Published in final edited form as:

J Neurosci Methods. 2013 November 15; 220(2): . doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2013.05.008.

Approaches and tools for modeling signaling pathways and calcium dynamics in neurons

KT Blackwell*

George Mason University, The Krasnow Institute for Advanced Studies, MS 2A1, Fairfax, VA 22030-444, USA

Abstract

Signaling pathways are cascades of intracellular biochemical reactions that are activated by transmembrane receptors, and ultimately lead to transcription in the nucleus. In neurons, both calcium permeable synaptic and ionic channels as well as G protein coupled receptors initiate activation of signaling pathway molecules that interact with electrical activity at multiple spatial and time scales. At small temporal and spatial scales, calcium modifies the properties of ionic channels, whereas at larger temporal and spatial scales, various kinases and phosphatases modify the properties of ionic channels, producing phenomena such as synaptic plasticity and homeostatic plasticity. The elongated structure of neuronal dendrites and the organization of multi-protein complexes by anchoring proteins implies that the spatial dimension must be explicit. Therefore, modeling signaling pathways in neurons utilizes algorithms for both diffusion and reactions. The small size of spines coupled with small concentrations of some molecules implies that some reactions occur stochastically. The need for stochastic simulation of many reaction and diffusion events coupled with the multiple temporal and spatial scales makes modeling of signaling pathways a difficult problem. Several different software programs have achieved different aspects of these capabilities. This review explains some of the mathematical formulas used for modeling reactions and diffusion. In addition, it briefly presents the simulators used for modeling reaction-diffusion systems in neurons, together with scientific problems addressed.

INTRODUCTION

Signaling pathways are cascades of intracellular biochemical reactions and diffusion. They are typically activated by transmembrane receptors, and ultimately produce signaling to the nucleus, i.e., gene transcription. Many of these transmembrane receptors are G protein coupled receptors, where the bound receptor acts as an enzyme to catalyze the exchange of GDP for GTP, followed by dissociation of the G subunit from the G dimer (Premont and Gainetdinov, 2007). Both parts of the G protein can have downstream targets, either directly binding to and modifying activity of ion channels, or binding to enzymes such as adenylyl cyclase or phospholipase C to produce diffusible second messengers (Pierce, Premont et al., 2002). These pathways ultimately lead to phosphorylation or dephosphorylation events which change the state of key transmembrane receptors and ion channels, and also induce transcription and translation of new proteins (Hawk and Abel, 2011; Tanaka, 2001).

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding author: Phone: 703 993-4381, Fax: 703 993-4325, kblackw1@gmu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Calcium is a part of these signaling pathways in its role as a multi-functional, diffusible second messenger (Greer and Greenberg, 2008). Calcium can directly bind to and modulate ion channel activity; it can modulate the activity of G protein activated enzymes such as adenylyl cyclase and phospholipase C; through its binding to calmodulin, calcium can directly activate calcium calmodulin dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) and protein phosphatase type 2B (calcineurin). What makes calcium unique from other second messengers is that it derives from influx through voltage dependent or synaptic ion channels. In addition, under some circumstances a major source of calcium is release from the smooth endoplasmic reticulum through calcium sensitive, calcium permeable channels (Hartmann and Konnerth, 2005).

Intense interest in the function of signaling pathways is due to their implication in disease. More than 50% of pharmaceuticals target G protein coupled receptors or downstream effectors (Howard, McAllister et al., 2001). Signaling pathways are critically important for all cell types, from bacteria to mammalian systems. Modeling of signaling pathways has contributed to discovery in many areas of systems biology such as development (von Kriegsheim A., Baiocchi et al., 2009), cell cycle (Novak, Tyson et al., 2007), cardiology (Jafri, 2012), immunology (Fallahi-Sichani, El-Kebir et al., 2011), and cancer biology (Aksamitiene, Achanta et al., 2011; Fey, Croucher et al., 2012).

Although most research in computational neuroscience involves modeling or analysis of action potentials, understanding the signaling pathways underlying plasticity of intrinsic excitability or plasticity of synaptic responses is crucial for understanding learning and information storage. Signaling pathways interact with electrical activity at multiple spatial and time scales (Fig 1), and the complexity of feedback loops and other pathway structures precludes a deep understanding of information processing without dynamical modeling. At the smallest time scales, calcium activates calcium dependent potassium channels (Berkefeld, Sailer et al., 2006; Hirschberg, Maylie et al., 1999) and inactivates voltage dependent calcium channels (Rankovic, Landgraf et al., 2011). The spatial scale for this temporal interaction tends to be small because tight regulation of calcium limits spread of the signal. At longer time scales, the phosphorylation or dephosphorylation of ionic and synaptic channels modulates neuron excitability (Daoudal and Debanne, 2003) and shapes synaptic integration (Lisman, Schulman et al., 2002). The spatial scales for these longer time scales depends on whether the second messengers, kinases and phosphatases are highly diffusible, or are spatially confined by anchoring (Hulme, Lin et al., 2003) or rapid degradation (Tostevin, ten Wolde et al., 2007). At the longest time scales, signaling pathways that lead to gene transcription and protein translation can produce changes that last the lifetime of the organism (Costa-Mattioli, Sossin et al., 2009). Behavioral experiments have demonstrated the importance of various kinases and protein synthesis for long term memory (Abel, Nguyen et al., 1997; Shalin, Hernandez et al., 2006), but the interaction among all of these spatial and temporal scales implies that all of them are involved in learning, memory and information processing.

In summary, a complete understanding of neuronal function requires integration of electrical models of neurons with signaling pathway models of neurons. Both the spatial gradients produced by the elongated structure of neuronal dendrites and the organization of multi-protein complexes by anchoring proteins implies that the spatial structure must be explicit. Therefore, to model and simulate second messenger pathways in neurons requires algorithms for both diffusion and reactions, both deterministic and stochastic. This paper describes the various biochemical processes involved in signaling pathways, and also the modeling tools used to investigate signaling pathways. The first part of this review presents the biochemical reactions and mathematical equations used to describe and model signaling

pathways. The second part of the review provides a brief description of several useful modeling tools and the models implemented using those tools.

BIOCHEMICAL REACTIONS AND DIFFUSION

Both signaling pathways and mechanisms controlling calcium concentration are modeled as systems of uni- and bi-molecular reactions and diffusion. The rate at which reactions occur depend on factors such as frequency of collision between reacting molecules, energy of such collisions, and conformation of the collision (Stenesh, 1993). In order to simulate large systems of signaling pathways, various approximations are made in describing rates of reactions. Typically, the frequency of collision is captured by the concentration or density of reacting molecules, and the other factors governing the rate of reaction are captured in a single rate constant parameter. If the number of molecules is sufficiently large (e.g. in the cell body), the rate of change in molecule quantities can be described deterministically, whereas with small numbers of molecules (e.g. in spines) the reactions are more accurately simulated stochastically (Liu, Pu et al., 2012).

Uni- and bi-molecular reactions

Uni-molecular reactions are transformations of a molecule from one form to another. For example, a single sodium channel gate can transform from the closed state (m_c) to the open state (m_o) with forward rate k_f and backward rate k_b .

A bimolecular reaction is a stoichiometric interaction between 2 (or more) substrate molecules to form 1 or 2 (or more) product molecules, and usually involves formation of a bond between the substrate molecules:

where S_1 and S_2 are substrates, and S_3 and S_4 are products. Though different types of bonds are possible, such details are excluded when modeling systems of reactions, and only the rate or propensity of a reaction occurring is important. A stoichiometric interaction implies that the reaction specifies the number of each molecule type required for the reaction, e.g. *one* hydroxy ion plus *one* hydrogen ion create *one* water molecule. This stoichiometry implies that molecules are consumed in order to make the product, i.e. mass is neither created nor destroyed.

When modeled deterministically, the change in molecule S_3 over time for bimolecular reaction (2) is:

$$\frac{d[S_3]}{dt} = k_f \cdot [S_1][S_2] - k_b \cdot [S_3][S_4] \quad (3)$$

where $[S_i]$ is the concentration of molecule S_i , $i = 1, 4$. This formulation is often called mass action kinetics.

