•dNTP complexes during processive polymerization (10). Similar fast DNA dissociation rates were also observed for other replicative polymerases such as *S. solfataricus* PolB1, *Staphylococcus aureus* PolC, T4 DNA polymerase, and HIV-1 RT (9, 10, 25, 26). Based on the average rate constants of polymerization (58 s^{-1}) and E•DNA•dNTP dissociation (5 s^{-1} , Table 1, k_7), the processivity of Pol ϵ *exo*- was calculated to be 11.

Elemental Effect of Nucleotide Incorporation

The observation of a distinct burst phase in Figure 1B suggests that a single nucleotide incorporation cycle is limited by either phosphodiester bond formation (step 4 in Scheme 1), a conformational change preceding phosphodiester bond formation (step 3 in Scheme 1), or both. To probe the rate-limiting step, single-turnover measurements were carried out with both dTTP and S_p -dTTP α S. S_p -dTTP α S contains a non-bridging phosphothioate substitution at the α -phosphate where bond breakage and formation take place. A pre-incubated solution of Pol ϵ *exo*- (40 nM) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (20 nM) was rapidly mixed with dTTP or S_p -dTTP α S (1.25 μ M). Data were fit to a single-exponential equation (eq 4) to give k_{obs} values of $9 \pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $10 \pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the incorporation of dTTP and S_p -dTTP α S, respectively (Figure 7A). An elemental effect of 0.9 for correct incorporation of dTTP was calculated from these k_{obs} values. Previously, an elemental effect of 4–11 has been used as a suggestive evidence for a rate-limiting chemistry step (27). Thus, our result suggests that the rate of correct nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by Pol ϵ *exo*- is probably not limited by step 4 in Scheme 1.

To determine whether step 3 or 4 in Scheme 1 was rate-limiting for incorrect nucleotide incorporation, k_{obs} was measured for the incorporation of incorrect dATP or its analog S_p -dATP α S (600 μ M) into D-1. The k_{obs} values were determined to be $0.25 \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 0.0015 s^{-1} for the incorporation of dATP and S_p -dATP α S, respectively, giving an elemental effect of 167 (Figure 7B). This large elemental effect suggests that the chemistry step during incorrect nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by Pol ϵ *exo*- is likely rate-limiting (27). Similar elemental effect values for correct and incorrect nucleotide incorporations have previously been obtained for *S. solfataricus* PolB1 (10). However, a large elemental effect may be due to steric clash between active site residues and the polymerase-bound nucleotide caused by the sulfur atom substitution (24). Thus, more evidence is required to identify the rate-limiting step for correct or incorrect nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by Pol ϵ *exo*-.

Pulse-Chase and Pulse-Quench Experiments

To provide more conclusive evidence for diagnosing the rate-limiting step, we performed pulse-chase and pulse-quench assays which have been used to identify the rate-limiting protein conformational change (step 3 in Scheme 1) for other DNA polymerases (10, 23, 24, 28). If the conformational change step also exists with Pol ϵ *exo*-, we would expect to see an increase in product formation for the pulse-chase assay relative to the pulse-quench assay. A pre-incubated solution of Pol ϵ *exo*- (50 nM) and unlabeled D-1 (50 nM) was mixed rapidly with [α - 32 P]dTTP (2.5 μ M) for various times. In the pulse-chase assay, each reaction was chased with a large excess of unlabeled dTTP (1 mM) for an additional 30 s before being quenched with 1 M HCl. In the pulse-quench assay, the reactions were quenched immediately with 1 M HCl without the addition of unlabeled dTTP.

