Published in final edited form as:

Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener. 2013 September ; 14(0): . doi: 10.3109/21678421.2013.778284.

Assessment of ALS Mortality in a Cohort of Formaldehyde-exposed Garment Workers

Lynne E. Pinkerton, M.D., M.P.H.¹, Misty J. Hein, Ph.D.¹, Alysha Meyers, Ph.D.¹, and Freya Kamel, Ph.D., M.P.H.²

¹Industrywide Studies Branch, Division of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations and Field Studies, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

²Epidemiology Branch, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA

Keywords

formaldehyde; cohort studies; occupational exposure; retrospective studies; amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

Introduction

The etiology of ALS is unknown. Although some investigators have evaluated the role of occupational exposures (1), their role is poorly understood.

Among subjects with known exposure duration in the American Cancer Society's Cancer Prevention Study II cohort (~1 million subjects), the ALS mortality rate was more than two times higher for subjects with self-reported formaldehyde exposure, compared to unexposed subjects (rate ratio 2.47, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.58-3.86, 1120 unexposed and 22 exposed cases), and strongly associated with exposure duration (2). Compared to unexposed subjects, the rate ratios were 1.5, 2.1, and 4.1 for subjects with < 4, 4–10, and > 10 years of self-reported formaldehyde exposure, respectively. In contrast, formaldehyde exposure (inferred from occupation) was not associated with ALS in a small case-control study (109 cases, 253 controls) by Fang and colleagues (1). In this study, no association was observed with weighted exposure duration although an imprecise 3-fold increase of ALS, based on four cases, was observed among a sub-group of the highest exposure tertile.

We evaluated ALS mortality among a cohort of formaldehyde-exposed garment workers (3–5).

Materials and methods

The cohort includes 11,098 employees who were exposed to formaldehyde-treated fabric for at least three months at any of three garment manufacturing facilities (late 1950s through the

Corresponding author: Lynne E. Pinkerton, M.D., M.P.H., Team Leader, Epidemiology II, Industrywide Studies Branch, Division of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations and Field Studies, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 4676 Columbia Parkway, R-15, Cincinnati, OH 45226, Telephone: (513) 841-4344, lep5@cdc.gov.

Declaration of Interest:

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

early 1980s). In the early 1980s, formaldehyde levels were similar across departments and facilities (overall geometric mean concentration 0.15 ppm, geometric standard deviation 1.90) (3).

Although historical data were not available, formaldehyde levels were believed to have decreased over time due to improvements to resins used to treat permanent press fabrics.

Year of first exposure and exposure duration were used as exposure surrogates. Exposure duration was calculated as the duration of employment after formaldehyde was introduced into the process, but was underestimated for 1,226 cohort members actively employed when the records were obtained because the date these cohort members were last employed is unknown.

Vital status was ascertained through 2008 (5). ALS was defined as International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10 code G12.2, ICD-9 code 335.2, ICD-8 code 348.0, and ICD-7 code 356.1.

Mortality was analyzed using a life-table analysis program, LTAS.NET (6). US population ALS mortality rates (beginning on January 1, 1960) were created from National Center for Health Statistics mortality data and US census population estimates. Cohort members with a missing birth date (n=55) or who died (n=8) or were lost to follow-up (n=13) prior to the rate file begin date were excluded from all analyses. Person-years at risk (PYAR) began at the later of the rate file begin date or the completion date of the three month eligibility period and ended at the earliest of the date of death for deceased cohort members, the study end date (December 31, 2008) for living cohort members, or the date last observed for persons lost to follow-up. The PYAR were stratified into five-year intervals by age and calendar time and multiplied by the appropriate gender and race-specific mortality rates to calculate the expected number of ALS deaths. The ratio of the observed to the total expected number of deaths was expressed as the standardized mortality ratio (SMR), and 95% CIs were calculated assuming that the number of observed deaths follows a Poisson distribution. SMRs were stratified, using cut-points retained from previous studies of the cohort, by year of first exposure, exposure duration, and time since first exposure.

The study was approved by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Institutional Review Board.