Enzyme reactions

An enzyme reaction is a special type of two step bimolecular reaction in which the enzyme is regenerated in the second step. Thus, enzyme, E, is not consumed, and each enzyme molecule can make multiple product molecules, allowing amplification:

ES_1 is the enzyme substrate complex, and typically the rate of the second backward reaction, from $E + S_2$ to S_1 , is considered 0. Deriving the mathematical equations for an enzyme reaction, or indeed for any system of equations, involves (1) writing an equation for the time dependent concentration of each molecule, and (2) including terms describing each path (set of arrows) leading toward and away from each molecule, i.e., rates of reactions producing and consuming the molecule. Thus, the deterministic equations for the system of two enzyme reactions is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[S_1]}{dt} &= -k_f[E] \cdot [S_1] + k_b[ES_1] \\ \frac{d[E]}{dt} &= -k_f[E] \cdot [S_1] + k_b[ES_1] + k_{cat}[ES_1] \\ \frac{d[ES_1]}{dt} &= k_f[E] \cdot [S_1] - k_b[ES_1] - k_{cat}[ES_1] \\ \frac{d[S_2]}{dt} &= k_{cat}[ES_1] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $[S_1]$ is the concentration of the substrate, $[S_2]$ is concentration of the product, and $[E]$ is concentration of the enzyme. Note that if this were a closed system, two of the four molecules could be calculated by conservation of mass, e.g. $[E] = [E_{tot}] - [ES_1]$, where E_{tot} is the total enzyme in the system. Under some conditions, most notably that ES is in equilibrium and that substrate concentration is much greater than enzyme concentration (Tzafriri and Edelman, 2005), a single differential equation can be used to calculate product formation in an enzyme reaction:

$$\frac{d[S_2]}{dt} = \frac{k_{cat}[E] \cdot [S_1]}{[S_1] + K_M}, \text{ where } K_M = \frac{k_b + k_{cat}}{k_f} \quad (6)$$

However, if the enzyme concentration varies rapidly, the assumption of ES in equilibrium is violated and this simplification is not valid. Other conditions which invalidate this approximation are discussed elsewhere (Chen, Niepel et al., 2010).

When reactions are modeled stochastically, the rate constants and molecule concentrations are used to calculate the likelihood (propensity) that a reaction will occur. A set of molecules interacting in a system is specified with a state vector $\mathbf{x}$, in which x_i denotes the number of molecules of species S_i . $a_j(\mathbf{x}) dt$ is the propensity of reaction j during the infinitesimal time interval dt (e.g. $a_j(\mathbf{x}) = k_f x_1 \cdot dt$ for a unimolecular reaction involving species S_1 and $k_f x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot dt$ for a bimolecular reaction involving species S_1 and S_2). The stoichiometry matrix, $\mathbf{v}$, specifies the change in population i when the j th reaction occurs. Using this notation, the entire system of R reactions can be specified in a matrix format known as the chemical master equation (Gillespie, 1977):

$$\frac{\partial P(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0, t_0)}{\partial t} = \sum_{j=1}^R [a_f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}_j) \cdot P(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}_j, t | \mathbf{x}_0, t_0) - a_j(\mathbf{x}) \cdot P(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0, t_0)] \quad (7)$$

Because the chemical master equation cannot be solved for anything but trivial problems, solutions typically are obtained using Monte Carlo simulations.

Diffusion

Several characteristics of neurons require consideration of molecule movement along concentration gradients. Both the extremely elongated dendrites and the thin necked dendritic spines support different concentrations between various dendritic locations, or between spine head and dendrite (Harvey, Yasuda et al., 2008; Lee, Escobedo-Lozoya et al., 2009). The concentration gradients created by these spatial features implies that diffusion – the movement of molecules from an area of high concentration to an area of low concentration via Brownian motion – significantly contributes to signaling pathway dynamics (Schmidt, Arendt et al., 2012). As is the case with reactions, diffusion can be described quantitatively either deterministically or stochastically. When considered deterministically, diffusion is described using a partial differential equation, here given for one spatial dimension, y :

$$\frac{\partial C(y, t)}{\partial t} = D \cdot \frac{\partial^2 C(y, t)}{\partial y^2} \quad (8)$$

Derivation of the deterministic diffusion equation (which is identical to the heat equation) gives an intuitive feel for the geometrical factors, such as spine neck radius and length, influencing the rate of movement of molecules, and can be found in many sources (Haberman, 1997).

The stochastic diffusion equation gives the probability that the stochastic process $Z(t)$, which describes the position of a particle in space, will transition from state z_0 at time t_0 to state z at time t :

$$\frac{\partial P(z, t | z_0, t_0)}{\partial t} = D \cdot \frac{\partial^2 P(z, t | z_0, t_0)}{\partial z^2} \quad (9)$$

Approaches to simulating both the stochastic diffusion equation and the continuous diffusion equation are described in the section on simulation.

CALCIUM

Calcium is a component of many signaling pathways, and also provides an interaction between the biochemical and electrical aspects of neurons. Calcium diffuses and reacts with other molecules as described above. Most of the calcium reacts with “buffer” molecules, such as calbindin and parvalbumin (Schmidt, Stiefel et al., 2003), which serve to prevent too high a calcium concentration. Calcium also binds to calmodulin (Faas, Raghavachari et al., 2011), which then activates diverse enzymes, such as adenylyl cyclase, calcineurin, and CaMKII (Xia and Storm, 2005). Another mechanism for controlling the elevation in calcium concentration is transport across membranes. Several plasma membrane proteins, such as the sodium calcium exchanger and the calcium ATPase, transport calcium from the cytosol to the extracellular space (Blaustein, Juhaszova et al., 2002). Other transporters are located on the membranes of intracellular organelles, such as the smooth endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase (Solovyova and Verkhratsky, 2003). The smooth endoplasmic reticulum is itself a source for calcium: calcium is released from the smooth endoplasmic reticulum through the IP₃ (and calcium sensitive) receptor channels (De Young and Keizer, 1992), and the calcium sensitive ryanodine receptor channels (Tang and Othmer, 1994).

The interaction with electrical activity includes both influx from calcium permeable ion channels and modulation of calcium dependent potassium channels. The influx of calcium through voltage dependent channels is typically modeled with an equation describing the voltage dependent gating of the calcium channel:

$$f_o = m(V, t)^P \cdot h(V, t) \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{m - m_{ss}}{\tau_m}, \quad \frac{dh}{dt} = \frac{h - h_{ss}}{\tau_h}$$

The time constants (τ_h, τ_m) and steady state values (m_{ss}, h_{ss}) of the gating variables are voltage dependent, though calcium channels also exhibit calcium dependent inactivation (Tuckwell, 2012), implying that h_{ss} also depends on calcium. Given the open fraction, f_o , the current through the channel can be modeled as if ohmic:

$$I(V, t) = G_{\max} \cdot f_o \cdot (V - E_{\text{rev}}) \quad (11)$$

where E_{rev} is the reversal potential for calcium and $G_{\max}$ is the maximal conductance, or using the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz formula, which captures the observation that calcium channels do not reverse:

$$I(V, t) = P_{Ca} \cdot f_o \cdot \frac{z^2 F^2 V}{RT} \frac{[Ca_i] - [Ca_o] e^{-\frac{zFV}{RT}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{zFV}{RT}}} \quad (12)$$

where F is Faraday's constant, R is the ideal gas constant, T is temperature in Kelvin, and P_{Ca} is permeability of the channel to calcium. Glutamate receptor channels, such as NMDA and some AMPA subtypes, also are calcium sources because they have some degree of calcium permeability (Jahr and Stevens, 1993). Calcium influx through these channels is determined by multiplying the synaptic conductance by the fractional calcium permeability. Calcium influx, I_{Ca} is calculated from calcium current by dividing by Faraday's constant and the valence, z , of calcium ions:

$$\Phi_{Ca} = \frac{I_{Ca}}{zF} \quad (13)$$

Modulation of calcium dependent potassium channels can be described as a separate binding reaction to the channel, e.g. when a Markov kinetic model formulation is used to calculate f_o (Rothberg and Magleby, 1999), or using calcium dependent rate constants (analogous to the voltage dependent rate constants), when using Eqn 13. The exact form of the gating variables depend on the type of potassium channel, in that SK (voltage independent) potassium channels have a calcium term but no voltage term (Hirschberg, Maylie et al., 1999):

$$m_{ss}(Ca) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{EC50}{[Ca^{2+}]} \right)^H}, \quad \tau_m = K \quad (14)$$

whereas gating variables of the BK channels depend on both calcium and voltage (Berkefeld, Sailer et al., 2006).

SIMULATION

Many different software simulation tools are available to model signaling pathways in neurons. One class of approaches is to use a general purpose equation solver. XPPAUT is one such software package that is freely available and can be learned easily from the

accompanying tutorial (<http://www.math.pitt.edu/~bard/bardware/tut/start.html>). MATLAB and Python are other commonly used software tools for simulation. Another approach is to use software specially written to simulate signaling pathways within neurons. The next part of the paper reviews many of these simulators that have been used for reaction-diffusion systems, both explaining some of the distinguishing characteristics of the simulators (Table 1 summarizes a few of their properties), and also explaining a particular question in neuroscience addressed using the simulator. The numerous models of signaling pathways that exclude diffusion are not discussed here; nor are models of calcium dynamics alone, which are reviewed elsewhere (Blackwell, 2013).

Deterministic Simulators

The first group of simulators employs deterministic calculations of reaction and diffusion for signaling pathways. Both Kinetikit (Vayttaden and Bhalla, 2004) and Chemesis (Blackwell and Hellgren-Kotaleski, 2002) are extensions of the GENESIS (Bower and Beeman, 1998) neural simulation software, adding capabilities of modeling reaction-diffusion systems to software extensively used for modeling electrical activity. VCell (Moraru, Schaff et al., 2008) was designed for simulating signaling pathways in neurons. Neuron is used less frequently for modeling signaling pathways in neurons, though the kinetic scheme for reactions can be specified in the nmodl language, and capabilities for calcium diffusion exist. Consequently, most signaling pathway models using NEURON implement the biochemical pathways in a different simulator and then interact with the NEURON electrical model (Allam, Ghaderi et al., 2012; Djurfeldt, Hjorth et al., 2010). Both Kinetikit and VCell have both stochastic and deterministic capabilities, but are described in this section because most of their published neuroscience models use deterministic simulation.