During each pulse-chase assay, the E•DNA•dNTP complex that was in equilibrium with the forward and reverse intermediate species would presumably be pushed in the forward direction by the chase with a large molar excess of unlabeled dTTP, thus increasing product formation. This result would suggest that the chemistry step is preceded by a stable E•DNA•dNTP ternary complex that is distinct from the ground-state ternary complex. A product formation rate constant of $69 \pm 8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and amplitude of $21.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ nM}$ were determined for the pulse-chase assay, whereas they were $66 \pm 6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $15.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ nM}$ for the pulse-quench assay (Figure 8). Since the reactions in the two sets of assays are the same, the incorporation rates were expected to be equal and this condition was met based on almost identical measured product formation rates. In contrast, the amplitude difference of 6.4 nM (42%) suggests the existence of a stable and distinct complex that accumulated prior to the chemistry step and was chased into the product by large molar excess of cold dTTP. The stable intermediate could be E•DNA, E•DNA•dNTP, or E'•DNA•dNTP in Scheme 1. However, it was not the E•DNA binary complex since it would bind cold dTTP under the pulse-chase conditions, form undetectable cold product, and lead to no change in reaction amplitude between the pulse-chase and pulse-quench assays. Similarly, the stable intermediate was not the E•DNA•dNTP complex either since the 42% amplitude difference would require that the complex partitioned between product formation (58%, 66 s^{-1}), dTTP dissociation to form the E•DNA binary complex (calculated as $[66/(58\%) - 66 - 5] = 43 \text{ s}^{-1}$ based on the kinetic partitioning from E•DNA•dNTP to E•product, E•DNA, and E + DNA + dNTP), and the dissociation of E•DNA•dNTP to form E, DNA, and dNTP (average rate constant = 5 s^{-1} , Scheme 1, k_7). In comparison, the rate constant for the E•DNA•dNTP dissociation (k_{-2} in Scheme 1) to form the E•DNA complex has been estimated to be $3,100 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Table 1) which is much larger than 43 s^{-1} . Thus, the stable complex had to be E'•DNA•dNTP, not E•DNA•dNTP. Alternatively, this conclusion can be reached based on the facts that the formation of E•DNA•dNTP from E•DNA and dNTP was a rapid equilibrium and k_{-2} ($3,100 \text{ s}^{-1}$) in Scheme 1 was much faster than the product or the stable intermediate formation rate constant (66 to 69 s^{-1}) as measured in Figure 8. Lastly, in order for E'•DNA•dNTP ($21.7 - 15.3 = 6.4 \text{ nM}$) to accumulate, a slow conformational change step (step 5 in Scheme 1) after the rapid chemistry step must occur and serve as a kinetic “roadblock” (22). This step resulted in 15.3 nM of E'•DNA $_{n+1}$ •PPi (Scheme 1) and a calculated internal equilibrium constant of 2.4 ($= 15.3/6.4$) (22).

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we carried out pre-steady-state kinetic studies in order to establish a minimal kinetic mechanism for correct nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by Pol ϵ *exo*-, an N-terminal truncation fragment of the catalytic subunit of human Pol ϵ . The burst assay revealed that correct dTTP incorporation exhibited biphasic kinetics characterized by a “burst” phase for the first turnover followed by a slow linear phase (Figure 1B). The later

