Published in final edited form as:

ACS Catal. 2013 October 4; 3(10): . doi:10.1021/cs400443p.

Copper-Catalyzed Carboxylation of Aryl Iodides with Carbon Dioxide

Hung Tran-Vu and Olafs Daugulis*

Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-5003, USA

Abstract

A method for carboxylation of aryl iodides with carbon dioxide has been developed. The reaction employs low loadings of copper iodide/TMEDA or DMEDA catalyst, 1 atm of CO₂, DMSO or DMA solvent, and proceeds at 25–70 °C. Good functional group tolerance is observed, with ester, bromide, chloride, fluoride, ether, hydroxy, amino, and ketone functionalities tolerated. Additionally, hindered aryl iodides such as iodomesitylene can also be carboxylated

Keywords

Carbon dioxide; copper catalysis; aryl halides; carboxylation; arenecarboxylic acids

Carbon dioxide is a cheap and abundant C1-synthon.¹ Billions of tons of CO₂ have been released into Earth's atmosphere as a consequence of fossil fuel consumption. Consequently, there is substantial drive for the decrease of CO₂ emissions and sequestration of released carbon dioxide.² However, despite substantial interest in carbon dioxide utilization, relatively few chemical processes incorporate it in the final products. This may be caused by low reactivity of carbon dioxide. Thus, both fundamental investigations in CO₂ chemistry and development of new processes that utilize CO₂ are quite important.

Carbon dioxide has been utilized in the synthesis of aliphatic carboxylic acids since 1858, when Wanklyn showed that reaction of ethylsodium with CO₂ affords sodium propionate.^{3a} In 1866, Kekulé reported that arylsodium reagents react with carbon dioxide.^{3b} One of the earliest examples of transition-metal aryl reactions with carbon dioxide were reported by DePasquale and Tamborski who showed that pentafluorophenylcopper can be transformed into pentafluorobenzoic acid in DMA solvent.⁴ Subsequently, rhodium, nickel, and other transition metal aryls and alkyls were shown to react with carbon dioxide.⁵ More recently, the focus of research has shifted to development of transition-metal catalyzed carboxylation reactions. Specifically, boronic acid derivatives, alkenes, stannanes, and organozinc reagents have been carboxylated under nickel, rhodium, and copper catalysis.⁶ A elegant paper by Martín describes a palladium-catalyzed aryl halide carboxylation by carbon dioxide at 40 °C.⁷ Bulky phosphine ligand, diethylzinc reducing agent, and 10 atm of CO₂ were used. Two recent papers report catalytic aryl- and benzyl halide carboxylation by using a more accessible first-row transition metal nickel.⁸ We report here a copper-catalyzed aryl iodide carboxylation by carbon dioxide.

Corresponding Author: olafs@uh.edu.

Supporting Information. Detailed experimental procedures and characterization data for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>

Copper complexes have been used in aryl boronate carboxylation by CO₂.^{6b,j} The reactions proceed via aryl copper reagents that are known to react with carbon dioxide.⁴ Since aryl boronates are usually made from aryl halides,⁹ it would be advantageous to directly carboxylate aryl halides. Rieke has shown that aryl halides react with copper clusters to form aryl copper reagents.¹⁰ Consequently, it should be possible to carboxylate aryl halides if zero-valent copper species could be directly generated from copper carboxylates.

The initial optimization reactions were carried out by using a combination of 4-iodo-*t*-butylbenzene, diethyl zinc, ligand, and catalytic copper (I) iodide under one atm of CO₂ at room temperature. Arylcopper reagents react with CO₂ in polar aprotic solvents.⁴ Consequently, DMA solvent was chosen for reaction optimization. Reaction was efficient with bidentate nitrogen-based ligands and TMEDA or DMEDA ligands were chosen due to cost considerations (Table 1).

The optimized reaction conditions for electron-rich aryl iodide carboxylation involve 3 mol % CuI catalyst, 3 mol % TMEDA or DMEDA ligand, DMSO solvent, and 1 atm of CO₂. The carboxylation of electron-poor aryl iodides requires DMA solvent. The results are summarized in Table 2.