Chemesis has been used for modeling signaling pathways and calcium dynamics underlying classical conditioning in the marine mollusc *Hermisenda crassicornis* (Blackwell, 2004). Chemesis simulates both one and two-dimensional diffusion in addition to bimolecular and enzyme reactions. The numerical methods for both reactions and diffusion use the GENESIS solvers, and thus typically employ the exponential Euler. Models of calcium concentration can include Markov kinetic model of calcium release from intracellular stores, both the eight state model of the inositol triphosphate (IP₃) receptor channel (De Young and Keizer, 1992), or the four state model of the ryanodine receptor (Dupont and Goldbeter, 1994), as well as ATPase pumps and the sodium-calcium exchanger. The software is freely available: <http://krasnow1.gmu.edu/CENlab/software.html>, and a tutorial on using Chemesis is available (Blackwell and Hellgren-Kotaleski, 2002).

The software capabilities of Chemesis were employed to investigate how paired presentation of light (the conditioned stimulus) and turbulence (the unconditioned stimulus) lead to enhanced calcium elevation, and down regulation of potassium channels in the *Hermisenda* photoreceptor. The photoreceptor model (Fig 2) included voltage dependent ion dependent ion channels, and also the biochemical cascade of rhodopsin activation of phospholipase C, which produced IP₃ in the rhabdomere. The model also included synaptic input to the photoreceptor from the turbulence sensing hair cells which led to production of IP₃ in the terminal branches of the neurite. Both sources of IP₃ initiated calcium release which propagated as waves toward each other. The main result was that paired turbulence and light did *not* produce a supralinear elevation in calcium, instead the two waves destructively interfered, indicating that some other mechanism caused learning in these neurons in response to paired stimuli.

Kinetikit was designed for large scale modeling of signaling pathways in neurons and has capabilities for both stochastic and deterministic simulation. The exponential Euler is used for deterministic calculations of reactions and 1 dimensional diffusion. The stochastic

algorithm is lattice based and allows multiple reaction and diffusion events per time step. Because the adaptive stochastic algorithm switches to deterministic for large numbers of molecules, it is classified as a hybrid algorithm. The database of pathways (Sivakumaran, Hariharaputran et al., 2003) allows re-use and combination of selected pathways into novel models, and the graphical user interface facilitates development of completely novel models. Kinetikit is distributed as part of the GENESIS software (<http://www.genesis-sim.org/GENESIS/>), and a tutorial on using Kinetikit is found in (Bower and Beeman, 1998; Vayttaden and Bhalla, 2004).

Kinetikit has been employed in numerous publications, most notably investigating emergent properties such as gates and switches (Bhalla, 2002; Bhalla and Iyengar, 1999). More recently Kinetikit has been used in a spatial model integrated with electrical activity to investigate mechanisms underlying the all or none phosphorylation of mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) in a subset of dendrites in hippocampal area CA1 after induction of long term potentiation (LTP) (Ajay and Bhalla, 2007). A series of models evaluated whether diffusion from a single point of calcium influx could account for the > 100 μm long phosphorylated MAPK observed experimentally, or whether calcium influx at multiple points along the dendrite were required. Simulations showed that diffusion was not sufficient to explain the spatially extended phosphorylated MAPK, and that either distributed synaptic input or a dendritic action potential was required. This biochemical model was activated by input from the electrical model, but there was no feedback from the biochemical to the electrical model. Therefore, to further develop this multi-scale model with bi-directional interactions, the biochemical reactions were simplified, while still retaining their essential dynamical properties such as bi-stability and switching (Bhalla, 2011). Not only did calcium from the electrical model activate biochemical reactions, but also phosphorylated MAPK controlled conductance of the transient potassium channel and AMPAR trafficking in the biochemical model controlled the AMPAR conductance in the electrical model. Simulations, which used MOOSE/kinetikit2 (<http://moose.ncbs.res.in/>), demonstrated that LTP induction potentiates some spines and enhances dendritic excitability. The latter combined with background activity resulted in depression of some spines. Thus, this model, perhaps the largest and best integrated in terms of electrical-biochemical interactions, suggests a mechanism for homeostatic plasticity.

VCell has been used to develop signaling pathway models in a large variety of cell types in addition to neurons. VCell includes multiple numerical methods, both for stochastic (described below) and deterministic simulation. VCell has long employed various stiff solvers for reaction systems, and a semi-implicit, fixed time step method for diffusion. For more efficient simulation of diffusion the developers recently incorporated a finite volume method for spatial discretization coupled with a fully implicit solver and adaptive time step control. A User Guide and several tutorials explains model development and simulation using VCell: <http://www.ncam.uchc.edu/vcell> software/user guide.html.

In neuroscience the VCell software has been used to investigate how coincident activation of parallel fibers and climbing fibers in Purkinje cells of the cerebellum leads to long term depression (LTD). The model included calcium activation of phospholipase C and production of IP₃ and diacylglycerol from the membrane phospholipid phosphoinositol biphosphate. The original models (Brown, Morgan et al., 2008; Hernjak, Slepchenko et al., 2005) showed that spine geometry influences the ability to generate a supralinear calcium signal in response to paired parallel fiber and climbing fiber stimulation. In addition, the decrease in IP₃ as it diffused away from the activated spine conveyed spatial specificity – adjacent spines exhibited no calcium release. Though these models did not include explicit electrical activity, a more recent model employed a simplified morphology which exhibits

similar electrical activity to a full NEURON model, and also exhibits supralinear calcium elevation in response to paired stimulation (Brown, Moraru et al., 2011).

Another VCell model investigated the control of microdomains of signaling pathways downstream of the α -adrenergic receptor in the soma and dendrite of a hippocampal pyramidal neuron (Neves, Tsokas et al., 2008). The model included activation of adenylyl cyclase, production of cAMP, and activation of protein kinase A (PKA), which led to activation of the MAPK cascade. Phosphodiesterases inactivated the cAMP, and two phosphatases turned off several kinases in the model. Simulations revealed two main factors controlling the spatial gradients: dendritic diameter, and negative regulators such as phosphodiesterases and phosphatases.

Stochastic Simulators

The second group of simulators use stochastic simulation of signaling pathways to investigate fundamental questions in neuroscience. Stochastic simulation of reaction-diffusion systems is required whenever the number of molecules is small, e.g. < 10 (Liu, Pu et al., 2012), a situation that occurs frequently in dendritic spines due to their small size. There are several approaches to modeling diffusion stochastically, with the two most common approaches used in neuroscience being particle-based and grid-based. Yet a third approach encompasses hybrid solvers that integrate lattice based-stochastic methods with deterministic methods into a single solver. For example, some simulators, such as the hybrid tau-leaping method (Rossinelli, Bayati et al., 2008) simulates diffusion deterministically and reactions stochastically. An alternative method, such as the spatial partitioned leaping algorithm (Iyengar, Harris et al., 2010) categorizes each reaction and diffusion based on propensity and/or number of molecules, and then simulates one set of reactions (and diffusions) stochastically and the other set deterministically. After briefly describing the general numerical approach used by these two different classes of stochastic simulations (hybrid simulators are included in the section on lattice based simulators), I present several simulators in each of these two classes that have been used to address questions in neuroscience, and also describe a few of the unique characteristics of each simulator.

In the particle based methods (Andrews, Addy et al., 2010), the subcellular space is represented as membrane surfaces together with the surrounding or enclosed space, and the state of the system is the location of each molecule within the space. The distance a molecule moves, r , during a time step t is determined from the probability distribution:

$$p(\Delta r, \Delta t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi D \Delta t)^{3/2}} e^{\left[-\frac{\Delta r^2}{4D \Delta t}\right]} \quad (15)$$

and the direction of movement is uniformly distributed. The location of each particle is traced as it diffuses through the space and reactions occur when two particles pass sufficiently near each other.

MCell is the oldest (Bartol, Jr., Land et al., 1991) and most well known particle simulator used to investigate cell signaling in neuroscience. The numerical algorithm employs several different heuristics to determine reaction occurrence, e.g., whether the reaction is between two surface molecules, two volume molecules, or one surface and one volume molecule (Kerr, Bartol et al., 2008). In all cases the reaction probability depends on the probability of collision and the probability of a reaction given a collision. To optimize speed and accuracy of the random walk, look-up tables store radial distance and direction of movement, which are then selected using uniform random numbers. A tutorial on using Mcell is found in <http://www.mcell.org/tutorials/>.