Results

The study included 11,022 workers contributing 414,313 PYAR. Workers were predominantly white (76%) and female (82%) (Table 1). Forty-two percent of the cohort was first exposed before 1963, when formaldehyde levels were thought to be highest. The median exposure duration was 3.3 years and median time since first exposure was 39.4 years.

There were eight ALS deaths based on underlying cause of death (Table 2); no additional ALS deaths were identified from contributing causes listed on the death certificate. All eight ALS deaths occurred among women. ALS mortality was not elevated compared to the US population, and there was not a clear pattern in risk when SMRs were stratified by year of first exposure, exposure duration, or time since first exposure.

Discussion

In contrast to Weisskopf and colleagues (2), we found no evidence of an association between ALS and formaldehyde exposure. Fang and colleagues (1) also found little evidence for such an association.

Occupational exposure for former employees in our study was known. The main limitation of the study of the Cancer Prevention Study II cohort by Weisskopf and colleagues was the reliance on self-reported exposure (2). They also had no information about the frequency and intensity of exposure or about exposure after 1982 when the self-reported data were collected. Another strength of our study is cohort members' lack of occupational exposure to other chemicals and metals that might be associated with ALS (3).

The main limitation of our study is the small number of ALS cases. This precluded internal analyses to evaluate exposure-response relations using the exposure surrogates. The study by Weisskopf and colleagues (2) was much larger and thus had greater power to detect an association. They also had data on smoking, an accepted risk factor for ALS (7). We had no data on smoking. However, mortality from lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the cohort (5) suggests that smoking in the overall cohort was similar to or greater than that in the general population. Thus, differences in smoking between the cohort and general population may have biased the overall SMR away from the null but were unlikely to have biased the overall SMR for ALS towards the null.

Both our study and the study by Weisskopf and colleagues relied on mortality data to identify cases of ALS. Mortality is a good surrogate of ALS incidence since ALS is fatal with a median survival of approximately 3 years (8), and death certificate accuracy is reasonable for identifying ALS deaths (9,10). ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes used to identify ALS, however, are for motor neuron disease and not specific for ALS. The sensitivity and positive predictive value of ICD-10 code G12.2 for ALS are 85% and 65%, respectively (11). In the current study, all eight deaths mapped to the ALS death category were specifically due to ALS, according to the death certificates.

Other limitations of our study include the lack of quantitative exposure data and the relatively low levels of formaldehyde measured in the workplace in the early 1980s. Exposure levels were believed to be much higher in earlier years, but the actual level of exposure in the 1960s and 1970s is unknown.

Most cohort members were women, and ALS is more common in men, but our comparisons were adjusted for gender. Further, the gender difference in ALS may be due to differing neurotoxicant exposures (12).

In conclusion, the results of our study do not suggest that ALS is associated with formaldehyde exposure. However, due to the small number of deaths and the low levels of exposure by the early 1980s, an association cannot be ruled out. At least 12 other cohorts of formaldehyde exposed workers exist (13,14). Examining ALS mortality in the larger cohorts and pooling data from several cohorts might be helpful in elucidating whether formaldehyde exposure is associated with ALS.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (Z01 ES049030).

