Published in final edited form as:

Cardiol Young. 2012 December ; 22(6): . doi:10.1017/S104795111200159X.

Center Variation in Cost and Outcomes for Congenital Heart Surgery

Sara K. Pasquali, MD MHS¹, Michael G. Gaies, MD MPH¹, Jeffrey P. Jacobs, MD², J. William Gaynor, MD³, and Marshall L. Jacobs, MD⁴

¹Department of Pediatrics and Communicable Diseases, C. S. Mott Children's Hospital, University of Michigan Medical School Ann Arbor, MI

²Division of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, All Children's Hospital, St. Petersburg, FL

³Department of Surgery, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA

⁴Department of Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

Abstract

While overall outcomes for children undergoing heart surgery have improved, significant variation in outcome across hospitals remains. This review discusses variation in cost and outcomes across centers performing congenital heart surgery, potential underlying mechanisms, and efforts to reduce variation and improve outcome.

Variation in outcomes across hospitals

Over the past 3 decades, outcomes for patients undergoing congenital heart surgery have improved dramatically due to improvements in diagnostic capabilities, refinement in surgical technique, and advances in peri-operative care. The majority of patients now survive to hospital discharge, with overall mortality rates less than 5% in national data from the United States (1). However, despite these overall improvements, several recent studies have shown that marked variation in outcome across hospitals performing congenital heart surgery remains.

One of the largest studies included 18,375 patients from 74 hospitals undergoing eight benchmark operations in the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database from 2005–2009 (2). The eight operations evaluated included ventricular septal defect repair, tetralogy of Fallot repair, complete atrioventricular canal repair, arterial switch operation, arterial switch operation plus ventricular septal defect closure, Fontan operation, truncus arteriosus repair, and the Norwood procedure. Overall in-hospital mortality ranged from 0.64% for ventricular septal defect repair to 19.3% for the Norwood procedure in this cohort (2). Both in-hospital mortality rates and post-operative length of stay varied across hospitals for the eight operations evaluated. However, despite pooling multiple years of data from this national registry, it was difficult to identify hospitals as statistical outliers due to the relatively small sample sizes and low mortality rates for most operations at any given institution. The exception to this was the Norwood procedure, where Bayesian analysis showed that risk adjusted in-hospital mortality rates varied significantly across hospitals ranging from 7.0% to 41.6%, and eleven hospitals were identified as statistical outliers (2). Other recent studies have supported these results. In an analysis of 546 patients enrolled in

the Pediatric Heart Network Single Ventricle Reconstruction Trial, the rate of in-hospital death or cardiac transplant was 18% overall and varied from 7% to 39% across 14 trial sites (3).

Given the difficulties mentioned above in comparing outcomes across centers for most individual operations, investigators have also examined grouping operations into strata of similar risk or complexity in order to facilitate inter-institutional comparisons. An analysis of 58,506 operations from 2005–2009 in the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database evaluated variation in outcome across 73 hospitals for operations risk-stratified into five categories based on the Society of Thoracic Surgeons – European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (STAT) methodology (STAT category 1 = operations with the lowest mortality risk; category 5 = operations with the highest mortality risk) (4,5). Even when evaluating groups of procedures rather than individual operations, there was limited power to assess between-hospital variation in outcome for the lower risk categories (STAT categories 1–3) (4). For STAT category 4, the overall aggregate mortality rate was 8.0% and in Bayesian analysis varied from 4.2% to 16.3% across hospitals. For STAT category 5, the aggregate mortality rate was 18.4%, and varied from 7.3% to 43.3% across hospitals (4). Thus, these studies demonstrate substantial and clinically meaningful variation across centers in mortality rates, particularly for higher-complexity or higher-risk operations. However, it is also apparent that it is difficult to statistically quantify this variation for many individual operations, particularly those associated with low overall mortality.

Other studies have evaluated resource utilization associated with congenital heart surgery. One recent analysis assessed hospital charges among infants born with birth defects across the United States in 2003 (6). This analysis found that congenital heart defects were among those associated with the highest hospital charges, including an average of \$199,597 for the Norwood procedure and \$192,781 for truncus arteriosus repair (6). Variation in resource utilization across hospitals has also been evaluated. One large study analyzed 2,124 patients across 20 hospitals undergoing 4 different operations of varying levels of complexity from 2001–2007 in a large administrative database (7). This study found that, as expected, total hospital costs increased with the complexity of the operation, from a median of \$12,761 for atrial septal defect repair to \$55,430 for the arterial switch operation (7). Significant variation in total costs across centers was found for all operations even after adjustment for patient and center factors and length of stay. Interestingly, in this study there was greater variation across centers for the lower complexity operations (atrial septal defect and ventricular septal defect repair) compared with the higher complexity operations (tetralogy of Fallot repair and the arterial switch operation) (7). Other studies have also found significant variation in resource utilization across different regions of the United States (8).