A fascinating question about synaptic plasticity addressed using MCell is how coincidence detection by NMDA receptors can control calcium elevation in the spine. To address this question, an MCell model of calcium dynamics was coupled with a NEURON simulation of a pyramidal neuron to obtain the dendritic voltage and voltage-dependent open probability of calcium channels (Keller, Franks et al., 2008). The MCell model included calcium buffers and pumps, and calcium permeable channels, including NMDA receptors. Simulations using these coupled models revealed that calcium concentration in the post-synaptic density (PSD) was enhanced by an appropriately timed action potential much more so than the average spine calcium, revealing the importance of calcium micro-domains. Most recently, MCell has been used for highly accurate 3D reconstructions of neuropil from electron-microscope tomography (Kinney, Spacek et al., 2013).

Two other particle based simulators have become available recently for neuroscience modeling. Smoldyn (Andrews, Addy et al., 2010) is a stand alone software system that also has been incorporated into MOOSE (an update of the GENESIS simulation software: <http://moose.ncbs.res.in/>) and VCell (Cowan, Moraru et al., 2012). Models with complicated morphology can be constructed in Smoldyn, though most models employ regular geometry, such as cuboid and ellipsoids. Diffusion in a membrane is simulated using Gaussian-distributed random displacements in three dimension, followed by a projection back onto the membrane surface. Second order reactions occur with probability 1 when diffusing particles pass within a distance specified by the binding radius of both particles. The binding radius depends on the reaction rate, diffusion constant and the simulation step size. A users guide explains how to create and simulate models http://www.smoldyn.org/Smoldyn_doc1.pdf.

In addition to various systems biology publications (Lipkow, Andrews et al., 2005), Smoldyn has been used to study CaMKII aggregation in dendritic spines (Khan, Reese et al., 2012; Khan, Zou et al., 2011). Close coupling of imaging experiments that employ fluorescence recovery after photoactivation with simulations of CaMKII diffusion and binding demonstrate that CaMKII diffuses out of a mushroom spine more slowly than CaMKII, which is consistent with the known binding to F-actin of CaMKII. Smoldyn also has been employed to investigate the role of NMDAR subunit composition (Singh, Hockenberry et al., 2011). The model consisted of a synaptic cleft in which glutamate diffused, and a post-synaptic part with AMPA receptors and various di- and tri-heteromeric NMDA receptors. Simulations demonstrated that the activation of different NMDAR subtypes depended on the stimulation frequency and number of glutamate vesicles released.

An even more recent particle based simulator for stochastic reaction-diffusion modeling is CDS (Byrne, Waxham et al., 2010), which is an event driven algorithm that approximates the first passage scheme. An advantage of the CDS algorithm compared to VCell and Smoldyn is that it takes into account volume exclusion, and thus can simulate the effect of molecular crowding which may affect both diffusion and reactions in dendritic spines which have a high density of proteins. The software and a users guide is available for download: <http://nba.uth.tmc.edu/cds/content/download.htm>. The CDS software was used to model the activation and accumulation of CaMKII in dendritic spines (Byrne, Waxham et al., 2011). The narrowness of spine necks impedes diffusion of CaMKII out of the spine, but this factor alone does not account for the long retention time of CaMKII. Simulations demonstrate that binding targets in the PSD help to retain CaMKII, and also bundled F-actin at the base of the spine impeded diffusion out of the spine. All three mechanisms are required to explain CaMKII accumulation in spines in response to calcium influx through NMDA receptors.

In the lattice-based methods, the subcellular space is subdivided into lattice points or voxels (Takahashi, Arjunan et al., 2005) using a mesh generation algorithm, and molecules are

represented as populations within each voxel. The location of molecules is less precise than in the particle based simulators, and is limited by the voxel size.

The probability that a molecule moves from one voxel to an adjacent one depends on distance between the center of the voxels, y , and the area, A of the shared surface between the two voxels:

$$p = \frac{D \cdot A \cdot dt}{\Delta y} \quad (16)$$

Reactions can occur between molecules within the same voxel. The propensity of reactions occurring is calculated within each voxel, and in some cases, the likelihood that a molecule moves from one grid point to another is included as if it were another reaction (Elf and Ehrenberg, 2004; Koh and Blackwell, 2011). The state of the system, $\mathbf{Z}$, is a matrix composed of SV vectors $\mathbf{z}$, in which z_{ki} denotes the *number* of molecules of species S_i in voxel k . This notation is used to derive the equation called the reaction-diffusion master equation (Drawert, Lawson et al., 2010):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial P(\mathbf{z}, t | \mathbf{z}_0, t_0)}{\partial t} = & \sum_{k=1}^{SV} \sum_{j=1}^R [a_j(\mathbf{z}_k - \mathbf{v}_j) \cdot P(\mathbf{z}_k - \mathbf{v}_j, t | \mathbf{z}_0, t_0) - a_j(\mathbf{z}_k) \cdot P(\mathbf{z}_k, t | \mathbf{z}_0, t_0)] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{Sp} \sum_{k=1}^{SV} \sum_{m=1}^{adj} [d_{i,k,m}(\mathbf{z} - G_i^{k,m}) \cdot P(\mathbf{z} - G_i^{k,m}, t | \mathbf{z}_0, t_0) - d_{i,k,m}(\mathbf{z}) \cdot P(\mathbf{z}, t | \mathbf{z}_0, t_0)] \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $d_{i,k,m}$ is the rate of diffusion of species S_i from voxel k to one of the adjacent voxels m , Sp is the number of diffusible species, and $G_i^{k,m}$ is the stoichiometry matrix. As with the chemical master equation, the reaction-diffusion master equation is solved using Monte Carlo simulations.

STEPS is a spatial extension of the exact stochastic simulation algorithm, similar to the spatial next reaction method (Hattne, Fange et al., 2005) incorporated into mesoRD; the most significant difference from mesoRD is in the use of tetrahedral instead of cuboidal meshes, which allows STEPS to simulate more realistic geometries. To facilitate model development, simulations can be specified using Python (Wils and De, 2009), and the software is available for download: <http://steps.sourceforge.net/STEPS/Home.html>.

STEPS has been used to investigate cerebellar LTD in a single spine (Antunes and De, 2012). The positive feedback loop of protein kinase C - MAPK - phospholipase A_2 , was updated from the version in the hippocampal model, and was simulated with the addition of AMPA receptor phosphorylation and trafficking. This model demonstrated that due to the small size of spines and the stochastic nature of reactions, the number of synaptic AMPA receptors exhibited a bimodal distribution, suggesting that LTD was an all-or-none phenomena in single spines. Although single small spines did not exhibit graded responses to calcium influx, large spines (i.e. in the deterministic regime) and populations of spines did indeed exhibit graded responses. This result provides an outstanding example of how the dynamical behavior of a system depends on whether simulations are performed stochastically or deterministically.

NeuroRD is a spatial extension of the Gillespie tau-leap algorithm (Gillespie, 2001). The computational efficiency in a tau-leap algorithm results from allowing multiple reaction and diffusion events to occur during each time step. The morphology, reactions, stimulation, and initial conditions are specified in separate files to facilitate performing control simulations,

analogous to experimental work flow. The software is available for download with an explanation on developing and simulating models: <http://krasnow1.gmu.edu/CENlab/software.html>.

NeuroRD has been used to investigate two spatial aspects of long term synaptic plasticity in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons (Kim, Park et al., 2011): the role of multi-protein complexes, and the extent of spatial specificity. Proteins are not randomly distributed in a neuron, but are organized into multi-protein complexes, by molecules such as A kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs). Both AKAP12 and AKAP5 are highly expressed in the hippocampus and striatum, and have binding sites for protein kinase A as well as adenylyl cyclase, calcineurin, protein kinase C, L type calcium channels, or adrenergic receptors. Experiments show that disruption of anchoring produces deficits in protein kinase A dependent forms of LTP in the hippocampus, but it is unknown whether anchoring near activator molecules, such as adenylyl cyclase, or targets, such as AMPA receptors, is more important. To address this question, a model was created in NeuroRD of calcium and Gs coupled signaling pathways in a dendrite with spines. Simulations were performed using four trains of 100 Hz stimulation as input, in model variants in which protein kinase A is colocalized either with its activator adenylyl cyclase, or targets, such as AMPA receptors, or both. Simulations showed that protein kinase A activity was higher when localized with adenylyl cyclase, due to strong inactivation mechanisms for cAMP. This model also was used to investigate the spatial specificity of synaptic activation. Though cAMP exhibits spatial gradients which with a spatial decay constant of $\sim 3.5 \mu\text{m}$, protein kinase A activity did not exhibit spatial specificity. A similar result obtained in the striatal model (Oliveira, Kim et al., 2012), which further showed that lack of spatial specificity was due to diffusion of DARPP-32. These models are exemplary for investigating spatial aspects of signaling pathways using stochastic approaches.