The carboxylation of electron-rich aryl iodides proceeds at 25–70 °C (entries 1–8). Hindered aryl iodides, such as 2-iodotoluene (entry 3), iodomesitylene (entry 5), and 1-iodonaphthalene (entry 9) are reactive. Heterocyclic 2-iodothiophene (entry 6) is carboxylated in a good yield. Alkoxy groups are tolerated and 3- and 4-iodoanisoles are reactive (entries 7 and 8). Carboxylation of electron-poor aryl iodides requires more forcing conditions. Reactions proceed at 70 °C and higher yields are obtained in DMA solvent. Selective carboxylation of iodide in presence of bromo- or chloro substituent, which could be used for further transformations, is possible (entries 10–12). Ethyl-4-iodobenzoate is carboxylated in good yield (entry 13). Fluoroiodobenzenes are also carboxylated in a good yields (entries 14–16). Moreover, the method allows for the carboxylation of aryl iodides that possess unprotected amino, hydroxy, and ketone functionalities (entries 17–19). Consequently, the procedure shows higher functional group tolerance compared with other direct carboxylation methods. Some reduction of ArI to ArH is observed. For entries 17–19, decreased yields are due to incomplete reactions and substantial amounts of starting materials were recovered. No conversion was observed in the absence of either CuI or Et₂Zn.

The reaction may involve the generation of Cu(0) species which have been shown by Rieke to oxidatively add aryl halides.¹⁰ The presence of colloidal metal was tested by using mercury additive (Scheme 1).^{11,12} With no mercury additive, the carboxylation of 4-iodo-*t*-butylbenzene afforded 79% yield of the corresponding acid. If 200 equiv of Hg with respect to Cu were added, the yield decreased to 24%. Addition of 500 equiv of Hg decreased the yield of product to 10%. Thus, it is highly likely that copper clusters are present in the reaction mixture.

The possible reaction mechanism is shown in Scheme 2.¹³ Reduction of Cu(I) with diethylzinc affords Cu(0) via EtCu intermediate.¹⁴ The Cu(0) species oxidatively adds ArI to give ArCu(I) complex. Insertion of CO₂ gives a copper carboxylate, which upon reaction with diethylzinc regenerates Cu(0) via EtCu and forms zinc carboxylate. The lower reactivity of electron-deficient aryl iodides is curious and may have implications with respect to the reaction mechanism. Oxidative additions are typically faster for electron-deficient aryl iodides.¹⁵ Hence, the turnover-limiting step, at least for electron-poor aryl iodides, may be the carboxylation of arylcopper species. A reversible aryl transfer between

copper and zinc is likely.⁶¹ However, reaction of CO₂ with carbon-zinc bonds is probably slow compared to insertion into copper-carbon bonds.¹³

In conclusion, we have developed a mild method for carboxylation of aryl iodides. The reaction employs low loadings of copper iodide/TMEDA or DMEDA catalyst, 1 atm of CO₂, DMSO or DMA solvent, and proceeds at 25–70 °C. Excellent functional group tolerance is observed, with ester, bromide, chloride, fluoride, ether, amino, hydroxy, and carbonyl functionalities tolerated. Hindered aryl iodides such as iodomesitylene can also be carboxylated. Mechanism likely involves intermediacy of copper clusters.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Welch Foundation (Grant No. E-1571), Norman Hackerman Texas Advanced Research Program, NIGMS (Grant No. R01GM077635), and Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation for supporting this research. We thank Mr. Chieng-Hung Li for help with TEM analysis.