phase was demonstrated to represent the steady-state phase of product formation during subsequent turnovers (Figure 1C). A DNA trap assay further confirmed that the steady-state phase was limited by the E•DNA dissociation. These kinetic assays suggest that Polε exo- catalyzes correct nucleotide incorporation by following a similar mechanism (Scheme 1) which has been established for numerous DNA polymerases and reverse transcriptases (24). With this mechanism, Polε exo- first binds a DNA substrate to form the E•DNA binary complex and then an incoming nucleotide to form the E•DNA•dNTP ground-state ternary complex. Through the active site titration assay, the observed equilibrium dissociation constant K_d^{DNA} for the formation of E•DNA from Polε exo- and the DNA substrate D-1 (Figure 1A) was determined to be 79 nM (Figure 4). The K_d^{dTTP} for correct dTTP binding to E•DNA was then measured to be 31 μM (Figure 5B). To identify the rate-limiting step for single nucleotide incorporation during the first turnover, two lines of kinetic evidence were provided. First, a small elemental effect of 0.9 was determined for the incorporation of correct dTTP versus its thio-analog S_p -dTTPαS (Figure 7A), suggesting that the chemistry step was unlikely rate-limiting since the elemental effect fell significantly outside the elemental effect range of 4–11 for a rate-limiting chemical reaction (27). In contrast, a much larger elemental effect (167) was determined for incorrect dNTP incorporation (Figure 7B). These elemental effect values suggest that correct and incorrect nucleotide incorporations did not share the same rate-limiting step and that the chemistry step (step 4 in Scheme 1) at least partially controlled the rate of incorrect nucleotide incorporation. However, as noted previously, elemental effect measurements alone are not substantial pieces of evidence for the absence or presence of a rate-limiting conformational change preceding chemistry because an unusually large elemental effect or an elemental effect just below the expected range of 4–11 is difficult to interpret and the elemental effect is significantly affected by the exact active site structure of E'•DNA•dNTP (15, 24). To provide more definitive evidence for the rate-limiting step for correct dTTP incorporation, we performed pulse-chase and pulse-quench assays. There was a 42% reaction amplitude difference (Figure 8) between the pulse-chase and pulse-quench assays, suggested that a stable intermediate complex E'•DNA•dNTP accumulated prior to step 4 in Scheme 1. The observed accumulation of E'•DNA•dNTP further indicates the presence of two slow steps (steps 3 and 5) that flank the fast chemistry step (step 4) in Scheme 1 (22, 23). Thus, step 3 limited dTTP incorporation in the forward direction while step 5 controlled the reverse polymerization direction or pyrophosphorolysis and served as a kinetic “roadblock” (Scheme 1).

The processivity of Polε exo- was estimated to be 11 nucleotide incorporations per DNA binding event at 20 °C, given by the ratio of the average polymerization rate constant (58 s⁻¹) divided by the average E•DNA•dNTP dissociation rate constant (5 s⁻¹). The calculated processivity of Polε exo- is remarkably lower than that of other replicative DNA polymerases (21, 29) and is inadequate for catalyzing leading-strand synthesis *in vivo*. However, the pre-steady-state rates used for calculating the processivity values for T7 DNA polymerase and human mitochondrial DNA polymerase were measured in the presence of their processivity cofactors. For example, *E. coli* thioredoxin, the cofactor of T7 DNA polymerase, has been shown to increase the processivity of this replicative enzyme by more than two orders of magnitude (30). The low processivity of Polε exo- is mainly contributed by the high dissociation rate constant measured in the absence of any cofactors. Previously, proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) has been shown to enhance DNA synthesis catalyzed by Polε (5). Similarly, PCNA has also been demonstrated to significantly enhance the processivity of mammalian Polδ (31, 32). Taken together, PCNA is likely to be the processivity cofactor for Polε during leading-strand synthesis and we are currently investigating this possibility.

Notably, the measured K_d^{DNA} (79 nM) of Polε exo- (Figure 4) is roughly 4- to 40-fold higher than those of DNA polymerases from the A-, B-, and Y-families (10, 21–23, 25). The

low DNA binding affinity of Polε exo- could be caused by the absence of the smaller subunits of the Polε holoenzyme. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Dpb3p and Dpb4p, which are respectively homologous to the p12 and p17 subunits of the Polε holoenzyme, contain a double-stranded DNA binding site (33). Thus, it is possible that the presence of p12 and p17 will enhance the DNA binding affinity of Polε. In addition, PCNA, which has been shown to stimulate Polε activity *in vitro* (34), may also improve the DNA binding affinity of Polε. Similar conclusions have been drawn for T4 DNA polymerase, which possesses a comparable K_d^{DNA} of 70 nM in the absence of any replication factors (9), and yeast Polδ, which binds DNA with a 5-fold tighter affinity in the presence than in the absence of PCNA (35).