Outside of synaptic plasticity, phototransduction is the most common subject of signaling pathway modeling, because it is the best characterized G protein signaling pathway. Indeed, the history of computational models that investigate the role of calcium, as well as activation, inactivation and recovery of the light response is as extensive as that of synaptic plasticity. Most of these models use Michaelis-Menton kinetics to simplify the biochemical reaction system, use deterministic simulation techniques and exclude diffusion, e.g. (Korenbrod, 2012; Lamb and Pugh, Jr., 1992; Tranchina, Sneyd et al., 1991); however, a subset of models do include diffusion. One of the first models implemented the rhodopsin, transducin, phosphodiesterase cascade, using custom written software for diffusion via random walk (Lamb, 1994). Simulations demonstrated that coupling efficiency of transducin (the G protein) to the phosphodiesterase (the enzyme) depended on both the diffusion coefficients as well as concentration of the enzyme. In addition, when reactions were not diffusion limited, i.e., they occurred after collision with a probability less than one, then a delay in enzyme activation appeared, consistent with experiments. This model did not include inactivation mechanisms, but these were added to later models as they became better characterized. For example, a hybrid deterministic-stochastic model, programmed in MATLAB, was developed to investigate the source of variability in the single photon photocurrent (Bisegna, Caruso et al., 2008). The diffusion calculations and some first order reactions were performed deterministically, whereas the state transitions for rhodopsin inactivation were drawn from an exponentially distributed random variable. Simulations demonstrated that multi-step inactivation of isomerized rhodopsin is the major source of variability. Whereas previous models claimed that abrupt turn off of rhodopsin limits the variability to the experimentally measured values (Hamer, Nicholas et al., 2005), this model revealed that diffusion of cGMP and calcium serves to reduce that variability, instead of contributing to variability.

Rate constants and parameter sensitivity

As with all modeling, constraining the rate constants with experimental measurements is important for simulations of reaction diffusion systems to provide meaningful results. Measurements of enzyme kinetics typically provide K_M from Eqn 6 (Bardsley, Leff et al., 1980), and often will provide a reaction velocity, equivalent to $k_{cat} [E]$. Thus, using Eqn 6 is common not only because it decreases the number of reactions in a system, but also because the measures of k_f and k_b needed for Eqn 5 are rarely available. A common assumption when using Eqn 5 is that $k_b = 4 k_{cat}$ (Bhalla and Iyengar, 1999). Similar to the case for enzyme reactions, measures of k_f and k_b often are not available for bimolecular reactions; instead measures of dissociation constant ($K_D = k_b/k_f$) are more commonly available (Desdouits, Cheetham et al., 1995; Herberg, Maleszka et al., 2000). Occasionally, experiments using stopped-flow techniques (Putkey, Kleerekoper et al., 2003; Waas, Rainey et al., 2003) are used to measure k_f , also known as the on-rate. The advent of live cell imaging (Giepmans, Adams et al., 2006) which shows the time course of activation of molecules coupled to fluorescent indicators provides an alternative approach to estimating kinetics of reactions. For example, the rate of protein kinase C activation by diacylglycerol can be inferred from the rate of translocation to the membrane in response to application of a diacylglycerol analog (Oancea and Meyer, 1998).

The diffusion constant depends on the radius of the diffusing molecule as well as viscosity of the fluid environment, η , and temperature, T in Kelvin. Sometimes diffusion constants are directly measured, e.g. fluorescent imaging experiments (Allbritton, Meyer et al., 1992). More commonly, they are estimated using molecular weight, N , as an estimate of radius (Young, Carroad et al., 1980):

$$D = \frac{8.34e^{-8T}}{\eta N^{1/3}} \quad (18)$$

The viscosity of cytosol has been estimated by comparing diffusion of molecules in water with diffusion in cytosol (Khan, Zou et al., 2011; Oliveira, Terrin et al., 2010).

Molecule location and quantity is often the most difficult parameter to constrain. This difficulty is similar to the lack of constraints for the maximal conductance of various ion channels in multi-compartmental modeling. The quantity of some proteins, such as CaMKII or DARPP32, have been published (Hemmings, Jr. and Greengard, 1986), and basal concentration of other molecules, such as calcium and cAMP, also have been measured (Maravall, Mainen et al., 2000; Mironov, Skorova et al., 2009). The location of various molecules can be determined from subcellular fractionation techniques (Xie, Adamowicz et al., 2006) or microscopy. Immunogold labeling coupled with electron microscopy has been used to count the number of molecules in spines versus dendrites, in the cytosol versus membrane-associated (Uchigashima, Narushima et al., 2007). Electron microscopy coupled with PSD isolation and gel electrophoresis has been used to measure the numbers of several proteins in the PSD (Chen, Vinade et al., 2005). Confocal fluorescence microscopy with double or triple labeling can identify whether molecules colocalize with pre- or post-synaptic proteins (Micheva, Busse et al., 2010). Calcium imaging has been used to estimate the number of NMDA receptors functioning during glutamatergic stimulation (Nimchinsky, Yasuda et al., 2004). Thus, the techniques used to measure molecule quantity are quite diverse.

Since many rate constants and molecule quantities are not available, it is necessary to adjust these unconstrained parameters to reproduce experimental results that represent the output of cascades of reactions and diffusion. For this reason and also due to uncertainty in parameter

values due to experimental variability, validating a model requires demonstrating that simulation results are somewhat robust to changes in parameters. Most types of parameter sensitivity analysis are classified into one of two types: local and global (Marino, Hogue et al., 2008; Zi, 2011). Local sensitivity analysis measures the response of a system to changes in parameters about a specific operating point. However, these results are valid only for small perturbations around that operating point and different sets of parameters may have a greater influence around different operating points. Global sensitivity analysis calculates the sensitivities in a widely sampled range of operating points, either regularly spaced or randomly selected; however, this approach tends to be more computationally intensive than local sensitivity analysis. One software tool that can perform both local and global sensitivity analysis is SBM-SAT (Zi, Zheng et al., 2008), which can analyze SBML models developed using any of the SBML compliant simulators. Yet a third approach, used by the reaction simulator Copasi (<http://www.copasi.org/>), combines parameter optimization with sensitivity analysis (Sahle, Mendes et al., 2008). Several optimization routines are offered, such as genetic algorithm, particle swarm and simulated annealing. All of these approaches become more difficult as parameter space is enlarged, and thus one work-around is to subdivide the system into modules and optimize each module independently (Jain and Bhalla, 2009).

CHALLENGES

Most signaling pathway models address questions related to synaptic plasticity and learning, or phototransduction. These publications demonstrate that several software tools can be used for developing integrated electrical-biochemical models of neurons. Nonetheless, only a subset of signaling pathway models have been integrated with electrical activity, and typically such models use a reduced number of electrical and/or biochemical compartments due to the computational complexity. Integration of electrical activity with signaling pathways is the goal of most scientists in this field; thus, the lack of bidirectional integration highlights the computational difficulty in achieving this goal. One challenge is the different temporal and spatial scales involved in synaptic plasticity. Electrical activity such as action potentials occur with sub-millisecond dynamics, whereas activation of some kinases, such as ERK1/2, occurs with a time scale of minutes, and transcription and translation of plasticity related proteins has a time scale of hours. The spatial scale of kinase activation related to synaptic plasticity is similarly diverse, with elevations in calcium being confined to single spines, yet initiation of gene transcription requiring signals traveling to the nucleus quite distant from the dendritic spines. Another challenge to developing integrated models relates to software development. Though there are many simulators available for biochemical systems, most do not include electrical activity. Conversely, the simulators for neuronal electrical activity do not have all the features, such as parameter optimization and sensitivity analysis, available in the biochemical simulators. Though simulators continue to include additional features, an alternative approach is develop interfaces for different simulators (Allam, Ghaderi et al., 2012; Djurfeldt, Hjorth et al., 2010). The increase in computational power as well as active development of novel algorithms signifies that integrated simulators and models are likely to become more common in the near future.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the ONR grant MURI N00014-10-1-0198 and the NIH-NSF CRCNS program through NIH R01 AA18066