References

1. (a) Sakakura T, Choi JC, Yasuda H. *Chem Rev.* 2007; 107:2365. [PubMed: 17564481] (b) Boogaerts IIF, Nolan SP. *Chem Commun.* 2011; 47:3021.(c) Nakamura A, Ito S, Nozaki K. *Chem Rev.* 2009; 109:5215. [PubMed: 19807133] (d) Ackermann L. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2011; 50:3842.(e) Cokoja M, Bruckmeier C, Rieger B, Herrmann WA, Kuhn F. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2011; 50:8510.(f) Tsuji Y, Fujihara T. *Chem Commun.* 2012; 48:9956.(g) Martín R, Kleij AW. *Chem Sus Chem.* 2011; 4:1259.
2. Lacis AA, Schmidt GA, Rind D, Ruedy RA. *Science.* 2010; 330:356. [PubMed: 20947761] (b) Haszeldine RS. *Science.* 2009; 325:1647. [PubMed: 19779187]
3. (a) Wanklyn JA. *Liebigs Ann.* 1858; 107:125.(b) Kekulé A. *Liebigs Ann.* 1866; 137:178.
4. (a) DePasquale RJ, Tamborski C. *J Org Chem.* 1969; 34:1736.(b) Ebert GW, Juda WL, Kosakowski RH, Ma B, Dong L, Cummings KE, Phelps MVB, Mostafa AE, Luo J. *J Org Chem.* 2005; 70:4314. [PubMed: 15903305]
5. (a) Yamamoto T, Yamamoto A. *Chem Lett.* 1978:615.(b) Hill M, Wendt OF. *Organometallics.* 2005; 24:5772.(c) Behr A, Kanne U, Thelen G. *J Organomet Chem.* 1984; 269:C1.(d) Miyashita A, Yamamoto A. *J Organomet Chem.* 1976; 113:187.(e) Tsuda T, Ueda K, Saegusa T. *J Chem Soc, Chem Commun.* 1974:380.(f) Allen OR, Dalgarno SJ, Field LD, Jensen P, Willis AC. *Organometallics.* 2009; 28:2385.(g) Dubot G, Mansuy D, Lecolier S, Normant JF. *J Organomet Chem.* 1972; 42:C105.(h) Marsich N, Camus A, Nardin G. *J Organomet Chem.* 1982; 239:429.(i) Kolomnikov IS, Gusev AO, Belopotapova TS, Grigoryan MKh, Lysyak TV, Struchkov YT, Vol'pin ME. *J Organomet Chem.* 1974; 69:C10.(j) Darensbourg DJ, Grötsch G, Wiegrefe P, Rheingold AL. *Inorg Chem.* 1987; 26:3827.(k) Bhattacharyya KX, Akana JA, Laitar DS, Berlin JM, Sadighi JP. *Organometallics.* 2008; 27:2682.(l) Mankad NP, Gray TG, Laitar DS, Sadighi JP. *Organometallics.* 2004; 23:1191.
6. Review: Correa A, Martín R. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2009; 48:6201.Takaya J, Tadami S, Ukai K, Iwasawa N. *Org Lett.* 2008; 10:2697. [PubMed: 18507391] Vechorkin O, Hirt N, Hu X. *Org Lett.* 2010; 12:3567. [PubMed: 20670021] Ueda M, Miyaura N. *J Org Chem.* 2000; 65:4450. [PubMed: 10891153] Mori M. *Eur J Org Chem.* 2007:4981.Ochiai H, Jang M, Hirano K, Yorimitsu H, Oshima K. *Org Lett.* 2008; 10:2681. [PubMed: 18522395] Wu J, Hazari N. *Chem Commun.* 2011:1069.. Cycloadditions: Chopade PR, Louie J. *Adv Synth Catal.* 2006; 348:2307.Williams CM, Johnson JB, Rovis T. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:14936. [PubMed: 18928253] Ohishi T, Nishiura M, Hou Z. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2008; 47:5792.Yeung C, Dong VM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:7826. [PubMed: 18510323] Kobayashi K, Kondo Y. *Org Lett.* 2009; 11:2035. [PubMed: 19338291]