In summary, our kinetic analysis demonstrates that Polε exo-, like other replicative DNA polymerases, extends DNA via an induced-fit mechanism. The studies presented here will provide a foundation for future kinetic investigation of human Polε holoenzyme.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant (GM079403) and National Science Foundation grant (MCB-0960961) to Z.S.; and an REU training grant (DBI-1062144) to S.K.

REFERENCES

1. Kunkel TA, Burgers PM. Dividing the workload at a eukaryotic replication fork. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2008; 18:521–527. [PubMed: 18824354]
2. Waga S, Stillman B. Anatomy of a DNA replication fork revealed by reconstitution of SV40 DNA replication *in vitro*. *Nature.* 1994; 369:207–212. [PubMed: 7910375]
3. McElhinny SAN, Gordenin DA, Stith CM, Burgers PMJ, Kunkel TA. Division of labor at the eukaryotic replication fork. *Mol. Cell.* 2008; 30:137–144. [PubMed: 18439893]
4. Pursell ZF, Isoz I, Lundstrom EB, Johansson E, Kunkel TA. Yeast DNA polymerase epsilon participates in leading-strand DNA replication. *Science.* 2007; 317:127–130. [PubMed: 17615360]
5. Bermudez VP, Farina A, Raghavan V, Tappin I, Hurwitz J. Studies on human DNA polymerase epsilon and GINS complex and their role in DNA replication. *J Biol Chem.* 2011; 286:28963–28977. [PubMed: 21705323]
6. Hamatake RK, Hasegawa H, Clark AB, Bebenek K, Kunkel TA, Sugino A. Purification and characterization of DNA polymerase II from the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Identification of the catalytic core and a possible holoenzyme form of the enzyme. *J Biol Chem.* 1990; 265:4072–4083. [PubMed: 2406268]
7. Kunkel TA. DNA replication fidelity. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:16895–16898. [PubMed: 14988392]
8. Parlanti E, Locatelli G, Maga G, Dogliotti E. Human base excision repair complex is physically associated to DNA replication and cell cycle regulatory proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2007; 35:1569–1577. [PubMed: 17289756]
9. Capson TL, Peliska JA, Kaboord BF, Frey MW, Lively C, Dahlberg M, Benkovic SJ. Kinetic characterization of the polymerase and exonuclease activities of the gene 43 protein of bacteriophage T4. *Biochemistry.* 1992; 31:10984–10994. [PubMed: 1332748]
10. Brown JA, Suo Z. Elucidating the kinetic mechanism of DNA polymerization catalyzed by *Sulfolobus solfataricus* P2 DNA polymerase B1. *Biochemistry.* 2009; 48:7502–7511. [PubMed: 19456143]
11. Dieckman LM, Johnson RE, Prakash S, Washington MT. Pre-steady state kinetic studies of the fidelity of nucleotide incorporation by yeast DNA polymerase delta. *Biochemistry.* 2010; 49:7344–7350. [PubMed: 20666462]
12. Fiala KA, Suo Z. Pre-Steady-State Kinetic Studies of the Fidelity of *Sulfolobus solfataricus* P2 DNA Polymerase IV. *Biochemistry.* 2004; 43:2106–2115. [PubMed: 14967050]
13. Korona DA, Lecompte KG, Pursell ZF. The high fidelity and unique error signature of human DNA polymerase epsilon. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010; 39:1763–1773. [PubMed: 21036870]