References

- Abel T, Nguyen PV, Barad M, Deuel TA, Kandel ER, Bourtchouladze R. Genetic demonstration of a role for PKA in the late phase of LTP and in hippocampus-based long-term memory. *Cell*. 1997; 88:615–26. [PubMed: 9054501]
- Ajay SM, Bhalla US. A propagating ERKII switch forms zones of elevated dendritic activation correlated with plasticity. *HFSP J*. 2007; 1:49–66. [PubMed: 19404460]
- Aksamitiene E, Achanta S, Kolch W, Kholodenko BN, Hoek JB, Kiyatkin A. Prolactin-stimulated activation of ERK1/2 mitogen-activated protein kinases is controlled by PI3-kinase/Rac/PAK signaling pathway in breast cancer cells. *Cell Signal*. 2011; 23:1794–805. [PubMed: 21726627]
- Allam SL, Ghaderi VS, Bouteiller JM, Legendre A, Ambert N, Greget R, Bischoff S, Baudry M, Berger TW. A computational model to investigate astrocytic glutamate uptake influence on synaptic transmission and neuronal spiking. *Front Comput Neurosci*. 2012; 6:70. [PubMed: 23060782]
- Allbritton NL, Meyer T, Stryer L. Range of messenger action of calcium ion and inositol 1, 4, 5-triphosphate. *Science*. 1992; 258:1812–5. [PubMed: 1465619]
- Andrews SS, Addy NJ, Brent R, Arkin AP. Detailed simulations of cell biology with Smoldyn 2.1. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2010; 6:e1000705. [PubMed: 20300644]
- Antunes G, De SE. A stochastic signaling network mediates the probabilistic induction of cerebellar long-term depression. *J Neurosci*. 2012; 32:9288–300. [PubMed: 22764236]
- Bardsley WG, Leff P, Kavanagh J, Waight RD. Deviations from Michaelis-Menten kinetics. The possibility of complicated curves for simple kinetic schemes and the computer fitting of experimental data for acetylcholinesterase, acid phosphatase, adenosine deaminase, arylsulphatase, benzylamine oxidase, chymotrypsin, fumarase, galactose dehydrogenase, beta-galactosidase, lactate dehydrogenase, peroxidase and xanthine oxidase. *Biochem J*. 1980; 187:739–65. [PubMed: 6821369]
- Bartol TM Jr, Land BR, Salpeter EE, Salpeter MM. Monte Carlo simulation of miniature endplate current generation in the vertebrate neuromuscular junction. *Biophys J*. 1991; 59:1290–307. [PubMed: 1873466]
- Berkefeld H, Sailer CA, Bildl W, Rohde V, Thumfart JO, Eble S, Klugbauer N, Reisinger E, Bischofberger J, Oliver D, Knaus HG, Schulte U, Fakler B. BKCa-Cav channel complexes mediate rapid and localized Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ signaling. *Science*. 2006; 314:615–20. [PubMed: 17068255]
- Bhalla US. Mechanisms for temporal tuning and filtering by postsynaptic signaling pathways. *Biophys J*. 2002; 83:740–52. [PubMed: 12124261]
- Bhalla US. Multiscale interactions between chemical and electric signaling in LTP induction, LTP reversal and dendritic excitability. *Neural Netw*. 2011; 24:943–9. [PubMed: 21616637]
- Bhalla US, Iyengar R. Emergent properties of networks of biological signaling pathways. *Science*. 1999; 283:381–7. [PubMed: 9888852]
- Bisegna P, Caruso G, Andreucci D, Shen L, Gurevich VV, Hamm HE, DiBenedetto E. Diffusion of the second messengers in the cytoplasm acts as a variability suppressor of the single photon response in vertebrate phototransduction. *Biophys J*. 2008; 94:3363–83. [PubMed: 18400950]
- Blackwell KT. Paired turbulence and light do not produce a supralinear calcium increase in Hermisenda. *J Comput Neurosci*. 2004; 17:81–99. [PubMed: 15218355]
- Blackwell, KT. Calcium: the Answer to Life, the Universe, and Everything. In: Bower, JM., editor. 20 years of Computational Neuroscience. Springer; 2013.
- Blackwell, KT.; Hellgren-Kotaleski, J. Modeling the dynamics of second messenger pathways. In: Kotter, R., editor. Neuroscience Databases. A Practical Guide. Kluwer Academic Publishers; Boston: 2002. p. 63–80.
- Blaustein MP, Juhaszova M, Golovina VA, Church PJ, Stanley EF. Na/Ca exchanger and PMCA localization in neurons and astrocytes: functional implications. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2002; 976:356–66. [PubMed: 12502582]
- Bower JM, Beeman D. The Book of Genesis: Exploring Realistic Neural Models with the GEneral NEural SIMulation System. 2. Springer-Verlag; New York: p. 1998

- Brown SA, Moraru II, Schaff JC, Loew LM. Virtual NEURON: a strategy for merged biochemical and electrophysiological modeling. *J Comput Neurosci*. 2011; 31:385–400. [PubMed: 21340454]
- Brown SA, Morgan F.; J, Watras; LM, Loew. Analysis of phosphatidylinositol-4, 5-bisphosphate signaling in cerebellar Purkinje spines. *Biophys J*. 2008; 95:1795–812. [PubMed: 18487300]
- Byrne MJ, Waxham MN, Kubota Y. Cellular dynamic simulator: an event driven molecular simulation environment for cellular physiology. *Neuroinformatics*. 2010; 8:63–82. [PubMed: 20361275]
- Byrne MJ, Waxham MN, Kubota Y. The impacts of geometry and binding on CaMKII diffusion and retention in dendritic spines. *J Comput Neurosci*. 2011; 31:1–12. [PubMed: 21104309]
- Chen WW, Niepel M, Sorger PK. Classic and contemporary approaches to modeling biochemical reactions. *Genes Dev*. 2010; 24:1861–75. [PubMed: 20810646]
- Chen X, Vinade L, Leapman RD, Petersen JD, Nakagawa T, Phillips TM, Sheng M, Reese TS. Mass of the postsynaptic density and enumeration of three key molecules. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005; 102:11551–6. [PubMed: 16061821]
- Costa-Mattioli M, Sossin WS, Klann E, Sonenberg N. Translational control of long-lasting synaptic plasticity and memory. *Neuron*. 2009; 61:10–26. [PubMed: 19146809]
- Cowan AE, Moraru II, Schaff JC, Slepchenko BM, Loew LM. Spatial modeling of cell signaling networks. *Methods Cell Biol*. 2012; 110:195–221. [PubMed: 22482950]
- Daoudal G, Debanne D. Long-term plasticity of intrinsic excitability: learning rules and mechanisms. *Learn Mem*. 2003; 10:456–65. [PubMed: 14657257]
- De Young GW, Keizer J. A single-pool inositol 1, 4, 5-trisphosphate-receptor-based model for agonist-stimulated oscillations in Ca^{2+} concentration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1992; 89:9895–9. [PubMed: 1329108]
- Desdouits F, Cheetham JJ, Huang HB, Kwon YG, da Cruz e Silva EF, Deneffe P, Ehrlich ME, Nairn AC, Greengard P, Girault JA. Mechanism of inhibition of protein phosphatase 1 by DARPP-32: studies with recombinant DARPP-32 and synthetic peptides. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1995; 206:652–8. [PubMed: 7826384]
- Djurfeldt M, Hjorth J, Eppler JM, Dudani N, Helias M, Potjans TC, Bhalla US, Diesmann M, Kotaleski JH, Ekeberg O. Run-time interoperability between neuronal network simulators based on the MUSIC framework. *Neuroinformatics*. 2010; 8:43–60. [PubMed: 20195795]
- Drawert B, Lawson MJ, Petzold L, Khammash M. The diffusive finite state projection algorithm for efficient simulation of the stochastic reaction-diffusion master equation. *J Chem Phys*. 2010; 132:074101. [PubMed: 20170209]
- Dupont G, Goldbeter A. Properties of Intracellular Ca^{2+} Waves Generated by a Model Based on Ca^{2+} -Induced Ca^{2+} Release. *Biophysical Journal*. 1994; 67:2191–204. [PubMed: 7696462]
- Elf J, Ehrenberg M. Spontaneous separation of bi-stable biochemical systems into spatial domains of opposite phases. *Systems Biology*. 2004; 2
- Faas GC, Raghavachari S, Lisman JE, Mody I. Calmodulin as a direct detector of Ca^{2+} signals. *Nat Neurosci*. 2011; 14:301–4. [PubMed: 21258328]
- Fallahi-Sichani M, El-Kebir M, Marino S, Kirschner DE, Linderman JJ. Multiscale computational modeling reveals a critical role for TNF- α receptor 1 dynamics in tuberculosis granuloma formation. *J Immunol*. 2011; 186:3472–83. [PubMed: 21321109]
- Fey D, Croucher DR, Kolch W, Kholodenko BN. Crosstalk and signaling switches in mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades. *Front Physiol*. 2012; 3:355. [PubMed: 23060802]
- Giepmans BN, Adams SR, Ellisman MH, Tsien RY. The fluorescent toolbox for assessing protein location and function. *Science*. 2006; 312:217–24. [PubMed: 16614209]
- Gillespie DT. Exact Stochastic Simulation of Coupled Chemical Reactions. *J Phys Chem*. 1977; 81:2340–61.
- Gillespie DT. Approximating accelerated stochastic simulation of chemically reacting systems. *J Chemical Physics*. 2001; 115:1716–33.
- Greer PL, Greenberg ME. From synapse to nucleus: calcium-dependent gene transcription in the control of synapse development and function. *Neuron*. 2008; 59:846–60. [PubMed: 18817726]
- Haberman, R. Elementary Applied Partial Differential Equations With Fourier Series and Boundary Value Problems. 3. Prentice Hall; 1997.

