Published in final edited form as:

Drug Alcohol Depend. 2013 December 1; 133(2): . doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.08.004.

DRUG-RELATED STIMULI IMPAIR INHIBITORY CONTROL IN COCAINE ABUSERS

Erika Pike^{a,b}, William W. Stoops^{a,b}, Mark T. Fillmore^b, and Craig R. Rush^{a,b,c}

^aDepartment of Behavioral Science, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Medical Behavioral Science Building, Lexington, KY 40536-0086, USA

^bDepartment of Psychology, University of Kentucky Arts and Sciences, Kastle Hall Lexington, KY 40506-0044, USA

^cDepartment of Psychiatry, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, 3470 Blazer Parkway, Lexington, KY 40509-1810, USA

Abstract

Background—Cocaine users show impaired inhibitory control on cued go/no-go tasks and attention bias to drug-related images in eye-tracking tasks. The results of a previous study suggested that there is a relationship between inhibitory control and attention bias in alcohol drinkers such that the presentation of alcohol-related images as a go cue in a cued go/no-go task significantly impaired inhibitory control compared to neutral images as a go cue. The present study determined the generality of these previous findings by assessing inhibitory control in cocaine users utilizing a modified cued go/no-go task with cocaine or neutral images as the cues.

Methods—Non-treatment seeking cocaine users (N=30) completed the modified task after completing detailed measures of demographics and drug use. Participants were matched on basic demographic factors and were assigned to groups in which they saw either a cocaine or neutral image as the go cue.

Results—Participants assigned to the cocaine image go cue condition had a significantly higher proportion of inhibitory failures to the no-go target than their counterparts assigned to the neutral cue condition, but there were no group differences on reaction time (i.e., accuracy was not traded for speed).

Conclusions—Cocaine users were less able to inhibit pre-potent responses when a cocaine-related image served as the go cue than when a neutral image served as the go cue, consistent with

© 2013 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Address Correspondence to: Craig R. Rush, University of Kentucky Medical Center, Department of Behavioral Science, Lexington, KY, 40536-0086. Telephone: +1 (859) 323-6130. Facsimile: +1 (859) 257-7684. crush2@email.uky.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Contributors

Ms. Pike, Drs. Rush and Stoops designed the study. Ms. Pike wrote the protocol; managed the literature search and summary of previous work; modified the ABBA task for use with cocaine users; collected data from participants; undertook the statistical analysis and graphical representation of the data; and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Dr. Fillmore developed the original ABBA task and provided input on study design. All authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

previous research in alcohol users. The outcomes suggest that cocaine-related cues produce disinhibition, perhaps contributing to the high incidence of relapse or continued cocaine use.

Keywords

cocaine; response inhibition; inhibitory control; behavioral control; response activation

1. INTRODUCTION

Inhibitory control is impaired in cocaine users, as measured by stop-signal (Fillmore and Rush, 2002) and go/no-go tasks (Lane et al., 2007; Verdejo-García et al., 2007; Verdejo-García and Pérez-García, 2007). The results of previous studies have shown that when individuals are prepared to respond, but must inhibit their response at the last moment, cocaine-using individuals fail to inhibit responding more frequently than matched controls (Fillmore and Rush, 2002; Lane et al., 2007; Verdejo-García et al., 2007; Verdejo-García and Pérez-García, 2007). Additionally, cocaine users that had poorer inhibitory control also had a greater attention bias to cocaine-related words on the emotional Stroop task (Liu et al., 2011). Although studies have shown impaired inhibitory control and increased attention bias in cocaine users using independent tasks, the influence of cocaine-related stimuli on inhibitory control has not yet been investigated within the same task (Fillmore and Rush, 2002; Lane et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2011; Verdejo-García et al., 2007; Verdejo-García and Pérez-García, 2007).

A recent study investigated the relationship between inhibitory control and attention bias to drug-related stimuli in alcohol users. The findings showed that when alcohol-related images preceded a target that required individuals to withhold a response, individuals showed greater problems inhibiting pre-potent responses than when a neutral cue, such as a picture of a stapler, was used. An interaction between attention bias to alcohol cues and inhibitory control was observed in alcohol users, such that attention bias toward alcohol images increased behavioral activation, which undermined participants' ability to inhibit responding (Weafer and Fillmore, 2012). Whether the finding of increased inhibitory failures following alcohol cues in drinkers translates to other substances, such as cocaine, is unknown.