Several studies have demonstrated that the vast majority of inpatient costs for patients undergoing congenital heart surgery are related to room and board charges, and that higher costs are associated with longer length of stay (7,9). Variation in post-operative length of stay has been shown to be greater with increasing operation complexity. One large multicenter analysis found that average post-operative length of stay after ventricular septal defect repair ranged from 5.8 to 10.4 days across centers, while the range for the Norwood operation was 17.5 days to 67.5 days (2). Understanding the key drivers of variation in length of stay will be an important step towards reducing costs overall, and implementing targeted interventions to shorten length of stay at outlier institutions where costs are highest.

Underlying mechanisms

While it has been shown that both outcomes and resource utilization for children undergoing heart surgery vary across hospitals, relatively few studies to date have examined the mechanisms underlying this variation. One concept, known as “failure to rescue”, that has been studied in the adult surgical population has been evaluated recently in patients undergoing congenital heart surgery (10). Historically, reducing post-operative complications as a means to improve outcomes and reduce variation across centers has been a general focus in patients undergoing a variety of surgical procedures. However, there is increasing evidence in the adult surgical literature that complication rates actually tend to be fairly similar across institutions, such that high performing centers with low mortality rates do not necessarily have a lower rate of complications (11). Complications may be related more to patient comorbidities than to hospital characteristics or quality. In contrast, higher performing centers appear to have a lower rate of death in those who suffer a complication (or lower “failure to rescue” rate) (12). Failure to rescue has been endorsed as a performance measure by the National Quality Forum (13).

A recent study evaluated the concept of failure to rescue in 40,930 children undergoing heart surgery at 72 centers participating in the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database from 2006–2009 (10). In this study, the overall in-hospital mortality was 3.7%, 39.3% had a post-operative complication (any of those defined in the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database), and the failure to rescue rate (number of deaths in those with a complication) was 9.1% (10). When hospitals were characterized by in-hospital mortality rate, there was no difference across hospital mortality tertiles in the complication rate in adjusted analysis, however hospitals in the highest mortality tertile had a significantly higher failure to rescue rate (12.4% vs. 6.6%, $p<0.0001$) (10). Similar results were seen when evaluating only “major” complications, and in stratified analysis across both low and high complexity operations. This study suggested that the higher mortality rate observed at poorer performing hospitals may in part be related to a higher rate of mortality in those with post-operative complications, rather than a higher rate of post-operative complications themselves. Further characterization of failure to rescue rates across centers, time course and relationship between multiple post-operative complications and mortality, and association of failure to rescue with structure and process measures impacting a hospital’s ability to respond to and manage complications is needed.

Several analyses have also evaluated differences across hospitals in patient care practices, and have found differences in nearly all aspects of peri-operative care. Many of these studies have focused on the care of single ventricle patients undergoing staged palliation (14–16). One recent analysis utilized prospectively collected data from 14 sites enrolling patients in the Pediatric Heart Network Single Ventricle Reconstruction Trial (3). Apart from randomization to a right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery shunt or modified Blalock-Taussig shunt, subjects received local standard of care. While patient characteristics were similar across sites, virtually all aspects of pre-operative, operative, and post-operative care examined varied across the trial sites including factors such as fetal diagnosis (range 55–85%), median total cardiopulmonary bypass time (range 74–189 minutes), proportion with an open sternum (range 35–100%), median intensive care unit length of stay (range 9–44 days), and enrollment in a home monitoring program at discharge (range 1–100%) (3). While this analysis and other studies have found differences in many aspects of care across hospitals, few have evaluated the relationship of practice pattern variation with patient outcomes to determine “best practices.” Given the extent of variation in a wide variety of factors it can be difficult to account for this in analysis and reliably identify which individual variables are independently associated with outcome.