- Hamer RD, Nicholas SC, Tranchina D, Lamb TD, Jarvinen JL. Toward a unified model of vertebrate rod phototransduction. *Vis Neurosci*. 2005; 22:417–36. [PubMed: 16212700]
- Hartmann J, Konnerth A. Determinants of postsynaptic Ca²⁺ signaling in Purkinje neurons. *Cell Calcium*. 2005; 37:459–66. [PubMed: 15820394]
- Harvey CD, Yasuda R, Zhong H, Svoboda K. The spread of ras activity triggered by activation of a single dendritic spine. *Science*. 2008; 321:136–40. [PubMed: 18556515]
- Hattne J, Fange D, Elf J. Stochastic reaction-diffusion simulation with MesoRD. *Bioinformatics*. 2005; 21:2923–4. [PubMed: 15817692]
- Hawk JD, Abel T. The role of NR4A transcription factors in memory formation. *Brain Res Bull*. 2011; 85:21–9. [PubMed: 21316423]
- Hemmings HC Jr, Greengard P. DARPP-32, a dopamine- and adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate-regulated phosphoprotein: regional, tissue, and phylogenetic distribution. *J Neurosci*. 1986; 6:1469–81. [PubMed: 3711991]
- Herberg FW, Maleszka A, Eide T, Vossebein L, Tasken K. Analysis of A-kinase anchoring protein (AKAP) interaction with protein kinase A (PKA) regulatory subunits: PKA isoform specificity in AKAP binding. *J Mol Biol*. 2000; 298:329–39. [PubMed: 10764601]
- Hernjak N, Slepchenko BM, Fernald K, Fink CC, Fortin D, Moraru II, Watras J, Loew LM. Modeling and analysis of calcium signaling events leading to long-term depression in cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Biophys J*. 2005; 89:3790–806. [PubMed: 16169982]
- Hirschberg B, Maylie J, Adelman JP, Marrion NV. Gating properties of single SK channels in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons [In Process Citation]. *Biophysical Journal*. 1999; 77:1905–13. [PubMed: 10512811]
- Howard AD, McAllister G, Feighner SD, Liu Q, Nargund RP, van der Ploeg LH, Patchett AA. Orphan G-protein-coupled receptors and natural ligand discovery. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*. 2001; 22:132–40. [PubMed: 11239576]
- Hulme JT, Lin TW, Westenbroek RE, Scheuer T, Catterall WA. Beta-adrenergic regulation requires direct anchoring of PKA to cardiac CaV1.2 channels via a leucine zipper interaction with A kinase-anchoring protein 15. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2003; 100:13093–8. [PubMed: 14569017]
- Iyengar KA, Harris LA, Clancy P. Accurate implementation of leaping in space: the spatial partitioned-leaping algorithm. *J Chem Phys*. 2010; 132:094101. [PubMed: 20210383]
- Jafri MS. Models of excitation-contraction coupling in cardiac ventricular myocytes. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2012; 910:309–35. [PubMed: 22821602]
- Jahr CE, Stevens CF. Calcium permeability of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor channel in hippocampal neurons in culture. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1993; 90:11573–7. [PubMed: 8265592]
- Jain P, Bhalla US. Signaling logic of activity-triggered dendritic protein synthesis: an mTOR gate but not a feedback switch. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2009; 5:e1000287.
- Keller DX, Franks KM, Bartol TM Jr, Sejnowski TJ. Calmodulin activation by calcium transients in the postsynaptic density of dendritic spines. *PLoS ONE*. 2008; 3:e2045. [PubMed: 18446197]
- Kerr RA, Bartol TM, Kaminsky B, Dittrich M, Chang JC, Baden SB, Sejnowski TJ, Stiles JR. Fast Monte Carlo simulation methods for biological reaction-diffusion systems in solution and on surfaces. *SIAM J Sci Comput*. 2008; 30:3126. [PubMed: 20151023]
- Khan S, Reese TS, Rajpoot N, Shabbir A. Spatiotemporal maps of CaMKII in dendritic spines. *J Comput Neurosci*. 2012; 33:123–39. [PubMed: 22218920]
- Khan S, Zou Y, Amjad A, Gardezi A, Smith CL, Winters C, Reese TS. Sequestration of CaMKII in dendritic spines in silico. *J Comput Neurosci*. 2011; 31:581–94. [PubMed: 21491127]
- Kim M, Park AJ, Havekes R, Chay A, Guercio L, Oliveira RF, Abel T, Blackwell KT. Colocalization of PKA with adenylyl cyclase enhances PKA activity during L-LTP induction. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2011; 7:e1002085. [PubMed: 21731481]
- Kinney JP, Spacek J, Bartol TM, Bajaj CL, Harris KM, Sejnowski TJ. Extracellular sheets and tunnels modulate glutamate diffusion in hippocampal neuropil. *J Comp Neurol*. 2013; 521:448–64. [PubMed: 22740128]
- Koh W, Blackwell KT. An accelerated algorithm for discrete stochastic simulation of reaction-diffusion systems using gradient-based diffusion and tau-leaping. *J Chem Phys*. 2011; 134:154103. [PubMed: 21513371]

- Korenbrodt JI. Speed, adaptation, and stability of the response to light in cone photoreceptors: the functional role of Ca-dependent modulation of ligand sensitivity in cGMP-gated ion channels. *J Gen Physiol.* 2012; 139:31–56. [PubMed: 22200947]
- Lamb TD. Stochastic simulation of activation in the G-protein cascade of phototransduction. *Biophys J.* 1994; 67:1439–54. [PubMed: 7819482]
- Lamb TD, Pugh EN Jr. A quantitative account of the activation steps involved in phototransduction in amphibian photoreceptors. *J Physiol.* 1992; 449:719–58. [PubMed: 1326052]
- Lee SJ, Escobedo-Lozoya Y, Szatmari EM, Yasuda R. Activation of CaMKII in single dendritic spines during long-term potentiation. *Nature.* 2009; 458:299–304. [PubMed: 19295602]
- Lipkow K, Andrews SS, Bray D. Simulated diffusion of phosphorylated CheY through the cytoplasm of *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol.* 2005; 187:45–53. [PubMed: 15601687]
- Lisman J, Schulman H, Cline H. The molecular basis of CaMKII function in synaptic and behavioural memory. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2002; 3:175–90. [PubMed: 11994750]
- Liu Z, Pu Y, Li F, Shaffer CA, Hoops S, Tyson JJ, Cao Y. Hybrid modeling and simulation of stochastic effects on progression through the eukaryotic cell cycle. *J Chem Phys.* 2012; 136:034105. [PubMed: 22280742]
- Maravall M, Mainen ZF, Sabatini BL, Svoboda K. Estimating intracellular calcium concentrations and buffering without wavelength ratioing. *Biophys J.* 2000; 78:2655–67. [PubMed: 10777761]
- Marino S, Hogue IB, Ray CJ, Kirschner DE. A methodology for performing global uncertainty and sensitivity analysis in systems biology. *J Theor Biol.* 2008; 254:178–96. [PubMed: 18572196]
- Micheva KD, Busse B, Weiler NC, O'Rourke N, Smith SJ. Single-synapse analysis of a diverse synapse population: proteomic imaging methods and markers. *Neuron.* 2010; 68:639–53. [PubMed: 21092855]
- Mironov SL, Skorova E, Taschenberger G, Hartelt N, Nikolaev VO, Lohse MJ, Kugler S. Imaging cytoplasmic cAMP in mouse brainstem neurons. *BMC Neurosci.* 2009; 10:29. [PubMed: 19327133]
- Moraru II, Schaff JC, Slepchenko BM, Blinov ML, Morgan F, Lakshminarayana A, Gao F, Li Y, Loew LM. Virtual Cell modelling and simulation software environment. *IET Syst Biol.* 2008; 2:352–62. [PubMed: 19045830]
- Neves SR, Tsokas P, Sarkar A, Grace EA, Rangamani P, Taubenfeld SM, Alberini CM, Schaff JC, Blitzer RD, Moraru II, Iyengar R. Cell shape and negative links in regulatory motifs together control spatial information flow in signaling networks. *Cell.* 2008; 133:666–80. [PubMed: 18485874]
- Nimchinsky EA, Yasuda R, Oertner TG, Svoboda K. The number of glutamate receptors opened by synaptic stimulation in single hippocampal spines. *J Neurosci.* 2004; 24:2054–64. [PubMed: 14985448]
- Novak B, Tyson JJ, Györfy B, Csikasz-Nagy A. Irreversible cell-cycle transitions are due to systems-level feedback. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2007; 9:724–8. [PubMed: 17603504]
- Oancea E, Meyer T. Protein kinase C as a molecular machine for decoding calcium and diacylglycerol signals. *Cell.* 1998; 95:307–18. [PubMed: 9814702]
- Oliveira RF, Kim M, Blackwell KT. Subcellular Location of PKA Controls Striatal Plasticity: Stochastic Simulations in Spiny Dendrites. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2012; 8:e1002383. [PubMed: 22346744]
- Oliveira RF, Terrin A, Di BG, Cannon RC, Koh W, Kim M, Zaccolo M, Blackwell KT. The role of type 4 phosphodiesterases in generating microdomains of cAMP: large scale stochastic simulations. *PLoS One.* 2010; 5:e11725. [PubMed: 20661441]
- Pierce KL, Premont RT, Lefkowitz RJ. Seven-transmembrane receptors. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2002; 3:639–50. [PubMed: 12209124]
- Premont RT, Gainetdinov RR. Physiological roles of G protein-coupled receptor kinases and arrestins. *Annu Rev Physiol.* 2007; 69:511–34. [PubMed: 17305472]
- Putkey JA, Kleerekoper Q, Gaertner TR, Waxham MN. A new role for IQ motif proteins in regulating calmodulin function. *J Biol Chem.* 2003; 278:49667–70. [PubMed: 14551202]