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the influence of cocaine-related cues on inhibitory control in cocaine abusers using a modified version of the Attentional Bias-Behavioral Activation Task, which has been described in detail previously (Weafer and Fillmore, 2012). Response activation was measured by reaction time to respond to go targets and inhibition was measured by proportion of inhibitory failures to no-go targets. We hypothesized that the proportion of inhibitory failures to no-go targets that followed cocaine images as a go cue would be significantly greater than to no-go targets that followed neutral images as the go cue. We further hypothesized that the proportion of inhibitory fails to no-go targets would be low following no-go cues and that reaction time to go targets following no-go cues would be slowed, but that image type would not influence response activation or inhibition. Finally, we hypothesized that accuracy of responding to go targets and reaction time following a go cue would not be influenced by image type.

2. METHODS

2.1 Participants

Thirty non-treatment seeking adult participants (13 women and 17 men), primarily recruited through word of mouth and postings on community bulletin boards, completed this study. All participants reported using cocaine within the last month. Potential participants were excluded if they reported a current prescription for a psychiatric medication or dependence

on any drug that could produce significant withdrawal symptoms during testing (e.g., opiates or benzodiazepines), as participants were asked to abstain from drug use for 12 hours prior to testing. Participants completed screening questionnaires on current and past physical and mental health, measures of current psychological functioning, and a detailed drug use history (Sevak et al., 2011). Participants were paid for their participation. The University of Kentucky Institutional Review Board approved all procedures and recruitment methods.

2.2 Procedure

After completing screening, eligible participants completed the Attentional Bias-Behavioral Activation (ABBA) task during the same appointment. A between-subjects design was used, such that half of the participants were assigned to the cocaine go condition and half to the neutral go condition (see below). The two groups were matched on demographic and drug use factors. A between-subjects design was used because participants learn to anticipate which cue would signal a go or no-go target and there were concerns that having participants switch conditions (i.e., cocaine and neutral go cues) would disrupt the learning that takes place during the task.

2.3 Attentional Bias-Behavioral Activation (ABBA)

The ABBA task is a modified cued go/no-go reaction time task, which was administered using E-prime experiment generation software (Psychology Software Tools, Pittsburgh, PA) on a PC computer (Weafer and Fillmore, 2012). The task took approximately 15 minutes to complete and consisted of five blocks of 50 trials. A trial involved a sequence of events during which a fixation point (+) was presented for 800 ms, followed by a blank white screen for 500 ms, a cue image (cocaine or neutral) was presented for one of five stimulus onset asynchronies (SOA; i.e., 100, 200, 300, 400, 500 ms), and finally a go or no-go target, which was displayed until a response occurred or 1,000 ms elapsed. There was a 700 ms interval between all trials. The presentation of cocaine and neutral images were divided evenly between trials. The total number of events during which participants were prepared to respond (i.e., go cue), but needed to inhibit responding (i.e., no-go target) occurred on 25 of the 250 trials.

The cues consisted of cocaine-related images (e.g., powder with a razor blade, crack cocaine) or neutral images (e.g., stapler, paper towel roll). All images (15 cm×11.5 cm) were presented in the center of the computer monitor against a white background. After a SOA, the cue image either turned solid green (go target) or solid blue (no-go target). Participants were instructed to press the forward slash (/) key on the keyboard, which was marked with a green sticker, as soon as a green (go) target appeared. Participants were instructed to withhold responses when a blue (no-go) target appeared.

The task consisted of two conditions: *cocaine go* condition and a *neutral go* condition. In the cocaine go condition 80% of go targets were preceded by a cocaine cue and 20% of go targets were preceded by a neutral cue. In the cocaine go condition 80% of the no-go targets were preceded by a neutral cue and 20% of no-go targets were preceded by a cocaine cue. In the neutral go condition 80% of go targets were preceded by a neutral cue and 20% were preceded by a cocaine cue. In the neutral go condition 80% of the no-go targets were preceded by a cocaine cue and 20% of the no-go targets were preceded by a cocaine cue. For half of the participants the cocaine image served as the go condition and for the other half, the neutral image served as the go condition.

2.4 Criterion Measures and Data Analysis

An alpha level of $p = 0.05$ was used to determine significance for statistical outcomes of *a priori* hypotheses (Keppel, 1991). Independent-samples t-tests were used to compare

demographics for each group (i.e., the cocaine go and neutral go conditions) for continuous variables and a chi-square analysis was conducted to compare gender distribution between groups. Performance in the cocaine-go and neutral-go condition was compared to assess the degree to which cocaine images increased response activation and decreased response inhibition. Reaction time and proportion of inhibitory fails were analyzed to assess differences in response activation and inhibition, respectively (Weafer and Fillmore, 2012). Unpaired t-tests were used for between-groups comparisons of the proportion of inhibitory fails when a no-go target was presented following a go cue and reaction times to a go target following a go cue. Pearson correlations were used to assess associations between primary outcomes from the task and demographic and drug use variables. A Bonferroni correction for multiple correlations was used for these *post hoc* analyses, which adjusted the significant p-value to < 0.0025 .