14. Hsieh JC, Zinnen S, Modrich P. Kinetic mechanism of the DNA-dependent DNA polymerase activity of human immunodeficiency virus reverse transcriptase. *J Biol Chem.* 1993; 268:24607–24613. [PubMed: 7693703]
15. Liu J, Tsai MD. DNA polymerase beta: pre-steady-state kinetic analyses of dATP alpha S stereoselectivity and alteration of the stereoselectivity by various metal ions and by site-directed mutagenesis. *Biochemistry.* 2001; 40:9014–9022. [PubMed: 11467964]
16. Arnold JJ, Ghosh SK, Cameron CE. Poliovirus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (3D(pol)). Divalent cation modulation of primer, template, and nucleotide selection. *J Biol Chem.* 1999; 274:37060–37069. [PubMed: 10601264]
17. Johnson KA. Fitting enzyme kinetic data with KinTek Global Kinetic Explorer. *Methods Enzymol.* 2009; 467:601–626. [PubMed: 19897109]
18. Pursell ZF, Isoz I, Lundstrom EB, Johansson E, Kunkel TA. Regulation of B family DNA polymerase fidelity by a conserved active site residue: characterization of M644W, M644L and M644F mutants of yeast DNA polymerase epsilon. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2007; 35:3076–3086. [PubMed: 17452367]
19. Iwai T, Kurosawa N, Itoh YH, Kimura N, Horiuchi T. Sequence analysis of three family B DNA polymerases from the thermoacidophilic crenarchaeon *Sulfurisphaera ohwakuensis*. *DNA Res.* 2000; 7:243–251. [PubMed: 10997874]
20. Frey MW, Nossal NG, Capson TL, Benkovic SJ. Construction and characterization of a bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase deficient in 3'→5' exonuclease activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1993; 90:2579–2583. [PubMed: 8464864]
21. Patel SS, Wong I, Johnson KA. Pre-steady-state kinetic analysis of processive DNA replication including complete characterization of an exonuclease-deficient mutant. *Biochemistry.* 1991; 30:511–525. [PubMed: 1846298]
22. Dahlberg ME, Benkovic SJ. Kinetic mechanism of DNA polymerase I (Klenow fragment): identification of a second conformational change and evaluation of the internal equilibrium constant. *Biochemistry.* 1991; 30:4835–4843. [PubMed: 1645180]
23. Fiala KA, Suo Z. Mechanism of DNA Polymerization Catalyzed by *Sulfolobus solfataricus* P2 DNA Polymerase IV. *Biochemistry.* 2004; 43:2116–2125. [PubMed: 14967051]
24. Joyce CM, Benkovic SJ. DNA polymerase fidelity: kinetics, structure, and checkpoints. *Biochemistry.* 2004; 43:14317–14324. [PubMed: 15533035]
25. Kati WM, Johnson KA, Jerva LF, Anderson KS. Mechanism and fidelity of HIV reverse transcriptase. *J Biol Chem.* 1992; 267:25988–25997. [PubMed: 1281479]
26. Lahiri I, Mukherjee P, Pata JD. Kinetic Characterization of Exonuclease-Deficient *Staphylococcus aureus* PolC, a C-family Replicative DNA Polymerase. *PLoS One.* 2013; 8:e63489. [PubMed: 23696828]
27. Herschlag D, Piccirilli JA, Cech TR. Ribozyme-catalyzed and nonenzymatic reactions of phosphate diesters: rate effects upon substitution of sulfur for a nonbridging phosphoryl oxygen atom. *Biochemistry.* 1991; 30:4844–4854. [PubMed: 2036355]
28. Fiala KA, Sherrer SM, Brown JA, Suo Z. Mechanistic consequences of temperature on DNA polymerization catalyzed by a Y-family DNA polymerase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2008; 36:1990–2001. [PubMed: 18276639]
29. Johnson AA, Johnson KA. Fidelity of nucleotide incorporation by human mitochondrial DNA polymerase. *J Biol Chem.* 2001; 276:38090–38096. [PubMed: 11477093]
30. Tabor S, Huber HE, Richardson CC. *Escherichia coli* thioredoxin confers processivity on the DNA polymerase activity of the gene 5 protein of bacteriophage T7. *J Biol Chem.* 1987; 262:16212–16223. [PubMed: 3316214]
31. Prelich G, Kostura M, Marshak DR, Mathews MB, Stillman B. The cell-cycle regulated proliferating cell nuclear antigen is required for SV40 DNA replication in vitro. *Nature.* 1987; 326:471–475. [PubMed: 2882422]
32. Tan CK, Castillo C, So AG, Downey KM. An auxiliary protein for DNA polymerase-delta from fetal calf thymus. *J Biol Chem.* 1986; 261:12310–12316. [PubMed: 3745189]