- Rankovic V, Landgraf P, Kanyshkova T, Ehling P, Meuth SG, Kreutz MR, Budde T, Munsch T. Modulation of calcium-dependent inactivation of L-type Ca^{2+} channels via beta-adrenergic signaling in thalamocortical relay neurons. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6:e27474. [PubMed: 22164209]
- Rossinelli D, Bayati B, Koumoutsakos P. Accelerated stochastic and hybrid methods for spatial simulations of reaction–diffusion systems. *Chemical Physics Letters*. 2008; 451:136–40.
- Rothberg BS, Magleby KL. Gating kinetics of single large-conductance Ca^{2+} -activated K^{+} channels in high Ca^{2+} suggest a two-tiered allosteric gating mechanism. *J Gen Physiol*. 1999; 114:93–124. [PubMed: 10398695]
- Sahle S, Mendes P, Hoops S, Kummer U. A new strategy for assessing sensitivities in biochemical models. *Philos Transact A Math Phys Eng Sci*. 2008; 366:3619–31.
- Schmidt H, Arendt O, Eilers J. Diffusion and extrusion shape standing calcium gradients during ongoing parallel fiber activity in dendrites of Purkinje neurons. *Cerebellum*. 2012; 11:694–705. [PubMed: 21298581]
- Schmidt H, Stiefel KM, Racay P, Schwaller B, Eilers J. Mutational analysis of dendritic Ca^{2+} kinetics in rodent Purkinje cells: role of parvalbumin and calbindin D28k. *J Physiol*. 2003; 551:13–32. [PubMed: 12813159]
- Shalin SC, Hernandez CM, Dougherty MK, Morrison DK, Sweatt JD. Kinase suppressor of Ras1 compartmentalizes hippocampal signal transduction and subserves synaptic plasticity and memory formation. *Neuron*. 2006; 50:765–79. [PubMed: 16731514]
- Singh P, Hockenberry AJ, Tiruvadi VR, Meaney DF. Computational investigation of the changing patterns of subtype specific NMDA receptor activation during physiological glutamatergic neurotransmission. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2011; 7:e1002106. [PubMed: 21738464]
- Sivakumaran S, Hariharaputran S, Mishra J, Bhalla US. The Database of Quantitative Cellular Signaling: management and analysis of chemical kinetic models of signaling networks. *Bioinformatics*. 2003; 19:408–15. [PubMed: 12584128]
- Solovyova N, Verkhatsky A. Neuronal endoplasmic reticulum acts as a single functional Ca^{2+} store shared by ryanodine and inositol-1, 4, 5-trisphosphate receptors as revealed by intra-ER [Ca^{2+}] recordings in single rat sensory neurones. *Pflugers Arch*. 2003; 446:447–54. [PubMed: 12764616]
- Stenesh, J. *Core Topics in Biochemistry*. Cognito Press; 1993. p. 283
- Takahashi K, Arjunan SN, Tomita M. Space in systems biology of signaling pathways—towards intracellular molecular crowding in silico. *FEBS Lett*. 2005; 579:1783–8. [PubMed: 15763552]
- Tanaka K. Alteration of second messengers during acute cerebral ischemia - adenylate cyclase, cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase, and cyclic AMP response element binding protein. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2001; 65:173–207. [PubMed: 11403878]
- Tang Y, Othmer HG. A model of calcium dynamics in cardiac myocytes based on the kinetics of ryanodine-sensitive calcium channels. *Biophysical Journal*. 1994; 67:2223–35. [PubMed: 7696464]
- Tostevin F, ten Wolde PR, Howard M. Fundamental limits to position determination by concentration gradients. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2007; 3:e78. [PubMed: 17465676]
- Tranchina D, Sneyd J, Cadenas ID. Light adaptation in turtle cones. Testing and analysis of a model for phototransduction. *Biophys J*. 1991; 60:217–37. [PubMed: 1653050]
- Tuckwell HC. Quantitative aspects of L-type Ca^{2+} currents. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2012; 96:1–31. [PubMed: 22008116]
- Tzafriri AR, Edelman ER. On the validity of the quasi-steady state approximation of bimolecular reactions in solution. *J Theor Biol*. 2005; 233:343–50. [PubMed: 15652144]
- Uchigashima M, Narushima M, Fukaya M, Katona I, Kano M, Watanabe M. Subcellular arrangement of molecules for 2-arachidonoyl-glycerol-mediated retrograde signaling and its physiological contribution to synaptic modulation in the striatum. *J Neurosci*. 2007; 27:3663–76. [PubMed: 17409230]
- Vayttaden SJ, Bhalla US. Developing complex signaling models using GENESIS/Kinetikit. *Sci STKE*. 2004; 2004:14.
- von Kriegsheim A, Baiocchi D, Birtwistle M, Sumpton D, Bienvenut W, Morrice N, Yamada K, Lamond A, Kalna G, Orton R, Gilbert D, Kolch W. Cell fate decisions are specified by the dynamic ERK interactome. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2009; 11:1458–64. [PubMed: 19935650]

- Waas WF, Rainey MA, Szafranska AE, Dalby KN. Two rate-limiting steps in the kinetic mechanism of the serine/threonine specific protein kinase ERK2: a case of fast phosphorylation followed by fast product release. *Biochemistry*. 2003; 42:12273–86. [PubMed: 14567689]
- Wils S, De SE. STEPS: Modeling and Simulating Complex Reaction-Diffusion Systems with Python. *Front Neuroinform*. 2009; 3:15. [PubMed: 19623245]
- Xia Z, Storm DR. The role of calmodulin as a signal integrator for synaptic plasticity. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2005; 6:267–76. [PubMed: 15803158]
- Xie Z, Adamowicz WO, Eldred WD, Jakowski AB, Kleiman RJ, Morton DG, Stephenson DT, Strick CA, Williams RD, Menniti FS. Cellular and subcellular localization of PDE10A, a striatum-enriched phosphodiesterase. *Neuroscience*. 2006; 139:597–607. [PubMed: 16483723]
- Young ME, Carroad PA, Bell RL. Estimation of diffusion coefficients of proteins. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 1980; 22:947–55.
- Zi Z. Sensitivity analysis approaches applied to systems biology models. *IET Syst Biol*. 2011; 5:336. [PubMed: 22129029]
- Zi Z, Zheng Y, Rundell AE, Klipp E. SBML-SAT: a systems biology markup language (SBML) based sensitivity analysis tool. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2008; 9:342. [PubMed: 18706080]

Fig 1.

Signaling pathways interact with electrical activity at multiple spatial and temporal scales. At the smallest spatial and temporal scales, calcium directly binds to and modulates ionic and synaptic channels. At longer time scales, calcium and second messengers produced by G protein coupled receptors (GPCR) lead to the phosphorylation or dephosphorylation of ionic channels and synaptic channels. The spatial scales for these longer time scales vary depending on the balance between diffusion and inactivation mechanisms. Feedback loops can be negative, as when phosphorylation enhances an outward current, or positive, as when phosphorylation enhances an inward current.

Fig 2.

Model of phototransduction and calcium dynamics in *Hermisenda*. The morphology included a rhabdomere, connected to the soma with a thin neck, a neurite and two terminal branches. Calcium and potassium permeable voltage dependent ion channels were included throughout the model, and IP_3 sensitive sodium channels were the light sensitive channels. The biochemical cascade for phototransduction included rhodopsin activation of phospholipase C, which produced diffusible IP_3 . Calcium dynamics included release of calcium through both the IP_3 and ryanodine receptor channels, a calcium buffer in the cytosol and in the ER, a plasma membrane calcium ATPase pump (PMCA), and a smooth endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase pump (SERCA), and the sodium calcium exchanger (NCX).

Table 1

Several characteristics of biochemical reaction diffusion simulators. Up-to-date list of properties are available from the simulator specific websites listed in the text.

Simulator	Electrical Activity	Deterministic Algorithm	Stochastic Algorithm	Other Properties
NeuroRD	No	Dufort-Frankel	Lattice, leap *	model specification resembles experimental workflow
Steps	No	None	Lattice, spatial next	tetrahedral mesh, python interface
Smoldyn	No	None	particle, fixed dt	anisotropic diffusion and drift
MCell	No	None	particle, adaptive dt	Image based morphology
CDS	No	None	particle	molecular crowding
Moose	Yes	5 th order Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg	particle (smoldyn), Lattice (Gillespie)	implicit Crank-Nicolson for electrical activity
VCell	No	Stiff ODE solvers, semi-implicit and fully implicit spatial solvers	particle (smoldyn)	Image based morphology
Genesis/Kinetikit	Yes	exponential Euler for reactions and 1D diffusion	Lattice, leap *, hybrid stochastic	implicit Crank-Nicolson for calcium dynamics
Genesis/Chemesis	Yes	exponential or forward Euler	None	2D diffusion, calcium release

* Leap = multiple reaction/diffusion events can occur within single dt