3. RESULTS

3.1 Demographics

The groups did not differ significantly on any of the demographic characteristics or drug-use variables (Table 1).

3.2 ABBA Task Performance

3.2.1 Response Inhibition and Activation Following Go Cues—The t-test revealed a significant increase in inhibitory fails to a no-go target following a cocaine image as the go cue compared to a neutral image as a go cue ($t(28) = 2.30, p < 0.05$; Figure 1). There was no significant difference in response time to go cues following cocaine or neutral images (Figure 1).

3.2.2 Response Inhibition and Activation Following No-Go Cues—There were no significant differences between groups for the proportion of inhibitory fails following a no-go cue or for reaction time to go targets following no-go cues (data not shown).

3.3 Post Hoc Correlations

There were no significant correlations between inhibitory failures to no-go targets following go or no-go cues or reaction time to go targets following go or no-go cues and the demographic or drug use variables ($r(28)$ values $< 0.933, p$ values > 0.0025).

4. DISCUSSION

Participants exposed to a cocaine-related image as a go cue had a greater proportion of inhibitory failures to a no-go target than their counterparts exposed to a neutral cue. This finding is consistent with findings from research with alcohol users (Weafer and Fillmore, 2012). Importantly, the groups did not differ in reaction time to go targets following go or no-go cues, which suggests that the effect observed (i.e., increased proportion of inhibitory fails to no-go targets following cocaine cues) was not caused by an decrease in reaction time in the cocaine cue group. Moreover, demographics were similar between the two samples of cocaine abusers, suggesting that the observed effect was not likely due to group differences. Differences between the cocaine and neutral image groups for inhibitory fails to no-go targets or reaction time to go cues following no-go cues were not observed, which indicated that impairment of inhibitory control was specific to when participants were prepared to respond, but must inhibit a response, as no-go cues most often preceded a no-go target. Thus, cocaine images alone did not increase the proportion of inhibitory fails; rather it was the interaction of the cocaine image usually predicting that a response would be required followed by the need to inhibit responding.

Cued go/no-go assessments are designed to provide additional information beyond a stop-signal or go/no-go task, as they model environmental cues that predict when a response will be required to be activated or inhibited (Fillmore, 2003). The ABBA task builds on traditional cued go/no-go tasks by using ecologically relevant cues (i.e., cocaine images), which may provide additional information about the behavioral processes that promote continued drug use despite negative consequences. Specifically, the presence of drug paraphernalia may signal the presence of a drug, thus making it more difficult for individuals to inhibit initiation of drug use. An individual's ability to inhibit responding in the presence of drug cues could also relate to their ability or inability to stop taking drugs once use has already been initiated, as the discontinuation of use would occur in the presence of drug cues. Research on the relationship between drug cues and substance use suggests that drug cues are associated with motivational states to obtain or use drugs (Ryan, 2002). Thus, individuals with impaired inhibitory control and increased attention bias to drug cues may have an increasingly difficult time resisting or discontinuing drug use in the presence of drug cues. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has assessed the influence of cocaine-specific cues on inhibitory control in cocaine users within the same task. Previous research has shown a negative correlation between inhibitory control and attention bias to cocaine stimuli in cocaine users, but separate tasks were used to assess inhibitory control and attention bias (Liu et al., 2011). However, the present study did not assess attention bias directly through a method such as collecting eye-tracking data or calculating a difference between reaction times to cocaine-related and neutral stimuli. Although calculating the difference between reaction times to cocaine-related and neutral stimuli is possible with these data, the outcome would be confounded by the fact that there should be a slower reaction time to targets following no-go cues. Thus, whether participants who had poorer inhibitory control also attended more to cocaine images is unknown. Future studies should investigate the relationship between attention bias to cocaine cues and inhibitory control on the modified cued go/no-go task, which could provide insight into the underlying mechanism driving the decrease in inhibitory control when cocaine-related images are used as cues. Also, the relationship between performance on the modified cued go/no-go task, attention bias, and drug use should be further investigated to determine if impaired inhibitory control and/or high attention bias are related to drug use outside of the laboratory.

The current study had a few additional limitations, which could provide directions for future research. Participants only had to report cocaine use in the last month to qualify for the study and verification with a cocaine-positive urine screen was not required. However, the majority of participants provided a cocaine-positive urine sample and the number of positive samples was not significantly different between groups. The between-subjects design may be a limitation, as groups may have differed on some unmeasured, but relevant, variable. Future research should be done to further modify the ABBA task so that it would be amenable to within-subject use to circumvent the current need to use a between-subjects design with this task.