33. Tsubota T, Maki S, Kubota H, Sugino A, Maki H. Double-stranded DNA binding properties of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA polymerase epsilon and of the Dpb3p-Dpb4p subassembly. *Genes Cells*. 2003; 8:873–888. [PubMed: 14622139]
34. Chilkova O, Stenlund P, Isoz I, Stith CM, Grabowski P, Lundstrom EB, Burgers PM, Johansson E. The eukaryotic leading and lagging strand DNA polymerases are loaded onto primer-ends via separate mechanisms but have comparable processivity in the presence of PCNA. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2007; 35:6588–6597. [PubMed: 17905813]
35. Einolf HJ, Guengerich FP. Kinetic analysis of nucleotide incorporation by mammalian DNA polymerase delta. *J Biol Chem*. 2000; 275:16316–16322. [PubMed: 10748013]

Figure 1.

Pre-steady-state and steady-state kinetics of correct dTTP incorporation into a DNA substrate D-1 by Polε exo- at 20 °C. (A) The DNA substrate D-1 containing a 21-mer primer and a complementary 41-mer template. (B) A preincubated solution of Polε exo- (200 nM, UV concentration) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (120 nM) was rapidly mixed with dTTP (1.25 μM) and quenched at various time intervals with 0.37 M EDTA. The data were fit to eq 1 to yield a burst phase rate constant of $12 \pm 2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a linear phase rate constant of $0.023 \pm 0.006 \text{ s}^{-1}$. (C) A pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (1 nM, active site concentration) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (250 nM) was mixed with dTTP (100 μM) and quenched at various time

intervals with 0.37 M EDTA. The data were fit to eq 2 to yield a steady-state rate constant of 0.026 s^{-1} .

		Exo I		Exo II
Pol ϵ	271-	V L A F D I E T T K	361-	T Y N G D F F D W P
PolB1	227-	R V A I D I E V Y T	311-	T F N G D D F D L P
T4	108-	V A N C D I E V T G	212-	G W N I E G F D V P
Rb69	110-	V A N F D I E V T S	215-	G W N V E S F D I P

Figure 2.

Conservation of Exo I and Exo II motifs across the B-family DNA polymerases.

Exonuclease motifs I and II, marked by the sequences DxE and NxxxF, respectively, where x is any amino acid residue, are highly conserved in the B-family. Pol ϵ is DNA polymerase ϵ from human, PolB1 is DNA polymerase I from *Sulfolobus solfataricus*, T4 is DNA polymerase from bacteriophage T4, and Rb69 is DNA polymerase from bacteriophage Rb69. Absolutely conserved residues are shown in purple, highly conserved residues are in red, and semi-conserved residues are in yellow.

Figure 3.

DNA dissociation from the Polε•D-1 binary complex. A pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (50 nM) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (100 nM) was mixed with an unlabeled D-1 trap (2.5 μM) for various time before quenching with 0.37 M EDTA. The data were fit to the equation $[\text{product}] = A\exp(-kt) + C$ to yield a dissociation rate constant (k) of $0.021 \pm 0.007 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Figure 4.

Active site titration of Polε exo- at 20 °C. A pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (64 nM, UV concentration) and increasing concentrations of 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (5 to 175 nM) was rapidly mixed with dTTP (100 μM). All reactions were quenched after 50 ms with 0.37 M EDTA. The product concentrations were plotted versus D-1 concentrations and the data were fit to eq 3, yielding a K_d^{DNA} of 79 ± 14 nM for the equilibrium dissociation constant of the Polε exo-•D-1 complex and an enzyme amplitude of 10.1 ± 0.9 nM.

Figure 5.