Variation in hospital-level structure and process measures has also been evaluated in numerous studies. These include variables such as intensive care unit models of care (dedicated cardiac vs. general pediatric intensive care unit), and hospital and surgeon case volume (17–21). Numerous studies have shown that case volume (both hospital volume and surgeon volume) is significantly associated with outcome, and that the volume-outcome relationship is most apparent as case complexity increases (19–21). For example, a recent analysis of 2557 infants undergoing the Norwood operation at 53 centers in the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database found that lower center volume was associated with significantly higher in-hospital mortality [odds ratio in centers with ≤ 10 vs. >20 cases/year 1.54, 95% CI 1.02–2.32] (19). The volume-outcome relationship persisted across different levels of pre-operative risk. In addition, across all center volume strata, lower volume surgeons had higher adjusted mortality rates (20). However, while investigators found a significant association between volume and outcome, center volume explained only 14% of the between-center variation in mortality observed in the cohort, and significant between-center variation in mortality remained after adjusting for volume (19). Thus, volume alone cannot explain the differences in outcomes across centers.

Efforts to reduce variation and improve outcomes

In summary, studies to date have demonstrated significant variation across hospitals in outcomes and resource utilization for patients undergoing congenital heart surgery. The mechanisms underlying this variation have yet to be fully elucidated. Rather than through a single study or trial, reducing variation across hospitals may best be accomplished through a collaborative effort focused on sharing of information across sites and continuous quality improvement to identify best practices, reduce variation in care, and improve outcomes, similar to initiatives in adult cardiac surgery (22,23). The Northern New England Cardiovascular Disease Study Group pioneered work in this area in adult cardiac surgery in the 1980's, and their experience has shown that a precise assessment of variation in practice and outcomes across institutions is a critical first step (23). Similar efforts have been undertaken more recently by other groups. Prager et al. recently reported on The Michigan Society of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeons quality collaborative (22). This group, composed of all adult cardiac surgery programs in Michigan, meets regularly to evaluate variation in practice and program outcomes. Through the adoption of practices utilized by high performing sites, variation in care is reduced, outcomes improved, and hospital costs lowered. For example, following sharing of protocols to facilitate timely extubation, variation in duration of ventilation across sites was reduced and the overall rate of prolonged ventilation decreased from 19% to 14% (22). In pediatric cardiology, the Joint Council on Congenital Heart Disease National Pediatric Cardiology Quality Improvement Collaborative has recently begun evaluating practice variation across sites in regard to feeding and home monitoring practices in the interstage period between the Norwood and Stage II procedures (24). Founded in 2009, the Pediatric Cardiac Critical Care Consortium (PC⁴) aims to identify variation in pediatric cardiac intensive care practice and outcomes, develop quality metrics and benchmarking tools, create evidenced-based care guidelines, and analyze the value of care in the pediatric cardiac intensive care unit. Finally, efforts are also underway to develop a quality improvement collaborative in pediatric heart surgery.

Alternatively, regionalization of care, or selective referral of patients to high performing centers, may reduce variation and improve overall outcomes. A previous study has suggested that selective referral of patients in California from low and medium to high volume hospitals could theoretically reduce mortality for children undergoing heart surgery, with an estimated 83 deaths avoided during a three-year period (25). Regionalization of care for pediatric heart surgery in Europe has already taken place in the United Kingdom and

other countries. In Sweden, care was centralized to two centers with the lowest mortality in 1993, and 30-day national mortality rates were reduced from 9.5% to 1.9% (26).

Finally, analyses which take into account both outcomes and cost (which has been termed “value”) are necessary (27). Currently there are limited data regarding the relationship between costs and outcomes in congenital heart surgery and potential mediating factors. Such studies may be facilitated through recently developed methodology allowing linkage of clinical registry data with billing data from administrative datasets (28).