References

1. Fang F, Quinlan P, Ye W, Barber MK, Umbach DM, Sandler DP, et al. Workplace exposures and the risk of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2009; 117:1387–1392. [PubMed: 19750102]
2. Weisskopf MG, Morozova N, O'Reilly EJ, McCullough ML, Calle EE, Thun MJ, et al. Prospective study of chemical exposures and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2009; 80(5):558–561. [PubMed: 19372290]
3. Stayner LT, Elliott L, Blade L, Keenlyside R, Halperin W. A retrospective cohort mortality study of workers exposed to formaldehyde in the garment industry. *Am J Ind Med.* 1988; 13:667–681. [PubMed: 3389362]
4. Pinkerton LE, Hein MJ, Stayner LT. Mortality among a cohort of garment workers exposed to formaldehyde: an update. *Occup Environ Med.* 2004; 61:193–200. [PubMed: 14985513]
5. Meyers AR, Pinkerton LE, Hein MJ. Cohort mortality study of garment industry workers exposed to formaldehyde: update and internal comparisons. [submitted].
6. Schubauer-Berigan MK, Hein MJ, Raudabaugh WM, Ruder AM, Silver SR, Spaeth S, et al. Update of the NIOSH life table analysis system: a person-years analysis program for the Windows computing environment. *Am J Ind Med.* 2011; 54:915–924. [PubMed: 22068723]
7. Gallo V, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Vermeulen R, Andersen PM, Kyrozi A, Linseisen J, et al. Smoking and risk for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: an analysis of the EPIC cohort. *Ann Neurol.* 2009; 65:378–385. [PubMed: 19399866]
8. Czaplinski A, Yen AA, Appel SH. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: early predictors of prolonged survival. *J Neurol.* 2006; 253:1428–1436. [PubMed: 16773270]
9. Kamel F, Umbach DM, Stallone L, Richards M, Hu H, Sandler DP. Association of lead exposure with survival in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2008; 116:943–947. [PubMed: 18629318]
10. Marin B, Couratier P, Preux P-M, Logroscino G. Can mortality data be used to estimate amyotrophic lateral sclerosis incidence? *Neuroepidemiology.* 2011; 36:29–38. [PubMed: 21088432]
11. Strickler DE, Royer JA, Hardin JW. Accuracy and usefulness of ICD-10 death certificate coding for the identification of patients with ALS: results from the South Carolina ALS surveillance pilot project. *Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis.* 2012; 13:69–73. [PubMed: 21929354]
12. McCombe PA, Henderson RD. Effects of gender in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Gender Med.* 2010; 7:557–570.
13. IARC. IARC Monographs Volume 100F Formaldehyde; Supplemental Web Tables, Section 2, Cancer in Humans. 2012. Retrieved from <http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol100F/100F-24-Index-tables.php>
14. Schwilk E, Zhang L, Smith MT, Smith AH, Steinmaus C. Formaldehyde and leukemia: an updated meta-analysis and evaluation of bias. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2010; 52(9):878–886. [PubMed: 20798648]

Table 1

Characteristics of the study population

Characteristic	No.	%
Excluded from analysis *	76	1
Number of workers	11022	100
Race/sex		
White male	1609	14.6
Non-white male	404	3.7
White female	6724	61.0
Non-white female	2285	20.7
Vital status (as of 12/31/2008)		
Alive	7004	63.5
Dead †	3907	35.4
Lost to follow-up	111	1.0
Year of first exposure		
< 1963	4651	42.2
1963–1970	3813	34.6
1971 or later	2558	23.2
Time since first exposure		
< 10 years	281	2.5
10–19 years	393	3.6
20+ years	10348	93.9
Duration of exposure		
< 3 years	5275	47.9
3–9 years	3138	28.5
10+ years	2609	23.7

* Cohort members were excluded when date of birth was missing (n=55), date of death was prior to 1960 (n=8), or when date lost to follow-up was prior to 1960 (n=13).

† Deaths from 1960–2008.

Table 2

Mortality from ALS overall and by year of first exposure, duration of exposure, and time since first exposure among garment workers, 1960–2008

	Obs	SMR (95% CI)
Overall	8	0.89 (0.38, 1.75)
Year of first exposure		
Prior to 1963	5	0.84 (0.27, 1.96)
1963–1970	3	1.29 (0.27, 3.78)
1971 or later	0	0.00 (0.00, 4.92)
Duration of exposure		
< 3 years	2	0.61 (0.07, 2.21)
3–9 years	3	1.17 (0.24, 3.41)
10+ years	3	0.94 (0.19, 2.75)
Time since first exposure		
< 10 years	1	3.50 (0.09, 19.52)
10–19 years	0	0.00 (0.00, 4.19)
20+ years	7	0.89 (0.36, 1.83)

OBS=observed number of ALS deaths based on underlying cause; SMR=standardized mortality ratio (US referent rates); CI=confidence interval.