7. Correa A, Martín R. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:15974. [PubMed: 19886688]
8. (a) Fujihara T, Nogi K, Xu T, Teao J, Tsuji Y. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:9106. [PubMed: 22612592] (b) Leon T, Correa A, Martín R. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2013; 135:1221. [PubMed: 23301781]
9. Blakemore PR, Marsden SP, Vater HD. *Org Lett.* 2006; 8:773. [PubMed: 16468764]
10. Rieke RD. *Science.* 1989; 246:1260. [PubMed: 17832221]
11. Widegren JA, Finke RG. *J Mol Cat A.* 2003; 198:317.
12. Analysis by TEM was inconclusive. Please see Supporting Information for TEM data.
13. A reviewer suggested that Et₂Zn may react with ArI to generate ArZnR species. Acid quench of reaction run under standard conditions but omitting CuI catalyst and CO₂ does not give measurable amount of ArH showing that non-catalyzed transmetallation does not occur to a measurable extent. However, CuI catalyzes transmetallation between Et₂Zn and ArI affording ArZnR species (please see Table S4, entry 2). Furthermore, significant CO₂ insertion into Zn-C bonds is unlikely due to following reasons. (1) Propionic acid was not observed in the reaction mixture. Consequently, insertion into Zn-C bonds is slow, and lifetime of EtCu under reaction conditions is very short. (2) Insertion of CO₂ into Ar-Zn bonds requires either LiCl additive at 50 °C, or transition metal catalysis. See references 6f, k, l. Transmetallation with dialkylzinc compounds: Kneisel FF, Dochnahl M, Knochel P. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2004; 43:1017.
14. Alkylcopper decomposition to Cu(0) has been extensively studied. Kochi has observed formation of paramagnetic Cu(0) species in the decomposition of ethyl, propyl, and butylcopper at 0 °C. Yamamoto has studied the decomposition of alkylcopper phosphine complexes. Wada K, Tamura M, Kochi J. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1970; 92:6656. Miyashita A, Yamamoto T, Yamamoto A. *Bull Chem Soc Japan.* 1977; 50:1109.. See also Whitesides GM, Stedronsky ER, Casey CP, San Filippo J Jr. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1970; 92:1426.
15. Fauvarque JF, Pflüger F, Troupel M. *J Organomet Chem.* 1981; 208:419.

Scheme 1.
Reaction in the Presence of Hg Additive

Scheme 2.
Proposed Reaction Mechanism

Table 1

Ligand Optimization

entry	ligand	yield (%) ^a
1	triphenylphosphine	44
2	tricyclohexylphosphine	43
3	DIPHOS ^b	23
4	1,10-phenanthroline	73
5	TMEDA ^c	79
6	<i>N,N</i> -DMEDA ^d	82
7	2,2'-bipyridine	70
8	trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane	67

^aYields determined by GC. Please see Supporting Information for details.

^b1,2-bis(Diphenylphosphino)ethane.

^c*N,N,N,N*-Tetramethylethylenediamine.

^d*N,N*-Dimethyl-1-ethylenediamine.

Table 2

Aryl Iodide Carboxylation^a

entry	Ar-I	ArCO ₂ H	yield (%)
1	PhI		88
2	4- <i>t</i> BuC ₆ H ₄ I		81
3	2-MeC ₆ H ₄ I		86
4	3,5-Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃ I		80
5	2,4,6-Me ₃ C ₆ H ₂ I		61
6	2-Iodothiophene		63
7	3-MeOC ₆ H ₄ I		77
8	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ I		60
9	1-Iodo-naphthalene		68
10	1-Cl-4-I-benzene		61

entry	Ar-I	ArCO ₂ H	yield (%)
11	1-Br-4-I-benzene		59
12	1-Br-3-I-benzene		51
13	Ethyl 4-iodobenzoate		62
14	1-F-4-I-benzene		60
15	1-F-3-I-benzene		55
16	1-CF ₃ -3-I-benzene		56
17 ^b	5-Iodoindole		44
18 ^b	4-Iodophenol		40
19	4-Iodo-benzophenone		53

^a Entries 1–9, DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide) solvent; entries 10–19, DMA (dimethylacetamide) solvent. Entries 1–6, 25 °C; entries 7–19, 70 °C. Reaction time: 4–20 h. TMEDA ligand: entries 1–2, 6, 8, 10–19. DMEDA ligand: entries 3–5, 7, 9. Yields are isolated yields. Please see Supporting Information for details.

^b Et₂Zn (3.5 equiv).