References

1. Jacobs, JP. Executive Summary: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database-Fourteenth Harvest. Durham, NC: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons and Duke Clinical Research Institute;
2. Jacobs JP, O'Brien SM, Pasquali SK, et al. Variation in outcomes for benchmark operations: An analysis of The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2011; 92:2184–2192. [PubMed: 22115229]
3. Pasquali SK, Ohye RG, Lu M, et al. for the Pediatric Heart Network Investigators. Variation in perioperative care across centers for infants undergoing the Norwood Procedure. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2012 Jun 12. . [Epub ahead of print].
4. Jacobs JP, O'Brien SM, Pasquali SK, et al. Variation in outcomes for riskstratified pediatric cardiac surgical operations: An analysis of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2012 Jun 15. [Epub ahead of print].
5. O'Brien SM, Clarke DR, Jacobs JP, et al. An empirically based tool for analyzing mortality associated with congenital heart surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2009; 138:1139–1153. [PubMed: 19837218]
6. Robbins JM, Bird TM, Tilford JM, et al. Hospital stays, hospital charges, and in-hospital deaths among infants with selected birth defects-United States, 2003. *MMWR.* 2007; 56:25–29. [PubMed: 17230142]
7. Pasquali SK, Sun JL, d'Almada P, et al. Center variation in hospital costs for patients undergoing congenital heart surgery. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2011; 1:306–312. [PubMed: 21505154]
8. Connor JA, Gauvreau K, Jenkins KJ. Factors associated with increased resource utilization for congenital heart disease. *Pediatrics.* 2005; 116:689–695. [PubMed: 16140709]
9. Parrish MD, Pollock M, Gauthier N, Park J, Hobde B. Sources of variability in hospital costs of atrial septal defect repair. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998; 82:252–254. [PubMed: 9678303]
10. Pasquali SK, He X, Jacobs JP, Jacobs ML, O'Brien SM, Gaynor JW. Evaluation of failure to rescue as a quality metric in pediatric heart surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2012 May 23. [Epub ahead of print].
11. Ghaferi AA, Birkmeyer JD, Dimick JB. Variation in hospital mortality associated with inpatient surgery. *New Engl J Med.* 2009; 361:1368–1375. [PubMed: 19797283]
12. Silber JH, Williams SV, Krakauer H, Schwartz JS. Hospital and patient characteristics associated with death after surgery: a study of adverse occurrence and failure to rescue. *Med Care.* 1992; 30:615–629. [PubMed: 1614231]
13. National Quality Forum. Measuring Performance. http://www.qualityforum.org/Measures_List.aspx#k=failure%2520to%2520rescue&e=1&p=2.
14. Wernovsky G, Ghanayem N, Ohye RG, et al. Hypoplastic left heart syndrome: consensus and controversies in 2007. *Cardiol Young.* 2007; 17:S75–S86.
15. Burstein D, Rossi A, Jacobs J, et al. Variation in models of care delivery for children undergoing congenital heart surgery in the United States. *World Journal for Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery.* 2010; 1:8–14. [PubMed: 22368780]
16. Baker-Smith CM, Neish SR, Klitzner TS, et al. Variation in postoperative care following stage I palliation for single-ventricle patients: A report from the Joint Council on Congenital Heart Disease National Quality Improvement Collaborative. *Congenit Heart Dis.* 2011; 6:116–127. [PubMed: 21426525]

17. Burstein DS, Jacobs JP, Sheng S, et al. Care models in congenital heart surgery and associated outcomes. *Pediatrics*. 2011; 127:6, e1482–e1489.
18. Scheurer M, Gauvreau K, Laussen PC, Mayer JE, Atz AM, Newburger JW. The impact of a designated cardiac intensive care unit on outcomes after the Norwood procedure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011; 57:E405.
19. Pasquali SK, Li JS, Burstein DB, et al. The association of center volume with mortality and complications in pediatric heart surgery. *Pediatrics*. 2012; 129:e370–e376. [PubMed: 22232310]
20. Hornik CP, He X, Jacobs JP, et al. Relative impact of surgeon and center volume on early mortality following the Norwood operation. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2012; 93:1992–1997. [PubMed: 22516833]
21. Welke KF, O'Brien SM, Peterson ED, et al. The complex relationship between pediatric cardiac surgical case volumes and mortality rates in a national clinical database. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2009; 137:1133–1140. [PubMed: 19379979]
22. Prager RL, Armenti FR, Bassett JS, et al. Cardiac Surgeons and the Quality Movement: the Michigan Experience. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2009; 21:20–27. [PubMed: 19632559]
23. Likosky DS. Lessons learned from the Northern New England Cardiovascular Disease Study Group. *Progress in Pediatric Cardiology*. 2012; 33:53–56.
24. Schidlow DN, Anderson JB, Klitzner TS, et al. Variation in interstage outpatient care after the Norwood procedure: A report from the Joint Council on Congenital Heart Disease National Quality Improvement Collaborative. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2011; 6:98–107. [PubMed: 21426523]
25. Chang RR, Klitzner TS. Can regionalization decrease the number of deaths for children who undergo cardiac surgery? A theoretical analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2002; 109:173–181. [PubMed: 11826192]
26. Lundstrom NR, Berggren H, Bjorkhem G, Jogi P, Sunnegardh J. Centralization of pediatric heart surgery in Sweden. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2000; 21:353–357. [PubMed: 10865012]
27. Porter ME. What is value in health care? *N Engl J Med*. 2010; 363:2477–2481. [PubMed: 21142528]
28. Pasquali SK, Jacobs JP, Shook GJ, et al. Linking clinical registry data with administrative data using indirect identifiers: Implementation and validation in the congenital heart surgery population. *Am Heart J*. 2010; 160:1099–1104. [PubMed: 21146664]