Overall, the present study used an innovative task to show that cocaine-related stimuli decreased inhibitory control in cocaine users, which may relate to an individual's inability to avoid or cease drug use in the presence of drug cues. Future research is needed to determine how cue-related disinhibition (and potential changes in this behavior) impact drug use in the natural ecology. Only through the conduct of this type of research can the clinical relevance of human laboratory studies be ascertained.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the staff of the Laboratory of Human Behavioral Pharmacology for their assistance in completing the assessments with participants. We would also like to thank Jessica Weafer, Ph.D., for providing us with the alcohol-related version of the ABBA task and instructions used previously.

Role of funding source

This research and the preparation of this manuscript were supported by grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse R01 DA 025031, R01 DA 025591, and R01 DA 032254 awarded to Dr. Craig Rush and R21 DA 034095 awarded to Dr. William Stoops, as well as by internal funding from the University of Kentucky. These funding sources had no further role in study design; the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data; writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

References

- Fillmore MT. Drug abuse as a problem of impaired control: current approaches and findings. *Behav. Cogn. Neurosci. Rev.* 2003; 2:179–197. [PubMed: 15006292]
- Fillmore MT, Rush CR. Impaired inhibitory control of behavior in chronic cocaine users. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2002; 66:265–273. [PubMed: 12062461]
- Keppel, G. Planned comparisons. In: *Design and Analysis: A Researcher's Handbook*. Third Edition. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River NJ: 1991. p. 165–166.
- Lane SD, Moeller FG, Steinberg JL, Buzby M, Kosten TR. Performance of cocaine dependent individuals and controls on a response inhibition task with varying levels of difficulty. *Am. J. Drug Alcohol Abuse.* 2007; 33:717–726. [PubMed: 17891664]
- Liu S, Lane SD, Schmitz JM, Waters AJ, Cunningham KA, Moeller FG. Relationship between attentional bias to cocaine-related stimuli and impulsivity in cocaine-dependent subjects. *Am. J. Drug Alcohol Abuse.* 2011; 37:117–122. [PubMed: 21204739]
- Ryan F. Detected, selected, and sometimes neglected: cognitive processing of cues in addiction. *Exp. Clin. Psychopharm.* 2002; 10:67–76.
- Sevak RJ, Vansickel AR, Stoops WW, Glaser PEA, Hays LR, Rush CR. Discriminative-stimulus, subject-rated, and physiological effects of methamphetamine in humans pretreated with aripiprazole. *J. Clin. Psychopharm.* 2011; 31:470–480.
- Verdejo-García AJ, Perales JC, Pérez-García M. Cognitive impulsivity and heroin polysubstance abusers. *Addict. Behav.* 2007; 32:950–966. [PubMed: 16876962]
- Verdejo-García AJ, Pérez-García M. Profile of executive deficits in cocaine and heroin polysubstance users: common and differential effects on separate executive components. *Psychopharm.* 2007; 190:517–530.
- Weafer J, Fillmore MT. Alcohol-related stimuli reduce inhibitory control of behavior in drinkers. *Psychopharm.* 2012; 222:489–498.

Figure 1. Proportion of inhibitory fails to a no-go target after a go cue (top panel) and reaction time to respond to a go target following a go cue (bottom panel). An asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference between groups.

Table 1

Demographics of the Cocaine Group, Neutral group (Mean [SEM]), and t-values from comparisons between group means (no significant differences were observed between groups)

Measure	Cocaine Group	Neutral Group	t-value
Age	42.5 (2.1)	38.2 (2.4)	1.4
Gender (# male)	10	7	0.1
Race ^a			<u>2.2</u>
<u>African American</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	
<u>Caucasian</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	
<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	
Years of Education	12.1 (0.5)	11.5 (0.5)	0.8
Alcohol			
Drinks per Day	0.7 (0.7)	2.5 (2.4)	0.8
Drinks per Week	10.3 (4.7)	15.5 (9.5)	0.5
Drinks per Month	47.2 (20.2)	75.4 (47.0)	0.6
Cigarettes per Day	7.6 (1.8)	12.9 (2.3)	1.8
Marijuana			
Days per Month	10.7 (3.4)	13.9 (2.9)	0.7
Years Used	25.3 (2.1)	25.2 (2.6)	0.0
Cocaine			
Days per Week	3.5 (0.7)	4.0 (0.5)	0.7
Days per Month	14.6 (3.0)	16.5 (2.3)	0.5
Years Used	18.6 (2.6)	16.5 (2.2)	0.6
Positive Urine Screen	0.7 (0.1)	0.8 (0.1)	0.8

^a Chi square analysis for gender used the expected values of 9 African American and 6 Caucasian participants per group, as that is consistent with the racial representation we have observed in our previous studies.