Concentration dependence on the pre-steady-state rate constant of correct dTTP incorporation catalyzed by Polε exo- at 20 °C. (A) A pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (80 nM) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (20 nM) was mixed with increasing concentrations of dTTP [0.625 (■), 1.25 (●), 2.5 (▲), 5 (◆), 10 (□), 20 (○), 40 (△), 80 (◇), and 200 (▼) μM] for various times. The solid lines are the best fits to eq 4. (B) The observed rate constants of product formation (k_{obs}) were plotted versus dTTP concentrations. The data were then fit to eq 5 to yield a k_p of $248 \pm 6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a K_d^{dTTP} of $31 \pm 2 \text{ μM}$.

Figure 6.

Processive polymerization catalyzed by Polε exo- at 20 °C. A pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (60 nM) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (100 nM) was rapidly mixed with dTTP, dCTP, and dGTP (100 μM) for various time intervals before being quenched by 0.37 M EDTA.

Products were resolved by sequencing gel electrophoresis. (A) Gel image of the processivity assay. (B) The amount of remaining substrate [21-mer (■)] and each intermediate product [22-mer (●), 23-mer (▲), 24-mer (◆), 25-mer (□), 26-mer (○), and 27-mer (△)] were plotted as a function of time. The solid lines represent the best fits generated by computer simulation using a model consisting of six single nucleotide incorporation events and six DNA dissociation events. The polymerization rate constants were $169 \pm 6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 22-mer, 20

$\pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 23-mer, $14 \pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 24-mer, $79 \pm 20 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 25-mer, $30 \pm 5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 26-mer, and $36 \pm 4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 27-mer. The dissociation rate constants were $12 \pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 22/41-mer, $2.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 23/41-mer, $6.2 \pm 4.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 24/41-mer, $4.0 \pm 1.9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 25/41-mer, $2.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 26/41-mer, and $0.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 27/41-mer.

Figure 7.

Elemental effect on the rate constant of correct and incorrect nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by Polε exo- at 20 °C. (A) A pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (40 nM) and 5'-radiolabeled D-1 (20 nM) was rapidly mixed with 1.25 μM dTTP (●) or S_p -dTTPαS (■) in parallel time courses. The data were fit to eq 4 to yield k_{obs} values of $9 \pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $10 \pm 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for dTTP and S_p -dTTPαS, respectively. The elemental effect was calculated to be 0.9. (B) The same Polε exo- and D-1 solution was rapidly mixed with 600 μM dATP (●) or S_p -dATP (■) in parallel time courses. The data were fit to eq 4 for dATP and a linear fit for S_p -

dATP α S. The k_{obs} values were $0.25 \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 0.0015 s^{-1} for dATP and S_{p} -dATP α S, respectively. The elemental effect was calculated to be 167.

Figure 8.

Pulse-chase and pulse-quench experiments at 20 °C. A pre-incubated solution of Polε exo- (50 nM) and unlabeled D-1 (50 nM) was rapidly mixed [α - 32 P]dTTP (2.5 μ M) for various time intervals. The pulse-quench (■) reaction mixtures were immediately quenched with 1 M HCl while the pulse-chase (●) reaction mixtures were chased with an excess of unlabeled dTTP (1 mM) for 30 s, followed by acid-quenching. The data were fit to eq 4 to yield a reaction rate constant of $69 \pm 8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a reaction amplitude of $21.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ nM}$ for the pulse-chase assay, and $66 \pm 6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $15.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ nM}$ for the pulse-quench assay.

Scheme 1.
Minimal kinetic mechanism of DNA polymerization catalyzed by human DNA polymerase ϵ .

Table 1

Kinetic parameters for correct nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by Polε exo- at 20 °C.

parameter	value	parameter	value
k_1	$0.27 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	k_{-2}	$3,100 \text{s}^{-1}$
k_{-1}	0.021s^{-1}	K_d^{dTTP}	$31 \mu\text{M}$
K_d^{DNA}	79nM	k_3	248s^{-1}
k_2	$100 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	k_7	5s^{-1}